Halogen Bonding in the framework of classical force fields: the case of chlorine
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ABSTRACT

Halogen bonding is nowadays a consolidated tool in chemistry. Only recently, the importance of halogen bonding has been demonstrated also in biological systems, owing to the presence of halogens in drugs. This interaction is due to the anisotropy of the electron density around the halogen that leads to the formation of the ‘σ-hole’, which is responsible for the interaction with a nucleophile site. Unfortunately, classical force fields used in the study of ligand-receptor systems
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are not able to describe the ‘σ-hole’. Here, we propose a pseudo-atom based methodology able to
correctly describe halogen bonding involving chlorine using classical force field.

INTRODUCTION

Halogen bonding (XB) is a highly directional, non-covalent interaction established between a
halogen atom (X) covalently bonded to a donor group (D) and a nucleophilic site A, the halogen
bonding acceptor, according to the scheme D–X···A. The donor moiety D can range from another
halogen atom to an organic or inorganic group, whereas the nucleophilic acceptor site is most
often a lone pair on a heteroatom such as nitrogen, oxygen or sulfur. At first glance, the
formation of halogen bonding appears a rather unexpected phenomenon as it involves the
interaction between an electronegative, supposed spherical, species and a Lewis base. Actually,
the electron density around a covalently bonded halogen atom is not uniformly distributed,
exhibiting a depletion along the D–X bond axis in the region outward the bond itself, that is
compensated by a relative increase in a belt around the bond axis. A simple and intuitive model
to explain the origin of such anisotropy has been developed by Politzer and coworkers. ¹
Compared to an isolated halogen atom, which has spherical symmetry and 5/3 average p electron
population in each direction, the electronic structure of a halogen atom covalently bonded to
another atom along the z axis can be approximately written as s²pₓ²pᵧ²pᶻ¹. The region of lower
electron density along the extension of the D–X bond, denoted as σ-hole,² generally reflects in a
positive electrostatic potential which is responsible for the interaction with a nucleophile site.
The size and depth of the σ-hole depend on the electron withdrawing capability of the donor
group and on the nature of the halogen atom. A good correlation has been observed between the
values of the electrostatic potential (ESP) on the σ-hole and the strength of halogen bond,³
although the electrostatic contribution is not the only energetic term giving rise to this interaction. Depending on the nature of the halogen and of the donor and acceptor groups, also dispersive forces can play a significant role.\textsuperscript{4, 5}

The persistent view of halogens as electronegative species has long prevented researchers to think to bonded halogens as responsible for even strong interactions with nucleophilic sites. Only in the past two decades, differently for the more explored hydrogen bonding (HB), the potential of XB in directing assembly phenomena has become to be explored. Thanks to its strength, directionality and intrinsic tunability, the latter resulting from the possibility to span the four halogen atoms, halogen bonding has found a plethora of possible applications in the most diversified fields of chemistry and biology, going from photoluminescent materials\textsuperscript{6} to nonlinear optics,\textsuperscript{7} supramolecular chemistry\textsuperscript{8, 9} and drug design.\textsuperscript{10-14} In addition, XB is ubiquitously present in biological systems, as pointed out by protein data bank (PDB) analysis.\textsuperscript{18} For a long time, halogenated drug molecules were predominantly adopted due to their increased metabolic stability and membrane permeability conferred by halogen atoms. It has been also shown that XB can compete with HB in stabilizing brominated DNA junctions.\textsuperscript{10, 15} In recent years it has been observed that halogen atoms can increase the drug-target affinity through XB, thus increasing drug activity of several orders of magnitude with respect to analogous non-halogenated moieties.\textsuperscript{11, 16, 17} For these reasons, halogen bonding has been the subject of numerous computational studies on both molecular and macromolecular systems. At molecular level, highly correlated methods and density functional theory (DFT) have been largely used to study this interaction in gas-phase\textsuperscript{19-22} and in solution using continuum models of the solvent.\textsuperscript{23-25} Quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) methods have been used to describe the interaction of halogenated molecules with proteins.\textsuperscript{18} In this context, many efforts have been
made in the direction of correctly describe XB in the framework of classical force fields methods that are world-wide used to perform drug discovery and drug design studies. The main problem in classical force field description of halogen bonding is that computation of the electrostatic contribution to the interaction (which is generally the dominating term in XB) is based on a set of atomic-point charges, usually obtained exploiting a fitting procedure (Restrained ElectroStatic Potential, RESP) to quantum mechanical ESP. This approach is completely misleading in the description of halogen bonding, which is based on the anisotropy of the electrostatic potential around the halogen atom (see the σ-hole concept illustrated above). Several solutions have been proposed through the years to fix this issue. Among these it is to be mentioned the introduction of multipoles replacing atomic centered point charges (at least on the atoms involved in the interaction), allowing a rigorous description of XB in biological systems at the cost of time demanding simulations and more complicate model with respect to the point charges one and several force fields, like OPLS and the extended versions of AMOEBA and CGenFF, designed specifically to treat this kind of non-covalent interaction. Other possible solutions are force fields allowing for aspherical halogen atoms or force fields where an extra-point charge is introduced, directly linked to the halogen atom in the region outward the D–X bond, for the purpose of simulating the charge induced by the presence of the σ-hole. This strategy has been successfully implemented by different groups. In particular, Sironi and coworkers applied it to the study of brominated and iodinated ligands complexing proteins. Interestingly, the same approach applied for the description of weaker halogen bonds involving chlorine resulted in rather poor results (it has not been possible, differently from iodinated and brominated compounds to reproduce crystallographic data involving chlorinated ligands). Indeed, chlorine is the less polarizable among the halogens (excluding fluorine that in most cases is not able to
give rise to halogen bonding\textsuperscript{40}) and therefore it is envisaged that it requires a more sophisticated description of the ESP anisotropy around it. The necessity to improve the 1Pa methodology has been also pointed out in other papers from MacKerrel et al.\textsuperscript{41, 42}

