

1 **Preliminary investigation on feline coronavirus presence in the reproductive tract**  
2 **of the tomcat as a potential route of viral transmission**

3 Stranieri A<sup>1,2</sup>, Probo M<sup>1,2</sup>, Pisu MC<sup>3</sup>, Fioletti A<sup>1</sup>, Meazzi S<sup>1,2</sup>, Gelain ME<sup>4</sup>,  
4 Bonsembiante F<sup>4</sup>, Lauzi S<sup>1,2</sup>, Paltrinieri S<sup>1,2</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Milan, Via Celoria 10, 20133,  
6 Milan, Italy

7 <sup>2</sup>Central Laboratory, Veterinary Teaching Hospital, University of Milan, Via  
8 dell'Università 6, 26900, Lodi, Italy

9 <sup>3</sup>Veterinary Reference Centre, Corso Francia 19, Turin, Italy

10 <sup>4</sup>Department of Comparative Biomedicine and Food Science, University of Padova,  
11 Viale dell'Università 16, 35020 Legnaro, Padova, Italy

12 **Corresponding author:** Angelica Stranieri<sup>1,2</sup>, DVM, PhD

13 <sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Milan, Via Celoria 10, 20133,  
14 Milan, Italy

15 <sup>2</sup>Central Laboratory, Veterinary Teaching Hospital, University of Milan, Via  
16 dell'Università 6, 26900, Lodi, Italy

17 **Email:** angelica.stranieri@unimi.it; **Telephone number:** +390250331174

18

19 **Keywords:** feline coronavirus; feline infectious peritonitis; tomcat reproduction; cattery  
20 management; PCR; prevention

21 **Abstract**

22 **Objectives** Feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) is an immune-mediated disease initiated by  
23 feline coronavirus (FCoV) infection. To date, the only proven route of transmission is  
24 the fecal–oral route, but a possible localization of FCoV in the reproductive tract of  
25 tomcats is of concern, due to the involvement of the male reproductive tract during FIP  
26 and to the presence of reproduction disorders in FCoV-endemic feline catteries. The aim  
27 of the study was to investigate the presence and localization of FCoV in semen and/or in  
28 the reproductive tract of tomcats, and its possible association with seroconversion and  
29 viremic phase. **Methods** Blood, serum, semen samples and/or testicles were obtained  
30 from 46 tomcats. Serology was performed on 38 serum samples, nRT-PCR and RT-  
31 qPCR were performed on 39 blood samples and on 17 semen samples, and histology,  
32 immunohistochemistry and nRT-PCR were performed on 39 testicles. **Results** Twenty-  
33 four out of 38 serum samples were positive on serology. Semen samples were negative  
34 at RT-PCR and RT-qPCR for FCoV, while all blood sample were negative at both  
35 molecular methods, except for one sample positive at RT-qPCR with a very low viral  
36 load. All testicles were negative at immunohistochemistry, while 6 were positive at  
37 nRT-PCR for FCoV. Serology and blood PCR results suggest that the virus was present  
38 in the environment, stimulating transient seroconversion. FCoV seems not to localize in  
39 the semen of tomcats, making the venereal route as a way of transmission unlikely.  
40 Although viral RNA was found in some testicles, it could not be correlated with the

41 viremic phase. *Conclusions and relevance* At the light of these preliminary results,  
42 artificial insemination appears safer than natural mating since it eliminates the direct  
43 contact between animals, thus diminishing the probabilities of fecal-oral FCoV  
44 transmission.

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 **Introduction**

59 Feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) is an immune-mediated disease of young cats. The  
60 causative agent is the feline coronavirus (FCoV), generated by a mutation of the  
61 widespread enteric pathotype, that gains the ability to replicate in macrophages, and  
62 spreads through infected monocytes.<sup>1</sup> The course of the infection depends in part upon  
63 the type and strength of the immune response of the host,<sup>2-4</sup> but environmental factors  
64 such as the level of stress and overcrowding also play a role.<sup>5</sup> FCoV infection is very  
65 common in cats; around 40% of the domestic cat population has been infected with  
66 FCoV, and this figure may increase up to 90% in multi-cat households.<sup>6,7</sup> Natural FCoV  
67 infections are transient in ~70% of cats, but persistent infections can occur in ~13% of  
68 cats,<sup>8</sup> while around 5–10% of cats are believed to be resistant to FCoV infection. In  
69 most cases, FCoV infection is asymptomatic, or results in only mild gastrointestinal  
70 clinical signs; however, in a small percentage of cases, FCoV infection results in FIP.<sup>5</sup>  
71 Asymptomatic FCoV infection was previously believed to be confined to the intestinal  
72 tract, but it is now known that healthy FCoV-infected cats can have systemic infection,  
73 albeit with lower viral loads than cats with FIP.<sup>9</sup> These recurrent phases of intestinal  
74 colonization and fecal shedding of the virus may lead to a transient localization in  
75 several organs and are followed by seroconversion and by negativization at the  
76 intestinal level.<sup>10,11</sup> During the viremic phase, it is possible that the virus localizes also

77 in the reproductive tract, and that it is shed with semen, contributing to the spread of the  
78 FCoV by coupling or by artificial insemination (AI) in breeding cats.

