The Aurora B kinase activity is required for the maintenance of the differentiated state of murine myoblasts
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Reversine is a synthetic molecule capable of inducing dedifferentiation of C2C12, a murine myoblast cell line, into multipotent progenitor cells, which can be redirected to differentiate in nonmuscle cell types under appropriate conditions. Reversine is also a potent inhibitor of Aurora B, a protein kinase required for mitotic chromosome segregation, spindle checkpoint function, cytokinesis and histone H3 phosphorylation, raising the possibility that the dedifferentiation capability of reversine is mediated through the inhibition of Aurora B. Indeed, here we show that several other well-characterized Aurora B inhibitors are capable of dedifferentiating C2C12 myoblasts. Significantly, expressing drug-resistant Aurora B mutants, which are insensitive to reversine block the dedifferentiation process, indicating that Aurora B kinase activity is required to maintain the differentiated state. We show that the inhibition of the spindle checkpoint or cytokinesis per se is not sufficient for dedifferentiation. Rather, our data support a model whereby changes in histone H3 phosphorylation result in chromatin remodeling, which in turn restores the multipotent state.
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Evidence is emerging that the role of Aurora B is not restricted to mitosis and cell division. A key substrate of Aurora B is serine 10 (ser10) of histone H3, and although this phosphorylation event was originally thought to be involved in chromosome condensation, it now appears that it dissociates HP1 proteins from methylated histone H3 (K9me3) at the onset of mitosis.¹³,¹⁴ Indeed, histone H3 (ser10) is an important element in the combinatorial histone code that is associated with both active and inactive chromatin.¹⁵ At the onset of mitosis, phospho-Ser10-H3 is responsible for the ejection of HP1 proteins from their binding site on chromatin, probably through a steric hindrance.¹³,¹⁴ Furthermore, it was demonstrated that the modifications of histone H3 and consequently the redistribution of HP1s are linked to chromatin reorganization and to cellular dedifferentiation.¹⁶ Consistent with a role for Aurora B in chromatin structure, it was recently shown that Aurora B is required to remodel chromatin during postmitotic cell differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells and in the transition of B cell to plasma cells.¹⁷

The ability of reversine to both dedifferentiate C2C12 cells and to inhibit Aurora B raised the possibility that its dedifferentiation capability is mediated through Aurora B. Here, we present the evidence that in addition to reversine, several other Aurora B inhibitors can dedifferentiate C2C12 myoblasts. By using drug-resistant Aurora B mutants, we
provide compelling evidence that the effects of reversine are mediated through Aurora B as opposed to an off-target effect. Furthermore, we demonstrate that reversine remodels the chromatin associated with several genes that are induced or repressed during the phenomenon of dedifferentiation. Altogether, our data suggest a novel role for the Aurora B kinase in gene regulation and in the maintenance of the differentiated state.

Results and Discussion

Aurora kinase inhibitors reprogram C2C12 myoblasts. Reversine inhibits several proteins including MEK1, nonmuscle myosin II (NMMII), Aurora A and B. On the basis of several indirect lines of evidence, it was suggested that reversine induces dedifferentiation by the simultaneous inhibition of MEK1 and of NMMII. However, as reversine is a potent Aurora inhibitor, we wanted to determine whether the inhibition of Aurora A and/or B may be involved in the dedifferentiation process. To do this, we asked whether a panel of other Aurora kinase inhibitors could dedifferentiate C2C12 cells, reasoning that structurally diverse inhibitors should have different spectra of off-target effects. We chose to use hesperadin, which is relatively selective for Aurora B, VX-680, which is a dual Aurora A/B inhibitor and MLN-8054, which is relatively selective for Aurora A. Significantly, hesperadin, VX-680 and MLN-8054 induced dedifferentiation of C2C12 myoblasts (Figure 1). C2C12 cells were treated with compounds for 72 h as indicated; after this, the drug-containing media were removed and the cells were cultured in drug-free medium suitable for the development of adipocytes (adipogenic-differentiating medium; ADM) and osteoblasts (osteogenic-differentiating medium; ODM), respectively. After 7 days, cells were stained with Oil Red O reagent to evaluate the presence of adipocytes or for alkaline phosphatase to evaluate the presence of osteoblasts (Figure 1a). In addition, to have a quantitative analysis of the dedifferentiation phenomenon, we used a fluorescent substrate system to evaluate the amount of lipid droplets in the case of adipocytes and the alkaline phosphatase activity in the case of osteoblasts (Figure 1b, see Materials and Methods also).

