Clinical approach to the inflammatory etiology of cardiovascular diseases
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ABSTRACT

Inflammation is an obligatory marker of arterial disease, both stemming from the inflammatory activity of cholesterol itself and from well-established molecular mechanisms. Raised progenitor cell recruitment after major events and clonal hematopoiesis related mechanisms have provided an improved understanding of factors regulating inflammatory phenomena. Trials with inflammation antagonists have led to an extensive evaluation of biomarkers such as the high sensitivity C reactive protein (hsCRP), not exerting a causative role, but frequently indicative of the individual cardiovascular (CV) risk. Aim of this review is to provide indication on the anti-inflammatory profile of agents of general use in CV prevention, i.e. affecting lipids, blood pressure, diabetes as well nutraceuticals such as n-3 fatty acids. A crucial issue in the evaluation of the benefit of the anti-inflammatory activity is the frequent discordance between a beneficial activity on a major risk factor and associated changes of hsCRP, as in the case of statins vs PCSK9 antagonists. In hypertension, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors exert an optimal anti-inflammatory activity, vs the case of sartans. The remarkable preventive activity of SLGT-2 inhibitors in heart failure is not associated with a clear anti-inflammatory mechanism. Finally, icosapent ethyl has been shown to reduce the CV risk in hypertriglyceridemia, with a 27 % reduction of hsCRP. The inflammation-based approach to arterial disease has considerably gained from an improved understanding of the clinical diagnostic strategy and from a better knowledge on the mode of action of numerous agents, including nutraceuticals.

1. Introduction

Inflammation has been historically considered as an obligatory marker of arterial disease. Cholesterol itself is an inflammatory mediator, being a crystalline product and, by its physical presence, activating NLRP3 (NACHT-, LRR- and pyrin domain-containing 3), a general mediator of arterial tissue inflammation. NLRP3 nucleates the assembly of an inflammasome, leading to caspase 1-mediated activation of the interleukin-1β (IL-1β) family of cytokines, thus inducing an inflammatory pyroptotic cell death. This molecular mechanism is the final development of the seminal idea by Ross and Glomset, who postulated endothelial injury as the inducer of cell proliferation and expansion of smooth muscle cells (SMCs) [4,5]. The association between local inflammation, elevated levels of low-density lipoproteins (LDL) and noxious life habits brought forward the concept of structural lipoprotein changes allowing aggregation and/or oxidation. The presently established role of enhanced myelopoiesis in the development of arterial inflammatory changes and the identification of newer mediators from both inflammatory and immune systems can provide novel mechanisms underlying the development of arterial disease.

As a lipid-driven inflammatory disease, a balance of proinflammatory and inflammation-resolving mechanisms is responsible for the final outcomes. While bone marrow (BM) and spleen were not considered to play a significant role in atheroma formation, it is now well established that BM is responsible for the enhanced myelopoiesis, allowing recruitment of inflammatory cells, particularly monocytes, to the arterial intima [8,9]. The rise of hematopoietic and progenitor cells (HSPCs) occurring after myocardial infarction (MI) [10] can well explain the increased growth of plaques and the associated higher protease activity. Clonal hematopoiesis (CH), in addition to eliciting effects through inflammatory mediators, reduces the epigenetic modifier enzyme ten-eleven translocation 2 (TET2) raising atherosclerotic risk.
kinase B) pathway, [11] TET2 deficient cells, when clonally expanded, markedly increase plaque size and NLRP3 inflammasome mediated IL-1β secretion [12]. Further, toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) [13] by interacting with myeloid differentiation factor-88 (MyD88) can lead to cellular signaling, resulting in hematopoietic and stromal cell development [14]. Hypercholesterolemia causes HSPCs to proliferate, leading to leukocyteosis and enhanced atherosclerosis both in animal models and humans [15]. As very recently described by Gu and colleagues [16], in the zebrafish deficient in the cholesterol efflux pathway mediated by apolipoprotein binding protein 2 there is a loss of capacity of HDL to accept cholesterol and increased hematopoiesis by way of NOTCH signaling, hypothesizing a cholesterol metabolic pathway controlling emergence of HSPCs. These findings have postulated a role of the NOTCH family in the expansion of hematopoietic stem cells [17]. Further, the reported novel roles of apolipoprotein binding protein 2 and of the sterol regulatory element-binding protein 2 (SREBP2) [17] have clearly indicated the presence of SREBP2 binding DNA sequences in Notch as well as in genes regulating cholesterol synthesis, most likely relevant in adult hematopoiesis [16].

Hematopoietic cells are also characterized by the Akt (protein kinase B) pathway, i.e. a serine/threonine-specific protein kinase playing multiple roles in processes, such as glucose metabolism, apoptosis, cell migration and proliferation, with three isoforms, Akt1, Akt2 and Akt3. Loss of Akt1 in apo E−/− mice leads to severe atherosclerosis [18], whereas loss of Akt1 and Akt2 in hematopoietic cells (Akt3only) provides arterial protection. The presence of only the Akt1 isoform is detrimental for the viability of monocytes/macrophages, eventually leading to the development of smaller atherosclerotic lesions.

LDL-associated inflammatory changes may thus be linked to enhanced hematopoiesis although the role of TET2 mutations has not been confirmed in all studies [19] but also to direct activities such as: a) enhanced cholesterol crystal deposition, raising the vascular inflammasome NLRP3; b) rise of different T lymphocyte subtypes leading to plaque proliferation and potential rupture [20]; c) development of tissue inflammatory changes, mainly linked to raised cytokines and hsCRP.

A link between the first two mechanisms, i.e. direct inflammatory effects and T cell proliferation, has been provided by the identification of a novel regulator i.e. the NLRC5 (NLRF family CARD Domain Containing 5) previously known as a major regulator of innate immunity [21]. NLRC5 has an essential role in determining vascular intimal hyperplasia [22] and NLRC5 knock-out (Nlrc5−/−) mice exhibit more severe intimal hyperplasia compared to wild-type mice after carotid ligation. NLRC5 deficiency leads to increased proliferation and migration of human vascular SMC. NLRC5 appears to bind to peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPARγ) inhibiting dedifferentiation of human SMC. These damaging mechanisms are antagonized by the PPARγ agonist pioglitazone [22].

Recently Lee et al [23] investigated the contribution of SMC to the foam cell abundance in apo E−/− mice. By evaluating expression of leukocyte specific markers, non-leukocyte foam cells increased from 37% in 27-week-old to 75% in 57-week-old male apo E−/− mice fed a chow diet compared to about 70% in male and female apo E−/− after 6 weeks on a Western diet. This finding provides an important link between the observation of foam cells in human and mouse atherosomas, suggesting an upregulated role of SMCs in foam cell formation [24]. It indicates the need for more advanced lineage tracing models on mice and proteomic evaluations in man, in order to accurately define the origin and functions of cell types in lesions and to design improved therapeutic approaches to enhance plaque stability.

A novel and poorly explored immune-related area of vascular inflammation is that of serum factor H, a regulator of the alternative complement pathway [25]. The central function of factor H related protein 1 (FHR1) has remained unclear. FHR1 competes with factor H in the regulation of its inhibitory activity [26]. Studies on the role of factor H and FHR1 in cytoplasmic antibody associated vasculitis and atherosclerosis have provided exciting openings on the role of FHR1 in the activation of monocyte inflammasomes. FHR1 appears to bind necrotic cells in plaques, in addition inducing inflammasome NLRP3 in blood derived monocytes that subsequently secrete interleukin (IL)1β, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)α, IL-18 and IL-6 [27]. FHR1 concentrations in patients with antibody-associated vasculitis are associated with levels of inflammation and progressive disease. This unexpected role for FHR1 during inflammation may explain the protection by FHR1 deficiency, indicating it as potential target for treatment of arterial inflammatory diseases [27].

