On the production of $^{52}\text{g}$Mn by deuteron irradiation on natural chromium and its radionuclidic purity

Francesca Bianchi$^{a,b}$, Claudio Marchi$^c$, Glara Fuad$^{d,a,b}$, Flavia Groppi$^{a,b}$, Férid Haddad$^{e,f}$, Luca Magagnin$^c$, Simone Manenti$^{a,b,*}$

$^a$Department of Physics, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy
$^b$LASA, Department of Physics, Università degli Studi di Milano and INFN-Milano, via F.lli Cervi 201, I-20090 Segrate (MI), Italy
$^c$Department of Chemistry, Materials and Chemical Engineering “Giulio Natta”, Politecnico di Milano, via Mancinelli 7, I-20131 Milano, Italy
$^d$Physics Department, Salahaddin University, Kirkuk Road, 1Q-44001 Erbil, Iraq
$^e$SUBATECH, Institut Mines Telecom Atlantique, CNRS/IN2P3, Nantes, Université de Nantes France
$^f$GIP Aroronaz, 1 rue Aroronaz, 44817-CEDEX Saint-Herblain, France

Abstract

The positron emitter $^{52}\text{g}$Mn is used for the Positron Emission Tomography - PET imaging and, exploiting its paramagnetic property, it can also be used in Manganese-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance imaging in PET/MEMRI dual modality. In this work we investigate the nuclear reactions for production of $^{52}\text{g}$Mn and $^{54}$Mn induced by deuteron beams on natural chromium targets at energies up to $E_d = 28$ MeV using the stacked-foils activation technique. We calculate the Thick Target Yields for $^{52}\text{g}$Mn and for the radionuclidic impurity $^{54}$Mn, and we compare the Radionuclidic Purity of $^{52}\text{g}$Mn with the results obtainable by proton irradiations. The cross-sections of the reactions $^{\text{nat}}$Cr$(d,p\alpha)n$$^{51}\text{Cr}$ and $^{\text{nat}}$Cr$(d,x)$$^{48}$V are also presented.
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1. Introduction

Manganese-52 ($^{52}\text{Mn}$) is a radionuclide that decays by positron emission and electron capture (EC), with a medium-long half-life ($t_{1/2} = 5.591$ d [1]). It has also a metastable level ($^{52m}\text{Mn}$ - $t_{1/2} = 21.1$ m), that decays by isomeric transition (IT) on the ground level (1.68 % - 377.748 keV). As a positron emitter, $^{52}\text{Mn}$ can be used in Positron Emission Tomography - PET imaging to study biological and physiological processes on the same time scale of its decay. The energy of emitted positrons ($<E>_{\beta^+} = 244.6$ keV; $I_{\beta^+} = 29\%$ [2, 3, 4]) corresponds to a range in tissue of 0.63 mm: these quantities are comparable with those of fluorine-18 ( $^{18}\text{F}$ - 252 keV; 97 %; 0.66 mm) and, therefore, it would be possible to obtain diagnostic images of similar intrinsic spatial resolution [4]. Furthermore many studies, more or less recent, suggest different possible areas of application of radioactive manganese in medicine, such as bone scintigraphy [5], myocardial perfusion imaging [6], study of diabetes progression [7], in-vivo tracking of stem cells [8] and immunoPET [9]. Thanks to its paramagnetic property, $^{52}\text{Mn}$ can also be used in Manganese-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging - MEMRI in combination with PET, opening to the possibility of obtaining multi-modal PET/MEMRI images in order to obtain specific and complementary diagnostic information [10, 11, 12].

$^{52}\text{Mn}$ can be produced by cyclotron with proton or deuteron beams, mainly exploiting ($p,xn$) and (d,xn) reactions on natural chromium targets ($^{50}\text{Cr}$: 4.345 %; $^{52}\text{Cr}$: 83.789 %; $^{53}\text{Cr}$: 9.501 %; $^{54}\text{Cr}$: 2.365 %), but also by ($p,\alpha n$), ($p,^3\text{He}$), (d,2n) and (d,α) reactions on iron targets.

