Application of Ambulatory Phonation Monitoring (APM) in the measurement of daily speaking-time and voice intensity before and after cochlear implant in deaf adult patients
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Objective:} to evaluate the changes in daily voice production, analysed through the Ambulatory Phonation Monitoring (APM), and their relationship with Quality of Life (QOL) measurements in a group of profound deaf patients treated with Cochlear Implant (CI).

\textbf{Methods:} A total of 12 consecutive post-lingual deaf patients (8 females and 4 males) treated with CI for bilateral severe-to-profound hearing loss were enrolled. Each patient was evaluated before and after 6 months of CI use. In particular, the daily voice production evaluation was performed using the APM, while QOL information were gathered from the Italian version of the Nijmegen Cochlear Implant Questionnaire (I-NCIQ).

\textbf{Results:} Significant differences in the APM results obtained before and after CI were found. In particular, a significant decrease of the mean amplitude and a significant increase of the daily phonation time and percentage of phonation time were demonstrated after CI use in all the patients. A significant improvement in the I-NCIQ scores was demonstrated after CI use and significant correlations among I-NCIQ scores and the APM parameters were found.

\textbf{Conclusions:} The APM could be useful in the evaluation of the benefits of cochlear implantation and may represents an indicator of deaf patient participation. In addition, the daily voice production’s modifications after CI and their significant relations with the changes in QOL measurements could be useful in treatment planning as well as during pre- and post-operative counselling.

© 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Hearing is crucial in everyday human activities since it is involved in auditory scene analysis, noise and sound source localization, speech understanding, and voice and speech production. In particular, hearing provides feedback and feedforward control over voice production [1]. Feedback control allows for corrections in phonation using the sensory information acquired while the task is in progress. Feedforward control allows for voice production based on previously learned commands without needing constant auditory feedback [1]. Thus, bilateral profound sensorineural hearing loss could lead to serious health implications since it may impact not only on hearing, but indirectly also on voice...
production [2,3]. Bilateral profound sensorineural hearing loss, in fact, produces negative effects on the production of suprasegmental aspects of speech and on the vocal parameters of deaf individuals, such as deviations in fundamental frequency (F0), changes in formant frequencies, variations in vocal intensity, and changes in resonance, length, and duration of speech [4–7]. In addition, adults with untreated hearing loss are more frequently affected by sadness, depression, anxiety, social isolation, insecurity and experience decreased social participation [2,8].

Auditory rehabilitation can potentially reverse these adverse effects [9] and it has been found that hearing restoration has positive effect on voice quality and on quality of life (QOL) [1,10,11]. Voice changes after cochlear implantation have long been known and studied. Previous studies have reported significant modification in acoustic parameters such as reduction of F0 values in adults after Cochlear Implantation (CI) [12]. More recently, it has been found a significant reduction in overall severity, strain, loudness, and instability of the voice in auditory perceptual analysis in adults after CI [13]. In addition, voice changes after CI have been demonstrated through longitudinal studies also in children [14–17]. Although previous authors analysed changes in voice quality in bilateral profound sensorineural hearing loss treated with CI, all previous studies focused on voice production lasting few seconds and no data are available on voice production during a normal day of CI patients. Yet the voice production could be modified by changes in the hearing abilities and it is also possible that improvement in the ability to produce voice could play an important role in the QOL modifications after CI.

In the last years, new methods for voice production monitoring has been developed. In particular, the ambulatory phonation monitoring (APM model 3200 by KayPENTAX; Lincoln Park, NJ) equipment [18,19] has been developed and commercialized to measure long-term phonation time, average and mode F0, mean amplitude of voice production throughout a sustained period of time, such a full working day [20]. The APM uses portable vocal dosimetry composed by an accelerometer placed along the anterior neck which measures the vibrations from the vocal folds through the tissues of the neck and converts into sound pressure levels of speech (SPL decibels). Phonation measured in this way has been shown to be relatively insensitive to surrounding sounds [21]. In addition, dosimetry allows quantification of all types of sound production thus recognizing volitional voice from other behaviors such as throat clearing or coughing. Moreover, it records only the amount of voicing produced but not the actual content of the speech, facilitating quantification without compromising privacy [21].