Finally, a potential regulator of the proinflammatory signaling from neutrophils is the dimeric S100 A8/A9, a proinflammatory alarmin, highly expressed in neutrophils and rapidly released into the circulation after myocardial ischemia [28]. This potent activator of the receptor of advanced glycation end products [29] was found to be associated with reduced ventricular ejection fraction one-year after the MI [30], with a raised incidence of hospitalizations from heart failure.

In view of the diversified tissue responses to inflammatory stimuli and the need for a better understanding of diagnostic procedures and possible therapeutic approaches, the aim of this review article is manifold. It will examine present day evidence of the most appropriate diagnostic approaches and will evaluate in detail the pharmacological strategies, examining the possible anti-inflammatory profile of drugs of major use in cardiovascular prevention. This in-depth scrutiny will lead at times to apparent discrepancies between efficacy on a major therapeutic target and presence or absence of anti-inflammatory activity. These observations may be of crucial value in the selection of the most appropriate therapeutic agent.

2. Clinical evaluation of inflammation-associated cardiovascular risk

The need for an easier access to the evaluation of cardiovascular (CV) risk associated to inflammation has led to the wide use of C-reactive protein (CRP), a mediator well known in the monitoring of systemic inflammatory diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis and other articular disorders. CRP is a member of the short pentraxin family, expression being stimulated by proinflammatory cytokines, i.e. in particular IL-6, but also IL-1β, TNFα and others [31]. CRP binds microbial polysaccharides and ligands on damaged cells. This leads to activation of the classical complement mediated pathway, thus removing damaged or apoptotic cells, in turn activating monocytes [32].

While there is general agreement that CRP is a relevant risk biomarker for CVD [33,34], there has been no consensus on a causative role. Mendelian randomization studies failed to prove a causal link between genetic variants of CRP affecting protein level and risk of coronary heart disease [35], in contrast to the case of IL-6 [36], with, however, impractical diagnostic application due to a short half-life and circadian variations [37]. The same conclusion applies to IL-1β, since there is no available technology allowing a reliable measurement. The difficulty in determining these cytokines contrasts with the commercially robust and standardized high-sensitivity (hs)CRP immunoassay.

The association of plaque morphology/tendency to rupture with hsCRP levels has been evaluated by appropriate studies [38]. The prognostic value of hsCRP in post-acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients on optimal medical treatment was found to be weak [39]. A higher sensitivity was found in a cross-sectional study [40], indicating significant correlations with the dynamic changes in plaque structure. In the small IBIS Study a reduction of necrotic core volume with lower hsCRP, not LDL-C, was described [41]. In a more extensive serial intravascular imaging, after 12 months of rosvuastatin treatment, changes of hsCRP showed highly significant positive correlations with necrotic core and dense calcium volumes and negative correlations with fibrous and fibrofatty plaque volumes, not with LDL-cholesterol changes [42].

There is thus as present a high interest in evaluating risk of CV
disease (CVD) and other diseases in individuals with low LDL-C [43], a frequent finding in coronary patients, associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality [44]. Low LDL-C may be a chance finding in random series of individuals or it may be dependent upon mutations in proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9), angiopoietin-like 3, microsomal triglyceride transfer protein or apolipoprotein (apo) B [45]. In order to assess the critical value of LDL-C vs hsCRP, a crucial study was that by Penson et al on Reasons for Geographical and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) [46]. In this, the relationship between low LDL-C (< 70 mg/dL) compared to > 70 mg/dL and hsCRP < 2 compared with ≥2 mg/L was evaluated. The Authors concluded that participants with high LDL-C and low hsCRP have a lower risk of stroke, CHD and CHD deaths compared to those in the same LDL-C category but with high hsCRP. Participants with high hsCRP (≥ 2 mg/L) and low LDL-C (<70 mg/dL) did not show any additional risk reduction but a significant rise of all-cause mortality [46].

These findings are in line with those more recently provided by Guedenay et al evaluating residual inflammatory risk (RIR) in 3,013 patients undergoing percutaneous interventions with low baseline LDL-C (≤ 70 mg/dL) [47] and serial hsCRP assessments. They were characterized as persistent low RIR, attenuated RIR (first high then low hsCRP), increased RIR (first low then high hsCRP), or persistent high RIR. There was a stepwise raised incidence rate of major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular accidents in these four risk categories within one year of the second hsCRP measurement.

3. Drug approaches to the inflammatory cardiovascular residual risk

A number of drug approaches have been guided by knowledge of the inflammatory basis of CVD (Table 1). The CV preventive activity of the most widely used inhibitor of cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 antagonist, aspirin, has not been recently supported by a number of large controlled intervention studies [48,49], although possibly the drug may be effective in individuals homozygous for the soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC) gene variant GUCY1A3 risk(G) allele [50]. Selective COX-2 inhibitors have led to CV harm, in particular rofecoxib, although the later large PRECISION study comparing celecoxib with ibuprofen and naproxen in over 27,000 subjects with arthritis did not identify a clear CV harm [51]. In a follow-up study celecoxib proved actually safer than ibuprofen and naproxen when given with aspirin [52] but there was no evidence of CV benefit.

Aside from the COX-inhibitors, agents modulating pathways in downstream response to injury, i.e. secretary and lipoprotein associated phospholipase A2 [53], P-selectin [54] and the IL-1 β selective inhibitor anakinra [55], all failed to provide evidence of potential activity on CV endpoints.

The CANTOS trial with the anti-IL-1-β monoclonal antibody canakinumab [56], enrolled patients with previous MI and hsCRP ≥ 2 mg/L and provided a proof-of-concept for the hypothesis of residual inflammatory risk. Canakinumab reduced hsCRP by 35 % and did not affect, at any dose, LDL-C, HDL-C and TG levels [57]. After a median follow-up of 3.7 years, doses of 150 and 300 mg were superior to placebo in meeting the primary endpoint, i.e. a composite of nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, or CV death. The hazard ratio (HR) = 0.85 (95 %CI: 0.74-0.98), translated to a number-needed to treat (NNT) of 156 for 1 year to prevent an event; NNT becomes 189 when the analysis is confined to changes in MI (HR: 0.76). CV deaths were not significantly reduced (HR: 0.88) [58]. No reduction in the incidence of new-onset diabetes was found. Canakinumab reduced MACE rates to a similar extent in patients with or without diabetes [59] and was associated with a significant reduction in deaths from lung cancer [60]. Deaths from infection were instead significantly raised.

Among patients on canakinumab, those achieving on-treatment hsCRP ≤ 2 mg/L had a higher benefit in terms of reduction of major CV events (HR: 0.75; 95 % CI: 0.66-0.85), CV mortality (HR: 0.69; 95 %CI: 0.56-0.85) and all-cause mortality (HR: 0.69; 95 % CI: 0.58-0.81) regardless of canakinumab dose (Fig. 1) [61]. Reaching this hsCRP threshold translated into a 5-year NNT estimate of 16 for MI, stroke, coronary revascularization or all-cause death. For participants who did not achieve on-treatment hsCRP < 2 mg/dL, the 5-year NNT estimate was 57 [61]. Among chronic kidney disease patients, canakinumab reduced the risk of MACEs by 18 % with the highest benefit for those achieving on-treatment hsCRP levels below 2 mg/L (-32 %) [62]. Canakinumab reduced in a dose dependent manner the composite of hospitalizations for heart failure or heart failure-related mortality: HR = 1.00 (50 mg, reference value), 0.88 (150 mg) and 0.78 (300 mg) [62].

