Malformations of cortical development are a group of rare disorders commonly manifesting with developmental delay, cerebral palsy or seizures. The neurological outcome is extremely variable depending on the type, extent and severity of the malformation and the involved genetic pathways of brain development. Neuroimaging plays an essential role in the diagnosis of these malformations, but several issues regarding malformations of cortical development definitions and classification remain unclear. The purpose of this consensus statement is to provide standardized malformations of cortical development terminology and classification for neuroradiological pattern interpretation. A committee of international experts in paediatric neuroradiology prepared systematic literature reviews and formulated neuroimaging recommendations in collaboration with geneticists, paediatric neurologists and pathologists during consensus meetings in the context of the European Network Neuro-MIG initiative on Brain Malformations (https://www.neuro-mig.org/). Malformations of cortical development neuroimaging features and practical recommendations are provided to aid both expert and non-expert radiologists and neurologists who may encounter patients with malformations of cortical development in their practice, with the aim of improving malformations of cortical development diagnosis and imaging interpretation worldwide.
**Introduction**

Malformations of cortical development (MCD) comprise a large, heterogeneous group of disorders of disrupted cerebral cortex formation caused by various genetic, infectious, vascular, or metabolic aetiologies (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011; Barkovich et al., 2012). MCD are characterized by abnormal cortical structure or presence of heterotopic grey matter, sometimes associated with abnormal brain size (i.e. micrencephaly or megalencephaly) (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011; Barkovich et al., 2012). MCD may cause significant morbidity at any age, but most commonly symptom onset ranges from early childhood to early adult age, manifested as epilepsy, developmental delay, intellectual disability or cerebral palsy (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011; Barkovich et al., 2012). Notably, it is estimated that ~40–50% of drug-resistant epilepsies treated by surgery in children are caused by MCD (Pasquier et al., 2002).

The definitive diagnosis of MCD is based on neuropathological findings; however, pathological tissue is rarely available except for epilepsy surgery cases or rare cases resulting in autopsy. Therefore, for most practical purposes, diagnosis begins with the neuroimaging features; associated clinical phenotyping and genetic findings aid in subclassification. Despite the abundant literature, several issues regarding the definitions and classification of these malformations remain unclear. Indeed, diagnostic terms for different subtypes of MCD are often used imprecisely, and full agreement concerning their definitions, based on neuroimaging criteria, has yet to be reached. Moreover, classification schemes change constantly (Barkovich et al., 1996, 2001, 2005, 2012) based on new genetic and mechanistic discoveries, while, at the same time, increasing the difficulty in categorizing MCD into well-defined, rigid groups (Barkovich, 2013). An updated, shared terminology and precise classification of MCD would greatly help to improve genotype-phenotype correlations and communication among radiologists, clinicians, pathologists and laboratory geneticists. In addition, greater specificity of neuroimaging pattern descriptions/reports may improve the diagnostic rate by allowing more targeted genetic testing or facilitating discovery of new genotype-phenotype correlations. Finally, the complex nature and high degree of clinical, neuroimaging and genetic heterogeneity of MCD (no two malformations are identical) requires a multidisciplinary approach, as well as substantial expertise in pattern recognition using brain MRI with high-quality age-specific protocols. Novel digital pathology methods for computer-aided analysis of images may be very helpful in improving detection rate and reducing the human factor in making correct diagnosis of MCD (Klonowski, 2016).

To this end, a large group of MCD experts came together in a pan-European, multidisciplinary network (COST Action Neuro-MIG, www.neuro-mig.org), aiming to improve diagnosis, management and research on MCD (Mancini, 2018). Based on a critical review of the literature, extensive personal experiences, and multidisciplinary discussions, this consensus paper of best practice guidelines for the radiological diagnosis of MCD in the post-natal period was conceived, beginning from an overview of currently accepted classification schemes, including notions of embryological processes and potential classification limits and challenges. Moreover, we provide basic concepts regarding the normal cortical appearance on MRI, a practical approach for imaging diagnosis of MCD, followed by a focus on definitions and relevant neuroimaging features of MCD.

**Search strategy and methods**

This review represents a consensus document discussed during face-to-face expert meetings within the European Network Neuro-MIG on Brain Malformations (Mancini, 2018). The Neuro-MIG network is a consortium of clinical, genetic, and translational researchers devoted to the study of cortical malformations, with a work package dedicated to the imaging of MCD and development of neuroimaging guidelines and research projects based on advanced MRI techniques [Work Group (WG) 2]. The European Network Neuro-MIG is funded by the COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) Action CA16118, which did not influence the content of the present consensus paper.

‘megalecephaly’, ‘hemimegalecephaly’, ‘lissencephaly’, ‘microlissencephaly’, ‘polymicrogyria’, ‘schizencephaly’, ‘cobbledstone malformation’, ‘focal cortical dysplasia’, ‘dysgryrria’ and ‘heterotopia’. About 500 articles written in English were included in the review, which was conducted between 10 January 2018 and 15 September 2019. Because of the lack of randomized trials for almost all questions discussed, formalized grading of evidence and recommendations was not performed. Initial recommendations were developed during the workshops via iterative discussion in thematic working groups and plenary sessions. MCD definitions and imaging features were discussed with paediatric neurologists, geneticists, and neuropathologists from two other Neuro-MIG working groups (WG1 Clinical Phenotyping, and WG3 Genotyping). A first draft summarizing the consensus recommendations was compiled by three researchers (M.S., N.U. and M.L) and then reviewed and approved by all members of WG 2. The final version of the consensus document was then circulated among all COST Network members prior to submission. Practical guidelines are provided as Supplementary material, Key points and recommendations.

**Classification by stage of developmental disruption**

The first classification based on imaging and embryological processes was introduced by Barkovich et al. in 1996 and updated in the following years (Barkovich et al., 2001, 2005). The most recent version, published in 2012 (Barkovich et al., 2012), upholds the pivotal concept that MCDs derive from disruption of three major stages of cortical development: cell proliferation and apoptosis, cell migration, and post-migrational development. In particular, MCDs have been classified into these three main groups based on the main developmental stage that is first affected, acknowledging the fact that alteration of early developmental events often affects later events (Barkovich et al., 2012). Moreover, these different processes take place at different times in different parts of the brain, so many different processes are often taking place simultaneously (Barkovich et al., 2012; Barkovich, 2013).

