Review

Metformin and Covid-19: a systematic review of systematic reviews with meta-analysis

Fabio Petrelli¹, Iolanda Grappasonni¹, Cuc Thi Thu Nguyen², Marina Tesauro³, Paola Pantanetti⁴, Sonila Xhafa⁵, Giovanni Cangelosi⁴

¹School of Medicinal and Health Products Sciences, University of Camerino, Camerino, Italy; ²Department of Pharmaceutical Administration and Economics, Hanoi University of Pharmacy, Hanoi, Vietnam; ³Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy; ⁴Units of Diabetology, ASUR Marche, Fermo, Italy; ⁵School of Science and Technology, University of Camerino, Camerino, Italy.

Abstract. Introduction: the COVID-19 infection, caused by severe Coronavirus 2 syndrome (Sars-Cov-2), immediately appeared to be the most tragic global pandemic event of the twentieth century. Right from the start of the pandemic, diabetic patients treated with metformin experienced a reduction in mortality and complications from COVID-19 compared to those with different treatments or no treatment. Objective: The main objective of the study was to observe the effects of metformin in diabetic hospitalized subjects infected with COVID-19. Specifically, the outcomes of hospitalization in Intensive Care Units or death were examined. Materials and Methods: A specific research PICOS was developed and the Pubmed, Embase and Scopus databases were consulted down to April 30, 2022. To estimate the extent of the metformin effect and risk of severity in SARS-CoV-2 infection, the Odd Ratio (OR) with 95% Confidence Interval (CI) published by the authors of the selected systematic reviews was used. Results: from five systematic reviews 36 studies were selected. The final meta-analysis showed that thanks to treatment with metformin, Type II Diabetes (DM2) patients affected by COVID-19 had protection against risk of disease severity, complications (SE 0.80; CI 95%: 0.61 - 0.78; I2: 70.5%) and mortality (SE 0.69; CI 95%: 0.65 - 0.98; I2: 53,6%). Conclusions: More indepth studies on the use of metformin, compared to other molecules, may be required to understand the real protective potential of the drug against negative outcomes caused by COVID-19 infection in DM2 patients. (www.actabiomedica.it)

Key words: Metformin, COVID-19, Type II diabetes (DM2), Systematic Review

Introduction

The COVID-19 infection, caused by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (Sars-Cov-2), immediately appeared to be the most tragic global pandemic event of the twentieth century (1). With almost half a billion infections (data from 5 April 2022) and over six million deaths, about two years after its worldwide spread it reached dimensions that were difficult to control (1,2). Type II diabetes mellitus (DM2) is one of the commonest chronic diseases in the world and one of those involving the greatest risk of permanent disability (3). From the first moments of the pandemic it immediately became clear that the presence of severe comorbidities such as arterial hypertension, chronic obstructive bronchitis, immunosuppression but above all DM2, were an unfavorable prognostic element for the evolution of COVID-19 (4,5). Patients with DM2 and COVID-19 are twice as likely to develop severe disease or die as the rest of the population (6). Metformin represents the first-choice molecule for diabetic subjects, also due to the ease of supply even in low-middle-income countries (7). Right from the start of the pandemic, diabetic patients on metformin experienced a reduction in mortality and complications from Covid-19 compared to those with different treatments or no treatment (8).

Objective

The main objective of the study was to observe the effects of metformin in diabetic subjects infected with COVID-19. Specifically, the outcomes of hospitalization in Intensive Care Units or death were examined.

Materials and methods

The systematic review of systematic reviews was conducted with the preliminary development of a research protocol. In accordance with the Guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Prisma Method (9,10), the selected systematic reviews satisfied, prior to inclusion, the CASP Critical Appraisal Skills Program (11). The study involved the participation of two researchers for selection of studies to be included and a third expert to be involved in case of disputes (Flow Chart selection summarized in Figure 1). A specific research PICOS was developed:

- P: patients with DM2
- I: Metformin
- C: Metformin vs. other drugs vs. no drugs
- O: severity/mortality
- S: systematic review

The Pubmed, Embase and Scopus databases were consulted with a time limit of 30 April 2022 and the texts must have been published in English. The keywords used were the following:"COVID-19 stress syndrome", "COVID-19 post-intensive care syndrome", "COVID-19", "SARS-CoV-2", "adult multisystem inflammatory disease, COVID-19 related", "postacute COVID-19 syndrome", "spike protein, SARS-CoV-2", "SARS-CoV-2 variants", "COVID-19 drug treatment", "Biguanides", "Metformin", "Review" and "Systematic Review". To estimate the extent of the effect of metformin and risk of severity in SARS-CoV-2 infection, the Odd Ratio (OR) with 95% Confidence Interval (CI) published by the authors of the selected systematic reviews was used. For study heterogeneity, the Q-test and the Higgins heterogeneity index (I²) were used (12). We used random-effects weighted models to pool the specific effect sizes and their 95% CI if I² was >50%, while the fixed-effects weighted models were used if I² was \leq 50%. The study included in final meta-analysis the study included after confront and analysis single systematic review included in the overview. The evaluation was supported of the CASP Critical Appraisal Skills Program (11). The meta-analysis was supported with free software ProMeta 3.0.

Results

Were included 5 Systematic Reviews, summarized in Table 1.