In this work, we propose a simple and effective way, based on the use of a set of extra-point charges or pseudo-atoms (Pa’s), properly placed around the halogen atom, to describe halogen bonding involving chlorine in biological systems. The proposed approach has been applied to the investigation of XB between a series of chlorinated model systems and the carbonyl oxygen of the capped alanine (see Figure 1) through molecular mechanics (MM) geometry optimization. One brominated derivative has been as well included to check the generality of our procedure. These model systems have been chosen to simulate real halogenated ligands such as those present in biological systems, as it has been shown that almost always, in the PDB, halogen atoms involved in halogen bonding are linked to an aromatic ring.\textsuperscript{3, 43} Aromatic, rather than aliphatic, halogenated ligands are also important from an applicative point of view owing to the greater strength of the associated C–X bonds, reducing the possible release of halogen anions in the organism. The substituents on the aromatic ring, their position and the nature of the ring itself have been varied to tune the XB strength in order to validate our methodology for a wide range of halogen bonding interactions. We found out that the proposed approach allows to significantly improve the geometrical parameters (i.e., interaction distances and angles) of the chlorine XB interaction with respect to the previous pseudo-atom strategy,\textsuperscript{36–38} using as benchmark the results obtained at M06-2X\textsuperscript{44}/6-311++G(d,p) level. Moreover, we show that a re-parametrization of the general AMBER force field (GAFF\textsuperscript{45}) van der Waals parameters for chlorine is necessary to have an effective description of chlorine XB in biological systems, in good agreement with the results obtained by Scholfield et al.\textsuperscript{34}
Figure 1. Chlorinated (A+L) or brominated (M) model systems chosen to study halogen bond with the carbonyl oxygen of the capped alanine through the Pseudo-atom(s) strategy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The structures of eleven halogenated molecules complexed by capped alanine (see Figure 1), taken as benchmark in the MM energy minimization, were obtained by quantum mechanical geometry optimizations using the software Gaussian 09.\textsuperscript{46} In particular, for all the complexes DFT (BSSE free) calculations were performed by using the M06-2X\textsuperscript{44} functional, an hybrid meta-GGA functional that is highly recommended to study halogen bonding and other non-covalent interactions;\textsuperscript{25} the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set has been used for all atoms in the complexes.

The partial atomic charges for the ligands were derived exploiting the RESP procedure, as implemented in AMBER11, on the ESP as obtained by RHF/6-31G(d,p) Gaussian09
calculations. To evaluate the goodness of the RESP fitting to the RHF electrostatic potential, the relative root mean square error (RRMS) has been monitored. Two different dispositions of pseudo-atoms have been then tested (see Figure 2): in the first one (‘1Pa’ disposition, A), a single pseudo-atom (Pa) has been added along the extension of the D–X bond, pointing towards the XB acceptor, and several X–Pa distances have been tested as described in the Results section; in the second one (‘5Pa’ disposition, B), five Pa’s were added to the halogenated ligands: one in the same position as in ‘1Pa’ and the other four along two directions, orthogonal each other and perpendicular to the D–X bond, so that each of these four Pa’s is the vertex of a square with the chlorine atom in the center. Also in this case several X–Pa distances have been tested. To parametrize the halogenated molecules the GAFF\textsuperscript{45,47} force fields have been adopted, while for the capped alanine the ff99SBildn force field has been employed.\textsuperscript{48}