79 AI has nowadays become reasonably successful in the domestic cat, sufficiently so to  
80 contribute to genetic management of catteries.<sup>12</sup> Therefore, there is concern about the  
81 possibility of sexual transmission of viruses also through AI. It has been demonstrated  
82 that feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) is shed with semen, and that it can be  
83 transmitted horizontally by AI with fresh semen.<sup>13</sup> Feline leukemia virus (FeLV)  
84 infection alters hormone production in the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal system,  
85 decreasing testosterone, luteinising hormone (LH) and follicle stimulating hormone  
86 (FSH) levels, but its exact localization in the reproductive system is still unknown.<sup>14</sup>

87 The involvement of the male reproductive tract during FCoV infection has previously  
88 been described as scrotal swelling following abdominal effusion, orchitis, or  
89 priapism.<sup>15-18</sup> In all these cases, cats with FCoV in the male reproductive tract were  
90 affected by FIP. Nevertheless, the hypothesis of a possible association between FCoV  
91 infection and reproductive disorders is supported also by the presence of hypofertility,  
92 abortions and/or natimortality in FCoV-endemic catteries.<sup>1</sup> To the best of our  
93 knowledge, the localization of the FCoV in the reproductive tract of healthy cats or its  
94 presence in tomcat semen has never been demonstrated, but it could represent an  
95 important step in the process of understanding the mechanisms of FCoV transmission,  
96 as to date the only proven route of transmission is the fecal–oral route.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, the

97 aim of the study was to investigate the presence and localization of FCoV in semen  
98 and/or in the reproductive tract of healthy tomcats, and its possible association with  
99 seroconversion or with the viremic phase.

100

## 101 **Material and methods**

### 102 *Sample collection*

103 Blood, serum, semen and/or testicles were obtained from 46 cats aging from 6 months  
104 to 4 years. Seven cats were tomcats from breeding catteries whose semen samples were  
105 collected for AI purposes. All the remaining cats were client-owned, except two stray  
106 cats. One of these latter underwent orchiectomy after being placed in a shelter, the other  
107 was found severely injured and euthanized.

108 Blood samples were available if routine hematology and/or biochemistry were  
109 performed prior to semen collection and/or surgery. After routine diagnostic procedures  
110 performed at the site of collection, blood or serum samples, when available, were  
111 immediately frozen and periodically sent to the Laboratory of the Veterinary Teaching  
112 Hospital of the University of Milan in cold chain. Semen samples were collected as  
113 described below, either for AI purposes or before orchiectomy, with the owner's  
114 informed consent.

115 Testicle samples were obtained after orchiectomy from all the cats except two, whose  
116 testicles were collected during necropsy performed for diagnostic purposes.

117 Immediately after collection, half testicle was frozen in plain tubes while the other half  
118 was collected into 10% neutral-buffered formalin for histological and  
119 immunohistochemical examination. For the two cats on which necropsy was performed,  
120 tissue samples grossly affected by lesions were also collected into 10% neutral-buffered  
121 formalin for histology and immunohistochemistry to reach a definitive diagnosis.  
122 The study protocol was approved by the IACUC of the University of Milan (approval  
123 number 109/2016).

#### 124 *Semen collection*

125 Semen samples were collected at the Veterinary Reference Centre (Turin, Italy) via  
126 urethral catheterization using an injectable anesthesia protocol with 0.2 mg/kg  
127 methadone (Semfortan, Dechra) and 5 µg/kg dexmedetomidine (Dexdomitor; Pfizer  
128 Italia) premedication, followed by induction with 2 mg/kg propofol (Propovet, Esteve  
129 Veterinaria) to effect.<sup>19</sup> Immediately after collection, semen samples were frozen and  
130 sent to our laboratory maintaining the cold chain for molecular biology processing.