Furthermore, we decided to investigate also the expression of specific markers of both osteoblast and adipocyte differentiation. In particular, after the treatment with 500 nM
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of each compound and the exposure for 1 week to differentiating media, we analyzed the expression of osteopontin and collagen type 1 for the osteoblasts and the expression of the adipocyted fatty acid-binding protein (ap2) and of lipoprotein lipase (LPL) for the adipocytes. In Figure 2, we show that the treatment with the Aurora kinase inhibitors caused a relevant increase of these markers strengthening the notion that reversine-treated myoblasts can be induced to differentiate into other cell types.

Among the Aurora kinase inhibitors tested, reversine and hesperadin were very potent inducers of dedifferentiation, whereas MLN-8054 and VX-680 were only active at significantly higher concentration (Figure 1b). Interestingly, reversine and hesperadin are low nanomolar inhibitors of Aurora B kinase (S Santaguida and A Musacchio, unpublished observations, and Figure 3), and their effect on cultured cells is mainly because of the inhibition of Aurora B kinase. By contrast, when using VX-680 and MLN-8054, on cultured cells, the predominant phenotype from these drugs is the appearance of monopolar spindles, a hallmark of Aurora A inhibition (A Musacchio and S Santaguida, unpublished observations, and Manfredi et al.22 and Girdler et al.23 Thus, the spectrum of inhibitor potencies suggests that Aurora B kinase rather than A might be the target of reversine in the dedifferentiation program.

Importantly, although reversine inhibits MEK1 and NMMII,18 hesperadin and VX680 are not particularly potent against these two enzymes (Supplementary Figure 1), indicating that the inhibition of MEK1 and NMMII is not necessary for C2C12 dedifferentiation.

Drug-resistant Aurora B kinase mutants block the dedifferentiation capabilities of reversine. The fact that multiple inhibitors of Aurora B can dedifferentiate C2C12 cells is consistent with the notion that Aurora B activity is required to maintain the myoblasts in the differentiated state. However, although unlikely, we cannot rule out the possibility that all these inhibitors share a common ‘off-target’ enzyme. Therefore, to exclude this possibility, we set out to express drug-resistant Aurora B mutants in C2C12 cells and ask whether this expression blocked reversine-induced dedifferentiation. We reasoned that if the dedifferentiation
capability of reversine was mediated through the inhibition of Aurora B, the ectopic expression of a drug-resistant Aurora B mutant should maintain the myoblast state despite the presence of reversine. By contrast, if reversine effects were through another target, the expression of the drug-resistant Aurora B mutants should have no effect.

Recently, we have described two mutations in the active site of Aurora B, namely G160V and Y156H, which render it resistant to ZM447439, hesperadin, VX-680 and MLN-8054. On the basis of the 3D structure of the complex between reversine and Aurora B, we reasoned that these mutants should also be resistant to reversine. To prove this prediction, we tested the ability of increasing concentrations of reversine to inhibit the activity of recombinant wild-type (WT) human Aurora B:INCENP IN-box complex, or of equivalent complexes bearing the G160V (Figure 3a) or the Y156H mutation (data not shown), using histone H3 as an in vitro substrate. In agreement with our prediction, the G160V and Y156H mutations conferred a ~100-fold or higher resistance to reversine compared with the WT enzyme (Figure 3a, and data not shown).

Next, we used these drug-resistant mutants to directly test the role of Aurora B in the process of dedifferentiation induced by reversine. We transiently transfected C2C12 cells at 30% of confluence with plasmids encoding either for WT human Aurora B or the Y156H and G160V mutants. After 48 h, western blot analysis showed expression of the exogenous Aurora B proteins (Figure 3b). In a parallel experiment, 48-h post-transfection, 50 nM reversine was added for 72 h. We observed that reversine efficiently dedifferentiated the non-transfected controls as shown by the change of cell morphology (Figure 4a). Significantly, C2C12 cells transfected with the drug-resistant mutants did not acquire the round morphology typical of the controls (Figure 4a). In particular, a myotube formation assay was performed to better investigate whether the mutant G160V was sufficient to inhibit the dedifferentiation capabilities of reversine. After 72 h of reversine treatment, the cells were kept in the same plate for 8 days replacing the medium each 48 h to permit the myotubes formation. We observed that only the control cells and the cells previously transfected with the resistant mutant were able to form myotubes after reversine exposure. We found that after the transfection with the mutant, about 70% of cells gave rise myotubes. Not transfected cells and cells transfected with Aurora B WT lost completely the myoblast shape, and were not able to form myotubes (Figure 4a).