Lukito AA et al (13) performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective and/or retrospective observational studies with a time limit of September 5, 2020. The main stated objective of the study was to analyze relevant mortality outcomes of



Figure 1. Selection Flow Chart

Table 1. Summary of systematic reviews included

Study	Materials and Methods	Results
Lukito AA et al (13) / 2020	Systematic review and me- ta-analysis of prospective and/or retrospective observational stud- ies down to September 5, 2020	Nine studies with 10,233 subjects were included. Meta-analysis showed that metformin was associated with lower mortality in both the unadjusted model (OR 0.45 [0.25 - 0.81], p = 0.008; I ² : 63.9%, p = 0.026) and the adjusted one (OR 0.64 [0.43 - 0.97], p = 0.035; I ² : 52.1%, p = 0.064)
Oscanoa TJ et al (14) / 2021	Systematic review and me- ta-analysis of prospective and/or retrospective observational stud- ies down to January 2021	Thirty-two studies with a total of 44,306 patients were included (18 controls, 12 cohorts, and 2 cross-sectional studies). In 22 studies, metformin was associated with a reduced risk of mortali- ty (OR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.46 - 0.68, p < 0.001) but in 15 studies, metformin was not significantly associated with disease severity (OR = 0.85, 95% CI: 0.71 - 1.02, p = 0.077)
Kan C et al (15) / 2021	Systematic review and me- ta-analysis prospective and/or retrospective observational stud- ies down to February 2, 2021	Eighteen studies with 17,338 patients were included in the me- ta-analysis. Metformin (pooled OR, 0.69; p = 0.001) and sulfo- nylureas (pooled OR, 0.80; p = 0.016) were associated with lower mortality risk in patients with DM2 and COVID-19
Li Y et al (16)/2021	Systematic review and me- ta-analysis of prospective and/or retrospective observational stud- ies down to February 18, 2021	Twenty-eight studies with 2,910,462 participants were included. Meta-analysis of 19 studies showed that metformin was asso- ciated with a 34% lower COVID-19 mortality [OR 0.66; 95% CI, 0.56 - 0.78; I ² = 67.9%] and 27% lower hospitalization rate (pooled OR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.53 - 1.00; I ² = 16.8%)
Yang W et al (17) / 2021	Systematic review and me- ta-analysis of prospective and/or retrospective observational stud- ies down to June 6, 2021	Seventeen studies with 20,719 patients were included. The results showed that metformin is associated with reduced mortality and severity in patients with DM2: OR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.51 - 0.79 for mortality and OR = 0.81, 95% CI = 0.66 - 0.99 for severity

diabetic patients with COVID-19 treated with metformin. In the reported qualitative/quantitative summary 9 studies with 10,233 subjects were included and the meta-analysis showed that metformin is associated with lower mortality both in the unadjusted model (OR 0.45 [0.25 - 0.81], p = 0.008; I²: 63.9%, p = 0.026) and in the adjusted one (OR 0.64 [0.43 -0.97], p = 0.035; I²: 52.1%, p = 0.064). Oscanoa TJ et al (14) developed a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective and/or retrospective observational studies down to January 2021. With this review, they aimed to observe outcomes of an association between the use of metformin in diabetic patients and mortality and severity from SARS-CoV-2 infection. Thirty-two studies with a total of 44,306 patients were included (18 controls, 12 cohorts, and 2 cross-sectional studies). In 22 studies, metformin was associated with a reduced risk of mortality (OR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.46-0.68, p < 0.001) but in 15 there was no statistically significant finding regarding disease severity (OR = 0.85, 95% CI: 0.71-1.02, p = 0.077). In the systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective and/or retrospective observational studies performed by Kan C et al (15) down to February 2, 2021, the main objective declared by the authors was to analyze the results of the association of various antidiabetic drugs (including metformin) with mortality in patients with DM2 and COVID-19. In the meta-analysis, 18 studies with 17,338 patients were pooled. Metformin (pooled OR 0.69; p = 0.001) and sulfonylureas (pooled OR 0.80; p = 0.016) were associated with a lower risk of mortality in patients with DM2 and COVID-19. Li Y et al (16) performed a systematic review and metaanalysis of prospective and/or retrospective observational studies with a time limit of February 18, 2021. This study aimed to analyze outcomes on the benefits and risks of metformin in patients with COVID-19. Twenty-eight studies with 2,910,462 participants were entered. Meta-analysis of 19 studies showed that metformin is associated with a 34% lower COVID-19 mortality (OR 0.66; 95% CI, 0.56-0.78; I² = 67.9%) and that the hospitalization rate is 27% lower (pooled OR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.53-1.00; I² = 16.8%). In the systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective and/

or retrospective observational studies by Yang W et al (17) relevant outcomes were sought regarding diabetic patients with COVID-19 treated with metformin. With a time limit of June 6, 2021, 17 studies with 20,719 COVID-19 patients with DM2 were included. The results showed that metformin is associated with

reduced mortality and severity in patients with DM2 (OR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.51-0.79 for mortality and OR = 0.81, 95% CI = 0.66 - 0.99 for severity).

Were included in the meta-analysis 36 studies screened from Systematic Review selected, summarized in Table 2.

