Mass spectrometry characterization of light chain fragmentation sites in cardiac AL amyloidosis: insights into the timing of proteolysis
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Abstract

Amyloid fibrils are polymeric structures originating from aggregation of misfolded proteins. In vivo, proteolysis may modulate amyloidogenesis and fibril stability. In light chain (AL) amyloidosis, fragmented light chains (LCs) are abundant components of amyloid deposits; however, site and timing of proteolysis are debated. Identification of the N- and C-termini of LC fragments is instrumental to understanding involved processes and enzymes. We investigated the N- and C-terminome of the LC proteoforms in fibrils extracted from the hearts of two AL cardiomyopathy patients, using a proteomic approach based on derivatization of N- and C-terminal residues, followed by mapping of fragmentation sites on the structures of native and fibrillar relevant LCs. We provide the first high-sensitivity map of proteolytic cleavages in natural AL amyloid. Proteolysis occurs both on the LCs’ variable and constant domains, generating a complex fragmentation pattern. The structural analysis indicates extensive remodeling, by multiple proteases, largely taking place on poorly folded regions of the fibril surfaces. This study adds novel important knowledge on amyloid LCs processing: although our data do not exclude that proteolysis of native LC dimers may destabilize their structure and favor fibril formation, they show that LC deposition largely precedes the proteolytic events documentable in mature AL fibrils.

Introduction

Amyloid fibrils are polymeric structures originating from the aggregation of misfolded proteins. In humans, at least 36 distinct autologous proteins can cause amyloid diseases (1). The mechanisms leading to fibrillogenesis in vivo are still largely unknown; however, interaction with tissue components and proteolytic processing by circulating or tissue proteases are thought to play an important role in modulating protein stability and aggregation. Amyloid deposits are often composed of fragments of the precursor protein (2-9). Proteolysis has a plausible pathogenic role in vivo in amyloid diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease (10) and systemic transthyretin amyloidosis (ATTR) (11, 12), whereas its causative role in other forms is still debated (6). The most prevalent acquired systemic amyloidosis in Western countries is light chain amyloidosis (AL), caused by aggregation and deposition of monoclonal immunoglobulin free light chains (LCs), produced in excess by a bone marrow plasma cell clone. Light chains are ~22-23 kDa proteins, composed by a variable region, a joining segment and a constant region. A complex mechanism of germline gene recombination and somatic hypermutation translates into high variability among LCs, especially at the level of VL, so that the sequence of each patient’s monoclonal protein is virtually unique (13).

The ex vivo amyloid deposits in AL amyloidosis are composed of a heterogeneous mixture of LC proteoforms, with a complex ensemble of fragments (6, 7). The presence of the entire variable domain (VL) in the most abundant fragments (7) and the increased amyloidogenic potential of the isolated VL compared to the full length LCs lead to postulate that VL may have a crucial importance in amyloid fibril formation (14-16). This hypothesis has been recently supported by two fibrils structures (17, 18), determined by cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM), in which VL was shown to constitute the rigid core of the fibril, largely resistant to limited proteolysis. These observations are in favor of the hypothesis that proteolysis, with release of free VL, may occur prior to LC deposition. On the other hand, however, a definite, single LC amyloidogenic fragment, whose greater abundance could per se support a nucleation/seeding effect, has not yet been identified in AL fibrils extracted under rigorous protease inhibition. In addition, established evidence, largely derived from proteomic studies, indicates that full length LCs are also invariable constituents of the deposits (7, 19, 20), and fragments containing exclusively portions of the constant domain (CL) have been detected (6, 21). These considerations, and the evidence that AL fibrils can be effectively degraded in vitro by tissue proteases (22-23), support the alternative hypothesis that proteolysis may largely occur after fibril deposition.

Defining the site and timing of the proteolytic events in AL in vivo has two important implications: a better understanding of amyloid formation in the natural environment, and a
therapeutic perspective, to prevent destabilizing proteolysis (24) or to potentiate the tissue defenses that degrade harmful aggregates (22). Identification of the N- and C-termini of the LC fragments is instrumental to understand the enzymes and processes involved in cleavage. This task is especially challenging in AL, given the complexity of the fragmentation pattern. Past studies, based on biochemical investigations, amino acid analysis and protein sequencing, detected fragmentation points that, across the various LCs, were located in the N-terminal part of the constant region (around amino acids 110, 130 and 150) (21, 25). These studies, however, could not map the primary sequences of all the fragments, but rather of the most abundant ones. More recently, the advent of mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics has deeply changed the analytical sensitivity, allowing the assessment of primary sequence and post-translational modifications (PTMs) of protein isoforms in complex protein mixtures. Two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (2D-PAGE)-based studies (7, 17, 20), for example, allowed dissecting LC proteoforms (fragments and isoelectric point isoforms) with very high resolving power. MS analysis of proteins from amyloid deposits, moreover, allowed dissecting a heterogeneous series of C-terminal transthyretin fragments in ATTRwt amyloidosis (5). However, challenges still often exist in identifying proteolytic sites using standard bottom-up proteomics approaches. Several factors, such as scarce control of trypsin digestion and of peptide extraction from gels, in-source peptide fragmentation and possible lack of detection of some peptides during MS analysis, translate into uncertainty regarding the exact protein termini. Labeling strategies that introduce MS-detectable stable chemical modifications on the C- and N-terminal amino acids are required to increase confidence in assigning terminal residues. The purpose of this study was to fill the gap of knowledge regarding the fragmentation sites in amyloid light chains, by obtaining a comprehensive map of the N- and C-terminal amino acids of all the LC proteoforms from AL deposits. To this aim, we implemented a dedicated proteomic approach, based on chemical derivatization of the free terminal carboxyl and amino groups of the LC, followed by MS-based identification of the labeled residues. We applied this approach to the analysis of amyloid LC extracted from the hearts of two patients with AL \(\lambda\) cardiomyopathy; the identified cleavage sites were then mapped on the proteins’ native and fibrillar structures in order to gain information on the accessibility to proteolysis in either conformation.