A further trial investigating efficacy of anti-inflammatory treatments in coronary patients was the Cardiovascular Inflammation Reduction Trial (CIRT), designed to evaluate the effects of low dose methotrexate (15–20 mg per week) vs placebo. Patients had to have, in addition to prior MI or multivessel coronary disease, either type 2 diabetes or the metabolic syndrome. The trial was stopped since methotrexate did not result in the lowering of IL-1β, IL-6 or hsCRP vs

---

### Table 1
**Percentage changes of hsCRP upon treatments with Canakinumab, Metotrexate and Colchicine.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical study</th>
<th>hsCRP (mg/L) in the active group</th>
<th>Primary Endpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pre</td>
<td>post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canakinumab [57]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANTOS</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate [63]</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colchicine [64]</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

**Notes:**
- Values are referred to all doses (50 mg, 150 mg and 300 mg).
- Pre = enrollment; Post = 8 months post randomization.
- Pre = from enrollment; Post = 8 monthspostrandomization.
- Pre = at randomization; Post = 6 months post randomization.

---
placebo. The primary endpoint occurred in 201 patients in the methotrexate and 207 in the placebo group (HR 0.96, 95% CI 0.79–1.16). Methotrexate treatment was associated with raised liver enzymes, reduction in leukocytes and hematocrit and a higher incidence of non-basal cell skin cancer vs placebo [63]. The different patient selection may explain the divergent results: in the CANTOS study patients were selected based on elevated hsCRP levels, whereas CIRT included patients with relatively normal inflammatory biomarkers (hsCRP = 1.6 mg/L), poorly modified by treatment [64].

Colchicine, of general use in the treatment of gouty attacks and pericarditis, by inhibiting neutrophil motility and with marked anti-inflammatory effects, particularly in reducing local cytokine production [65], and improving endothelial function [66] was the object of a large outcome trial, the Colchicine Cardiovascular Outcomes Trial (COLCOT). This examined the effects of low dose colchicine (0.5 mg/day) or placebo on CV events in 4,745 patients with ACS on optimal medical treatment, randomized within 30 days of the event [67]. The primary end-point occurred in 5.5% of patients given colchicine vs 7.5% in the placebo group (HR 0.77; 95% CI 0.62–0.96) [68]. The effect was predominantly driven by lower risk of angina and stroke, without a significant effect on death from CV causes or MI incidence. No changes in hsCRP were detected, thus casting doubt on the postulated anti-inflammatory protective mechanism [69]. A number of other inflammation antagonists including those targeting L-6 or TNF-α have been also tested without success. TNF-α (etanercept) and IL-6 blockade (tocilizumab) tend to increase pro-atherogenic apo B containing lipoproteins [70,71].

A protective role of HDL in reducing plaque inflammation has been finally described recently [72] in diabetic mice, reporting a promoted atherosclerosis regression by raising HDL levels, a benefit linked to suppressed hyperglycemia induced hematoipoiesis, monoyctosis and neutrophilia. The improvement in cholesterol efflux from bone marrow progenitors led to a suppression of proliferation as well as to polarization of plaque macrophages to the atherosclerosis-resolving M2.

4. Lipid lowering agents and inflammation

4.1. Statins

The beneficial effect of statins on coronary events is generally assumed to be linked to their hypocholesterolemic properties [73]. Since mevalonic acid is the precursor of numerous metabolites, inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase potentially results in pleiotropic effects [74]. However, statins can decrease the number of LDL particles infiltrating the vessel wall and thus potentially reducing CRP. Statins do indeed display significant anti-inflammatory effects and this has led to some non-lipid indications, e.g. the treatment of periodontal inflammation associated with reduced carotid inflammation [75]. The role of hsCRP reduction in the large number of statin trials has been the object of a prior review article [76] and data are thus summarized in Table 2. The early CARE (Cholesterol and Recurrent Events) trial showed that the relative CV risk reduction was larger in patients with elevated hsCRP [77]. This observation was confirmed in other studies, such as the Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study (AFCAP/TexCAPS), REVERSAL (Reversal of Atherosclerosis with Aggressive Lipid Lowering), PROVE IT–TIMI 22 (Pravastatin or Azitotardatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 22) and A to Z (Aggrastat-to-Zocor) [78–81]. In all these, the largest benefit was found in patients achieving LDL-C < 70 mg/dL and hsCRP < 2 mg/L [82]. This was confirmed in the primary prevention JUPITER trial on 18,000 patients with median LDL-C 108 mg/dL and elevated hsCRP (> 2.0 mg/L) [83], where the largest reduction in CV events (-65%), occurred upon achieving both LDL-C < 70 mg/dL and hsCRP < 2 mg/dL [84].

4.2. Ezetimibe

Ezetimibe, an inhibitor of the cholesterol transport protein NPC1-like 1, reduces intestinal cholesterol absorption [85]. When added to statins, it leads to an incremental lowering of LDL-C levels and improved cardiovascular outcomes [86]. In a study on rabbits on a high fat diet and atherosclerosis, ezetimibe led to both reduced progression of atheromas and plaque stabilization. CRP levels were significantly reduced with no further reduction occurring by the addition of simvastatin [87]. Clinical studies did not fully confirm this conclusion, i.e. a pooled analysis of trials in hypercholesterolemic patients showed no significant hsCRP changes. Conversely, when ezetimibe was added to baseline statin therapy, a -10% reduction of hsCRP was observed [88]. In the recent IMPROVE-IT trial, adding ezetimibe to simvastatin gave a further 20% and 14% reduction of LDL-C and hsCRP, respectively [89]. In chronic kidney disease patients (SHARP trial), the combination simvastatin/ezetimibe resulted in a 35% reduction of LDL-C vs placebo and a 21% reduction of hsCRP [90] (Table 2).

4.3. Bempedoic acid

This prodrug, targeting ATP-citrate lyase (ACL), is primarily responsible for the production of extra-mitochondrial acetyl-CoA [91], the carbon precursor for cholesterol and fatty acid biosynthesis. Bempedoic acid is metabolized to the active form only in the liver, not in muscle. Phase 3 studies have indicated a stable LDL-C reduction in the range of 25–35%, additional to that of statins, with minimal muscular side effects. Clinical studies have consistently shown a marked hsCRP lowering activity, the highest efficacy being reported in diabetics [92], i.e. -41% (median changes). In the CLEAR Tranquility trial on statin intolerant patients, bempedoic acid (180 mg) added to background lipid-modifying therapy reduced LDL-C and hsCRP by -28.5% and -34.6%, respectively (vs placebo) [93]. In the CLEAR Harmony trial, enrolling patients with LDL-C of at least 70 mg/dL despite maximum tolerated statin therapy, bempedoic acid treatment resulted in greater LDL-C lowering vs placebo at week 12 (difference, -18.1%), 24 (difference, -16.1%) and 52 (difference, -13.6%). Relative to hsCRP, the absolute difference was -25%, -19.1% and -16.2% at weeks 12, 24, and 52 respectively [94] (Table 2).

In the CLEAR Wisdom trial, enrolling patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases (ASCVD), heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia, or both, on optimal statin treatment, bempedoic acid was superior to placebo in reducing hsCRP at weeks 12 and 24 with an absolute difference of -8.7% and -21.3%, respectively. At week 52, the difference between groups was not statistically significant (-7.6%); p = 0.10. At enrolment, hsCRP levels were 1.61 (mg/L) in the bempedoic and 1.88 (mg/L) in the placebo group [95] (Table 2). The CLEAR Serenity trial evaluated the LDL lowering activity and tolerability of bempedoic acid in statin intolerant patients with ASCVD and inadequately controlled LDL-C over a period of 24 weeks. After a 12-week treatment, in patients on very low dose statin, other lipid-modifying therapy or no therapy, hsCRP fell by 28% (vs placebo). This effect was maintained at week 24 (-27.1%) [96].

