

Management of drug toxicity in *M. avium* complex pulmonary disease – an expert panel survey

Jakko van Ingen¹, Stefano Aliberti^{2,3}, Claire Andrejak⁴, James D. Chalmers⁵, Luigi R. Codecasa⁶, Charles L. Daley⁷, Naoki Hasegawa⁸, David E. Griffith⁹, Wouter Hoefsloot¹⁰, Gwen Huitt⁷, Julie Jarand¹¹, Byung Woo Jhun¹², Michael R. Loebinger¹³, Theodore K. Marras¹⁴, Kozo Morimoto¹⁵, Eva Polverino¹⁶, Felix C. Ringshausen¹⁷, Miguel Santin¹⁸, Rachel Thomson¹⁹, Dirk Wagner²⁰, Richard J. Wallace²¹, Kevin L. Winthrop²², Jae-Joon Yim²³ – for NTM-NET

1. Radboudumc Center for Infectious Diseases, Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
2. University of Milan, Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, Milan, Italy
3. Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Respiratory Unit and Cystic Fibrosis Adult Center, Milan, Italy
4. Department of Pneumology, University Hospital of Amiens-Picardie, Amiens, France
5. Division of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee, United Kingdom
6. Regional TB Reference Centre, Villa Marelli Institute-Niguarda Hospital, Milan, Italy
7. Division of Mycobacterial and Respiratory Infections, National Jewish Health and University of Colorado, Denver, CO, USA
8. Department of Infectious Diseases. Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan
9. Department of Medicine, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler, Tyler, TX, USA
10. Radboudumc Center for Infectious Diseases, Department of Pulmonology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

11. Division of Respiratory Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada
12. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea
13. Host Defence Unit, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK.
National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
14. Departments of Medicine at the Toronto Western Hospital / University Health Network and the University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
15. Respiratory Disease Center, Fukujuji Hospital, Japan Anti-Tuberculosis Association, Tokyo, Japan
16. Servei de Pneumologia, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Institut de Recerca Vall d'Hebron, CIBER, Barcelona, Spain
17. Department of Respiratory Medicine, Hannover Medical School and Biomedical Research in Endstage and Obstructive Lung Disease Hannover (BREATH), German Center for Lung Research (DZL), Hannover, Germany
18. Service of Infectious Diseases, Bellvitge University Hospital-IDIBELL, University of Barcelona; Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain
19. Gallipoli Medical Research Institute, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
20. Division of Infectious Diseases, Dept of Medicine II, Medical Center - University of Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
21. Mycobacteria/Nocardia Research Laboratory, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler, Texas, USA
22. Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, Oregon, USA
23. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

Corresponding Author:

Jakko van Ingen, MD, PhD

Radboudumc Center for Infectious Diseases

Department of Medical Microbiology

Radboud University Medical Center

PO Box 9101

6500 HB Nijmegen

the Netherlands

T: +31-24-3614356

E: Jakko.vaningen@radboudumc.nl

Accepted Manuscript

Abstract

Adverse events are frequent in NTM pulmonary disease treatment, but evidence to support their management is scarce. An expert panel survey on management of adverse events shows consistent opinions on management of hepatotoxicity, ocular toxicity, ototoxicity, tinnitus and GI upset. These opinions can provide assistance in individual patient management decisions.

Keywords: antibiotic treatment; nontuberculous mycobacteria; adverse events; *Mycobacterium avium* complex; azithromycin

Accepted Manuscript

Treatment of *Mycobacterium avium* complex pulmonary disease (MAC-PD) requires prolonged courses of potentially toxic antimicrobials and has suboptimal outcomes, with culture conversion rates of 65% in patients treated for >1 year [1]. Across published cohorts, up to 70% of all treated patients reported a treatment-related adverse event [2-4] and 30-70% of patients receiving daily antimicrobial treatment permanently discontinued at least one drug in their initial regimen because of adverse events [2-4]. These regimen modifications may contribute to development of macrolide-resistance [5] or suboptimal outcomes.