**Results**

We have characterized, using mass spectrometry coupled with derivatization of free N-terminal amino groups and free C-terminal carboxyl groups, the termini of the LC proteoforms deposited as amyloid fibrils in the hearts of two patients affected by AL \(\lambda\) amyloidosis, containing deposits of LCs named AL-H7 and AL-55, as previously reported (17, 26). The proteomic workflow is summarized in Figure 1. Fibrils were extracted from the diseased tissues using a gentle procedure and then solubilized, followed by gel electrophoresis, or in-solution derivatization and LC-MS/MS. With the extensive use of protease inhibitors and in the absence of enzymatic treatments, heart-derived amyloid fibrils are tightly entangled to collagen and the yield of water-extracted material is low, because most fibrils remain in the insoluble pellet (data not shown). Due to this consideration, we opted for the analysis of the whole pellet remaining after repeated washes with Tris EDTA buffer, without proceeding to extensive water extraction (27). In fact, during homogenization in Tris EDTA buffer, blood and tissue proteins soluble in saline solutions are depleted and fibrils are enriched.

**Gel electrophoresis characterization of the LCs from amyloid deposits.** The 2D electrophoresis and immunoblot study of the solubilized protein pellets are shown in Figures 2 and S5. Light chains from fibrils constitute a relevant fraction of the proteins in the samples; however, other heart tissue proteins are present in the protein pellets. No blood-borne proteins were instead identified as significant components in either sample, with the exception of common constituents of amyloid deposits (apolipoprotein E, clusterin, serum amyloid P, apolipoprotein A-IV) (28). As
expected, western blotting showed the presence, in both AL-55 and AL-H7 samples, of numerous \( \lambda \) LC species with molecular weight ranging between \( \sim 25 \) and \( \sim 15-12 \) kDa (Figure 2). It should be noted, however, that the fragments identified by immunoblot may not represent the whole fragment population present in the fibrils, since short fragments containing exclusively the VL, or missing major portions of the CL, are not efficiently recognized by commercial antibodies, as previously noted (17). Significant amounts of LC spots consistent with the full-length protein were also detected in both samples. In particular, the fraction of full-length AL-55 observed in the present experiments is higher compared to the one reported in Swuec et al (17) after overnight treatment of cardiac tissue with collagenase. This is likely due to the fact that collagenase treatment, or lack of endogenous proteases inhibition, causes partial digestion of the outer, loosely packed region of the fibrillar assemblies, largely constituted by the CL, whereas proteolysis does not significantly affect the tightly packed fibril core, formed by the VL (17, 18).

Identification of the N-terminal amino acids of the LC fragments. The N-terminal amino acids of the LC fragments were identified through derivatization of free primary amines by reductive dimethylation. The presence of a derivatized N-terminal amino group on a semitryptic peptide (double derivatization of the two free amino groups in case of lysine residues) indicates that the labeled amino acid is the N-term of the corresponding fragment. The reaction was performed before in vitro trypsin proteolysis, to allow distinguishing original N-term from peptide N-term newly generated after digestion. Upon LC-MS/MS analysis, 197 and 448 distinct proteins overall (corresponding to 5207 and 9161 peptides) with \( \geq 2 \) unique peptides were identified in the AL-55 and AL-H7 samples, respectively. As stated above, with the exception of the two LCs these proteins correspond to tissue proteins remaining in the pellet after the washings and to the “amyloid proteomic signature” proteins (28). More than 99% of the detected proteins contained peptides with dimethylated N-term (Figure S1), in canonical (i.e. if occurring in first position or after a signal peptide) or in internal/non-canonical positions (29, 30).

Focusing on AL-55 and AL-H7 LCs, sequence coverage was 100% for both proteins. Dimethylated N-terminal residues were, respectively, 8 in AL-55 and 20 in AL-H7. The annotated spectra of labeled peptides are shown in Figure 3A and in Figure S2. In AL-55, 3 of the identified N-term were located in the variable domain (N1, i.e. N-term of the full length LC, D53, S64) and 5 in the constant domain (A135, Q172, Y177, S180 and S181) (Figures 4, S2 and S6, Table S2). In AL-H7, 8 dimethylated N-term were located in the VL (S2, V3, L4, T5, S9, V10, T39 and I45) and 12 in the CL (K129, A130, S137, S152, Q167, K171, Y172, S175, Y177, S179, S187 and S190) (Figure 4, S2 and S6, Table S2). The expected N-term (Q1) was only detected with pyroglutamate cyclization (data not shown). Compared to AL-55, more labeled positions were found in AL-H7, mostly located in the ten N-terminal residues. Four of the labeled positions in the constant region (A130 in AL-H7, corresponding to A135 in AL-55; Q167 in AL-H7, corresponding to Q172 in AL-55; S175 in AL-H7, corresponding to S180 in AL-55; Y172 in AL-H7, corresponding to Y177 in AL-55) are identical in the two LCs.

Identification of the C-terminal amino acids of the LC fragments. To investigate the C-terminal amino acids of the LC fragments, free carboxyl groups were labeled with ethanolamine. The presence of a derivatized carboxyl group on the C-term of a semitryptic peptide indicates that the labeled amino acid is the C-term of the corresponding protein fragment. The reaction was performed before in vitro trypsin proteolysis, to allow distinguishing original N-term from peptide N-term newly generated after digestion. Upon LC-MS/MS analysis, 197 and 448 distinct proteins overall (corresponding to 5207 and 9161 peptides) with \( \geq 2 \) unique peptides were identified in the AL-55 and AL-H7 samples, respectively. As stated above, with the exception of the two LCs these proteins correspond to tissue proteins remaining in the pellet after the washings and to the “amyloid proteomic signature” proteins (28). More than 99% of the detected proteins contained peptides with dimethylated N-term (Figure S1), in canonical (i.e. if occurring in first position or after a signal peptide) or in internal/non-canonical positions (29, 30).
processing (whose assessment was beyond the scope of this study) could in principle originate novel carboxyl group that could also react with PAA. Therefore, all manually-confirmed labeled peptides identified in either analyses were considered and included in the results described in the following paragraphs.