Combination of bempedoic acid with ezetimibe dramatically reduced LDL-C up to -41%. Bempedoic acid plus ezetimibe reduced hsCRP by 35.1% compared to a rise of 21.6% in the placebo group and an 8.2% reduction with ezetimibe, given as a monotherapy [97]. The combination therapy was not superior to bempedoic acid alone, probably due to the considerable hsCRP lowering achieved in monotherapy (31.9%). In each treatment group, baseline levels of hsCRP were 3.1 mg/L (bempedoic acid + ezetimibe), 2.9 mg/L (bempedoic acid), 2.8 mg/L (ezetimibe), and 3.0 mg/L (placebo) [97,98] (Table 2).
In patients with mixed dyslipidemia, rosuvastatin (40mg), rosuvastatin (10mg) plus fenofibrate (200mg) or rosvastatin (10mg) plus omega 3 fatty acid (2g) led to 53%, 28% and 23% reductions in hsCRP, respectively, vs baseline [108]. In patients with the metabolic syndrome, however, a highly significant hsCRP reduction of 49.5% was reported [109].

4.4. Nicotinic acid

Nicotinic acid (niacin or vitamin B3), at pharmacological doses, i.e. about 1–2 g/day exerts a powerful antilipolytic activity, reducing free fatty acid (FFA) release from the adipose tissue to the liver, where triglycerides (TG) re-synthesis occurs [99]. Some Authors believe that nicotinic acid, similar to fibrates, may exert a moderate stimulation of peroxisomal proliferation as a PPARα agonist [100]. In this way nicotinic acid, similar to fibrates, may exert a moderate stimulation of triglycerides (TG) re-synthesis occurs [99]. Some Authors believe that nicotinic acid, similar to fibrates, may exert a moderate stimulation of peroxisomal proliferation as a PPARα agonist [100]. In this way nicotinic acid may activate lipoprotein lipase reducing TG, to a lesser extent LDL-C, and raising HDL-C levels [101].

The profile of nicotinic acid, particularly the significant positive impact on HDL-C levels, has led to large placebo controlled studies in ASCVD patients [102,103] given extended release nicotinic acid. These studies did not result in a significant reduction of major coronary events. In metabolic syndrome [104], nicotinic acid reduced hsCRP by -40% (from 2.7 ± 0.55 mg/L to 1.7 ± 0.25 mg/L), and similar, albeit lesser reductions, were noted for TNF-α, Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (probably reflecting the reduction of TGFs) and IL-7, not IL-6. In a comparative evaluation of nicotinic acid and fenofibrate, the former was more effective at lowering hsCRP, though fenofibrate led to a more beneficial lipoprotein profile [105].

4.5. Fibrates

These activators of the PPARα system have not shown clear effects on hsCRP. A 5-year treatment with fenofibrate significantly reduced LDL-C (14.2 %) but not hsCRP (+ 0.8 mg/L) [106], a finding similar to the data described in a sub-analysis of the FIELD (Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes) trial in type 2 diabetes [107]. In patients with mixed dyslipidemia, rosuvastatin (40mg), rosuvastatin (10mg) plus fenofibrate (200mg) or rosvastatin (10mg) plus omega 3 (2g) led to 53%, 28 % and 23 % reductions in hsCRP, respectively, vs baseline [109]. In patients with the metabolic syndrome, however, a highly significant hsCRP reduction of 49.5 % was reported [109].
CI 0.81–1.66) and 1.62 (95% CI 1.14–2.30) [120] (Table 3).

The lack of effect on hsCRP was also a common feature of incilisiran, a small-interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting PCSK9 [117]. Recently, no significant effects on leucocytes, monocytes or neutrophils were reported, as well as no significant decrement in IL-6 (-21.3) and TNF-α (-12.3) at the two-dose regimens of 100 mg, 200 mg or 300 mg (at 1 and 90 days) [121].

4.7. Lomitapide

This powerful inhibitor of the microsomal transfer protein (MTP) system was developed for the treatment of homozygous type II patients. While clinical studies have not been addressed to the evaluation of inflammatory/anti-inflammatory markers, of special interest is the very long study on efficacy/safety in homozygous patients [122]. In addition to a very effective LDL-C reduction (-45.5 %), the evaluation of data after 246 weeks of treatment reported a moderate rise in liver fat (from 0.7 to 10.2 %) and, most interestingly, a progressive reduction of hsCRP, with a dramatic change, i.e. -60 % from baseline to week 246. This finding, certainly of high clinical interest, may be also linked to the drug’s action on the human ether-a-go-go-related gene (hERG) channel currents, observed only at high concentrations (>1.7 μM) [123].

4.8. Apabetalone

Among lesser evaluated pathways underlying vascular disease and particularly vascular calcification are the bromo- and extra-terrestrial (BET) proteins (BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4). These are epigenetic readers that bind acetylated lysines on histone tails transcription factors via bromodomains (BD 1 and 2) [124]. BET proteins play a role as molecular scaffolds between acetylation dependent binding sites and transcriptional machinery. Interest in this pathway has been raised after the observation that thienotriazolodiazepines, reportedly increasing plasma levels of apo AI and macrophage reverse cholesterol transport in mice [125], inhibit the BET proteins (BRTD, BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4), this being apparently the main molecular mechanism for the apo AI change.

In view of the non-optimal activity of the thienotriazolodiazepines, affecting essentially all BET associated proteins, a compound that has entered clinical trial is apabetalone, preferentially targeting BRD4 and the bromodomain BD2 [126]. Apabetalone (RVX208) has been shown to raise HDL-C from 3.2 to 8.3 %, particularly the larger HDL particles in humans [127].

Besides the HDL-C raising capacity, apabetalone is able to reduce factors and pathways associated with vascular calcification, i.e. by inhibiting extracellular calcium deposition and reducing differentiation markers in coronary artery vascular SMCs in osteogenic conditions [128]. The mechanism of reduced calcification of VSMCs appears to be a true epigenetic mechanism involving BRD4. Apabetalone also appeared to reduce alkaline phosphatase (ALP) levels and also hsCRP, the two being correlated, although reduced ALP predicted CV risk independent of hsCRP, thus possibly providing a novel mechanism of CV protection [129].

While the numerous early studies on the CV preventive activity of apabetalone had failed to provide convincing evidence of significant effectiveness [130], a pooled analysis of these studies [131] had shown, in contrast, a close to 40 % reduction of events following drug treatment. This encouraged planning a secondary prevention trial in type 2 diabetics with low HDL-C (<40 mg/dL in males and <45 mg/dL in females) on optimal lipid-lowering therapy. They were randomized to apabetalone (100 mg b.i.d.) or a matching placebo [132]. After a median follow-up of 26.5 months, 124 primary endpoints (non-fatal MI, CV death or stroke), i.e. 10.3 %, occurred in drug-treated, vs 149 (12.4 %) in placebo-treated patients (HR 0.82: 95 % CI, 0.64–1.04). More patients allocated to apabetalone interrupted treatment (9.4 vs 5.7 % for the placebo group) for reasons including elevation of liver enzyme activities. Plasma lipid and biomarker changes were of a modest degree: there were no statistically significant differences in LDL-C levels, hsCRP and HbA1c. At week 24, mean HDL-C levels rose from a mean of 33 mg/dL to 38.0 mg/dL with apabetalone and to 36.6 mg/dL with placebo [133]. An interesting opening for apabetalone is the recently described potential activity of BRD2 and BRD4 inhibitors on SARS-CoV-2, by way of an interaction with transmembrane protein E of the virus [134].