Study Sample OR Mortality (95% CI) OR Severity (95% CI) 411 0.19 (0.05 - 0.70) 411 0.19 (0.05 - 0.70) Cariou B et al (19) / Prospective / France 1317; 746 vs. 571 0.59 (0.42 - 0.84) Bramante BT et al (20) / Retrospective / USA 6256 0.800 (0.70 - 0.92) Bramante BT et al (21) Retrospective / USA 6256 0.80 (0.70 - 0.92) Bramante BT et al (22) Retrospective / USA 6256; 2333 vs. 3923 0.91 (0.78 - 1.06) Chen Y et al (23) / Retrospective / USA 120; 43 vs. 77 0.42 (0.13 - 1.37) 2.49 (0.92 - 6.76) Crouse A et al (24) / Retrospective / USA 239 0.38 (0.17 - 0.87)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Cariou B et al (19) / Prospective / France 1317; 746 vs. 571 0.59 (0.42 - 0.84) Bramante BT et al (20) / Retrospective / USA 6256 0.802 (0.701 - 0.917) Bramante BT et al (21) Retrospective / USA 6256 0.802 (0.701 - 0.917) Bramante BT et al (22) Retrospective / USA 6256; 2333 vs. 3923 0.91 (0.78 - 1.06) Chen Y et al (23) / Retrospective / USA 120; 43 vs. 77 0.42 (0.13 - 1.37) 2.49 (0.92 - 6.76) Crouse A et al (24) / Retrospective / USA 239 0.38 (0.17 - 0.87) 0.48 (0.19 - 1.24) Luo P et al (25) / Retrospective / South Corea 235 0.36 (0.10 - 1.23) 0.48 (0.19 - 1.24) Luo P et al (26) / Retrospective / China 283; 104 vs. 179 4.36 (1.22 - 15.59) 0.79 (0.45 - 1.39) Philipose Z et al (27) / Retrospective / UK 159 1.39 (0.84 - 2.16) 100 Cheng X et al (28) / Retrospective / China 1213; 687 vs. 535 1.65 (0.71 - 3.86) 0.66 (0.46 - 0.96) Mirani M et al (30) / Retrospective / China 328 0.48 (0.13 - 1.74) 0.18 (0.05 - 0.62) Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (32) / Case series
Bramante BT et al (20) / Retrospective / USA6256 $0.802 (0.701 - 0.917)$ Bramante BT et al (21) Retrospective / USA6256 $0.80 (0.70 - 0.92)$ Bramante BT et al (22) Retrospective / USA6256; 2333 vs. 3923 $0.91 (0.78 - 1.06)$ Chen Y et al (23) / Retrospective / USA120; 43 vs. 77 $0.42 (0.13 - 1.37)$ $2.49 (0.92 - 6.76)$ Crouse A et al (24) / Retrospective / USA239 $0.38 (0.17 - 0.87)$ Kim MK et al (25) / Retrospective / South Corea235 $0.36 (0.10 - 1.23)$ $0.48 (0.19 - 1.24)$ Luo P et al (26) / Retrospective / China283; 104 vs. 179 $4.36 (1.22 - 15.59)$ $0.79 (0.45 - 1.39)$ Philipose Z et al (27) / Retrospective / UK159 $1.39 (0.84 - 2.16)$ Cheng X et al (28) / Retrospective / China1213; 687 vs. 535 $1.65 (0.71 - 3.86)$ $0.66 (0.46 - 0.96)$ Mirani M et al (29) / Case series / Italy90; 69 vs. 21 $0.35 (0.13 - 0.96)$ $0.37 (0.08 - 1.80)$ Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China328 $0.48 (0.13 - 1.74)$ $0.18 (0.05 - 0.62)$ Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / Lina110 $3.96 (1.03 - 15.19)$ Lally MA et al (32) / Case series / Spain1488 $0.72 (0.58 - 0.90)$ $0.78 (0.63 - 0.96)$ Wang B et al (36) / Cohort Study / UK10183 vs.10183 $0.87 (0.34 - 2.20)$ Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / UK10183 vs.10183 $0.87 (0.34 - 2.20)$ Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China58 $0.33 (0.17 - 0.61)$ Shestakova SV et al (37) / Prospective / UK19486 $1.02 (0.81 - 1.28)$ Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case
Bramante BT et al (21) Retrospective / USA 6256 0.80 (0.70 - 0.92) Bramante BT et al (22) Retrospective / USA 6256; 2333 vs. 3923 0.91 (0.78 - 1.06) Chen Y et al (23) / Retrospective / USA 120; 43 vs. 77 0.42 (0.13 - 1.37) 2.49 (0.92 - 6.76) Crouse A et al (24) / Retrospective / USA 239 0.38 (0.17 - 0.87) 0.48 (0.19 - 1.24) Luo P et al (26) / Retrospective / South Corea 235 0.36 (0.10 - 1.23) 0.48 (0.19 - 1.24) Luo P et al (26) / Retrospective / China 283; 104 vs. 179 4.36 (1.22 - 15.59) 0.79 (0.45 - 1.39) Philipose Z et al (27) / Retrospective / UK 159 1.39 (0.84 - 2.16) 0.48 (0.19 - 1.24) Cheng X et al (28) / Retrospective / China 1213; 687 vs. 535 1.65 (0.71 - 3.86) 0.66 (0.46 - 0.96) Mirani M et al (29) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China 328 0.48 (0.13 - 1.74) 0.18 (0.05 - 0.62) Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (32) / Case series / Spain 1488 0.72 (0.58 - 0.90) 0.78 (0.63 - 0.62
Bramante BT et al (22) Retrospective / USA 6256; 2333 vs. 3923 0.91 (0.78 - 1.06) Chen Y et al (23) / Retrospective / USA 120; 43 vs. 77 0.42 (0.13 - 1.37) 2.49 (0.92 - 6.76) Crouse A et al (24) / Retrospective / USA 239 0.38 (0.17 - 0.87) 0.48 (0.19 - 1.24) Luo P et al (26) / Retrospective / South Corea 235 0.36 (0.10 - 1.23) 0.48 (0.19 - 1.24) Luo P et al (26) / Retrospective / China 283; 104 vs. 179 4.36 (1.22 - 15.59) 0.79 (0.45 - 1.39) Philipose Z et al (27) / Retrospective / UK 159 1.39 (0.84 - 2.16) 0.66 (0.46 - 0.96) Mirani M et al (29) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China 328 0.48 (0.13 - 1.74) 0.18 (0.05 - 0.62) Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / China 110 3.96 (1.03 - 15.19) 1.41ly MA et al (32) / Case series / USA 755 0.48 (0.28 - 0.84) Perez-Belmonte LM et al (33) / Case series / Spain 1488 0.72 (0.58 - 0.90) 0.78 (0.63 - 0.96) Wang J et al (35) / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 0.87 (0.34 - 2.20) Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China 58 0.98
Chen Y et al (23) / Retrospective / USA 120; 43 vs. 77 0.42 (0.13 - 1.37) 2.49 (0.92 - 6.76) Crouse A et al (24) / Retrospective / USA 239 0.38 (0.17 - 0.87) Kim MK et al (25) / Retrospective / South Corea 235 0.36 (0.10 - 1.23) 0.48 (0.19 - 1.24) Luo P et al (26) / Retrospective / China 283; 104 vs. 179 4.36 (1.22 - 15.59) 0.79 (0.45 - 1.39) Philipose Z et al (27) / Retrospective / UK 159 1.39 (0.84 - 2.16) 0.66 (0.46 - 0.96) Mirani M et al (29) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China 328 0.48 (0.13 - 1.74) 0.18 (0.05 - 0.62) Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China 328 0.48 (0.13 - 1.74) 0.18 (0.05 - 0.62) Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jang N et al (32) / Case series / Spain 1488 0.72 (0.58 - 0.90) 0.78 (0.63 - 0.96) Wang J et al (32) / Cohort Study / USA 58 0.35 (0.04 - 3.04) 0.98 (0.35
Crouse A et al (24) / Retrospective / USA 239 0.38 (0.17 - 0.87) Kim MK et al (25) / Retrospective / South Corea 235 0.36 (0.10 - 1.23) 0.48 (0.19 - 1.24) Luo P et al (26) / Retrospective / China 283; 104 vs. 179 4.36 (1.22 - 15.59) 0.79 (0.45 - 1.39) Philipose Z et al (27) / Retrospective / UK 159 1.39 (0.84 - 2.16) Cheng X et al (28) / Retrospective / China 1213; 687 vs. 535 1.65 (0.71 - 3.86) 0.66 (0.46 - 0.96) Mirani M et al (29) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China 328 0.48 (0.13 - 1.74) 0.18 (0.05 - 0.62) Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (32) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.36 (1.03 - 15.19) Lally MA et al (32) / Case series / USA 755 0.48 (0.28 - 0.84) Perez-Belmonte LM et al (33) / Case series / Spain 1488 0.72 (0.58 - 0.90) 0.78 (0.63 - 0.96) Wang B et al (349 / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 0.87 (0.34 - 2.20) Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / UK 10
Kim MK et al (25) / Retrospective / South Corea 235 0.36 (0.10 - 1.23) 0.48 (0.19 - 1.24) Luo P et al (26) / Retrospective / China 283; 104 vs. 179 4.36 (1.22 - 15.59) 0.79 (0.45 - 1.39) Philipose Z et al (27) / Retrospective / UK 159 1.39 (0.84 - 2.16) Cheng X et al (28) / Retrospective / China 1213; 687 vs. 535 1.65 (0.71 - 3.86) 0.66 (0.46 - 0.96) Mirani M et al (29) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China 328 0.48 (0.13 - 1.74) 0.18 (0.05 - 0.62) Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / China 110 3.96 (1.03 - 15.19) Lally MA et al (32) / Case series / USA 755 0.48 (0.28 - 0.84) Perez-Belmonte LM et al (33) / Case series / Spain 1488 0.72 (0.58 - 0.90) 0.78 (0.63 - 0.96) Wang J et al (349 / Cohort Study / USA 58 0.35 (0.04 - 3.04) 0.98 (0.35 - 2.60) Wang J et al (36) / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 0.87 (0.34 - 2.20) 98 (0.35 - 2.60) Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) Sourij H et al (39)