Production with protons on chromium targets has already been extensively studied [13, 14]: for $^{nat}\text{Cr}(p,xn)\text{Mn}$ is obtained with a Thick Target Yield (TTY) of 3.89 GBq.C$^{-1}$, when considering an incident beam energy of 17 MeV and a target thickness of ΔE = 9 MeV, leading to an output proton energy of 8 MeV [15]. The use of deuteron beams could be a good alternative and may even be more advantageous in terms of higher yield, specific activity.
and chemical purity. It is therefore necessary to know accurately the excitation function of $^{nat}\text{Cr}(d, xn)^{52g}\text{Mn}$ reaction and expand the energy range beyond the maximum ($E \sim 21$ MeV), as deuteron reactions often lead to a broader peak than proton ones, which converts into a higher yield: currently the data published in literature are generally quite dated and scarce at high energy; furthermore the two most recent works report conflicting values for the cross-section values [16, 17]. In this work, in order to enrich and improve available data, the excitation function and the yield for $^{nat}\text{Cr}(d, xn)^{52g}\text{Mn}$ reaction were determined in the 8 - 28 MeV energy range: experimental results are reported and compared with experimental data available in literature [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. At the same time we evaluated the cross-section also for $^{54}\text{Mn}$, $^{51}\text{Cr}$ and $^{48}\text{V}$, co-produced by the reaction $^{nat}\text{Cr}(d, x)$. Due to its long half-life, it was not possible to determine the cross-section for the production of $^{53}\text{Mn}$ and we have considered this isotope as stable.

2. Experimental

The excitation functions were determined by using the stacked-foils technique. Four stacks have been prepared in two different configurations, each of them containing four $^{nat}\text{Cr}$ targets: in the first two, after a Cr target, natural aluminium and titanium foils were placed as respectively degrader and monitor foils. In the remaining stacks, in addition to Al and Ti foils, natural iridium targets were inserted in order to take advantage of energy degradation to simultaneously study other cross-sections for the production of other radionuclides of interest in Nuclear Medicine applications.

Target preparation

$^{nat}\text{Cr}$ foils were prepared by the Department of Chemistry, Materials and Chemical Engineering “Giulio Natta” of Milan Polytechnic, by electro-deposition technique on 16 $\mu$m thick aluminum foils. The chromium coating on Al foil was obtained through a multi-step electrochemical process. Firstly, the ac-
tive area of the Al substrate was selected by masking the Al foil with a 3D-printed polypropylene mask also acting as mechanical support. Such masking system was designed to overcome the high flexibility of the foil, which, otherwise, couldn’t remain in a fixed position within the deposition bath (Fig. 1a). The electrical contact to the Al foil was provided by a Cu strip and Cu tape. After the selection of the appropriate area (4 × 4 cm$^2$) the aluminum substrate was activated by a 0.25 M NaOH solution to remove superficial oxides and clean the surface for an optimal deposition process. Due to acidity of the chromium deposition bath and to the difference in relative nobility between Al and Cr, in order to obtain a good chromium coating, an intermediate Zn layer is required. The zinc layer was applied to the activated surface through a galvanic displacement deposition process: the masked Al foil was immersed in the Zn electrolyte to produce a compact dark grey coating. The zinc deposition bath consists of sodium hydroxide NaOH 3.28 M and zinc oxide ZnO 0.3 M. The Zn layer after the deposition process was most of the time non-measurable due to the extremely small thickness (<5 nm) and the signal of Zn was not present in the XRF analysis: therefore we neglected the zinc layer in the calculation of the beam energy loss. The Zn deposition process was conducted at room temperature for 1 minute after the onset on H$_2$ bubbles from the Al surface. Upon extraction, the Al substrate was quickly rinsed with water to prevent the displacement reaction to continue and subsequently etched in HNO$_3$ (5% w/v).