5. Hypertension and inflammation

Recent developments in the understanding of CV risk associated to hypertension have pointed out the role of novel actors in the regulation of immune phenomena. Aside from the classical Th1 and Th2 cells, regulatory T-cells (Tregs) and Th17 have shown differential plasticities, indicating that in particular Tregs appear to attenuate hypertension target organ damage, whereas Th17 cells may exacerbate damage. Tregs are characterized by the ability to suppress inflammatory signaling of immune and non-immune cells [135] and may reduce target organ damage in hypertensive models, possibly by way of IL-10 and TGFβ mediated immunosuppression [136]. Th17 cells are instead characterized by the expression of transcription factor retinoic acid-related orphan receptor (RORγ) together with the production of IL-17. This exacerbates tissue damage in hypertensives [137]. Tregs have been implicated in hypertension protection experienced by females, displaying, among others, a larger percentage of infiltrating T-cells in female spontaneously hypertensive rats, compared to males [138].

Inflammatory pathways and mediators are used by immune cells to drive high blood pressure (BP) and end-organ damage. Activation of immune cells and recruitment to a target organ leads to production of cytokines and chemokines that determine local inflammatory responses. Inflammatory cytokines and chemokines involved in hypertension are TNF-α, IL-17, MCP-1, and IL-6 [139]. Two TNF-α receptors have been described: TNFRI and TNFR2 [140]. In humans, high serum TNFRI levels strongly correlate with hypertension associated
diseases, e.g. end-stage renal disease and type 2 diabetes [141]. There are, however, reports indicating that genetic deletion of TNFR1 leads to increased BP in response to angiotensin (Ang) II [142]. The epidemiological Malmo Diet and Cancer Study investigated the association of TNFR1 and TNFR2 in middle-aged individuals and reported that both were associated with an increased risk of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), suggesting that TNF mediated inflammation may lead to vascular changes preceding ICH [143]. Studies in hypertensive patients have shown a graded relationship of raised Intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and Vascular Cell Adhesion Molecule-1 (VCAM-1) with blood pressure, suggestive of increased inflammatory activity in the disease [144].

5.1. Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE)-inhibitors

ACE-inhibitors exert a significant anti-inflammatory activity at the vascular level. AngII treatment in rodents elevates BP and VCAM-1; chemokines such as monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) and macrophage-colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) are up-regulated in the aortas of Ang II-treated mice. In these animals, enalapril treatment reduces atherosclerosis, but in particular, vascular inflammation, by markedly reducing elevated chemokine levels [145]. A direct clinical comparison of a major ACE-inhibitor, ramipril, with the angiotensin receptor blocker telmisartan, in type 2 diabetics, showed that both exerted a similar anti-inflammatory activity, as evaluated from a fall of hsCRP [146]. The mechanisms of the proinflammatory activity of ACE activation and its inhibition by ACE inhibitors have been extensively investigated. ACE stimulation enhances the expression of inducible NO synthase and COX-2 by NF-κB activation [147], also raising the production of reactive oxygen species and of proinflammatory T cells. ACE inhibition suppresses Th17- and Th1-mediated autoimmunity, also promoting production of Treg, favorably modulating inflammation [148]. Ang II may also activate the TLR4 signaling pathway, leading to inflammatory synergism. These proinflammatory cytokines enhance abnormal serine phosphorylation of IRS-1, thus causing insulin resistance [149]. Treatment with ACE-inhibitors will rescue insulin-resistance and, in some cases even cause hypoglycemia [150].

5.2. Sartans

Among sartans with apparent additional anti-inflammatory properties, telmisartan has received the largest attention. This agent can reduce vascular SMC proliferation by a potentially additive mechanism to angiotensin receptor blockade and PPARγ activation [151]. When reduce vascular SMC proliferation by a potentially additive mechanism, telmisartan has received the largest attention. This agent can inhibit suppresses Th17- and Th1-mediated autoimmunity, also promoting production of Treg, favorably modulating inflammation [148]. Ang II may also activate the TLR4 signaling pathway, leading to inflammatory synergism. These proinflammatory cytokines enhance abnormal serine phosphorylation of IRS-1, thus causing insulin resistance [149]. Treatment with ACE-inhibitors will rescue insulin-resistance and, in some cases even cause hypoglycemia [150].

5.3. Calcium-channel antagonists

The therapeutic approach with calcium-channel antagonists [calcium channel blockers, (CCB)] is also dependent on their anti-inflammatory activity at the vascular level. Ca²⁺ is raised at inflammation sites and activation of the calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR) may play a pivotal role. CaSR, a cell surface coupled G protein receptor, in the vascular area can regulate the NLRP3 inflammasome, acting by way of Ca²⁺ and cAMP [156].

Significant differences can be found between the two classes of CCBs, although neither exerts a direct anti-inflammatory activity. The dihydropyridine CCBs, nifedipine and amlodipine, antagonize L-type calcium channels and have antioxidant effects [157]. The rate-limiting CCBs such as verapamil, may also improve endothelial function, indicating that the benefits of these agents may be related to an improved nitric oxide availability [158]. In gluteal resistance arteries in hypertensive patients, treatment with the CCB nifedipine for 1 year improved vascular relaxation responses to acetylcholine, not observed with atenolol [159]. In the coronary vasculature, nicardipine can reverse endothelial dysfunction in non-stenotic segments from hypertensive patients and in stenotic segments from both normotensive and hypertensives [160]. Nicardipine has been shown to improve endothelial-dependent vasodilation in patients with essential hypertension [161] and to raise coronary vascular responses to acetylcholine. Amlodipine increases basal NO release, whereas lacidipine enhanced the vasodilator responses to both acetylcholine and Bradykinin within the forearm circulation of hypertensive patients [162].

6. Diabetes and inflammation

The pattern of pathological responses characteristic of type 2 diabetes is dependent on the sensitivity of vessels to oxidative stress, hypertension, dyslipidemia and aging, all determining the risk of atherosclerosis progression and regression. In diabetes, endothelial cell dysfunction is of frequent occurrence [163]. It is characterized by raised production of cytokines and adhesion molecules: altogether they initiate the inflammatory driven responses including leucocyte recruitment and platelet activation. Characteristic of diabetes is, in particular, the activation of the proteolytic enzymes known as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). MMP activity is regulated by the endogenous tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMP). MMPs mediate the retinal neuropathy and vasculopathy that are associated with diabetes [164].

Hyperglycemia and elevated FFAs may promote inflammation by stimulating glucose utilization with altered oxidative phosphorylation. This will induce a proinflammatory trait in macrophages in the adipose tissue and other tissues, including the vasculature [165]. Glucotoxicity and lipotoxicity, by exerting oxidative and endoplasmic reticulum stress, elicit an inflammatory response by activating thioredoxin interacting proteins (TXNP and NLR families) including NLRP3. This latter stimulates the release of mature IL1-β [166] which further amplifies inflammation by recruiting immune cells including macrophages [167].

Among the molecular mechanisms underlying diabetes related inflammation is the reduction of fatty acid synthase (FAS). FAS, a large molecule producing mainly palmitate, as well as other mainly saturated FFAs, is reduced in many tissues in diabetic models. Liver specific inactivation of FAS leads to fatty liver, hypoglycemia and enhanced insulin sensitivity, a picture not different from models of the deficiency of the nuclear receptor PPARα [168]. FAS deficiency, in contrast, leads to protection from insulin resistance and with disrupted function of Cdc42, a member the RhoGTPase family, coordinating macrophage activation in target tissues [169]. Reduced activation is associated with altered phospholipid and protein composition, responsible for the inflammatory signaling coordinated by RhoGTPase. These findings have contributed to the understanding of the role of cholesterol in the inflammatory induction. FAS is required for the assembly of cholesterol and sphingomyelin in the plasma membranes of macrophages [170]. Membrane phospholipids control clustering of domains required for signaling such as in dendritic cell activation [171], Th-17 helper cell differentiation [172] and other mechanism of activation of inflammasomes in macrophages. FAS inhibition thus may lead to reduced atherosclerosis, less adiposity and reduced blood glucose in skeletal
muscle. This basic knowledge allows the evaluation of potential links between inflammation and antidiabetic drugs, active not only on glucose, but also on different steps in the diabetes related inflammatory process.

