Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Snehasish Mishra, KIIT University, India

REVIEWED BY Suely Freitas, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Pedro Ferreira Santos, University of Minho, Portugal

*CORRESPONDENCE Parisa Abbasi-Parizad parisa.abbasiparizad@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Waste Management in Agroecosystems, a section of the journal Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems

RECEIVED 17 July 2022 ACCEPTED 11 October 2022 PUBLISHED 08 November 2022

CITATION

Abbasi-Parizad P, Scarafoni A, Pilu R, Scaglia B, De Nisi P and Adani F (2022) The recovery from agro-industrial wastes provides different profiles of anti-inflammatory polyphenols for tailored applications. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 6:996562. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2022.996562

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Abbasi-Parizad, Scarafoni, Pilu, Scaglia, De Nisi and Adani. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The recovery from agro-industrial wastes provides different profiles of anti-inflammatory polyphenols for tailored applications

Parisa Abbasi-Parizad^{1*}, Alessio Scarafoni², Roberto Pilu¹, Barbara Scaglia¹, Patrizia De Nisi¹ and Fabrizio Adani¹

¹Ricicla Group Labs, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences (DISAA), University of Milan, Milan, Italy, ²Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of Milan, Milan, Italy

Food and agro-industrial processing produce a great amount of sidestream and waste materials that are excellent sources of functional bioactive molecules such as phenolic compounds that recover them can be beneficial not only for food sustainability but also to human for many industrial applications such as flavor compounds and therapeutic applications such as antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory. The treatments and extraction techniques have major effects on the recovery of bioactive compounds. Along with the conventional extraction methods, numerous innovative techniques have been evolved and have been optimized to facilitate bioactive extraction more efficiently and sustainably. In this work, we have summarized the stateof-the-art technological approaches concerning novel extraction methods applied for five most produced crops in Italy; Grape Pomace (GP), Tomato Pomace (TP), Olive Pomace (OP), Citrus Pomace (CP), and Spent Coffee Grounds (SCG), presenting the extraction yield and the main class of phenolic classes, with the focus on their biological activity as an anti-inflammatory in vitro and in vivo studies via describing their molecular mechanism of action.

KEYWORDS

agro-industrial by-products, phenolic compounds, extraction methods, antioxidants, inflammatory regulation

Introduction

For many years, agro-industrial by-products have been considered as underestimated substrates, at least because of their removal from the food production line and the complex problems posed by their discarding in the environment. Recently, the claims for sustainability in this sector led to valorization of the agro-industrial by-products as a new source of "functional ingredients" including enzyme production, dietary fibers, and phytochemicals that can be applied in different sectors, such as food/feed and



nutraceuticals. The recovery of these chemicals by imposing the proper extracting methods can deliver value-added compounds, such as antioxidants.

Italy is one of the main producers of wine, tomato paste, olive oil, and citrus in Europe, and each year huge amount of grape pomace (GP), tomato pomace (TP), olive pomace (OP), and citrus pomace (CP) are produced (www.statista.com). Coffee is the most popular drink in Italy and a massive quantity of spent coffee grounds (SCG) made by coffee makers are produced every day (ICO, 2019) (Figure 1).

Grape pomace traditionally has been used as fertilizer or feed biomass for biogas production (Cáceres et al., 2012). Recently, they have been applied in the nutraceuticals and food sectors as well. Grape pomace can be reused as bioactive additives to foodstuff or beverages or in bakery products (Table 1) (Hayta et al., 2014; Marinelli et al., 2018). A total of 14.5 million tons of grape by-products are produced annually in Europe alone, from which about 9 million tons are related to solid grape pomace (Maicas and Mateo, 2020).

Tomato pomace usually is generated from tomato processing industries and formerly used in producing compost, biogas, or animal feed, even though recently TP has received high attention from the cosmetics and food industries (Table 1) (Lu et al., 2019).

In Mediterranean countries, the production of olive oil is of economic importance which generates about 30 million tons of olive pomace as waste annually (Mirabella et al., 2014). Olive pomace is characterized by high antioxidant activity and a characteristic profile of fatty acids and etc. (Rodrigues et al., 2015). They have the potential to be

species; SOD, superoxide dismutase; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; PRXs, peroxiredoxins; CAT, catalase; HO-1, heme oxygenase-1; GR, glutathione reductase; Nrf-2, nuclear factor erythroid-related factor; Keap1, Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1; ARE, antioxidantresponsive elements; GSH, glutathione; RCC, reactive carbonyl compounds; CNS, central nervous system; AhR, aryl hydrocarbon receptor; NF-κB, Nuclear factor kappa B; TLR, toll-like receptor; MAPK, mitogen activated protein; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinases; JNK, c-Jun NH2 terminal kinases; P13K/Akt, phosphatidylinositol 3kinase; IKK/JNK, kappa kinase/C-Jun amino-terminal kinases; caps-, 1caspase 1-dependent; NLRP3, pyrin domain-containing protein 3; peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; NSAID, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs; COX, cyclooxygenase; LOX, lipoxygenase; PG, prostaglandins; TX, thromboxanes; HBAS, hydroxybenzoic acids; NO, nitric oxide; PIK, phosphatidylinositol kinase; PKC, protein kinase C; cAMP, cyclic adenosine monophosphate; PIK3/AKT, serin/theronine protein kinases.

Abbreviations: GP, Grape pomace; TP, tomato pomace; OP, olive pomace; CP, citrus pomace; SCG, spent coffee grounds; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; FL, fluorescence, MS, mass spectrometry; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; UHPLC, ultrahigh pressure liquid chromatography; AUAE, aqueous ultrasoundassisted extraction; GAE, gallic acid equivalent; TPC, total phenolic compound; UAE, ultrasound-assisted extraction; SSR, solute/solvent ratio; MAE, microwave-assisted extraction; MP, microwave power; kW, kilowatt; DW, dry weight; FW, Fresh weight; MeOH, Methanol; EAE, enzyme assisted extraction; HHP, high hydrostatic pressure; SbFE, subcritical fluids extraction; SFE, supercritical fluid extraction; SCE, supercritical CO₂ extraction; PLE, pressurized solvent extraction; HT, hydroxytyrosol; TY, tyrosol; PEF, pulsed electric field; HVED, high voltage electrical discharges; POH, pulsed ohmic heating; OH, ohmic heating; MEF, moderate electric fields; DES, deep eutectic solvent; HBA, hydrogen bond acceptor; HBD, hydrogen bond donor; ChCl, choline chloride; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RNS, reactive nitrogen



used in skincare products and cosmetics, mainly as a natural moisturizing factor (Table 1) (Otero et al., 2021).

Sweet and red oranges, grapefruits, tangerines, mandarins, lemons, and limes are different types of citrus fruits cultivated globally. Brazil is the major sweet orange producer (\sim 47%), United States stands for grapefruit production principally, and the European countries are the main lemons and mandarin (after China) producers alongside the orange cultivations. Global orange production for 2020/21 is estimated to be 48.57 million [Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO); FAOSSTAT (2020)]. Italy is one of the main citrus producers in the Mediterranean area. Annual production was more than 2.5 million tons in 2017 which mainly originated from the Southern parts of Italy, from which about 40% of total citrus production is destined for juice production, which in consequence generates large amounts of waste (Multari et al., 2020). The citrus pomace (CP) production was about 15 million tons worldwide in 2016 (FAO, 2018). Citrus bagasse is mainly reutilized for anaerobic digestion, composting, or essential oils extraction, although still contains a great source of high biological value compounds, such as pectin and phenolic compounds, that can be used in the food sectors, pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries (Table 1) (Barrales et al., 2018). Lemon by-products have been reported for pectin production and flavonoids (narirutin) extraction (Masmoudi et al., 2008), whereas orange peel has been applied for the flavonoid recovery (i.e., hesperidin) (Farhat et al., 2011). In fact, higher phenolic compounds have been detected in citrus pomace than the relative citrus juices, in particular, the presence of hesperidin, narirutin, eriocitrin, tangeretin, and luteolin has been reported in citrus by-product at least two times more than the citrus juices (Balasundram et al., 2006; Sharma et al., 2019; Multari et al., 2020). Global Tangerine/Mandarin production would be 31.6 million with declines in the European Union, Morocco, Turkey, and the United States more than offsetting a larger crop in China (FAOS, July 2020, https://downloads.usda.library.cornell.edu/ usda-esmis/files/w66343603/gf06gq220/rx914c158/citrus.pdf).

In different studies, the bioactive molecules from the above wastes have been valorized vastly by conventional extraction

methods (Table 2), and it has been reported that the phenolic compounds are through the main active biomolecules.

Both in vitro and in vivo studies have demonstrated that polyphenol intake is associated with numerous health effects which can be mentioned among them antioxidant activity, antimicrobial, antidiabetic, antiobesity, anti-inflammatory, and anticarcinogenic activities (Shahidi and Ambigaipalan, 2015), and therefore, they are of particular interest for the food and pharmaceutical industries due to their benefits to human wellbeing. As the secondary metabolites of plants, these compounds are widely found in vegetables (tomato, olive, etc.), fruits (grapes, cherries, apple, oranges, pear, berries, etc.), beverages (coffee and tea), cereals (wheat, corn, barley, etc.), and other foodstuffs. However, there is strong evidence that such compounds can also be present in agro-food and agro-industrial by-products (Teixeira et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2017; Abbasi-Parizad et al., 2021). These by-products could be a new source for the recovery of polyphenols leached from both industrially processed natural products and their discarded materials.

The present review aims to provide an overview of the valorization techniques [both the conventional and green extraction methods (Tables 2, 3)] to recover the phenolic compounds from agro-industrial wastes mainly in GP, TP, OP, CP, and SCG. Further, the anti-inflammatory properties of different phenolic classes have been discussed.

Phenolic compounds: Conventional and green methods of extraction and characterization

Extraction is the first and the most important step to recover natural bioactives from by-products. Phenolic compounds recovery vigorously relies on the extraction process and so choosing an adequate procedure is fundamental to increase phenolic yields, without damaging them; since byproducts endured many complex industrial processing, such as fermentation, high temperature, and pressure. In most cases, a pretreatment step could purify and homogeny the sources, which could include drying/freeze drying, filtering, or centrifugation. Different extraction items can simulate the extraction efficiency in various biomasses. In the first place, the solvent type/concentration, extraction time, and temperature are of importance. To guarantee the intact extraction of phenolics, an optimum pH should be taken into consideration, since the phenolic compounds are very sensible to pH (Amendola et al., 2010).

Various solvents have been used to extract phenolic compounds from agro-industrial by-products, which are usually based on the biomass nature and the type of desired extracting compounds. Phenolic acids and flavonoids are hydro-soluble substances; therefore, usually water, alcoholic solvents, acetone, ethyl acetate, or a mixture of them at different ratios are used for

By- products	Phenolic compounds	Health benefits	Application
Grape	Simple phenolics (gallic acid, syringic acid, protocatechuic	Antioxidant,	Food additives, feed, Cosmetics,
by-products	acid), 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, caffeic acid, catechin,	anti-inflammatory,	Food preservative and stabilizer, Food
	epicatechin, Hydroxytyrosol, Proantgocyanins,	antimicrobial agent,	colorant
	anthocyanins, Lignins	anticarcinogenic,	
		neuroprotective,	
		cardioprotective,	
		antidiabetics, antiobesity	
Tomato	Hydroxybenzoic Acids (gallic acid, syringic acid),	Antioxidant,	Food preservative and stabilizer, Cosmetics,
by-products	Hydroxycinnamic acids (cinnamic acid, ferulic acid,	anti-inflammatory,	anti-inflammatory activity
	<i>p</i> -coumaric acid, caffeic acid), Flavanones (naringenin),	antidiabetic	
	Flavones (apigenin), Flavonols (kaempferol, quercetin,		
	Myrecetin, Rutin, Chalcone, naringenin chalcone)		
Olive	Hudroxytyrosol, Oleuropin and derivatives, Tyrosol,	Antiplatelet,	Cosmetics (skin antiaging and lightening),
by-products	Flavones (apigenin), Hydroxycinnamic acids (ferulic acid,	anti-inflammatory,	Food (Pasta, Bread),
	p-coumaric acid, caffeic acid, cinnamic acid), Luteolin	Antioxidant, antimicrobial	
		agent, Hepatoprotective,	
		Anti-adipogenic activity	
Spent coffee	Hydroxycinnamic acids (caffeic acid, chlorogenic acids,	Antioxidant,	Food additives (bakery, confectionary,
ground	gallic acid, coumaric acid), quinic acid, Flavonoids	anti-inflammatory,	snacks, ready-to-eat products), Cosmetics
	(Kaempferol, catechin, epicatechin, apigenin)	antimicrobial agent	(skin antiaging and lightening)
Citrus	Naringin, Narengenin, Narirutin, Hesperidin, Hesperetin,	Anti-inflammatory,	Food additives, Flavor
by-products	neohesperidin, Luteolin, Neoeriocitrin, Hydroxycinnamic	Antimicrobial agents	
	acid, Ferulic acid, Gallic acid, caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid,		
	Protocatechuic acid, Salicylic acid, Vanillic acid, Syringic		
	acid, Chlorogenic, Sinapic acid		

TABLE 1 Phenolic compounds recovered from by-products, health effects and their application.

their extraction. Selecting the proper solvent and the method of extraction affects the phenolic compounds separation afterward (Ameer et al., 2017). To increase the stability of phenolic compounds in extracts, a mild acidified solvent is typically used (Fontana et al., 2013).

Different condition of conventional solvent extraction has been reviewed in Table 2; however, with growing interest for applying green methods of extraction with the intent to both lower the disadvantages of excessive time/energy consuming and the pollution due to solvents, a wide range of green techniques have been explored to extract the agro-industrial byproducts (Table 2). These green extraction methods have been reviewed for their potential in terms of phenolics yield extraction and condition. However, these green techniques may have disadvantages that affect the extraction efficiencies of various subclasses of phenolic compounds (Ameer et al., 2017).

Once the phenolic compounds are extracted, they undergo the characterization methods. The most frequent methods used to separate and characterize different classes of phenolics in by-products include high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) which in most cases could be coupled to electrochemical detection, such as UV, fluorescence (FL), mass spectrometry (MS), and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (Ignat et al., 2011; Khoddami et al., 2013). NMR is important to characterize the structure of flavonoids in complex matrices, although it presents disadvantages such as a high cost and that it may not be suitable for all applications. However, MS is the most common method used for the identification and characterization of flavonoids nowadays. Recently, ultra-high pressure liquid chromatography (UHPLC) has been also applied to MS to detect and identify the exact phenolic structures (de Villiers et al., 2016).

Different green methods and their application to recover the phenolic compound from the abovementioned agro-industrial by-products have been described in detail in the following:

Ultrasound-assisted extraction

Ultrasound is a mechanical wave that propagates in an elastic medium and its frequency is above the audible sounds (Medina-Torres et al., 2017). This technique uses ultrasonic waves to shake a submerged sample in a biological solvent and is based on

TABLE 2 Conventional extraction of phenolic compounds.

Matrix	Solvent/performance	Extraction yield	Ref.
Spent Coffee Grounds	MeOH (20–100%), solvent/solid ratios (SSR) (10–40 ml g ^{-1} SCG), extraction times (30–90 min)	TPC: ranged 2.6–12.3 mg GAE g^{-1} SCG, TF: 0.51–2.50 mg QE g^{-1} SCG, CGA: 0.37–1.39 mg g^{-1} SCG, FRAP: 0.021–0.162 mM Fe (II)/g SCG. MeOH at 60% in an SSR of 40 ml g^{-1} SCG/90 min, resulted in the most suitable condition (TPC: 16 mg GAE g^{-1} SCG and FRAP: 0.10 mM Fe (II) g^{-1} .	Mussatto et al., 2011
	EtOH (60%), 1:50 (w/v- solid/solvent) shaking 30 min at 60°C	TPC:6.33–28.26 mg GAE g ⁻¹ , TF:2.11–8.03 mg QE g ⁻¹ , EC ₅₀ :1.47–6.74 (% v/v), CGA: 1.65–6.09 mg CQAE g ⁻¹ , CAF:0.0–11.5 mg g ⁻¹	Panusa et al., 2013
	H ₂ O, 1:16 (w/v- solid/solvent); EtOH: H ₂ O, 10 min /80°C; MeOH: H ₂ O, 10 min /80°C	TPC: 2.65–17.48 mg GAE g^{-1} DW, ABTS:15.3–152.6 μ mol TE g^{-1} DW, DPPH:5.02–82.4 μ mol TE g^{-1} DW	Bravo et al., 2013
	H ₂ O, 1:10, 1:70 (w/v- solid/solvent), 15–75 min /110–190 °C	TPC: 21.09–56.59 mg GAE g ⁻¹ , ABTS: 7.0–32.9 mmol TE $100g^{-1}$, DPPH: 5. 6–22.4 mmol TE $100g^{-1}$. Under the optimum condition (179° C, 36 min, and 14.1 g L ⁻¹ SSR) TPC (88.34 mg GAE g ⁻¹), antioxidant activity on ABTS•+ (88.65 mmol TE 100 g ⁻¹) and DPPH (38.28 mmol TE 100 g ⁻¹) were obtained.	Xu et al., 2015
	EtOH 60%, 1:10 (w/v- solid/solvent), shaking 30 min, centrifuged at 16800 g/15 min/4 °C	TPC: 19.2 % DW, 3-CQA:0.14, 4-CQA:0.23, 5-CQA:0.31, 3,4-diCQA:0.22,3,5-diCQA:0.12,4,5-diCQA:0.16% DW	Jiménez-Zamora et al., 2015
	H ₂ O, 1:20 (Mild hydrothermal pretreatment), 20 min /120°C	TPC yield: 32.9 mg GAE g $^{-1}$ DW, TF: 8.3 mg QE g $^{-1}$ DW, DPPH: 68%, FRAP:0.024 mM Fe Fe (II) g $^{-1}$ SCG.	Conde and Mussatto, 2016
	Autohydrolysis, 5–15 ml g $^{-1},$ 10–50 min /160–200°C	The optimum conditions (15 ml g ⁻¹ /200°C/50 min) resulted in TPC: 0.36 mg GAE g ⁻¹ SCG), FRAP: 69.50 mg Fe (II) g ⁻¹ SCG, DPPH: 28.15 mg TE g ⁻¹ SCG, ABTS: 31.46 mg TE g ⁻¹ SCG, TAA: 66.21 mg α -TOC g ⁻¹ SCG.	Ballesteros et al., 2017
	Samples dried at 40 $^{\circ}$ C/12 h, extracted with H2O (deionized), 1:50 (w/v- solid/solvent), and 5 min /shaking, cooled 20 min / 20 $^{\circ}$ C. centrifugation (12 000g/4 $^{\circ}$ C/15 min)	Main phenolics determined by HPLC: 5-CQA: 27.2–2440 $\mu gg^{-1}DW,$ CA:4.11–22.9 $\mu gg^{-1}DW,$ FA:14.2 $\mu gg^{-1}DW$	Angeloni et al., 2019
Recovery chlorogenic acid from coffee	EtOH (60%), 1:25 g ml $^{-1}$ (w/v solid/solvent), 70 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	CGA: 31.9–37.7g CGA 100 g $^{-1}$ total solid extracts (TSE).	Burniol-Figols et al., 2016
Exhausted Olive Pomace	H ₂ O (W), Acidified H ₂ O (AW), EtOH 50% (Et 50), EtOH 20% (Et 20), Acetone 50% (Ac)	W: TPC: 38.1±1.3 mg GAE, TFC: 71.4 ± 2.9 mg RE, DPPH: 22.4 ± 0.8 mg TE, ABTS: 70.7 ± 3.9 mg TE, FRAP: 39.9 ± 1.42 mg TE; AW : TPC: 29.7 ±0.9 mg GAE, TFC: 63.3 ± 3.4 mg RE, DPPH: 16.3 ± 1.3 mg TE, ABTS: 57.1 ± 7.5 mg TE, FRAP: 33.9 ± 1.7 mg TE; Et50 : TPC: 39.5 ± 2.3 mg GAE, TFC: 76.3 ± 2.2 mg RE, DPPH: 27.9 ± 0.9 mg TE, ABTS: 62.9 ± 5.4 mg TE, FRAP: 41.5 ± 1.5 mg TE; Et20 : TPC: 34.6 ± 1.9 mg GAE, TFC: 67.1 ± 5.1 mg RE, DPPH: 22.4 ± 0.9 mg TE, ABTS: 64.2 ± 4.7 mg TE, FRAP: 38.1 ± 1.1 mg TE; AC: TPC: 41.6 ± 1.7 mg GAE, TFC: 76 ± 3.1 mg RE, DPPH: 35.1 ± 2.3 mg TE, ABTS: 63.5 ± 4.1 mg TE, FRAP: 46.2 ± 1.8 mg TE.	Gómez-Cruz et al., 2020
Olive Pomace	DMSO, acidified by phosphoric acid OP was Deffatted, extracted with CHCL3-MeOH (9:1)/MeOH,	661 mg kg ⁻¹ 173.4–202.1 mg kg, DPPH (EC ₅₀): 99.7–101.3.	Yakhlef et al., 2018 Cioffi et al., 2010
	Water/ EtOH	TPC: 16.9 mg GAE g^{-1} DPPH: 0.81 g TE L ⁻¹	Albahari et al., 2018
Lemon Pomace	Hot water optimal extraction conditions: 95 °C, 15 min, SSR: 1:100 g ml ⁻¹ MeOH extraction in ultrasonic bath (220 V, 50 Hz, and 250 W), Both extractions were centrifuged at 3,500 9 g / 10 min /14 °C	Hot water extracts at the optimal conditions had the same content of TPC and TF as methanol extracts obtained by ultrasound extraction. Overall, hot water could be an effective solvent for the recovery of TPC, TF from lemon pomace Hot water extracts at the optimal conditions had the same content of TPC and TF as methanol extracts obtained by ultrasound extraction.	Papoutsis et al., 2018

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Matrix	Solvent/performance	Extraction yield	Ref.
Citrus pomace	EtOH 70%, SSR: 1:100, at 25°C; Super-heated steam (SHS) extraction system at 100, 200, and 300 °C) for 10/20 min; Centrifuged at 1,000/15 min	TPC: 2.69–5.17 g GAE $100g^{-1},$ TF: 1.27–6.67 g RE 100 g $^{-1},$ DPPH (IC $_{50}$): 0.13–0.65 mg ml $^{-1}.$ Intracellular ROS scavenging activity (IC $_{50}$): 39.71–68.80 μ g ml $^{-1}$	Wang et al., 2018
	Methanol/Ethanol/Acetone; SSR: 20:1 ml g ⁻¹ ; temperature = $25 \circ C/$ time:72 h.	TPC: 1.39–1.85 mg GAE 100 g $^{-1}$; Orange peel phenolic compounds were extracted better in methanol/acetone; DPPH (IC50) 781.9 μg ml $^{-1}$.	Dzah et al., 2020
Red Grape skin	EtOH (80%), 1 h / 60° C., Reextracted 2 times	$\begin{split} TPC: & 731 \pm 9-3486 \pm 54 \text{ mg GAE } \text{kg}^{-1}; \text{ TF: } 400 \pm 9-2594 \pm 44 \text{ mg GAE } \text{kg}^{-1}; \\ TAC: & 158 \pm 4-1848 \pm 60 \text{ mg MglcE } \text{kg}^{-1}; \text{ DPPH } \text{IC}_{50} \text{ (mg GAE } \text{L}^{-1}):& 58 \pm 3-239 \\ \pm 4; \text{ Fe2+-chelating ability } \text{IC}_{50} \text{ (mg GAE } \text{L}^{-1}):& 52 \pm 2-655 \pm 7; \text{ FRAP } \text{ (mM } \\ \text{TE}):& 2.7 \pm 0.04\text{-}16.4 \pm 0.1; \text{ CAA } (\%):& 75.5 \pm 3-89.7\text{-}4. \end{split}$	Katalinic et al., 2010
Grape pomace	EtOH (80%), 1:10 (w/v- solid/solvent), Overnight shaking	TPC: 30.4 ± 11 mg GAE g ⁻¹ , TF: 22.1 ± 9 mg GAE g ⁻¹ , ORAC: 245.3 ± 21 mg GAE g ⁻¹ , % DPPH inhibition mg: 66.1 ± 0.6 .	Hogan et al., 2010
	Acetone (70%) 0.1% HCl, 1:4 (w/v- solid/solvent),1 h/ Sonicated, centrifuged 10,000 ×g /15 min	TPC: 58–1,340 mg GAE kg ⁻¹ ; DPPH: 710–936 mg AAE kg ⁻¹ .	Tseng, 2012
	EtOH (80%), 1:5 (w/v- solid/solvent), 48 h/ rotating mixer Aqueous extract dried, residue reextracted with H ₂ O	TPC: 69.3–131.7 mg GAE g^{-1} Residue, DPPH: 0.52–1.09 mmol TE g^{-1} Residue, ORAC: 1.05–2.30 mmol TE g^{-1} Residue, ICA: 63–76% inhibition g^{-1} Residue.	Tournour et al., 2015
	EtOH (40%), 1:50 (w/v- solid/solvent), 22 h /25°C, Shaking, centrifuged 5,000 rpm/ 25 min	TAC: 0.75–4.25 mg cym-3-glu g $^{-1}$ DW, TPC: 25–41 mg GAE g $^{-1}$ DW, TF:10–22 mg CE g $^{-1}$ DW	Ribeiro et al., 2015
	EtOH (40%), 1:20 (w/v- solid/solvent), 24 h / rotating mixer	TPC: 30.14–51.01 mg GAE g ⁻¹ , TF: 16.48–29.83 mg CE g ⁻¹ , TAC:12.46–20.92 mg cya-3-glu g ⁻¹ , DPPH:5.05–6.54 mg ml ⁻¹ , ABTS:0.71–1.34 mg ml ⁻¹	Iora et al., 2015
	EtOH (50%), 1:25 (w/v- solid/solvent), 2 h /60 °C, Shaking	TPC: 196.2 \pm 22.7 mg GAE g^{-1} Residue, ORAC: 2,756 \pm 109 μ mol TE g^{-1} Residue.	Antoniolli et al., 2015
	Samples (0.1 g ml in aceton 50%), shaking 12 h/450 rpm, centrifuged at 1,000 rpm/5 min	TPC ranged: 0.06–0.29 mg GAE mg.	Kadouh et al., 2016
	Samples [10 g 40 ml ⁻¹ acetone 80% (v/v)], shaking overnight, RT	TPC: 92 \pm 2–154 \pm 1.8 mg GAE g^{-1} extract, TF: 38.9 \pm 0.7–91.7 \pm 1 mg CE g^{-1} extract, TAC: 1.4 \pm 10.7 mg cyn-3-glu g^{-1} extract, DPPH: 11.2–28.2 μ mol TE g^{-1} extract, ABTS: 378–1,013 μ mol TE g^{-1} extract	Xu et al., 2016
	MeOH (100%), 0.1% HCl, 1:15 (w/v-solid/solvent), 2 h /4 $^\circ$ C, Shaking, centrifuged 2,058 \times g /10 min	TPC:9.8 \pm 1.3-21.2 \pm 2.1 mg GAE g ⁻¹ DW	Lingua et al., 2016
Vinery by products	Freezed dried samples (50 g 200 ml ⁻¹ MeOH, acidified with HCl), shaking overnight at 4 °C, sonication 3 min/ centrifuged at 5,000/20 min	TAC: 3,906 \pm 245 mg L ⁻¹ , Quercetin:383 \pm 24 mg L ⁻¹ , Gallic acid: 261 \pm 17 mg L ⁻¹ ; TEAC: 6.79 mM TE g ⁻¹ DW; IC ₅₀ :372 ng ml ⁻¹ ; Anti-COX-1: at 100 μ M of TAC (in which the concertation of phenolics quercetin and gallic acid were at 15 μ M and 18 μ M, respectively).	Trošt et al., 2016
Tomato pomace	Preparation of Lipophilic and Hydrophilic Extract Lipophilic Extracts: 4 grams lyophilized TP extracted with n-hexane until colorless extract obtained, Hydrophilic Extracts: the residue was reextracted with ethanol (100%) overnight.	Lipophilic Extracts: FRAP: 9.9 mg AAE 100 g ⁻¹ , DPPH (IC_{50}):146 (µg ml ⁻¹); Hydrophilic Extracts: FRAP: 364 mg AAE 100 g ⁻¹ , DPPH (IC_{50}):164 (µg ml ⁻¹), TPC: 784 mg GAE 100 g ⁻¹ .	Belović et al., 2016