The APM has never been applied in the evaluation of CI patients. The aim of this study is to objectively evaluate the changes in voice production using a new methodology, the APM (an accelerometer, able to collected data on phonation time, frequency and amplitude during an entire day), and to study their relationship with QOL measurements in a group of profound deaf patients treated with CI. The underlying hypothesis is that the restoration of hearing function may determine an increase of the daily phonation time and a decrease of voice amplitude.

The relevance of this study lies in the fact that, by objectively analyse the daily voice production modification after CI, the clinicians could provide additional support on the efficacy of the CI in the treatment of deafness and further evaluate the impact of CI on daily communication and voice. Data on phonation time, in fact, could represent an indirect measure of participation in communication, while the modifications of voice amplitude after CI could inform about the changes in vocal attitude of deaf patients. Besides, the knowledge of the modification of voice production after CI and its relationship with QOL measurements might help clinicians in pre-operative counselling.

2. Materials and methods

In this single-case experimental study a group of post-lingual deaf patients was evaluated. The study was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki and it was previously approved by the Institutional Review Board of our hospital.

2.1. Population

Clinical data were obtained from 12 consecutive post-lingual deaf patients (8 females and 4 males) treated with CI for bilateral severe-to-profound hearing loss by the same surgeon in our centre. The most frequent aetiology of deafness was meningitis followed by deafness of unknown origin. Mean length of hearing impairment was 8.3 ± 3.2 years (range 6–11 years). The average age at CI surgery was 47.3 ± 13.7 years (range 34–74 years). All the patients received unilateral CI, none of them used combined electric-acoustic stimulation in the implanted ear and none of them continued to wear a hearing aid on the ear contralateral to the CI ear. Each patient enrolled in the study gave his/her written informed consent. All the patients underwent auditory rehabilitation after CI. Exclusion criteria were: reading limitations of any origin, speech disorders due to malformation, acquired damages to the speech organ, motor speech disorders, voice disorders of any origin besides deafness, intraoperative complications, difficulty in CI fitting, associated disability.

2.2. Outcome measures

2.2.1. Voice production evaluation

The ambulatory phonation monitoring (APM model 3200 by KayPENTAX; Lincoln Park, NJ) equipment [18,19] was used to measure voice production before and after 6 months of CI use. Each patient was asked to identify a “typical” day on which the measurements could be performed. Before starting each new recording, a sound pressure level (SPL) calibration was performed using a microphone positioned 15 cm from the subject’s mouth. The acquired data included:

- Phonation time (in minutes): express the time during which the vocal folds have been in phonatory vibration.
- Percentage of phonation time: expresses the percentage of the recording time during which the vocal folds have been in phonatory vibration.


- Average $F_0$ (in Hertz): expresses the mean frequency at which the vocal folds vibrate.
- Mode $F_0$ (in Hertz): expresses the value of $F_0$ at which most phonation occurs during the recording.
- Average amplitude (in SPL dB): expresses the mean value of the amount of energy of the voice sound wave [20,22].

2.2.2. Speech perception test

For the speech perception assessment of CI patients the Italian version of disyllabic testing without lip-reading and without masking [23,24] were assessed. Speech perception was scored in best-aided conditions in quiet [25]. In this group of patients, the best-aided condition reflected the patient’s daily listening condition, defined as cochlear implant alone, since in no cases a contralateral hearing aid was used. Measurements were assessed in a sound-treated room using recorded materials presented at 70 dB sound pressure level from a loud-speaker placed at 0° azimuth. Test materials consisted of lists of 10 open-set disyllabic words [23,24] and responses were scored as the percentage of words correctly identified.