A second Zn deposition, with the same parameters, was performed to improve the compactness and adhesion of the zinc layer to the aluminum foil. The Al/Zn substrate was then immersed in a standard aqueous chromium deposition bath, with the following composition: 2.5 M CrO$_3$, 25.5 mM H$_2$SO$_4$. The chromium oxide is dissolved in the plating solution providing Cr ions, so the deposit doesn’t contain any oxides because the deposition involves the reduction of ions to their zero-valent (metallic) state. The setup consisted in a standard electrodeposition cell, with the Al/Zn foil as the cathode and a Pb strip as anode, both connected to a power supply system (Fig. 1b): the process is conducted in current control, with a standard galvanostat/potentiostat. Lead was chosen as preferential ma-
Figure 1: (a) 3D-printed polypropylene mask used as mechanical support of Al foils and to overcome the high flexibility of the foils, taking them in a fixed position within the deposition bath and (b) setup for the electro-deposition with Al/Zn foil as the cathode and a Pb strip as anode.

Material for the anode due to its known ability to passivate in presence of Cr and form a superficial homogeneous layer of PbCrO$_4$, lead chromate. The presence of the lead chromate is important for the chemical stability of the solution and the overall electro-deposition process, since its presence provides a very chemically stable layer on the anode which becomes chemically inert and allows for a stable voltage output and for a reproducible and controllable plating process.

In order to avoid the dissolution of the Al/Zn substrate, the insertion in the deposition bath was performed in cathodic protection, i.e. applying current to the system before immersion, thus avoiding corrosion of the cathode. The electro-deposition process was performed at 55°C, supplying a current density of 75 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 210 minutes. The deposited Cr film had an average thickness of about 20 µm, resulting in a growing rate of $\sim 95$ nm min$^{-1}$ that is in line with the industrial process.

From the various metal foils, squares were cut out with 2.5×2.5 cm$^2$ dimensions in order to be fixed in the suitable holder for the irradiation.
The titanium (purity 99.6%, 20 µm), aluminium (purity 99.99%, 16 µm and 50 µm) and iridium (purity 99.9%, 25 µm) foils were purchased by Goodfellow Corporation. For each foil the uniformity was verified with an analogical specimeter and the effective thickness was determined by measuring the mass (Ti: 8.1 mg·cm$^{-2}$; Al: 4.3 mg·cm$^{-2}$ and 13.4 mg·cm$^{-2}$; Ir: 55.9 mg·cm$^{-2}$ and 56.9 mg·cm$^{-2}$); the chromium targets were measured individually, obtaining a mass thickness value in the range 10.5–17.0 mg·cm$^{-2}$.

**Irradiation conditions**

The irradiations were carried out by accelerating deuterons with the high intensity and high energy cyclotron (AVF IBA-C70XP, K = 70) of ARRONAX research center in Saint-Herblain (FR) [26]. Four irradiations with different incident beam energies were performed on a total of 16 natural chromium targets, covering the energy range between 8 and 28 MeV with an interval of about 1 MeV, with a constant current of about 150 nA for a duration of 1 h; the intensity and energy of the beam were verified by comparing our experimental cross-sections of the monitor reactions $^{\text{nat}}\text{Ti}(d,x)^{48}$V and $^{\text{nat}}\text{Ti}(d,x)^{46}$Sc with IAEA tabulated ones [27] (Fig. 2). The stacks were irradiated in air, positioned at the distance of 6–8 cm from the end of the beam line, which is closed by a 75 µm thick Kapton window. The four irradiations, performed in 2 rounds at 6-months laps, led to coherent data proving the quality and reproducibility of the results.

**Measurements**

The radioactivity measurements of the targets were performed without any chemical processing at the LASA Laboratory (INFN and Physics Department of University of Milano, Segrate, MI), employing a calibrated high purity germanium (HPGe) detector (EG&G Ortec, 15% relative efficiency, FWHM = 2.2 keV at 1.33 MeV). The measurements started within few days after end of bombardment (EOB) and continued periodically for about 4–5 months, in order to
collect a large number of data to reduce the uncertainties on the quantities of interest, as well as to verify the exponential decrease of nuclide radioactivity in order to identify possible interferences if any. The radionuclides for which the cross-sections were measured are shown in Table 1, with their own decay characteristics, gamma emissions and intensities [1], the contributing reactions for their production and the related threshold energies [28].