**Figure 2.** Dispositions of pseudo-atoms adopted to describe the anisotropy of electron density around the halogen atoms (X, Pa and C stand for halogen, pseudo-atom and carbon, respectively). A: ‘1Pa’ disposition where one Pa is used to simulate the charge of the σ-hole. B:
‘5Pa’ disposition, where one Pa (in yellow) is used to simulate the charge of the $\sigma$-hole and the other four surrounding the halogen are used to enhance the negative belt.

In order to better reproduce the DFT geometrical parameters (interaction distances and angles) of halogen bonding, different values of the van der Waals radius parameter for the chlorine atom have been tested in all the analyzed systems, as described in the Results section, while the well energy depth (the energy minimum for the vdW interaction) has been kept fixed to 0.265 kcal mol$^{-1}$. Such tests showed that a lowering of the chlorine vdW radius parameter improves the description of the XB geometrical parameters and it is also consistent with the variation of the non-bonded parameters for bromine and iodine passing from the original Amber force field$^{40}$ to the more recent one.

The ACPYPE utility has been used to convert the AMBER input files into the Gromacs ones. MM energy minimizations were then performed with Gromacs 5.0.7$^{42}$ package on all complexes either in the native form and after pseudo-atoms insertion for both Pa(s) dispositions. We adopted 10000 steps of steepest descendent minimization, with 10 steps of conjugate gradient minimization for every step of steepest minimization.

To compare the XB geometrical parameters as obtained from classical energy minimization (em) using the pseudo-atom(s) and DFT approaches, the percentage errors ($S_{\text{distance}}$ and $S_{\text{angle}}$), as defined in equations (1) and (2), have been used.

\[
S_{\text{distance}} = \frac{|d_{em} - d_{DFT}|}{d_{DFT}} \quad (1)
\]

\[
S_{\text{angle}} = \frac{|\text{ang}_{em} - \text{ang}_{DFT}|}{\text{ang}_{DFT}} \quad (2)
\]
where \( d_{em} \) and \( ang_{em} \) are the interaction distances and angles, respectively, as obtained from the classical approach, while \( d_{DFT} \) and \( ang_{DFT} \) are the corresponding parameters as obtained from M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) geometry optimization.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Halogen bonding established in ten chlorinated model systems with capped alanine (see Figure 1) has been studied by means of Molecular Mechanics energy minimization with the purpose of developing a new strategy to be used in classical force fields methods, owing to the failure of the previously reported pseudo-atom methods\(^{36-38} \) in describing chlorine-based XB. The new approach is based on the use of a set of pseudo-atoms, Pa’s, in place of a single one as previously proposed.\(^{36-38} \) The model systems were properly chosen to simulate halogenated ligands in protein-ligand complexes, while the capped alanine has been used because it is a typical XB acceptor in protein-ligand systems, as underlined by a PDB survey.\(^1\) As benchmark for testing our model systems, the BSSE-free M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) optimized X\(-\)O distances and C–X\(-\)O angles have been used. Several Pa’s models as obtained by varying the number of Pa’s and their dispositions, their distances from the halogen and the vdw radius of the halogen have been tested. The case of no Pa has been as well taken into account.

The disposition of the Pa’s around the halogen is shown in Figure 2. While in the previously proposed ‘1Pa’ strategy (Figure 2A) a single Pa was used to reproduce the positive charge of the \( \sigma \)-hole, in the present ‘5Pa’ scheme (Figure 2B) four additional Pa’s are added to describe the negative belt around the halogen atom. As it can be observed from the electrostatic potential maps shown in Figure 3, the full set of extra-point charges allows to reproduce the anisotropy of
the quantum mechanical ESP by using classical methods, in contrast to the case in which no
pseudo-atom has been introduced.