There are very limited published data to support management decisions even for the most frequent adverse events in MAC-PD treatment and management of drug toxicity is poorly addressed in current guidelines. To know the opinions of clinicians with expertise treating MAC-PD, we designed a survey on adverse event management in MAC-PD, using the SurveyMonkey online tool (<http://www.surveymonkey.com>). The survey presented common adverse events in MAC-PD treatment (hepatotoxicity, ocular toxicity, gastro-intestinal upset, tinnitus and ototoxicity) and a choice of management strategies; the adverse events were selected by a during a face-to-face meeting at the European Respiratory Society conference (Paris, September 2018). Experts were selected from the NTM-NET (www.ntm-net.org) membership on basis of publication records of cohort studies of MAC-PD management. We sought to include experts from geographically diverse settings to capture the full range of opinions and underlying cultural differences and drug availabilities.

Twenty-three experts were identified and invited; 21 completed the survey. A summary of the questions and answers is presented in the Table. The full questions and answers of the survey are available in the Supplementary file.

There was almost unanimous agreement that mild, rifampicin-associated, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and/or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) elevations do not require action beyond ongoing surveillance; treatment interruption or a switch to a rifamycin-free regimen was preferred by most respondents only with severe hepatotoxicity (ALT and/or AST concentrations >5 times the upper limit of normal). Hepatotoxicity, which may manifest as AST/ALT elevations or cholestasis [6], occurred in 19% of MAC-PD patients in two recent cohorts [4,7]. This hepatotoxicity is mostly an idiosyncratic (i.e. concentration/dose-independent) event, with spontaneous resolution and no recurrence after rifampicin reintroduction in >90% of MAC-PD patients [7], which mirrors previous experiences and recommendations in tuberculosis treatment [6].

Ocular toxicity by ethambutol was considered a reason to stop ethambutol or switch to another antibiotic class for 95% of the experts; 5% would interrupt and attempt a re-challenge. This aligns well with the available data. In two cohorts of MAC-PD treatment, ophthalmologist-confirmed ocular toxicity occurred in 26/364 (8%) and 8/229 (3%) patients on daily ethambutol therapy (15mg/kg/dose) [7,8] and 0 of 90 patients on thrice weekly dosing (25mg/kg/dose) [8]. Yet, in the Griffith *et al.* cohort, 99/229 patients (43%) had symptoms that warranted an ophthalmology consult and 24 (10%) stopped ethambutol at least temporarily [8]. The risk of ethambutol ocular toxicity increases with total drug exposure and thus it typically occurs late, i.e. after >6 months, in therapy [7,8]. Blurred vision and color vision disturbance are the most frequent manifestations, and these are mostly reversible after stopping ethambutol; four patients were re-challenged with ethambutol thrice weekly (25mg/kg/dose) and none developed recurrent ocular toxicity [8]. Stopping ethambutol without adding a third drug to the regimen occurs frequently [3,5,7], but is a known risk factor for development of macrolide-resistance [5] and should be avoided.

Azithromycin was uniformly considered most likely responsible for bloating and diarrhea. Its management differed in the panel, with switching to clarithromycin, continuing azithromycin with supportive treatment and lowering the azithromycin dose as the most frequent strategies. These strategies evolve around maintaining macrolide therapy and highlight the key role of macrolides in MAC-PD treatment [1]. Lowering azithromycin dose or offering supportive treatments (e.g. nighttime administration, anti-emetics) both proved successful in a previously published cohort [9]. Similarly, lowering the azithromycin dose or switching to clarithromycin were the preferred strategies for azithromycin-treatment-emergent tinnitus (65% and 20% of votes). Tinnitus occurred in 18 and 46% of patients in two cohorts of high-dose (500-600 mg/day) azithromycin therapy for MAC-PD [4,9]. One study reported good outcome of lowering azithromycin doses from 600mg to 300mg/day [9].