Luo P et al (26) / Retrospective / China 283; 104 vs. 179 4.36 (1.22 - 15.59) 0.79 (0.45 - 1.39) Philipose Z et al (27) / Retrospective / UK 159 1.39 (0.84 - 2.16) Cheng X et al (28) / Retrospective / China 1213; 687 vs. 535 1.65 (0.71 - 3.86) 0.66 (0.46 - 0.96) Mirani M et al (29) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China 328 0.48 (0.13 - 1.74) 0.18 (0.05 - 0.62) Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / China 110 3.96 (1.03 - 15.19) Lally MA et al (32) / Case series / USA 755 0.48 (0.28 - 0.84) Perez-Belmonte LM et al (33) / Case series / Spain 1488 0.72 (0.58 - 0.90) 0.78 (0.63 - 0.96) Wang B et al (349 / Cohort Study / USA 58 0.35 (0.04 - 3.04) 0.98 (0.35 - 2.60) Wang J et al (35) / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 0.87 (0.34 - 2.20) 98 (0.35 - 2.60) Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) 1.12 (1.28) Shestakova SV et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08)
Philipose Z et al (27) / Retrospective / UK 159 1.39 (0.84 - 2.16) Cheng X et al (28) / Retrospective / China 1213; 687 vs. 535 1.65 (0.71 - 3.86) 0.66 (0.46 - 0.96) Mirani M et al (29) / Case series / Italy 90; 69 vs. 21 0.35 (0.13 - 0.96) 0.37 (0.08 - 1.80) Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China 328 0.48 (0.13 - 1.74) 0.18 (0.05 - 0.62) Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / China 110 3.96 (1.03 - 15.19) Lally MA et al (32) / Case series / USA 755 0.48 (0.28 - 0.84) Perez-Belmonte LM et al (33) / Case series / Spain 1488 0.72 (0.58 - 0.90) 0.78 (0.63 - 0.96) Wang B et al (349 / Cohort Study / USA 58 0.35 (0.04 - 3.04) 0.98 (0.35 - 2.60) Wang J et al (35) / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 0.87 (0.34 - 2.20) 98 (0.35 - 2.60) Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China 58 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) 0.98 (0.35 - 2.60) Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) 1.1 J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Mirani M et al (29) / Case series / Italy90; 69 vs. 21 $0.35 (0.13 - 0.96)$ $0.37 (0.08 - 1.80)$ Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China328 $0.48 (0.13 - 1.74)$ $0.18 (0.05 - 0.62)$ Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / China110 $3.96 (1.03 - 15.19)$ Lally MA et al (32) / Case series / USA755 $0.48 (0.28 - 0.84)$ Perez-Belmonte LM et al (33) / Case series / Spain1488 $0.72 (0.58 - 0.90)$ $0.78 (0.63 - 0.96)$ Wang B et al (349 / Cohort Study / USA58 $0.35 (0.04 - 3.04)$ Wang J et al (35) / Cohort Study / UK10183 vs.10183 $0.87 (0.34 - 2.20)$ Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China58 $0.98 (0.35 - 2.60)$ Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK19486 $1.02 (0.81 - 1.28)$ Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia309 $0.33 (0.17 - 0.61)$ Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria238 $0.59 (0.30 - 1.16)$ Li J et al (40) / Case series / China131 $0.20 (0.04 - 0.90)$ $0.71 (0.24 - 2.08)$
Jiang N et al (30) / Retrospective / China 328 0.48 (0.13 - 1.74) 0.18 (0.05 - 0.62) Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / China 110 3.96 (1.03 - 15.19) Lally MA et al (32) / Case series / USA 755 0.48 (0.28 - 0.84) Perez-Belmonte LM et al (33) / Case series / Spain 1488 0.72 (0.58 - 0.90) 0.78 (0.63 - 0.96) Wang B et al (349 / Cohort Study / USA 58 0.35 (0.04 - 3.04) Wang J et al (35) / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 0.87 (0.34 - 2.20) Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China 58 0.98 (0.35 - 2.60) Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08)
Gao Y et al (31) / Case series / China 110 3.96 (1.03 - 15.19) Lally MA et al (32) / Case series / USA 755 0.48 (0.28 - 0.84) Perez-Belmonte LM et al (33) / Case series / Spain 1488 0.72 (0.58 - 0.90) 0.78 (0.63 - 0.96) Wang B et al (349 / Cohort Study / USA 58 0.35 (0.04 - 3.04) Wang J et al (35) / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 0.87 (0.34 - 2.20) Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China 58 0.98 (0.35 - 2.60) Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08)
Lally MA et al (32) / Case series / USA 755 0.48 (0.28 - 0.84) Perez-Belmonte LM et al (33) / Case series / Spain 1488 0.72 (0.58 - 0.90) 0.78 (0.63 - 0.96) Wang B et al (349 / Cohort Study / USA 58 0.35 (0.04 - 3.04) Wang J et al (35) / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 0.87 (0.34 - 2.20) Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China 58 0.98 (0.35 - 2.60) Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08)
Perez-Belmonte LM et al (33) / Case series / Spain 1488 $0.72 (0.58 - 0.90)$ $0.78 (0.63 - 0.96)$ Wang B et al (349 / Cohort Study / USA 58 $0.35 (0.04 - 3.04)$ Wang J et al (35) / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 $0.87 (0.34 - 2.20)$ Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China 58 $0.98 (0.35 - 2.60)$ Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 $1.02 (0.81 - 1.28)$ Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 $0.33 (0.17 - 0.61)$ Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 $0.59 (0.30 - 1.16)$ Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 $0.20 (0.04 - 0.90)$ $0.71 (0.24 - 2.08)$
Wang B et al (349 / Cohort Study / USA 58 0.35 (0.04 - 3.04) Wang J et al (35) / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 0.87 (0.34 - 2.20) Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China 58 0.98 (0.35 - 2.60) Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08) Do IX et al (41) / Case series / South Corea 564 0.60 (0.33 - 1.11)
Wang J et al (35) / Cohort Study / UK 10183 vs.10183 0.87 (0.34 - 2.20) Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China 58 0.98 (0.35 - 2.60) Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08) Do IV et al (41) / Case series / South Corea 564 0.60 (0.33 - 1.11)
Yan H et al (36) / Cohort Study / China 58 0.98 (0.35 - 2.60) Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08) Do IV et al (41) / Case series / South Corea 564 0.60 (0.33 - 1.11)
Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) / Prospective / UK 19486 1.02 (0.81 - 1.28) Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08) Do IV et al (41) / Case series / South Corea 564 0.60 (0.33 - 1.11)
Shestakova SV et al (38) / Case series / Russia 309 0.33 (0.17 - 0.61) Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08) Do IV et al (41) / Case series / South Corea 564 0.60 (0.33 - 1.11)
Sourij H et al (39) / Prospective / Austria 238 0.59 (0.30 - 1.16) Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08) Do IV et al (41) / Case series / South Corea 564 0.60 (0.33 - 1.11)
Li J et al (40) / Case series / China 131 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) 0.71 (0.24 - 2.08) Do IV et al (41) / Case series / South Corea 564 0.60 (0.33 - 1.11)
Do IV et al (41) / Case series / South Corea 564 0.60 (0.33 - 1.11)
Liu Z et al (42) / Cohort Study / China 64 0.14 (0.01 - 1.50)
Nafakhi H et al (43) / Case series / Iraq 50
0.13 (0.02 - 0.67)
Goodall JW et al (44) / Cohort Study / UK 981 0.95 (0.69 - 1.31)
Lalau et al (45) / Case series / France 2449; 1496 vs. 953 0.47 (0.39 - 0.58) 0.92 (0.69 - 1.21)
Orioli L et al (46) / Case series / Belgium 68 0.22 (0.06 - 0.87)
Cernigliaro A et al (47) / Cohort Study / Italy 172 0.42 (0.22 - 0.80) 0.78 (0.34 - 1.82)
Ramos-Rincon JM et al (48) / Prospective / Spain 790 1.03 (0.78 - 1.36)
Wargny M et al (49) / Prospective / France 2794; 1553 vs. 1241 0.63 (0.52 - 0.77)
Mirsoleymani S et al (50) / Retrospective / Iran 36 vs. 69 0.39 (0.12 - 1.22)
Khunti K et al (51) / Cohort Study / UK 1800005 vs. 2851465 0.77 (0.73 - 0.81)
Ghany R et al (52) / Retrospective / USA 1139 0.35 (0.17 - 0.71) 0.80 (0.66 - 1.25)
Oh TK et al (53) / Cohort Study / South Corea 2047 1.26 (0.81 - 1.95)

