Bioregulators Can Improve Biomass Production, Photosynthetic Efficiency, and Ornamental Quality of *Gazania rigens* L.
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**Abstract:** *Gazania rigens* L. is a perennial herbaceous plant that belongs to the Asteraceae family, widely used as bedding or ornamental potted plants. The environmental and economic sustainability of ornamental production can be enhanced using environmentally friendly bioregulators. A pot experiment was conducted to evaluate the influence of key bioregulators gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) at 50, 100 or 150 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, humic acid (HA) at 100, 300 or 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, and ascorbic acid (AA) at 50, 100 or 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, on the growth, leaf gas exchange, and ornamental quality of *Gazania* plants. The results indicated that plants treated with foliar applications of GA<sub>3</sub>, HA, or AA exhibited higher plant fresh and dry biomass, plant height, leaf area, and leaf area ratio, root-shoot ratio, root-shoot mass fractions, and number of flowers, as well as the flowers display time. All bioregulator treatments enhanced the vegetative and floral characteristics of *Gazania* plants. The GA<sub>3</sub> was the most efficient at the concentration of 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The highest efficacy of HA and AA treatments was observed at the higher concentrations, 600 and 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These results were associated with higher photosynthetic rate (A), transpiration rate (E) as well as stomatal conductance (gs), and water use efficiency (WUE). In conclusion, the results suggest that foliar-applied bioregulators to *Gazania* are promising and represent sustainable strategies to enhance growth, flowering, and flower display time of *Gazania* plants.
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1. *Introduction*

*Gazania* (*Gazania rigens* L.) is a popular perennial herbaceous plant native to Southern Africa, frequently cultivated as an annual potted flower or garden plant around the world, for its high ornamental value. The use of inorganic fertilizers and synthetic chemicals in the horticulture sector is necessary for adequate productivity, but often the high quantities used may have negative effects on the environment and human health [1]. Ornamental plant production includes the cultivation of cut-flower crops, potted plants, gardening plants, and landscaping planning [2–4]. Ornamental plant cultivation has been increasing in recent years [2]. The use of bioregulators may lower the environmental impact of ornamental crop production [5,6]. These products can have great potential practical applications.
for improving plant growth and development. Bioregulators and natural biostimulants are widely used in agriculture and horticulture systems to enhance vegetative growth, flowering, yield, harvest quality, and modification of plant constituents [1,7]. The effectiveness of different chemicals varies under different growth conditions, as well as among plant species. There are various chemicals used for plant growth improvement due to their consistent effects on photosynthesis [8]. Bioregulators include hormones or other compounds/substances with hormone-like activities, inorganic, and organic elements that are able to enhance plant growth and development, and flower and bulblet production.

Gibberellic acid (GA3) is a natural phytohormone that has promising applications in agriculture and in the horticulture industry due to its positive impact on plant growth and development [9]. GA3 has been used as a plant growth regulator in different crops, ornamental plants, and orchards, exhibiting positive impacts for enhancing seed germination [10,11], flowering [12,13], endosperm mobility, leaf expansion, leaf development, shortening of juvenile phase, stem elongation, floral transitioning, and setting of flowers and fruits [14,15]. Foliar applications of GA3 enhance the flower stem length in cut-flowers grown in soil [16]. In calla lily, GA3 treatments increased the number of flowers and shortened the flowering time (earlier flower production). The GA3 is also used as a leaf yellowing inhibitor and used as a postharvest or post-production treatment in many ornamental species [17–19].

Humic acids (HA) are a type of organic fertilizer that act as a precursor of humic compounds [20]. The application of HA improved plant health and increased yield by improving the soil structure, as well as facilitating the macro- and micro-nutrients uptake and assimilation. The HA enhanced photosynthesis, nitrogen assimilation, and secondary metabolism in plants [21–23]. It enhanced rooting in micro-propagation [24]. It has been demonstrated that HA stimulated root growth and development by increasing root cell elongation and cell expansion, and induced the formation of lateral roots [25]. In addition, different concentrations of HA have a significant effect on plant metabolism and plant physiology [26]. Soppelsa et al. [27] showed that HA improved apple fruit quality in comparison to different bioregulators. Combined applications of HA and plant growth-promoting bacteria enhanced the yield and quality of organic tomatoes [28]. In a meta-analysis, Rose et al. [29] described the exogenous application of HA increased (22%) root and shoot dry weight in different plant species.