2.2.3. Self-assessment of QOL

As far as the QOL assessment is concerned, each of the enrolled patients managed to complete autonomously the Italian version of the Nijmegen Cochlear Implant Questionnaire (I-NCIQ) [26,27] immediately before the APM evaluation (before the implantation surgery and after 6 months of CI use). The I-NCIQ is a self-assessment questionnaire composed by six different sub-domains: basic sound perception, advanced sound perception, speech production, self-esteem, activity limitations and social interactions. The answers to the questionnaire are provided on a 5-point Likert scale, with scores ranging from 0 to 100 for each of the sub-domain. Higher scores mean better QOL.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Statistical tests were performed using SPSS 23.0 statistical software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). The differences in APM results, speech perception test and NCIQ scores before and after CI were assessed using Wilcoxon signed rank test. The Spearman correlation test was used to analyse the correlation between the APM and I-NCIQ scores. Correlation strength was considered high for values greater than 0.7, moderate for values ranging between 0.5 and 0.7 and low for values less than 0.5 [28]. In addition, scatter plots displaying the correlation between the I-NCIQ total score and the parameters of APM were included.

3. Results

After CI, all the patients had auditory thresholds of 40 dBHL or better for all speech frequencies on sound field audiometry. No substantial changes in the medical conditions of the CI users that could possibly modify the QOL or the phonatory behaviour of the patients (such as stroke, traumatic injuries, surgical complications, metabolic or cardiologic diseases) were reported during the second evaluation. The time required to calibrate the APM and to fulfil the I-NCIQ questionnaire never exceeded 5 and 10 min respectively.

As far as the voice production evaluation is concerned, all the patients well tolerated the APM device. The mean duration of data sampling used for the phonation monitoring, excluding sleeping time, was $12.8 \pm 3.1$ h (range 10–15 h) during the first assessment and $11.9 \pm 3.9$ h (range 9–14) during the second assessment. No difference in the mean duration of data sampling before and after 6 months of CI use were demonstrated on Wilcoxon signed rank test ($p = 0.358$).

The APM results obtained before and after 6 months CI use are reported in Tables 1 and 2. A significant increase of the phonation time and percentage of phonation time were demonstrated after CI use in all the patients ($p = 0.012$ and $p = 0.011$ respectively on Wilcoxon signed rank test). On the other hand, a significant decrease of the amplitude average was demonstrated after CI use in all the patients ($p = 0.033$ on Wilcoxon signed rank test). Finally, after CI use the $F_0$ mode significantly decreases in males and females ($p = 0.012$ and $p = 0.002$ respectively on Wilcoxon signed rank test) while the

| Table 1 | Ambulatory phonation monitoring (APM) results in the group of patients before and after 6 months of CI use. Mean ± standard deviation and ranges (in brackets) are reported. The results of Wilcoxon signed rank test are also reported. |
|---|---|---|
| Phonation time (min) | 23.7 ± 11.1 (3–35) | 45.9 ± 27.1 (29–115) | 0.012 |
| Percentage of phonation time (%) | 4.5 ± 2.3 (0.5–7.4) | 8.2 ± 3.1 (5.8–14.5) | 0.011 |
| Average amplitude (dB SPL) | 79.3 ± 6.1 (65–83) | 72.8 ± 2.1 (67–73) | 0.033 |

| Table 2 | Ambulatory phonation monitoring (APM) results in the group of patients before and after 6 months of CI use. Mean ± standard deviation and ranges (in brackets) are reported. The results of Wilcoxon signed rank test are also reported. |
|---|---|---|
| Average $F_0$ (Hz) | Males: 115 ± 45 (98–160) | 105 ± 41 (85–148) | 0.121 |
| | Females: 200 ± 58 (172–231) | 231 ± 65 (181–260) | 0.026 |
| Mode $F_0$ (Hz) | Males: 110 ± 49 (90–145) | 95 ± 55 (87–138) | 0.012 |
| | Females: 215 ± 61 (178–243) | 188 ± 62 (162–251) | 0.002 |
F₀ average significantly increases in females (p=0.026 on Wilcoxon signed rank test).