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Matrix	Solvent/performance	Extraction yield	Ref.
	Samples (10 g) pretreated with Hexan,	TPC: 1,930–5,206 $\mu gg^{-1}, IC_{50}$ (hydroxyl radical. OH): 0.03–0.20 $mgml^{-1}$ and	Cetkovic et al., 2012
	extracted with EtOH (80%), 3 times	Superoxide anion radicals (O ⁻²): $0.45-4.44 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$.	
	within 75 min		
Tomato pomace	Samples (4 g) pretreated with Hexan,	SFB : TPC (13.4 mg GAE g^{-1} DW; 10.25 CAE g^{-1} DW), DPPH (25.79 μ mol TE	Perea-Domínguez et al.,
	extracted with EtOH (80%), SS ratio 5:1	g^{-1} DW), ORAC (141.44 μ mol TE g^{-1} DW).	2018
	(v/w)/ 60 min at RT were extracted for	BP : TPC (51.30 mg GAE g^{-1} DW; 46.36 CAE g^{-1} DW), DPPH (83.56 μ mol TE	
	different fractions: soluble free	g^{-1} DW), ORAC (852.40 μ mol TE g^{-1} DW).	
	phenolics (SFP), bound phenolics (BP),	AHP: TPC (32.23 mg GAE g^{-1} DW; 29.49 CAE g^{-1} DW), DPPH (88.17 μ mol	
	acid-hydrolysable phenolics (AHP),	TE g^{-1} D.W.), ORAC (468.25 μ mol TE g^{-1} D.W.).	
	alkaline-hydrolysable phenolic (AKHP)	AKHP : TPC (7.33 mg GAE g^{-1} D.W.; 5.72 CAE g^{-1} D.W.), DPPH (not	
		detected), ORAC (64.09 $\mu mol~TE~g^{-1}$ DW).	
		Total: TPC (104.26 mg GAE g^{-1} DW; 91.82 CAE g^{-1} DW), DPPH (197.52 μmol	
		TE g^{-1} DW), ORAC (1,526.18 μmol TE g^{-1} DW).	
Peel/seed	MeOH (100%), 1:10 (w/v-	TPC: peel: 67.3 mg GAE 100 g $^{-1},$ 438 mg CAE 100 g $^{-1},$ Flavonoids: 50 mg QUE	Valdez-Morales et al.,
by-products	solid/solvent), 22 h /25 $^\circ \mathrm{C},$ centrifuged	100 g $^{-1}$, ABTS: 35.1 μ mol TE 100 g $^{-1}$, DPPH: 83.6 μ mol TE 100 g $^{-1}$, ORAC:	2014
	at 1,400 g/3 min, Re-extracted twice	$1,028.3 \ \mu mol TE \ 100 \ g^{-1}.$	
	H ₂ O (W), EtOH (Et), Isopropanol (IP),	At 20°C: TPC (mg GAE 100 g $^{-1}$): W:384.16.± 3.07, Et:101.50 ± 1.8, IP: 89 ±	El-Malah et al., 2015
	Ethyl acetate (EA), Ethyl lactate (EL),	1.85, EA:55.77 \pm 0.73, EL:72.29 \pm 0.8, H: 48.68 \pm 1.05; TF (mg CAE 100 g^{-1}):	
	Hexane (H), 40 min / 20° C & 60° C	W:42.77 \pm 0.61, Et: 43.53 \pm 0.65, IP: 23.95 \pm 0.65, EA: 22.55 \pm 0.7, EL: 42.82 \pm	
		0.7, H: 6.68 \pm 0.45; DPPH (EC_{50}): W: 50.42 \pm 0.71, Et: 55.18 \pm 0.5, IP: 87.93 \pm	
		0.66, EA: 67.61 \pm 0.51, EL: 74.44 \pm 0.48, H: 12.10 \pm 0.78. At 60°C: TPC (mg	
		GAE 100 g $^{-1}$): W:410 \pm 4.16, Et:140.83 \pm 2.8, IP: 138.83 \pm 2.44, EA: 69.37 \pm 1.4,	
		EL: 125.46 \pm 2.26, H: 50.75 \pm 1.55; TF (mg CAE 100 g^{-1}): W:66.88 \pm 3.9,	
		Et:61.86 \pm 2.85, IP: 35.55 \pm 3.41, EA: 44.91 \pm 2.14, EL: 51.33 \pm 2.35, H: 11.84 \pm	
		1.36; DPPH (EC ₅₀): W:68.82 \pm 0.76, Et:42.42 \pm 0.5, IP: 50.62 \pm 0.5, EA: 56.97 \pm	
		0.44, EL: 47.47 \pm 0.48, H: 92.92 \pm 0.58.	
	EtOH (Et)/ Ethyl acetate (EA), 1:3 (w/v-	TPC (mg GAE g^{-1} liquid extract): Et: 0.99 ± 0.05, EA: 1.1 ± 0.05, RSA (%): Et:	Andres et al., 2017
	solid/solvent), Centrifuge 5400g/	57.35 ± 3.68, EA; 64.03 ± 2.23.	
	10 min / 4° C		
	EtOH (75%)	TF (HPLC): 46.45 mg g^{-1} DW	Palomo et al., 2019
	MeOH (100%)	TPC: 9,452 mg GAE kg ⁻¹ , FRAP: 953.7 mMol AAE kg ⁻¹ DPPH: 2,990.4 mmol	Kalogeropoulos et al.
		TE kg $^{-1}$.	2012

TPC, Total polyphenol content; TF, Total flavonoids; MglcE, malvidin-3-glucoside equivalents; DPPH, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical-scavenging capacity; Fe2+-chelating ability, ferric reducing/antioxidant power (FRAP) and efficiency of investigated grape skin extract in protecting the oxidation of emulsified linoleic acid (CAA); (AAE)/g WGP, mg ascorbic acid equivalent; RT, Room temperature; ICA, iron (II) chelating ability; ORAC, Oxygen radical absorbance capacity; CGA, Chlorogenic acid; CAF, Caffein; CQA, Caffeoylquinic acid; AA, Total antioxidant activity; α-TOC, α tocopherol; CAE, Catechin equivalents; QUE, Quercetin equivalents; RSA, Radical scavenging activity; AAE, ascorbic acid equivalents.

the cavitation phenomena. The bubbles created from ultrasound amplitude grow over time until to reach a crucial point which finally can create a supreme temperature and pressure that can crush the cell walls improving the extracting procedure of bioactive compounds. The frequency and intensity, time of extraction, and temperature have direct effects on the extraction yields. The size of sample particles, type, and solvent:sample ratio can also play important role in the extraction yield.

Different wastes have been used for the ultrasoundassisted extraction of phenolic compounds (Medina-Torres et al., 2017). In red grape pomace, a variation in the extraction yield has been reported by applying UAE, due to the temperature augmentation, which may be attributed to the combination of the cavitation and thermal effects (Drosou et al., 2015). At lower temperatures, vapor pressure is low, and ultrasound produces few cavitation bubbles. However, bubbles explode with a relatively large force, which enhances cell tissue disruption during extraction. Increasing extraction temperature can result in an increase in vapor pressure and a decrease in surface tension, thus decreasing energies released during the collapse of cavitation bubbles. Bubbles may easily collapse at higher temperatures thus reducing the enhancement of the mass transfer intensity, however, at higher temperatures, ultrasonic cavitation can be differed, and

TABLE 3 Green methods to extract the phenolic compounds.

Method of extraction	Matrix	Treatment conditions	Extraction yield	Ref.
Pulsed Electric Fields (PEF)	Grape pomace	PEF conditions: 5 kV cm ⁻¹ , 50 pulses, 0.1 kJ kg ⁻¹	Extraction yield: mg GAE g^{-1} DW, TAC: mg Mv g^{-1} GP, DW.	Fontana et al., 2013
		PEF conditions: 3 kV cm $^{-1}$, 3 s (Grape	TPC and TAC increased by 20 and 75%,	Thirumdas et al., 2020
		skin); 0.5–1.5 kV cm $^{-1}$, 10 μs (Grape skin)	respectively.	
	Tomato pomace	PEF conditions: 2 kV cm ⁻¹ and 700 pulses from tomato.	TPC doubled (56.16 mg GA kg $^{-1}$).	Andreou et al., 2020
	Olive pomace	Samples pretreated with PEF (1.0 to 6.5 kV cm^{-1} , 0.9 to 51.1 kJ kg ⁻¹ , and 15 µs pulse width) or HP (200 to 600 MPa and 0 to 40 min), then extracted with EtOH (50%)	TPC increased by 91.6 and 71.8% for PEF and HP methods, respectively.	Andreou et al., 2020
	Citrus by-product	1–7 kV cm ⁻¹ , 5–50 pulses of 3 μs (Orange peels)	TPC extraction increased by 158%; by application 5 kv cm ⁻¹ , Naringin and hesperidin extraction increased (From 1 to 3.1 mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW and from 1.3 to 4.6 mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW, respectively), treatments at 1, 3, 5 and 7 kV cm ⁻¹ increased the antioxidant activity by 51, 94, 148, and 192%, respectively.	Luengo et al., 2013
		Orange peel, EtOH 50–99.5%, Solvent/feed: 20, 140–720 W, 1-10 min, 60°C	TPC: 15.9 \pm 0.2 mg GAE g^{-1} DW	Barrales et al., 2018
		3–9 kV cm ⁻¹ and 0–300 μ s (Lemon peel residue), the optimum treatment time for the increase in permeability was determined as <i>30 pulses of 30 μs.</i>	at 7 kV cm the efficiency of polyphenol extraction increased by 300%, with maximum values of: Hesperidin: 84 mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW Eriocitrin 176 mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW	Peiró et al., 2019
		Ethanol (50%), strength = 10 kVcm ⁻¹ for 1 h/ T: 50 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	Increased TPC yield from 12 to 22 mg GAE $\rm g^{-1}.$	Katalinic et al., 2010
		1 kV/cm and 7 kV/cm, (tPEF = 60 $\mu s,$ 20 pulses, $f=1$ Hz)	Polyphenol recovery yields increased (up to 159%). Naringin and hesperidin increased 2- and 3-fold, respectively.	Putnik et al., 2017
High Voltage Electrical Discharges (HVED)	Grape pomace	20 h/ 50–60°C. EtOH (30%)/ 60°C/ 30 were determined as the most efficient extraction condition.	28 mg GAE g $^{-1}$ dry pomace.	Boussetta et al., 2011
	Olive by-products	H ₂ O/EtOH, 3/9 min, nitrogen/argon, 15/20/25 kV.	The highest TPC yield: sample treated with argon/9 min/20 kV/50% (3.2 times higher as compared to conventional extraction).	Žuntar et al., 2019
Pulsed Ohmic Heating (POH)	Grape pomace	Heating phase and holding treatment electric field: 80 and 16 V cm ⁻¹ , respectively, frequency: 25 kHz	Extraction in EtOH (30%), pretreatment at 400 V/cm followed by a diffusion step for 60 min at 50 $^{\circ}$ C resulted in the highest TPC extraction yields (36 % more than untreated samples).	Panzella et al., 2020
		40 °C during 20 min; or flash heating from 40 to 100 °C in <20 s (no holding time), Water extraction /room temperature	TAC increased from 756 to 1349 $\mu gg^{-1},$ with malvidin-3- O -glucoside as the main.	Pereira et al., 2020
	Tomato pomace	The best extraction conditions: EtOH 70% at 70° C /15 min	Rutin was recovered of 77% higher than control samples.	Coelho et al., 2019
Ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE)	Grape pomace	(1 g fresh/dry), Water (70 ml), Ethanol (50%), 25 kHz frequency, 300 W, 20°C/ 60 min	TPC: Water: 23,570 ppm GAE in dry extract, Water/Ethanol: 167,661 ppm GAE in dry extract. AA (IC $_{50}$): Water: 20.95 mg ml ⁻¹ ,	Drosou et al., 2015

Method of extraction	Matrix	Treatment conditions	Extraction yield	Ref.
			Water/Ethanol: 0.91 mg ml ⁻¹ . Glucosylated anthocyanin: 21,099 ppm Mv3G eq in dry extract, Acetylated anthocyanin: 1,095 ppm Mv3G eq in dry extract, Coumaroylated anthocyanins: 7,952 ppm Mv3G eq in dry extract, Caffeoylated anthocyanins: 1,165 ppm Mv3G Eq in dry extract, Glycosylated flavonols:	
			3,504 ppm QE in dry extract, Aglycone	
			Flavonols: 1,114 ppm QE in dry extract.	
		EtOH: 50–70%, power: 130 W, frequency: 20 kHz, 4–60 min (optimum extraction yield achieved at 34.5° C, SSR: 18.6/1 ml g ⁻¹ , amplitude level of 39%, pulse	Phenolic extraction yield: 10.14 mg GAE g ⁻¹ dry pomace.	Goula et al., 2016
		duration/pulse interval ratio of 8/6)		
		Glycerol (90% w/v in water), LSR: 90 ml g $^{-1}$, Sonication at 140 W, 37 kHz, 35 W L $^{-1}$, at 45°C/60 min.	TPC: 11.85 mg GAE g^{-1} D.W., Pigment extraction yield: mg MvE g^{-1} D.W.	Trasanidou et al., 2016
		EtOH 0–100%, amplitude: 20–60%, SSR: 8–24 g ml ^{–1} at 20–60 °C	Under optimum extraction condition at 56 °C/20 min, SSR 8 ml/g, an amplitude level of 34 %, with EtOH (53 %), the extraction yield was 34.37 ± 0.87 mg GAE g ⁻¹ dry pomace.	Drevelegka and Goula, 2020
	Olive pomace	56 $^{\circ}\text{C}/$ 3 min, duty cycle: 0.6 s, SSR: 3: 6%.	TPC and AA: 4.04 mg g^{-1} and 68.9% , respectively.	Bognar et al., 2013
		H2O, 150–250 W, 47–75 min, 40 $^\circ \mathrm{C}$	TPC: 19.71 mg GAE g^{-1} DW; Optimized 250 W, 75 min.	Goldsmith et al., 2018
		EtOH concentration: 20, 50, 80%,	TPC: 37.3–55.8 mg GAE g ⁻¹ , TFC:	Martínez-Patiño et al.,
		ultrasound amplitude: 30, 50, 70%, extraction time: 5, 10, 15 min	81.1–119.7 mg RE g ⁻¹ , DPPH: 40.5–59.9 mg TE g ⁻¹ , ABTS: 72.1–140.5 mg TE g ⁻¹ , FRAP: 36.1–64.4 mg TE g ⁻¹ .	2019
	Tomato pomace	extraction temperature: 30, 50 and	The best condition was defined as 50°C /50 min;	Sengkhamparn and
		$70^{\circ}C/extraction$ time: 10, 30 and 50 min.	The antioxidant activity was more correlated to the total flavonoid ($R2 = 0.74$).	Phonkerd, 2019
		MeOH 80%, SSR: 1:50, 60 min/30°C,	TPC: ranged 35–155 mg 100 g^{-1} , Naringenin	Szabo et al., 2019
		centrifuged at 18,000 rpm/10 min/1 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	chalcone: 6.6–87.6 mg 100 g $^{-1}$, DPPH: 120–255 $\mu mol \ TE \ 100 \ g^{-1}$	
	SCG	MeOH 4%, ultrasound pulse: 0.903,	TPC: 19.29–24.95 mg GAE g^{-1} and antioxidant	Severini et al., 2017
		treatment time: 60 min	capacity: 134.90–174.73 $\mumolTEg^{-1}.$	
	Citrus by-products	Ultrasonic frequency: 25 kHz/power:150	Improved the phenolic extraction. TPC: 3 mg	Putnik et al., 2017
		W/ Temperature: 30° C/15 min, Ethanol/Water Ratio: 50:50% (v/v)	GAE 100 g ⁻¹ DW, TFC: 2.5 mg of quercetin; Polyphenols (caffeic (207%), <i>p</i> -coumaric (180%), ferulic (192%),	
			sinapic acid (66%), <i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoic (94%).	
		25 kHz/50–150 W/10–40° C/15 min, 20–80;80–20% (v/v) EtOH/Water Ratio	Extraction yield: Polyphenols (naringin (38%), Hesperidin (42%), total phenolic compounds (31%).	Singanusong et al., 2015

TABLE 3 (Continued)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Method of extraction	Matrix	Treatment conditions	Extraction yield	Ref.
		Optimal condition defined as frequency 60 kHz, extraction time of 30 min, T: 40°C (citrus peel/water ratio 1/10 g ml ⁻¹)	The total yield: 4.02 % D.W.; TPC: 19.6 mg GAE g^{-1} .	Londoño-Londoño et al., 2010
		Ethanol (20%); temperature = 25, 62, 90°C, time = 15 min; ratio = $0.3:50 \text{ g m}^{-1}$	Orange peel phenolic extracted as: trans ferulic acid (0.29–1.38 mg g ⁻¹); rutin (3.3–4.7 mg g ⁻¹); hesperidin (280–673 mg g ⁻¹).	Gómez-Mejía et al., 2019
Microwave Assisted Extraction (MAE)	Grape pomace	Water/ EtOH (50%), SSR: 2/100 g ml $^{-1}$ power: 200 W, 50° C/60 min	TPC: Water: 52,645–82,609 ppm GAE in dry extract, EtOH (50%): 200,025–231,619 ppm GAE in dry extract; AA (IC ₅₀): Water: 5.95–6.64, EtOH (50%): 0.32–1.03.	Drosou et al., 2015
		SSR: 0.5 g ml $^{-1}$ at 60° C/ 3 h, irradiation with 300 W of power: 30, 60, 120 and 150 s at 60, 80, 100 and 120° C	Highest polyphenol yield increased by 57% and AA increased by 83% at optimum condition (by 120 s pretreatment at 100°C).	Álvarez et al., 2017
		Microwave power (MP): 100–600 W/ 1–5 min, SSR:10–50 ml g ^{–1}	With the optimum condition anthocyanin yield: 1.3 mg Cy $\rm g^{-1}.$	Varadharajan et al., 2017
		750 W/4 min with water, using a SSR of 1,000 g $\rm L^{-1}$	The highest TPC and antioxidant capacity were achieved as: 143 mg GAE 100 ml ^{-1} , 239 mmol and 1,145 mmol of TE 100 ml ^{-1} .	Baiano et al., 2015
		The effects of solvent type (0–100 % v/v aqueous ethanol), solvent/solid ratio $(8-24 \text{ ml g}^{-1})$, and power (100–600 Watt)	48.76 mg GAE g^{-1} dry pomace by optimum extraction condition.	Drevelegka and Goula, 2020
		EtOH (70%), SSR: 6.6 ml g $^{-1}$ / 60° C/ 4 min, pH: 1.5	TPC: 14.86 mg GAE g^{-1} red grape skin.	Kwiatkowski et al., 2020
		Optimal parameters from different trials were considered by higher methanol concentrations and lower temperatures (100%, v/v; at 40°C)	Extraction of flavonols and hydroxycinnamic acids than for ORAC (78.1%, v/v; at 60°C); total phenolics and tannins (62.7 and 65.3%, v/v; at 60° C).	Curko et al., 2019
	Olive pomace	MAE at 60 °C for 30 min	TPC: 10.61 mg GAE g^{-1} raw material (DW), antioxidant activity: 15.93 mg Trolox g^{-1} raw material (DW).	Chanioti and Tzia, 2017
		Power: 700 W/ 10 min, EtOH (20%)	Higher amounts of hydroxytyrosol (1.2 g kg ^{-1}).	Jurmanović et al., 2019
	Tomato pomace	Power: 200 W/20 min/ 180°C, EtOH (47%) 47%, SSR: g L^{-1} , and 200 W.	Yield of 76% was obtained with TPC value: 43.9 mg GAE g^{-1} and a TFC of 3.5 mg CE g^{-1} .	Panzella et al., 2020
		temperatures (25, 55 & 90°C) and times (5 & 10 min)	TPC: 53.12 g kg ⁻¹ , TF: 50.36 g kg ⁻¹ . Kaemferol-3- <i>O</i> -rutinoside (8.5–142.5 mg kg ⁻¹), <i>p</i> -coumaric acid (3–111.5 mg kg ⁻¹) and chlorogenic acid derivative (10.5 to 109 mg kg ⁻¹); Higher values of TPC were found in samples extracted at 55 and 90°C. The solubility of flavonoids improved by the temperature increment.	Bakić et al., 2019
	SCG	Ethanol (20%) as optimum condition to recover phenolic compounds, (40s extraction time, 240 W MWP, and 6-fold	Total yield: 7.7–31.2 mg g ⁻¹ DW SCG; TPC: 18.8–79.8% w/w; DPPH: 6.5%–98.2%; FRAP: 2.6 mmol Fe ²⁺ L ⁻¹ -6.6 mmol Fe ²⁺ L ⁻¹ . The	Ranic et al., 2014

TABLE 3	(Continued)
---------	-------------

Method of extraction	Matrix	Treatment conditions	Extraction yield	Ref.
		solvent to SCG ratio resulted in the maximum yield)	yield ranged from 18.83 to 79.83% w/w.	
	Citrus pomace	Ethanol (70%) at 140°C / 8 min, SSR: 100 g/L solid to	Optimal condition (MAE and low-temperature storage (5°C, 24 h)) has identified total hesperidin of 86.8% (47.7 mg g ^{-1}).	Inoue et al., 2010
		sample (1.5 g) was heated at 120, 240, 360, 480, and 600 W, for 2–5 min	the highest flavonoid content was achieved at 360 W for 5 min and 480 W for 2 min (6.28 and 6.21 mg CE/g D.W., respectively) which was 29 and 28%, respectively, higher than the untreated sample Optimum condition (at 360 W for 5 min and 480 W for 2 min), resulted to increase extraction yield by 29% with the highest flavonoid content: 6.28 mg CE g ⁻¹ DW	
	Citrus pomace	W: 500, °C<135, s 122, Liquid-to solid ratio 25 ml g ⁻¹ MP 152 W, T 49 s, SSR 16, and MeOH (66%) as optimum condition	Extraction yield: TPC: 12.20 mg GAE g ⁻¹ DW) TPC: 1163.33 \pm 9.65 µg g ⁻¹ DW. Free phenolics: ferulic acid (465.49 \pm 2.58 µg g ⁻¹ DW) <i>p</i> -coumaric (317.38 \pm 5.13 µg g ⁻¹ DW), <i>p</i> - hydroxybenzoic (34.81 \pm 3.25 µg g ⁻¹ DW) and gallic acid (137.94 \pm 1.31 µg g ⁻¹ DW); Eter bound phenolics: Gallic acid (19.99 \pm 1.04 µg g ⁻¹ DW), Ferulic acid (1,617.45 \pm 14.46 µg g ⁻¹ DW); Glycoside bound: Gallic acid (16.97 \pm 1.02 µg g ⁻¹ DW), <i>p</i> - hydroxybenzoic (14.39 \pm 1.95 µg g ⁻¹ DW).	Dahmoune et al., 2013 Sharma et al., 2017
Enzyme Assisted Extraction (EAE)	Grape pomace	 W: 400, °C<123, s -, SSR: 1g 28 ml⁻¹ Samples treatments: A- 10 g sample was defatted and suspended in 100 ml of Viscozyme solution (2% in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH:4), stirred for 12 h /37°C. B- 10 g sample were defatted and suspended in 100 ml of Pronase solution (1 mg/ml in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 8), stirred for 1 h. Extraction was performed by 70% (v/v) acetone (2.5%, w/v) for 20 min/30°C for both soluble (S) and insoluble (IB) phenolic compounds. 	Polyphenol content (15.74 mg GAE g ⁻¹ DW) Viscozyme: Phenolics (mg GAE g ⁻¹ DW): S: 371.0 \pm 7.8, IB: 184.6 \pm 7.8. ABTS (µmol TE g ⁻¹ DW): S: 695.0 \pm 10.5, IB: 509.6 \pm 2.7. DPPH (µmol TE g ⁻¹ DW): S: 652.2 \pm 30, IB:442.7 \pm 15.1 HRSA (µmol CE g ⁻¹ DW): S: 414.4 \pm 8.3, IB: 163.4 \pm 1.2. Reducing power (µmol TE g ⁻¹ DW): S: 595.3 \pm 35.4, IB: 471.5 \pm 4.6. Inhibition of alpha-glucosidase activity (%):7.79 \pm 0.6, Inhibition of lipase activity (%): 24.9 \pm 0.9. Pronase: Phenolics (mg GAE g ⁻¹ DW): S: 301.9 \pm 21, IB: 204.1 \pm 0.3. ABTS (µmol TE g ⁻¹ DW): S: 699.9 \pm 8.4.5, IB: 621.4 \pm 24.9. DPPH (µmol TE g ⁻¹ DW): S: 728.4 \pm 30.5, IB:	Londoño-Londoño et al., 2010 de Camargo et al., 2016