The proliferation of neurons in the dorsal telencephalon of the human foetus begins around the fifth to sixth gestational week, decreases after 16 gestational weeks, and finishes around 22–25 gestational weeks (Bystron et al., 2008). During the cell proliferation and apoptosis stage, pyramidal neuronal and glial precursors are generated from neuroepithelial cells in the ventricular zone (VZ), immediately adjacent to the lateral ventricle. The neuroepithelial cell population divides symmetrically and undergoes a stereotyped interkinetic nuclear migration (i.e. a process where the position of the cell nucleus is altered in line with the cell cycle), thus forming a pseudostratified monolayer (Astick and Vanderhaeghen, 2018; Borrell, 2019). Following pool expansion, neuroepithelial cells will convert to apical radial glial cells (aRGC), characterized by a unique epithelial morphology, partial glial identity, and high neurogenic capacity. In particular, aRGC divide at the ventricular surface either symmetrically, to produce two progenitors, or asymmetrically, generating a progenitor and a neuron, either directly or indirectly via intermediate progenitors (Astick and Vanderhaeghen, 2018; Borrell, 2019). Intermediate progenitors are a specific class of progenitor cells without epithelial polarity that divide in the subventricular zone (SVZ) before generating neurons. Of note, aRGC span the entire cerebrum from the ependyma to the pia with their basal and apical processes, thus functioning as a stable radial scaffold for neuronal migration (Bystron et al., 2008). At later stages of cortical neurogenesis, the proliferative compartment expands basally into a so-called outer SVZ that is much larger than the VZ (Smart, 1973; Dehay et al., 2015; Astick and Vanderhaeghen, 2018). In particular, the outer SVZ contains specialized basal progenitors, the outer radial glia cells (oRGC), displaying a distinctive morphology (i.e. a basal process but not an apical process), a specific gene expression profile, and a specific and stereotyped movement at mitosis, known as mitotic somal translocation. Because of its strong proliferative capacities, the outer SVZ is extremely important in the development of primate/human cortex, likely contributing to its expansion as well as gyrification (Lui et al., 2011; Astick and Vanderhaeghen, 2018; Borrell, 2019). Of note, the wide diversity of neurons that populate the cortex is mainly achieved by a mechanism of temporal patterning, in which cortical progenitors display a sequential shift in competence for the generation of different neuronal subtypes: early born excitatory neurons will occupy deep layers of the cortex, while late born neurons will migrate through these neurons to settle in the upper or superficial cortical layers, displaying specific and diverse laminar identities as well as typical morphological and functional features (Astick and Vanderhaeghen, 2018). Conversely, cortical inhibitory interneurons are generated in a separate ventral telencephalic niche, the medial and caudal ganglionic eminences, and, as explained below, they will undertake different migration trajectories to the dorsal telencephalon where they will integrate into cortical circuits (Chu and Anderson, 2015; Astick and Vanderhaeghen, 2018).

In cases of reduced proliferation or increased apoptosis, microcephaly may result; on the other hand, enhanced proliferation or reduced apoptosis can cause megalencephaly. Abnormal proliferation (also called dysgenesis) may also occur, resulting in dysmorphic neurons typical of type II focal cortical dysplasia (FCD) and megalencephaly with cortical malformations. These conditions have been recently recognized to result from germline and somatic mutations activating the mTOR pathway in dorsal telencephalic progenitors of excitatory neurons.

In the human cerebrum, migration of neurons begins at a time when the first neurons are formed (around fifth to sixth gestational week), peaks between the third and fifth months of gestation, and ends around 30–35 gestational weeks (cerebellar migration continues into the middle of the second
The last step of cortical formation, called post-migrational development, is characterized by axonogenesis, dendritogenesis as well as synapse initiation, maturation and pruning involving both pyramidal neurons and cortical interneurons (Budday et al., 2015; Chu and Anderson, 2015). These processes are intimately related to progressive cortical folding with formation of gyri and sulci that follow a specific, timely predictive pattern that may be assessed on foetal MRI (Budday et al., 2015). Most post-migrational processes extend beyond the intrauterine period and some of them continue even into adult life (Budday et al., 2015; Fernández et al., 2016).

Isolated disorders of post-migrational development mainly include secondary microcephaly, FCD type I (Barkovich et al., 2012) and certain forms of dysgyria. Although polymicrogyria is still classified as a post-migrational disorder (Barkovich et al., 2012), there is increasing evidence that this malformation is mainly the consequence of disturbed late neuronal migration. Indeed, besides disruption of cortical organization with or without fusion of the overlying molecular layer, recent histopathology studies have shown that polymicrogyria is frequently characterized by defects in the pial limiting membrane and overlying meninges with associated leptomeningeal heterotopia (Squier and Jansen, 2014; Jansen et al., 2016). These findings suggest the reclassification of polymicrogyria in the group of late migrational, rather than post-migrational, abnormalities. As cortical development remains a dynamic process well into postnatal life, it is likely that there are a vast number of disorders of cortical development of varying aetiologies that may not be detected using imaging. These disorders may impact on both grey and white matter development.

Current limits and open questions about classification

Classifications are not rigid, unmodifiable paradigms; rather, they should be viewed as dynamic, ‘fluid’ models that continuously evolve as knowledge is gained about underlying pathological mechanisms. The discovery of many genes, proteins, and pathways involved in cortical development has improved our understanding of MCD, making the classification schemes more complex in some areas and more simplified in others (Supplementary Table 1). Indeed, classification schemes are often challenged by the discovery of underlying genetic defects, since genotype-phenotype studies have demonstrated that different mutations in the same gene may lead to different types of MCD and mutations in different genes that function in the same pathway may cause the same cortical malformative pattern (Manzini and Walsh, 2011; Barkovich, 2013). Moreover, different pathological mechanisms may cause the same phenotypic MCD on imaging (Manzini and Walsh, 2011; Barkovich, 2013). Of note, all these data demonstrate the central role of common signalling pathways and their interactions in the development of MCD.
pathways and support the hypotheses that MCD-related genes are involved at multiple developmental stages and that proliferation, migration and post-migrational organization are genetically and functionally interdependent (Barkovich, 2013). As the boundaries between disorders of neuronal migration, cortical organization and proliferation are vanishing, it is expected that future classifications of MCDs will rely on the precise knowledge of those biological pathways (Barkovich, 2013; Guerrini and Dobyns, 2014).

How to study malformations of cortical development

Malformations of cortical development due to under- or over-migration are difficult to recognize by foetal ultrasound and MRI before 20 weeks of gestational age (in many countries an important time point for possible termination of pregnancy). Even after that date, identification remains a challenge. Abnormal sulcation patterns can be identified by ultrasound and/or MRI, either in the form of lack of normal sulci (consistent with the lissencephaly spectrum) and/or early, aberrant sulcation (consistent with polymicrogyria). While megalencephaly and microcephaly can be confidently assessed through measurements of the head circumference and—in the case of some megalencephalies—asymmetries in cerebral hemisphere volume, other subtler abnormalities such as grey matter heterotopia often remain altogether invisible. In all cases of suspected MCD on prenatal studies, post-natal confirmation with ultrasound and MRI should be obtained to delineate the abnormalities and their extension.

In the postnatal period, ultrasound can be used to look for calcification, disturbances of gyral architecture, enlarged ventricles, and midline defects, which could be direct or indirect signs of brain malformations. Malformations involving the posterior fossa are more difficult to detect, and should be imaged not only through the anterior fontanel but also through the mastoid fontanel (asterion). For optimal imaging, dedicated neonatal convex and high frequency linear transducers should be used. Proper training is of utmost importance for neonatal ultrasound as the technique is heavily operator dependent.

Although CT scanning can be used to study MCD and will depict most abnormalities with good detail, its routine use is discouraged in view of radioprotection concerns. CT is presently mostly used as a second-line imaging method to confirm calcifications that sometimes accompany brain malformations (such as those found in congenital cytomegalovirus infection), although the latter can often be confidently detected on MRI using susceptibility-weighted imaging (SWI).