Lowering azithromycin doses may increase the risk of treatment failure in patients with severe or fibro-cavitary disease in whom regular doses may already be subtherapeutic [10,11]. A switch to clarithromycin can be successful, but it is unproven whether this is due to clarithromycin causing less bloating and diarrhea or being less ototoxic [4,9] or due to lower macrolide exposure because of shorter half-life and greater reduction in serum clarithromycin (68%) than azithromycin (23%) concentrations caused by rifampicin-induced cytochrome p450 metabolism [10].

Ototoxicity with hearing loss is a notorious adverse event of parenteral aminoglycoside therapy and was observed in 42% (10/24) patients treated with streptomycin and 27% (3/11) of patients treated with amikacin for macrolide-resistant MAC-PD [12]. Our panel preferred to lower the amikacin dosing frequency to thrice weekly or stop amikacin, as ototoxicity risk is associated with total aminoglycoside exposure. Switching to an alternative drug was not a common strategy, likely because no alternative drugs with proven efficacy in severe MAC-PD exist [5,9,12]. Because aminoglycoside antimicrobial activity is exposure-dependent [10], lower dosing is likely to negatively impact microbiologic outcomes. Switching to amikacin liposome inhalation suspension (ALIS) or

inhalation of conventional amikacin could be a rational strategy; in the CONVERT trial, only 10/223 (4.5%) of patients receiving ALIS reported hearing loss versus 7/112 (6.3%) in the control arm [13]. However, the safety of switching to inhaled formulations of amikacin has not been investigated in the setting of proven amikacin ototoxicity.

The panel was also asked to rank five antimicrobials (amikacin, clofazimine, moxifloxacin, linezolid and bedaquiline) as their preferred replacements for ethambutol and rifamycins; clofazimine and amikacin (or streptomycin) were preferred replacements for both the rifamycins and ethambutol. This selection is in part driven by local availability and patient preferences, particularly for clofazimine [4], but also reflects *in vitro* activity and *in vivo* treatment outcomes [4,5,9,12]; the high ranking of the parenteral and toxic amikacin and streptomycin emphasizes the limited options available. The outcome of MAC-PD treatment with regimens in which amikacin replaces rifampicin or ethambutol or in which clofazimine replaces ethambutol has not been addressed in clinical studies.

This survey focused on MAC-PD treatment and only addressed a set number of adverse events; several other important adverse events, e.g. vestibular toxicity, nephrotoxicity and QTc interval prolongation, may arise during MAC-PD treatment [8,10,12]. Toxicities and outcomes are different in treatment of other NTM species, e.g. *M. abscessus*. Also, the members of the panel, whilst managing large numbers of NTM patients, are in frequent contact as members of numerous committees and projects. As a result, the topics addressed in the survey have likely been discussed previously between the experts, which may explain some of the level of agreement. This level of agreement contrasts with the very limited published data available to support these management decisions and a previous survey of other aspects of MAC-PD treatment where agreement among an expert panel was low [14].

In summary, this survey summarizes the opinions of MAC-PD experts on treatment-related adverse event management. Hepatotoxicity of rifampicin was preferentially managed by watchful waiting or -if severe- interruption and reintroduction of normal doses. Azithromycin-associated diarrhoea and tinnitus were preferentially managed by lowering the dose or switching to clarithromycin, with caveats. Ethambutol was discontinued in case of ocular toxicity; with careful monitoring, reintroduction using a thrice weekly regimen might be safe in selected patients but our panel does not recommend this based on the low level of evidence and the potential associated risk. In the event of amikacin-induced hearing loss the panel preferred lowering the dosing frequency or discontinuation. If rifampicin or ethambutol needs to be discontinued, clofazimine and amikacin were the favoured replacements. These opinions can provide assistance in clinical decision making, but should not be used as an alternative to expert consultation.

Accepted Manuscript

Funding

No funding was obtained for this survey study.