Method of extraction	Matrix	Treatment conditions	Extraction yield	Ref.
			HRSA (µmol CE g $^{-1}$ DW): S: 319.9 \pm 5.4, IB:	
			$241.5 \pm 18.8.$	
			Reducing power (µmol TE g $^{-1}$ DW): S: 250.4 \pm	
			2, IB: 464 ± 5.5 .	
			Inhibition of alpha-glucosidase activity (%):	
			7.35 ± 3.1	
			Inhibition of lipase activity (%):9.71 \pm 0.2.	
		Cellulose/tannase:50 mM in acetate buffer,	Phenolics yield increased by 66% (gallic acid,	Dzah et al., 2020
		SSR 10:1 ml g $^{-1}$, shaking at 125 rpm	<i>p</i> -coumaric acid and malvidin-3-O-glucoside as	
			the main compounds); Antioxidant activity	
			increased by 80%.	
		Celluclast/fungamyl (celluclast: 790 U	Higher (2.4-fold) polyphenol levels (346.1 mg	Dzah et al., 2020
		ml^{-1} , fungamyl: 881 U ml^{-1}).	${\rm GAE}L^{-1}$ with gallic acid, catechins, quercetin,	
		Temperature: 24 $^\circ \mathrm{C};$ time: 2 h; ratio: 1:5 g	stilbenes, rutin, vanillin, vanillic acid,	
		ml ⁻¹ . Ethanol (95%) time = 24 h shaking at	epigallocatechin and epigallocatechin gallate as	
		150 rpm.	the main), Quercetin increased by 47.5-fold.	
		Cellulose/tannase in acetate buffer	TPC: 0.75 g GAE 100 g $^{-1}$ (gallic, p -coumaric,	Costa et al., 2020
		(50 mM), pH 5 at $45^\circ C$ for 2 h	and syringic acids; catechin and	
			malvidin-3-O-glucoside were the most	
			predominant compounds).	
	Tomato pomace	Tomato pomace/dH ₂ O:4 grams/27.2 ml;	<i>C-A:</i> TPC:1.76 \pm 0.19, DPPH:0.59 \pm 0.12,	Catalkaya and
		enzyme solution (0.8 ml) and shaked for	ABTS:3.47 \pm 0.39; C-EA : TPC: 26.9 \pm 7.07,	Kahveci, 2019
		1 h, The treated was performed as: C-A =	DPPH: 9.39 ± 3.51 ABTS:55.9 ± 18.2; <i>C</i> -A:EA:	
		Celluclast-Acetone, C-EA =	TPC:14.5 \pm 7.80, DPPH: 6.45 \pm 3.57, ABTS:	
		Celluclast-Ethyl acetate, C-A:EA =	31.9 \pm 18.7; <i>P</i>-A: TPC: 1.34 \pm 0.13, DPPH: 0.49	
		Cellucalst-Acetone:Ethyl acetate, $P-A =$	\pm 0.06, ABTS: 3.28 \pm 0.37; <i>P-EA</i> : TPC: 24.7 \pm	
		Pectinex-Acetone, P-EA =	6.95, DPPH: 7.96 \pm 2.08, ABTS: 62.8 \pm 16.4;	
		Pectinex-Ethylacetate, P-A:EA =	<i>P-A:EA:</i> TPC: 2.89 \pm 0.31, DPPH: 1.52 \pm 0.14,	
		Pectinex-Acetone:Ethyl acetate, V-A =	ABTS: 6.89 \pm 0.85; <i>V</i> - <i>A</i> : TPC: 1.47 \pm 0.38,	
		Viscozyme-Acetone, V-EA =	DPPH: 0.78 \pm 0.20, ABTS: 4.69 \pm 1.25; V-EA:	
		Viscozyme-Ethyl acetate, V-A:EA =	TPC: 21.9 ± 5.13 , DPPH: 10.9 ± 2.56 ,	
		Viscozyme-Acetone:Ethyl acetate, C:P-A =	ABTS:66.6 \pm 17.3; <i>V</i> - <i>A</i> : <i>EA</i> : TPC: 4.44 \pm 0.67,	
		Celluclast:Pectinex-Acetone, C:P-EA =	DPPH: 2.59 ± 0.26, ABTS: 13.7 ± 2.48; <i>C:P-A</i> :	
		Celluclast:Pectinex-Ethyl acetate, C:P-A:EA	TPC: 2.77 \pm 0.52, DPPH: 1.16 \pm 0.30,	
		= Celluclast:Pectinex-Acetone:Ethyl	ABTS:6.16 \pm 0.58; <i>C:P-EA:</i> TPC: 24.3 \pm 6.89,	
		acetate, C:V-A =	DPPH: 9.88 \pm 2.33, ABTS: 58.4 \pm 17.0;	
		Celluclast: Viscozyme-Acetone, C:V-EA =	$\textit{C:P-A:EA:}$ TPC: 5.46 \pm 1.65, DPPH: 2.72 \pm	
		Celluclast:Viscozyme:Pectinex-Ethyl	0.70, ABTS: 12.2 \pm 3.86; $\textit{C:V-A:}$ TPC: 6.29 \pm	
		acetate, C:V-A:EA $=$	1.34, DPPH: 2.57 \pm 0.483, ABTS: 18.4 \pm 3.49;	
		Celluclast:Viscozyme-Acetone:Ethyl	<i>C:V-EA</i> : TPC: 28.9 \pm 3.13, DPPH: 12.7 \pm 1.67,	
		acetate, P:V-A =	ABTS: 86.5 ± 8.10; C: <i>V</i> - <i>A</i> : <i>EA</i> : TPC: 15.6 ±	
		Pectinex:Viscozyme-Acetone,P:V-EA =	3.88, DPPH: 7.68 \pm 1.68, ABTS: 47.3 \pm 10.8;	
		Pectinex:Viscozyme-Ethyl acetate,	<i>P:V-A:</i> TPC: 0.73 \pm 0.03, DPPH: 0.38 \pm 0.02,	
		P:V-A:EA =	ABTS: 2.22 ± 0.15 ; <i>P:V-EA:</i> TPC: 23.4 ± 7.17 ,	
		Pectinex:Viscozyme-Acetone:Ethyl acetate.	DPPH: 11.6 \pm 3.02; ABTS: 71.7 \pm 17.4;	
			$\textit{P:V-A:EA:}$ TPC: 3.28 \pm 0.40, DPPH: 1.88 \pm	
			0.22. ABTS: 9.75 ± 1.32. Therefore, Celluclast:	

TABLE 3 (Continued)

TABLE 3 (Continu	TABLE 3 (Continued)				
Method of extraction	Matrix	Treatment conditions	Extraction yield	Ref.	
			Viscozyme:Pectinex-Ethyl acetate resulted in higher total polyphenol content and antioxidant activity. (TPC is expressed as: mg GAE g^{-1} , DPPH and ABTS in mg TEAC g^{-1}).		
	Citrus by-products	Enzyme-assisted extraction on pomelo waste was followed by UAE and MAE; enzyme Pectinex Ultra SP-L (0, 1, 2, 3, or 4%, v/w sample), water-solid ratio of 40 ml g^{-1} , temperature: 50°C, of 60 min. The optimal UAE conditions were identified as 40 kHz, water-solid ratio of 40 ml g^{-1} / room temperature for 60 min.	TPC: 5.65–7.38 mg GAE g ⁻¹ ; TF: 0.96–2.07 mg RE g ⁻¹ ; Naringenin: 0.68–1.10 mg g ⁻¹ : Hesperidin: 0.14–0.54 mg g ⁻¹ . The TPC, TFC, naringin, and hesperidin contents significantly increased in the following order of the extraction techniques: UAE < EAE < UEAE < E-UAE (p < 0.05). The combined E-UAE technique was the most effective technique for bioactive compound extraction with the highest antioxidant and antimicrobial activities.	Van Hung et al., 2020	
		Tannase (Paecilomyces variotii CBMAI 1157) & commercial β-glucosidase (10 U per gram sample) in acetate buffer (20 mM, pH: 5.0) / 40 °C & 120 rpm/ 24 h.	TPC extracted with EAE was 1.5-fold higher than conventional hydro-alcoholic extraction method, (Narirutin: 1.1 μ g mg ⁻¹ ; Naringin: 0.3 μ g mg ⁻¹ ; Naringenin: 2.5 μ g mg ⁻¹ ; Hesperidin: 6.6 μ g mg ⁻¹ ; Hesperetin: 23.3 μ g mg ⁻¹ ; diosmetin: 1.2 μ g mg ⁻¹ ; Tangeretin: 0.1 μ g mg ⁻¹). Tannase and β -glucosidase are effective to cleave β -glucoside linkages in flavanones' structure to release aglycones with higher biological activities.	Barbosa et al., 2021	
	Olive pomace	Enzymatic mixture (Cellulase, Hemicellulase, Pectinase) 1:1:1 ratio, pH 5.75, hydrolysis time 40 min/ 55 °C/200 W and ethyl acetate (EA), petroleum ether (PE) and <i>n</i> -butyl alcohol (BA) as solvent. Phenolics were extracted in an ultrasonic bath with frequency and input power of 40 kHz y/200 W.	With Ethyl acetate, higher concentration of phenolic compounds was extracted (36.2 mg g ⁻¹). DPPH ($IC_{50} \mu g ml^{-1}$): EA: 7.580 ± 0.5, PE: 41.21 ± 0.3, BA: 2.850± 0.3. ABTS ($IC_{50} \mu g ml^{-1}$): EA: 370.9 ± 1.2, PE: 4,715.6 ± 2.5, BA: 574.7 ± 1.7. FRAP (μ mol L ⁻¹): EA: 106.2 ± 3.3, PE: 43.5 ± 2.9, BA: 84.8 ± 063.	Wang et al., 2017	
Subcritical Fluid Extraction (SbFE)	Grape pomace	SFE-CO2 + EtOH (20%) as co-solvent (100 bar, 55 °C, 20 g min ⁻¹ CO2, 5 g min ⁻¹ ethanol for 3 h	TAC: 0.3–2 mg malvidin chloride g^{-1} dry grape pomace; Phenolic Content: 2.1–4.5 mg GAE g^{-1} dry grape pomace.	Otero-Pareja et al., 2015	
	Citrus pomace:	Water extraction at flow rate = 10 ml min ⁻¹ & temperature = $150 \degree C$	Increased flavanones yield from orange peel by	Dzah et al., 2020	
	SCG:	Subcritical water extraction (SWE), pressure: 100 bar, temperatures up to 220 °C	higher TPC (19.9 mg GAE g^{-1} dry SCG), higher AA (EC ₅₀ of 20.6 μ g ml ⁻¹) for fraction collected up to 140°C, For fraction collected from 140 to 220 °C: TPC: 5.7 mg GAE g dry SCG, EC ₅₀ of 132.2 μ g ml ⁻¹ .	Ribeiro et al., 2018	
		Subcritical water extraction (SWE) T: 160–180 °C, Extraction time: 38–55 min, CG/Solvent ratio (g/g): 14.1 g L^{-1}	Phenolic yields: 86.2 mg GAE g^{-1} .	Xu et al., 2015	

Method of extraction	Matrix	Treatment conditions	Extraction yield	Ref.
Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE)	Grape pomace	20 MPa, 40 $^{\circ}$ C, 180 min/ with SbEF-CO2 containing ethanol 10% (w/w)	Phenolic compounds extracted: Syringic acid, vanillic acid, gallic acid, p-hydroxybenzene formic acid, protocatechuic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid, and quercetin.	Farías-Campomanes et al., 2013
		Pretreat with Ultrasound (80 $^\circ\text{C},4\text{min})$ combined with SFE	TPC: 3,493 mg GAE 100 g ⁻¹ DW, antioxidant activity: 7,503 mg α -tocopherol 100 g ⁻¹ DW	Da Porto et al., 2015
pressurized liquid extraction (PLE)	Grape pomace	Pressurized solvent: 50% ethanol/water, 90 bar, 120 $^\circ C$, flow rate: 5 g min $^{-1}$ for 90 min	Total Anthocyanins: 10.1–49.7 mg malvin chloride g ⁻¹ dry grape pomace. PC: 15.5–28.9 mg GAE g ⁻¹ dry grape pomace.	Otero-Pareja et al., 2015
	Citrus by-products	T = 200 C, P = 1.4 MPa, t = 60 min	The main flavones extracted were sinenstin, nobiletin, and tangeretin.	Lee et al., 2009
	Olive pomace	PLE optimized condition: T = 184.0 $^\circ$ C, EtOH 90.0%, SSR = 0.8 g ml $^{-1}$	hydroxytyrosol (HT) and tyrosol (TY) extraction increased by 5-fold and 3-fold (9.5 vs $1.79 \text{ mg HT g}^{-1}$ and 5.3 vs $1.78 \text{ mg TY g}^{-1}$ dry extract, respectively). TPC also doubled (340 instead of 180 mg GAE g $^{-1}$ dry extract)	Katsinas et al., 2021
Ohmic Heating (OH)	Grape pomace	Pretreatment: 1.5 g dry grape skins were rinsed in NaCl solution (0.1 mol L ⁻¹), and then aqueous extraction with 20 ml of distilled water in 3 ways: a): 40° C / 20 min using OH (labeled as OH40) and room temperature; b) 40° C / 20 min using conventional indirect heating (COV40); and c) HTST using OH – i.e., ~100° C / 1 s. Electric field: 80, 16 V cm ⁻¹ , frequency: 25 kHz	The OH100 pretreatment at HTST conditions gave the best extraction with an increase in TPC, and anthocyanins to the maximum values of $320 \text{ mg GAE } 100 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$ and $600 \mu \text{ g} \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$; increasing their initial values by 60% and 120%, respectively.	Pereira et al., 2020
	Grape vine	Extracts were obtained by Low electric field (LEF) (496.0 V cm ⁻¹); and Intermediate electric field – IEF (840.0 V cm ⁻¹). 1g sample in 40 ml ethanol–water extraction: $45\% (v/v)/ 80 \degree C$ at different extraction times (20–90 min); conventional heating (CH): constant heating in water bath; Voltages were 1 Hz–25 MHz, and 1–10 V) with a sinusoidal wave at a 25 kHz frequency, and the electric fields ranged from 400 to 1.600 V cm ⁻¹ .	$\begin{split} \text{IEF: TPC: } 3.4 \pm 0.1 \text{g GAE 100 g}^{-1}; \text{FRAP: } 4.6 \pm \\ 0.2 \text{ g FE 100 g}^{-1}; \text{DPPH: } 4.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ g TE 100 g}^{-1}, \\ \text{IC}_{50}: 0.76; \text{ ABTS: } 3.1 \pm 0.1 \text{g TE 100 g}^{-1}, \\ \text{IC}_{50}: 0.34; \text{LEF: TPC: } 3.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ g GAE 100 g}^{-1}; \\ \text{FRAP: } 4.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ g FE 100 g}^{-1}; \text{DPPH: } 3.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ g} \\ \text{TE 100 g}^{-1}, \text{IC}_{50}: 0.90; \text{ ABTS: } 1.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ g TE 100 } \\ \text{g}^{-1}, \text{IC}_{50}: 0.44; \text{ CH: TPC: } 3.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ g GAE 100 } \\ \text{g}^{-1}; \text{FRAP: } 3.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ g FE 100 g}^{-1}; \text{DPPH: } 2.7 \pm \\ 0.2 \text{ g TE 100 g}^{-1}, \text{IC}^{50}: 0.95; \text{ ABTS: } 1.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ g} \\ \text{TE 100 g}^{-1}, \text{IC}^{50}: 0.4. \end{split}$	Jesus et al., 2020
	Grape by-products	Pretreatment: 2.5 g Sample in 5 ml NaCl (0.1 M); OH condition: 100°C in 13 s; requency of 25 kHz and an electric field of \approx 30 V cm ⁻¹ , T: 23°C. Water, acidified water (lactic and citric acid 1%), and methanol/water solution (80:19:1 v/v) acidified with hydrochloric acid usually are used as a conventional method (CONV).	CONV: TPC: $2.84 \pm 0.037 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DW GAE}$; AA: $2.02 \pm 0.007 \text{ g} 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ ascorbic acid equivalent. OH: TPC: $3.28 \pm 0.46 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$ GAE; AA: $2.34 \pm 0.066 \text{ g} 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ ascorbic acid equivalent. Major identified anthocyanins were: malvidin-3- <i>O</i> -acetylglucoside, delphinidin-3- <i>O</i> -glucoside, petunidine-3- <i>O</i> -glucoside,	Coelho et al., 2021

Method of extraction	Matrix	Treatment conditions	Extraction yield	Ref.	
			cyanidin-3- <i>O</i> -glucoside, and peonidine-3- <i>O</i> -glucoside; OH provides similar recovery yields of anthocyanins respect to conventional condition with reduced treatment times, less energy consumption, and no need for organic solvents.		
	Olive pomace	2 g OP in 20 ml solvent (ultrapure water or ethanol 50% (v/v); electric field: 4 V cm ⁻¹ , T= 83 \pm 2°C/ per 30 min; centrifugation (2,500 g, 20 min), filtered through 0.22 μ m.	In water: TPC: 12.08 \pm 1.52 mg GAE g ⁻¹ OP, DPPH: 3.23 \pm 0.10 µmol TE g ⁻¹ OP; FRAP: 80.41 \pm 1.12 µmol Fe (II) g ⁻¹ OP, Yield (%): 28.54 \pm 0.14. EtOH 50%: TPC: 17.67 \pm 3.12 mg GAE g ⁻¹ OP, DPPH: 3.82 \pm 0.04 µmol TE g ⁻¹ OP; FRAP: 150.16 \pm 9.29 µmol Fe (II) g ⁻¹ OP, Yield (%): 27.39 \pm 1.54.	Quero et al., 2022	
Deep Eutectic Solvent (DES) Extraction	Grape pomace	 A: citric acid–based DES was prepared, and citric acid/maltose 4:1 molar, B: lactic acid-sodium acetate at molar ratio of 5:1 has also been C: Longer extraction times (50 min, at 65 °C, with a solid-to-liquid ratio of 100 g L⁻¹) have been instead reported in the case of UAE using ChCl-oxalic acid as DES in presence of 25% water to extract phenolic compounds from grape skin 	A: higher total anthocyanin content (TAC), B: efficient for pigment extraction.	Bubalo et al., 2016; Panzella et al., 2020	
	Olive pomace	Glycerol–glycine–water 7:1:3 molar ratio.	Optimized condition was 80% in water (w/w) DES concentration, a solid-to-liquid ratio of $3:1 \text{ g L}^{-1}$, at 70 °C. Leave polyphenol extraction yield increased by 18–30% by DES than ethanol (60%), methanol, or water, with higher antiradical activity and reducing power capacity.	Athanasiadis et al., 2018	
		LGH (lactic acid and glucose, 5:1); CGH (citric acid and glucose, 1:1) and FCH (fructose and citric acid, 1: 1;, temperature) (40–80°C), Water percentage (0–15%) & buffer concentration (0–0.1%). Lactic acid-glucose 5:1 mol mol ⁻¹ combined with 30–60 min UAE at 40 °C, using an SSR of 75 g L ⁻¹ .	Luteolin and Hydroxytyrosol were extracted as the main phenolic compounds in olive cake.	Fernández et al., 2018	
	Tomato pomace	LGH (lactic acid and glucose, 5:1); CGH (citric acid and glucose, 1:1) and FCH (fructose and citric acid, 1: 1; temperature) (40–80°C), Water percentage (0–15%) & buffer concentration (0–0.1%). Lactic acid-glucose 5:1 mol mol ⁻¹ combined with 30–60 min UAE at 40 °C, using an SSR of 75 g L ⁻¹ .	Rutin and Catechin were extracted as the main phenolic compounds in tomato pomace.	Fernández et al., 2018	
	Citrus pomace	Optimal conditions were found to be DES containing 10% w/w of water, a temperature of 60 °C, a solid-to-liquid ratio of 100 g L ⁻¹ , and an extraction time of 100 min (Orange peel)		Ozturk et al., 2018	

TABLE 3 (Continued)

the phenolic compounds may be oxidized (Drosou et al., 2015).

In the study by Goula et al. (2016), the phenolic extraction yield from grape pomace increased with ultrasonic time, especially from 2 to 10 min and slowly from 10 to 60 min. Thus, the efficient extraction period for achieving a maximum yield of phenolics in grape pomace was about 10 min. The direct ultrasound-assisted extraction of phenolics had a maximum yield of 9.57 mg GAE g⁻¹ of dry pomace. Nevertheless, a higher total polyphenol content (33.88 mg GAE g⁻¹ DW) was reported by prolonging the time to 20 h. In the latest study, different extraction factors were monitored to find the optimized condition for extracting the higher polyphenol contents (Goula et al., 2016).

Anthocyanins extraction also enhanced together with other polyphenols under UAE by using glycerol (90% w/v, SSR: 11 g L ⁻¹) from winery by-products (Trasanidou et al., 2016). In ultrasound extraction, temperature (20–60°C), solvent type (0–100% v/v aqueous ethanol), amplitude (20–60%), and solvent/solid ratio (8–24 ml g⁻¹) were the factors investigated to evaluate the phenolic compound extraction, and the optimum condition was verified as extraction temperature of 56°C, a solvent/solid ratio of 8 ml g⁻¹, and an amplitude level of 34%, with 53% aqueous ethanol at 20 min, resulting in total phenolic content of 34.37 \pm 0.87 mg GAE g⁻¹ dry pomace (Drevelegka and Goula, 2020).

González-Centeno et al. (2014) studied the extraction of compounds with antioxidants activity from grape pomace in UAE. The phenolic extraction yield was reported at 0.32 mg GAE g⁻¹ on dry weight, where the optimum conditions were reported for 25 min of extraction time (González-Centeno et al., 2014). They also explored the effect of different temperatures in the UAE. The results demonstrated that the highest TPC was obtained after 1 h of UAE at 50 °C (up to 3.3-fold higher content of TPC, i.e., 7.7 mg GAE g⁻¹ dry pomace with respect to that of conventional extraction at 20 °C) (González-Centeno et al., 2014).

The various UAE conditions were performed to extract phenolic compounds from tomato pomace, including extraction temperatures of 30, 50, and 70 °C and extraction times of 10, 30, and 50 min. The results showed that once again, the extraction temperature is the main factor to extract bioactive compounds with antioxidant activity since it showed higher relation to the total flavonoid and carotenoid extracted (r = 0.86, p < 0.05). In this case, UAE condition of 50 °C for 50 min was determined as the best condition to obtaining the highest antioxidant activity (Sengkhamparn and Phonkerd, 2019).

The UAE performed on the olive pomace resulted in TPC of 4.04 mg GAE g^{-1} and 68.9%, antioxidant activity under the extraction condition at 56°C for 3 min and the duty cycle of 0.6 s (Mojerlou and Elhamirad, 2018).

The best chlorogenic and protocatechuic acids recovery from spent coffee grounds was yielded under mild temperatures

and a short time of extraction by UAE. The total polyphenol content and antioxidant capacity at this condition were 19.29 and 24.95 mg GAE g⁻¹ (Severini et al., 2017). These values for Robusta, Arabica, and Liberica types of SCG ranged between 18.94 \pm 0.06 and 26.23 \pm 0.86 mg GAE g⁻¹, and total flavonoid ranged between 47.62 \pm 0.05 and 56.20 \pm 0.08 mg GAE g⁻¹ with the highest content for Arabica type (Zainol et al., 2020).