Overall, C-terminal labeling was less effective than N-terminal derivatization, due to the lower chemical reactivity of the free carboxyl groups (31), and fewer derivatized C-termini were detected compared to the N-terminal derivatization (Figure S1).

Focusing on amyloid LCs, the derivatized canonical C-terminus of the full-length sequence was only found in AL-H7 (S212), although the unlabeled C-terminal peptide was detected in both sequences. In AL-H7, additional labeled C-terminal amino acids were detected at one position (Q76) in the VL, and at 6 different positions (E124, L125, Q126, A127, N128, K166) in the CL (Figure 4 and S6). In AL-55, labeled C-terminal amino acids were identified at 9 additional positions along the sequence (S126, S127, E128, E129, L130, Q131, N133, S170 and E208), all of them in the constant region (Figure 4 and S6). Four of the labeled positions in the CL are identical in the two LCs (E124 in AL-H7 corresponding to E129 in AL-55; L125 in AL-H7, corresponding to L130 in AL-55; Q126 in AL-H7, corresponding to Q131 in AL-55; N128 in AL-H7, corresponding to N133 in AL-55).

All these labeled residues can be confidently interpreted as C-termini of the corresponding LC fragments. Most of these truncation sites are located in the N-terminal part of the light chains’ CL and indicate trimming of the protein at this site. Fragments generated by cleavage in this region and encompassing the whole VL would have a MW of approximately 13-14 kDa, consistent with the results obtained by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Figure 2 and S6). In AL-H7, two of the identified novel C-termini were complementary to identified novel N-termini (N128-K129; S166-Q167), indicating that both peptides generated by cleavage at these points are present in the sample. The paucity of complementary positions could be related to further degradation phenomena after initial cleavages, but also to a lack of labeling of some positions, which is expected to be more significant in the case of the scarcely reactive C-terminal carboxyl groups (31).

The positions of all the identified fragmentation sites for each LC are graphically summarized in Figure 4, in which the N-terminal residues of the corresponding fragments are colored in red, whereas the C-terminal residues of the corresponding fragments are colored in blue.

Mapping cleavage sites on native and fibrillar light chains structures. In order to better understand the timing of the detected proteolytic events, the identified cleavage sites were mapped on the structures of native as well as fibrillar LCs. As indicated in methods, the sites found in AL-55 were mapped on the native structure of JTO full length dimer (24) and on the AL-55 fibrillar structure (17) (Figure 5A and C), whereas AL-H7 cleavage sites were mapped on the native structure of AL-H7 full length dimer (26) and on the fibrillar structure of another λ1 LC, belonging to the same IGLV1 family VL (18) (Figure 5 B and D).

In AL-55 amyloid deposits, only two proteolytic sites are located in the VL: in the native structure one in an exposed loop and one in a β strand, as shown in Table S1. On the other hand, the CL displays many more labeled sites, and they mainly map in the exposed α helix (residues 121-126) and in the buried dimer interface (residues 165-177). Intriguingly, none of the cleavage sites identified in this study maps in AL-55 fibrillar core: the two VL sites lie in the 38-65 region, while all CL sites are located after residue 105 in the 106-214 region; both stretches are totally invisible, i.e. disordered, in the fibrillar structure.

On the other hand, in AL-H7 many more sites were found in the VL compared to AL-55. In the native structure, they are mostly located in the first ten residues, which are either disordered or in β structure in the native AL-H7 dimer. Three further sites (in correspondence of residues 39, 45 and 76) are located in a partially exposed loop, in the hydrophobic core and in an exposed loop, respectively. The positions of cleavage sites found in the CL of AL-H7 broadly resemble the ones found in AL-55 fibrils, such as the exposed α helix (residues 124-129) and the dimer interface or central β elements. It is noteworthy that, analogously to what observed for AL-55 fibrillar structure, most of the AL-H7 proteolytic sites lie...
in regions outside the rigid structured fibrillar core of 6IC3: both the first fifteen-residue stretch and amino acids after 105 are located in regions invisible in the fibrillar core. The cleavages at position 39, 45 and 76 are the only exceptions to this pattern: in particular residue 49 is non-solvent exposed in the 6IC3 structure (Figure 5D). Thus, these three cleavage sites are the only ones that cannot be explained from the analysis of the 6IC3 fibrillar structure. It should be noted, however, that the high-resolution structure of fibrillar AL-H7 is not available, and we can only assume that the structures of AL-H7 and of the 6IC3 λ1 fibrils are identical. In fact, it is also possible that T39, I45 and Q76 are not buried in the actual structure of the AL-H7 fibril.

In summary, mapping on the native structures indicates that proteolytic sites lie on very diversified positions: exposed loop regions, β elements and buried residues (Figure 5 A-B and Table S1). Instead, with only three exceptions, all sites map on disordered and flexible regions away from the fibrillar core in both fibril structure (Figure 5 C-D and Table S1).

We then analyzed the identified cleavage sites with the aim to detect possible patterns that could point towards the involvement of specific enzymes. Considering all identified cleavage sites, no definite predominance of particular residues was observed at the N-terminal side of the bonds, whereas serine was the most frequent identified residue at the C-terminal side (Figure 4). Wide heterogeneity of the involved amino acids was otherwise observed (Figure S4), preventing the identification of specific enzyme(s) that could explain such complex proteolytic pattern. However, careful observation of the cleavage sites distribution along the LCs’ primary sequences shows that the pattern of digestion is heterogeneous: whereas sequence trimming is evident in some regions (N-terminus of AL-H7 and beginning of the CL), cleavage sites are more disperse in other regions. If the analysis is restricted to these scattered cleavage sites and to the extremities of the trimmed regions, marginal predominance of lysine residues at the N-terminus of the cleaved bond was observed (Figure 4).