Potential Conflicts of Interest

SA reports advisory board membership from Insmmed, outside the submitted work. CA reports consulting fees from Insmmed, outside the submitted work. JC reports research grants from GlaxoSmithKline, Boehringer-Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Bayer Healthcare, Grifols, and Insmmed; and personal fees from GlaxoSmithKline, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Pfizer, Bayer Healthcare, Grifols, Napp, Aradigm corporation, and Insmmed, outside the submitted work. CD reports grants from Insmmed, Spero, and Beyond Air; and personal fees from Insmmed, Paratek, Spero, Horizon, Mieji, Johnson and Johnson, Matinas Biopharma, Cipla, and Beyond Air, outside the submitted work. NH reports consulting fees from Insmmed, outside the submitted work. JVI reports manuscript preparation support from Physicians World, advisory board membership from Insmmed, and a Veni personal grant from ZonMW / Ministry of Health, Netherlands, during the conduct of the study. JVI also reports advisory board membership from Janssen pharmaceuticals, Spero Therapeutics, and Paratek, outside the submitted work. ML reports personal fees from Savara, Insmmed, and Meiji, outside the submitted work. TM reports: Site PI for clinical trial in NTM lung disease, consultant re studies in NTM lung disease, and funds paid to institution from Insmmed; Honoraria for CME presentations in mycobacterial disease, funds paid to institution from AstraZeneca and Novartis; Consultant fees paid to institution from Horizon Therapeutics, Spero Therapeutics, and RedHill Biopharma, all outside the submitted work. KM reports personal fees from Insmmed, outside the submitted work. EP reports advisory board fees from Insmmed, outside the submitted work. FCR reports grants from Bayer Healthcare, Grifols SA, Insmmed Inc, Novartis, and InfectoPharm; personal fees from Bayer Healthcare, Grifols SA, Insmmed Inc, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Chiesi, PARI, Vertex, and Boehringer Ingelheim; and clinical trial participation from Insmmed Inc, Novartis, Chiesi, Vertex,

Parion, Celtaxsys, Corbus, Zambon, GSK, Polyphor, and Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. RT reports personal fees from Insmmed, Savara, and Beyond Air, outside the submitted work. DW reports Participation in INSMED prospective trials INS-212 and INS-312, outside the submitted work. RW reports advisory board membership with Insmmed. KW reports research grants from Insmmed, and personal fees from Insmmed, Johnson & Johnson, Paratek, Red Hill Biopharma, Horizon, and Spero, outside the submitted work. All other authors report no conflicts of interest related to the content of this study.

Acknowledgements

Editorial support was provided by Physicians World Europe GmbH, Mannheim, Germany.

Accepted Manuscript

References

1. Diel R, Nienhaus A, Ringshausen FC, *et al.* Microbiologic outcome of interventions against *Mycobacterium avium* complex pulmonary disease: A systematic review. *Chest*, **2018** ; 153: 888-921.
2. Wallace RJ Jr, Brown-Elliott BA, McNulty S, *et al.* Macrolide/Azalide therapy for nodular/bronchiectatic *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease. *Chest*, **2014** ; 146: 276-282.
3. Jeong BH, Jeon K, Park HY, *et al.* Intermittent antibiotic therapy for nodular bronchiectatic *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, **2015** ; 191: 96-103.
4. Zweijpfenning S, Kops S, Magis-Escurra C, Boeree MJ, van Ingen J, Hoefsloot W. Treatment and outcome of non-tuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease in a predominantly fibro-cavitary disease cohort. *Respir Med*, **2017** ; 131: 220-224.
5. Morimoto K, Namkoong H, Hasegawa N, *et al.* Macrolide-resistant *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease: analysis of 102 consecutive cases. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*, **2016** ; 13: 1904-1911.
6. Saukkonen JJ, Cohn DL, Jasmer RM, *et al.* An official ATS statement: hepatotoxicity of antituberculosis therapy. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, **2006** ; 174: 935-952.
7. Kamii Y, Nagai H, Kawashima M, *et al.* Adverse reactions associated with long-term drug administration in *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*, **2018** ; 22: 1505-1510.
8. Griffith DE, Brown-Elliott BA, Shepherd S, McLarty J, Griffith L, Wallace RJ Jr. Ethambutol ocular toxicity in treatment regimens for *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, **2005** ; 172: 250-253.