By application of UAE on *Citrus* by-products, a greater extraction efficiency was observed for hesperidin (Singanusong et al., 2015). The optimal condition was reported by Londoño-Londoño et al. (2010) with the frequency of 60 kHz, extraction time of 30 min, and temperature of 40 °C on the citrus peel by using water with the ratio of 1/10 g ml⁻¹. The extraction yield ranged between 58.68 and 74.80 mg GAE g⁻¹ of total phenolic content for lime, orange, and tangerine peels (Londoño-Londoño et al., 2010). Aqueous ultrasound-assisted extraction (AUAE) was applied to recover TPC and rutin from lemon by-products at conditions of 35–45 min, 45–55°C, and 150–250 W. The optimum condition was obtained at the time of 35 min, 48 °C, and 150W with a value of 3.213 \pm 0.03 mg g⁻¹ DW for rutin, and 17.97 \pm 0.21 mg GAE g⁻¹ for TPC (Papoutsis et al., 2018).

Therefore, the advantages of UAE are a lower production cost than the conventional procedures with a higher purity of final product, however, as the temperature reached is not very high, sonication is suitable for extracting thermolabile compounds, such as phenolic compounds (Ameer et al., 2017). Despite the advantages of UAE, requiring some filtration steps and the possible degradation of compounds at high frequencies could be defined as this technique limitation (Medina-Torres et al., 2017).

Microwave-assisted extraction

Microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) is relatively a new extraction technique that combines microwave irradiation and traditional solvent extraction. Electromagnetic waves generated by microwave energy can changes the cell structure and help to extract the compounds. This method provides rapid and selective techniques with high recovery than the conventional extraction methods, such as Soxhlet, maceration, and infusion. So, the advantages of this method include lower energy consumption, lower solvent/solid ratio, and quicker heating arising inside the solids; however, the disadvantages are: (a) dissolution of the extract components that may cause in somehow the degradation of thermosensitive phenolic compounds, (b) small quantity of vegetal tissues can be extracted in each extraction cycle, (c) the high costs owing to its highpressure resistance and air-tightness (Zhang et al., 2011).

The phenolic extraction by MAE from grape pomace has been performed in various studies. Baiano et al. (2015) applied microwave power of 750 W for 4 min with a solid-to-liquid (water) ratio of 1,000 g L^{-1} in the grape pomace. The highest

total polyphenol content was 143 mg GAE 100 ml⁻¹, and the highest antioxidant activity was reported as 239-1,145 mmol of Trolox Eq 100 ml⁻¹ (Baiano et al., 2015). MAE conditions were applied to recover anthocyanins from grape juice wastes. The microwave power (MP) (100-600 W), exposure time (1-5 min), and solvent/solid ratio (10–50 ml g^{-1}) were considered to set the assay. The optimum condition for the anthocyanin recovery was predicted by response surface methodology at MP of 435 W, exposure time of 2.3 min, and solid-to-solvent (water) ratio of 52 g L^{-1} , by which the anthocyanin yield of 1.3 mg g^{-1} was achieved (Varadharajan et al., 2017). Wang et al. (2012) applied MAE to extract resveratrol from GP. To do so, a solid-tosolvent (ethanol) ratio of 50 g L^{-1} , an extraction time of 30 min, a temperature of 55°C, and MP of 1.0 kW was recognized as the best conditions to extract resveratrol from grape pomace (Wang, 2012). In a recent study, the optimal parameters for the extraction of flavonols and hydroxycinnamic acids (78.1%, v/v), total phenolics, and tannins (62.7 and 65.3%, v/v) were shown by the higher methanol concentrations and lower temperatures (100%, v/v; at 40 $^{\circ}$ C). The number of MAE cycles was also found as a key factor for completing the extraction of phenolics from grape skin pomace (Curko et al., 2019). The phenolic extraction from dried grape pomace by MAE achieves a savings of 83% after 3 cycles of extracting for three cultivars Cabernet Sauvignon, Merlot, and Teran grape skin pomaces by comparing the data achieved by response surface methodology (RSM) and effects of sequential irradiation cycles, in terms of extraction time (Curko et al., 2019). An extraction yield of 8 mg GAE g^{-1} dry pomace has been reported by MAE application for 20 min by Brahim et al. (2014).

MAE was applied at 60 °C for 30 min in the olive pomace, and the total polyphenol extracted was 10.61 mg GAE g⁻¹ raw material with the antioxidant activity of 10.40 mg Trolox g⁻¹ (DW) (Chanioti and Tzia, 2017). By application of the MAE condition of microwave power 700 W over 10 min of extraction time in a closed vessel system and the solvent (20% ethanol), the higher amounts of hydroxytyrosol (1.2 g kg⁻¹) were obtained from olive pomace (Jurmanović et al., 2019).

The recovery of phenolic compounds in tomato pomace was subjected to MAE, at temperatures (25, 55, and 90 °C) and times of extraction (5 and 10 min). The average TPC was 53.12 g kg⁻¹, where the lowest value was obtained for samples extracted at 25 °C, while higher values were found in samples extracted at 55 and 90 °C. Kaempferol-3-*O*-rutinoside yield was in range of 8.5–142.5 mg kg⁻¹, *p*-coumaric acid ranged from 3 to 111.5 mg kg⁻¹, and chlorogenic acid derivative was 10.5–109 mg kg⁻¹ (Bakić et al., 2019). Panzella et al. (2020) reported an extraction time of 20 min, at 180 °C, with 47% ethanol, SSR of 45 g L⁻¹, and 200 W resulted in extraction yield of 43.9 mg GAE g⁻¹ and a total flavonoid content of 3.5 mg CE g⁻¹ (Panzella et al., 2020).

In order to extract the phenolic parts of the spent coffee grounds (SCG), MAE was performed, and the optimum condition was reported as 16 cycles of 40 s, MP at 240 W, and solvent to SCG ratio of 6:1 using 20% ethanol. The phenolic yield ranged from 18.83 to 79.83% w/w (Ranic et al., 2014).

The recovery of hesperidin from citrus pomace has been reported by using 70% ethanol at 140 °C for 8 min, at SSR 100 g L⁻¹. After 24-h storage at 5 °C, about 48 mg g⁻¹ hesperidin was collected (Inoue et al., 2010). The phenolic content of 12.20 mg GAE g⁻¹ DW was reported under MAE condition (MP 500 W, and the temperature 135 °C, for 122 s and SSR 25:1 ml g⁻¹) (Dahmoune et al., 2013). With a slightly different MAE condition i.e., MP 400 W, T 123°C, SSR 28:1 ml, the total polyphenol obtained was 15.74 mg GAE g⁻¹ DW (Londoño-Londoño et al., 2010).

The combined use of microwave-assisted and ultrasoundassisted extraction has also been developed for the extraction of phytochemicals. For example, it has been proposed the extraction of polyphenols from the orange peels in a solventfree process (Papoutsis et al., 2017). The results showed that microwave pretreatment could significantly affect the total yield. The total phenolic content, total flavonoids, and proanthocyanidins, as well as the total antioxidant activity significantly increased as the microwave radiation time and power increased (e.g., 2.5-fold for phenolics, 1.4-fold for flavonoids, and 5.5-fold for proanthocyanidins). These findings indicate that microwave irradiation time and power may enhance higher levels of the phenolic compounds as well as the antioxidant capacity of the dried lemon pomace powder. However, higher power and longer time of irradiation may lead to a degradation of phenolic compounds and lower the antioxidant capacity of the dried lemon pomace (Papoutsis et al., 2017).

MAE has been applied to extract the phenolic compounds from citrus pomace, and different factors such as solvent composition and extraction time, microwave power, and extraction cycle were tested. The optimized condition was obtained at MP 152 W, T 49 s, SSR 16, and MeOH (66%) and the phenolic compound yield was 1,163.33 \pm 9.65 µg g⁻¹ DW, from which free phenolics (ferulic acid: 465.49 \pm 2.58 µg g⁻¹ DW, *p*-coumaric: 317.38 \pm 5.13 µg g⁻¹ DW, *p*-hydroxybenzoic: 34.81 \pm 3.25 µg g⁻¹ DW, and gallic acid: 137.94 \pm 1.31 µg g⁻¹ DW) were higher than other phenolic detected classes (Sharma et al., 2017).

Enzyme-assisted extraction

Phenolic compounds that are present in the insoluble form (in general phenolic acids) are covalently bounded to the cell walls, and mostly are embedded with cellulose or hemicellulose, pectin, or lignin structures in different plants. The efficiency of EAE is based on the fact that the enzymatic action can catalyze reactions in an aqueous solution that degrades cell walls and membranes, therefore, increases the permeability, releasing the desired compounds. Enzymes usually used are including pectinases, tannases, and ligninases depending on the complexity of the material. Cellulases and hemicellulases largely hydrolyze larger carbohydrate subunits in plant, whereas pectinases are usually used in the fruit matrix. The efficiency of pectinolytic enzymes in the separation of anthocyanins from their glycoside in the grape pomace has been proved (Gligor et al., 2019). Cellulases and pectinases have widely been reported to extract the procyanidins and anthocyanins from grape pomace, while tannase application also was effective to extract the phenolics from grape by-products, as this enzyme catalyzes the esters links between tannins and gallic acid. Therefore, a combination of pectinolytic and cellulolytic enzymes may offer an increased yield of total phenolic compounds from GP (Martins et al., 2016). By using cellulase/tannase, the extraction of insoluble phenolic acids (gallic acid, p-coumaric acid, and caffeic acid) and malvidin was improved from the grape pomace (the total polyphenol content extracted was $0.75 \text{ g GAE } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (Costa et al., 2020).

To accomplish the separation, enzymes are often used as a mixture to increase the extraction yield of bioactives; however, choosing proper enzymes and extraction condition as well as enzyme concentration, time, and temperature of treatment should be taken into consideration. Extraction time can be decreased to half by increasing the enzyme concentrations, which are an important factor, as the prolonged time/temperature may cause compounds degradation or oxidation. The influence of pH in this method has been confirmed, since cellulases work better in acidic pH, while the mixture of cellulase and pectinase showed better enzymatic activity at pH ranges 5.0–6.5 (Gligor et al., 2019).

The EAE method commonly occurs at low temperatures and shorter periods of time with respect to conventional methods, such as maceration or soxhlet. This procedure is based on prior treatment of the matrix with the corresponding enzyme followed by a process of extraction solvent and is widely used to improve the efficiency of the extraction of compounds from a plant matrix. This method is an environmentally friendly technology that can improve the yield of target compounds and solvent use reduction.

Enzyme-assisted extraction was used in multiple extraction (non-conventional) methods to recover phenolics from various biomasses. The combination of two methods of extraction, i.e., enzyme-assisted extraction (EAE) and high hydrostatic pressure (HHP), was evaluated on the phenolic recovery from grape pomace (GP). HHP was applied at different pressures and times (50, 100, and 200 MPa, 0–30 min). The results demonstrated that HHP increased by up to 16 times the activity of the enzymes used in the extraction. Treatments with HHP were more efficient than enzyme-assisted extraction (Cascaes Teles et al., 2020).

Enzyme-assisted extraction has been widely used to extract lycopene from tomato pomace; however, it can also improve the extraction of bound phenolic compounds since the enzyme hydrolyzes the cell compartments and augment the phenolic extraction yield (Catalkaya and Kahveci, 2019). Treatment with various enzymes at different conditions was performed, and the results demonstrated higher phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity with Celluclast–Viscozyme–Pectinex in ethyl acetate solution: TPC of 28.9 \pm 3.13 mg GAE g⁻¹, DPPH of 12.7 \pm 1.67 mg TEAC g⁻¹, and ABTS of 86.5 \pm 8.10 mg TEAC g⁻¹ (Table 3).

Pectinase-assisted extraction has been employed to extract the phenolic compounds from spent coffee grounds at various temperatures (0–100 min). The results revealed that the incubation time of 1 h gives better extraction of flavonoids and phenolic acid with higher antioxidant activity (58.30 \pm 2.36 mg QE g⁻¹, 267.17 \pm 26.69 mg GAE ml⁻¹, and 84.18 \pm 7.01%, respectively) (Khairil Anuar et al., 2020). However, SCG treatment with cellulase over a longer time of extraction (24 h) was not effective to extract chlorogenic acid, possibly due to acid oxidization and degradation (Pinelo et al., 2007).

EAE has been combined with other chemical methods or instruments to increase enzymatic efficiency and extraction yields. The applications of microwave, ultrasound, pressurized liquids, and supercritical fluids are examples. The consequences can be described as shortening extraction time, utilizing non-toxic or non-flammable solvents within simpler steps. The effects come from better contact between enzymes and substrates which augment cell disruption, and rapid mass transfer occurrences.

The effects of enzymes pectinase, cellulase, tannase, and β-glucosidase were evaluated to screen the improvement of phenolics extraction from citrus by-products at various incubation times. Pectinase treatment could enhance the release of phenolic aglycones naringenin and hesperetin significantly (p \leq 0.05). This enzyme has been reported to be more effective to hydrolyze polysaccharides in grapefruit peels than cellulose, and therefore, in releasing phenols from glycones (Ruviaro et al., 2019). The highest yield of narirutin (47.5 \pm 2.3 mg 100 g⁻¹) and hesperidin (255.2 \pm 6.9 mg 100 g $^{-1})$ was gained by cellulase treatment at 6h; pectinase treatment also resulted in higher hesperidin content (117.3 \pm 1.6 mg 100 g $^{-1})$ but after 12 h treatment, while β-glucosidase treatment could extract higher hesperidin (129.4 \pm 8.7 mg 100 g⁻¹). The efficiency of cellulose treatment was more evident on the release of large amounts of narirutin (~4.7-fold increase with respect to the control) and hesperidin (~2.4-fold increase). Increasing the incubation time to 24 h could not influence the amounts of aglycones, which might be due to the enzymatic inhibition created by cellobiose or glucose and even the liberated phenolic compounds in the medium following the enzymatic reaction (Ruviaro et al., 2019).

Tannase (T) treatment improved the naringenin and tangeretin extraction (22.6 \pm 0.6 7 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 1.7 \pm 0.27 mg 100 g⁻¹ after 12 h). The effects of Tannase on orange juice have been described in particularly through hydrolysis of ester bonds from naringenin, and therefore, enhancing its bioactivity (Ruviaro et al., 2019), while β -glucosidase

generally acts on various glycoside substrates and hydrolyze glycosidic links releasing glucose from flavonoid structures. Tangeretin, which was detected in lower amount after tannase and β -glucosidase, has demonstrated antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities (Chen et al., 2017). Hesperetin, once again, was the main flavanone extracted by β -glucosidase treatment from citrus juice by-products. As it was discussed earlier, the incubation time is an important factor in aglycones production, following 24 h of enzyme reaction, the production of hesperetin was increased up to 110-fold with tannase, 111-fold with β -glucosidase, and 70-fold with pectinase (Ruviaro et al., 2019).

The synergism between enzymes was tested by their combination, and within the combined enzyme treatments, the enzymatic cocktail of β -glucosidase (B), cellulase (C), and pectin (P) seemed to be the most effective ones since it notably could increase the hesperetin concentration within all incubation times; however, following 24h of incubation, its recovery was 1.5-fold higher than treating with TCP and 2.7-fold higher than with CP, although the results of each single enzyme treatment after 6 and 12h indicated that, individually, tannase and β-glucosidase may be adequate to urge phenolic hydrolysis and conversion in citrus juice pomace (Ruviaro et al., 2019). This study confirmed that these enzymes (individually or in combination) could facilitate the hydrolysis of sugar residues linked to the phenolic aglycones, resulting in a release of the relative aglycone from their glycosylation, which in this chemical structure has proven the higher biological activity. It is noteworthy that the optimum condition for hesperetin and naringenin extraction was obtained after 24 h incubation with $\beta\mbox{-glucosidase}~(20\,U~g^{-1})$ from the citrus juice by-products (Ruviaro et al., 2019). The importance of hesperetin and naringenin extraction as in aglycone form could be of high interest since many clinical properties have been attributed to them, and therefore, the citrus juice byproduct can be considered as a commercial source through enzymatic processing.

In a recent study, the efficiency of EAE to extract flavanones hesperidin and naringenin from *Citrus* pomace with respect to the conventional hydroalcoholic methods was demonstrated (23.32 \pm 1.46 to 0.54 \pm 0.08 μg^{-1} mg DW, and 2.54 \pm 0.15 to 0.14 \pm 0.01 μg^{-1} mg DW, respectively, for hesperidin and naringenin), since tannase and β -glucosidase treatment were effective in hydrolyzing the rutinosides flavanone and caused the release of naringenin and hesperetin aglycones, in another word the EAE could promote the higher conversion of hesperidin than narirutin (95% to 45%, respectively), through the de-glycosylation of flavanones in the CP matrix during the extraction, which was also evident in the extraction of Diosmetin by EAE and not *via* the hydroalcoholic extraction (Barbosa et al., 2021).

To be summarized, enzymatic treatment has been considered as the most efficient method for citrus pulp

processing, and an enzyme concentration of 1.5% (w/w of the peel sample size) has been reported as the optimum condition to obtain the highest phenolic acid extraction (Sharma et al., 2017).

The other advantages of EAE are the possibility of using plant material as a whole with only a few steps which results in extracting a greater number of bioactive molecules from a specific substrate. The quality and bioavailability of collected compounds are usually high since the amount of residue is relatively low. By the way, this method has some limitations: a) the enzyme application is relatively costly for processing large volumes of raw vegetal material, b) the currently available enzyme mixtures do not permit the complete hydrolyze of plant cell walls, cause in limiting extraction yields of bioactive compounds, c) it is difficult to be used for the industrial scale due to the distinct enzymes' behavior at different environmental conditions, such as the percentage of dissolved oxygen, temperature, and nutrient availability (Puri et al., 2012).

Subcritical fluids extraction, supercritical fluid extraction, and pressurized liquid extraction

Subcritical fluids extraction (SbFE) is a modern technique and is usually referred to as hot liquid solvents or highly pressurized liquid solvents, in which the temperature helps to increase solubility. Solvent such as methanol or ethanol has been used together with subcritical CO2 fluid extraction (since it has been recognized as safe) to extract the phenols from winery by-products (Barba et al., 2016). The results showed a better recovery of anthocyanins up to 85% under the pressure of 100-130 bar, temperature 30-40 °C, pH of 2-4, and the ethanol as solvent (20–50 ml min⁻¹ CO₂ flow). This method could also improve the recovery of gallic acid, catechin, and epicatechin (Barba et al., 2016). The advantages of SbFE method application are easy separation between solid and solvent and using nontoxic solvents; however, the extended time due to the low rate of diffusion of solute from a solid matrix and the requirement of a very specialized automated instrument are the disadvantages of this method (Ameer et al., 2017).

Aliakbarian et al. (2012) have reported the total phenolic content in grape pomace by subcritical water extraction application. The optimized conditions were obtained at 130 min in which the yield was 31.69 mg GAE g^{-1} dry material (Aliakbarian et al., 2012).

Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) is a technology mainly used to gain a much purer extraction from both solid and liquid matrixes. Generally, CO_2 is used as the supercritical fluid, although it is not very efficient to extract the more polar phytochemicals because of the low polarity of CO_2 ; therefore, ethanol or methanol is being used as co-solvents (Zhou et al., 2021). SFE has been widely used to recover anthocyanins and phenolic compounds such as resveratrol from vinery by-products (Barba et al., 2016).

SFE application on citrus pomace indicated higher antioxidant and antimicrobial activities for the extracted biomolecules, although the ultrasonic and soxhlet methods still give a higher yield of total phenolic compounds (Zhou et al., 2021).

Currently, the most important application of SFE in food industry is the extraction of caffeine from coffee and coffee residue. The subcritical fluid extraction was performed with water (SWE) at 100 bar and temperatures up to 220 °C to extract the phenolic compounds from spent coffee grounds. The results gave higher phenolic acids content (19.9 mg GA g^{-1} dry SCG), higher antioxidant activity (EC₅₀ of 20.6 µg ml⁻¹) for samples heated up to 140 °C, whereas samples heated between 140 and 220 °C exhibited a total polyphenol content of 5.7 mg GA g $^{-1}$ dry and antioxidant activity of EC₅₀ of 132.2 μ g ml⁻¹. Interestingly, these extracts were confirmed to have functionality effects such as antiaging and skin lightening by inhibiting elastase at 99% and 97.9%, respectively, also the tyrosinase activity by 78.6 and 92.1%, respectively (Ribeiro et al., 2018). Xu et al. (2015) applied subcritical water extraction (SWE) to extract the phenolic compounds from spent coffee grounds under extraction conditions of temperature 160-180 °C, extraction time of 38–55 min, and solid/solvent ratio (SSR) $(g g^{-1})$: 14.1 g L⁻¹. Under this condition, the phenolic yield was 86.2 mg GAE g^{-1} (Xu et al., 2015).

The pressurized solvent extraction (PLE) is a technique in which solvent extraction at temperatures $(50-200 \ ^{\circ}C)$ and high pressures $(1,500-2,000 \ psi)$ takes place causing the quick and efficient extraction of compounds from the solid matrix. In fact, the use of liquid solvents at high temperatures and pressures improves solubility and mass transfer. PLE is considered as a green extraction method when a non-toxic solvent is used. PLE could be carried out in static and dynamic modes. The advantages with respect to the conventional ones such as maceration or soxhlet extraction are the shorter operational time, higher yield, and lower solvent usage; however, since the high temperature can modify the structure of phenolic compounds this method is not very applicable for the temperature-sensitive molecules, such as phytochemical (Dhua et al., 2022).

PLE application on olive pomace was investigated (the tested factors were consisted of temperature: 65.0-185.0 °C, ethanol as the solvent: 8.0-92.0%, and solid/liquid ratio: $0.2-0.8 \text{ g ml}^{-1}$), and the optimized condition was defined as 184 °C, EtOH 90.0%, SSR = 0.8 g ml^{-1} , at which the hydroxytyrosol (HT) and tyrosol (TY) extraction increased by 5-fold and 3-fold (9.5 vs. 1.79 mg HT g⁻¹ and 5.3 vs. 1.78 mg TY g⁻¹ dry extract, respectively). Under this condition, the total polyphenol contents also doubled (340 instead of 180 mg GAE g⁻¹ dry extract) (Katsinas et al., 2021).

Combining SFE and SbFE methods with pressurized liquid extraction has been investigated to extract phenolic compounds from grape pomace. The SFE was set using CO₂ plus 20% ethanol as co-solvent (100 bar, 55 °C, 20 g min⁻¹ CO₂, 5 g min⁻¹ ethanol for 3 h, and the PLE condition was 120 bar and 100 °C for 3 h). The results indicated an extraction yield of total anthocyanins content of 0.3–2 and 10.1–49.7 mg malvidin chloride g⁻¹ dry grape pomace for SFE and PLE methods, and the total polyphenol yield was of 2.1–4.5 and 15.5–28.9 mg gallic acid equivalent g⁻¹ dry grape pomace, respectively, for different variety of grape pomace (Otero-Pareja et al., 2015).

The effects of UAE, MAE, supercritical CO₂ extraction (SCE), and high pressure (HPE) extraction methods were applied to extract the polyphenol content and flavonoid from orange peels. The optimal conditions extraction was identified as UAE at 125 W at 35 °C for 30 min, MAE at 200 W for 180 s, SCE at 10 MPa and 80°C, and HPE at 50 MPa at 35°C for 30 min, although the optimal antioxidant activity was not gained with these conditions (M'hiri et al., 2015), whereas increasing the power and pressure up to 300 W and 100 MPa resulted in the highest antioxidant values for MAE and HPE; therefore, the SCE method showed the least effectiveness, regardless the green nature. They also explored the effect of these methods on individual phenolic compounds. The results demonstrated that the main flavonoids form (about 84%) were mostly neohesperidin (ranged 0.6–1.04 g 100 g⁻¹ for MAE), and hesperidin (from 0.40 g^{-1} for SCE to 0.83 g 100 g^{-1} for UAE), indicating that the highest and the lowest extraction yield was obtained by MAE and SCE, respectively, even though, the conventional extraction methods again was reported as the best method to recover bioactive with the highest activity (M'hiri et al., 2017).

Pulsed electric field

This method is based on the use of short-span pulses $(\mu s-ms)$ of controlled electric voltage (typically 0.5–20 kV cm⁻¹) between two electrodes to a matrix. Pulsed Electric Field (PEF) pretreatment has been reported to enhance the phenolic extraction from plant cells (Rocha et al., 2018; Arshad et al., 2021) since the electric voltage can disrupt the cell walls. The advantage of PEF pretreatment to conventional solvent extraction is the selective recovery of intracellular bioactives with no severe damages since the mild temperature increases onto the matrix structures. The efficacy of this technique is based not only on the processing factors but also on the solvent nature, sample composition such as size, shape, pH, conductivity, and the extracted component's size and position in the plant cell cytoplasm or vacuoles (Ranjha et al., 2021).

The extraction of phenolics from grape skin residue was performed. The PEF condition of 3 kV cm⁻¹, 3s resulted in an increased value by 10-fold in anthocyanin content, while the electric field densities of 0.5–1.5 kV cm⁻¹ for 10 μ s could

improve the polyphenol and anthocyanin extraction by 20 and 75%, respectively (Thirumdas et al., 2020).

The potential of pulsed electric fields to extract the phenolic compounds from citrus by-products increased significantly up to 159% (orange peels) (electric field densities of 1 kV cm⁻¹ and 7 kV cm⁻¹, t PEF = 60 μ s, 20 pulses, f = 1 Hz). By increasing the electric field strength and the treatment duration, polyphenol extraction increased. In particular, the recovery yields of naringin and hesperidin increased 2- and 3-fold, respectively, in samples pretreated with PEF, as compared to the untreated samples (Putnik et al., 2017). The method was applied also in tomato and olive pomace, the PEF conditions were set up to 2 kV cm⁻¹ and 700 pulses. The extraction of phenolic compounds increased up to two times (56.16 mg GA kg^{-1}) (Andreou et al., 2020). The olive pomace was pretreated with 1.0–6.5 kV cm⁻¹, 0.9–51.1 kJ kg⁻¹, and 15 μ s pulse width. The results showed a significant increase in phenolic extraction up to 91.6% (Andreou et al., 2020).

