

STUDY OF ISOSTERIC SUBSTITUTION OF THE 1,4-BENZODIOXANE OXYGEN ATOMS IN BENZAMIDES FTSZ INHIBITORS.



Lorenzo Suigo, Valentina Straniero, Andrea Casiraghi, Ermanno Valoti

lorenzo.suigo@unimi.it

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Luigi Mangiagalli 25, 20133 Milano

FtsZ & STATE OF THE ART

Antibiotic resistance is rising to dangerously high levels in all parts of the world. Thence there is the urgent need of efficient antibiotics with innovative mechanisms of action. An interesting promising target is the cell division process, together with its essential proteins.^[1]

Among them **FtsZ** plays a crucial role; ^[2] it is:

- A self-assembling GTPase;
- A β-tubulin homologue;
- Widely conserved among bacteria;

SAR STUDY

The SAR study started considering the mandatory features pointed out in our previous results; specifically the maintenance of the primary amide, as well of a **defined distance** between **Scaffold A** and **Scaffold B**.

SCAFFOLD B SCAFFOLD A



- Able to polymerize forming the Z-ring, a membrane-associated structure recruiting a protein complex that enables cell constriction, formation of the mesosome and of the two daughter cells.^[3]

Starting from **3-MBA**, which proved to modestly interfere with the GTPasic activity of FtsZ, and from its more potent analogs PC190723 and DFNB, [4-6] we recently prepared a series of benzodioxanes,^[7-9] linked by a methylenoxy bridge to a 2,6-difluorobenzamide (Compounds I-III). They have interesting antimicrobial activity vs S. aureus (Sa), E. faecalis and M. *tuberculosis*. Here we report our recent updates on the SAR of these bactericides.

Specifically, we designed **Compounds 1-9** reported here aside, modifying the Scaffold A of I, substituting the benzodioxane O(1) and/or O(4) with:

- **Sulfur**, an interesting heteroatom with peculiar lipophilicity, HBA potency and steric hindrance (Compounds 1-3);
- **Carbon**, in order to evaluate the importance of the HBA property of both ٠ Oxygen atoms (Compounds 4-6);
- Tertiary Nitrogen, keeping the HBA nature while slightly increasing the steric hindrance of the substituent. (Compounds 7-9).



BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Compounds 1-9 and I, III and DNFB as references, were tested on Gram positive S. aureus, both methicillin-sensitive (MSSA) and methicillin-resistant (MRSA) strains. The most promising derivatives were also assessed for their cytotoxicity in human MRC-5 cells; all the results are shown below.

	MSSA ATCC 29213			MRSA ATCC 43300			MRC-5
Compound	MIC (µg/mL)	MBC (µg/mL)	ТІ	MIC (µg/mL)	MBC (µg/mL)	TI	TD 90 (μg/mL)
DNFB	1	1	>200	1	1	/	>200
I	5	80	n.d.	3.1	6.3	/	n.d.
Ш	0.6	0.6	>1280	n.d	n.d.	/	>800
1	1	1	>800	1	1	>800	>800
2	20	20	10	20	20	10	200 ± 4.3
3	5	10	20	5	10	20	200 ± 23.2
4	100	100	/	100	100	/	/
5	5	5	ongoing	5	5	ongoing	ongoing
6	>100	>100	/	>100	>100	/	/
7	100	100	/	100	100	/	/
8	100	100	/	10	20	/	/
9	>100	>100	/	>100	>100	/	/

LiAIH₄, THF, RT; c) MsCI, TEA, DCM, RT; d) 2,6-difuoro-3-hydroxybenzamide, K₂CO₃, 80°C e) Ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate, TEA, DMF, 60°C; f) MeOH, H₂SO₄, reflux; g) Diethyl oxalate, EtONa, EtOH, reflux; h) H₂, Pd/C, AcOH; i) Epichlorohydrin, aq. NaOH, RT; I) Fe, AcOH, MeOH, RT; m) MeI, K₂CO₃, DMF, RT; n) Ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate, TEA, Toluene, 80°C.

DISCUSSION

Promising MICs and MBCs of	The loss of antibacterial		
1 and 3 points out a	activity of 7, 8 and 9 indicates		
productive substitution of	how the steric hindrance in		
benzodioxane O(4) with	both positions of the		
Sulfur;	benzodioxane ring is poorly		
The differences in	tolerated;		
antimicrobial activities	Considering the impressive		
strengthened the	bactericidal potency of 1 ,		
importance of keeping	compared to its reference		
benzodioxane O(1) to allow	compound I , Sulfur could be		
a strong target interaction;	an effective bioisoster of the		
	benzodioxane O(4).		

REFERENCES

[1] Nature Reviews Drug Discovery 2008, 7, 324-338; [2] Journal of Molecular Biology 2004, 342, 953–970; [3] Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology **2005**, *6*, 862-872; **[4]** Science **2008**, 321, 1673-1675; [5] BMCL 2009, 19, 524-527; [6] BMCL 2014, 24, 353-359; [7] EJMC 2015, 89, 252-265; [8] EJMC **2016**, 120, 227-243; [9] ChemMedChem **2017**, 12, 1303 –1318; [10] Journal of Biological Chemistry **2010**, 285, 14239–14246; **[11]** Journal of Biological *Chemistry* **2005**, *280*, 39709–39715.



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO DIPARTIMENTO DI