Ascorbic acid (AA) also known as Vitamin C is a water-soluble vitamin and a ubiquitous metabolite that is involved in a variety of key functions in plant tissues. AA plays an important role in the biosynthesis of various plant hormones, essential organic acids, and takes part in numerous biological processes such as xanthophylls metabolism [30]. Recent studies suggest that AA is also involved in the regulation of plant cell division and elongation [31]. Akram et al. [32] stated that AA is involved in many vital functions as photo-protection, antioxidant defense, and regulation of growth and photosynthesis process.

The effect of AA, HA, and GA3 applications on the Gazania plants has not been investigated yet and their applications might have a positive effect on the production and quality of ornamental plants. The hypothesis of this work was to improve the growth and flowering of Gazania using plant bioregulators. Therefore, this study compared the effects of three chemicals with the regulation ability of biological processes such as growth, leaf gas exchange, and several ornamental quality parameters of Gazania plants.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials

A pot experiment was conducted in 2017–2018 (October to May) at the floriculture experimental area of the Institute of Horticultural Sciences, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan using Gazania (Gazania rigens L.) cv. New Day® Bronze Shades (PanAmerican seed, West Chicago, Illinois USA). Seeds were surface sterilized (4.0% sodium hypochlorite solution) for 10 min at room temperature followed by triple-rinsing with distilled sterile water. On 12 October, Gazania seeds were sown in a glass house in plastic seedling propagation trays (72 cells per tray) placing one seed in each planting cell filled
with soilless growing media (peat/perlite 80/20 v/v). In the glasshouse, average daytime temperature ranged from 24 to 26 °C, while night temperature ranged from 16 to 18 °C. On 23 November, (healthy grown and with third pair of leaves) into plastic pots (18 cm diameter and 15 cm depth) and the growing substrate was a mixture of brown peat and perlite 80/20 (v/v). Fertilization was performed using Hoagland’s solution (without KH₂PO₄) at 14-day intervals and watering was carried out on the basis of plant requirements (4 days interval), keeping the plants in the optimal range.

2.2. Experiment Design, Chemicals Application, and Crop Management

The experiment was established in a randomized complete block design with 10 treatments and 15 plants per treatment with a total of 150 plants. Plants were sprayed with a hand sprayer in the morning to the point of runoff from leaves (upper portion) on 8 December with gibberellic acid (GA₃, Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA) (50, 100, and 150 mg L⁻¹), humic acid (HA, Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA) (100, 300, and 600 mg L⁻¹) and ascorbic acid (AA, Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA) (50, 100, and 200 mg L⁻¹), along with the distilled water treatment as the control. Two weeks later, the second application of treatments was repeated. Plants were grown under standard agro-technical procedures including fertilizer rates, weeding, irrigation, and pest management according to plant needs.

2.3. Morphological Parameters and Ornamental Attributes

Plants were uprooted carefully 8 weeks after transplanting and the growing substrate was gently washed from the roots. Plants were divided into shoots, leaves, roots, and flowers. 10 plants per treatment were harvested and their height (cm), flower shoot length (cm), flower diameter (cm) were measured. Flower stalk/shoot diameter (mm) was measured using a Vernier caliper. The numbers of leaves and number of flowers per plant were counted. Flower display time was counted by number of days from flower opening to wilting. Leaf area per plant (cm²) was determined using a leaf area meter (LICOR-3000C Portable leaf area meter) and leaf area ratio (cm² g⁻¹) was determined by dividing the total leaf area of a plant by the dry mass of the entire plant. Ten shoot and root samples were weighed for fresh weight determination and then these samples were oven-dried at 70 °C until they reached a constant dry. Shoot and root mass fraction were measured by dividing the shoot and root fresh mass by plant mass. Root and shoot fresh mass ratios were determined. The moisture percentage was measured from the fresh and dry mass of the whole plant or shoot and root.

2.4. Leaf Gas Exchange Measurements

At the onset of flowering stage, leaf gas exchanges (the net photosynthetic rate (A), transpiration rate (E), and stomatal conductance (gs)) were recorded on 2 fully expanded leaves (random middle leaves) per plant and a total of 10 plants per treatment by the LCi gas exchange portable equipment (ADC BioScientific Ltd, Hoddesdon, UK) at 10 a.m. irradiance just close to 1200 µmol/m²/s. Leaf temperature was 25 ± 5 °C. From these parameters, water use efficiency (WUE) was also calculated as WUE = A/Gs × 1000 (µmol/mmol).