#### 131 *Serology*

132 Anti-FCoV antibodies titres were assessed using an indirect immunofluorescence test  
133 performed on 10 multi-well slides produced at the University of Zurich according to  
134 Osterhaus et al,<sup>20</sup> by coating each well with  $4.5 \times 10^3$  PD-5 cells, half of which were  
135 infected with swine transmissible gastroenteritis virus (serologically cross-reacting with  
136 FCoVs). Twofold dilutions (1:25 to 1:400) of each serum sample were prepared and 20

137  $\mu\text{L}$  of each dilution was applied to the wells. After incubation for 30 minutes at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  in  
138 a moist chamber, slides were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), dried and  
139  $15 \mu\text{L}$  of fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated rabbit-anticat immunoglobulin (Nordic  
140 Immunological Laboratories, Tilburg, The Netherlands) was added to each well. After  
141 incubation for 30 minutes at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  in a moist chamber, slides were washed, dried and  
142 observed on a fluorescence microscope. Dilutions were judged as positive when  
143 showing a clear fluorescent signal in about half of the cells. Samples that were still  
144 positive at a 1:400 dilution were further diluted on a twofold basis until negativization.

145 *RNA extraction, nRT-PCR and RT-qPCR*

146 RNA was obtained from blood and testicle samples using a NucleoSpin RNA kit  
147 (Macherey-Nagel, Bethlehem, PA). Fifty  $\mu\text{L}$  of blood were suspended in  $300 \mu\text{L}$  of  
148 RA1 lysis buffer, while 20 mg of testicles were thinly shredded on sterile plates using  
149 sterile scalpels, followed by vigorous vortexing in RA1 lysis buffer until completely  
150 dissolved. All the further steps were performed according to the manufacturer's  
151 instruction.

152 RNA was obtained from semen using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen Corporation,  
153 Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to Das et al.<sup>21</sup> Samples (starting mean volume:  $50 \mu\text{L}$ ; 8-  
154  $100 \mu\text{L}$ ) were centrifuged (5 min at  $7000 \times g$ ) and the supernatant was discarded. The  
155 resulting pellets were washed two times using  $100 \mu\text{L}$  of phosphate buffer saline (PBS)  
156 for 5 minutes at  $7000 \times g$ . To each sample, a volume of TRIzol (Thermo Fischer



157 Scientific, Waltham, USA) equal to 10 times the starting volume of semen was added.  
158 After incubation for 5 minutes, 200  $\mu$ L of chloroform for each ml of TRIzol were added  
159 to each sample. After vortexing and incubating at room temperature for 3 minutes,  
160 samples were centrifuged (15 min at 12000 x g at 4°C) and the resulting aqueous phase  
161 was transferred in RNase free tubes. Then, 500  $\mu$ L of isopropyl alcohol every mL of  
162 TRIzol were added to each sample followed by 10 minutes incubation at room  
163 temperature. After centrifugation (10 min at 12000 x g at 4°C) the resulting supernatant  
164 was eliminated and to each resulting pellet 1 mL of 75% ethanol was added. After  
165 centrifugation (5 min at 12000 x g at 4°C), supernatant was discarded, and the sample  
166 was dried for 10-15 minutes at room temperature. The pellet was then suspended in 30  
167  $\mu$ L of RNase free water and incubated at 55 °C for 10 minutes. RNA samples were then  
168 frozen at -80°C or immediately used for nRT-PCR.

169 A reverse transcription nested PCR (RT-nPCR) targeting a 177 bp product of the highly  
170 conserved 3' untranslated region (3' UTR) of the genome of both type I and type II  
171 FCoV was used.<sup>10</sup> RT-nPCR positive FCoV RNA from a cat with FIP was used as  
172 positive control and RNase-free water as negative control. PCR products were  
173 visualized under UV transilluminator on a 1.5 % agarose gel stained with ethidium  
174 bromide.

175 Quantitative RT-qPCR targeting a 102 bp product of the 7b gene of FCoV was  
176 performed on blood and semen samples as previously described<sup>22</sup> with minor

177 modifications. Threshold cycle ( $C_T$ ) number was used as the measure of viral load. The  
178 lower the  $C_T$ , the more virus is present in the sample.

### 179 *Histopathology and immunohistochemistry*

180 Formalin fixed samples were sent to the department of Comparative Biomedicine and  
181 Food Science of the University of Padova for histology and immunohistochemistry  
182 (IHC). Sections (3  $\mu\text{m}$ ) obtained from paraffine embedded samples were prepared and  
183 stained with haematoxylin–eosin for histology with an automated stainer (Autostainer  
184 XL, Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany). For IHC, 3  $\mu\text{m}$  paraffin sections were  
185 placed on surface-coated slides (Superfrost Plus). Slides were incubated at 37° C for 30  
186 min before the immunostaining performed with an automatic immunostainer (Ventana  
187 Benchmark XT, Roche-Diagnostics), which uses a kit with a secondary  
188 antibody with a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated polymer that binds  
189 mouse and rabbit primary antibodies (ultraViews Universal DAB, Ventana Medical  
190 System). All reagents were dispensed automatically except for the primary antibody,  
191 which was dispensed by hand. A mouse monoclonal antibody against the feline  
192 coronavirus was used as primary antibody (clone FIPV3-70 Serotec, Oxford UK).