As far as the speech perception test is concerned, the percentage of words correctly identified in the pre-treatment condition was 13.75%. After 6 months of CI this percentage increased to 86.25%. This difference was found significant on Wilcoxon signed rank test (p=0.001). The results obtained in the I-NICQ before and after CI use are reported in Table 3. A significant improvement in the scores of each of the 6 subscales and of the total score of the questionnaire was demonstrated on Wilcoxon signed rank test.

The results of the correlation analysis between APM results and I-NICQ scores are reported in Table 4, while the results of the correlation analysis between APM results and those obtained in the speech perception test are reported in Table 5. Significant correlations were demonstrated among I-NICQ sub-domains and total scores and all of the APM parameters with the only exception of F₀ average. This latter, in fact, appear not significantly correlated with I-NICQ results. A significant moderate positive correlation was found between I-NICQ total score and Phonation time; while a significant moderate negative correlation was demonstrated between I-NICQ total score and Mode F₀ and between I-NICQ total and Average amplitude. No significant correlation was demonstrated between I-NICQ total score and Average F₀ (see Table 4 and Figs. 1–4). Significant correlations were also found among APM parameters and the results of the speech perception test. In particular, the higher correlation was found with the parameter Average amplitude of the APM (see Table 5).

### Table 3
Results of the Italian version of the Nijmegen Cochlear Implant Questionnaire (I-NICQ) before and after CI. The total score was calculated by adding the results of each of the 6 sub-domains. The results of Wilcoxon signed rank test are also reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I-NICQ</th>
<th>Before CI</th>
<th>After CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic sound perception</td>
<td>33.1 ± 21.5 (0–85)</td>
<td>75.5 ± 17.7 (42.5–97.5)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced sound perception</td>
<td>37.5 ± 22.5 (0–70)</td>
<td>82.9 ± 18.9 (50.5–98.5)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech production</td>
<td>40.1 ± 23.5 (0–85)</td>
<td>74.8 ± 17.4 (37.5–87.5)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>43.5 ± 23.6 (7.5–85)</td>
<td>63.6 ± 19.2 (17.5–80.6)</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity limitations</td>
<td>39.7 ± 23.5 (10–85.5)</td>
<td>70.3 ± 20.8 (15–97.2)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social interactions</td>
<td>46.8 ± 21.5 (7.5–80)</td>
<td>71.5 ± 15.6 (37.5–82.5)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>190.1 ± 31.5 (72.5–352.5)</td>
<td>470.4 ± 40.1 (244.5–532.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4
Correlation results between the ambulatory phonation monitoring (APM) results and the Italian version of the Nijmegen Cochlear Implant Questionnaire (I-NICQ) scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I-NICQ</th>
<th>APM</th>
<th>Mode F₀</th>
<th>Average F₀</th>
<th>Average amplitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic sound perception</td>
<td>0.565*</td>
<td>−0.665*</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>−0.664**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced sound perception</td>
<td>0.711**</td>
<td>−0.498*</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>−0.678**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech production</td>
<td>0.476*</td>
<td>−0.570*</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>−0.613**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>0.531*</td>
<td>−0.588*</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>−0.603*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity limitations</td>
<td>0.467*</td>
<td>−0.627*</td>
<td>−0.070</td>
<td>−0.629*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social interactions</td>
<td>0.488*</td>
<td>−0.597*</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>−0.633*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>0.553*</td>
<td>−0.434*</td>
<td>−0.95</td>
<td>−0.648**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05.
** p < 0.01.

### 4. Discussion
In the present study, the daily voice production analysed through APM and its relationship with QOL measurements in a group of profound deaf patients treated with CI were analysed for the first time. Specific findings related to APM results are noteworthy. In particular, all the enrolled patients tolerated well the phonation monitoring since all of them wear the device all day long twice (before CI and after 6 months of CI use). In addition, the time required to calibrate the APM never exceeded 5 min, suggesting that the phonation monitoring using APM is not a time-consuming procedure and could be performed during routine ambulatory examinations.