Knowledge of the post-translational modifications affecting amyloid proteins in vivo is crucial to elucidate the mechanisms behind stability loss and fibril deposition. Besides chemical modifications (i.e. oxidation (32, 33), deamidation (8, 34), glycosylation (35)), fragmentation of the precursor protein is one of the most prominent aspects that characterize amyloid fibrils. On one hand, proteolysis has been implicated in amyloidogenesis in distinct forms of amyloidosis (10-12, 36, 37); on the other, post-deposition digestion may be involved in degradation of pathogenic aggregates (6, 22-23).

In this study, we comprehensively characterized the ensemble of C- and N-terminal residues (“N-terminome” and “C-terminome”) of the LC fragments deposited as amyloid fibrils in the hearts of two patients affected by AL λ amyloidosis.

We homogenized samples in saline buffer, to deplete tissue proteins and remove soluble prefibrillar LCs and contaminant immunoglobulins, while retaining the insoluble amyloid fibrils (27). However, we did not proceed through the classical steps of amyloid extraction in water, due to a number of considerations: besides the already mentioned low yield of fibrils from heart muscle in the absence of collagenase digestion (17, 18), high amounts of fibrils typically remain in the pellet even after extensive homogenization and water solubilization (27). Avoiding extensive purification procedures, therefore, ensures that all fibrils are included in the analysis. This approach implies a greater amount of carried-over tissue proteins, which is, however, manageable thanks to modern high-speed and high-resolution LC-MS/MS instrumentations and techniques.

This work adds novel significant information to the knowledge of the post-translational processing of amyloid LCs. More heterogeneous fragmentation points than what previously known were documented; the presence of the constant domain of the LCs in the fibrils was confirmed, firmly indicating that also the full-length protein participates in the aggregation process. Our data show that cleavage is more prominent in the CL, compared to the VL. In fact, whereas fragmentation sites in VL are rare or, as in the case of AL-H7, concentrated in the N-terminal portion of the molecule, fragmentation in the CL is

Discussion

Knowledge of the post-translational modifications affecting amyloid proteins in vivo is crucial to elucidate the mechanisms behind stability loss and fibril deposition. Besides chemical modifications (i.e. oxidation (32, 33), deamidation (8, 34), glycosylation (35)), fragmentation of the precursor protein is one of the most prominent aspects that characterize amyloid fibrils. On one hand, proteolysis has been implicated in amyloidogenesis in distinct forms of amyloidosis (10-12, 36, 37); on the other, post-deposition digestion may be involved in degradation of pathogenic aggregates (6, 22-23).

In this study, we comprehensively characterized the ensemble of C- and N-terminal residues (“N-terminome” and “C-terminome”) of the LC fragments deposited as amyloid fibrils in the hearts of two patients affected by AL λ amyloidosis.

We homogenized samples in saline buffer, to deplete tissue proteins and remove soluble prefibrillar LCs and contaminant immunoglobulins, while retaining the insoluble amyloid fibrils (27). However, we did not proceed through the classical steps of amyloid extraction in water, due to a number of considerations: besides the already mentioned low yield of fibrils from heart muscle in the absence of collagenase digestion (17, 18), high amounts of fibrils typically remain in the pellet even after extensive homogenization and water solubilization (27). Avoiding extensive purification procedures, therefore, ensures that all fibrils are included in the analysis. This approach implies a greater amount of carried-over tissue proteins, which is, however, manageable thanks to modern high-speed and high-resolution LC-MS/MS instrumentations and techniques.

This work adds novel significant information to the knowledge of the post-translational processing of amyloid LCs. More heterogeneous fragmentation points than what previously known were documented; the presence of the constant domain of the LCs in the fibrils was confirmed, firmly indicating that also the full-length protein participates in the aggregation process. Our data show that cleavage is more prominent in the CL, compared to the VL. In fact, whereas fragmentation sites in VL are rare or, as in the case of AL-H7, concentrated in the N-terminal portion of the molecule, fragmentation in the CL is

Discussion

Knowledge of the post-translational modifications affecting amyloid proteins in vivo is crucial to elucidate the mechanisms behind stability loss and fibril deposition. Besides chemical modifications (i.e. oxidation (32, 33), deamidation (8, 34), glycosylation (35)), fragmentation of the precursor protein is one of the most prominent aspects that characterize amyloid fibrils. On one hand, proteolysis has been implicated in amyloidogenesis in distinct forms of amyloidosis (10-12, 36, 37); on the other, post-deposition digestion may be involved in degradation of pathogenic aggregates (6, 22-23).

In this study, we comprehensively characterized the ensemble of C- and N-terminal residues (“N-terminome” and “C-terminome”) of the LC fragments deposited as amyloid fibrils in the hearts of two patients affected by AL λ amyloidosis.

We homogenized samples in saline buffer, to deplete tissue proteins and remove soluble prefibrillar LCs and contaminant immunoglobulins, while retaining the insoluble amyloid fibrils (27). However, we did not proceed through the classical steps of amyloid extraction in water, due to a number of considerations: besides the already mentioned low yield of fibrils from heart muscle in the absence of collagenase digestion (17, 18), high amounts of fibrils typically remain in the pellet even after extensive homogenization and water solubilization (27). Avoiding extensive purification procedures, therefore, ensures that all fibrils are included in the analysis. This approach implies a greater amount of carried-over tissue proteins, which is, however, manageable thanks to modern high-speed and high-resolution LC-MS/MS instrumentations and techniques.