## 193 **Results**

### 194 *Caseload*

195 The caseload included 31 Domestic Shorthair cats, 6 Maine Coon, 3 Sphynx and 1 each  
196 for the following breeds: Holy Birman, Chartreux, Norwegian Forest Cat, Persian,

197 Ragdoll, Scottish fold. The age ranged from 6 to 48 months (mean: 11,6; median: 7,5  
198 months). The type of samples collected in the 46 cats included in this study is  
199 summarized in table 1. Seventeen semen samples were collected: in all these cases  
200 additional samples from the same cats were available (serum, blood and testicle in 7  
201 cases; serum and blood in 3 cases; serum in 2 cases; blood and testicle in 2 cases; blood  
202 in 2 cases; serum and testicle in 1 case).

203 A total of 39 testicles were collected, 24 of which were collected along with a blood and  
204 serum sample. The remaining testicles were collected along with blood, serum and  
205 semen (7 cats), with blood and semen (2 cats), alone (3 cats), with serum (1 cat), with  
206 serum and semen (1 cat), with blood only (1 cat).

207 *Serology, PCR and immunoistochemistry*

208 Results obtained for each test are shown in table 2. Fourteen out of the 38 cats for which  
209 serum was available were negative on serology, with an antibody titer lower than the  
210 cut-off of 1:50, which is the threshold of positivity of our laboratory, while 7/38 cats  
211 showed an antibody titer of 1:50. The remaining 17 cats showed variable antibody  
212 titers: specifically the antibody titer was 1:100 in 7 cats, 1:200 in 6 cats, 1:400 in 3 cats  
213 and 1:800 in 1 cat.

214 All the 17 semen samples were negative at both the nRT-PCR and the RT-qPCR for  
215 FCoV. All the 39 blood samples were negative at the nRT-PCR and at the RT-qPCR,

216 except for one blood sample that was FCoV positive only using RT-qPCR, with a very  
217 high  $C_T$  value ( $C_T$  38.9).

218 Regarding testicles, all the cats were negative at immunohistochemistry for FCoV,  
219 while six were positive at the nRT-PCR for FCoV. All the cats from which testicles  
220 were collected while alive, were healthy during orchietomy, except for one cat (n° 43)  
221 which was affected by congenital portosystemic shunt. For two cats (n° 42 and 43)  
222 serum and blood were not available, therefore serology was not performed. Antibody  
223 titers of the remaining cats with PCR positive testicles were negative (cat n°5); 1:100  
224 (cat n°18); 1:200 (cat n°15) and 1:400 (cat n°29). Interestingly, the only cat affected by  
225 FIP, as confirmed by positive immunohistochemistry for FCoV on brain and  
226 cerebellum, gave a negative result both with immunohistochemistry and PCR on  
227 testicles.

## 228 **Discussion**

229 FCoV RNA was never detected by nRT-PCR in the blood samples obtained from the  
230 cats examined in this study and only one out of 39 blood samples was identified as  
231 positive by RT-qPCR. The very high  $C_T$  value of the positive sample suggests that the  
232 concentration of viral RNA in the sample was extremely low. The RT-qPCR positivity  
233 resulted in a seronegative cat and this is in accordance with FCoV infection kinetic.<sup>23</sup>  
234 Antibody titers were variable even though with medium-low titers mostly, while titers  
235 higher than 1:200 were found only in few cases. Taken together, results of serology and

236 blood PCR suggest that the virus was present in the environment and stimulated  
237 transient seroconversion in some of the cats. Positive serology in cats without viral  
238 RNA in blood is in fact unlikely to be imputable to a low viral load in blood because  
239 samples were analyzed by RT-qPCR, which is a very sensitive method, and it is more  
240 likely that results are due to the characteristics of FCoV-host interactions.<sup>4,10,24</sup> It is also  
241 possible that an infected cat could not be identified with PCR on blood if the virus was  
242 present in the intestinal tract only. Unfortunately, our study design did not include fecal  
243 sampling and it is therefore impossible to confirm that seropositive and PCR-negative  
244 cats were shedding the virus with feces. However, positive serology demonstrates that  
245 the cats included in this study had been in contact with the virus, since cats may remain  
246 positive also after the clearance of the virus. In particular, antibodies against feline  
247 coronavirus are typically fluctuating and cats, especially those from multi-cat  
248 environments, alternate serological negativities and positivities, corresponding with  
249 reinfection episodes.<sup>11,25</sup> From this perspective, and considering that anti-FCoV  
250 antibodies are found in cats with viral RNA both in feces and tissues of healthy animals  
251 and in FIP affected cats,<sup>11,26,27</sup> the medium-high antibody titers recorded in some of the  
252 cats of the current study may indicate that these cats had been or still were FCoV  
253 infected at the moment of sampling, and therefore it is possible that they were harboring  
254 the virus in tissues. This hypothesis is supported by the finding that some testicles were  
255 RT-PCR positive, but always negative at immunohistochemistry. This is not surprising,

256 since PCR is characterized by a higher analytical sensitivity compared to  
257 immunohistochemistry.<sup>28,29</sup> On the other hand, RT-PCR is performed on homogenized  
258 samples, thus not allowing to determine which cellular line composing the testicle was  
259 infected.