Figure 3. Electrostatic potential mapped onto the electron density surface (0.002 electrons au$^{-3}$)
of 1-chloro-2,4,6-trifluorobenzene (A), calculated at RHF/6-31G(d) level of theory (D) or using
the RESP procedure without pseudo-atom(s) (B) and after five (C) pseudo-atoms addition at 1.8
Å from the chlorine atom.
The first important result of the present investigation is that for all the chlorinated systems the introduction of one or more Pa’s allows to describe the XB interaction through classical energy minimization calculations, while the halogen bonding interaction is completely lost (meaningless geometrical parameters have been observed) if no extra-point charge is included in the model (see Table 2 for results obtained on chlorobenzene using, as an example, 1.748 Å as vDW radius for chlorine and 1.8 Å as Cl–Pa(s) distance). However, the inclusion of a single Pa in most cases does not allow to correctly reproduce the DFT geometrical parameters for the XB interaction (see Figures 4 and S1-S10, and Tables S1 and S2), unlike what previously reported for brominated and iodinated systems. On the other hand, the ‘5Pa’ strategy brings an important improvement in the chlorine XB description, as denoted by a lowering of the percentage geometrical errors with respect to DFT results. The fact that the simpler ‘1Pa’ approach is not adequate in the case of chlorine could be probably related to the weaker interactions established by this atom with respect to those with the heavier halogens, which is a consequence of a less pronounced σ-hole. Because of this, the anisotropy of the electrostatic potential around the chlorine atom needs to be described in a finer way, which can be realized by introducing more than one Pa.

According to Hobza et al., the optimum distance of the pseudo-atom (explicit sigma hole, ESH, in their model) from the halogen should be shorter than the halogen vDW parameter to avoid numerical instabilities in simulations. On the other hand, it has been noted that placing the Pa too close to the halogen could lead to an overestimation of the extra-point charge. For this reason, we decided to test the disposition of the extra-point charges around the halogen atom by varying both the X–Pa distance and the chlorine vdw radius, in order to get the better
compromise for the choice of the X–Pa distance. To this aim, three different X–Pa distances (1.4, 1.6 and 2.0 Å) have been considered in both strategies (1Pa and 5Pa) for each vdW parameter used. The chlorine GAFF vdW parameter has been varied from 1.95 Å, that is the default value, to 1.748 and 1.648 Å.

As shown in Tables S1, S2 and in Figures S1 through S10, it was not possible to highlight any trends in the behavior of the $S_{\text{distance}}$ and $S_{\text{angle}}$ percentage errors upon variation of the X–Pa distances, denoting some insensitiveness of the XB geometry on this parameter in the range here considered. On the other hand, concerning the chlorine GAFF VdW parameter, it can be inferred that the default vdW radius of chlorine is not suitable for describing this interaction, because, almost always, it gives the worst $S_{\text{distance}}$ and $S_{\text{angle}}$ errors with respect to the others two tested values (1.748 and 1.648 Å). This is true for either ‘1Pa’ and ‘5Pa’ strategies but it is more evident for the latter one, probably because in the former case the vdW parameter effect is “masked” by the greater error introduced by the coarse description of the electrostatic potential given by the ‘1Pa’ strategy. The necessity to reduce the vdW radius with respect to the original implemented value, in order to correctly describe XB, was already evidenced for bromine and iodine, whose vdW radii have been in fact modified from 2.22 to 2.02 Å (Br) and from 2.35 to 2.15 Å (I) passing from the original\textsuperscript{45} to the improved version of GAFF.\textsuperscript{45} Both of the new shorter GAFF vdW radii here tested for chlorine allow to improve the results obtained for all the model systems studied, though no systematic differences are observed passing from 1.748 to 1.648 Å. This conclusion doesn’t claim to be a rigorous re-parametrization of the chlorine GAFF vdW radius, but should be view as an indication that this parameter should be revised in future GAFF force field versions.
It is to be noted that the MM minimization, while providing positive charge, as expected, for the ‘σ-hole’ Pa, resulted in either negative charges for the ‘lateral’ Pa’s in all chlorinated derivatives or positive charges for bromobenzene, which was included in our investigation as a check of our procedure (see Tables 3 and 4). This observation is in good agreement with the results of a charge density investigation by Espinosa et al.,\textsuperscript{50} who explored the differences between the chlorine and bromine σ-hole “architectures” based on analysis of the Laplacian of electron density, \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \), for a series of halogenated molecules. This analysis clearly shows that for chlorine it is possible to observe an extended valence shell charge concentration (VSCC) region, containing either the charge concentration (CC) and charge depletion (CD) sites. On the other side, for bromine a far more reduced VSCC region is observed, containing only the CC sites, while the CD sites belong to a large region of positive \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) (which corresponds to a large portion of positive electrostatic potential) surrounding the bromine atom. This topology of \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) is in agreement with the positive values of the four lateral extra-point charges in the case of bromobenzene. Moreover, it can explain also the reason why the ‘5Pa’ disposition is necessary for correctly describe chlorine halogen bonding: using only one extra-point charge linked to chlorine in the position of the σ-hole could induce an underestimation of the electrostatic charge related to the σ-hole (because of the extended VSSC region). By introducing the four lateral Pa(s), describing the “negative belt” of the halogen’s ESP, the charge of the central Pa fits more accurately the real σ-hole charge derived from QM calculations.