3. Results

The activity values obtained from gamma spectrometry measurements on each target were used for the calculation of the cross-section \( \sigma(E) \) [cm\(^2\) atom\(^{-1}\)], through the relation:

\[
\sigma(E) = A \cdot M \cdot Z \cdot \epsilon \cdot D(RT) \cdot G(t_{irr}) \cdot e^{\lambda \cdot \Delta t}
\]  

(1)

where \( E \) [MeV] is the beam energy in the target, \( A \) [Bq] is the radioactivity of the nuclide of interest, \( M \) [g mol\(^{-1}\)] is the target atomic mass, \( Z \) the
Table 1: Decay data [1] of Mn, Cr and V radionuclides with the contributing nuclear reactions and the related threshold energies (MeV) [28].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclide</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}$</th>
<th>$E_\gamma$ (keV)</th>
<th>$I_\gamma$ (%)</th>
<th>Contributing reactions</th>
<th>$E_{th}$ (MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{52}\text{Mn}$</td>
<td>5.591 d</td>
<td>1434.068</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$^{52}\text{Cr}(d,2n)$</td>
<td>8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>935.538</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>$^{53}\text{Cr}(d,3n)$</td>
<td>16.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>744.233</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>$^{54}\text{Cr}(d,4n)$</td>
<td>26.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{54}\text{Mn}$</td>
<td>312.3 d</td>
<td>834.848</td>
<td>99.97</td>
<td>$^{53}\text{Cr}(d,n)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{54}\text{Cr}(d,2n)$</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{51}\text{Cr}$</td>
<td>27.702 d</td>
<td>320.0842</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>$^{50}\text{Cr}(d,p)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{50}\text{Cr}(d,n)^{51}\text{Mn} \rightarrow ^{51}\text{Cr}$</td>
<td>16.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{52}\text{Cr}(d,t)$</td>
<td>6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{53}\text{Cr}(d,n)$</td>
<td>14.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{54}\text{Cr}(d,t2n)$</td>
<td>24.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{48}\text{V}$</td>
<td>15.9735 d</td>
<td>983.517</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>$^{50}\text{Cr}(d,\alpha)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1312.996</td>
<td>97.4805</td>
<td>$^{52}\text{Cr}(d,\alpha2n)$</td>
<td>15.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{53}\text{Cr}(d,\alpha3n)$</td>
<td>24.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
atomic number of the projectile, $e \, [C]$ is the electron charge, $\rho \, [g \cdot cm^{-2}]$ the mass thickness of the target, $N_A \, [atom \cdot mol^{-1}]$ is Avogadro’s number, $Q \, [C]$ is the integrated deuteron charge, $\lambda \, [s^{-1}]$ the decay constant, and $\Delta t \, [s]$ the waiting time from the EOB. $G(t_{irr})$ and $D(RT)$ are respectively the growing factor and the decay factor, which take into account the decay during irradiation and counting time $RT$. The error bars were determined taking into account the uncertainties from the different contributions, as reported in Manenti et al. [29]. The experimental results for excitation functions obtained in this work are reported in Table 2 and in Fig. 3 [16, 18, 19, 20] compared with the data published in literature [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]; theoretical predictions, obtained from TENDL 2019 on-line library [30] and from EMPIRE 3.2.2 [31], are also presented for comparison. It is possible to appreciate that some data points for very close energies, corresponding to different stacks, overlap well, thus demonstrating the excellent agreement between the results obtained by the different irradiations. In order to obtain an evaluation of the radionuclidic purity (RNP) for the $^{52}$gMn production, Thick Target Yields (TTY) [$Bq \cdot C^{-1}$] were determined for $^{52}$gMn and $^{54}$Mn (Fig. 7 and 8) using the relationship:

$$Y(E, \Delta E) = \int_{E-\Delta E}^{E} \frac{\sigma(E) \cdot N_A \cdot \lambda}{M \cdot e \cdot \frac{dE}{dx}(E)} \cdot dE$$

(2)

where $\Delta E$ represents the loss of energy in the thick target.