9. Brown BA, Griffith DE, Girard W, Levin J, Wallace RJ Jr. Relationship of adverse events to serum drug levels in patients receiving high-dose azithromycin for mycobacterial lung disease. *Clin Infect Dis*, **1997** ; 24: 958-964.
10. van Ingen J, Egelund EF, Levin A, *et al.* The pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of pulmonary *Mycobacterium avium* complex disease treatment. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, **2012** ; 186: 559-565.
11. Jeong BH, Jeon K, Park HY, *et al.* Peak plasma concentration of azithromycin and treatment responses in *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, **2016** ; 60: 6076-6083.
12. Griffith DE, Brown-Elliott BA, Langsjoen B, *et al.* Clinical and molecular analysis of macrolide resistance in *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, **2006** ; 174: 928-934.
13. Griffith DE, Eagle G, Thomson R, *et al.* Amikacin Liposome Inhalation Suspension for treatment-refractory lung disease caused by *Mycobacterium avium* complex (CONVERT): A prospective, open-label, randomized study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, **2018** ; 198: 1559-1569.
14. Marras TK, Prevots DR, Jamieson FB, Winthrop KL; Pulmonary MAC Outcomes Group. Variable agreement among experts regarding *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease. *Respirology*, **2015** ; 20: 348-351.

ACCEPTED

Table: Summary of survey questions and responses

Q	Type	Disease severity	Event	Culprit	Option	Vote (%)
1	NB	Moderate-severe	AST/ALT 2xULN	Rifampicin	Repeat test Lower dose Thrice weekly Interrupt rifampicin Interrupt regimen Switch to rifabutin Switch to other class	86 0 0 4 0 0 10
2	NB	Moderate-severe	AST/ALT 5xULN	Rifampicin	Repeat test Lower dose Thrice weekly Interrupt rifampicin Interrupt regimen Switch to rifabutin Switch to other class	0 0 5 20 40 5 30
3	NB	Moderate-severe	Blurry vision	Ethambutol	Thrice weekly Lower dose	0 0

					Stop ethambutol	11
					Interrupt ethambutol	5
					Switch to other class	84
4	FC	severe	Bloating/diarrhoea	?	Rifampicin	0
					Ethambutol	0
					Azithromycin	100
					Amikacin	0
5	FC	severe	Bloating/diarrhoea	Azithromycin	Lower dose	21
					To clarithromycin	47
					Switch to other class	5
					Supportive Rx	26
6	FC	severe	Slight hearing loss	Amikacin	Lower dosing frequency	70
					Switch to ALIS	0
					Stop amikacin	25
					Switch to other class	5
					Continue iv amikacin	0
7	NB	moderate	Tinnitus	Azithromycin	Lower dose	65
					To clarithromycin	20
					Switch to other class	0
					Interrupt azithromycin	15
					Continue regimen	0
8	NB / FC	Mild- moderate	Need class switch	Rifampicin	Clofazimine	4.40*
					Amikacin/streptomycin	4.21*
					Moxifloxacin /other FQ	2.30*
					Linezolid	2.15*

					Bedaquiline	2.10*
9	NB / FC	Mild- moderate	Need class switch	Ethambutol	Clofazimine	4.30*
					Amikacin/streptomycin	4.05*
					Moxifloxacin /other FQ	2.25*
					Linezolid	2.25*
					Bedaquiline	2.16*

Note: *= mean preference score; NB= nodular-bronchiectatic; FC= fibrocavitary; xULN= times upper limit of normal; Rx= treatments; FQ= fluoroquinolone antibiotic

Accepted Manuscript