Of the 36 studies included, 8 were conducted in the USA, 6 in the UK, 7 in China, 3 each in France and South Korea, 2 each in Spain and Italy, and 1 each in Belgium, Iran, Iraq, Austria and Russia. Twelve retrospective, 5 prospective, 10 control and 8 cohort studies were performed. The sample with the highest number of subjects included was that of the study by Khunti K et al51 with 1,800,005 cases and 2,851,465 controls, followed by Wang J et al (35) with 10,183 cases and controls and Hippisley-Cox J et al (37) with 19,486 overall subjects. Studies with smaller sample sizes (< 100) were the following: Orioli L et al (46) with 68 patients, Liu Z et al (42) with 64, Yan H et al (36), Wang B et al (34) with 58 and Nafakhy H et al (43) with 50 samples. The studies by Luo P et al (26) and Cheng X et al (28) gave the highest OR values for mortality, respectively 4.36 (1.22 - 15.59) and 1.65 (0.71 - 3.86), while the study by Nafakhy H et al (43) yielded the most protective values 0.13 (0.02 - 0.67), followed by Abu-Jamous B et al (18) with 0.19 (0.05 -0.70), Li J et al (40) with 0.20 (0.04 - 0.90) and Orioli L et al (46) with 0.22 (0.06 - 0.87). As regards severity, the highest risk values were found in the study by Gao Y et al (31) with 3.96 (1.03 - 15.19) while the study by Liu Z et al (42) gave the highest protective ones with 0.14 (0.01 - 1.50).