260 It is important to highlight that only one of the cats with viral RNA in the testicle and  
261 with available serum was seronegative, while all the other cats with PCR-positive  
262 testicles had titers ranging from 1:100 to 1:400. On the light of what discussed above,  
263 this may be explained by two hypotheses. The first hypothesis is that the cats were  
264 viremic but with a blood viral load too low to be detected by standard PCR and the virus  
265 was present only in the vessels or in the plasma contained in the testicle, but the  
266 examination with RT-qPCR which is more sensitive than standard PCR makes this  
267 hypothesis unlikely as well as the fact that the only viremic cat, even if with a very low  
268 viral load, was PCR negative on testicles. Another hypothesis, as already demonstrated,  
269 is that the examined section for IHC did not include the cells infected by FCoV, which  
270 were present in the sections used for RT-PCR instead.<sup>2,3</sup> Anyway, the section used for  
271 PCR was carefully handled to avoid hematic contamination as much as possible and  
272 therefore it is unlikely that testicles were falsely positive due to contaminating FCoV  
273 genome. Also, the presence of FCoV in the testicular vessels would not explain why the  
274 same positivity was not found on blood, from which a larger amount of sample was  
275 used for RNA extraction. The most likely hypothesis is that the virus was isolated in the

276 testicular compartment through the blood-testis barrier, as already demonstrated with  
277 the blood-brain barrier, thus explaining the discordant results between peripheral blood  
278 and testicles.<sup>30</sup>

279 Interestingly, the only FIP affected cat resulted negative at RT-PCR on testicles. While  
280 it was not possible to perform serology and PCR on blood, several tissues of this cats  
281 were analyzed for diagnostic purposes. All the tissues examined were negative both at  
282 PCR and IHC, except for brain and cerebellum, which were the only organs harboring  
283 the typical FIP lesions along with intralésional antigen, and a mesenteric lymph node,  
284 which was positive at PCR only. This finding supports the evidence of a higher  
285 analytical sensitivity of RT-PCR but also the fact that positive PCR results does not  
286 allow to distinguish between FIP affected and FCoV infected healthy cats.<sup>4,29</sup> Moreover,  
287 the absence of typical histological lesions as well as of positive IHC demonstrates that  
288 genital involvement is rare during FIP, especially in non-effusive and localized forms,  
289 and probably also the testicle involvement in FCoV infected healthy cats.<sup>17,18</sup>

290 None of the semen samples were RT-PCR and RT-qPCR positive for FCoV. Only in  
291 one cat for which both testicles and semen were available, results were discordant, with  
292 positive RT-PCR on testicle but negative on semen. It cannot also be excluded that the  
293 virus was present on the stromal or vascular tissues of the testicle and not in germinal  
294 cells, leading to a negative PCR result on semen. Unfortunately, the negative results in  
295 IHC, likely due to the low amount of virus as hypothesized above, does not allow us to

296 further elucidate this aspect. It is important also to consider that the diagnostic  
297 sensitivity of RT-PCR and RT-qPCR on feline semen is unknown; in this study we  
298 applied the method of RNA extraction from semen that is described to have the best  
299 analytical sensitivity in comparison with other methods.<sup>31</sup> Therefore, although unlikely,  
300 since this method has been successfully used in other studies, the presence of false  
301 negative results cannot be excluded.<sup>32</sup> Moreover, most of the cats from which semen  
302 was tested, were also seronegative or with low antibody titers. Even though  
303 seronegative cats cannot be considered free from infection for the already discussed  
304 kinetics of both the virus and the antibody responses, it is possible that cats were not  
305 viremic and that the virus was not systemically spread or localized in some organs at the  
306 time of semen collection.<sup>3</sup> Unfortunately, for the only cat RT-qPCR positive on blood,  
307 semen sample was not available.