Noteworthy, PEF has exhibited plausible extraction yields not only for phytochemicals from food processing industries but also for enzymes and nutrients from microorganisms, such as bacteria, yeast, and algae (Ranjha et al., 2021).

High voltage electrical discharges

High Voltage Electrical Discharges (HVED) have various applications such as extraction of bioactive compounds rather than water purification and is based on physicochemical process that occurs when electrical discharges contact with water. This process of dielectric breakdown is the result of ionization of liquid upon applying a high voltage (30–40 kV) and intensity (approximately 10 kA) pulse of short duration (μ s-ms) between two electrodes (Nutrizio et al., 2021). The advantage of HVED method may is cellular structure destruction and mass transfer enhancement from the cell to the solution, thus greatly improving the yields of bioactive (Rocha et al., 2018).

The application of HVED has been reported in grape pomace that could enhance the polyphenol yield, not only for the fresh samples but also for the dried ones (Vorobiev and Chemat, 2013).

Boussetta has reported the extraction of polyphenols from grape pomace which was performed for about 20 h at $50-60^{\circ}$ C. The optimization of electrically assisted extraction resulted in higher polyphenols content, and the most efficient extraction was obtained by 30% ethanol in water at 60° C for 30 min (28 mg GAE g⁻¹ dry pomace) (Boussetta et al., 2011).

In olive by-products, HVED parameters were investigated for different green solvent types (water, ethanol). The treatment times were varied between 3 and 9 min, and gases such as nitrogen and argon, with voltages of 15, 20, and 25 kV were tested. The highest yield of phenolic compounds was obtained for the sample treated with argon/9 min/20 kV/50% (3.2 times higher as compared to conventional extraction) (Žuntar et al., 2019).

Although by HVED, the extraction yield usually increases, the extraction time is shortened, and the energy consumption is reduced, a contradictory large number of free radicals are produced during the high voltage discharge that can lead to oxidative cell damage and oxidizing the target phytocompounds and consequently decrease the final yield (Li et al., 2019).

Pulsed ohmic heating

Pulsed Ohmic Heating (POH) is an innovative electroheating method in which the electrical energy provided to the heating cell is ideally used only for heat generation, and electrochemical reactions, that is, chemical reactions at electrode-solution interfaces induced by the current. POH may combine electrical and thermal treatments to give a more effective extraction method to extract the bioactive through mild temperatures (Ferreira-Santos et al., 2021; Junqua et al., 2021).

In grape pomace, both the normal and freezed samples were treated at POH criteria of electric field between 00 and 400 V cm^{-1} , and time of 2,000 µs was compared. The effects of electric field strength (E: 100–800 V cm⁻¹) and the percentage of ethanol in water (E/W: 0–50%) on polyphenols extraction were tested as well. The results indicated a better polyphenols extraction in water and in water–ethanol solution. The final yield of polyphenols in water after 60 min of extraction was 310 mg GAE 100 g⁻¹ DM for the untreated grape pomace, 420 mg GAE 100 g⁻¹ DM for the pomace treated at E0400 V cm⁻¹, and 540 mg GAE 100 g⁻¹ DM for the pomace treated at E0400 V cm⁻¹. It assumes that the addition of ethanol can improve the polyphenol extraction efficiency (Boussetta et al., 2011; Pereira et al., 2020). The optimum temperature for better polyphenol extraction was yielded at 50 °C (El Darra et al., 2013).

POH was applied on tomato pomace at 70 $^{\circ}$ C for 15 min with ethanol 70%, and the results indicated a better recovery for Rutin (77%) than control samples (Coelho et al., 2019).

Ohmic heating

Recently, a thermal method has been developed to extract phenolic compounds. The principle of ohmic heating (OH) is based on electrical energy that generates heat within the material being extracted instead of transferring heat from a hot surface as in the conventional methods. This advantage facilitates heat distribution in multicomponent matrix and highly viscous materials. The benefits of using ohmic heating is that the uniform generated heat results in a reduced treatment time, less energy consumption and with no utilization of organic solvents (green extraction), giving a high recovery yield of anthocyanins and phenolic compounds from vegetable tissues

(Pereira et al., 2016; Rocha et al., 2018; Ferreira-Santos et al., 2019). The other advantage reported for OH is its suitability for bacterial inactivation in industry. Usually, bacterial inactivation which is performed by PEF needs high electric field strengths that increase power consumption extremely. Combining OH with high temperature within a short time, not only allows for a higher phenolic extraction yield but also provides the principal for bacterial inactivation; therefore, it has superiority to other bacterial inactivation treatments such as mechanical or enzymatic techniques in industrial applications (Pereira et al., 2016). In the study by Pereira et al., 2016, during OH the MEF applied was 0 V cm⁻¹ (conventional heat exchange heating), 15 V cm^{-1} , and 30 V cm^{-1} (OH); for each MEF level, the temperatures selected were 30 $^\circ C$ (room temperature), 60 $^\circ C$, and 90°C (corresponding to minimum and maximum blanching temperatures). The holding time was 0-, 5-, and 10-min samples were put in distilled water at 1.5 w v^{-1} and placed in an orbital shaker at 90 rpm, 20 °C). This condition allowed enhancing different classes of phytochemical extraction from colored potatoes, with lower power consumption (Pereira et al., 2016). Therefore, OH can provide fast and homogeneous internal heating which can be suitable for selective extraction of solutes from vegetal tissues in controlled temperatures. Condition of high frequency (25 kHz) and low electric field (30 V/cm) is usually reported as being well suited for industrial applications (Pereira et al., 2016). However, some disadvantages have been reported for ohmic heating: a) narrow band of frequencies, b) high cost of establishing ohmic heating systems, c) it is not applicable in matrixes with high-fat granules that do not permit the heat conduction due to lack of water, and d) the corrosion of the electrodes due to electrochemical reactions which consequently increasing the total cost (Junqua et al., 2021).

Deep eutectic solvent

Deep Eutectic Solvent (DES) is a method based on easy preparation by mixing a hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) and a hydrogen bond donor (HBD) at a suitable temperature. The advantages are low price, easy, and biodegradability with relatively low toxicity. Usually, choline chloride (ChCl) is used in DES since it is a cheap and non-toxic salt, and HBD are urea, ethylene glycol, glycerol, alcohols, amino acids, carboxylic acids, and sugars. The physicochemical characteristics of DES, such as freezing point, conductivity, density, viscosity, and polarity, normally depend on their composition; therefore, it is possible to modulate them by modifying the HBD and HBA components.

DES has been applied in grape pomace to extract the phenolic compounds. Panzella et al. (2020) has performed three trials in which citric acid-based DES were prepared as follows: A- citric acid/maltose (4:1 molar), B- lactic acid-sodium acetate (5:1 molar), and C- ChCl-oxalic acid (4:1 molar).

The results emphasized longer extraction times (50 min, at 65 °C, with a solid-to-liquid ratio of 100 g L^{-1}) in the case of UAE using ChCl-oxalic acid as DES in presence of 25% water to extract phenolic compounds from grape skin, while A-citric acid/maltose resulted in higher total anthocyanin content (TAC), and lactic acid–sodium acetate was efficient for pigment extraction (Panzella et al., 2020).

In olive pomace, glycerol-glycine-water (at 7:1:3 molar ratio) were explored and the optimized parameters in terms of total polyphenol yield and antioxidant power were 80% in water (w/w) DES concentration and a solid-to-liquid ratio of 31 g L^{-1} , at 70 °C. Under these conditions, higher total polyphenol yield from leaves (+18-30%) was obtained compared to 60% aqueous ethanol, aqueous methanol, and water, used as reference solvents, which resulted in significantly higher antiradical activity and reducing power (Athanasiadis et al., 2018). Lactic acid-glucose 5:1 mol mol⁻¹ implemented with 15% of water has also been proposed as a solvent for extraction of phenolic compounds from different by-products of olive oil industry, combined with 30-60 min UAE at 40°C, using a solid-tosolvent ratio of 75 g L^{-1} (Fernández et al., 2018). Roughly, the same condition was applied to extract the phenolic compounds from tomato pomace (Table 3), where rutin and catechin were extracted as the main phenolic compounds (Fernández et al., 2018).

In citrus pomace, optimal conditions for DES were found as 10% w/w of water, a temperature of 60 $^{\circ}$ C, a solid-to-liquid ratio of 100 g L⁻¹, and an extraction time of 100 min (Orange peel) (Ozturk et al., 2018).

Despite the advantages of this technique, the isolation of desired compounds after extraction by DES is difficult due to the density, viscosity, and low vapor pressure of solvents (Palos-Hernández et al., 2022).

Biological activity

Anti-inflammatory activity of agro-industrial phytochemicals: Mechanism of action

Inflammation refers to a series of body responses against external harms that can be injuries and infections or toxins (Abdulkhaleq et al., 2018). As a consequence, chemicals like cytokines are liberated from immune systems to protect the cells. Chronic inflammation occurs when the immune systems fail to combat the inflammation stimuli and the inflammatory status prolongs, which in turn reactive oxygen species (ROS) or reactive nitrogen species (RNS) are produced from the body immune response, causing numerous negative impacts on the cells and tissues. In addition, inflammation is associated with diseases, such as diabetes, cancer, cardiovascular, and neurodegenerative disease (Blaser et al., 2016). Several gene expressions are in charge of the inflammatory responses that encode transcription factors, cytokines, chemokines, interferons, cellular adhesion molecules, and growth factors, as well as regulatory enzymes that prompt the phosphorylation/glycosylation to produce or remove generated free radicals.

As it has been discussed earlier in this review, different classes of phenolic compounds have been recovered from agroindustrial by-products and their mechanism of action as antiinflammatory activities both *in vitro* and *in vitro* are described in detail in the following (Table 4).

Antioxidant enzymes modulations

The antioxidative activity of phenolic compounds within the cells is integrated through enzymatic redox systems (Mármol et al., 2021). These enzymes consist of superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), peroxiredoxins (PRXs), catalase (CAT), heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), and glutathione reductase (GR) that act primarily as a defense mechanism to control the oxidative status. The activity of these enzymes is modulated by an important transcriptional factor, i.e., nuclear factor erythroid-related factor (Nrf)-2 (Zhang and Tsao, 2016). Generally, Nrf2 is delimited by Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1). Polyphenols result in Nrf2 disassociation and its translocation from cytoplasm into nucleus where the transcription of several genes encoding the antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD, GPx, and CAT, initiates via antioxidantresponsive elements (ARE) regulation at the excessive cellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels. Releasing above mentioned antioxidant enzymes and endogenous antioxidant glutathione (GSH) is one of the most important mechanisms in maintaining oxidative stress. The Nrf2/Keap1 pathway is also essential as a defensive mechanism against reactive carbonyl compounds (RCC) that are accumulated in organs, such as blood, skin, kidney, and retina, and possibly are associated with many chronic diseases, such as arteriosclerosis, diabetes mellitus, and kidney disease. The effect of RCC has been proposed also on the central nervous system (CNS), involving neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease (Nishimoto et al., 2017). The contribution of Nrf2/Keap1 pathway as a detoxifying mechanism against RCC in neuronal cells has been reported (Nishimoto et al., 2017). Polyphenols are recognized as triggering the interaction between Nrf2 and aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR). Nrf2-ARE activators induce a battery of cell-protective genes that are critical for preventing oxidative damage, inflammation, and tumorigenesis, and this ability could be taken advantage of in antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer agents.

Flavonoids, in particular, are AhR agonistic regulators mediating further inflammatory signaling events (Zhang and Tsao, 2016). It has been reported that many flavonoids such

as quercetin, rutin, apigenin, chrysin, and luteolin and their derivatives act as AhR agonistic regulators to maintain the oxidative status. Flavonoids such as rutin, kaempferol, apigenin, catechin, chrysin, tangeretin, and luteolin have demonstrated antioxidative stress activities on various cell types *via* activation of AhR/Nrf2 pathway (Table 4). As it has been reported that these phenolic compounds are found at various concentrations in agro-industrial wastes reviewed in this work (Tables 2, 3).

Inflammatory cytokine expression regulation

Nuclear factor kappa B (NF-KB) family is one of the most important signaling pathways that control the immune responses and the development of inflammation, composed of five hetero- or homo-dimer members that modulate the transcription of target genes. The most important and abundant complex is p65/p50, which controls the expression of genes such as IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α, IFN-β, and iNOS. Irregular activation of these pathways results in inflammatory disease progress, causing autoimmune disease and cancer. The importance of NF-KB in inflammation regulation is not only inducing the expression of inflammatory cytokines/chemokines or adhesion molecules but also regulating the carcinogenesis process within the cells, such as proliferation, apoptosis, morphogenesis, and differentiation (Liu et al., 2017). Polyphenols have demonstrated strong efficiency to control this pathway at different phases. Many membrane-bound ligands such as Toll-Like Receptor (TLR) and interleukine-1 (IL-1) can activate this pathway, resulting in IKB phosphorylation [NF-kB Inactive form is bounded to an inhibitor (IkB)] and its degradation. Therefore, NF-KB translocates into the nucleus and initiates the upregulation of transcription genes that in consequence regulate cell survival/proliferation and inflammatory responses (Liu et al., 2017).

The other signaling pathway that controls cellular processes during the inflammatory status and modulates cytokine expression and inflammatory proteins expression is mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK). This signaling pathway includes different kinase classes: p38 MAPKs, extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK), and c-Jun NH2 terminal kinases (JNK), which mainly control the cell growth/survival and differentiation, and can be activated by different stimuli such as oxidative stress, inflammatory cytokines, and DNA damage (Zhang and Tsao, 2016).

Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (P13K/Akt) signaling is the other pathway that coordinates cellular processes in many cancers' progression. The pathway in particular regulates cell metabolism, motility, and proliferation over the protein transcription. P13K/Akt downregulation causes apoptosis and ceases cell survival. Natural or synthesized agents, such as

Class	Compounds	Concentration	Mechanism of action	By-products					Ref.
				OP	СР	GP	SCG	ТР	
Hydroxybenzoic acids	Gallic acid	$50\mu molL^{-1}$	Modulating the phosphorylation of IkB-a in IL-1β treated Caco-2 cells			*	*	*	Romier et al., 2008
		0.1 mg ml ⁻¹ fruit	IL-6, IL-8 and MCP-1 concentrations significantly decreased $n < 0.001$						Hollebeeck et al.,
	Ellagic acid	$50 \mu mol L^{-1}$	Modulating the phosphorylation of IkB-a in IL -16 -treated Caco-2 cells			*		*	Romier et al., 2008
		$50\mu M$	IL-6, IL-8 and MCP-1 concentrations significantly decreased $p < 0.001$						Hollebeeck et al., 2012
			Inhibition of NLRP3 inflammasome						Tang et al., 2015
	Vanillic acid	0.09 mg g^{-1}	Modulating IL-8 and PGE2 secretion			*		*	Martins et al., 2017
Hydroxycinnamic	Cinnamic acid	$0.025-1 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$	inhibition of cytokines, NO, PGE2, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α secretion			*		*	Kim and Kim, 2019
	Coumaric acids	$2.62-21.3 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$	Inhibition on COX-2 expression & IL-8 secretion		*	*	×	*	Huang et al., 2015
									Chen et al., 2017
	Caffeic acids	$0.5-2 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$	Suppression IL-8 secretion in caco-2 cells		*	*	*	*	Shin et al., 2015
		$2 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$	IL-8 cytokine secretion reduced			*	*	*	Zhao et al., 2008
	Chlorogenic acids	$0.5-2 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$	Suppression IL-8 secretion. In caco-2 cells			*	*	*	Shin et al., 2015
		3.24 mg g^{-1}	Inhibition on COX-2 expression & IL-8						Huang et al., 2015
			secretion						
		0.2–2 mM CQA	increased the amount of Nrf2 protein in						Liang and Kitts,
			Caco-2 cells						2018 Zhao et al., 2008
	Ferulic acid		Reduced IL-1 β secretion	*	*	*	×	*	Parizad et al., 2019 Chen et al., 2017 Villela-Castrejón et al. 2017
	Sinapic acid			*	*		*	*	Chen et al. 2017
Flavones	Apigenin		Reducing IL-1β secretion		*	*	*	*	Funakoshi-Tago
									et al., 2014; Ali
	Luteolin				*				et u., 2017
	Diosmetin				*				
	Tangeretin	$0.5-4 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$	inhibit iNOS and COX-2 expression in LPS		*				
	0	0	and IFN- γ induced Raw 264.7 cells						
	Nobiletin	$0.5-4 \mathrm{mg}\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$	inhibit iNOS and COX-2 expression in LPS		*				
		-	and IFN- γ induced Raw 264.7 cells						
Flavonols	Kaempferol	3.11 mg g^{-1}	Inhibition on COX-2 expression & IL-8		*	*	*	*	Devi et al., 2015;
			secretion						Huang et al., 2015
	Quercetin	$50 \ \mu mol \ L^{-1}$			*	*	*	*	Romier et al., 2008
			Reducing IL-1β & IL-18						Wang et al., 2012

TABLE 4 Different class of phenolic compounds and their mechanism of action.

TABLE 4 (Continued)

Class	Compounds	Concentration	Mechanism of action		By	y-prod	ucts		Ref. Huang et al., 2015 Martins et al., 2017 Chuang et al., 2010 Parizad et al., 2019
				ОР	СР	GP	SCG	ТР	
		$4.4-34.6 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$	Inhibition on COX-2 expression & IL-8 secretion						Huang et al., 2015
		$0.58 \ {\rm mg \ g^{-1}}$	Modulating IL-8 and PGE2 secretion						Martins et al., 2017
		$0-60\mu\mathrm{M}$	Reducing IL-6, IL-1 β , IL-8 and MCP-1 secretion						Chuang et al., 2010
			Reduced IL-1β secretion						Parizad et al., 2019
	Rutin	$1.27 \ {\rm mg \ g^{-1}}$	Modulating IL-8 and PGE2 secretion		*	*	*	*	Martins et al., 2017
									Mascaraque et al., 2014
			Reduced IL-1 β secretion						Parizad et al., 2019
	Myrecetin	$1.5 \mathrm{mg}\mathrm{g}^{-1}$	Inhibition on COX-2 expression & IL-8 secretion			*		*	Huang et al., 2015
		$0.33 \ mg \ g^{-1}$	Modulating IL-8 and PGE2 secretion						Martins et al., 2017
									Semwal et al., 2016
Flavanones	Naringenin	$50 \ \mu mol \ L^{-1}$			*	*		*	Romier et al., 2008
		2.43 mg g^{-1}	Inhibition on COX-2 expression & IL-8 secretion						Huang et al., 2015
									Yilma et al., 2013;
									Patel et al., 2018
									Raza et al., 2013
	Neohesperidin				*				
	Hesperidin	$2030\mu M$	The level of NO2 decreased iNOS protein		*				Parhiz et al., 2015
			gene expression suppressed in RAW 264.7						
			macrophage cells stimulated by LPS						
		$0.5 - 4 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$	Inhibition of iNOS and COX-2						
			protein/mRNA expression in Raw 264.7 in a						
			dose-dependent manner.						
	Hesperitin								
	Narirutin	$50-100~\mu g~ml^{-1}$	Inhibit the release of NO, PGE2, IL-1 β , and TNE- α in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells		*				Ha et al., 2012
			stimulated by LPS						
		$0.5-4 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$	inhibition of iNOS and COX-2						
			protein/mRNA expression in Raw 264.7 in a						
			dose-dependent manner cells were treatment						
			with 50 ng/ml of LPS and 10 ng/ml of IFN-γ						
Flavan-3-ols	Catechin	$50 \mu mol L^{-1}$					*		Romier et al., 2008
		$13.55 \mathrm{mg}\mathrm{g}^{-1}$	Modulating IL-8 and PGE2 secretion						Martins et al., 2017
			Reduced IL-1β secretion						Parizad et al., 2019
									Silvan et al., 2017;
									Chen et al., 2018a,b
									Chen et al., 2017
	Epicatechin	50μ mol L $^{-1}$				*	*		Romier et al., 2008
		7.14 mg g^{-1}	Modulating IL-8 and PGE2 secretion						Martins et al., 2017
			Reduced IL-1 β secretion						Parizad et al., 2019
									Silvan et al., 2017
									Chen et al., 2017

Class	Compounds	Concentration	Mechanism of action	By-products					Ref.
				ОР	СР	GP	SCG	ТР	
									Rodríguez-Ramiro
									et al., 2013
	Proanthocyanidi	in							Kramer et al., 2019
									Rodriguez-Ramiro
									McKenzie et al
									2009
stillbenes	Resveratrol	$50 \mu mol L^{-1}$				*		*	Romier et al., 2008
Catechol	Hydroxytyrosol			*					
	НТ								Fuccelli et al., 2018;
									Bertelli et al., 2020
	Tyrosol			*					
	Oleuropein			*					
			Inhibition IL-1 β , TNF- α , NLPR3, IL-6						Cheng et al., 2016;
									Song et al., 2016;
									Wang et al., 2017
									Panaro et al., 2012
		$060\mu M$	Reducing IL-6, IL-1 β , IL-8 and MCP-1 secretion						Ranjha et al., 2021
Chalcones	Chalcon naringe	enin			*			*	Yadav et al., 2011
Anthocyanins	Cyanidin		Reduced IL-1β secretion			*			Gessner et al., 2012;
									Taverniti et al.,
									2014; Jung et al.,
									2015; Kuntz et al.,
									2015; Ferrari et al.,
									2016; Zhang et al.,
									2016; Haggard
									et al., 2017; Parizad
									et al., 2019
	Delphinidin		Reduced IL-1 β secretion		*	*			Zhang et al., 2016;
									Parizad et al., 2019
	Pelargonidin								Zhang et al., 2016,
									2017
	Peonidin				*				Jung et al., 2015;
									Zhang et al., 2019
	Petonidin								Zhang et al., 2017
	Malvidin				*	*			Bognar et al., 2013;
									Decendit et al.,
									2013; Santos et al.,
									2013; Kuntz et al.,
									2015; Cheng et al.,
									2016; Dai et al.,
									2017; Huang et al.,
									2018

TABLE 4 (Continued)

*Refers to the presence of phenolic compounds in the by-products.

phenolic compounds, that can modulate the activity of the PI3K/AKT pathway, may contribute to the development of therapeutic treatment of cancers (Fatima and Siddique, 2019). Polyphenols are recognized as inhibitors not only on P13K/Akt but also on kappa kinase/C-Jun amino-terminal kinases (IKK/JNK) and toll-like receptor (TLR) (Kopustinskiene et al., 2020).

Under cellular infections, oxidative stress or excessive proinflammatory response resulting from IL-18 have regulatory effects on NLRP3 inflammasome. Pyrin domain-containing protein 3 (NLRP3) is an intracellular sensor that in case of external danger forms NLRP3 inflammasomes, activates Toll-like receptor (TLR), and leads to releasing caspase 1dependent (caps-1). Activation of TLR-1 by interleukine-1 results in NF-KB-activated and MAPK and generates a series of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-a, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, and IFN-g. (Swanson, 2019). These reactions, subsequently, initiate the extension of inflammatory status within the cells. NLRP3 regulatory property is important in the development of inflammatory metabolic disorders and degenerative diseases, such as type-2 diabetes and Alzheimer's disease (Milner et al., 2021). Recent in-vivo and in-vitro studies have demonstrated that phenolics such as procyanidins, apigenin, cinnamic acids, and stilbenes have anti-inflammatory effects by inhibiting the NLRP3 inflammasome activation and IL-1 β secretion.

Polyphenols also have demonstrated regulatory properties on the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)-g. This nuclear receptor is important in regulating fatty acid breakdown and metabolism of glucose, so, controlling the lipid and glucose metabolism and the consequent inflammatory status. Therefore, this pathway is important in multiple diseases, such as obesity, diabetes, and hypertension (Salau et al., 2020).

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) mechanism of action

Phenolic compounds are effective on arachidonic acid cascade enzymes, such as cyclooxygenase (COX) and lipoxygenase (LOX) enzyme inhibitors (Lončarić et al., 2021).

The exogenous inflammatory stimuli such as LPS, TNF- α , or tissue injuries affect phospholipase A₂ that modulate the arachidonic acid pathway and secretion of prostaglandins (PG) and thromboxanes (TX). In fact, COX inhibition has been recognized as the main mechanism for the anti-inflammatory activity of Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs). NSAIDs have also suppressive effects on NF- κ B and inflammatory proteins expressions, such as cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules. Many polyphenols such as gallic acid, quercetin, and resveratrol are recognized as COX inhibitors, whereas caffeic acid, quercetin, catechin, benzoic

acid, ferulic acid, and kaempferol are good examples of LOX inhibitors (Lončarić et al., 2021). Since PGE2 synthesis is important in the development of cancer, polyphenols can be considered as complementary compounds to develop effective drugs for the treatment of the resilience of tumor cells and reducing the inflammatory processes.