MRI is certainly recommended as the most important imaging method in the evaluation of MCD, thanks to its optimal delineation of grey and white matter structures in the absence of ionizing radiation. Radiologists should strive to maximize the quality of their MRI protocols when suspecting MCD, using the best/highest resolution/highest contrast scanner available in their institutions (Martinez-Rios et al., 2016). We support the use of 3 T over 1.5 T scanners whenever available, provided that imaging protocols are optimized. Radiologists should also obtain detailed information from the referring clinicians regarding the size of the head, possible syndromic clinical features, epilepsy semiology, and EEG findings (particularly, location of origin of spikes) before reporting the examination (Martinez-Rios et al., 2016).

Furthermore, it is of great importance to become familiar with the changes in imaging appearance of MCD associated with the age of the patient (Elze et al., 2005) and the type of magnetic resonance sequences that are best suited to detect these anomalies (Martinez-Rios et al., 2016). Remarkably, ongoing myelination and maturation modifies the appearance of the normal grey and white matter and causes interval changes in the delineation of grey-white matter interfaces that, in turn, cause MCDs to become more or less easily identifiable at any given age. Specifically (i) contrast between cortex and white matter is maximal before myelination begins; (ii) during myelination, such contrast transiently diminishes; (iii) a ‘T2 isointense’ stage is usually presented at 8–12 months, which may hinder recognition of MCDs; and (iv) during myelination, cortical thickness progressively increases. Such physiological modifications are particularly relevant in that, unless a malformation has been suspected prenatally and imaged for confirmation in the neonatal period, several patients with MCDs will present with psychomotor delay and/or seizures in the first year of life, and will therefore be first imaged in the T2 isointense period. For these cases, repeat MRI after completion of myelination (i.e. in the third year of life) may be warranted to fully elucidate the picture.

The MRI protocol should reflect this dynamic context and be, therefore, adjusted with patient age. In the fully-myelinated brain, at least a thin slice (≤1 mm) 3D T1-weighted sequence, axial and coronal T2-weighted sequences (≤3 mm) and a FLAIR T2 sequence (preferably 3D with thin slices, ≤1 mm, for triplanar reformatting) should be obtained. In newborns, however, T2-weighted sequences obtained in the three planes of space are of paramount importance, whereas high-resolution T1 3D sequences can be more technically challenging and FLAIR is unnecessary (since incomplete myelination generates suboptimal grey-white matter contrast). In all cases, diffusion-tensor imaging is extremely important to inspect the microscopic architecture of the brain and to identify possible associated axonal pathfinding disorders, and should always be obtained at least in research contexts. A practical schematic approach for making the diagnosis of MCD on imaging is proposed in Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 2.

In case of a suspicion of MCD causing epilepsy, radiologists should also consider using nuclear medicine techniques, such as FDG PET, especially in MRI negative scans. Indeed,
Normal cortical imaging

The fundamental ontologies of the cerebral cortex in mammals are the archicortex (represented by the hippocampal formation and related structures), the paleocortex (including the olfactory cortex), the periallocortex (consisting of the presubiculum, parasubiculum and entorhinal cortex) and the significantly larger neocortex or isocortex (Insausti et al., 2017).

The normal human neocortex occupies ~90% of the overall cerebral cortical surface and consists of a compact, mostly six-layered, ribbon of grey matter (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011; Insausti et al., 2017). Although previous pathology studies reported that normal cortical thickness ranges from 1 to 4.5 mm (being thinner in the depth of the sulci and thicker at the crown of the gyri) (Fischl and Dale, 2000; Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011), cortical measurements using brain MRI should not be used as strict cut-off values in the assessment of MCD. Indeed, neocortical thickness on neuro-imaging studies is known to vary with age, myelination status, gender, head size, and brain cortical area as well as secondary phenomena, such as acquired lesions or seizures (Raznahan et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2019).

In newborns and infants up to 6 months of age, the cortex is hypointense on T2- and hyperintense on T1-weighted MRI relative to the unmyelinated white matter (‘infantile pattern’) (Barkovich, 2000a; Paus et al., 2001). Only after 1 year of age does an early adult pattern appear, first on T1- and later on T2-weighted images (Barkovich, 2000a; Paus et al., 2001). The cortical-subcortical interface is well demarcated in the neonatal period but becomes more blurred in infants up to 1 year due to progression of myelination (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). This phenomenon is more striking between 8 and 12 months in the so-called ‘isointense pattern’ period (Paus et al., 2001). Of note, FLAIR images before 2–3 years of age are even more difficult to assess because of incomplete myelination (Murakami et al., 1999). As myelination progresses, at ~18 months the neocortex becomes hypointense on T1-weighted MRI and hyperintense on T2-weighted, FLAIR and diffusion-weighted (DWI) images when compared to the white matter. Notably, the embryologically older archi- and paleocortex are of subtly higher signal intensity on T2, DWI, and FLAIR; these physiological regional signal variations can be observed in both healthy adults and children and are accentuated on 3 T MRI (Schneider and Vergesslich, 2007; Yeung et al., 2013). This finding is most probably explained by basic cytoarchitectural differences, as the paleocortex and archicortex have only three layers (Insausti et al., 2017). In any case, the normal external cortical surface as well as the cortico-subcortical junction should always be smooth and sharply delineated (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). (Supplementary Fig. 2)

The normal human cortex is highly convoluted with up to two-thirds laying deep in the sulci (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). Importantly, any evaluation of the cortex should, therefore, always be accompanied by an analysis of the pattern of sulcation and gyration (Budday et al., 2014). As a general rule, the primary and secondary sulci may show slight anatomical variations, but are constant and symmetric in location and depth (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011) and are normally separated by <1.5 cm (Di Donato et al., 2017).

Malformations of cortical development: definitions

Microcephaly

Microcephaly (or microcrania) refers to the clinical finding of a small head, with significant reduction in the occipital-frontal circumference (OFC) below –2 standard deviations (SD) (corresponding to the 3rd percentile) compared with age- and gender-matched controls (Ashwal et al., 2009; Woods and Parker, 2013). An OFC comprising between –2 and –3 SD (corresponding to the 0.3 percentile) is considered mild microcephaly (Ashwal et al., 2009). While microcephaly refers to a small head circumference, micrencephaly etymologically indicates a small volume of brain parenchyma. In practice, the growth arrest of the brain due to both acquired and genetic insults almost invariably results in a small head circumference (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). Therefore, in the vast majority of cases, microcephaly implies micrencephaly and the two terms have been used interchangeably. One exception are some craniosynostoses in which a small head circumference may be present despite normal brain size due to the early fusion of involved sutures. Microcephaly is divided according to the time of occurrence into primary and secondary forms (Woods and Parker, 2013; Seltzer and Paciorkowski, 2014; Shaheen et al., 2017). This basic distinction has proven useful to inform the diagnostic algorithm and prognosis of individual patients (Woods and Parker, 2013; Seltzer and Paciorkowski, 2014). Primary microcephaly, corresponding to previous ‘microcephalia vera’, is a congenital form of microcephaly often characterized by a simplified gyral pattern; it presents at birth or even in utero (Aalcantara and O’Driscoll, 2014; Seltzer and Paciorkowski, 2014; Naveed et al., 2018). Most cases are believed to result from an impairment of the normal process of neurogenesis (Homen et al., 2015) (including decreased progenitor pool size, number of cell cycles, ratio of proliferating cells to differentiating neurons, and abnormal or increased apoptotic events),
leading to a deficiency in the eventual number of neurons (Homem et al., 2015); however, the underlying gene defect is only ascertained in only 59% of patients (von der Hagen et al., 2014). In addition, since the volume of the neuropil is dependent upon the number of neurons present initially, the primary microcephalic head also tends to grow more slowly than normal (Herculano-Houzel et al., 2007). Conversely, secondary microcephaly develops postnatally and is most commonly a consequence of disruptive events in the post-neurogenesis period (Seltzer and Paciorkowski, 2014). However, many individuals in this group present with an OFC in the lower normal range at birth. Based on the specific aetiological mechanism, both primary and secondary microcephalies can be further classified into acquired/environmental or genetic (syndromic and non-syndromic) forms (Ashwal et al., 2009).