3.1. nat Cr$(d,xn)$ $^{52}$g cum Mn

In our experimental conditions it was not possible to measure the contribution of the metastable level ($t_{1/2} = 21.1$ m) that decays to the longer lived ground state by IT (1.68 %): the determined cross-section is relative to the cumulative production of $^{52}$gMn. The experimental excitation function is reported in Fig. 3.

Our data are in agreement with the results of other works for energies up to 20 MeV, while for higher energies, where only one set of data exists, they deviate slightly with lower values. Moreover, from the comparison with the simulations
Table 2: Experimental cross-sections with the corresponding uncertainty (± one standard deviation) of the $^{nat}Cr(d,\alpha n)^{52g, cum}Mn$, $^{nat}Cr(d,p\alpha n)^{54}Mn$, $^{nat}Cr(d,xn)^{51}Cr$ and $^{nat}Cr(d,x)^{48}V$ reactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>$^{52g, cum}Mn$ (mb)</th>
<th>$^{54}Mn$ (mb)</th>
<th>$^{51}Cr$ (mb)</th>
<th>$^{48}V$ (mb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>31.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>26.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>39.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>27.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>18.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.46 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>104 ± 4</td>
<td>24.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>105 ± 4</td>
<td>25.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>14.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>139 ± 5</td>
<td>23.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>139 ± 5</td>
<td>24.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>155 ± 5</td>
<td>21 ± 1</td>
<td>20.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>171 ± 6</td>
<td>23.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>25.0 ± 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>165 ± 6</td>
<td>19.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>57 ± 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>158 ± 6</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>63 ± 3</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>163 ± 6</td>
<td>17.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>127 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>121 ± 4</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>127 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>107 ± 4</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>154 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>97 ± 3</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>224 ± 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>87 ± 3</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>286 ± 13</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>68 ± 2</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>297 ± 14</td>
<td>4.11 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
it can be observed that TENDL 2019, dotted curve, globally overestimates the experimental data, while EMPIRE 3.2.2 very well approximates the cross section in the whole energy range under examination.

3.2. $^{nat}Cr(d,xn)^{52g,cum}Mn$

With the $^{nat}Cr(d,x)$ reaction, in addition to the radionuclide of interest $^{52g}Mn$, its long-life radioactive isotope $^{54}Mn$ ($t_{1/2} = 312.3$ d) is produced. The presence of $^{54}Mn$ decreases the radionuclidic purity of the $^{52}Mn$ as it is not possible to separate it chemically. Fig. 4 shows the results obtained for the cross-section of $^{54}Mn$. The values follow quite well the most recent published data (Simenckova et al. [16]; Hermanne et al. [17]), while are in disagreement with the oldest ones of Kafalas et al. [21]. For $^{54}Mn$, the data of both simulations, TENDL 2019 and EMPIRE 3.2.2, describe well the trend of our experimental data, even if at higher energies EMPIRE deviates more.
3.3. $^{nat}\text{Cr}(d,pxn)^{51}\text{Cr}$ and $^{nat}\text{Cr}(d,x)^{48}\text{V}$

Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show the excitation functions obtained for $^{51}\text{Cr}$ ($t_{1/2} = 27.702$ d) and $^{48}\text{V}$ ($t_{1/2} = 15.9735$ d). Their production does not affect the specific activity and the radionuclidic purity of $^{52}\text{Mn}$ as they are radiochemically separable. However, the cross-section was also evaluated for these radionuclides and was verified the excellent agreement with the data in the literature $^{16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25}$. In both these cases, simulations obtained with TENDL 2019 and EMPIRE 3.2.2 are in good agreement up to energies of about 20 and 24 MeV for $^{51}\text{Cr}$ and $^{48}\text{V}$ respectively; for higher energies TENDL deviates significantly, while EMPIRE follows better the trend of experimental data.

3.4. Thick Target Yield for $^{52g}\text{cum Mn}$ and $^{54}\text{Mn}$ production

Using Equation 2, the Thick Target Yields were calculated for $^{52g}\text{Mn}$ (Fig. 7) and $^{54}\text{Mn}$ (Fig. 8), obtaining a family of curves as a function of the energy (E) and of the energy loss in the target ($\Delta E$); it is therefore possible to optimize
Figure 5: Excitation function for $^{nat}$Cr(d,pxn)$^{51}$Cr nuclear reactions and comparison with literature data and simulation codes.