However, the final meta-analysis (Figure 2 and 3) revealed that thanks to treatment with metformin, patients with DM2 affected by COVID-19 found protection regarding the risk of severity and complications (SE 0.80; CI 95%: 0.61 - 0.78; I²: 70.5%) and mortality (SE 0.69; CI 95%: 0.65 - 0.98; I²: 53,6%) compared to untreated or differently treated subjects.

Conclusions

The most evident limitation our study is the insertion, in the systematic reviews included, studies carried out during the emergency period that had not always completed a rigorous peer-review process. This certainly influenced the result of the individual systematic reviews included in the study and probably the proposed Overview. Given the importance of the topics covered and the extremely high number of data collected, it was decided, in order to reach the best possible





Figure 2. Forest Plot of metformin use and risk of mortality in SARS-CoV-2 infection.



Figure 3. Forest Plot of metformin use and risk of severity in SARS-CoV-2 infection.

conclusions, to use all possible information present in the systematic reviews included. It highlights the fact that a widespread and easy-to-implement treatment such as Metformin, even in economically and socially disadvantaged contexts, can reduce the risk of severe complications or death in subjects with DM2 and COVID-19. From the first moments of the global spread of the pandemic, DM2 was one of the worst risk factors for outcomes from COVID-19 and admissions to Intensive Care Units (54,55), data that have been confirmed and consolidated over time (56). On DM2 management, the ability to actively involve the patient in a complex treatment process could be decisive in both the short and medium term of necessary post-COVID-19 health reorganization (57-64). More in-depth studies on the use of Metformin compared to other molecules could be decisive for understanding the real protective potential of the drug against negative outcomes caused by COVID-19 infection (65,66).

Conflict of Interest statement: Each author declares that he or she has no commercial associations (e.g. consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangement etc.) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable

Consent for publication: All the authors have read the paper and agreed to submit the paper.

Availability of data and materials: The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author, IG upon reasonable request.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding: Not applicable

Authors' contributions : FP and GC designed and conducted research, analyzed data and wrote the paper. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: Not applicable

References

- World Health Organization (WHO). Coronavirus. Available online at: https://www.who.int/ health topics/coronavirus [Last accessed: 2022 November 1]
- Covid-19 Coronavirus Pandemic COVID-19 Coronavirus Pandemic. Available online at: https://www. worldometers.info/coronavirus/?utm_campaign=homeAdvegas1? [Last Accessed: 2022 April 5]
- 3. American Diabetes Association. Glycemic targets. Diabetes Care. 2017. Jan; 40 (Supplement 1): S48-S56. Avail-

able on line on: doi: 10.2337/dc17-S009 [Last Accessed: 2022 April 19]

- 4. Kumar A, Arora A, Sharma P, et al. Is diabetes mellitus associated with mortality and severity of COVID-19? A meta-analysis. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2020 Jul-Aug;14(4):535-545. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2020.04.044.
- 5. Puig-Domingo M, Marazuela M, Giustina A. COVID-19 and endocrine diseases. A statement from the European Society of Endocrinology. Endocrine 2020; 68 (1): 2–5. doi: 10.1007/s12020-020-02294-5
- Saha S, Rami HAR, Sujata S. Diabetes prevalence and mortality in COVID-19 patients: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2021 Mar 31;20(1):939-950. doi: 10.1007/s40200-021-00779-2
- 7. Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, et al. Management of Hyperglycemia in Type 2 Diabetes, 2015: A Patient-Centered Approach: Update to a Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2015;38(1):140–149. doi: 10.2337/dc14-2441
- Hariyanto TI, Kurniawan A. Metformin Use is Associated With Reduced Mortality Rate From Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Infection. Obes Med 2020; 19:100290. doi: 10.1016/j.obmed.2020.100290
- 9. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Intern Med 2009; 151:264-9, W64. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00135
- Page MJ, Mckenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al, The PRISMA 2020 statement: an update guideline for reporting systematic reviews, BMJ 2021; 372: n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71
- 11. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) part of Oxford Centre for Triple Value Healthcare Ltd. Available on: https://casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists/ [Last accessed: 2022 November 1]
- Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Statics in Medicine. 2002;21 (11): 1539-58. doi: 10.1002/sim.1186
- Lukito AA, Pranata R, Henrina J, et al. The effect of metformin consumption on mortality in hospitalized COV-ID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2020 Nov-Dec;14(6):2177-2183. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2020.11.006
- Oscanoa TJ, Amado J, Vidal X, et al. Metformin therapy, severity and mortality of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a metaanalysis. Clinical Diabetology 2021; 10(4): 317-329. doi: 10.5603/DK. a2021.0035
- 15. Kan C, Zhang Y, Han F, et al. Mortality Risk of Antidiabetic Agents for Type 2 Diabetes With COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Endocrinol. Sept 2021; 12:708494. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.708494
- 16. Li Y, Yang X, Yan P, et al. Metformin in Patients With COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Med. 8:704666. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.704666