Significant differences between the APM results obtained before and after CI use were found. In particular, both the phonation time and the percentage of phonation time increased after CI, while the mean amplitude decreased.
In addition, a significant decrease of the $F_0$ mode in both males and females and a significant increase of $F_0$ average in females were also found. To the best of our knowledge no data are available in the international literature on this subject and consequently it appears very difficult to compare these results. However, it could be speculated that the restoration of hearing function, obtained through CI, influenced the voice production of our patients. This datum is not surprising as it is well known that ascending auditory pathway feeds back onto the primary vocal motor network [29] thus suggesting that better hearing function could lead to improvement in audio-vocal feedback.

4.1. Phonation time

To the best of our knowledge, phonation time and percentage of phonation time during a full typical day have never been studied before; both these parameters represent the time in a day when focal folds are vibrating compared to non-vibrating time and could represent an indicator of oral communication participation, as it has been postulated in other areas [30]. Increase in phonation time in a deaf patient has two possible explanations: 1. a substitution of non-oral communication with oral communication; 2. an increased number of daily activities in which patients were speaking, possibly because they were...
confident during conversations and consequently more inclined to speak. Further studies are necessary to better define this point. However, it must be noted that the phonation time and the percentage of phonation time after CI use appear still lower than those reported in previous studies analysing normal hearing subjects. Misono et al. [31] who studied 11 patients treated for laryngeal pathologies reported a percentage of phonation time of 19.4%. Buckley et al. [32] who analysed the speech behaviour in a group of healthy sports coaches reported a phonation time of 13.4 min and a percentage of phonation time of 19.2%. Szabo Portela et al. [33] who studied the speech behaviour in a group of twelve vocally healthy female preschool teachers reported a percentage of phonation time of 12% during working hours and of 5.5% during leisure time. Mozzanica et al. [22] who used the APM in order to evaluate the vocal demands in a group of speech and language pathologists reported a percentage of phonation time of 27.3% during working hours. On the contrary, Cantarella et al. [20] reported a percentage of phonation time of 7.1% in a group of 92 call center operators. It is possible that these diverging results could be related to differences in the studied population and in the amount of phonation monitoring performed. For example, in

Fig. 3. Scatter plot showing the relationship between the Italian version of the Nijmegen Cochlear Implant Questionnaire (I-NCIQ) total score and the Average F0 measured through the Ambulatory Phonation Monitoring (APM). The dots represent females, the circles represent males.

Fig. 4. Scatter plot showing the relationship between the Italian version of the Nijmegen Cochlear Implant Questionnaire (I-NCIQ) total score and the Average amplitude measured through the Ambulatory Phonation Monitoring (APM). The dots represent females, the circles represent males.
Cantarella et al. study [20] the subjects wore the APM all day long, while in Buckey et al. study [32] the coaches wore the APM only for a typical training session.

4.2. Average amplitude

Phonation amplitude significantly decreased after CI. The reduction of the amplitude average obtained after CI might be related to restoration in hearing feedback thus allowing patients to better control their phonation using the sensory information acquired while the task is in progress. It is well known that reduced auditory feedback leads to increased voice amplitude [34]; it seems therefore intuitive that with a better auditory feedback voice intensity is reduced. This hypothesis is supported by the findings of Leder et al. [35] who reported that profound deafness was associated with a significantly increased voice intensity level. In addition, the results of amplitude average after CI appear quite similar to those found in previous studies that analysed the speech production in healthy subjects. In particular, Cantarella et al. [20] who studied the phonatory production in a sample of 92 healthy call center operators reported an amplitude average of 70.5 dB SPL. Also, Franca et al. [36] who studied the vocal demands in 8 student singers reported an amplitude average of 69.6 dB SPL.

Data on amplitude before and after CI showed that standard deviation was much higher before CI than after CI; this datum possibly suggests that CI reduced amplitude variability among patients.