Furthermore, we also investigated whether the presence of Aurora B, resistant to reversine, would inhibit the whole dedifferentiation–redifferentiation process induced by reversine. After 72 h of reversine treatment, cells were transferred into the appropriate differentiation medium and cultured for 1 week. Remarkably, in the presence of both mutants,
The reprogramming of C2C12 myoblasts induced by reversine was drastically reduced (Figure 4b). The simplest interpretation of these results is that Aurora B kinase activity is essential for the action of reversine. We observed that cells transfected with WT Aurora B did not show any evidence of terminal differentiation. C2C12 cells were transfected with Aurora B WT, Y156H and G160V variants as indicated. After the treatment (72 h), the cells were cultured in osteogenic-differentiating (ODM) and adipogenic-differentiating media (ADM) for 1 week. ALP activity and lipid droplets content were measured to evaluate the presence of osteoblasts and adipocytes, respectively using a fluorescent substrate system. Data are expressed as relative fluorescence units (RFUs; see Materials and Methods also). Values of RFUs were statistically different with (*P<0.05) and (**P<0.01).

Reversine induces polyploidy and spindle checkpoint override at high concentration. The results presented so far show that Aurora B kinase is a key player in the nuclear reprogramming induced by reversine. Aurora B is involved in multiple mitotic functions, and therefore inhibiting its kinase activity causes a variety of phenotype including chromosome segregation failure, spindle checkpoint override and impairment of cytokinesis. Taken together, these effects result in polyploidy. In principle, it is conceivable that it is the polyploidy itself, rather than the inhibition of Aurora B per se, which is responsible for the dedifferentiation process. However, we feel that this is unlikely: other agents, which cause polyploidy, including the MEK1 inhibitor U0126 and the NMMII inhibitor blebbistatin do not cause reprogramming (data not shown). Moreover, reversine induces reprogramming at concentrations as low as 20–50 nM, whereas significant polyploidy is only observed at higher concentration of reversine (Figure 5a). Similar considerations are true also for the effect on the spindle check point: SP600125, a potent inhibitor of the JNK pathway, completely abrogates reversine-induced reprogramming.
spindle checkpoint overrider does not induce reprogramming, and even reversine must be used at concentrations above 1 μM to cause spindle checkpoint overriding in C2C12 myoblasts (Figure 5b and c).

On balance, therefore, we suspect that the role of Aurora B in maintaining the differentiated state of C2C12 cells is not linked to its mitotic functions. More likely, the direct involvement of Aurora B on reversine-induced dedifferentiation is based on its role in chromatin remodeling. Consequently, we turned our attention to another key function of Aurora B, namely histone H3 (Ser10) phosphorylation (phospho-Ser10-H3) and a possible role in chromatin remodeling. As predicted for a potent Aurora B inhibitor, reversine does indeed inhibit phospho-ser10-H3 in C2C12 cells (Figure 6a). Furthermore, the inhibitory effect of reversine is significantly reduced following the expression of the drug-resistant Aurora B mutants (Figure 6a) confirming that Aurora B is the bona fide serine 10 kinase, at least in C2C12 cells.

Reversine induces remodeling of chromatin at the level of muscle differentiation genes. Myogenesis is a complex process regulated by muscle regulatory factors (MRFs) and their inhibitors (Ids proteins). As reported by several groups,26–28 ectopic expression of MRFs, such as MyoD or myogenin, converts nonmuscle cells into muscle cells, suggesting that these factors play a crucial role both in the induction of muscle differentiation and in the maintenance of the differentiated muscle phenotype. Reversine treatment affects the expression of MRFs; in Figure 6b–c, we see an evident downregulation of the mRNA levels coding for MyoD, myogenin and myogenic factor-5 (Myf5) after reversine treatment. Interestingly, we also observed that the expression of Id1, a known inhibitor of myogenesis, increased considerably after the treatment (Figure 6b, lower right panel). The treatment of myoblasts with the other Aurora inhibitors also caused a relevant dowregulation of MyoD and Myf5, although, on the other hand, appeared the upregulation of the Id1 expression (Figure 6c), suggesting a common mechanism of action for the three drugs, most likely triggered by the inhibition of Aurora B kinase. In fact, reversine treatment in the presence of Aurora B kinase-resistant mutants did not lead to the downregulation of MyoD expression compared with the untreated cells of cells trasfected with Aurora B WT (Figure 6b).