This work adds novel significant information to the knowledge of the post-translational processing of amyloid LCs. More heterogeneous fragmentation points than what previously known were documented; the presence of the constant domain of the LCs in the fibrils was confirmed, firmly indicating that also the full-length protein participates in the aggregation process. Our data show that cleavage is more prominent in the CL, compared to the VL. In fact, whereas fragmentation sites in VL are rare or, as in the case of AL-H7, concentrated in the N-terminal portion of the molecule, fragmentation in the CL is
scattered along the sequence. The truncation points identified in the N-terminal portion of the CL (around positions 124-133) in these two samples are largely concordant with those previously reported in other studies (25). The more distal truncation points in the CL, however, are mostly novel and suggest an intense degradation activity on this portion of the molecule. Importantly, in the CL, the cleavage sites are largely overlapping between the two LCs (Figure 4). Numerous labeled N-termini were detected in this domain, representing the first amino acid of the corresponding peptide and suggesting the presence of relatively short fragments containing exclusively distal portions of the LC. This fact had been sporadically reported (21), but C-terminal fragments had gone unnoticed in the majority of studies, possibly in relation to their small size. Although the CL does not participate in the formation of the rigid and proteolysis-protected fibrillar core (17, 18), these CL fragments could be detected in our MS analyses; why they persist in the deposits and are not promptly degraded is, therefore, an open question. We cannot exclude that they encompass protein regions bound to other components, e.g. amyloid-associated proteins (28), which protect them from further degradation.

Some technical considerations should be drawn on our experimental approach, in light of which the results must be interpreted. Firstly, the presence of some yet unidentified cleavage sites cannot be excluded. This can be attributed to factors including incomplete labeling (especially of carboxyl groups), possibly reduced efficiency of trypsin digestion in presence of dimethylated lysines, and need of caution in assigning C-terminal aspartate/glutamate residues and N-terminal lysines, unless double derivatization is observed. In addition, this study is not designed to provide quantitative information on the abundance and length of each fragment. Complementary studies, based on top-down proteomic approaches, will be important to further dissect the molecular weight and primary sequence of each fragment, and to evaluate the abundance of each cleavage product relative to the other ones.

Overall, examination of the cleavage sites and mapping of the truncation points on the structures of native and fibrillar LCs allow drawing some considerations regarding the possible mechanisms and timing of proteolysis in relation to amyloid deposition. Taken together, our data do not allow identifying single specific proteases responsible for the global observed pattern. In fact, data are more consistent with the action of multiple endo- and exo-proteases acting simultaneously or sequentially to generate the proteolytic profile documented in the mature amyloid fibrils. The observed scenario could be generated by human proteases with broad substrate specificity, including cathepsins and matrix metalloproteases. In natively folded proteins, easily accessible proteolytic sites are typically exposed loops and, in general, loosely packed regions. Thus, the proteolysis observed in AL-55 and AL-H7 occurred purely on the folded soluble dimers, most truncation points should have clustered in such regions; on the contrary, the proteolytic pattern observed in both AL-55 and AL-H7 mature fibrils is not compatible with such model. Several proteolytic positions are located in buried regions or in β-strands in the native structure and such sites are non-ideal for peptide bond hydrolysis. Previous work showed that amyloidogenic full-length LCs display specific biophysical traits compared to non-amyloidogenic ones: low fold and kinetic stability and high flexibility are typical of AL-associated LCs (16, 26, 38). Amyloidogenic LCs are more easily proteolysed by model proteases compared to non-amyloidogenic ones (16, 24, 26, 38); however, in contrast with what observed in this work, VL domains seem to be the most heavily proteolysed in vitro (38). Therefore, our data suggest to interpret such fast kinetics of proteolysis as an assessment of protein dynamics and not as a model of the molecular events preceding fibrillogenesis. It is also unlikely that proteolysis occurs on the unfolded state along the aggregation pathway, since this state is temporally very transient. Moreover, typically proteolysis of unfolded polypeptides is equally efficient along the whole sequence, resulting in formation of short peptides. Had proteolysis of the unfolded state had a crucial role in LC fibrillation, we would expect short, highly amyloidogenic peptides, and not long stretches of the variable domain, as typically found in AL fibrils.

A totally different scenario can be found when the proteolytic sites are mapped on fibrillar structures. In AL-55 fibrils, all VL and CL proteolytic sites lie outside the rigid fibrillar core, suggestive of
endoproteolytic events occurring after fibril deposition. Moreover, using the recently published structure of λ1 fibril (18) as model for AL-H7 fibrils, the N-terminal “trimming” found in AL-H7 fibrils is compatible with the disordered N-terminal fifteen residues in 6IC3 structure. Both in AL-55 and 6IC3 structures, CL domains are not part of the fibrillar core, indicating that they are unstructured or loosely structured. Accordingly, in the analyzed amyloid deposits, degradation of CL is significantly more extensive (this is consistent with the fact that the fragments visible by gel electrophoresis contain mostly VL). This consideration implies that the amyloid aggregates are the object of an intense degradation activity, which is however not effective in removing the accumulated fibrils.

Taken together, the observed fragmentation profile suggests that LC deposition as amyloid fibrils largely precedes the proteolytic events observed herein. However, our data do not rule out that proteolysis of native LC dimers in specific position(s) destabilize their quaternary structure and trigger fibril formation, which would then involve both truncated and full length LCs. Nevertheless, the precise length of this/these potential amyloidogenic fragment(s) may not be reliably identified from the analysis of natural AL amyloid material, which undergoes further extensive proteolytic remodeling on the poorly folded regions on the fibrillar surface. Nonetheless, in both heart samples here analyzed, few or no cleavage sites were identified on the variable or hinge regions, thus potential prefibrillogenesis cleavage events are rather likely to occur on the N-terminal portion of the constant domain.