Many of the phenolic compounds act on several simultaneously; however, some are limited just to one pathway. Hydroxybenzoic acids (HBAS), for example, act on the interleukin (IL-6) suppression in Caco-2 cell lines. HBAS comprises gallic acid (GA), Vanillic acid (VA), and syringic acid (SyA). Studies have reviled that a cocktail at a final concentration of $50\,\mu M$ made from GA and Ellagic acid (EA) could significantly decrease the gene levels of inflammatory markers IL-6 and IL-8 (p < 0.001) in the intestinal cells (Hollebeeck et al., 2012). Ellagic acid that is mainly found in the grape pomace and to some extent in the tomato pomace had exerting antioxidative activity on inhibiting NLRP3 inflammasome signal pathway in animal model (EA concentration: 50 mg EA per kg body weight) (Tang et al., 2015). Grape pomace extracts (GPE) at 100 µg ml⁻¹ concentration of phenolic compounds could suppress the IL-8 and PGE2 secretion in the Caco-2 cells pretreated with leukocyte IL-1β (1 ng ml $^{-1}$). HPLC analysis revealed that this extract was rich in flavonoids, in particular, catechin, epicatechin, and gallic acid. To evaluate the NF-kB complex activity, the p65 protein translocation was quantified and showed a moderate correlation (r = 0.36) with the cytokine IL-8 secretion (p = 0.012), although when the concentration of IL-1 β were raised to 20 ng ml⁻¹, the NF-kB activity was improved by 223% with respect to the negative control, this activity was then suppressed at 27% by GPE at a concentration of 100 μ g ml⁻¹. The prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) secretion was also decreased in cells treated with GPE at 200 μ g ml⁻¹ and was more correlated (r = 0.85) with the IL-8 secretion ($p \le 0.0001$). The levels of cyclooxygenase-2 enzyme after treatment by IL-1 β (20 ng ml⁻¹) increased by 228% after 6 h; however, grape pomace extract at 100 μ g ml⁻¹ was not able to decline it (Martins et al., 2017). The effects of Vanilic acid (VA) that have been found in other by-products except for SCG in minor quantities have been reported by Martins et al. (2017) on modulating IL-8 and PGE2 secretion at a concentration of 0.09 mg g^{-1} (Martins et al., 2017).

Resveratrol, which is the main representative of stilbenoids, in grape skins and consequently can be found in grape pomace, accounts as one of the main antioxidants in grape products (Singh et al., 2016). The anti-inflammatory properties of resveratrol have been described through different mechanisms. The inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokines expression is mainly by inhibiting COX-2 and AP-1. This stilbenoid can also reduce nitric oxide (NO) production by inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) inhibition. Resveratrol has shown inhibitory effects on MAPK *via* (ERK) 1/2 and p38 inhibition (Singh et al., 2016).

Hydroxycinnamic acid (HCCA) such as cinnamic acid (CA), ferulic acid (FA), caffeic (CA) and chlorogenic acids (Chl A) constructs the main part of phenolic acids in the agro-industrial by-products (Table 2). Cinnamic acid at a concentration between 0.025 and 1 mg ml⁻¹ has demonstrated inhibitory activity on the NO, PGE2 production, and cytokines secretion such as IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-a (Kim and Kim, 2019). Tomato by-products have considerably high contents of cinnamic acid (Table 2). Coumaric acid (CoA) is the hydroxy derivative of cinnamic acid also found in tomato by-products and grape pomace in three various isomers forms (certainly depends on the origin and variety of material). CoA at a concentration of 2.62-21.3 mg ml⁻¹ could inhibit both the COX-2 and IL-8 cytokine expression (Huang et al., 2015). Notable contents of p-coumaric acid have been also found in citrus pomace. Ferulic acid is a phenolic compound covalently bound to almost all plant cell walls, and therefore, usually present in plant-derived agro-industrial by-products in variable concentrations. Experiment in Caco-2 cells has demonstrated the anti-inflammatory properties of ferulic acid on IL-1 β secretion (Parizad et al., 2019). Spent coffee grounds are the main source of caffeic acid and chlorogenic acid both at a concentration of 0.5-2 mmol L^{-1} have displayed strong suppression activity on the IL-8 cytokine secretion in caco-2 cells (Monente et al., 2015). ChlA at $10 \,\mu g \,ml^{-1}$ could inhibit the ILcytokine expression by 78.5% vs. control (Abbasi-Parizad et al., 2021). Huang has reported that chlorogenic acids at 3.24 mg g⁻¹ could inhibit the expression of COX-2 and cytokine IL-8 secretion (Huang et al., 2015); however, the caffeeolquinic acid (CQA) is more effective since lower concentration (0.2-2 mM) of that could reduce the inflammatory status. Treatment of the Caco-2 cells with CQA, downregulated cytokine IL-8 expression by 50%, further analysis revealed its capacity for upregulating the NFkB signaling cascade through reducing the p-38 in mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) (Liang and Kitts, 2018).

Flavonoids are a large group of polyhydroxyphenols that can act not only on protein kinases such as protein kinase C (PKC), phosphoinositol kinase, phosphatidylinositol kinase (PIK), cyclin-dependent kinase-4, and tyrosine kinase but also on phosphodiesterases like cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) pathway that is involved in the numerous cellular signaling cascades such as cell differentiation and lipid metabolism besides affecting gene/protein expression in inflammation (Yokoyama et al., 2015). It has been evaluated that the flavonoid's chemical structure is responsible for their anti-inflammatory properties, in fact, number and position of hydroxy/methoxyl groups on the B-ring and a double-bonds in C2 = C3 improves the interaction between flavonoids and regulatory enzymes (Chen et al., 2018b).

Flavonoids quercetin, kaempferol, myricetin, and apigenin can inhibit serin/theronine protein kinases (PIK3/AKT) in a competitive manner (Lolli et al., 2012). These flavonoids have been reported for modulatory properties of transcription factors such as NF-kB (Choy et al., 2019). The main flavonols, kaempferol, myrecetin, quercetin, and its glycosylic form, rutin are found in relatively high concentrations in agro-industrial by-products (Martins and Ferreira, 2017). Both kaempferol and myrecetin were effective to suppress the interleukin-8 (IL-8) and cyclooxygenage-2 (COX-2) expression in the Caco-2 cells at 3.11 mg g^{-1} and 1.5 mg g^{-1} , respectively (Huang et al., 2015). Kaempferol decreased the expression of PGE2 and iNOS by inhibiting the p38 phosphorylation (Chen et al., 2018a,b). Martins et al. (2017) have illustrated that myrecetin at lower concentrations (0.33 mg g^{-1}) could affect PGE2 secretion (Martins et al., 2017). Quercetin, myrecetin, and kaempferol were found to be better lipoxygenase inhibitors than others. Quercetin showed the different mechanism of antiinflammatory properties via the modulation of several signaling pathways. This flavonol by having an ortho-dihydroxy group on its B-ring had presented very effective both on antioxidant enzymes regulation such as Nrf2 and the inhibition of cytokine expressions via pathways of COX-2, p38-NF-kB, and MAPK. It also could attenuate the NO production by inhibiting the inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS); however, it has been proved that the anti-inflammatory activity of various flavonoids mightily depends on the de-conjugation of the glycosides to flavonoid aglycones (Chen et al., 2018b). Apigenin has been reported as an strong inhibitor for the IL-1ß secretion in Caco-2 cells (Funakoshi-Tago et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014; Ali et al., 2017). Apigenin has demonstrated the different mechanism of action in various cell types on signaling pathways, resulted in reducing the expression of TNF-a and IL-6 via suppressing the phosphorylation of p65, and inhibiting the activation of MAPK, NF-kB, and iNOS. Naringenin belongs to the flavanones and is the main flavonoid found in tomato and citrus by-products (Belović et al., 2016). Recent in-vitro and in-vivo studies have illustrated a wide range of health benefits and its anti-inflammatory properties on the various inflammatory biomarkers (Yadav et al., 2011). The levels of COX-2 enzyme and cytokine IL-8 were reduced by treating with 2.43 mg g^{-1} of naringenin in Caco-2 cell line (Huang et al., 2015), and it also could decrease the gene expression of MCP-1 (monocyte chemoattractant protein-1). Naringenin chalcone is almost found in exclusive amounts in tomato peel, and therefore, is present in the tomato pomace (Table 2) (Belović et al., 2016). Anti-inflammatory properties of naringenin chalcone have been demonstrated through the downregulation of pro-inflammatory mediators MCP-1, TNF-α, and the iNOS expression. Although chalcones have an open C-ring but it seems that the presence of a double bond in conjugation with carbonyl group is responsible for their anti-inflammatory properties.

Catechin and epicatechin belong to the flavan-3-ols found principally in spent coffee ground and grape pomace (Table 2) (López-Linares et al., 2021). The concentration of 13.55 mg g⁻¹ and 7.14 mg g⁻¹ could modulate the secretion of inflammatory

cytokines, such as IL-8, IL-1 β , and PGE2(Martins et al., 2017), while their strong anti-inflammatory property can be indicated by the unique chemical structural; in particular, the presence of the hydroxyl and galloyl groups in a stereochemitric pattern (Chen et al., 2018b).

Anthocyanin, a group of flavonoids, is greatly concentrated in grape pomace and red orange pomace. They have demonstrated modulatory properties on various cellular signaling pathways of inflammation including NF-kB, MAPK, and COX-2, and in consequence downregulating different gene expressions of inflammatory cytokines. Derivatives of cyanidin and malvidin in grape pomace are predominant anthocyanins. Studies on the anti-inflammatory properties of anthocyanins in Caco-2 cells demonstrated downregulation of IL-1 β cytokine (Haggard et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018).

The main flavanones detected in citrus pomace are eriocitrin, narirutin, naringenin, nobiltin, apigenin, and rutin. The flavones tangeretin, diosmin, and luteolin are mainly found in orange, lemon, and bergamot by-products (Barreca et al., 2020). Aglycone hesperetin is also found in citrus juice by-products to the inferior values (Ruviaro et al., 2019). Phenolic compounds from Clementine Mandarine could decrease the ROS significantly (p < 0.05) in Caco-2 cells stimulated with H₂O₂ (Fernández-Fernández et al., 2021).

To show the efficiency of flavanones mixtures on suppression of the inflammatory status, a mix of two rutinosides and two neohesperidosides plus hesperetin aglycone were prepared at $10 \,\mu$ M concentration and were tested in Caco-2 cells treated by IL-1 β (25 ng ml⁻¹). The citrus by-products flavanones mix demonstrated a significant (p < 0.001) increase in IL-6 (~7-folds), IL-8 (~27-folds), and in NO release (~2.5-folds) vs. control, which approves the synergism effect (Denaro et al., 2021). Narirutin has been reported the inhibition of NO and PGE2 release in the macrophages stimulated by LPS (Chen et al., 2017). The mechanism of action of these flavanones has been reported through the activation of NF-kB and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs).

By testing extract rich in flavone aglycone from citrus pomace at a concentration of 1.0 mg ml⁻¹ in RAW264.7 cells stimulated by LPS, cytokine secretion was decreased by 30.7% and 43.4% for TNF-a and IL-6, respectively (Nakajima et al., 2017). When flavonol quercetin has been only detected in mandarin by-products (126 \pm 18.2 mg kg⁻¹ FW), quercetin 3-O-rhamnoside has been extracted from almost all citrus by-products (3.7 \pm 0.65 to 150 \pm 11.4 mg kg⁻¹ FW). The concentration of hesperidin extracted from citrus by-products has been reported up to 377 \pm 17.2 mg kg⁻¹ FW (Multari et al., 2020). The anti-inflammatory effect of hesperidin is to target cytokines, such as IL-6, COX-2, iNOS, and TNF-α. It has been reported that hesperidin treatment could reduce PGE2 production significantly (Multari et al., 2020). It also could suppress the iNOS expression in the RAW 264.7 macrophage cells stimulated by LPS. The flavonoids mix of hesperidin and naringenin extracted from Korean orange could suppress the pro-inflammatory mRNA and cytokines, and the enzyme levels of COX-2 (Chen et al., 2018a). Hesperidin could also prevent scavenging ROS, thus reducing skin inflammation. The intercellular antioxidant activity of hesperidin and its aglycon hesperetin can be described through the ERK/Nrf2 signaling pathway (Zhu et al., 2020).

Citrus pomaces from Clemenule and Ortanique mandarin varieties and Navel and Valencia orange varieties demonstrated TPC and ABTS in the range of 10–25 mg GAE g⁻¹ and 60–420 μ mol g⁻¹, respectively, with naringin/narirutin content accounting for more than 71% of total phenolics (Fernández-Fernández et al., 2021), for which the mechanism of antiinflammatory has been discussed earlier in this work.

Conventional extraction has revealed the total polyphenol content in the olive pomace of about 661 mg kg^{-1} of pomace (Yakhlef et al., 2018). Hydroxytyrosol (HT), tyrosol, and oleuropein are considered as the most abundant polyphenols in olive and olive by-products and have shown strong antioxidants and anti-inflammatory properties (Richard et al., 2011). The anti-inflammatory effects of these substances on different cytokines and chemokines have been evaluated in the macrophages stimulated by LPS (1 μ g ml⁻¹). Hydroxytyrosol (HT) decreased the secretion of all cytokines tested (IL-1a, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, TNF-α) and chemokines (CXCL10/IP-10, CCL2/MCP-1), whereas the results demonstrated an IC₅₀ of 11.4 and 19.5 µM for production of NO and PGE₂, respectively, that was inhibited by the hydroxytyrosol. Tyrosol and hydroxytyrosol also demonstrated great suppression efficiency on pro-inflammatory IL-8 secretion in Caco-2 cells (Di Nunzio et al., 2018). Further hydrolysis of oleuropein also results in hydroxytyrosol production that can be rapidly absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract and have modulating mechanism on the NF-kB signaling pathway. It has been observed in the cells stimulated by LPS and HT treatments a remarked diminish in IкВа, NF-кB1 besides NF-кB49 and NF-кBp65 level of expression (Richard et al., 2011).

As a general consideration, the anti-inflammatory capability of the various extracts is in most cases higher than in similar extracts from similar sources, suggesting that activities in each extract may imply specific synergies between anthocyanins and other phenolics (Parizad et al., 2019).

Future perspectives and conclusion

The recovery of valuable compounds from food and agroindustrial wastes is an important challenge for the field-related scientists, though the commercial implementation is a complex approach depending on several parameters that should be considered. Apart from the methods reviewed above, research should manage to succeed scaling up without affecting the functional properties of the target compound to develop a product that meets the manufacturer's high-quality standards for safety and features characteristics.

The growth of sustainable solutions for food and agroindustrial waste management depicts one of the main concerns in each society. The solutions could be presented by exploiting these precious resources of bioactive compounds to attain not only economic but also social and environmental benefits. Agro-industrial by-products have been generally considered as sources for the production of compost, bioplastics, biofuels, or for animal feeding, although, recently, their bioactive compounds recuperation has emerged as a new prospective. Most research studies have usually used entire pomace and bagasse sources rich in glycosylated phenolic compounds containing lower amounts of aglycone forms, although data evidence that the aglycone forms not only have higher antioxidant capacity but also demonstrate higher bioavailability; therefore, innovative extraction procedures giving extracts from low-cost sources such as agro-industrial wastes which represent both glycosyl and aglycon forms of polyphenols could be a more adequate composition. The added value of the recovered phenolic compounds from agro-industrial by-products resides in the fact that the presence of various classes of phenolic compounds (in both glycone and aglycone forms) obtained as a unique mixture may result in possible synergism effects that can amplify their biological activities. Since these bioactive compounds have the ability to modulate various signaling pathways contemporary in oxidative stress status, and therefore, inflammation condition, preventing chronic non-communicate diseases by anti-inflammatory mechanism, are of high interest for food/feed, beverages, cosmetics, and neutra/pharmaceutical applications, although the data on the effectual procedure, total yield, energy consumption, and economic perspectives should be taken into consideration.

Author contributions

PA-P: conceptualization, methodology, writing—original draft, and editing. PA-P, BS, RP, and PD: investigation. PA-P, AS, and FA: review and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was funded by Indo-Italian Executive Programme of Scientific and Technological Cooperation 2022–2024 Sub Significant Research – Sub Area: Environment: Sustainable cities and circular economy, healthy and productive ocean/sea, Title: Biorefinery approach in recovering high value products from vegetable and fruit wastes under circular economy frame. ID: TPN: 63815 – FA.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Abbasi-Parizad, P., De Nisi, P., Scaglia, B., Scarafoni, A., Pilu, S., and Adani, F. (2021). Recovery of phenolic compounds from agro-industrial by-products: evaluating antiradical activities and immunomodulatory properties. *Food Bioprod. Process.* 127, 338–348. doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2021.03.015

Abdulkhaleq, L. A., Assi, M. A., Abdullah, R., Zamri-Saad, M., Taufiq-Yap, Y. H., and Hezmee, M. N. M. (2018). The crucial roles of inflammatory mediators in inflammation: a review. *Vet. World.* 11, 627. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2018.627-635

Albahari, P., Jug, M., Radic, K., Jurmanovic, S., Brncic, M., Brncic, S. R., et al. (2018). Characterization of olive pomace extract obtained by cyclodextrin-enhanced pulsed ultrasound assisted extraction. *LWT* 92, 22–31. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2018.02.011

Ali, F., Rahul, N. F., Jyoti, S., and Siddique, Y. H. (2017). Health functionality of apigenin: A review. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 20, 1197–1238. doi: 10.1080/10942912.2016.1207188

Aliakbarian, B., Fathi, A., Perego, P., and Dehghani, F. (2012). Extraction of antioxidants from winery wastes using subcritical water. *J. Supercrit. Fluids.* 65, 18–24. doi: 10.1016/j.supflu.2012.02.022

Álvarez, A., Poejo, J., Matias, A. A., Duarte, C. M., Cocero, M. J., and Mato, R. B. (2017). Microwave pretreatment to improve extraction efficiency and polyphenol extract richness from grape pomace. *Effect on antioxidant bioactivity. Food Bioprod. Process.* 106, 162–170. doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2017.09.007

Ameer, K., Shahbaz, H. M., and Kwon, J. H. (2017). Green extraction methods for polyphenols from plant matrices and their byproducts: a review. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 16, 295–315. doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.12253

Amendola, D., De Faveri, D. M., and Spigno, G. (2010). Grape marc phenolics: extraction kinetics, quality and stability of extracts. J. Food Eng. 97, 384–392. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2009.10.033

Andreou, V., Psarianos, M., Dimopoulos, G., Tsimogiannis, D., and Taoukis, P. (2020). Effect of pulsed electric fields and high pressure on improved recovery of high-added-value compounds from olive pomace. *J. Food Sci.* 85, 1500–1512. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.15122

Andres, A. I., Petron, M. J., Delgado-Adamez, J., Lopez, M., and Timon, M. (2017). Effect of tomato pomace extracts on the shelf-life of modified atmosphere-packaged lamb meat. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 41, e13018. doi: 10.1111/jfpp. 13018

Angeloni, G., Masella, P., Guerrini, L., Innocenti, M., Bellumori, M., and Parenti, A. (2019). Application of a screening design to recover phytochemicals from spent coffee grounds. *Food Bioprod. Process.* 118, 50–57. doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2019.08.017

Antoniolli, A., Fontana, A. R., Piccoli, P., and Bottini, R. (2015). Characterization of polyphenols and evaluation of antioxidant capacity in grape pomace of the cv. *Malbec. Food Chem.* 178, 172–178. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.01.082

Arshad, R. N., Abdul-Malek, Z., Roobab, U., Qureshi, M. I., Khan, N., Ahmad, M. H., et al. (2021). Effective valorization of food wastes and by-products through pulsed electric field: a systematic review. *J. Food Process Eng.* 44, e13629. doi: 10.1111/jfpe.13629

Athanasiadis, V., Grigorakis, S., Lalas, S., and Makris, D. P. (2018). Highly efficient extraction of antioxidant polyphenols from Olea europaea leaves using an eco-friendly glycerol/glycine deep eutectic solvent. *Waste Biomass Valorization.* 9, 1985–1992. doi: 10.1007/s12649-017-9997-7

Baiano, A., Viggiani, I., Terracone, C., Romaniello, R., and Del Nobile, M. A. (2015). Physical and sensory properties of bread enriched with phenolic aqueous extracts from vegetable wastes. *Czech J. Food Sci.* 33, 247–253. doi: 10.17221/528/2014-CJFS

Bakić, M. T., Pedisi,ć, S., Zori,ć, Z., Dragović-Uzelac, V., and Grassino, A. N. (2019). Effect of microwave-assisted extraction on polyphenols recovery from tomato peel waste. *Acta Chim. Slov.* 66, 367–377. doi: 10.17344/acsi.2018.4866

Balasundram, N., Sundram, K., and Samman, S. (2006). Phenolic compounds in plants and agri-industrial by-products: antioxidant activity, occurrence, and potential uses. *Food Chem.* 99, 191–203. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2005. 07.042

Ballesteros, L. F., Ramirez, M. J., Orrego, C. E., Teixeira, J. A., and Mussatto, S. I. (2017). Encapsulation of antioxidant phenolic compounds extracted from spent coffee grounds by freeze-drying and spray-drying using different coating materials. *Food Chem.* 237, 623–631. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.05.142

Barba, F. J., Zhu, Z., Koubaa, M., Sant'Ana, A. S., and Orlien, V. (2016). Green alternative methods for the extraction of antioxidant bioactive compounds from winery wastes and by-products: a review. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 49, 96–109. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2016.01.006

Barbosa, P., de, P. M., Ruviaro, A. R., Martins, I. M., Macedo, J. A., LaPointe, G., et al. (2021). Enzyme-assisted extraction of flavanones from citrus pomace: Obtention of natural compounds with anti-virulence and anti-adhesive effect against Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium. *Food Control.* 120, 107525. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107525

Barrales, F. M., Silveira, P., Barbosa, P. D. P. M., Ruviaro, A. R., Paulino, B. N., Pastore, G. M., et al. (2018). Recovery of phenolic compounds from citrus byproducts using pressurized liquids—An application to orange peel. *Food Bioprod. Process.* 112, 9–21.doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2018.08.006

Barreca, D., Mandalari, G., Calderaro, A., Smeriglio, A., Trombetta, D., Felice, M. R., et al. (2020). Citrus flavones: an update on sources, biological functions, and health promoting properties. *Plants.* 9, 288. doi: 10.3390/plants9030288

Belović, M. M., Gironés-Vilaplana, A., Moreno, D. A., Milovanovi,ć, I. L. J., Novakovi,ć, A. R., Karaman, M. A., et al. (2016). Tomato (Solanum Lycopersicum L.) processing main product (juice) and by-product (pomace) bioactivity potential measured as antioxidant activity and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 40, 1229–1237. doi: 10.1111/jfpp. 12707

Bertelli, M., Kiani, A. K., Paolacci, S., Manara, E., Kurti, D., Dhuli, K., et al. (2020). Hydroxytyrosol: a natural compound with promising pharmacological activities. *J. Biotechnol.* 309, 29–33. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2019.12.016

Blaser, H., Dostert, C., Mak, T. W., and Brenner, D. (2016). TNF and ROS crosstalk in inflammation. *Trends Cell Biol.* 26, 249–261. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2015.12.002

Bognar, E., Sarszegi, Z., Szabo, A., Debreceni, B., Kalman, N., Tucsek, Z., et al. (2013). Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects in RAW264. 7 macrophages of malvidin, a major red wine polyphenol. PLoS ONE 8, e65355. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0065355

Boussetta, N., Vorobiev, E., Deloison, V., Pochez, F., Falcimaigne-Cordin, A., and Lanoisellé, J.-L. (2011). Valorisation of grape pomace by the extraction of phenolic antioxidants: Application of high voltage electrical discharges. *Food Chem.* 128, 364–370. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.03.035

Brahim, M., Gambier, F., and Brosse, N. (2014). Optimization of polyphenols extraction from grape residues in water medium. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 52, 18–22. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2013.10.030

Bravo, J., Monente, C., Juániz, I., De Peña, M. P., and Cid, C. (2013). Influence of extraction process on antioxidant capacity of spent coffee. *Food Res. Int.* 50, 610–616. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2011.04.026

Bubalo, M. C., Curko, N., Tomaševic, M., Ganic, K. K., and Redovnikovic, I. R. (2016). Green extraction of grape skin phenolics by using deep eutectic solvents. *Food Chem.* 200, 159–166. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016. 01.040

Burniol-Figols, A., Cenian, K., Skiadas, I. V., and Gavala, H. N. (2016). Integration of chlorogenic acid recovery and bioethanol production from spent coffee grounds. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 116, 54–64. doi: 10.1016/j.bej.2016.04.025

Cáceres, C. X., Cáceres, R. E., Hein, D., Molina, M. G., and Pia, J. M. (2012). Biogas production from grape pomace: Thermodynamic model of the process and dynamic model of the power generation system. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 37, 10111–10117. doi: 10.1016/J.IJHYDENE.2012.01.178

Cascaes Teles, A. S., Hidalgo Chávez, D. W., Zarur Coelho, M. A., Rosenthal, A., Fortes Gottschalk, L. M., and Tonon, R. V. (2020). Combination of enzymeassisted extraction and high hydrostatic pressure for phenolic compounds recovery from grape pomace. *J. Food Eng.* 288. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2020.110128

Catalkaya, G., and Kahveci, D. (2019). Optimization of enzyme assisted extraction of lycopene from industrial tomato waste. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 219, 55–63. doi: 10.1016/j.seppur.2019.03.006

Cetkovic, G., Savatovic, S., Canadanovi, C.,Brunet, J., Djilas, S., Vulic, J., et al. (2012). Valorisation of phenolic composition, antioxidant and cell growth activities of tomato waste. *Food Chem.* 133, 938–945. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.02.007

Chanioti, S., and Tzia, C. (2017). Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction of oil from olive pomace using response surface technology: oil recovery, unsaponifiable matter, total phenol content and antioxidant activity. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 79, 178–189. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.01.029

Chen, L., Cao, H., and Xiao, J. (2018a). "Polyphenols: Absorption, bioavailability, and metabolomics," in *Polyphenols: properties, recovery, and applications* (Amsterdam: Elsevier) p. 45–67. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-813572-3.00002-6

Chen, L., Teng, H., Jia, Z., Battino, M., Miron, A., Yu, Z., et al. (2018b). Intracellular signaling pathways of inflammation modulated by dietary flavonoids: the most recent evidence. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 58, 2908–2924. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2017.1345853