Figure 6: Excitation function for $^{nat}$Cr(d,xn)$^{48}$V nuclear reactions and comparison with literature data and simulation codes.
Figure 7: Thick Target Yield for nat Cr(d,xn) 52g,cum Mn reactions as a function of deuteron incident energy (E) and energy loss (ΔE) in the target.

The beam energy and the target thickness which allow to obtain the maximum production [32].

From Fig. 7 it was found that for ΔE = 16 MeV, Yield values are close to the total absorption curve; a higher ΔE would not significantly increase the 52g Mn Yield, while there would be a more consistent increase for the production of the contaminant 54 Mn (Fig. 8).

Therefore it was possible to determine that with an irradiation with deuterons at E = 27.5 MeV on a chromium target with a thickness of ∼0.7 mm, corresponding to an energy loss ΔE = 16 MeV, the 52g Mn can be obtained with a Yield equal to 6.8 GBq C⁻¹, about 2.6 times higher than that obtained by irradiation with proton beams [8] of energy equal to 16 MeV (Table 3), and with a RNP (Fig. 9) greater than 99% in a fairly wide time interval (up to 11 days from the EOB).

The amount of 52g Mn and 54 Mn that can be produced for some different
Figure 8: Thick Target Yield for $^{nat}$Cr(d,xn)$^{54}$Mn reactions as a function of deuteron incident energy ($E$) and energy loss ($\Delta E$) in the target.

Table 3: Comparison between the TTY and RNP for the production with deuteron and proton irradiations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$^{nat}$Cr(d,xn)$^{52}$gMn</th>
<th>$^{nat}$Cr(p,xn)$^{52}$gMn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{beam}}$</td>
<td>27.5 MeV</td>
<td>16 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target thickness</td>
<td>0.7 mm ($\Delta E = 16$ MeV)</td>
<td>0.4 mm ($\Delta E = 6.6$ MeV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTY</td>
<td>6.8 GBq·C$^{-1}$</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.22 GBq·C$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNP</td>
<td>99.75 %</td>
<td>99.55 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
irradiation times is reported in Table 4, the quantity, for both the radionuclides, is a linear function of irradiation time for $t_{\text{irr}}<20$ h since $\lambda_{\text{Mn-52g,54}} \cdot t_{\text{irr}} \ll 1$.

4. Conclusions

In this work the cross-sections of $^{nat}\text{Cr}(d,x)$ reactions were studied in order to optimize the production of the radionuclide $^{52g}\text{Mn}$, whose use in Nuclear Medicine is very promising. The energy range examined is from 8 MeV,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 h</th>
<th>4 h</th>
<th>8 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{52g}\text{Mn}$</td>
<td>0.024 GBq$\cdot$µA$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.097 GBq$\cdot$µA$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{54}\text{Mn}$</td>
<td>$6.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ GBq$\cdot$µA$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ GBq$\cdot$µA$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
threshold energy of manganese-52 production reaction, up to 28 MeV. The data obtained for the cross-sections of the radionuclide of interest and the contaminants were compared with the data present in literature, generally finding a good agreement. The maximum value of the cross-section for $^{52}$Mn ($\sim 175$ mb) falls in the energy range 16–20 MeV. The optimal parameters for the irradiation of natural chromium targets with deuterons were identified, for the experimental conditions provided by ARRONAX facility: an irradiation at the maximum energy for this experiment ($E_d = 27.5$ MeV) on a target with a thickness of $\sim 0.7$ mm, corresponding to an energy loss $\Delta E = 16$ MeV, leads to a Yield of $6.8 \text{ GBq} \cdot \text{C}^{-1}$.

So, the use of deuteron beams results more advantageous than the use of proton beams in terms of higher yield and higher radionuclidic purity; the main criticality is related to the small number of cyclotrons that can accelerate deuterons with appropriate energies and intensities.
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