Microcephaly may have normal or abnormal cortex and may be further classified based on the presence of associated cortical anomalies, such as simplified cortical gyral pattern, lissencephaly, grey matter heterotopia, and polymicrogyria (Fig. 1) (Vermeulen et al., 2010; Adachi et al., 2011). We define ‘simplified gyral pattern’ as a reduced number of gyri with shallow sulci (one-quarter to one-half of normal depth), but with normal thickness of the cerebral cortex on brain MRI (Fig. 1D–F). In the specific case of preterm or at term neonates with microcephaly, a delay of sulcation of at least 4 weeks could be considered abnormal. Of note, the degree of gyral pattern simplification is directly related to the severity of the microcephaly, and may have gradients of severity (Desir et al., 2008). Conversely, in cases of microcephaly with lissencephaly (previously known as ‘microlissencephaly’), a simplified gyral pattern is associated with thickened cortex (Fig. 1G–O) (Barkovich et al., 1998). It is currently well-established that microcephaly with lissencephaly does not correspond to a single entity, but rather to a heterogeneous group of disorders sharing similar neuroimaging features, often including severe cerebellar hypoplasia, with diverse underlying mechanisms (Di Donato et al., 2018). Finally, microcephaly may be associated with polymicrogyria, which may have a unilateral or symmetric bilateral distribution, or with heterotopia (periventricular or subcortical nodules) due to acquired and genetic causes (see related paragraphs) (Fig. 1P–R).

Associated brain disorders, including other brain malformations (including basal ganglia, callosal, hind brain anomalies), white matter signal alterations or calcifications should be reported since the combination of some of these features may suggest specific aetiological diagnoses (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011; Valence et al., 2016).

**Macrocephaly and brain overgrowth spectrum**

Macrocephaly (or macrocrania) is a clinical term that refers to a generalized increase in size of the head, with an OFC exceeding the mean for age and gender by at least 2 SD (corresponding to the 97 percentile) (Boom, 2016). An OFC ranging between 2 and 3 SD (corresponding to the 99.7 percentile) above the mean is consistent with mild macrocephaly (DeMeyer, 1986). Megalencephaly differs from macrocephaly in that it refers to an increase in size of the brain. In contrast to the close relationship between microcephaly and micrencephaly, macrocephaly may be related to a wide variety of causes other than megalencephaly, including hydrocephalus, enlargement of extra-axial spaces and skeletal dysplasia (Mirzaa and Poduri, 2014; Keppner-Noreuil et al., 2015; Winden et al., 2015). Megalencephaly does not necessarily imply macrocrania, however, as brain overgrowth may be unilateral or even focal, thus not impacting significantly on head circumference (Mirzaa et al., 2018). Megalencephaly may be caused by disruption of signalling pathways that regulate brain cellular proliferation, differentiation, cell cycle regulation, and survival (developmental megalencephaly) (Mirzaa and Poduri, 2014) or by some inborn errors of metabolism and leukodystrophies (metabolic megalencephaly) (Mirzaa and Poduri, 2014).

Although megalencephaly has been classically considered a single malformation, it is now recognized as a spectrum of brain overgrowth disorders (Mirzaa and Poduri, 2014; Mirzaa et al., 2018). Brain overgrowth may be bilateral or unilateral, and in both cases either with complete or partial hemispheric involvement (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3). Importantly, complete unilateral megalencephaly involving one or almost one entire brain hemisphere corresponds to the classical definition of hemimegalencephaly (HMEG) (Flores-Sarnat, 2002), while focal megalencephaly involving up to three cerebral lobes has been variably described as quadri lobe dysplasia, lobar HMEG or hemi-HMEG (Fig. 2J–R); these are, in fact, mostly somatic mosaic mutations (see below) that usually involve predominantly the frontal lobe or the parieto-occipital lobes (D’Agostino et al., 2004).

In HMEG the septum pellucidum and fornices are often thickened or deviated, with presence of mid-sagittal band-like structures connecting the frontal lobe with the limbic system, well seen on diffusion tensor imaging studies (Sato et al., 2008). Moreover, all forms of megalencephaly (including HMEG) may either have normal-appearing cortex or be associated with cortical malformations (also known as dysplastic megalencephaly) and/or white matter signal abnormalities (Guerrini and Dobyns, 2014). Indeed, it was recently discovered that some dysplastic HMEG are merely large areas of focal cortical dysplasia type II (see following section), with the size of the area dependent upon both the timing and the extent of the causative mutation (D’Gama et al., 2017).

Posterior cranial fossa structures may also be involved in the megalencephaly spectrum, although it is rather uncommon, with overgrowth of the cerebellum (with or without cerebellar cortical dysplasia) often progressing more rapidly than the cerebrum during the first 2 years of life, sometimes leading to acquired cerebellar tonsillar ectopia (Mirzaa et al., 2012) (Fig. 2F and L). In the past, ‘total HMEG’ has been used to describe the specific association of HMEG with
overgrowth of the ipsilateral brainstem and/or cerebellum (Sener, 1997). However, this term may be misleading since megalencephaly are frequently the result of a mosaic mutation (D’Gama et al., 2017) and, therefore, the involvement of posterior cranial fossa is not confined to HMEG but it may be observed also in other megalencephaly forms (Guerrini and Dobyns, 2014).

Of note, megalencephaly with a variable range of cortical phenotypes, as seen on MRI, including polymicrogyria, can be isolated or a part of syndromic conditions, such as macrocephaly capillary malformation (MCM) and megalencephaly polymicrogyria-polydactyly hydrocephalus (MPPH) syndromes, epidermal naevus syndrome, congenital lipomatous asymmetric overgrowth of the trunk with vascular malformations, epidermal naevi, scoliosis/skeletal/spinal anomalies (CLOVES) syndrome, and other mTORopathies. These conditions are frequently associated with body overgrowth and cutaneous hallmarks, such as cutaneous naevi, due to neural crest involvement with the post-zygotic somatic mosaicism (Mirzaa et al., 2012; Keppler-Noreuil et al., 2015).