The timing of fragmentation in AL has indeed been long debated and distinct lines of evidence have suggested different conclusions. The presence of abundant LC fragments in the urines of AL patients (39) may suggest that these are circulating in the bloodstream and could be deposited into the fibrils. However, presence of LC fragments in AL patients’ blood has never been consistently demonstrated, and mass spectrometry evidence detected differences between urinary and deposited fragments (40). In addition, LC fragments with a MW similar to those found in tissues appear in urines after treatments that cause fibril disaggregation, such as 4’-ido-4’-deoxydoxorubicin (41), suggesting that they may derive from reabsorbed deposits. Previous evidence also indicates that the fragmentation pattern differs across AL patients, but is similar in distinct affected organs at the individual level (6); this may suggest that proteolysis precedes amyloidogenesis, since tissues differ in terms of protease expression. More recently, however, ultrastructural analysis of structural polymorphisms distribution has confirmed that fibrils in different organs are similar also at a structural level (42). This may translate into exposure of identical structural regions, which could be targeted by ubiquitous proteases. Defining the LC cleavage sites across tissues will provide further information to help assessing if the processing mechanisms are site-specific or ubiquitous. In light of what previously reported for ATTR amyloidosis (43), it would also be important to investigate, on a wider patients population, if a correlation exists between fragmentation pattern and disease phenotype also in AL, in terms of organ involvement and disease severity.

Complementing the present descriptive characterization with quantitative information on the abundance of the various fragments will be instrumental to provide further clues on the efficiency with which each bond is cleaved and, consequently, on the relative impact of specific enzymes in the processing mechanisms and on the timing and site where proteolysis occurs.

Experimental procedures

Chemicals. Solvents, HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) and MES (4-Morpholineethanesulfonic acid) were purchased from VWR International (Radnor, PA, USA); dithiothreitol (DTT) and ethanolamine (EA) from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium); polyallylamine (PAA) (MW:120,000-200,000) from Alfa Aesar (Haverhill, MA, USA), iodacetamide (IAA), formaldehyde, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), sodium cyanoborohydride (NaBH3CN), N-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), EDC (N-Ethyl-N’-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride) from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), sequencing-grade modified trypsin

Experimental procedures

Chemicals. Solvents, HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) and MES (4-Morpholineethanesulfonic acid) were purchased from VWR International (Radnor, PA, USA); dithiothreitol (DTT) and ethanolamine (EA) from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium); polyallylamine (PAA) (MW:120,000-200,000) from Alfa Aesar (Haverhill, MA, USA), iodacetamide (IAA), formaldehyde, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), sodium cyanoborohydride (NaBH3CN), N-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), EDC (N-Ethyl-N’-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride) from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), sequencing-grade modified trypsin
(Trypsin Gold) from Promega (Madison, WI, USA), Complete Protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche (Basel, Switzerland). All other chemicals were purchased from Merck.

**Enrichment of amyloid fibrils from diseased cardiac tissue.** Myocardial tissue (left ventricle) was obtained from two patients (coded herein as AL-55 (17) and AL-H7 (26)) from the hearts removed, respectively, during autopsy examination and during cardiac transplantation. Both patients were affected by AL₇ amyloidosis with severe cardiac involvement. After acquisition, tissues were placed at -80 °C without fixation and were stored frozen until use. Clinical data of both patients have been previously reported (17, 26). AL-55 and AL-H7 light chain sequences derived from different germline genes, respectively IGLV6-57 and IGLV1-51. The sequences of the pathogenic light chains in the two patients are deposited in Gene Bank (AL-55: code MH670901; AL-H7: code KC433671). The cryo-EM structure of ex vivo AL-55 fibril (code 6HUD), and the crystallographic structure of soluble AL-H7 (code 5MUH) are deposited in Protein Data Bank.

All the procedures for fibril enrichment were performed on ice in presence of Complete protease inhibitor. Briefly, 0.5 g of tissue were diced in small pieces, washed 5 times in 1 ml of PBS and then manually homogenized in Tris EDTA buffer (20 mM Tris, 140 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) (17, 44) with a glass Potter pestle. The homogenate was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3,100 g at 4 °C and the pellet was retained. This step was repeated 10 times overall, to remove the proteins soluble in the saline solution. The remaining pellet, containing abundant amyloid fibrils and residual tissue proteins, was retained for the proteomic analyses. Use of human samples for research purposes has been approved by the Ethical Committee of Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**1D and 2D polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.** For protein quantification, the pellet was incubated for 1 h with 8 M urea, in order to dissolve protein aggregates. Protein samples were diluted and quantified using micro BCA assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Samples were analyzed by mono and bi-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis under denaturing and reducing conditions, as previously described (17). For immunoblotting, a rabbit polyclonal antiserum against human lambda light chains (Agilent Dako, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was employed, as described (17).

**Protein sample processing and labeling of the free carboxyl and amino groups.** Two hundreds µg of proteins were dissolved in 50 µl of 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5/4 M Guanidinium-HCl (Gnd-HCl) for 1 h at room temperature (RT) and then diluted 1:2 with 0.1 M HEPES. Reduction of disulfide bonds (DTT final concentration 40 mM, 2 h, RT), alkylation of thiol groups (IAA final concentration 80 mM, 1 h, RT, dark) and quenching of residual IAA (adding fresh DTT to a concentration of 20 mM, 15 min, RT, dark) were performed before derivatizing primary amine groups by addition of formaldehyde (final concentration 40 mM) and NaBH₃CN (final concentration 40 mM) (RT, ON). This procedure resulted in dimethylation of primary amines; from now on, samples were split in two aliquots, one ready for the N-terminomics analysis, and one to be destined to subsequent charge-reversal derivatization of free carboxyl groups. Both aliquots were then precipitated with ice-cold acetone (2 h, -20 °C), followed by one wash with acetone and one with methanol, and vacuum dried. For the N-terminomics analysis, the protein pellets were reconstituted in 0.1 M HEPES/4 M Gnd-HCl (1 h, RT) and diluted with HEPES 0.1 M to obtain a final concentration of 0.5 M Gnd-HCl, followed by protein digestion with trypsin (1:20 w/w, 37 °C, ON), peptide purification on C18 ZipTips (Merck), vacuum drying and resuspension in 10 µl of ACN 2% v/v/FA 0.1% v/v for liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