6.1. Metformin

This compound is the most widely used glucose lowering drug, whose effects are believed to be mediated by activation of AMPK, a key regulator of energy homeostasis [173]. Metformin directly inhibits the production of reactive oxygen species from complex I (NADH: ubiquinone-oxidoreductase) of the mitochondrial electron transport chain. Metformin inhibits the pro-form of the IL-1β in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) activated macrophages while stimulating induction of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 [174].

In the vascular endothelium, metformin inhibits monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation [175]. While these effects in rodents are well established, in particular by reducing the proinflammatory and proapoptotic protein TXNIP in β-cells and hepatocytes [176], data in humans are not well clarified. Metformin appeared to reduce hsCRP to a modest extent in patients in the US Diabetes Prevention Program [177]. In the LANCET Trial (Long acting Insulin Injections to Reduce C-Reactive Protein in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes), metformin did not modify inflammatory markers in patients with recent onset type 2 diabetes [178]. In recent years, exciting news have been provided on the potential beneficial effects of metformin in chronic inflammatory disorders and cancer, also extending lifespan independent of glucose metabolism [179].

6.2. Sulphonylureas and thiazolidinediones

While losing clinical interest because of their high potential to induce hypoglycemia, sulphonylureas have been shown to exert potentially significant anti-inflammatory effects. Glyburide appears to inhibit the NLRP3 inflammasome and the subsequent IL-1β-activation in macrophages [180]. Gliclazide seems to exert a similar effect, i.e. reduced endothelial dysfunction in type 2 diabetes patients [181]. In clinical trials, however, sulphonylureas did not appear to exert a significant influence on hsCRP levels, whereas reductions were found in treatment with the thiazolidinediones (TZDs) [182].

TZDs are PPARγ agonists that increase insulin sensitivity. There is experimental evidence that PPARγ and AMPK are both targets of TZD with consequent significant anti-inflammatory effects in liver and adipose tissue [183]. Pioglitazone treatment may thus reduce infiltration of adipose tissue by proinflammatory macrophages, leading to improved hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity, potentially exerting an ameliorating effect on liver histology in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) [184].

Treatment with TZDs, in addition to improving endothelial function, can significantly reduce hsCRP levels in people with and without diabetes irrespective of glycemic effects [185]. The apparent anti-inflammatory activity of PPARγ agonists may be possibly related to the novel observation of their interaction with NLRCS5, inhibiting vascular neointima formation [22]. The reduced hsCRP and increased HDL-C after pioglitazone treatment may explain the observed consequent reduction in CV morbidity [186].

6.3. Incretins and incretin drugs

Incretin drugs are a new widely used group of glucose lowering agents which antagonize glucagon secretion and delay gastric emptying. Two groups of incretin hormones, the glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and the glucose-dependent insulinostimulatory polypeptide (GIP) stimulate insulin secretion in a glucose-dependent manner and, in the case of GIP, reduce gastric acid secretion. Incretin hormone secretion can be activated by inflammatory mediators such as IL-6 and IL-1β [187]. The rapid degradation of GLP-1 and GIP by dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-IV) has led to the development of two new classes of incretin-based drugs, i.e. GLP-1R agonists and DPP-IV inhibitors [188]. GLP-1R agonists include lixisenatide, exenatide, liraglutide and albiglutide; DPP-IV inhibitors target degradation systems of incretin hormones and include sitagliptin, vildaglutide, saxagliptin, linagliptin and alogliptin [189]. These agents are listed in the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) Guidelines [190].

Both drug classes have given substantial evidence of improving a variety of CV risk factors as well as inflammation. In particular DPP-IV inhibitors can suppress NLRP3, TLR4 and IL-1β expression in macrophages [191]. They can also reduce mRNA expression of CD26, TNF-α, proinflammatory kinases, and chemokine receptor CCR2, as well as plasma hsCRP, IL-6 and FFA [192]. In a clinical study evaluating endothelial dysfunction and inflammation in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with sitagliptin, there was clear evidence of reduced levels of markers including hsCRP, L-6, IL-1β, phospholipase-A2, ICAM-1 and E selectin [193].

The case of GLP-1R agonists (GLP-1RAs) has provided somewhat similar findings in the lipid profile but also in statistically significant reductions of markers including hsCRP, brain natriuretic peptide and PAI-1 [194]. Balestrieri et al. tested the anti-inflammatory activity in diabetic atherosclerotic plaques, reporting that plaques from incretin-treated type 2 diabetic patients are characterized by increased collagen content, raised sirtuin-6 expression and inhibited inflammatory response with reduced numbers of macrophages, T cells and lower expression of MMP-9 and TNFα [195].

Incretin drugs, particularly GLP-1RAs, although effective on glycaemia and well tolerated, have not generally provided consistent reductions in CV events. In the case of DPP-4 inhibitors outcome trials, the three-point MACE evaluation of the LEADER (Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes: Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcome Results) trial reported significant reductions of events at all ages with somewhat reduced efficacy in individuals ≥ 60y [196]. The SUSTAIN trial with semaglutide with a similar design again resulted in a significant reduction of three-point MACEs with no differences between younger and older patients [197].

6.4. Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2)

In all clinical trials, SGLT2 have consistently shown a CV benefit, both in younger and older individuals. Very interestingly, in the case of empagliflozin (EMPA-REG Trial) and canagliflozin (CANVAS Trial) [198,199], CV event reduction was not only of the ischemic type but, more frequently, consequent to reduced heart failure. These impressive results on heart failure are the object of intensive investigation and the recently completed DECLARE TIMI 58 with dapagliflozin confirmed the improvement of the heart failure endpoint but no clear benefit on MACE endpoints [200].

The significant reduction in hospitalizations for heart failure and progression of renal disease have not found a clear support from changes in the inflammatory profile in earlier studies with the SGLT inhibitor phlorizin in obese gerbils. In these, a decrement in islet inflammation, possibly related to reduced glucose toxicity, was detected [201]. Similarly, in mice with type 2 diabetes, the SGLT2 inhibitor empagliflozin, in addition to positive changes in hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia, reduced markers of inflammation (IL-6, TNF-α, MCP-1 and CRP levels) [202]. In the same experimental model, no data on inflammation were reported with canagliflozin [203].

Although data of this type have not been made available in the cardiovascular outcome trials with canagliflozin, 12 months of treatment with empagliflozin decreased hsCRP (-43 %) and lowered levels of remnant related lipoproteins probably via ameliorating insulin resistance [Hattori, 2018 #402].

The DECLARE TIMI 58 study [200] with dapagliflozin did not
provide data on inflammation. While expecting clinical data from the CREDENCE Study with canagliflozin [205], attempting to establish inflammatory biomarker changes, a number of exploratory data have been provided with SGLT2 inhibitors mainly on short-term evaluations. Reduction in leptin levels and increased adiponectin are generally reported, as well as modest reductions in hsCRP TNF-α, IL-6 and IFNγ with canagliflozin, dapagliflozin and empagliflozin, mainly not reaching statistical significance [204]. In the CANTATA-SU Study [206], with canagliflozin, there was a 22 % reduction of IL-6 and a slight reduction of hsCRP (-4.4 %). Conversely, a 7 % increase in TNF-α was detected after canagliflozin vs glimepiride (Table 4). Overall the effects on inflammatory markers are very modest and probably not contributing to the CV outcomes.