2.5. Statistical Analysis

For each randomized block (three blocks in total), 5 plants per treatment were used (total 15 plants per treatment). Experimental data were subjected to the D’Agostino&Pearson and Shapiro-Wilk normality tests. Since the data were not normally distributed, the statistical analysis was performed using non-parametric tests such as the Kruskal-Wallis test (Graphpad, Prism, USA). Sample means and significant differences among means (P ≤ 0.05) were compared using Dunn’s multiple comparisons test.
3. Results

3.1. Plant Growth and Morpho-Agronomic Parameters

The morpho-agronomic traits monitored revealed that treatments positively influenced plant growth. The GA\(_3\) was the most active in stimulating the plant development and the most effective concentration was 100 mg L\(^{-1}\), even if no significant differences were found among the GA\(_3\) concentrations. The HA and AA had a dose-response effect on plant height. After the GA\(_3\), the most efficient treatments were the AA at 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) and the HA 600 mg L\(^{-1}\). The plant height was higher in the GA\(_3\) treatments compared to the control and other treatments. On average, the plant height in the GA\(_3\) treatments was 25 cm (Figure 1A). Control plants did not grow past 10 cm of height. The plant height of HA treated plants ranged from 12.5 to 19 cm, while in the AA treatments the plant height was comprised from 12.5 to 21 cm.

Figure 1. Effect of gibberellic acid (50–100–150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA), humic acid (100–300–600 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA), and ascorbic acid (50–100–200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA) foliar applications on the Gazania (A) plant height; (B) number of leaves; (C) plant fresh mass; (D) plant dry mass; (E) shoot fresh mass; (F) shoot dry mass. Values are means ± SD (n = 15). Data were subjected to the Kruskal-Wallis test. Differences among means were determined using Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. Significant differences (P < 0.05) among bioregulators foliar treatments are indicated by different letters.
The number of leaves per plant was 2–4-fold higher in the treatments than the control. The highest leaf number was found in the treatment with 600 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA (Figure 1B), even if there were no statistical differences compared to 100 or 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) or 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA. The fresh and dry mass of plants followed the same trend of the plant heights in all treatments.

The leaf area was significantly increased in all treatments. Statistical analysis showed that leaf area and leaf area ratio data were significantly different for \(P < 0.05\). The highest values were induced by the GA\(_3\) treatments, along with 600 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA and 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA. The highest leaf area was observed in 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) with 50 cm\(^2\), which was almost double the control (Figure 2A). The higher concentrations of HA and AA showed a leaf area similar (no statistical differences) to the 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) that was 42 cm\(^2\) on average. The leaf area ratio was also significantly affected by the treatments (Figure 2B). The lowest values were found at the highest doses of the three treatments.

Statistical analysis showed that fresh and dry root biomass data were significantly different for \(P < 0.05\). The fresh roots biomass increased in all treated plants (Figure 3A), confirming the effects observed for the aerial part of the plants. The HA and AA showed dose-response results. The highest root fresh biomass was found in the treatment HA 600 mg L\(^{-1}\), followed by 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) and 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA, which showed no statistically different to 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) or 300 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA. The AA treatments were less effective, where the highest dose of 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) was not statistically different to 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\), 300 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA, or 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA. Similar trends with slight differences were observed for the roots dry mass.
were found in the 100 and 300 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA treatments or 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) ascorbic acid (50–100–200 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Differences among means were determined using Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. Significant differences (\(P < 0.05\)) among bioregulators foliar treatments are indicated by different letters.

Shoot mass fraction was only significantly higher in 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) treatment compared to the control (Figure 4A). The root mass fraction and the root-shoot ratio were lower at the higher doses of GA\(_3\) treatments or 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA compared to the other treatments (Figure 4B,C). The highest values were found in the 100 and 300 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA.

Figure 3. Effect of gibberellic acid (50–100–150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA), humic acid (100–300–600 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA), and ascorbic acid (50–100–200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA) foliar applications on the Gazania (A) root fresh mass and (B) root dry mass. Values are means ± SD (\(n = 15\)). Data were subjected to the Kruskal-Wallis test. Differences among means were determined using Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. Significant differences (\(P < 0.05\)) among bioregulators foliar treatments are indicated by different letters.