Apparently, Aurora B inhibitors and reversine can cause not only the repression but also, with similar kinetics, the activation of genes. To elucidate the putative common molecular event that is at the core of both activation and repression of genes, we analyzed by chromatin immuno-precipitation (ChIP) histone modifications at the level of the

Figure 5  Reversine causes polyploidy and spindle checkpoint overriding at high concentrations. (a) C2C12 cells were treated for 72 h with different concentration of reversine as indicated, thus cultured in ODM for additional 7 days. After this period, the cell-cycle analysis of C2C12 cell was performed using propidium iodide with FACS Canto II. (b–c) C2C12 cells were treated with 500 nM nocodazol in presence of increasing concentrations of reversine (as indicated) for 4 h. After this time, the cells were stained with DAPI to analyze the nucleus status and the cells blocked in mitosis were counted. Red arrows in the panel b indicate cells in mitosis.
MyoD, Myogenin and Id1 promoters. In essence, at the level of the repressed genes (MyoD and Myogenin), we observed the expected inhibition of phospho-Ser10 but no other reversine dependent change, neither in the overall H3 acetylation, nor methylation (Lys4 and Lys9 were analyzed; Figure 7). Interestingly, but not surprisingly, the dual
modification of acetyl Lys14 and phospho-Ser10 that represent a marker of gene induction was drastically reduced in the genes repressed by reversine (Figure 8). This result is in agreement with the findings of Mal et al. who reported that the presence of phospho-Ser10 at the level of myogenin promoter is a marker of active chromatin. Therefore, it is likely that the reversine causes a decrease in the expression of the myogenin and MyoD genes by inhibiting the phospho-Ser10-H3. In contrast to the repressed genes, the pattern of histone modification at the promoter level of the induced gene Id1 was drastically changed following the treatment with reversine. We not only observed the expected inhibition of phospho-Ser10 but also a significant increase of overall H3 acetylation as well as a decrease of the Lys9 methylation.

In summary, it appears that the common feature after treatment with reversine is the drastic reduction of phospho-Ser10-H3 on all the promoters analyzed (Figure 7), as expected for a potent Aurora B kinase inhibitor. Surprisingly, inhibition of phospho-Ser10-H3 leads to opposite effects in Id1 gene (induction) and in MyoD and myogenin (repression). Recently, Sabbattini et al. reported the results that are in line with our observations. These authors described a new role of Aurora B in the repression of genes in postmitotic cells, as suggested by the manufacturer. The cells were screened for the presence of ectopic gene expression after 48 h by western blot.

**Materials and Methods**

Cell culture, treatment and transfection. C2C12 myoblasts (ATCC Manassas, VA) were cultured in growth medium consisting of DMEM supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 100 IU/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA) and 10% (v/v) FBS (HyClone, South Logan, UT). C2C12 cells were treated with reversine, VX-680, hesperadin, MLN-8054 at a concentration range from 20 nM to 5 μM, whereas control cells were incubated with 0.01% DMSO. Treatment was maintained for 48 and/or 72 h without the growth medium changes. C2C12 cells were transiently transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) as suggested by the manufacturer. The cells were screened for the presence of ectopic gene expression after 48 h by western blot.

**Kinase assays.** Recombinant human Aurora B and human INCENP were expressed and purified as described previously. Kinase assays were carried out in 30 μl reaction mix containing 50 μM ATP, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM Na3VO4, 5 μCi [γ-32P]-ATP, 5 μg of histone H3 as substrate, 1 μl DMSO or drugs dissolved in DMSO, and 5 nM of WT or G160V mutant Aurora B/INCENP. Reaction mixes were incubated for 1 h at 30°C, quenched with SDS-loading buffer and resolved on 14% SDS-polyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE). Incorporation of 32P was visualized by autoradiography.