### 7. Microbiome and inflammation

The trillions of microbes colonizing the human intestinal tract are of major importance for human development and physiology [207]. Alterations of the microbiome, however, may be associated with multiple disorders including a raised prevalence of atherosclerotic diseases [208]. Among the best-studied mechanisms underlying this association is the increase of circulating trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) derived from the metabolism of choline and carnitine by the gut microbiome [209]. More recently the same authors indicated that the TMAO precursor trimethyllysine is also associated with adverse cardiac risk and the two together provide the best risk estimate [210]. Contrasting data have been reported in an animal model: in the apoE −/− mice, Collins et al. [211] found that carnitine fed animals have raised TMAO levels but these are inversely related to aortic lesion formation. In the same model, mice fed chow or Western diet and low or no choline supplementation showed raised plasma TMAO levels in the latter group in conventional (not germfree) mice [212]. Choline treatment did not affect aortic lesion size or plasma cholesterol and there was no difference in glycoprotein CD68 in macrophages. Similarly, no clear inflammatory related responses of microbiota to choline could be found, following evaluation of 10 cytokines in plasma from apo E-/- mice. There is, however, clear evidence for a wide dispersion of baseline data in the clinical studies [213]. In order to improve prediction, very recently Cassambai et al [214] suggested a choline loading test (700mg oral choline bitartrate) followed by oral determinations for 8h, indeed showing clear interindividual differences.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical study</th>
<th>IL-6</th>
<th>hsCRP</th>
<th>TNF-α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canagliflozin</td>
<td>CANTATA-SU</td>
<td>−22 %</td>
<td>−4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empagliflozin</td>
<td>Patients with a history of medication with SGLT2 inhibitors</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−54 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dapagliflozin</td>
<td>Patients with Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>From 0.26 (0.11-0.53) to 0.14 (0.08-0.26); p &lt; 0.001 (after 4 weeks)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SGLT-2, Sodium Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors; −, not available.

Fig. 2. Anti-inflammatory effects of lipid lowering drugs. The NLRP3 inflammasome system induces the activation of caspase-1 which, in turn, cleaves pro-IL-1β and pro-IL-18 to their active counterparts that induce IL-6. In the liver, IL-6 induces CRP, a clinically proven biomarker of inflammatory status and cardiovascular risk. The evaluation of high sensitivity CRP (hsCRP) levels identifies patients with low (< 1 mg/L), intermediate (1-3 mg/L) and high (> 3 mg/L) risk. Several lipid lowering drugs reduce hsCRP levels, including the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins), ATP citrate lyase inhibitor (bempedoic acid), the PPARα agonists (fibrates), and the MTP inhibitor lomitapide. The NPC1L1 inhibitor, ezetimibe, reduces cholesterol absorption and hsCRP levels, similar to nicotinic acid, acting mainly in the adipose tissue by reducing lipolysis.

CRP, C-reactive protein; NLRP3, NACHT-, LRR- and pyrin domain-containing 3; ASC, apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a CARD; FFA, Free-fatty acids; MTP, microsomal transfer protein; NPC1L1, Niemann-Pick C1-Like 1; PPAR, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma.
major probiotic, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, may reduce myocardial infarct size in hypertensive rats [215], as well as suppress LPS-mediated atherosclerotic plaque inflammation [216]. The potential of *Lactobacillus plantarum* 299v (Ly299v) was evaluated by Malik et al [217] that directly tested in ASCVD patients the effects of oral Lp299v (20 billion-colony forming units (CFU) qd) for 6 weeks. Treatment did not change lipids, glucose or BMI, but improved flow-mediated dilation. While TMAO levels were unchanged, significant reductions of IL-8 and IL-12 were recorded. Resistance arteries isolated from patients after treatment and exposed to postbiotic plasma showed raised endothelium-dependent vasodilation.

The positive results of this last study suggested the experimental evaluation in a more frequent case of inflammation-mediated endothelial dysfunction and arterial stiffening, i.e. aging [218]. These Authors, by suppressing gut microbiota with antibiotic treatment in old mice noted reversed endothelial dysfunction and arterial stiffening, with attenuated vascular oxidative stress and inflammation. Plasma levels of TMAO were also suppressed by antibiotic treatment. The Authors noted an increase of the phylum Proteobacteria in antibiotic treated mice, almost entirely attributable to unclassified species within the Enterobacteriaceae. The issue of proinflammatory gut microbiota was examined by the use of caspase 1−/− mice with proinflammatory microbiota in LDLr−/− mice [219]. When proinflammatory casp1−/− microbiota was introduced into LDLr−/− mice a remarkable increase of systemic inflammation and atherogenesis was reported.

The aim of identifying enteral bacteria, possibly responsible for reducing inflammation and improving endothelial function, has called attention to the *Akkermansia muciniphila*, an enteral commensal anaerobe, postulated to exert potential anti-obesity effects, linked to reduced insulin-resistance [220]. Interestingly, beneficial properties appear to be improved by pasteurization [221]. Three-month supplementation of pasteurized *A. muciniphila* (1010 CFU daily) in insulin resistant volunteers reduced circulating LPS endotoxemia and white blood cells [222]. These findings support the hypothesis, now held by several Authors, that probiotic treatment with live bacteria may improve barrier function in the intestine, thus ameliorating both metabolic parameters as well inflammation [223]. It may lead to improved vascular function in aging and vascular disease.

8. Nutraceuticals

Diet, the most common variable for all individuals, may influence inflammation, in particular vascular inflammation, to a different extent according to general components, as well as to components with a drug-like activity, among these unsaturated fatty acids and commonly used nutraceuticals.

8.1. Omega-6 fatty acids

Omega-6 fatty acids are a major component of the daily lipid intake. The most represented polysaturated fatty acid (PUFA) is linoleic acid (LA:18:2 n-6). A most recent assessment of omega-6 PUFA intake among adults in the UK was 10.9 ± 4.7 g/day, most of which (at least 90 %) would be LA, responsible for about 7 % of daily energy. LA is
converted after a series of steps to γ-linolenic acid, dihomo-γ-linolenic acid and arachidonic acid (ARA) [224]. This last is the major precursor of eicosanoids, being converted by cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) to prostaglandins/thromboxanes. Concentrations of ARA-derived eicosanoids are elevated in inflammatory conditions. Pathways to ARA synthesis from LA is generally saturated in the presence of a high LA intake in humans (likely to be around 10 g/d) and thus raised LA intake will have no further effect in promoting ARA synthesis. This generally leads to unchanged levels of ARA in circulating mononuclear cells (MCs), and it is unlikely to see much of a change in inflammatory markers following raised dietary LA in individuals on a normal diet [225].

The major potential effect of LA itself on inflammation is its metabolism to lipoxigenase (LOX) derivatives such as the hydroxyeicosadienoic acids (HODEs) and further to oξo-HODEs and epoxy-HODEs, playing a role in inflammation and having been detected in colonic mucosal biopsies of patients with ulcerative colitis without, however, being significantly related to the degree of inflammation [226]. Dietary LA intake was not associated with the inflammatory markers CRP, IL-6, soluble (s)TNF-R and sTNF-R2 [227,228]. Despite a long-held belief, available evidence does not support the conclusion that a high dietary intake or high plasma LA concentrations raise tissue ARA or change inflammatory marker concentrations in humans.

The lack of a significant anti-inflammatory effect of LA or in general omega-6 fatty acids in spite of the beneficial activity on CV prevention is well supported by the very recent novel Consortium Evaluation of 30 prospective observational studies from 13 countries (follow up ranging between 2.5 to 31.9 years) [229]. High LA levels were associated with a lower risk of total CVD, CV mortality and ischemic stroke, with ratios between 2.5 to 31.9 years) [229]. Dietary LA intake was not associated with the inflammatory markers CRP, IL-6, soluble (s)TNF-R and sTNF-R2 [227,228]. Despite a long-held belief, available evidence does not support the conclusion that a high dietary intake or high plasma LA concentrations raise tissue ARA or change inflammatory marker concentrations in humans.