For the C-terminomics labeling, C-terminal charge-reversal derivatization of the proteins previously subjected to amine protection (i.e. primary amine derivatization, previously described) was obtained by amidation of the free carboxyl groups with ethanolamine (45, 46). For this task, 100 µg of the previously precipitated samples were reconstituted in 100 µl of 0.1 M MES pH 5/4 M Gnd-HCl (2 h, RT), and carboxyl groups were amidated by adding EA (final concentration 75 mM, previously buffered in 0.4
M MES pH5), EDC-HCl (freshly prepared; final concentration 50 mM) and NHS (freshly prepared; final concentration 10 mM). After overnight incubation at RT, proteins were precipitated with acetone, washed, dissolved in 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5/4 M Gnd-HCl for 1 hour, and then diluted 1:8 v/v with 0.1 M HEPES for digestion with trypsin (trypsin to protein ratio 1:20 w/w, 37 °C, ON). Digested samples were divided in two aliquots, one destined to PAA depletion of internal peptides, indicated as “PAA-depletion”, and one to be analyzed without PAA depletion (“NO-PAA depletion”). Samples “NO-PAA depletion” were stored at -80 °C, whereas in “PAA depletion” samples the trypsin-generated neo-N-termini were protected by dimethylation (formaldehyde 20 mM and NaBH3CN 20 mM, 6 h, 37 °C). Peptides were then purified on SepPak C18 cartridges (Waters, Milford, MA, USA), vacuum-dried, dissolved in 100 µl of PAA 0.2 mM in MES 0.2 M pH 5/Gnd-HCl 2 M/ACN 20% (previously filtered on 10 kDa filters) and sonicated for 30 s. Condensation between peptides and PAA was started by addition of EDC-HCl (final concentration 100 mM) and NHS (final concentration 20 mM). After overnight incubation at RT, samples were filtered on 3 kDa filters to collect unbound peptides. Before LC-MS/MS analysis, all samples (both “PAA depletion” and “NO-PAA depletion”) were further purified on ZipTip C18, dried and resuspended in 10 µl of ACN 2%/FA 0.1%.

**LC-MS/MS analysis and database search.** LC-MS/MS analyses were performed on a Dionex Ultimate 3000 nano-UHPLC RSLC system coupled to a Q Exactive Plus mass spectrometer equipped with an EASY-spray ion source. Eight microliters of each sample were injected. Peptides were washed on a trap column (Acclaim Pepmap100 C18, 0.3 x 5mm, 5 µm, 100 Å) for 5 minutes with 2% ACN/0.1% FA at a flow rate of 10 µl/min and separated on an analytical column (PepMap RSLC C18, 75 µm x 50 cm, 2 µm, 100 Å), using a linear gradient (elucent A: 0.1% FA: eluent B: ACN/0.1% FA): 2-35% B in 61 min, 35-95% B in 12 min, 95% B for 10 min, at a flow rate of 250 nl/min. Trap and column were maintained at 35 °C and the ion transfer capillary at 250 °C. Full mass spectra were acquired in positive ion mode over a 300-2000 m/z range and with a resolution setting of 70,000 FWHM (@ m/z 200). MS/MS events, acquired at a resolution of 17,500 FWHM, were sequentially generated in a data dependent manner on the top ten most abundant precursor ions with charge ≥+2, selected with an isolation window of 2.0 m/z, fragmented by higher energy collisional dissociation (HCD) with normalized collision energies of 30 % and dynamically excluded for 30 s. Data were processed using the Sequest HT-based search engine contained in the Thermo Scientific Proteome Discoverer software, version 2.0. The following criteria were used for the identification of peptide sequences and related proteins: minimum precursor mass 350 Da, maximum precursor mass 5000 Da (S/N ratio for peak filter 1.5); minimum peptide length 6 amino acids; maximum peptide length 144 amino acids; tolerance on precursor mass 10 ppm and on fragment mass at 0.03 Da. Percolator (maximum delta Cn 0.05) was used for validation (based on q-value). Target false discovery rate (FDR) was 0.01 in strict mode. Only peptides with high confidence and master proteins with ≥2 unique peptides were retained in results. An iterative search strategy was employed. The first search was performed against the whole human protein database (74190 entries, downloaded in August 2019 from UNIPROT) with addition of the sequence of AL-H7 or AL-55, and with the following parameters: fully tryptic enzymatic cleavage, 2 maximum missed cleavages, carbamidomethylation of cysteines and dimethylation of peptide N-termini as static modifications. Proteins identified in this step were selected for creation of a “target database” used in the second search, in which enzymatic cleavage was changed to semi tryptic and modifications were increased to 4 maximum equal modifications per peptide and 5 maximum dynamic modifications per peptide. Carbamidomethylation of cysteines was set as static modification also in these second search runs. A few additional parameters instead differed between the second search used in N-terminomic and C-terminomic identification. For the N-terminomics study, dimethylation of lysines and peptide N-termini (Delta mass +28.031), acetylation on peptide N-termini and cyclization of N-terminal glutamine were set as variable modifications. It is of note that, for peptides with a lysine residue at the N-terminus, it is not possible to distinguish if a
derivatization occurs on the N-terminal or on the ε amino group, unless both sites are modified. For the C-terminomic study, dimethylation of lysines and peptide N-termini, amidation with ethanolamine (delta mass +43.042) on aspartate and glutamate and on peptide C-termini were set as dynamic modifications. All spectra from the derivatized peptides were manually confirmed. Since aspartate and glutamate residues are also labeled in the side chain carboxyl group, peptides ending with aspartate or glutamate were considered derivatized at the C-terminus only if both modification occurred, on C-terminus and on the side-chain.