Focal cortical dysplasia

The term ‘focal cortical dysplasia’ (FCD) refers to a spectrum of focal brain malformations characterized by disordered cortical lamination with or without abnormal cell types. In 2011, FCDs were categorized by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) into three subgroups, essentially at pathology level (Blümcke et al., 2011):

(i) Type I (a–c) FCD are characterized by alterations in columnar/radial (Ia) or laminar/tangential (Ib) structure, or both (Ic) (Blümcke et al., 2011). Type I FCD may affect small areas of the cortex and be difficult to detect even by an experienced neuropathologist. Because these cytoarchitectural aberrations may be subtle, type I FCD are often radiographically subtle or occult (Krsek et al., 2008).
(ii) Type II (a, b) FCD are more often easily visualized by MRI (Krsek et al., 2008) and are characterized by marked disruption of cortical lamination with presence of morphologically abnormal cell types, specifically dysmorphic neurons (characterized by abnormal shape, size, and orientation) and balloon cells (i.e. unusual large cells with abundant opalescent eosinophilic cytoplasm, one or more eccentric nuclei). Type IIa FCD has dysmorphic neurons only whilst type IIb FCD has both dysmorphic neurons and balloon cells (Blümcke et al., 2011). Recent work has shown that type II FCD and dysplastic megalencephaly (including classical HMEG) form a spectrum of disorders that results from germline, somatic or germline plus somatic ‘two hit’ mutations of genes coding for proteins that function in the mTOR pathway (Jansen et al., 2015; D’Gama et al., 2017; Ribierre et al., 2018).

(iii) Type III FCDs are essentially type I FCD with an additional brain lesion in the same lobe, and are classified as IIIa (hippocampal sclerosis), IIIb (tumour), IIIc (vascular malformation), or IIId (lesions acquired in early life, e.g. glial scar due to infectious or ischaemic injury) (Blümcke et al., 2011). In contrast, if type II FCD are found in conjunction with, for example, hippocampal sclerosis or another structural lesion (such as vascular malformation or tumour), the two lesions are thought to reflect distinct pathological processes and termed ‘dual pathology’ or ‘double pathology’, respectively (Blümcke et al., 2011).

Of note, a critical update of the international consensus classification of FCD by Najm et al. (2018) highlighting several challenges, especially regarding FCD types I and III, and
paving the way for a new integrated clinico-pathological and genetic classification system (Najm et al., 2018; Blümcke, 2019). In particular, FCD type I subtypes are still lacking a comprehensive description of clinical phenotypes, reproducible imaging findings, and specific molecular/genetic biomarkers (Najm et al., 2018). Moreover, molecular and clinical biomarkers are needed to differentiate between secondary (acquired) or primary aetiology of FCD III. Indeed, FCD adjacent to a non-developmental, postnatally acquired lesion is difficult to explain and FCD III might be not maintained as a separate entity in the upcoming revised classification (Najm et al., 2018).

Regarding incidence of FCD, FCD IIa is the most common, followed by FCD IIb, FCD Ia, and FCD Ib; many authors believe that FDC IIa and IIb are closely related and a result of slightly different mechanisms. The tubers of the tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) should be considered a subtype of FCDIIb because of the histological and imaging similarities, and shared aetiology due to involvement of the mTOR pathway genes TSC1 and TSC2. The distinction between TSC and other form of FCDIIb lies in the accompanying clinical and imaging features necessary to fulfill diagnostic criteria for TSC (Northrup et al., 2013). Associated imaging features often include subependymal nodules and giant cell astrocytoma which are both considered major diagnostic criteria for TSC in addition to the cortical tubers (Northrup et al., 2013).

Relevant imaging findings of FCD include focal changes of cortical thickness, abnormal gyral and sulcal pattern, folds that are too large or too small, cortical and subcortical signal abnormalities (in one or all sequences), blurring of the grey-white matter junction, and a radially oriented and funnel-shaped high T2/FLAIR signal intensity in the subcortical white matter pointing to the ipsilateral ventricle (‘transmantle sign’) (Fig. 3) (Krsek et al., 2008; Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011; Colombo et al., 2012). These features may be encountered individually or collectively and in variable associations (Colombo et al., 2012). The transmantle sign is highly specific for FCD type IIb, including the tubers of TSC (Krsek et al., 2008; Colombo et al., 2012; Mellerio et al., 2012). Another sign to look for on MRI is cortical swelling in the acute phase and later, presence of focal volume loss and/or reduced myelination caused by repeated seizures leading to tissue damage, best depicted on T2 and FLAIR images (Krsek et al., 2008; Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). Finally, an asymmetric lack of subcortical myelination is a rather specific finding for FCD type Ia (Barkovich and Raybaud, 2019). Remarkably, the differential diagnosis includes low grade tumours, such as gangliogliomas, as well as new histological entities, such as ‘oligodendrocytosis’ (Mata-Mbemba et al., 2018) and ‘mild malformation of cortical development with oligodendrogial hyperplasia (MOGHE)’ (Schurr et al., 2017), characterized on MRI by abnormal signal intensities within the anatomical region of seizure onset.

Of note, imaging features may be very different in unmyelinated brains; in particular, during the neonatal phase FCD may have opposite signal characteristics on T1 and T2 images, often showing hypointense T2 and hyperintense T1 signal in the involved brain region (Baron and Barkovich, 1999) (Supplementary Fig. 4). This may be a very useful imaging finding at an age when the FCD itself may be difficult to identify (Eltze et al., 2005). The explanation of this phenomenon is still uncertain, but several hypotheses have been proposed, including focal acceleration of the myelination processes as an attempt to repair or compensate for seizure-related tissue damage (Duprez et al., 1998). Later, from 2 to 3 months until the end of the myelination process, FCD may be almost indistinguishable from the surrounding normal brain parenchyma, thus causing relevant false negative cases.

Not uncommonly, only very subtle changes (i.e. minimal blurring of cortex-white matter junction) or no clear abnormality can be seen on MRI in the regions corresponding to the epileptic focus, even in fully myelinated brains. Indeed, although magnetic resonance is useful for identifying most lesions, resection remains guided, in many cases, by abnormal cellular electrical activity detected by subdural or implanted parenchymal electrodes, or by hybrid imaging such as the combination of MRI and PET (Pestana Knight et al., 2015).

Hypointense bands at the cortico-subcortical junction have been reported on susceptibility-weighted images obtained on 7 T magnetic resonance scanners (DeCianitis et al., 2015). Calcification with type IIb FCD may also occasionally be seen, especially within the base of some tubers. This may be best appreciated on CT scanning. Finally, when FCD are clinically and electrically highly suspected, it is fundamental to review highly suspected areas of apparently normal MRI scans during or after multidisciplinary discussions, since many small and subtle FCD may be overlooked at a first (even careful) evaluation (Martinez-Rios et al., 2016).

**Grey matter heterotopia**

Grey matter heterotopia are clusters of normal neurons in abnormal locations, mainly due to impaired migration (Aronica and Mühlebner, 2017). Heterotopia are identified on MRI in the form of conglomerates of grey matter in heterotopic locations and can be categorized based primarily on the morphology and location (Barkovich and Kuzniecky, 2000) (Fig. 4). Within each category, the pattern of distribution of grey matter heterotopia varies, but it may help to define the underlying genetic cause (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). Independently of the location, grey matter heterotopias are characteristically isointense with the cerebral cortex on all magnetic resonance pulse sequences (Barkovich and Kuzniecky, 2000).