The lack of a significant anti-inflammatory effect of LA or in general omega-6 fatty acids in spite of the beneficial activity on CV prevention is well supported by the very recent novel Consortium Evaluation of 30 prospective observational studies from 13 countries (follow up ranging between 2.5 to 31.9 years) [229]. High LA levels were associated with a lower risk of total CVD, CV mortality and ischemic stroke, with ratios between 0.93 and 0.88. ARA levels were instead not associated with a high risk of CV outcomes. Paradoxically, in extreme quintiles, higher ARA levels were linked to a lower risk of total CVD, thus supporting in general a favorable role for LA in CVD prevention.

8.2. Omega-3 fatty acids

Dietary intake of omega-3 fatty acids is essential for health, since these PUFAs cannot be synthesized to a significant extent. Omega-3 PUFAs are regarded as anti-inflammatory, exerting their effects via multiple mechanisms [230]. These include the partial inhibition of a number of steps of inflammation such as leukocyte chemotaxis, production of inflammatory cytokines, adhesion molecule expression, leukocyte-endothelial adhesive interactions, and Th1 lymphocyte reactivity [231]. From the results of a number of randomized controlled trials, the anti-inflammatory effect of omega-3 PUFA was associated to a reduction of hsCRP, IL-6 and TNF [232]. The basic mechanism is the incorporation of EPA and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) into cell membranes at the expense of ARA, resulting in inhibited ARA metabolism and consequent decreased expression of the COX gene and, as a final consequence, reduction of ARA derived eicosanoids.

More recently, a major advance in the field of PUFA and inflammation has been the discovery of the so called pro-resolving lipid mediators. These mediators include resolvins from EPA (E-series) and DHA (D-series) and protectin and maresin from DHA. Their synthesis involves the COX and LOX pathways operating in a transcellular manner [233]. These compounds can be found in humans after EPA or DHA intake [234] and have shown anti-inflammatory benefits in vitro and in animal models of inflammation [235]. By these mechanisms, omega-3 PUFA and especially DHA decrease expression of adhesion molecules such as ICAM-1 on the surface of endothelial cells and monocyte cultures, as well as of macrophage scavenger receptor A in rats fed a high fat fish oil diet [236].

The activity on NF-kB is probably exerted by reduced translocation of the NF-kB p503 and p-65 subunits to the nucleus where they bind omega-3 response elements, upregulating inflammatory gene expression. Exposure of macrophages to DHA inhibits the TLR4 agonist (LPS) ability to promote recruitment of co-stimulatory molecules, MHC class II and to stimulate cytokine production [237].

In view of the relatively simple way of measuring circulating proinflammatory cytokines, a randomized controlled study in aging adults with chronic venous leg ulcers given EPA + DHA therapy (2.5 g/d) reported reductions in IL-6, IL-1β and TNF-α after 4 and 8 weeks of treatment [238]. A direct evaluation of the two fatty acids was carried out by Allaire et al. [239], testing in a double-blind fashion supplementation of EPA vs DHA (both 2.7 g/d) and corn oil for periods of 10 weeks. Compared to EPA, DHA led to a larger reduction of IL-1β, increased adiponectin and reduction of hsCRP (7.9 vs -1.8 %) and TNF-α (-14.8 vs -7.6 %); effects on IL-6 (-12.0 vs -13.4 %) were similar. Fish oil can also reduce the inflammatory effects of TMAO, as recently shown in apoE−/− mice fed a Western diet and additional TMAO [240]. Fish oil fed animals had significantly reduced atherogenesis (compared to animals fed flaxseed oil) with marked reduction of inflammatory markers.

All these findings have become of great interest after the positive outcome of the REDUCE-IT study on high dose EPA given to secondary prevention patients with elevated triglyceridemia [241]. In this study patients received 2 g bid of purified EPA vs placebo, resulting in a primary endpoint reduction of 25 % [242]. Interestingly, at the last visit, hsCRP levels were reduced by 23 %, thus probably adding to the CV benefit of EPA intake.

9. Conclusions

The clinician’s approach to arterial disease is more and more frequently calling to action on an inflammatory condition and on the potential use of agents affecting inflammation [243]. This latter is certainly consequent to the presence of cholesterol crystals in the lesion, promoting plaque instability, but newer factors have come into play, in particular from an improved knowledge of myelopoiesis related mechanisms [9]. Both raised progenitor cell recruitment after major CV events and clonal hematopoiesis related mechanisms, have provided crucial information on the inflammatory mechanisms underlying the ACS, also identifying potentially protective mechanisms such as the TET2 production [19] (Graphical abstract).

Clinical diagnostics in CVD has instead mainly relied on circulating biomarkers, in particular the hsCRP, working as an acute marker and as an event predictor. Agents affecting hsCRP levels, in particular canakinumab and, more recently, colchicine, both reduced hsCRP and inflammatory phenomena, leading to a reduced number of event relapses, independent of changes of other risk markers including lipids or hypertension [57,63,68].

Among drug classes of common use in CVD treatment, variable effects have been shown on inflammatory biomarkers, in particular hsCRP [244]. In the case of lipid lowering agents, statins have provided the first evidence indicative of the CV benefit of the reduction of hsCRP in addition to that of LDL-C [245]. Fibrates have shown hsCRP reduction in the metabolic syndrome, whereas ezetimibe has modest effects, potentially additive to those of statins in drug combinations. Finally, among newer agents, bempedoic acid appears to provide the best combination of LDL-C and hsCRP reductions. The case of PCSK9 antagonists is more complex, since these agents do not directly reduce hsCRP levels [246]. However, identification of patients with elevated hsCRP leads to the choice of individuals best responding to drug treatment [117] (Fig. 2).

Among agents for blood pressure lowering, ACE inhibitors have an optimal anti-inflammatory activity, potentially additive to that of sartans, somewhat less effective but exerting a stimulatory activity on eicosanoid metabolism. Calcium channel blocker act by way of a CaSR, a cell surface coupled G protein receptor, regulating the NLRP3 inflammasome in the vascular area.

The diabetic condition is characterized by an increased inflammatory burden, with endothelial cell dysfunction and increased adhesion molecules. The novel antidiabetic agents, such as the incretin
drugs, antagonizing glucagon secretion and delaying gastric emptying, reduce inflammatory mediators, including hsCRP, IL-6, IL-1β and ICAM-1. The SLGT-2 inhibitors, instead, have in general shown impressive CV benefit, reduction of events being not only of the ischemic type but more frequently consequent to heart failure. This impressive clinical activity has, however, been associated with modest reductions in hsCRP, TNF-α, and IL-6, thus suggesting that the anti-failure activity may not be linked to an anti-inflammatory effect (Fig. 3).

Novel findings on the microbiome have pointed to the proinflammatory activity of the metabolite TMAO, resulting from the metabolism of choline/carnitine by the gut microbiome. This circulating metabolite may not be linked to an anti-inflammatory effect (Fig. 3).

in hsCRP, TNF-α, and IL-6, thus suggesting that the anti-failure activity has, however, been associated with modest reductions in hsCRP, IL-6, and IL-1β, and in hsCRP, Tnfr, and icam-1. The slgt-2 inhibitors, instead, have in general shown improvements in the reduction of cv diseases and inflammation. While omega-6 fatty acids, aside from their lipid lowering properties, do not seem to exert anti-inflammatory activities, omega-3 fatty acids have provided substantial benefits, reducing proinflammatory cytokines and hsCRP.

The overall evaluation of present knowledge on inflammation and CV risk points out, to specialists and non-specialists, the major role of evaluating the pro- and antiinflammatory profile of major agents used in therapy as well as the diagnostic criteria for establishing the most appropriate guidelines for prevention and treatment.
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