### 308 **Conclusion**

309 Even if PCR positive results on testicles may suggest the venereal route as a potential  
310 way of FCoV transmission, FCoV seems not to localize in the semen of tomcats,  
311 therefore the venereal route as a way of transmission seems to be unlikely. Viral RNA  
312 found in testicles could not be correlated with viremic phases, but this finding needs to  
313 be confirmed. At the light of these results, AI seems safer than natural mating,  
314 eliminating the contact between animals and diminishing the probabilities of fecal-oral  
315 FCoV transmission. In light of the limited number of available semen samples and of



316 the fact that samples were obtained almost exclusively from healthy cats, it would be  
317 useful to evaluate these data in a FCoV endemic population to have more chance to  
318 detect viremic cats, which may possibly harbor FCoV also in semen. In addition, the  
319 presence of higher antibody titers may allow to evaluate the potential use of serology as  
320 an indicator of viral localization in tissue/semen. Therefore, further studies on a higher  
321 number of samples and evaluating differences in semen and testicles of cats with higher  
322 antibody titers or with positive RT-PCR on blood are needed.

323

324 **Acknowledgments:** none

325 **Funding:** The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or  
326 publication of this article.

327 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect  
328 to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### 329 **References**

- 330 1. Pedersen, N.C., 2009. A review of feline infectious peritonitis virus infection:  
331 1963–2008. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 11, 225–258.
- 332 2. Kipar, A., Bellmann, S., Kremendahl, J., Köhler, K and Reinacher, M., 1998.  
333 Cellular composition, coronavirus antigen expression and production of specific  
334 antibodies in lesions in feline infectious peritonitis. *Vet Immunol*  
335 *Immunopathol.* 65, 243–257.

- 336 3. Paltrinieri, S., Cammarata, M.P., Cammarata, G., Comazzi, S., 1998. Some  
337 aspects of humoral and cellular immunity in naturally occurring feline infectious  
338 peritonitis. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* 65(2-4), 205-220.
- 339 4. Pedersen, N.C., 2014. An update on feline infectious peritonitis: virology and  
340 immunopathogenesis. *Vet. J.* 201, 123-132.
- 341 5. Tasker, S., 2018. Diagnosis of feline infectious peritonitis: Update on evidence  
342 supporting available tests. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 20(3), 228-243.
- 343 6. Addie, D.D., Jarrett, O., 1992. A study of naturally occurring feline coronavirus  
344 infections in kittens. *Vet. Rec.* 130, 133-137.
- 345 7. Addie, D.D., 2000. Clustering of feline coronaviruses in multicat households.  
346 *Vet. J.* 159, 8-9.
- 347 8. Addie, D.D., 2012. Feline coronavirus infections, in: Greene, C.E. (Ed.),  
348 *Infectious diseases of the dog and cat.* 4th ed, Elsevier, St Louis, MO, pp. 92–  
349 108.
- 350 9. Kipar, A., Baptiste, K., Barth, A, Reinacher, M., 2006. Natural FCoV infection:  
351 cats with FIP exhibit significantly higher viral loads than healthy infected cats.  
352 *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 8, 69-72.
- 353 10. Herrewegh, A.A., de Groot, R.J., Cepica, A., Egberink, A.F., Horzinek, M.C.,  
354 Rottier, P.J., 1995. Detection of feline coronavirus RNA in feces, tissues, and

- 355 body fluids of naturally infected cats by reverse transcriptase PCR. *J. Clin,*  
356 *Microbiol.* 33, 684-689.
- 357 11. Addie, D.D., Jarrett, O., 2001. Use of a reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain  
358 reaction for monitoring the shedding of feline coronavirus by healthy cats. *Ver.*  
359 *Rec.* 148(21), 649-653.
- 360 12. Pelican, K.M., Wildt, D.E., Pukazhenthil, B., Howard, J., 2006. Ovarian control  
361 for assisted reproduction in the domestic cat and wild felids. *Theriogenology.*  
362 66(1), 37-48.
- 363 13. Jordan, H.L., Howard, J., Sellon, R.K., Wildt, D.E., Tompkins, W.A., Kennedy-  
364 Stoskopf, S., 1996. Transmission of feline immunodeficiency virus in domestic  
365 cats via artificial insemination. *J. Virol.* 70(11), 8224-8228.
- 366 14. Dejuqcq, N., Jegou, B., 2001. Viruses in the Mammalian Male Genital Tract and  
367 Their Effects on the Reproductive System. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 65(2),  
368 208-231.
- 369 15. Andrew, S.E., 2000. Feline infectious peritonitis. *Vet. Clin. North. Am. Small.*  
370 *Anim. Pract.* 30, 987-1000.
- 371 16. Foster, R.A., Caswell, J.L., Rinkardt, N., 1996. Chronic fibrinous and necrotic  
372 orchitis in a cat. *Can. Vet. J.* 37(11), 681-982.
- 373 17. Sigurðardóttir, O.G., Kolbjørnsen, O., Lutz, H., 2001. Orchitis in a cat  
374 associated with coronavirus infection. *J. Comp. Pathol.* 124, 219-222.