Figure 4. Effect of gibberellic acid (50–100–150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA), humic acid (100–300–600 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA), and ascorbic acid (50–100–200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA) foliar applications on the Gazania (A) shoot mass fraction and (B) root mass fraction (C) root-shoot ratio. Values are means ± SD (\(n = 15\)). Data were subjected to the Kruskal-Wallis test. Differences among means were determined using Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. Significant differences (\(P < 0.05\)) among bioregulators foliar treatments are indicated by different letters.
The flower production was positively affected by the treatments. All the treatments induced higher flower production than control (Figure 5A). The highest value was observed in 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA, with the flower number per plant above 40. The treatment with 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) also increased the number of flowers per plant, about 4-fold higher than control. Plants treated with 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA or AA produced double flowers compared with control, but lower than other treatments.

Flower life was also significantly higher in all treatments compared to the control. The most effective treatments were 100 or 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\), within 8.5–9 days of the flower’s life (Figure 5B). The HA or AA treatments showed similar values, from the lowest to the highest concentration.

Flower morphology was significantly enhanced by the treatments showing a positive effect on the flower head (Figure 6A) and shoot diameter (Figure 6C). The highest values of flower head diameter were observed at 100 or 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) treatments. The 600 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA or 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA treatments showed results similar to 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\). Wider variations were found in the flower shoot length among treatments. For this parameter, the highest value was observed in the 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\). The 50 or 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) and 600 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA showed values that were not statistically different. The lower concentrations of HA and AA did not show any effect compared to control.

The diameter of the flower shoot was higher in 100 or 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\), 600 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA, or 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA (Figure 6C). The treatment 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA was the only that did not show a significant difference compared to the control.

Shoot moisture content was around 80% in all treatments. Higher values were observed in 100 or 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) treatments and 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA treatments (Figure 7A). The root moisture content was mainly affected by the HA treatments that showed the highest values. In particular, higher values were observed at 300 and 600 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA (Figure 7B).
were observed at 300 and 600 mg L\(^{-1}\) was mainly affected by the HA treatments that showed the highest values. In particular, higher values or 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) 100 and 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) average of 3.65 mmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) The control plants showed a photosynthetic rate of 9.27 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) to 13.11 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) in the 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) treatments induced higher photosynthetic activity with values that ranged from 11.77 to 14.48 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) showed higher values. The transpiration rate was the highest in the 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) by di ascorbic acid (50–100–200 mg L\(^{-1}\)) foliar applications on the Gazania performance. 

**Figure 6.** Effect of gibberellic acid (50–100–150 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA), humic acid (100–300–600 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA), and ascorbic acid (50–100–200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA) foliar applications on the Gazania (A) flower head diameter, (B) flower shoot length and (C) flower shoot diameter. Values are means ± SD (n = 15). Data were subjected to the Kruskal-Wallis test. Differences among means were determined using Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. Significant differences (P < 0.05) among bioregulators foliar treatments are indicated by different letters.

**Figure 7.** Effect of gibberellic acid (50–100–150 mg/L GA), humic acid (100–300–600 mg/L HA), and ascorbic acid (50–100–200 mg/L AA) foliar applications on the Gazania (A) shoot moisture content and (B) root moisture content. Values are means ± SD (n = 15). Data were subjected to the Kruskal-Wallis test. Differences among means were determined using Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. Significant differences (P < 0.05) among bioregulators foliar treatments are indicated by different letters.
3.2. The Gas Exchanges Analysis

The effect of treatments on plant performance was evaluated by monitoring the leaf exchanges. The control plants showed a photosynthetic rate of 9.27 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ on average (Table 1). The GA₃ treatments induced higher photosynthetic activity with values that ranged from 11.77 to 14.48 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Table 1). The 300 and 600 mg L⁻¹ HA or 100 and 200 mg L⁻¹ AA treatments showed statistically significant higher values compared to the control. The photosynthetic activity in HA treatments was comprised of 9.52 to 12.28 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, while in the AA treatments the range was comprised of 9.81 to 13.11 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

The transpiration rate in the control plant was 2.68 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ on average and all treatments showed higher values. The transpiration rate was the highest in the 200 mg L⁻¹ AA treatment with an average of 3.65 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Table 1). The highest stomatal conductance was found in the 100 mg L⁻¹ GA₃ treatment with a value of 87 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Besides GA₃ treatments, higher values were also found in the 200 mg L⁻¹ AA treatment. The WUE calculated for each treatment showed higher values in the 100 and 150 mg L⁻¹ GA₃ treatments. The gas exchange data confirmed that GA₃ treatments were able to improve the Gazania performance.