Periventricular nodular heterotopia (previously designated as subependymal heterotopia) is the most common type, most often composed of nodules of grey matter lining the ventricular wall, varying widely in number, location, size, and (sometimes) shape variably associated with other brain or systemic malformations (Fig. 4A–D) (Barkovich and
Kuzniecky, 2000; Barkovich et al., 2015). Periventricular nodular heterotopia should be differentiated from the subependymal nodules of tuberous sclerosis, which are not isointense with grey matter, often calcify, may enhance with contrast administration (when not calcified), and are oriented perpendicular to the ventricular walls (Barkovich and Kuzniecky, 2000; Barkovich et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2018).

Rarely, periventricular heterotopia may appear as thick bands of heterotopic grey matter extending along the entire walls of the lateral ventricles (‘laminar heterotopia’) (Mansour et al., 2012). However, because of the resolution limitations of the magnetic resonance images of the reported patients, it is not clear yet if laminar heterotopia could be the result of confluent nodular grey matter heterotopias, or if it is a separate type of malformation characterized by smooth outer margins.

Subcortical heterotopia refers to collections of neurons dispersed in the white matter of the cerebral hemispheres (Barkovich, 2000b; Barkovich and Kuzniecky, 2000; Oegema et al., 2019). Subcortical heterotopia has a broad imaging spectrum not totally covering its anatomical terminology. Indeed, heterotopia can be seen from the ventricle wall to the overlying cortex, but also in the deep white matter location (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). In the majority of cases, it extends from the ventricular surface to the overlying cortex, which is usually dysplastic, without well-defined intervening white matter (Fig. 4G and H) (Barkovich, 2000b; Barkovich and Kuzniecky, 2000). Such an arrangement is better-defined as ‘transmantle heterotopia’ composed of either thin bands of grey matter with a columnar morphology or larger masses with a bulkier appearance (Barkovich, 2000b; Barkovich and Kuzniecky, 2000; Barkovich et al., 2012). In the latter subtype, the adjacent cortex (which is often malformed) appears continuous with the heterotopia, and the bulky masses form a curvilinear, swirling pattern due to a mixture of grey and white matter as well as engulfed blood vessels and CSF (Barkovich, 2000b; Barkovich and Kuzniecky, 2000; Barkovich et al., 2012; Uccella et al., 2019). The basal ganglia ipsilateral to bulky transmantle heterotopia may be small and dysmorphic (Barkovich, 2000b; Barkovich and Kuzniecky, 2000; Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011) with reduced white matter volume, probably as a result of a reduction of intrahemispheric associative fibres (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). Consequently, the affected hemisphere is frequently smaller than the contralateral (unaffected) one (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). Transmantle heterotopia sometimes involve one entire cerebral lobe, with a striking ‘lobe within a lobe’ appearance on imaging; this appearance has been called ‘sublobar dysplasia’ (Barkovich and Peacock, 1998; Barkovich et al., 2012). A particular form of subcortical heterotopia characterized by giant, convoluted ribbons of heterotopic grey matter involving both cerebral hemispheres symmetrically and associated with corpus callosum agenesis and diffuse polymicrogyria, has been recently described (Kielar et al., 2014); the term ‘ribbon-like heterotopia’ was proposed to describe this peculiar variant (Fig. 4M and N). Finally, very large midline ‘brain in brain’ malformations...
with a bizarre mixture of grey and white matter have been described as variations of holoprosencephaly (Widjaja et al., 2007); but we prefer to refer to these midline structures as ‘midline cerebral hamartomas’.

Subcortical band heterotopia (SBH) (previously called double cortex), is characterized by a smooth and poorly organized band of neurons which have arrested their migration in the subcortical or deep white matter, beneath the cortex proper (Barkovich et al., 1989). SBH is currently considered part of the lissencephaly spectrum, based on the aetiological and genetic intersection between the two conditions (Dobyns, 2010). On MRI, SBH can be identified as a circumferential band of incompletely migrated, heterotopic grey matter deep to the cortical mantle, separated by well-defined, smoothly margined layers of normal-appearing white matter from both the overlying cerebral cortex and the underlying ventricle (Barkovich et al., 1989). This band is usually bilateral and symmetric and may be diffuse or partial. In the latter case, the band may be in a posterior or anterior location (Fig. 4O–R) (Di Donato et al., 2017). Of note, in cases of partial SBH, the remaining cortex can be pachygyric (for instance anterior pachgyria with posterior SBH), possibly due to differential incomplete migration of neurons composing different cortical layers), and this should be carefully checked and reported. SBH can be also divided into thick (≥7 mm) and thin (1–7 mm) subtypes (Di Donato et al., 2017). Thick bands are usually located in the deep white matter beneath the deepest sulci (early cessation of migration), whereas thin bands tend to be located in the
subcortical white matter, adjacent to a gyrus (later cessation of migration) (Barkovich et al., 1994). The overlying gyral pattern can be normal, but may have normal thickness with shallow sulci or can be frankly pachygyric.

**Lissencephaly**

Lissencephaly literally means ‘smooth head’ and is usually caused by impaired neuronal migration (Dobyns, 2010). The key features are a thickened cortex and a gyral abnormality ranging from agyria (absent gyration) to oligogyria (reduced gyration) (Dobyns, 2010; Di Donato et al., 2017). The term agyria corresponds to a smooth brain with total absence of cortical convolutions (complete lissencephaly). Conversely, the term oligogyria is rarely used and is often substituted by ‘pachygyria’ (literally meaning ‘thick gyri’) to indicate a simplified convolutional pattern with few, broadened gyri and shallow sulci (Dobyns 2010; Di Donato et al., 2017). Complete agyria is extremely rare, seen most commonly in Miller-Dieker syndrome. Therefore, although it has been proposed that pachygyria can be distinguished from agyria based on the width of the involved gyri (Di Donato et al., 2017), we suggest that the term agyria be uniquely used in the extremely rare cases where no sulci can be detected.

In the past, lissencephaly was categorized into two groups: lissencephaly type I, also known as classic lissencephaly, and lissencephaly type II, also known as cobblestone malformation (Dobyns et al., 1985). It is now recognized that cobblestone malformation has a different pathophysiology than other forms of lissencephaly (i.e. over-migration instead of under-migration) and that the morphological appearance of the cortical surface is not smooth (Barkovich, 1996). Therefore, the cobblestone malformation was removed from the group of lissencephalies and the term lissencephaly type II has been discarded. Moreover, the differentiation of classic lissencephaly (lissencephaly type I), characterized by very thick cortex composed of two or four layers, and variant lissencephaly, characterized by mildly thickened cortex with three layers (Forman et al., 2005), is no longer valid, in view of the advances of the genetic background pointing to different molecular mechanisms, which in turn determine the eventual cortical phenotype. Currently, lissencephaly is best classified based on the severity (grade) and gradient of the gyral malformation, cortical thickness, and presence of associated brain malformations (Di Donato et al., 2018).

On imaging, the hallmark of lissencephaly is the presence of a thick cerebral cortex associated with reduced gyration (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 5) (Barkovich et al., 1991; Landrieu et al., 1998). In agyria, the most severe phenotype, the brain has a figure-of-eight shape resulting from a complete lack of sulci and wide, vertically oriented Sylvian fissures (Fig. 6A–C) (Dobyns, 2010). More often, at least a few shallow sulci are seen, subdividing the cortex into broad, coarse gyri, and leading to the morphological appearance of pachygyria (Dobyns, 2010). In general, lissencephaly is bilateral and symmetric.