The inadequacy of using only one extra-point charge to describe the anisotropy of the electrostatic potential around halogen atoms was pointed out also by Hage et al.\textsuperscript{35} In particular their observation on the requirement of the quadrupolar contribution to reproduce
thermodynamic properties of halogen bonding (in particular for lighter halogens) by using hybrid (PC/MTP) approaches would help corroborate the ‘5Pa’ model here proposed.

Table 2. Halogen bonding interaction distances and angles for the chlorobenzene-capped alanine dimer, computed with molecular mechanics using 0, 1 and 5 pseudo-atoms and with M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) geometry optimization.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chlorobenzene</th>
<th>Distance/Å</th>
<th>Angle/°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Pseudo-atom</td>
<td>Lost</td>
<td>Lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Pseudo-atom</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>169.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Pseudo-atoms</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>161.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p)</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>160.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMM calculations performed using 1.748 Å as VdW parameter for the chlorine atom and 1.8 Å as Pa(s) distances from chlorine.
Figure 4. Percentage errors on halogen bonding distances (x-axis) and angles (y-axis), for the ten chlorinated systems studied in this work. ‘5Pa’ strategy in red, ‘1Pa’ strategy in blue (calculations performed using 1.748 Å as vdW parameter for the chlorine atom and 2.0 Å as Pa(s) distances from the central halogen).

Table 3. Selected RESP derived atomic point charges (in amu) in chlorobenzene: Carbon linked to chlorine, chlorine, pseudo-atom representing the σ-hole and the four lateral pseudo-atoms. 1.8 Å as Pa(s) distances from chlorine have been used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>‘σ-hole’ Pa</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Pa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Pa</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Pa</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Pa</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Selected RESP derived atomic point charges (in amu) in bromobenzene: Carbon linked to bromine, bromine, pseudo-atom representing the \( \sigma \)-hole and the four lateral pseudo-atoms. 1.8 Å as Pa(s) distances from chlorine have been used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Br</th>
<th>‘( \sigma )-hole’ Pa</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Pa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Pa</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Pa</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Pa</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For all studied systems, a decreasing of the RRMS error related to the RESP procedure has been observed passing from no to one and then to five Pa’s. As pointed out in the previous pseudo-atoms studies for halogen bond, the introduction of an extra point charge linked to the halogen atom leads to an improvement of the description of the electrostatic potential around the halogens. The introduction of more than one Pa reduces only slightly the RRMS error, much less than what obtained passing from zero to one Pa. However, it is well known that small changes in the molecular electrostatic potential may involve even large variations in the chemistry of non-covalent interactions. Accordingly, the great improvement in the geometrical parameters of halogen bonded complexes observed upon insertion of more than one Pa derives from small modifications of the ESP, though they result in only limited improvement in the values the RRMS associated to the RESP procedure.

CONCLUSIONS

A series of chlorinated model systems have been studied by molecular mechanics energy minimization exploiting a set of extra-point charges to simulate the \( \sigma \)-hole and the negative belt
characterizing the electron density distribution around the chlorine atom. Differently from the previously reported pseudo-atom (‘1Pa’) approach,\textsuperscript{36-38} the ‘5Pa’ strategy here proposed is demonstrated to well reproduce quantum mechanical geometrical parameters for halogen bonding interaction of the model systems with the carbonyl oxygen of the capped alanine. The model systems have been chosen to either reproduce real systems that are likely to be encountered in PDB and test the strategy to a rather extended range of XB strengths.

We found out that the MM description of chlorine XB has been greatly improved by the introduction of more than one Pa’s in all the chlorinated model systems here investigated. This improvement is evident from the lowering of the percentage errors on the interaction distances and angles, as referred to benchmark M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) geometry optimizations, when going from the ‘1Pa’ to the ‘5Pa’ methodology. The same improvement was not observed in the case of bromobenzene, suggesting the correctness of the ‘1Pa’ strategy in the description of halogen bonding when bromine atoms are involved.

Moreover, it has been shown (through testing of different vdW radius values) that a re-parametrization of the chlorine GAFF van der Waals radius should be taken into account to obtain a proper halogen bonding description.

Further development of this methodology will comprehend either testing our Pa’s strategy on other model compounds (including different halogen bond acceptor) and performing molecular dynamic simulations on condensed phase systems (like complexes between proteins and chlorinated ligands).
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