4.3. Fundamental frequency

F0 mode decreased after CI in all patients. It is possible that the reduction of the F0 mode after CI use might be related to the reduction of the voice mean amplitude since intensity and pitch of the normal speaking voice are known to be to some degree connected to each other [37]. Besides, reduction of F0 mode may indicate a reduction in vocal fatigue, as previous studies showed that normal hearing teachers after a working day increase their F0 and their sound pressure level of phonation due to vocal fatigue [38]. Audio vocal feedback is a well-known area of study; increased F0 in hearing impaired patients has been reported by previous authors [39,40] and previous studies also showed reduction in F0 after CI [41], however, those studies analysed vocal production of few seconds and cannot be directly compared to the data reported in the present study.

While F0 mode decreased after CI in both male and female patients, an increase in F0 average after CI in female patients only was found. This datum seems contre-intuitive. While F0 mode represents the F0 most produced, F0 average includes also extremes, that is high and low F0 productions during the day. Therefore, it is possible that after CI, patients produced more extremes than before the CI and that only female patients reached a statistical significance as their F0 is higher than males. Unfortunately, the APM systems does not allow to analyse the distribution of F0 production and we could not further support this speculation.

Contrary to data on amplitude, data on F0 standard deviation did not show major differences before and after CI. This datum suggests larger variability among patients and differs from previous literature on F0 using APM [20]. A possible explanation is that although CI seems to improve audio vocal control, a variability among patients still exist after CI.

4.4. Correlation between APM, I-NCIQ scores and perception tests

Significant correlations among the I-NCIQ total score and some of the APM parameters were found, suggesting that the modifications of vocal production were related with the modification of the QOL in CI patients. In particular, a significant negative correlation was found between I-NCIQ total score and average amplitude, while the higher positive correlation was found between I-NCIQ total score and phonation time. It is possible to speculate that hearing restoration plays a positive role on both QOL and voice production independently. Another possible explanation is that the restoration of hearing function improved the voice production and this might affect the way the patient perceives his disease. The latter hypothesis seems in accordance with the findings of Brandenburg et al. [30] who studied the talk time of 12 people with post-stroke, non-fluent aphasia and concluded that the talk time could be an indicator of both communication-related and general participation. Significant correlations were found among the APM parameters and the score obtained in the speech perception test. Even if in none of the previous study such correlation was analysed, the presence of a positive relationship between vocal production and auditory perception in CI users has been already demonstrated [42]. Thus, it is not surprising that also in this study significant correlations between the results of the speech perception test and the APM parameters (that measure the voice production) were found.

4.5. Study limitations

The main limitations of the current study are related to the limited number of enrolled patients; thus, the data here reported should be considered preliminary. Larger studies are needed to confirm generalizability. In addition, it is also not known to what extent wearing the APM device affected patient voice and speech production. It is possible that the awareness of presence of the APM could potentially affect patterns of voice production, moreover it is also possible that the APM design might influence the quality of data collection (related for example to dislodgment of the sensor). Moreover, the uncertainty of the measurements provided by the APM device must also be taken into account as previously suggested by Bottalico et al. [43] who demonstrated the APM tendency of an overestimate in the calculation of both average F0 and amplitude average. Finally, the patients enrolled in the present study wore the device for only 1 day before and after the cochlear implantation. Consequently, no information about how patients would have responded to wearing the device for longer are available. This datum is related to the limitations of the APM itself since it needs to be recalibrated every day, which logistically prevented long-time measurements [22]. However, the APM recording was performed in a “typical” day selected.
by the patient, thus suggesting that the chosen day is most likely representative of the subjects’ daily pattern.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the present article has the merit to demonstrate objectively the daily vocal changes in CI patients. These data could be useful in the clinical management of patients with CI since the increase of voicing and the decrease of its amplitude might be considered as a goal of hearing restoration. In particular, the application of daily measure of speaking time represents an innovative way to measure impact of CI on daily communication and voice.
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