![Image of imaging characteristics of lissencephaly.](https://academic.oup.com/brain/article/143/10/2874/589885)
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Figure 6 Imaging characteristics of cobblestone malformation. (A–C) Walker-Warburg phenotype. There is a severely thinned cerebral mantle with a complete lack of sulcation. The cortex is thin as most neurons have migrated in the subpial region. There is marked ventriculomegaly. Notice marked pontocerebellar hypoplasia with the characteristic brainstem kink (arrow) (case courtesy of Anna Nastro, Italy). (D–F) Muscle-eye-brain disease. Cobblestone pattern with a polymicrogyria-like appearance is associated with diffusely abnormal myelination and dysmorphic ventriculomegaly. Notice concurrent characteristic pontine cleft and cerebellar hypoplasia (arrowhead) with multiple microcysts (arrows) (case courtesy of Zoran Rumboldt, Croatia). (G–L) Posterior cobblestone in two subjects. (G–I) Cobblestone pattern involves both parietal lobes with a polymicrogyric appearance (arrows), with a flat surface and an irregular, lumpy-bumpy grey-white matter interface. Notice corresponding regional dysmyelination (asterisks). (J–L) In this patient with LAMA2 mutation, there is a limited cobblestone cortex in the mesial temporo-occipital lobes (arrows) associated with cerebellar microcysts (arrowhead). Notice associated pontine hypoplasia (thin arrow).

The cobblestone malformation

The term ‘cobblestone malformation’ (previously known as type II lissencephaly or cobblestone lissencephaly) was initially proposed to define a rather specific cortical appearance characterized by irregular, pebbled external and internal surfaces resembling on MRI the morphology of a cobblestone (Barkovich, 1996). This cortical malformation is usually bilateral and symmetric, frequently involving the entire brain or large areas of the cerebral hemispheres with anterior (more frequently) or posterior predominance. It is caused by over-migration of neurons through ‘gaps’ (holes) in the pial limiting membrane that result from an inability of RGCs to attach to the pial limiting membrane (also called cortical pial basement membrane); as a result, neurons disengage from the radial glia, with some unable to reach the pial limiting membrane and others migrating through the ‘gaps’ into the subarachnoid space (Devisme et al., 2012).

On imaging, cobblestone malformations are characterized by an undersulcated cerebral surface, with mildly to moderately thick cortex, and jagged cortical-white matter border with frequent vertical (perpendicular to the cortical-white matter border) striations (Fig. 7). This appearance is often highlighted by the presence of intracortical white matter high signal on T2 and FLAIR. The underlying white matter may also show high signal, increasing the demarcation of usually involving the entire brain or large areas of the cerebral hemispheres with anterior or posterior predominance (Dobyns, 2010). The cortex is usually markedly thickened (10–20 mm), and referred to as ‘thick’ lissencephaly (Di Donato et al., 2017), most often showing a four-layered structure; MRI can only resolve three layers, however: the outer stripe corresponds to the molecular and outer cellular layers, the median stripe is characterized by T1 and T2 prolongation and corresponds to the cell-sparse layer, and the inner stripe is the thickest, corresponding to the inner layer of arrested neurons (Fig. 5B).

In other instances, the cortex is less severely thickened (5–10 mm), and referred to as ‘thin’ lissencephaly (Di Donato et al., 2017) (although it remains thicker than normal and thus the term ‘thin’ is in fact a misnomer). Alternatively, coexistence of areas of both ‘thick’ and ‘thin’ lissencephaly can be identified in the same patient, corresponding to ‘variable’ lissencephaly (Di Donato et al., 2017). Of note, the subdivision of lissencephaly forms based on the thickness of the cortex, gradients of involvement and presence of other brain malformation is useful for predicting the most likely causative genes (Di Donato et al., 2017).

On the milder side of the imaging and clinical spectrum of lissencephaly, one may find coexistence of both pachygyria and SBH (Fig. 5J–L) or isolated SBH with a normal or simplified gyral pattern of the overlying cortex (Dobyns, 2010). In association with all severities of lissencephaly, the grey-white matter junction is smooth and there may be a reduction of white matter volume, which correlates with the severity of the cortical malformation and consequently reduced thickness of the brain commissures and enlargement of the ventricular system (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). Moreover, cerebellar and basal ganglia malformations, callosal dysgenesis, and brainstem anomalies may be variably associated (Di Donato et al., 2017).
the cerebral cortical-white matter junction; however, these white matter signal abnormalities may be a temporary phenomenon that normalizes with age (Clement et al., 2008; Barkovich et al., 2015; Desikan and Barkovich, 2016; Brun et al., 2017). There may also be characteristic posterior fossa abnormalities, such as brainstem clefts and cerebellar microcysts (postulated to be from extension of subarachnoid space through defects in the cerebellar pial limiting membrane) (Clement et al., 2008; Barkovich et al., 2015; Desikan and Barkovich, 2016). Of note, the cobblestone malformation includes several cortical phenotypes, ranging from an apparently undersulcated brain with relatively thin cortex, such as in the Walker-Warburg syndrome, to a more convoluted and thicker cortex, such as in muscle-eye brain disease (Clement et al., 2008; Barkovich et al., 2015; Desikan and Barkovich, 2016).

**Polymicrogyria**

Polymicrogyria refers to an excessive number of abnormally small cerebral gyri (Stutterd and Leventer, 2014). In terms of anatomical distribution, polymicrogyria may be focal, multifocal, or generalized; it may be unilateral or bilateral; and it may be bilateral symmetric or bilateral asymmetric (Barkovich, 2010a; Stutterd and Leventer, 2014) (Fig. 7).
Polymicrogyria is a highly heterogeneous cortical malformation, being caused by both genetic and non-genetic causes, the latter including chromosomal abnormalities (such as 22q11 deletions and 1p36 monosomy) and single gene mutations (such as COL4A1/COL4A2, OCLN, RTTN, and GRIN1 mutations) (Stutterd and Leventer, 2014). Of note, in utero infections (mainly by CMV or ZIka virus), trauma, exposure to teratogens (including alcohol use), arterial ischaemic infarcts, twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome and death of a monozygotic twin are among the most frequent non-genetic causes of polymicrogyria (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). In these cases, an injury to the distal radial glia, pia or arachnoid has been demonstrated in foetal pathology specimens (Squier and Jansen, 2014). Alternative mechanisms for polymicrogyria include premature folding of the neuronal band, abnormal fusion of adjacent gyri, laminar necrosis of the developing cortex, and altered physical properties of the thickened leptomeninges exerting mechanical constraints on the developing cortex.

The most common location involves the Sylvian fissures (about 60–70% of cases), particularly the posterior aspect of the fissure (Fig. 7A and B); however, the polymicrogyria often spread beyond the immediate perisylvian region well into the frontal, parietal and temporal lobes, best seen on the parasagittal planes. Any part of the cerebral cortex including the frontal, occipital, and temporal lobes can be affected (Barkovich, 2010a; Leventer et al., 2010; Stutterd and Leventer, 2014). When involving the perisylvian cortex, the Sylvian fissures are often abnormally extended posteriorly and superiorly and may show abnormal branching sulci lined by polymicrogyria (Fig. 7I).