Chen, X. M., Tait, A. R., and Kitts, D. D. (2017). Flavonoid composition of orange peel and its association with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities. *Food Chem.* 218, 15–21. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.09.016

Cheng, J. S., Chou, C. T., Liu, Y. Y., Sun, W. C., Shieh, P., Kuo, D. H., et al. (2016). The effect of oleuropein from olive leaf (Olea europaea) extract on Ca2+ homeostasis, cytotoxicity, cell cycle distribution and ROS signaling in HepG2 human hepatoma cells. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 91, 151–166. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2016.03.015

Choy, K. W., Murugan, D., Leong, X.-F., Abas, R., Alias, A., and Mustafa, M. R. (2019). Flavonoids as natural anti-inflammatory agents targeting nuclear factor-kappa B (NF κ B) signaling in cardiovascular diseases: a mini review. *Front. Pharmacol.* 10, 1295. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.01295

Chuang, C. C., Martinez, K., Xie, G., Kennedy, A., Bumrungpert, A., Overman, A., et al. (2010). Quercetin is equally or more effective than resveratrol in attenuating tumor necrosis factor-a-mediated inflammation and insulin resistance in primary human adipocytes. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 92, 1511–1521. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.29807

Cioffi, G., Pesca, M. S., De Caprariis, P., Braca, A., Severino, L., and De Tommasi, N. (2010). Phenolic compounds in olive oil and olive pomace from Cilento (Campania, Italy) and their antioxidant activity. *Food Chem.* 121, 105–111. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.12.013

Coelho, M., Pereira, R., Rodrigues, A. S., Teixeira, J. A., and Pintado, M. E. (2019). Extraction of tomato by-products' bioactive compounds using ohmic technology. *Food Bioprod. Process.* 117, 329–339. doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2019.08.005

Coelho, M., Silva, S., Costa, E., Pereira, R. N., Rodrigues, A. S., Teixeira, J. A., et al. (2021). Anthocyanin recovery from grape by-products by combining ohmic heating with food-grade solvents: phenolic composition, antioxidant, and antimicrobial properties. *Molecules* 26, 3838. doi: 10.3390/molecules26133838

Conde, T., and Mussatto, S. I. (2016). Isolation of polyphenols from spent coffee grounds and silverskin by mild hydrothermal pretreatment. *Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 46, 406–409. doi: 10.1080/10826068.2015.1084514

Costa, J. R., Tonon, R. V., Cabral, L., Gottschalk, L., Pastrana, L., and Pintado, M. E. (2020). Valorization of agricultural lignocellulosic plant byproducts through enzymatic and enzyme-assisted extraction of high-value-added compounds: a review. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 8, 13112–13125. doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c02087

Curko, N., Kelšin, K., Dragović-Uzelac, V., Valinger, D., Tomašević, M., and Kovačević Ganić, K. (2019). Microwave-assisted extraction of different groups of phenolic compounds from grape skin pomaces: modeling and optimization. *Pol. J. Food Nutr. Sci* 69, 235–246. doi: 10.31883/pjfns/109423

Da Porto, C., Natolino, A., and Decorti, D. (2015). The combined extraction of polyphenols from grape marc: ultrasound assisted extraction followed by supercritical CO2 extraction of ultrasound-raffinate. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 61, 98–104. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2014.11.027

Dahmoune, F., Boulekbache, L., Moussi, K., Aoun, O., Spigno, G., and Madani, K. (2013). Valorization of Citrus limon residues for the recovery of antioxidants: evaluation and optimization of microwave and ultrasound application to solvent extraction. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 50, 77–87. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2013.07.013

Dai, T., Shi, K., Chen, G., Shen, Y., and Pan, T. (2017). Malvidin attenuates pain and inflammation in rats with osteoarthritis by suppressing NF-?B signaling pathway. *Inflamm. Res.* 66, 1075–1084. doi: 10.1007/s00011-017-1087-6

de Camargo, A. C., Regitano-d'Arce, M. A. B., Biasoto, A. C. T., and Shahidi, F. (2016). Enzyme-assisted extraction of phenolics from winemaking by-products: antioxidant potential and inhibition of alpha-glucosidase and lipase activities. *Food Chem.* 212, 395–402. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.05.047

de Villiers, A., Venter, P., and Pasch, H. (2016). Recent advances and trends in the liquid-chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis of flavonoids. *J. Chromatogr.* A. 1430, 16–78. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2015.11.077

Decendit, A., Mamani-Matsuda, M., Aumont, V., Waffo-Teguo, P., Moynet, D., Boniface, K., et al. (2013). Malvidin-3-O-ß glucoside, major grape anthocyanin, inhibits human macrophage-derived inflammatory mediators and decreases clinical scores in arthritic rats. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 86, 1461–1467. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2013.06.010

Denaro, M., Smeriglio, A., and Trombetta, D. (2021). Antioxidant and antiinflammatory activity of citrus flavanones mix and its stability after in vitro simulated digestion. *Antioxidants.* 10, 1–17. doi: 10.3390/antiox10020140

Devi, K. P., Malar, D. S., Nabavi, S. F., Sureda, A., Xiao, J., Nabavi, S. M., et al. (2015). Kaempferol and inflammation: from chemistry to medicine. *Pharmacol. Res.* 99, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2015.05.002

Dhua, S., Kumar, K., Sharanagat, V. S., and Nema, P. K. (2022). Bioactive compounds and its optimization from food waste: review on novel extraction techniques. *Nutr. Food Sci.* 70, 4. doi: 10.1108/NFS-12-2021-0373

Di Nunzio, M., Picone, G., Pasini, F., Caboni, M. F., Gianotti, A., Bordoni, A., et al. (2018). Olive oil industry by-products. Effects of a polyphenol-rich extract on the metabolome and response to inflammation in cultured intestinal cell. *Food Res. Int.* 113, 392–400. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2018.07.025

Drevelegka, I., and Goula, A. M. (2020). Recovery of grape pomace phenolic compounds through optimized extraction and adsorption processes. *Chem. Eng. Process.* 149, 107845. doi: 10.1016/j.cep.2020.107845

Drosou, C., Kyriakopoulou, K., Bimpilas, A., Tsimogiannis, D., and Krokida, M. (2015). A comparative study on different extraction techniques to recover red grape pomace polyphenols from vinification byproducts. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 75, 141–149. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.05.063

Dzah, C. S., Duan, Y., Zhang, H., Wen, C., Zhang, J., Chen, G., et al. (2020). The effects of ultrasound assisted extraction on yield, antioxidant, anticancer and antimicrobial activity of polyphenol extracts: a review. *Food Biosci.* 35, 100547. doi: 10.1016/j.fbio.2020.100547

El Darra, N., Grimi, N., Vorobiev, E., Louka, N., and Maroun, R. (2013). Extraction of polyphenols from red grape pomace assisted by pulsed ohmic heating. *Food Bioproc Tech.* 6, 1281–1289. doi: 10.1007/s11947-012-0869-7

El-Malah, M. H., Hassanein, M. M., Areif, M. H., Al-Amrousi, E. F. (2015). Utilization of Egyptian tomato waste as a potential source of natural antioxidants using solvents, microwave and ultrasound extraction methods. *Am. J. Food. Technol.* 10, 14–25. doi: 10.3923/ajft.2015.14.25

FAO (2018). Available online at: https://www.fao.org/3/cb6492en/cb6492en.pdf

FAOSSTAT (2020). Available online at: https://www.fao.org/statistics/en/

Farhat, A., Fabiano-Tixier, A.-S., El Maataoui, M., Maingonnat, J.-F., Romdhane, M., and Chemat, F. (2011). Microwave steam diffusion for extraction of essential oil from orange peel: kinetic data, extract's global yield and mechanism. *Food Chem.* 125, 255–261. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.07.110

Farías-Campomanes, A. M., Rostagno, M. A., and Meireles, M. A. A. (2013). Production of polyphenol extracts from grape bagasse using supercritical fluids: yield, extract composition and economic evaluation. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* 77, 70–78. doi: 10.1016/j.supflu.2013.02.006

Fatima, A., and Siddique, Y. H. (2019). Role of flavonoids in neurodegenerative disorders with special emphasis on tangeritin. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets*. 18, 581–597. doi: 10.2174/1871527318666190916141934

Fernández, M., de los, Á., Espino, M., Gomez, F. J. V., and Silva, M. F. (2018). Novel approaches mediated by tailor-made green solvents for the extraction of phenolic compounds from agro-food industrial by-products. *Food Chem.* 239, 671–678. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.06.150

Fernández-Fernández, A. M., Dellacassa, E., Nardin, T., Larcher, R., Gámbaro, A., Medrano-Fernandez, A., et al. (2021). In vitro bioaccessibility of bioactive compounds from citrus pomaces and orange pomace biscuits. *Molecules*. 26, 1–18. doi: 10.3390/molecules26123480

Ferrari, D., Speciale, A., Cristani, M., Fratantonio, D., Molonia, M. S., Ranaldi, G., et al. (2016). Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside inhibits NF-kB signalling in intestinal epithelial cells exposed to TNF-a and exerts protective effects via Nrf2 pathway activation. *Toxicol. Lett.* 264, 51–58. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2016.10.014

Ferreira-Santos, P., Duca, A. B., Genisheva, Z., Silva, B. N., Biasio, F., de, Botelho, C., et al. (2021). Extracts from red eggplant: impact of ohmic heating and different extraction solvents on the chemical profile and bioactivity. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 5. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2021.804004

Ferreira-Santos, P., Genisheva, Z., Pereira, R. N., Teixeira, J. A., and Rocha, C. M. (2019). Moderate electric fields as a potential tool for sustainable recovery of phenolic compounds from Pinus pinaster bark. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 7, 8816-8826. 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00780

Fontana, A. R., Antoniolli, A., and Bottini, R. (2013). Grape pomace as a sustainable source of bioactive compounds: extraction, characterization, and biotechnological applications of phenolics. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 61, 8987–9003.doi: 10.1021/jf402586f

Fuccelli, R., Fabiani, R., and Rosignoli, P. (2018). Hydroxytyrosol exerts anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant activities in a mouse model of systemic inflammation. *Molecules* 23, 3212. doi: 10.3390/molecules23123212

Funakoshi-Tago, M., Nakamura, K., Tago, K., Mashino, T., and Kasahara, T. (2011). Anti-inflammatory activity of structurally related flavonoids, Apigenin, Luteolin and Fisetin. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 11, 1150–1159. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2011.03.012

Gessner, D. K., Ringseis, R., Siebers, M., Keller, J., Kloster, J., Wen, G., et al. (2012). Inhibition of the pro-inflammatory NF-?B pathway by a grape seed and grape marc meal extract in intestinal epithelial cells. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 96, 1074–1083. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0396.2011.01222.x

Gligor, O., Mocan, A., Moldovan, C., Locatelli, M., Crișan, G., and Ferreira, I. C. F. R. (2019). Enzyme-assisted extractions of polyphenols – a comprehensive review. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 88, 302–315. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2019.03.029

Goldsmith, C. D., Vuong, Q. V., Stathopoulos, C. E., Roach, P. D., and Scarlett, C. J. (2018). Ultrasound increases the aqueous extraction of phenolic compounds with high antioxidant activity from olive pomace. *LWT* 89, 284–290. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.10.065

Gómez-Cruz, I., Cara, C., Romero, I., Castro, E., and Gullón, B. (2020). Valorisation of exhausted olive pomace by an eco-friendly solvent extraction process of natural antioxidants. *Antioxidants* 9, 1010. doi: 10.3390/antiox9101010

Gómez-Mejía, E., Rosales-Conrado, N., León-GonzÁlez, M. E., and Madrid, Y. (2019). Citrus peels waste as a source of value-added compounds: extraction and quantification of bioactive polyphenols. *Food Chem.* 295, 289–299. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.05.136

González-Centeno, M. R., Knoerzer, K., Sabarez, H., Simal, S., Rossell,ó, C., and Femenia, A. (2014). Effect of acoustic frequency and power density on the aqueous ultrasonic-assisted extraction of grape pomace (Vitis vinifera L.) - a response surface approach. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 21, 2176–2184. doi: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.01.021

Goula, A. M., Thymiatis, K., and Kaderides, K. (2016). Valorization of grape pomace: drying behavior and ultrasound extraction of phenolics. *Food Bioprod. Process.* 100, 132–144. doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2016.06.016

Ha, S. K., Park, H. Y., Eom, H., Kim, Y., and Choi, I. (2012). Narirutin fraction from citrus peels attenuates LPS-stimulated inflammatory response through inhibition of NF-?B and MAPKs activation. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 50, 3498–3504. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2012.07.007

Haggard, S., West, L., and De Mejia, E. G. (2017). Protective potential of anthocyanin rich plant extracts on an in vitro model of celiac disease. *The FASEB J.* 31, lb319–lb319. doi: 10.1096/fasebj.31.1_supplement.lb319

Hayta, M., and Özugur, G., Etgü, H., and Seker, I. T. (2014). Effect of grape (Vitis Vinifera L.) pomace on the quality, total phenolic content and antiradical activity of bread. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 38, 980–986. doi: 10.1111/jfpp. 12054

Hogan, S., Zhang, L., Li, J., Sun, S., Canning, C., and Zhou, K. (2010). Antioxidant rich grape pomace extract suppresses postprandial hyperglycemia in diabetic mice by specifically inhibiting alpha-glucosidase. *Nutr. Metab.* 7, 1–9. doi: 10.1186/1743-7075-7-71

Hollebeeck, S., Winand, J., Hérent, M.-F., During, A., Leclercq, J., Larondelle, Y., et al. (2012). Anti-inflammatory effects of pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) husk ellagitannins in Caco-2 cells, an in vitro model of human intestine. *Food Funct.* 3, 875–885. doi: 10.1039/C2FO10258G

Huang, H. L., Liu, C. T., Chou, M. C., Ko, C. H., and Wang, C. K. (2015). Noni (Morinda citrifolia L.) fruit extracts improve colon microflora and exert anti-inflammatory activities in caco-2 cells. *J. Med. Food* 18, 663–676. doi:10.1089/imf.2014.3213

Huang, W., Yan, Z., Li, D., Ma, Y., Zhou, J., and Sui, Z. (2018). Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects of blueberry anthocyanins on high glucose-induced human retinal capillary endothelial cells. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2018, 1862462. doi: 10.1155/2018/1862462

ICO (2019). International Coffee Organization 2019/2020. Available online at: http://www.ico.org/documents/cy2019-20/cmr-1019-e.pdf

Ignat, I., Volf, I., and Popa, V. I. (2011). A critical review of methods for characterisation of polyphenolic compounds in fruits and vegetables. *Food Chem.* 126, 1821–1835. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.12.026

Inoue, T., Tsubaki, S., Ogawa, K., Onishi, K., and Azuma, J. (2010). Isolation of hesperidin from peels of thinned Citrus unshiu fruits by microwave-assisted extraction. *Food Chem.* 123, 542–547. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.04.051

Iora, S. R., Maciel, G. M., Zielinski, A. A., da Silva, M. V., Pontes, P. V. D. A., Haminiuk, C. W., et al. (2015). Evaluation of the bioactive compounds and the antioxidant capacity of grape pomace. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 50, 62–69. doi:10.1111/jifs.12583

Jesus, M. S., Ballesteros, L. F., Pereira, R. N., Genisheva, Z., Carvalho, A. C., Pereira-Wilson, C., et al. (2020). Ohmic heating polyphenolic extracts from vine pruning residue with enhanced biological activity. *Food Chem.* 316, 126298. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126298

Jiménez-Zamora, A., Pastoriza, S., and RufiÁn-Henares, J. A. (2015). Revalorization of coffee by-products. Prebiotic, antimicrobial and antioxidant properties. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 61, 12–18. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2014.11.031

Jung, H., Lee, H. J., Cho, H., Lee, K., Kwak, H. K., and Hwang, K. T. (2015). Anthocyanins in Rubus fruits and antioxidant and antiinflammatory activities in RAW 264.7 cells. *Food Sci. Biotechnol.* 24, 1879–1886. doi: 10.1007/s10068-015-0246-1

Junqua, R., Carullo, D., Ferrari, G., Pataro, G., and Ghidossi, R. (2021). Ohmic heating for polyphenol extraction from grape berries: an innovative prefermentary process. *Oeno One* 55, 39–51. doi: 10.20870/oeno-one.2021.55.3.4647

Jurmanović, S., Jug, M., Safner, T., Radić, K., Domijan, A. M., Pedisi,ć, S., et al. (2019). Utilization of olive pomace as a source of polyphenols: optimization of microwave-assisted extraction and characterization of spray-dried extract. *J. Food Nutr. Res.* 58, 51–62

Kadouh, H. C., Sun, S., Zhu, W., and Zhou, K. (2016). a-Glucosidase inhibiting activity and bioactive compounds of six red wine grape pomace extracts. *J. Funct. Foods* 26, 577–584. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2016.08.022

Kalogeropoulos, N., Chiou, A., Pyriochou, V., Peristeraki, A., and Karathanos, V. T. (2012). Bioactive phytochemicals in industrial tomatoes and their processing byproducts. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 49, 213-216. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2011.12.036

Katalinic, V., Možina, S. S., Skroza, D., Generalic, I., Abramovic, H., Miloš, M., et al. (2010). Polyphenolic profile, antioxidant properties and antimicrobial activity of grape skin extracts of 14 Vitis vinifera varieties grown in Dalmatia (Croatia). *Food Chem.* 119, 715–723. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.07.019

Katsinas, N., Bento da Silva, A., Enríquez-de-Salamanca, A., Fernández, N., Bronze, M. R., and Rodríguez-Rojo, S. (2021). Pressurized liquid extraction optimization from supercritical defatted olive pomace: a green and selective phenolic extraction process. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.g* 9, 5590–5602. doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.0C09426

Khairil Anuar, M., Mohd Zin, Z., Juhari, N. H., Hasmadi, M., Smedley, K. L., and Zainol, M. K. (2020). Influence of pectinase–assisted extraction time on the antioxidant capacity of spent coffee ground (SCG). *Food Res.* 4, 2054–2061. doi: 10.26656/fr.2017.4(6).270

Khoddami, A., Wilkes, M. A., and Roberts, T. H. (2013). Techniques for analysis of plant phenolic compounds. *Molecules*. 18, 2328–2375. doi: 10.3390/molecules18022328

Kim, M. S., and Kim, J. Y. (2019). Cinnamon subcritical water extract attenuates intestinal inflammation and enhances intestinal tight junction in a Caco-2 and RAW264.7 co-culture model. *Food Func.* 10, 4350-4360. doi: 10.1039/c9f000302a

Kopustinskiene, D. M., Jakstas, V., Savickas, A., and Bernatoniene, J. (2020). Flavonoids as anticancer agents. *Nutrients.* 12, 457. doi: 10.3390/nu120 20457

Kramer, K., Yeboah-Awudzi, M., Magazine, N., King, J. M., Xu, Z., and Losso, J. N. (2019). Procyanidin B2 rich cocoa extracts inhibit inflammation in Caco-2 cell model of in vitro celiac disease by down-regulating interferon-gamma-or gliadin peptide 31-43-induced transglutaminase-2 and interleukin-15. *J. Funct. Foods* 57, 112–120. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2019.03.039

Kumar, P., Bhatia, S., Aseri, A., Garg, S. K., Ghadge, M., and Rai, T. P. (2017). Qualitative and quantitative analysis of flavonoids. *IOSR J. Pharm.* 12, 62–82. doi: 10.9790/3008-1201036282

Kuntz, S., Asseburg, H., Dold, S., Römpp, A., Fröhling, B., Kunz, C., et al. (2015). Inhibition of low-grade inflammation by anthocyanins from grape extract

in an in vitro epithelial-endothelial co-culture model. *Food Funct.* 6, 1136–1149. doi: 10.1039/C4FO00755G

Kwiatkowski, M., Kravchuk, O., Skouroumounis, G. K., and Taylor, D. K. (2020). Microwave-assisted and conventional phenolic and colour extraction from grape skins of commercial white and red cultivars at veraison and harvest. *J. Clean. Prod.* 275, 122671. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122671

Lee, E. J., Kim, D. I., Kim, W. J., and Moon, S. K. (2009). Naringin inhibits matrix metalloproteinase-9 expression and AKT phosphorylation in tumor necrosis factor-a-induced vascular smooth muscle cells. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 53, 1582–1591. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.200800210

Li, Z., Fan, Y., and Xi, J., (2019). Recent advances in high voltage electric discharge extraction of bioactive ingredients from plant materials. *Food Chem.* 277, 246–260. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.10.119

Liang, N., and Kitts, D. D. (2018). Amelioration of oxidative stress in caco-2 cells treated with pro-inflammatory proteins by chlorogenic acid isomers via activation of the Nrf2-Keap1-ARE-signaling pathway. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 66, 11008–11017. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b03983

Lingua, M. S., Fabani, M. P., Wunderlin, D. A., and Baroni, M. V. (2016). In vivo antioxidant activity of grape, pomace and wine from three red varieties grown in Argentina: its relationship to phenolic profile. *J. Funct. Foods* 20, 332–345. doi:10.1016/j.jff.2015.10.034

Liu, T., Zhang, L., Joo, D., and Sun, S. C. (2017). NF- κ B signaling in inflammation. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* 2, 17023. doi: 10.1038/sigtrans.2017.23

Lolli, G., Cozza, G., Mazzorana, M., Tibaldi, E., Cesaro, L., Donella-Deana, A., et al. (2012). Inhibition of protein kinase CK2 by flavonoids and tyrphostins. A structural insight. *Biochemistry* 51, 6097–6107. doi: 10.1021/bi300531c

Lončarić, M., Strelec, I., Moslavac, T., Šubari,ć, D., Pavi,ć, V., and Molnar, M. (2021). Lipoxygenase inhibition by plant extracts. *Biomolecules* 11, 1-17. doi: 10.3390/biom11020152

Londoño-Londoño, J., de Lima, V. R., Lara, O., Gil, A., Pasa, T. B. C., Arango, G. J., et al. (2010). Clean recovery of antioxidant flavonoids from citrus peel: optimizing an aqueous ultrasound-assisted extraction method. *Food Chem.* 119, 81–87. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.05.075

López-Linares, J. C., García-Cubero, M. T., Coca, M., and Lucas, S. (2021). A biorefinery approach for the valorization of spent coffee grounds to produce antioxidant compounds and biobutanol. *Biomass Bioenergy*. 147, 106026. doi: 10.1016/j.biombioe.2021.106026

Lu, Z., Wang, J., Gao, R., and Ye, F. (2019). Trends in food science & technology sustainable valorisation of tomato pomace : a comprehensive review. *Trends Food Sci Technol.* 86, 172–187. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2019.02.020

Luengo, E., Álvarez, I., and Raso, J. (2013). Improving the pressing extraction of polyphenols of orange peel by pulsed electric fields. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 17, 79–84. doi: 10.1016/j.ifset.2012.10.005

Maicas, S., and Mateo, J. J. (2020). Sustainability of wine production. Sustainability (Switzerland). 12, 559. doi: 10.3390/su12020559

Marinelli, V., Padalino, L., Conte, A., Del Nobile, M. A., and Briviba, K. (2018). Red grape marc flour as food ingredient in durum wheat spaghetti: nutritional evaluation and bioaccessibility of bioactive compounds. *Food Sci. Technol. Res.* 24, 1093–1100. doi: 10.3136/fstr.24.1093

Mármol, I., Quero, J., Ibarz, R., Ferreira-Santos, P., Teixeira, J. A., Rocha, C. M., et al. (2021). Valorization of agro-food by-products and their potential therapeutic applications. *Food Bioprod. Process.* 128, 247–258. doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2021.06.003

Martínez-Patiño, J. C., Gullón, B., Romero, I., Ruiz, E., Brncic, M., Žlabur, J. Š., et al. (2019). Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction of biomass from olive trees using response surface methodology. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 51, 487-495. doi: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2018.05.031

Martins, I. M., Macedo, G. A., Macedo, J. A., Roberto, B. S., Chen, Q., Blumberg, J. B., et al. (2017). Tannase enhances the anti-inflammatory effect of grape pomace in Caco-2 cells treated with IL-1 b. *J. Funct. Foods.* 29, 69–76. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2016.12.011

Martins, I. M., Roberto, B. S., Blumberg, J. B., Chen, C. Y. O., and Macedo, G. A. (2016). Enzymatic biotransformation of polyphenolics increases antioxidant activity of red and white grape pomace. *Food Res. Int.* 89, 533–539. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2016.09.009

Martins, N., and Ferreira, I. C. F. R. (2017). Neurocognitive improvement through plant food bioactives: a particular approach to Alzheimer's *disease*. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-51639-4_11

Mascaraque, C., Aranda, C., Ocón, B., Monte, M. J., Suárez, M. D., Zarzuelo, A., et al. (2014). Rutin has intestinal antiinflammatory effects in the CD4+ CD62L+ T cell transfer model of colitis. *Pharmacol. Res.* 90, 48–57. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2014.09.005

Masmoudi, M., Besbes, S., Chaabouni, M., Robert, C., Paquot, M., Blecker, C., et al. (2008). Optimization of pectin extraction from lemon by-product with acidified date juice using response surface methodology. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 74, 185–192. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2008.02.003

McKenzie, M., Li, C., Kaufman, P. B., Seymour, E. M., and Kirakosyan, A. (2009). The use of selected medicinal herbs for chemoprevention and treatment of cancer, Parkinson's disease, heart disease, and depression. *Recent Adv. Plant Biotechnol.* 231–287. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-0194-1_11