**RNA preparation and quantitative analysis.** Total RNA was prepared from C2C12 cells by using Qiagen’s RNeasy® midi kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA). MyoD expression was assessed after the treatments as indicated using TaqMan™ chemistry with the ABI 7700 Prism real-time PCR (RT-PCR) instrument (Applied...
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Figure 8  Reversine decreases drastically Ser10-H3 phosphorylation at levels of MRFs genes. Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis was performed on C2C12 cells treated with reversine 50 and 500 nM as indicated. Polyclonal antibodies against H3 acetylated at lysine 9 and 14 and against anti-phospho-Ser10-ace tyl (K14)-histone H3 were used to precipitate the chromatin-protein complex. The DNA was purified and specific regions of mouse MyoD and myogenin promoter were analyzed using the real-time PCR (see Materials and Methods). Rabbit IgG was used as control of the assay specificity (purple barr). Values obtained for the fold enrichment were statistically different with (*P<0.05) and (**P<0.01)

Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The forward and reverse primer (200 nM) sequences for mouse MyoD were 5’-TTCTTCCACCCACCTGAGA-TTCACTTCAACATTTCAACATCTGAGTGTGTCCATTCTTCT-3’, respectively, and the probe sequence (100 nM) was 5’-ACGGGCGGTTGCGTTCCATTCCCAAACAGGAA-3’ and 5’-GCTGAATATAGCCGGGCT-3’, respectively, and the probe sequence was 5’- TGCTGACCAAGTCCCTGTCCTTCCACAATGC-3.

Mouse Myf5 and Myogenin were analyzed as reported previously,35 whereas Id1 was analyzed as reported by Gersbach et al.32 The expression was measured using SYBR green chemistry with the ABI 7700 Prism RT-PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems). The forward and reverse primer sequences for mouse Gapdh used as internal standard were 5’-GGAGATTGTGGCCATCAACGACC-3’ and 5’-GGTCTAGAGCCCTTCCACAATGC-3’, respectively. Osteopontin, collagen type 1, ap2 and

Western blot analysis. Cells were lysed in 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, containing 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 2 μg/ml aprotinin, 1 μg/ml pepstatin, 2 μg/ml leupeptin and 1 mM Na3VO4. Equal amounts of extracted proteins (50 μg) were loaded and separated on SDS-PAGE (Invitrogen), and then transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher & Schuell Biosciences, Sandford, ME). Immunodetection was performed using the following primary antibodies: anti-Myc-Tag and anti- anti-ser10P-H3 (Millipore, Billerica, MA), antinouse Aurora B (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) and antimouse/human Aurora B (Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA).

Cell cycle analysis. C2C12 cells were incubated with reversine as indicated for 48h, then the growth medium contained reversine was changed and the cells were cultured with a medium suitable for the development of osteoblasts (ODM) for 96 h. Cells were fixed in 70% ethanol over night. After double washing with PBS, cells were labeled with cell-cycle-staining reagent PBS/0.In all, 1% Triton X-100, 200 μg/ml DNAse-free RNAse, 25 μg/ml propidium iodide (Invitrogen) and incubated at room temperature, in the dark, for 30 min. DNA content was analyzed using FACS Canto II (Becton Dickinson).

Immunofluorescence. In all, 5 × 10^4 cells were cultured on poly-lysine (Sigma)-coated coverslips and incubated in the presence of nocodazol 500 mM and
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reversine as indicated for 4 h. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature. After two washes with PBS, cells were stained with DAPI 0.5 μg/ml for 1 h. The samples were analyzed with Confocal Microscopy with LSM 510 META microscope (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation.** Cells (10^6 for each antibody) were used to cross-link chromatin using the protocol from Upstate Biotechnology (Milton Keynes, UK) with minor modifications. Briefly, cells were incubated in 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Glycine (0.125 M) was added to stop cross-linking. Cross-linked chromatin was sonicated three times for 10 s at 80% amplitude (Vibra-Cell sonicator; Sonics and Materials, Mering/Satligny, Switzerland). Polyclonal antibodies raised against histone H3 acetylated at lysine 9 and 14 (H3K4) and specific regions of the indicated promoters were amplified by RT-PCR using primers purchased from Abcam. DNA was purified by phenol–chloroform extraction, and specific regions of the indicated promoters were amplified by RT-PCR using SYBR green method (Applied Biosystem). The primers used to analyze the TATA box of mouse Id1 promoter were 5'-CGGCCCCAGCCTCCTTTCCA-3' and 5'-TGTCAGAGGTG-3'. The primers used to analyze the TATA box of mouse Id1 promoter were 5'-CTTAAGAGGCTGAGAAC-3' and 5'-GGAGGCTGAGAACAAACAGAGTGTG-3'. To calculate the fold enrichment, a previously published method was used.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed by the analysis of variance (ANOVA) test using Origin 6.1 software for Windows (Microcal Software Inc., Northhampton, MA).
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