- 17. Yang W, Sun X, Zhang J, et al. The effect of Metformin on Mortality and Severity in COVID-19 patients with Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2021 Aug;178:108977. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2021.108977
- Abu-Jamous B, Anisimovich A, Baxter J, et al. Associations of comorbidities and medications with COVID- 19 outcome: a retrospective analysis of real-world evidence data. 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.08.20.20174169
- Cariou B, Hadjadj S, Wargny M, et al. Phenotypic characteristics and prognosis of inpatients with COVID-19 and diabetes: the CORONADO study. Diabetologia. 2020;63: 1500e15. doi: 10.1007/s00125-020-05180-x
- Bramante CT, Ingraham NE, Murray TA, et al. Observational study of metformin and risk of mortality in patients hospitalized with covid-19. MedRxiv Prepr Serv Heal Sci 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.06.19.20135095. 2020.06.19.20135095
- Bramante CT, Ingraham N, Murray T, et al. Metformin and risk of mortality in patients hospitalised with COV-ID19: a retrospective cohort analysis. The Lancet Healthy Longevity. 2021; 2(1): e34–e41. doi: 10.1016/s2666-7568(20)30033-7
- Bramante CT, Tignanelli CJ, Dutta N, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and risk of hospitalization for COVID-19. medRxiv [Preprint]. 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.09.01.201 85850
- 23. Chen Y, Yang D, Cheng B, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with diabetes and COVID-19 in association with glucose-lowering medication. Diabetes Care. 2020; 43:1399e407. doi: 10.2337/dc20-0660
- 24. Crouse A, Grimes T, Li P, et al. Metformin use IS associated with reduced mortality IN a diverse population with COVID-19 and diabetes. MedRxiv Prepr Serv Heal Sci 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.07.29.20164020
- 25. Kim MK, Jeon JH, Kim SW, et al. The clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with moderate-to-severe coronavirus disease 2019 infection and diabetes in Daegu, South Korea. Diabetes Metab J. 2020; 44:602e13. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2020.0146
- 26. Luo P, Qiu L, Liu Y, et al. Metformin treatment was associated with decreased mortality in COVID-19 patients with diabetes in a retrospective analysis. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2020; 103:69e72. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.20-0375
- Philipose Z, Smati N, Wong CSJ, Aspey K, Mendall M. Obesity, old age, and frailty are the true risk factors for COVID-19 mortality and not chronic disease or ethnicity. MedRxi. 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.08.12.20156257
- 28. Cheng X, Liu YM, et al. Metformin is associated with higher incidence of acidosis, but not mortality, in individuals with COVID-19 and pre-existing type 2 diabetes. Cell Metab. 2020 Oct 6;32(4):537-547.e3. doi: 10.1016/j. cmet.2020.08.013
- 29. Mirani M, Favacchio G, Carrone F, et al. Impact of comorbidities and glycemia at admission and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes with COVID-19: a Case series from an Academic Hospital in

Lombardy, Italy. Diabetes Care. 2020; 43(12): 3042–3049. doi: 10.2337/dc20-1340

- 30. Jiang N, Chen Z, Yin X, et al. Association of Metformin With Mortality or ARDS in Patients With COVID-19 and Type 2 Diabetes: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2020; 173:108619. doi: 10.1016/j. diabres.2020.108619
- 31. Gao Y, Liu T, Zhong W, et al. Risk of metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes with COVID-19: a preliminary retrospective report. Clin Transl Sci. 2020; 13(6): 1055– 1059. doi: 10.1111/cts.12897
- 32. Lally MA, Tsoukas P, Halladay CW, et al. Metformin is associated with decreased 30-day mortality among nursing home residents infected with SARS-CoV-2. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2021; 22(1): 193–198. doi: 10.1016/j. jamda.2020.10.031
- 33. Pérez-Belmonte LM, Torres-Peña JD, López-Carmona MD, et al. Mortality and other adverse outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus admitted for COV-ID-19 in association with glucose-lowering drugs: a nationwide cohort study. BMC Med. 2020; 18(1): 359. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01832-2
- 34. Wang B, Van Oekelen O, Mouhieddine TH et al. A tertiary center experience of multiple myeloma patients with COVID-19: lessons learned and the path forward. J Hematol Oncol. 2020; 13(1): 94. doi: 10.1186/s13045-020-00934-x
- 35. Wang J, Cooper JM, Gokhale K, et al. Association of metformin with susceptibility to COVID-19 in people with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2021; 106: dgab067. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgab067
- 36. Yan H, Valdes AM, Vijay A, et al. Role of drugs used for chronic disease management on susceptibility and severity of COVID-19: a large case-control study. medRxiv. 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.24.20077875
- 37. Hippisley-Cox J, Young D, Coupland C, et al. Risk of severe COVID-19 disease with ACE inhibitors and angiotensin recep- tor blockers: cohort study including 8.3 million people. Heart. 2020; 106(19): 1503–1511. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317393
- 38. Shestakova MV, Vikulova OK, Isakov M, et al. Diabetes and COV- ID-19: analysis of the clinical outcomes according to the data of the russian diabetes registry. Probl Endokrinol (Mosk). 2020; 66(1): 35–46. doi: 10.14341/ probl12458
- Sourij H, Aziz F, Bräuer A, et al. COVID-19 fatality prediction in people with diabetes and prediabetes using a simple score upon hospital admission. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2021; 23(2): 589–598. doi: 10.1111/dom.14256
- Li J, Wei Q, Li WX, et al. Metformin use in diabetes prior to hospitalization: effects on mortality in covid-19. Endocr Pract. 2020; 26(10): 1166–1172. doi: 10.4158/EP-2020-0466
- 41. Do JY, Kim SW, Park JW, et al. Is there an association between metform- in use and clinical outcomes in diabetes patients with COVID-19? Diabetes Metab. 2020 [Epub