Medina-Torres, N., Ayora-Talavera, T., Espinosa-Andrews, H., Sánchez-Contreras, A., and Pacheco, N. (2017). Ultrasound assisted extraction for the recovery of phenolic compounds from vegetable sources. *Agronomy*. 7. doi: 10.3390/agronomy7030047

M'hiri, N., Ioannou, I., Ghoul, M., and Mihoubi Boudhrioua, N. (2017). Phytochemical characteristics of citrus peel and effect of conventional and nonconventional processing on phenolic compounds: a review. *Food Rev. Int.* 33, 587–619. doi: 10.1080/87559129.2016.1196489

M'hiri, N., Ioannou, I., Mihoubi Boudhrioua, N., and Ghoul, M. (2015). Effect of different operating conditions on the extraction of phenolic compounds in orange peel. *Food Bioprod. Process.* 96, 161–170. doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2015.07.010

Milner, M. T., Maddugoda, M., Götz, J., Burgener, S. S., and Schroder, K. (2021). The NLRP3 inflammasome triggers sterile neuroinflammation and Alzheimer's disease. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 68, 116–124. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2020.10.011

Mirabella, N., Castellani, V., and Sala, S. (2014). Current options for the valorization of food manufacturing waste: a review. J. Clean. Prod. 65, 28-41. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.10.051

Mojerlou, Z., and Elhamirad, A. (2018). Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) of phenolic compounds from olive cake. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 55, 977–984. doi: 10.1007/s13197-017-3005-x

Monente, C., Ludwig, I. A., Stalmach, A., De Peña, M. P., Cid, C., and Crozier, A. (2015). In vitro studies on the stability in the proximal gastrointestinal tract and bioaccessibility in Caco-2 cells of chlorogenic acids from spent coffee grounds. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 66, 657–664. doi: 10.3109/09637486.2015.106 4874

Multari, S., Carlin, S., Sicari, V., and Martens, S. (2020). Differences in the composition of phenolic compounds, carotenoids, and volatiles between juice and pomace of four citrus fruits from Southern Italy. *European Food Research and Technology* 246, 1991–2005. doi: 10.1007/s00217-020-03550-8

Mussatto, S. I., Machado, E., Martins, S., and Teixeira, J. A. (2011). Production, composition, and application of coffee and its industrial residues. *Food Bioprocess Technol.* 4, 661–672. doi: 10.1007/s11947-011-0565-z

Nakajima, V. M., Moala, T., Caria, C. R., e, P., Moura, C. S., Amaya-Farfan, J., et al. (2017). Biotransformed citrus extract as a source of anti-inflammatory polyphenols: Effects in macrophages and adipocytes. *Food Res. Int.* 97, 37–44. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2017.03.034

Nishimoto, S., Koike, S., Inoue, N., Suzuki, T., and Ogasawara, Y. (2017). Activation of Nrf2 attenuates carbonyl stress induced by methylglyoxal in human neuroblastoma cells: Increase in GSH levels is a critical event for the detoxification mechanism. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 483, 874–879. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.01.024

Nutrizio, M., Maltar-Strmečki, N., Chemat, F., Dui,ć, B., and Jambrak, A. R. (2021). High-Voltage electrical discharges in green extractions of bioactives from oregano leaves (Origanum vulgare L.) using water and ethanol as green solvents assessed by theoretical and experimental procedures. *Food Eng. Rev.* 13, 161–174. doi: 10.1007/s12393-020-09231-2

Otero, P., Garcia-Oliveira, P., Carpena, M., Barral-Martinez, M., Chamorro, F., Echave, J., et al. (2021). Applications of by-products from the olive oil processing: revalorization strategies based on target molecules and green extraction technologies. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 116, 1084–1104. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2021.09.007

Otero-Pareja, M. J., Casas, L., Fernández-Ponce, M. T., Mantell, C., and De La Ossa, E. J. M. (2015). Green extraction of antioxidants from different varieties of red grape pomace. *Molecules* 20, 9686–9702. doi: 10.3390/molecules20069686

Ozturk, B., Parkinson, C., and Gonzalez-Miquel, M. (2018). Extraction of polyphenolic antioxidants from orange peel waste using deep eutectic solvents. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 206, 1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.seppur.2018.05.052

Palomo, I., Concha-Meyer, A., Lutz, M., Said, M., Sáez, B., Vásquez, A., et al. (2019). Chemical characterization and antiplatelet potential of bioactive extract from tomato pomace (byproduct of tomato paste). *Nutrients* 11, 456. doi: 10.3390/nu11020456

Palos-Hernández, A., Fernández, M. Y. G., Burrieza, J. E., Pérez-Iglesias, J. L., and González-Paramás, A. M. (2022). Obtaining green extracts rich in phenolic compounds from underexploited food by-products using natural deep eutectic solvents. *Sustain. Chem. Pharm.* 29, 100773. doi: 10.1016/j.scp.2022.100773 Panaro, M. A., Carofiglio, V., Acquafredda, A., Cavallo, P., and Cianciulli, A. (2012). Anti-inflammatory effects of resveratrol occur via inhibition of lipopolysaccharide-induced NF-?B activation in Caco-2 and SW480 human colon cancer cells. *Br. J. Nutr.* 108, 1623–1632. doi: 10.1017/S0007114511007227

Panusa, A., Zuorro, A., Lavecchia, R., Marrosu, G., and Petrucci, R. (2013). Recovery of natural antioxidants from spent coffee grounds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 61, 4162–4168. doi: 10.1021/jf4005719

Panzella, L., Moccia, F., Nasti, R., Marzorati, S., Verotta, L., and Napolitano, A. (2020). Bioactive phenolic compounds from agri-food wastes: an update on green and sustainable extraction methodologies. *Front. Nutr.* 7, 60. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2020.00060

Papoutsis, K., Pristijono, P., Golding, J. B., Stathopoulos, C. E., Bowyer, M. C., Scarlett, C. J., et al. (2017). Enhancement of the total phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of aqueous Citrus limon L. pomace extract using microwave pretreatment on the dry powder. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 41, 1–8. doi: 10.1111/jfpp.13152

Papoutsis, K., Pristijono, P., Golding, J. B., Stathopoulos, C. E., Bowyer, M. C., Scarlett, C. J., et al. (2018). Screening the effect of four ultrasound-assisted extraction parameters on hesperidin and phenolic acid content of aqueous citrus pomace extracts. *Food Biosci.* 21, 20–26. doi: 10.1016/j.fbio.2017.11.001

Parhiz, H., Roohbakhsh, A., Soltani, F., Rezaee, R., and Iranshahi, M. (2015). Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of the citrus flavonoids hesperidin and hesperetin: an updated review of their molecular mechanisms and experimental models. *Phytother. Res.* 29, 323–331. doi: 10.1002/ptr.5256

Parizad, P. A., Capraro, J., Scarafoni, A., Bonomi, F., Blandino, M., Marengo, M., et al. (2019). The bio-functional properties of pigmented cereals may involve synergies among different bioactive species. *Plant Foods Human Nutr.* 74, 128–134. doi: 10.1007/s11130-019-0715-4

Patel, K., Singh, G. K., and Patel, D. K. (2018). A review on pharmacological and analytical aspects of naringenin. *Chin. J. Integr. Med.* 24, 551–560. doi: 10.1007/s11655-014-1960-x

Peiró, S., Luengo, E., Segovia, F., Raso, J., and Almajano, M. P. (2019). Improving polyphenol extraction from lemon residues by pulsed electric fields. *Waste Biomass Valoriz*. 10, 889–897. doi: 10.1007/s12649-017-0116-6

Perea-Domínguez, X. P., HernÁndez-Gastelum, L. Z., Olivas-Olguin, H. R., Espinosa-Alonso, L. G., Valdez-Morales, M., and Medina-Godoy, S. (2018). Phenolic composition of tomato varieties and an industrial tomato by-product: free, conjugated and bound phenolics and antioxidant activity. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 55, 3453-3461. doi: 10.1007/s13197-018-3269-9

Pereira, R. N., Coelho, M. I., Genisheva, Z., Fernandes, J. M., Vicente, A. A., and Pintado, M. E. (2020). Using Ohmic Heating effect on grape skins as a pretreatment for anthocyanins extraction. *Food Bioprod. Process.* 124, 320–328. doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2020.09.009

Pereira, R. N., Rodrigues, R. M., Genisheva, Z., Oliveira, H., de Freitas, V., Teixeira, J. A., et al. (2016). Effects of ohmic heating on extraction of food-grade phytochemicals from colored potato. *LWT*. 74, 493–503. doi:10.1016/j.lwt.2016.07.074

Pinelo, M., Tress, A. G., Pedersen, M., Arnous, A., and Meyer, A. S. (2007). Effect of cellulases, solvent type and particle size distribution on the extraction of chlorogenic acid and other phenols from spent coffee grounds. *Am. J. Food Technol.* 2, 641–651. doi: 10.3923/ajft.2007.641.651

Puri, M., Deepika, S., and Colin, J. (2012). Enzyme-assisted extraction of bioactives from plants. *Trends Biotechnol.* 30, 37–44. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2011.06.014

Putnik, P., Bursać Kovačevi,ć, D., Režek Jambrak, A., Barba, F. J., Cravotto, G., Binello, A., et al. (2017). Innovative "green" and novel strategies for the extraction of bioactive added value compounds from citrus wastes—a review. *Molecules.* 22, 680. doi: 10.3390/molecules22050680

Quero, J., Ballesteros, L. F., Ferreira-Santos, P., Velderrain-Rodriguez, G. R., Rocha, C. M., Pereira, R. N., et al. (2022). Unveiling the antioxidant therapeutic functionality of sustainable olive pomace active ingredients. *Antioxidants*. 11, 828. doi: 10.3390/antiox11050828

Ranic, M., Nikolic, M., Pavlovic, M., Buntic, A., Siler-Marinkovic, S., and Dimitrijevic-Brankovic, S. (2014). Optimization of microwave-assisted extraction of natural antioxidants from spent espresso coffee grounds by response surface methodology. *J. Clean. Prod.* 80, 69–79. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2014. 05.060

Ranjha, M. M. A. N., Kanwal, R., Shafique, B., Arshad, R. N., Irfan, S., Kieliszek, M., et al. (2021). A critical review on pulsed electric field: A novel technology for the extraction of phytoconstituents. *Molecules.* 26, 4893. doi: 10.3390/molecules26164893

Raza, S. S., Khan, M. M., Ahmad, A., Ashafaq, M., Islam, F., Wagner, A. P., et al. (2013). Neuroprotective effect of naringenin is mediated through suppression

of NF-?B signaling pathway in experimental stroke. *Neuroscience* 230, 157–171. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.10.041

Ribeiro, H. M., Allegro, M., Marto, J., Pedras, B., Oliveira, N. G., Paiva, A., et al. (2018). Converting spent coffee grounds into bioactive extracts with potential skin antiaging and lightening effects. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 6, 6289–6295. doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b00108

Ribeiro, L. F., Ribani, R. H., Francisco, T. M. G., Soares, A. A., Pontarolo, R., and Haminiuk, C. W. I. (2015). Profile of bioactive compounds from grape pomace (Vitis vinifera and Vitis labrusca) by spectrophotometric, chromatographic and spectral analyses. *J. Chromatogr. B* 1007, 72–80. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2015.11.005

Richard, N., Arnold, S., Hoeller, U., Kilpert, C., Wertz, K., and Schwager, J. (2011). Hydroxytyrosol is the major anti-inflammatory compound in aqueous olive extracts and impairs cytokine and chemokine production in macrophages. *Planta Med.* 77, 1890–1897. doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1280022

Rocha, C. M., Genisheva, Z., Ferreira-Santos, P., Rodrigues, R., Vicente, A. A., Teixeira, J. A., et al. (2018). Electric field-based technologies for valorization of bioresources. *Bioresour. Technol.* 254, 325–339. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.01.068

Rodrigues, F., Pimentel, F. B., and Oliveira, M. B. P. P. (2015). Olive byproducts: challenge application in cosmetic industry. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 70, 116–124. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.03.027

Rodríguez-Ramiro, I., Ramos, S., López-Oliva, E., Agis-Torres, A., Bravo, L., Goya, L., et al. (2013). Cocoa polyphenols prevent inflammation in the colon of azoxymethane-treated rats and in TNF-a-stimulated Caco-2 cells. *Br. J. Nutr.* 110, 206–215. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512004862

Romier, B., Van De Walle, J., During, A., Larondelle, Y., and Schneider, Y. J. (2008). Modulation of signalling nuclear factor-?B activation pathway by polyphenols in human intestinal Caco-2 cells. *Br. J. Nutr.* 100, 542–551. doi: 10.1017/S0007114508966666

Ruviaro, A. R., Barbosa, P., and Macedo, G. A. (2019). Enzyme-assisted biotransformation increases hesperetin content in citrus juice by-products. *Food Res. Int.* 124, 213–221. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2018.05.004

Salau, V. F., Erukainure, O. L., and Islam, M. S. (2020). "Phenolics: therapeutic applications against oxidative injury in obesity and type 2 diabetes pathology," in *Pathology* (Amsterdam: Elsevier) p. 297-307. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-815972-9.00029-9

Santos, M. C., Nunes, C., Cappelle, J., Gonçalves, F. J., Rodrigues, A., Saraiva, J. A., et al. (2013). Effect of high-pressure treatments on the physicochemical properties of a sulphur dioxide-free red wine. *Food Chem.* 141, 2558–2566. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.05.022

Semwal, D. K., Semwal, R. B., Combrinck, S., and Viljoen, A. (2016). Myricetin: a dietary molecule with diverse biological activities. *Nutrients* 8, 90. doi: 10.3390/nu8020090

Sengkhamparn, N., and Phonkerd, N. (2019). Phenolic Compound Extraction from Industrial Tomato Waste by Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci.* 639, 12040. doi: 10.1088/1757-899x/639/1/012040

Severini, C., Derossi, A., and Fiore, A. G. (2017). Ultrasound-assisted extraction to improve the recovery of phenols and antioxidants from spent espresso coffee ground: a study by response surface methodology and desirability approach. *Eur. Food Res. Techno.* 243, 835–847. doi: 10.1007/s00217-016-2797-7

Shahidi, F., and Ambigaipalan, P. (2015). Phenolics and polyphenolics in foods, beverages and spices: Antioxidant activity and health effects-a review. J. Funct. Foods 18, 820–897. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2015.06.018

Sharma, K., Mahato, N., Cho, M. H., and Lee, Y. R. (2017). Converting citrus wastes into value-added products: Economic and environmently friendly approaches. *Nutrition*. 34, 29–46. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2016.09.006

Sharma, K., Mahato, N., and Lee, Y. R. (2019). Extraction, characterization and biological activity of citrus flavonoids. *Rev. Chem. Eng.* 35, 265–284. doi: 10.1515/revce-2017-0027

Shin, H. S., Satsu, H., Bae, M. J., Zhao, Z., Ogiwara, H., Totsuka, M., et al. (2015). Anti-inflammatory effect of chlorogenic acid on the IL-8 production in Caco-2 cells and the dextran sulphate sodium-induced colitis symptoms in C57BL/6 mice. *Food Chem.* 168, 167–175. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.06.100

Silvan, J. M., Mingo, E., and Martinez-Rodriguez, A. J. (2017). Grape seed extract (GSE) modulates Campylobacter pro-inflammatory response in human intestinal epithelial cell lines. *Food Agric. Immunol.* 28, 739–753. doi: 10.1080/09540105.2017.1312292

Singanusong, R., Nipornram, S., Tochampa, W., and Rattanatraiwong, P. (2015). Low power ultrasound-assisted extraction of phenolic compounds from mandarin (Citrus reticulata Blanco cv. Sainampueng) and lime (Citrus aurantifolia) peels and the antioxidant. *Food Anal. Methods.* 8, 1112–1123. doi: 10.1007/s12161-014-9992-6

Singh, C. K., Siddiqui, I. A., El-Abd, S., Mukhtar, H., and Ahmad, N. (2016). Combination chemoprevention with grape antioxidants. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 60, 1406–1415. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201500945

Song, Y., Huang, L., and Yu, J. (2016). Effects of blueberry anthocyanins on retinal oxidative stress and inflammation in diabetes through Nrf2/HO-1 signaling. *J. Neuroimmunol.* 301, 1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2016.11.001

Swanson, K. V. (2019). The NLRP3 inflammasome: molecular activation and regulation to therapeutics. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 19, 477–489. doi: 10.1038/s41577-019-0165-0

Szabo, K., Diaconeasa, Z., Catoi, A. F., and Vodnar, D. C. (2019). Screening of ten tomato varieties processing waste for bioactive components and their related antioxidant and antimicrobial activities. *Antioxidants* 8, 292. doi: 10.3390/antiox8080292

Tang, B., Chen, G., Liang, M., Yao, J., and Wu, Z. (2015). Ellagic acid prevents monocrotaline-induced pulmonary artery hypertension via inhibiting NLRP3 inflammasome activation in rats. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 180, 134–141. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2014.11.161

Taverniti, V., Fracassetti, D., Del Bo, C., Lanti, C., Minuzzo, M., Klimis-Zacas, D., et al. (2014). Immunomodulatory effect of a wild blueberry anthocyanin-rich extract in human Caco-2 intestinal cells. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 62, 8346–8351. doi: 10.1021/jf502180j

Teixeira, A., Baenas, N., Dominguez-Perles, R., Barros, A., Rosa, E., Moreno, D. A., et al. (2014). Natural bioactive compounds from winery by-products as health promoters: a review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 15, 15638–15678. doi: 10.3390/ijms150915638

Thirumdas, R., Sarangapani, C., and Barba, F. J. (2020). "Pulsed electric field applications for the extraction of compounds and fractions (fruit juices, winery, oils, by-products, etc.)," in *Pulsed Electric Fields to Obtain Healthier and Sustainable Food for Tomorrow* (Elsevier) p. 227–246. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-816402-0.00010-0

Tournour, H. H., Segundo, M. A., Magalhães, L. M., Barreiros, L., Queiroz, J., and Cunha, L. M. (2015). Valorization of grape pomace: extraction of bioactive phenolics with antioxidant properties. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 74, 397–406. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.05.055

Trasanidou, D., Apostolakis, A., and Makris, D. P. (2016). Development of a green process for the preparation of antioxidant and pigment-enriched extracts from winery solid wastes using response surface methodology and kinetics. *Chem. Eng. Commun.* 203, 1317–1325. doi: 10.1080/00986445.2016.1189416

Trošt, K., Klancnik, A., Mozetic Vodopivec, B., Sternad Lemut, M., Jug Novšak, K., Raspor, P., et al. (2016). Polyphenol, antioxidant and antimicrobial potential of six different white and red wine grape processing leftovers. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 96, 4809–4820. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.7981

Tseng, A. Y. (2012). Development of antioxidant dietary fibers from wine grape pomace and their applications as functional food ingredients. Available online at: https://ir.library.oregonstate.edu/concern/graduate_thesis_or_dissertations/ xk81jp28n

Valdez-Morales, M., Espinosa-Alonso, L. G., Espinoza-Torres, L. C., Delgado-Vargas, F., and Medina-Godoy, S. (2014). Phenolic content and antioxidant and antimutagenic activities in tomato peel, seeds, and byproducts. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 62, 5281–5289. doi: 10.1021/jf5012374

Van Hung, P., Yen Nhi, N. H., Ting, L. Y., and Lan Phi, N. T. (2020). Chemical composition and biological activities of extracts from pomelo peel by-products under enzyme and ultrasound-assisted extractions. *J. Chem.* 2020, 1043251. doi: 10.1155/2020/1043251

Varadharajan, V., Shanmugam, S., and Ramaswamy, A. (2017). Model generation and process optimization of microwave-assisted aqueous extraction of anthocyanins from grape juice waste. *J. Food Process Eng.* 40, e12486. doi: 10.1111/jfpe.12486

Villela-Castrejón, J., Antunes-Ricardo, M., and Gutiérrez-Uribe, J. A. (2017). Bioavailability and anti-inflammatory activity of phenolic acids found in spray-dried nejayote after its in vitro digestion. *J. Funct. Foods* 39, 37-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2017.09.058

Vorobiev, E., and Chemat, F. (2013). "3 - Principles of physically assisted extractions and applications in the food, beverage and nutraceutical industries," in *Woodhead Publishing Series in Food Science, Technology and Nutrition*, ed. (Sawston, United Kingdom: Woodhead Publishing) p. 71–108. doi: 10.1533/9780857090751.1.71

Wang, B. (2012). Orthogonal test design for optimisation of extraction of trans-resveratrol from Pinot Noir-grape pomace. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 26, 821–829. doi: 10.1080/14786419.2011.559638

Wang, C., Pan, Y., Zhang, Q. Y., Wang, F. M., and Kong, L. D. (2012). Quercetin and allopurinol ameliorate kidney injury in STZ-treated rats with regulation of renal NLRP3 inflammasome activation and lipid accumulation. *PLoS ONE* 7, e38285. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038285

Wang, L., Jo, M. J., Katagiri, R., Harata, K., Ohta, M., Ogawa, A., et al. (2018). Antioxidant effects of citrus pomace extracts processed by super-heated steam. *LWT* 90, 331–338. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.12.024

Wang, W., Wu, Q. H., Sui, Y., Wang, Y., and Qiu, X. (2017). Rutin protects endothelial dysfunction by disturbing Nox4 and ROSsensitive NLRP3 inflammasome. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 86, 32–40. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2016.11.134

Xu, H., Wang, W., Liu, X., Yuan, F., and Gao, Y. (2015). Antioxidative phenolics obtained from spent coffee grounds (Coffea arabica L.) by subcritical water extraction. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 76, 946–954. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.07.054

Xu, Y., Burton, S., Kim, C., and Sismour, E. (2016). Phenolic compounds, antioxidant, and antibacterial properties of pomace extracts from four Virginiagrown grape varieties. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 4, 125–133. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.264

Yadav, V. R., Prasad, S., Sung, B., and Aggarwal, B. B. (2011). The role of chalcones in suppression of NF-kB-mediated inflammation and cancer. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 11, 295–309. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2010.12.006

Yakhlef, W., Arhab, R., Romero, C., Brenes, M., de Castro, A., and Medina, E. (2018). Phenolic composition and antimicrobial activity of Algerian olive products and by-products. *Lwt.* 93, 323–328. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2018.03.044

Yilma, A. N., Singh, S. R., Morici, L., and Dennis, V. A. (2013). Flavonoid naringenin: a potential immunomodulator for Chlamydia trachomatis inflammation. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2013, 102457. doi: 10.1155/2013/102457

Yokoyama, T., Kosaka, Y., and Mizuguchi, M. (2015). Structural insight into the interactions between death-associated protein kinase 1 and natural flavonoids. *J. Med. Chem.* 58, 7400–7408. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00893

Zainol, M. K., Subri, I., Zamri, A. I., Mohd Zin, Z., Fisal, A., and Mamat, H. (2020). Antioxidative properties and proximate analysis of spent coffee ground (SCG) extracted using ultrasonic-methanol assisted technique as a potential functional food ingredient. *Food Res.* 4, 636–644. doi: 10.26656/fr.2017.4(3).358

Zhang, H., Hassan, Y. I., Renaud, J., Liu, R., Yang, C., Sun, Y., et al. (2017). Bioaccessibility, bioavailability, and anti-inflammatory effects of anthocyanins from purple root vegetables using mono-and co-culture cell models. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 61, 1600928. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201600928 Zhang, H., Liu, R., and Tsao, R. (2016). Anthocyanin-rich phenolic extracts of purple root vegetables inhibit pro-inflammatory cytokines induced by H2O2 and enhance antioxidant enzyme activities in Caco-2 cells. *J. Funct. Foods* 22, 363–375. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2016.01.004

Zhang, H., and Tsao, R. (2016). Dietary polyphenols, oxidative stress and antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* 8, 33-42. doi: 10.1016/j.cofs.2016.02.002

Zhang, H. F., Yang, X. H., and Wang, Y. (2011). Microwave assisted extraction of secondary metabolites from plants: current status and future directions. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 22, 672–688. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2011.07.003

Zhang, Q., Luna-Vital, D., and de Mejia, E. G. (2019). Anthocyanins from colored maize ameliorated the inflammatory paracrine interplay between macrophages and adipocytes through regulation of NF- κ B and JNK-dependent MAPK pathways. *J. Funct. Foods* 54, 175–186. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2019.01.016

Zhang, X., Wang, G., Gurley, E. C., and Zhou, H. (2014). Flavonoid apigenin inhibits lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory response through multiple mechanisms in Macrophages. *PLoS ONE.* 9, 1–18. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0107072

Zhao, Z., Shin, H. S., Satsu, H., Totsuka, M., and Shimizu, M. (2008). 5-Caffeoylquinic acid and caffeic acid down-regulate the oxidative stress-and TNFa-induced secretion of interleukin-8 from Caco-2 cells. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 56, 3863–3868. doi: 10.1021/jf073168d

Zhou, J., Gullón, B., Wang, M., Gullón, P., Lorenzo, J. M., and Barba, F. J. (2021). The application of supercritical fluids technology to recover healthy valuable compounds from marine and agricultural food processing by-products: a review. *Processes 9*, 357. doi: 10.3390/pr9020357

Zhu, X., Liu, H., Liu, Y., Chen, Y., Liu, Y., and Yin, X. (2020). The antidepressant-like effects of hesperidin in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats by activating Nrf2/ARE/Glyoxalase 1 pathway. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 1325. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.01325

Žuntar, I., Putnik, P., Kovačevi,ć, D. B., and Nutrizio, M., Šupljika, F., Poljanec, A., et al. (2019). Phenolic and antioxidant analysis of olive leaves extracts (olea europaea L.) obtained by high voltage electrical discharges (HVED). *Foods.* 8, 248. doi: 10.3390/foods8070248