### Table 1. Effect of exogenous application of bioregulators on physiological attributes of Gazania.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Photosynthetic Rate (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Transpiration Rate (mmol m⁻² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Stomatal Conductance (mol m⁻² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>WUE (µmol CO₂ mol⁻¹ H₂O)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>9.27 ± 0.15 c</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.11 d</td>
<td>0.053 ± 0.002 f</td>
<td>172.95 ± 4.33 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA₃ 50 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>11.77 ± 0.31 b</td>
<td>3.243 ± 0.12 b</td>
<td>0.076 ± 0.002 bc</td>
<td>155.71 ± 4.39 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA₃ 100 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>14.48 ± 0.19 a</td>
<td>3.28 ± 0.05 ab</td>
<td>0.087 ± 0.003 a</td>
<td>191.13 ± 5.35 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA₃ 150 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>13.44 ± 0.22 ab</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.09 b</td>
<td>0.083 ± 0.002 ab</td>
<td>174.10 ± 5.01 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA 100 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>9.52 ± 0.31 c</td>
<td>2.81 ± 0.08 cd</td>
<td>0.063 ± 0.002 e</td>
<td>157.02 ± 2.33 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA 300 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>10.91 ± 0.34 cd</td>
<td>3.05 ± 0.06 bcd</td>
<td>0.052 ± 0.003 f</td>
<td>162.44 ± 4.14 bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA 600 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>12.28 ± 0.35 abd</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.09 ab</td>
<td>0.079 ± 0.002 b</td>
<td>164.29 ± 3.50 bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA 50 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>9.81 ± 0.19 c</td>
<td>2.81 ± 0.08 cd</td>
<td>0.065 ± 0.002 de</td>
<td>151.43 ± 4.87 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA 100 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>11.69 ± 0.24 bd</td>
<td>3.20 ± 0.08 bc</td>
<td>0.071 ± 0.002 cd</td>
<td>163.22 ± 6.10 bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA 200 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>13.11 ± 0.47 ab</td>
<td>3.65 ± 0.34 a</td>
<td>0.083 ± 0.002 ab</td>
<td>168.78 ± 6.67 bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**P > F (Model)** | <0.0001 | 0.001 | <0.0001 | 0.000
---|---|---|---|---
| Significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes

Values are the means of fifteen replicates (plants) ± SD (n = 15). Data were subjected to the Kruskal-Wallis test. Differences among the means were determined using Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. Values with different lower-case letters within a column differ significantly at p ≤ 0.05.

4. Discussion

Plant growth regulators (PGRs) are commonly used in agriculture as agronomic tools for modulating crop performance by increasing primary and secondary metabolism. PGRs can promote growth and development, increasing the yield and quality of produce, or and can enhance tolerance against abiotic stresses [33]. Bioregulators include PGRs, as they do not only act on growth, but also on a wide range of plant biological processes.

The concentrations used in this work induced morphological and physiological responses in the treated plants, with positive effects on growth and ornamental quality.
The gibberellins are plant hormones associated with plant growth and development. There are many gibberellins in plants, but few of them are biologically active and, among them, the most used in horticulture is the GA3. The GA3 or substances with gibberellic-like activities are usually applied to improve germination, promote growth, anticipate flowering, and delay senescence.

In our experiments, the GA3 concentrations used in Gazania seem to have reached the saturation response at 100 mg L\(^{-1}\). In ornamental plants, the exogenous gibberellin applications have been mainly used for flowering induction. GA3 concentrations ranging from 100 to 1500 mg L\(^{-1}\) were effective for flowering stimulation in Philodendron ‘Black Cardinal’, Dieffenbachia maculata cv. Perfection, and Polianthes tuberose L. cv. Goldorosht Mahallat plants [34–36].

The GA3 applications have been also used for speeding up plant growth and development. The fostering of plant growth has been exploited in the ornamental sector and positive results have been obtained on Polianthes tuberose and snapdragon cut flowers [36,37]. The effect of GA treatments depends on both the species/cultivar and concentrations used [6]. Besides the shoot growth, the GA3 also increased the leaf area and number of leaves in Ficus benjamina, Schefflera arboricola, and Dizigotheeca elegantissima indoor plants [8]. These effects have been also confirmed in our experiments on Gazania rigens. In fact, the GA3 treatment effectively increased leaf and stem length in ornamental plants. The rapid development of plants has been also observed as a positive effect of the GA3 on the photosynthesis activity. In Zantedeschia elliotiana (Watson, England) ‘Black Magic’ plants treated with GA3 showed a higher content in reducing sugars during the transition to vegetative to flowering stage [38]. Although, the role of GA3 in the sugar metabolism of ornamental plants has not been investigated yet. However, the increase of morpho-anatomic parameters can be associated with the higher photosynthetic activity of plants.