ahead of print]: 101208. doi: 10.1016/j.diabet.2020.10.006

- 42. Liu Z, Bai Xi, Han X, et al. The association of diabetes and the prognosis of COVID-19 patients: A retrospective study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2020; 169: 108386. doi: 10.1016/j.dia- bres.2020.108386
- 43. Nafakhi H, Alareedh M, Al-Buthabhak K, et al. Predictors of adverse in-hospital outcome and recovery in patients with diabetes mellitus and COVID-19 pneumonia in Iraq. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2021; 15(1): 33–38. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2020.12.014
- 44. Goodall JW, Reed TAN, Ardissino M, et al. Risk factors for severe disease in patients admitted with COVID-19 to a hospital in London, England: a retrospective cohort study. Epidemiol Infect. 2020; 148: e251. doi: 10.1017/ S0950268820002472
- 45. Lalau JD, Al-Salameh A, Hadjadj S, et al. Metformin use is associated with a reduced risk of mortality in patients with diabetes hospitalised for COVID-19. Diabetes Metab. 2020 [Epub ahead of print]; 47(5): 101216. doi: 10.1016/j.diabet.2020.101216
- 46. Orioli L, Servais T, Belkhir L, et al. Clinical characteristics and short-term prognosis of in-patients with diabetes and COVID-19: A retrospective study from an academic center in Belgium. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2021; 15(1): 149–157. doi: 10.1016/j. dsx.2020.12.020
- 47. Cernigliaro A, Allotta AV, Scondotto S. Can diabetes and its related hypoglycemic drug treatment be considered risk factors for health outcomes in COVID-19 patients? The results of a study in the population residing in Sicily Region (Southern Italy). Epidemiol Prev. 2020; 44(5-6 Suppl 2): 315–322. doi: 10.19191/EP20.5-6. S2.132
- 48. Ramos-Rincón JM, Pérez-Belmonte LM, Carrasco-Sánchez FJ, et al. Association between prior cardiometabolic therapy and in-hospital mortality in very old patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus hospitalized due to COVID-19. A nationwide observational study in Spain. Research Square. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-133358/v1
- 49. Wargny M, Potier L, Gourdy P, et al. Predictors of Hospital Discharge and Mortality in Patients With Diabetes and COVID-19: Updated Results From the Nationwide CORONADO Study. Diabetologia 2021; 64:778–94. doi: 10.1007/s00125-020-05351-w
- 50. Mirsoleymani S, Nekooghadam SM, Marzaleh MA, et al. Assessment of risk factors for severe coronavirus disease 2019 among Iranian patients. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2020; 22: e72. doi: 10.32592/ircmj.2020.22.9.72
- 51. Khunti K, Knighton P, Zaccardi F, et al. Prescription of glucose-lowering therapies and risk of COVID-19 mortality in people with type 2 diabetes: a nationwide observational study in England. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021; 9:293–303. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00050-4
- 52. Ghany R, Palacio A, Dawkins E, et al. Metformin is associated with lower hospitalizations, mortality and severe coronavirus infection among elderly medicare minority patients in 8 states in USA. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2021;15(2):513–8

- 53. Oh TK, Song IA. Metformin use and risk of COV-ID-19 among patients with type II diabetes mellitus: an NHIS-COVID-19 database cohort study. Acta Diabetol 2021;58(6):771–8
- 54. Soliman A, Nair AR, Al Masalamani MS, et al. Prevalence, clinical manifestations, and biochemical data of type 2 diabetes mellitus versus nondiabetic symptomatic patients with COVID-19: A comparative study. Acta Biomed 2020; 91 (3): e2020010. doi: 10.23750/abm.v91i3.10214
- 55. Altınbilek E, Öztürk D, Atasoy C, et al. Analysis of the Patients Who Admitted To A Turkish Emergency Department During COVID-19 Pandemic. Acta Biomed 2020; 91 (4): e2020201. doi: 10.23750/abm.v91i4.10227
- 56. Bas NB, Metin S, Sevinç SA, et al. The Effect of Diabetes Mellitus on Mortality in Patients Hospitalized Intensive Care Unit in Covid-19 Pandemic. Acta Biomed 2022; 93 (3): e2022068. doi: 10.23750/abm.v93i3.11880
- 57. Petrelli F, Cangelosi G, Nittari G, et al. Chronic Care Model in Italy: a narrative review of the literature. Primary Health Care Research & Development 2021; 22(e32): 1–7. doi: 10.1017/S1463423621000268
- Petrelli F, Cangelosi G, Scuri S, et al. Conoscenze alimentari in pazienti afferenti ad un centro di diabetologia. Acta Biomed 2020; 91 (Supplement 3): S160-S164 doi: 10.23750/abm.v91i3-S.9418
- 59. Petrelli F, Cangelosi G, Scuri S, et al. Diabetes and technology: A pilot study on the management of patients with insulin pumps during the COVID-19 pandemic. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2020 Nov;169:108481. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108481
- 60. Scuri S, Tesauri M, Petrelli F, et al. Use of an online platform to evaluate the impact of social distancing measures on psycho-physical well-being in the COVID-19 Era. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022; 19: 6805. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19116805
- 61. Natalucci V, Villarini M, Emili R, et al. Special Attention to Physical Activity in Breast Cancer Patients during the First Wave of COVID-19 Pandemic in Italy: The DianaWeb Cohort. J. Pers. Med. 2021; 11: 381. doi: 10.3390/ jpm11050381
- 62. Cangelosi G, Grappasonni I, Pantanetti P, et al. Nurse Case Manager Lifestyle Medicine (NCMLM) in the Type Two Diabetes patient concerning post COVID-19 Pandemic management: Integrated-Scoping literature review. Ann Ig. 2022 Nov-Dec;34(6):585-602. doi: 10.7416/ ai.2022.2500
- D'Alleva A, Leigheb F, Rinaldi C, et al. Achieving quadruple aim goals through clinical networks: A systematic review. Journal of Healthcare Quality Research. 2019; 34(1): 29-39. doi: 10.1016/j.jhqr.2018.10.010
- 64. Acito M, Bartolini D, Ceccarini MR, et al. Imbalance in the antioxidant defence system and pro-genotoxic status induced by high glucose concentrations: In vitro testing in human liver cells. Toxicol In Vitro. 2020. Dec;69:105001. doi: 10.1016/j.tiv.2020. 105001
- 65. Pantanetti P, Cangelosi G, Ambrosio G. Potential role

of incretins in diabetes and COVID-19 infection: a hypothesis worth exploring. Intern Emerg Med. 2020 Aug;15(5):779-782. doi: 10.1007/s11739-020-02389-x

66. De Sanctis V, Soliman A, Tzoulis P, et al. The use of oral glucose-lowering agents (GLAs) in β-thalassemia patients with diabetes: Preliminary data from a retrospective study of ICET-A Network. Acta Biomed 2022; 93 (2): e2022162. doi:10.23750/abm.v93i2.12056

Correspondence:

Received: 6 March 2023 Accepted: 1 April 2023 Prof Grappasonni Iolanda School of Medicinal and Health Products Sciences University of Camerino, Camerino, Italy

E-mail: iolanda.grappasonni@unicam.it