Of note, polymicrogyria is descriptive term that designates a multiplicity of likely different entities with several appearances on imaging: thick and coarse, fine and delicate, with shallow or deep sulci (Barkovich, 2010b). Indeed, in polymicrogyria the cortical surface can have multiple small, delicate gyri, or it may appear thick and irregularly bumpy, with an appearance of ‘palisades’ of cortex, or it may be paradoxically smooth because the outer cortical (molecular) layer fuses over the microsulci (Barkovich, 2010b). Such variability most likely results from several factors, including technical MRI issues (amount of grey matter–white matter contrast, thickness of the slices), the stage of maturity/myelination of the brain at the time of imaging, and the type of polymicrogyria (Barkovich, 2010a). Remarkably, polymicrogyria may look different depending on the myelination stage (Supplementary Fig. 6), with a thin and bumpy or ‘stippled’ grey white junction best seen in the unmyelinated brain (2–3 mm) later evolving into an apparently thicker and relatively smooth cortex in the myelinated stage (5–8 mm) (Takanashi and Barkovich, 2003). Compared with lissencephaly the cortex is actually never truly thick, but is overfolded, yet may appear thickened on imaging. The underlying hypothesis in some cases is that a 4–5 mm layer of gliotic white matter runs through the polymicrogyric cortex, looking like white matter in the unmyelinated brain and like cortex after myelination (Takanashi and Barkovich, 2003).

The radiological spectrum of cobblestone malformation and polymicrogyria

It is important to remark that the imaging features of cobblestone malformation and polymicrogyria may partially overlap, potentially causing difficulties and discrepancies in their definition and classification. Accounting for this phenotypical variability, the concepts of ‘cobblestone/polymicrogyria-like’ cortex can be suggested in order to include this spectrum of appearances. In some polymicrogyria forms, these overlapping imaging features seems to be the result of shared pathophysiological mechanisms (Squier and Jansen, 2014; Jansen et al., 2016). In particular, depending on the size of the gaps in the pial limiting membrane and the number of neurons migrating into the subarachnoid space, the resultant cortical phenotype may range from polymicrogyria (small gaps leading to small clumps of neurons on the cortical surface) to cobblestone malformation (large gaps leading to relatively smooth layers of neurons on the cortical surface) (Barkovich et al., 2015; Desikan and Barkovich, 2016).

Of note, in severe cases of cobblestone malformation and polymicrogyria, the cortex appears thicker and is sometimes incorrectly described as ‘pachygyric’, despite the fact that classic lissencephaly (of which pachygyria is a subtype) has a completely different pathophysiological mechanism related to neuronal under-migration (Forman et al., 2005), rather than over-migration. Remarkably, in these cases the apparently smooth surfaces on imaging may be due to technical limitations (i.e. insufficient spatial resolution) that may hamper the identification of irregularities of the cortical surface or cortical-white matter boundary (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011).

Schizencephaly

Schizencephaly classically refers to a cleft lined by polymicrogyric grey matter and/or heterotopia extending across the full thickness of the cerebral hemispheres from the ventricular surface (ependyma) to the periphery (pial surface) of the brain as a ‘pial ependymal seam’ (Yakovlev and Wadsworth, 1946a, b; Byrd et al., 1989) This malformation is probably the result of an early prenatal focal injury to the germinal matrix or, possibly, in infarct in very immature cerebrum with consequent liquefaction of injured tissue (Yakovlev and Wadsworth, 1946a). It is subdivided into open and closed lip forms depending on whether the cleft is fully patent and filled with CSF or, rather, sealed by the abutting cortical margins (Fig. 7J–N). In the latter form, a dimple along the ventricular margin signals the funnelled origin of the cleft (Barkovich and Kjos, 1992). On neuroimaging, differentiation of schizencephaly from purely clastic lesions, such as some types of porencephaly, is based on the fact that the schizencephalic cleft is lined by grey matter instead of white matter with variable grades of gliosis (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011).
Schizencephalic clefts are frequently multiple and/or associated with contralateral polymicrogyria (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011). In addition, abnormalities of the fornices and septum pellucidum frequently coexist, often as part of the ‘septo-optic dysplasia-plus’ spectrum (Griffiths, 2018).

Dysgyria

Dysgyria is a recently introduced term referring to a non-specific malformation in which the cortex is dysmorphic (Mutch et al., 2016); the mechanism(s) of dysgenesis are not understood. Imaging shows normal cortical thickness but an abnormal gyral pattern characterized by abnormalities of sulcal depth and orientation, with a smooth cortical surface and radially oriented sulci, or narrow gyri separated by abnormally deep or shallow sulci. These areas show an irregular orientation of sulci and an imaging appearance that is not typical for polymicrogyria, pachygyria or a simplified gyral pattern. Dysgyria may be a difficult diagnosis, and a more quantitative approach, for instance based on gyrification index, could help to improve the diagnosis of this condition.

Dysgyria was initially described in association with mutations in one of the many tubulin genes and dystroglycanopathies (Oegema et al., 2015; Mutch et al., 2016), but the full range of disorders in which it is seen is not yet known. As previously mentioned, the primary and secondary sulci may present slight anatomic variations, but are constant and symmetric in location and depth (Raybaud and Widjaja, 2011): they are normally located less than 1.5 cm apart from one another (Di Donato et al., 2017). Therefore, having considered the normal intra-individual and inter-individual variability, significant differences in the sulcation pattern on imaging may be considered consistent with dysgyria. Of note, there may be diffuse forms involving the entire brain as well as localized forms affecting specific and usually symmetrical brain regions (Fig. 8). Moreover, there may be primary forms due to genetic conditions such as FGFR3 (Manikkam et al., 2018) and FGFR2 mutations (Stark et al., 2015) as well as tubulin mutations (Oegema et al., 2015; Mutch et al., 2016; Romaniello et al., 2018) (Fig. 8A–D), or secondary forms due to distortion of the sulcation pattern from presence or absence of nearby intracranial structures [such as in the case of stenogyria due to the absence of the cerebral falx in the Chiari II malformation (Miller et al., 2008), or in the case of ACTA2 mutations where the rigidity of the abnormal arteries probably leads to an abnormal conformation of the mesial hemispheric sulci (D’Arco et al., 2018) (Fig. 8E–H).

Figure 8 Imaging characteristics of dysgyria. (A and B) Primary diffuse dysgyria, bilateral, asymmetric form. The gyrification is bilaterally and asymmetrically abnormal, with an anomalous orientation of the sulci. The cortex, however, has normal thickness. (C and D) Primary focal dysgyria, bilateral, symmetric form, in two subjects. (C) In this patient with achondroplasia due to FGFR3 mutation there is an abnormal orientation of the sulci along the inferomedial temporal lobes (arrows). (D) In this patient with Angelman syndrome there is abnormal orientation of the sulci in the occipital lobes (arrowheads). (E–H) Secondary dysgyria in three subjects. (E and F) In this patient with a Chiari II malformation there is crowding of the convolutions in the medial occipital and parietal lobes (arrows). The condition is known as ‘stenogyria’ and is not associated to cortical structural abnormalities. (G and H) In these two patients with ACTA2 mutation, orientation of mesial sulci is abnormal secondary to arterial wall stiffness and straightening. Notice, in particular, absence of a clearly defined cingulate gyrus in both (empty arrows) (images courtesy of Felice D’Arco, UK).
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