Mapping of the cleavage sites on the available LC structures. The cleavage sites identified on AL-55 were mapped on the already available native structure of JTO full length LC dimer (PDB entry: 6MG4) (24), which, as AL-55, belongs to λ6 isotype and displays 90% sequence identity with AL-55, and on the AL-55 fibrillar structure recently reported (PDB entry: 6HUD) (17) (Figure 5A and C). AL-H7 cleavage sites were instead mapped on the native structure of AL-H7 full length dimer (PDB entry: 5MUH) (26) and on the fibrillar structure of another λ1 LC belonging to the same IGLV1 family VL and with a sequence identity of 70% (PDB entry: 6IC3) (18) with AL-H7 (Figure 5 B and D). Possible enzymes responsible for the cleavages were searched using the MEROPS bioinformatic resource (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/merops/) (47).

Data availability. The mass spectrometry data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository (48) with the dataset identifier PXD020858. Other raw data will be shared upon request (contact: Francesca Lavatelli, email: francesca.lavatelli@unipv.it).
Acknowledgements and Funding

This work was supported by Fondazione Cariplo (grants n. 2015-0591 to GP and 2016-0489 to FL); Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro special program “5 per mille” (number 9965 to GM); the Italian Ministry of Health (grants RF-2013-02355259 to GM and RF-2016-02361756 to GP), Italian Medicines Agency (grant AIFA-2016-02364602 to GP), and E-Rare JTC 2016 grant ReDox (to GP).

Competing interests: The Authors declare no competing interests.

References


**Abbreviations:**

ACN: acetonitrile  
AL amyloidosis: immunoglobulin light chain amyloidosis  
ATTR amyloidosis: transthyretin amyloidosis  
CL: light chain’s constant domain  
DTT: dithiothreitol  
EA: ethanolamine  
EDC: N′-Ethyl-N′-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride  
HEPES: 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid  
IAA: iodoacetamide  
LCs: immunoglobulin light chains (note: LC in the standard hyphenated abbreviation LC-MS/MS indicates liquid chromatography)  
MES: 4-Morpholineethanesulfonic acid  
NHS: N-Hydroxysuccinimide  
PAA: polyallylamine  
PTMs: post-translational modifications  
VL: light chain’s variable domain  
2D-PAGE: two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
Figure 1. Graphic scheme of the workflow for the analysis of the N- and C-terminal residues of the light chains proteoforms extracted from AL amyloid deposits in heart tissue.
Figure 2. 2D-PAGE analysis of protein pellets after ten cycles of heart homogenization and washing in Tris EDTA buffer. (A) and (B), respectively: Coomassie-stained gel and Western blot referring to AL-55; (C), cryo-electron microscopy image of AL-55 fibrils, extracted from heart and analyzed as described in Ref 11; (D) and (E), respectively: Coomassie-stained gel and Western blot images referring to AL-H7; (F), cryo-electron microscopy image of AL-H7 fibrils, extracted from heart and analyzed as described (11). Boxed areas in gels and blots (25 kDa to 15-12 kDa approximately) correspond to regions of gel where the full length LCs and visible fragments, in monomeric form, migrate. Higher molecular weight LC species are also visible, which may correspond to cross-linked or incompletely reduced species. Abbreviations: pI: isoelectric point; MW: molecular weight. The uncropped gel image corresponding to panel A, including the MW marker lane is shown in Supporting Information as Figure S5.
Figure 3. (A) N-terminomics analysis: MS/MS spectra of AL-55 peptide 64-82, AL-55 peptide 180-191, AL-H7 peptide 5-17, AL-H7 peptide 190-204. ● amino acid carrying dimethylation; § amino acid carrying carbamidomethylation. (B) C-terminomics analysis: MS/MS spectra of AL-55 peptide 116-127, AL-55 peptide 116-128, AL-H7 peptide 111-128, AL-H7 peptide 192-212. ● amino acid carrying dimethylation; § amino acid carrying carbamidomethylation; ▲ amino acid carrying ethanolamine. Mass measurement accuracy, calculated as the average Δ m/z (experimental - theoretical value) of all PSM (Peptide Spectrum Match) attributed to labeled peptides of AL-55 and AL-H7, is 0.004 Da.
Figure 4. Primary sequences of AL-55 (top) and of AL-H7 (bottom) together with the associated secondary structures. The LCs’ variable regions (aa 1-99 in AL55 and 1-94 in AL-H7) are indicated in bold black; the joining regions (aa 100-111 in AL-55 and 95-106 in AL-H7) are displayed in bold grey. Identified fragmentation sites (indicated by arrows) along the amino acid sequences. The residues identified as labelled on the carboxylic group are indicated in blue and correspond to the C-terminus of the corresponding fragment. Conversely, the residues identified as labelled on the amino group are indicated in red and correspond to the N-terminus of the corresponding fragment. Secondary structures were calculated by Endscript 2.0 (http://endscript.ibcp.fr) (49) using a model of AL-55 structure based on JTO crystal structure (pdb code 6MG4) and of AL-H7 crystal structure (pdb code 5MUH). On top of residues structured in β-strands or in α-helices, arrows or helices are shown, respectively. TT are β-turn, η left handed α-helices.
Figure 5. Mapping of the identified cleavage sites on the structures of (A) native JTO (pdb 6MG4), (B) native AL-H7 (pdb 5MUH), (C) fibrillar AL-55 (pdb 6HUD), (D) fibrillar λ1 LC (pdb 6IC3).
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