HA can also stimulate plant metabolism, increasing the growth and productivity of crops. HA can be also classified as a plant biostimulant and has effects on the nutrients use efficiency or enhancement of tolerance against abiotic stresses [39]. In our experiments, HA and concentrations used showed a dose-response effect. Results obtained suggest that higher concentrations could be explored. In ornamental plants, in marigold applications of HA with concentrations ranging from 2500 to 5000 mg L\(^{-1}\) had positive effects on the increase of root biomass, number, and length of roots, as well as on stem length, flower, and leaf number [40,41]. In our experiments, the HA treatments were less effective than GA3, but were able to induce positive and significant results. The concentrations used were lower than those reported in the literature, demonstrating that the efficacy of HA can be also exploited at lower concentrations. The positive effect of HA on plant growth has been associated with the proprieties of hormone-like activities [42]. In particular, humic substances obtained from the feces of the earthworm were able to induce auxin-like responses in plants [43]. Since auxins are plant hormones that stimulate root initiation and development [33], these findings explain the effects of 100 and 300 mg L\(^{-1}\) HA treatments on root biomass stimulation observed in treated Gazania plants.

The AA is an antioxidant molecule that plays an important role in the regulation of stressful conditions in plants [44,45]. The AA is an antioxidant with the ability to donate electrons in several non-enzymatic and enzymatic reactions. It plays an important role in many physiological and biochemical processes in plants such as growth, differentiation, and metabolism. The concentration of AA especially increases during stress conditions and its main function is as a scavenger of reactive oxygen species (ROS). The action of AA is involved in the detoxification of hydrogen peroxide and is part of the ascorbate-glutathione cycle [45]. The supply of AA exogenously can increase the tolerance of plants to stress conditions and avoids the ROS accumulation. This hypothesis may explain the positive results obtained from our experiments.

The AA was particularly efficient in the stimulation flower production. This effect could be explained, considering the antioxidant effect of the AA and the interconnection with primary metabolism. In fact, 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) AA showed a photosynthetic rate was among the higher if compared with other treatments. In plants, exogenously applications of AA in tomato increased the recovery of seedlings after salinity stress [45]. Analogous results were obtained in maize plants that showed...
higher tolerance to cadmium exposure [46]. In ornamental plants, treatments of AA had a positive effect on Codiaeum variegatum L. [47], plant growth with analogous results to those observed in Gazania. The beneficial effect of the AA treatments can be observed in the inhibition of lipid peroxidation and maintenance of the cell membrane functionality. All treatments were able to improve the leaf gas exchanges with significant effects, depending on the treatments and concentrations applied. The positive effects can be due to direct and indirect actions of treatments. The GA₃ and HA could have direct effect on plant growth by increasing the primary and secondary metabolism and showed higher and significant effects compared to the control. AA instead might have had an indirect effect on plant growth and development by increasing the plant tolerance to the stressful conditions and reducing the oxidative stress in the treated plants.

Results suggest that Gazania plant production can be effectively improved with the bioregulators used here. The positive effects should also be evaluated under abiotic stresses and the ability of these treatments to counteract different abiotic stresses that can occur during plant cultivation should be evaluated.

Further investigations should be carried out for HA and AA treatments and, in particular, higher concentrations should be considered, because the results indicated that the saturation of the response was not reached at the higher concentration. However, a cost–benefit evaluation should be also taken into consideration in order to identify which treatment and concentration combination provides the highest remuneration.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, all bioregulators tested can be suggested for improving the performance of Gazania plants, in terms of plant growth, flower production, and longevity. Among them, the GA₃ 100 mg L⁻¹ was the treatment that showed the best results and could be suggested as the treatment for reducing the crop cycle and improving the ornamental value of the plants. The GA₃ application can also be suggested under limited water availability, considering the higher WUE observed. However, the HA and AA could be also used, and their choice could be done considering their costs and hence the economic sustainability in the production system.
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