- 375 18. Rota, A., Paltrinieri, S., Jussich, S., Ubertalli, G., Appino, S., 2008. Priapism in  
376 a castrated cat associated with feline infectious peritonitis. *J. Feline Med.*  
377 *Surg.*10(2), 181-184.
- 378 19. Pisu, M.C., Ponzio, P., Rovella, C., Baravalle, M., Veronesi, M.C., 2017.  
379 Usefulness of an injectable anaesthetic protocol for semen collection through  
380 urethral catheterisation in domestic cats. *J. Feline Med. Surg.*19(10), 1087-1090.
- 381 20. Osterhaus, A.D., Horzinek, M.C., Reynolds, D.J., 1977. Seroepidemiology of  
382 feline infectious peritonitis virus infections using transmissible gastroenteritis  
383 virus as antigen. *Zentralbl Veterinarmed* 1977; B 24, 835-841.
- 384 21. Das, P.J., Paria, N., Gustafson-Seabury, A., Vishnoi, M., Chaki, S.P., Love,  
385 C.C., Varner, D.D., Chowdhary, B.P., Raudsepp, T., 2010. Total RNA isolation  
386 from stallion sperm and testis biopsies. *Theriogenology*. 74(6), 1099-1106.
- 387 22. Gut, M., Leutenegger, C.M., Huder, J.B., Pedersen, N.C. and Lutz, H., 1999.  
388 One-tube fluorogenic reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction for the  
389 quantitation of feline coronaviruses. *J Virol Methods*, 77(1), 37-46.
- 390 23. Gunn-Moore, D.A., Gruffydd-Jones, T.J. and Harbour, D.A., 1998. Detection of  
391 feline coronaviruses by culture and reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain  
392 reaction of blood samples from healthy cats and cats with clinical feline  
393 infectious peritonitis. *Vet Microbiol*, 62(3), 193-205.

- 394 24. Doenges, S.J., Weber, K., Dorsch, R., Fux, R., Hartmann, K., 2017. Comparison  
395 of real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction of peripheral blood  
396 mononuclear cells, serum and cell-free body cavity effusion for the diagnosis of  
397 feline infectious peritonitis. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 19(4), 344-350.
- 398 25. Foley, J.E., Poland, A., Carlson, J., Pedersen, N.C., 1997. Risk factors for feline  
399 infectious peritonitis among cats in multiple-cat environments with endemic  
400 feline enteric coronavirus. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 210(9), 1313-1318.
- 401 26. Addie, D.D., Paltrinieri, S., Pedersen, N.C., 2004. Recommendations from  
402 workshops of the second international feline coronavirus/feline infectious  
403 peritonitis symposium. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 6(2), 125-130.
- 404 27. Meli, M.L., Burr, P., Decaro, N., Graham, E., Jarrett, O., Lutz, H., McDonald,  
405 M., Addie, D.D., 2013. Samples with high virus load cause a trend toward lower  
406 signal in feline coronavirus antibody tests. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 15(4), 295-299.
- 407 28. Kipar, A., Meli, M.L., Baptiste, K.E., Bowker, L.J., Lutz, H., 2010. Sites of  
408 feline coronavirus persistence in healthy cats. *J. Gen. Virol.* 91, 1698-1707.
- 409 29. Porter, E., Tasker, S., Day, M.J., Harley, R., Kipar, A., Siddell, S.G., Helps,  
410 C.R., 2014. Amino acid changes in the spike protein of feline coronavirus  
411 correlate with systemic spread of virus from the intestine and not with feline  
412 infectious peritonitis. *Vet. Res.* 45, 1-11.

- 413 30. Doenges, S.J., Weber, K., Dorsch, R., Fux, R., Fischer, A., Matiasek, L.A.,  
414 Matiasek, K., Hartmann, K., 2016. Detection of feline coronavirus in  
415 cerebrospinal fluid for diagnosis of feline infectious peritonitis in cats with and  
416 without neurological signs. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 18(2), 104-109.
- 417 31. Hoffmann, B., Schulz, C., Beer, M., 2013. First detection of Schmallenberg  
418 virus RNA in bovine semen, Germany. *Vet Microbiol.* 167(3-4), 289-295.
- 419 32. Vanbinst, T., Vandenbussche, F., Dernelle, E., De Clercq, K., 2010. A duplex  
420 real-time RT-PCR for the detection of bluetongue virus in bovine semen. *J.*  
421 *Virolog. Methods.* 169(1), 162-168.
- 422 33. Meli, M.L., Kipar, A., Müller, C., Jenal, K., Gönczi, E., Borel, N., Gunn-Moore  
423 D., Chalmersf, S., Linf, F., Reinacher, M., Lutz, H., 2004. High viral loads  
424 despite absence of clinical and pathological findings in cats experimentally  
425 infected with feline coronavirus (FCoV) type I and in naturally FCoV-infected  
426 cats. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 6(2),69-81.

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436 Table 1. Data on signalment, type of sample collected from the cats and included in this  
437 study.

<b>N°</b>	<b>Breed</b>	<b>Age (months)</b>	<b>Samples</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Persian	12	Blood, serum, testicle	24
2	ES	6	Blood, serum, testicle	
3	ES	7	Blood, serum, testicle	
4	ES	6	Blood, serum, testicle	
5	ES	6	Blood, serum, testicle	
6	ES	8	Blood, serum, testicle	
7	ES	6	Blood, serum, testicle	
8	ES	6	Blood, serum, testicle	
9	ES	7	Blood, serum, testicle	
10	ES	6	Blood, serum, testicle	
11	ES	7	Blood, serum, testicle	
12	ES	7	Blood, serum, testicle	
13	ES	8	Blood, serum, testicle	
14	ES	7	Blood, serum, testicle	
15	ES	7	Blood, serum, testicle	
16	ES	9	Blood, serum, testicle	
17	ES	8	Blood, serum, testicle	

18	ES	7	Blood, serum, testicle	
19	ES	24	Blood, serum, testicle	
20	ES	6	Blood, serum, testicle	
21	ES	7	Blood, serum, testicle	
22	Birman	9	Blood, serum, testicle	
23	ES	36	Blood, serum, testicle	
24	Sphynx	11	Blood, serum, testicle	
25	Ragdoll	13	Blood, serum, semen, testicle	
26	ES	24	Blood, serum, semen, testicle	
27	Sphynx	11	Blood, serum, semen, testicle	
28	ES	6	Blood, serum, semen, testicle	7
29	Maine Coon	14	Blood, serum, semen, testicle	
30	Scottish gold	11	Blood, serum, semen, testicle	
31	ES	7	Blood, serum, semen, testicle	
32	Maine Coon	27	Blood, serum, semen	
33	Chartreux	9	Blood, serum, semen	3
34	Maine Coon	48	Blood, serum, semen	
35	Norwegian Forest cat	12	Serum, semen	2
36	Sphynx	10	Serum, semen	
37	Maine Coon	18	Blood, semen	2
38	Maine Coon	30	Blood, semen	
39	Maine Coon	25	Blood, semen, testicle	2
40	ES	7	Blood, semen, testicle	
41	ES	6	Testicle	3
42	ES	7	Testicle	
43	ES	6	Testicle	
44	ES	8	Serum, Testicle	1
45	ES	7	Serum, Semen, Testicle	1
46	ES	6	Blood, Testicle	1

438

439 Table 2. Results of the test performed on each cat involved in the study.

N°	Serology	RT-nPCR			RT-qPCR		IHC
		Blood	Semen	Testicle	Blood	Semen	



4	1:800	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
8	1:400	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
9	1:400	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
15	1:200	neg	na	pos	neg	na	neg
6	1:200	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
17	1:200	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
22	1:200	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
24	1:200	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
2	1:100	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
10	1:100	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
12	1:100	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
18	1:100	neg	na	pos	neg	na	neg
21	1:100	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
14	1:50	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
20	1:50	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
23	1:50	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
1	1:25	neg	na	neg	pos	na	neg
3	<1:25	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
5	<1:25	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
7	<1:25	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
11	<1:25	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
13	<1:25	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
16	<1:25	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg
19	<1:25	neg	na	pos	neg	na	neg
29	1:400	neg	neg	pos	neg	neg	neg
27	1:100	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg
25	1:50	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg
26	1:50	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg
28	1:50	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg
30	1:50	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg
31	<1:50	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg
32	<1:25	neg	neg	na	neg	neg	na
33	<1:25	neg	neg	na	neg	neg	na
34	<1:25	neg	neg	na	neg	neg	na
36	1:200	na	neg	na	na	neg	na

35	<1:25	na	neg	na	na	neg	na
44	1:200	na	na	neg	na	na	neg
45	<1:50	na	neg	neg	na	neg	neg
37	na	neg	neg	na	neg	neg	na
38	na	neg	neg	na	neg	neg	na
39	na	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg
40	na	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg
41	na	na	na	neg	na	na	neg
42	na	na	na	pos	na	na	neg
43	na	na	na	pos	na	na	neg
46	na	neg	na	neg	neg	na	neg

440

441 nRT-PCR: nested reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction; RT-qPCR reverse

442 transcriptase-quantitative polymerase chain reaction; IHC: immunohistochemistry for

443 FCoV; na: specimen not available; neg: negative; pos: positive.

444

445