ABSTRACT

**Background:** Lithium is a first-line treatment for bipolar disorder in adults, but its mechanism of action is still far from clear. Furthermore, evidences of its use in pediatric populations are sparse, not only for bipolar disorders, but also for other possible indications. **Objectives:** To provide a synthesis of published data on the possible mechanisms of action of lithium, as well as on its use in pediatric samples, including pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and safety data. **Methods:** Clinical trials in pediatric samples with at least one standardized measure of efficacy/effectiveness were included in this review. We considered: i) randomized and open label trials, ii) combination studies iii) augmentation studies iv) case series including at least 5 patients. **Results:** Different and non-alternative mechanisms of action can explain the clinical efficacy of lithium. Clinical studies in pediatric samples suggest that lithium is effective in managing manic symptoms/episodes of bipolar disorder, both in the acute phase and as maintenance strategy. Efficacy on depressive symptoms/phases of bipolar disorder is much less clear, while studies do not support its use in unipolar depression and severe mood dysregulation. Conversely, it may be effective on aggression in the context of conduct disorder. Other possible indications, with limited published evidence, are the acute attacks in Kleine-Levin syndrome, behavioral symptoms of X-fragile syndrome, and the management of clozapine- or chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. Generally, lithium resulted relatively safe. **Conclusions:** Lithium seems an effective and well-tolerated medication on pediatric bipolar disorder and aggression, while further evidences are needed for other clinical indications.
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**Introduction**

Lithium has been the first pharmacological agent proven to be useful in the treatment of mood disorders [1], and it is still widely used for several psychiatric disorders in adults and youths. A long lasting stream of research showed its effectiveness in adult mood disorders, with an effective protection against both depression and mania in the context of bipolar disorders, and against the risk of suicide [2,3]. In children and adolescents, lithium has been approved by most Regulatory Agencies (including the Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicine Agency) for the treatment of bipolar disorders, although only few studies supported its efficacy in this age range [4, 5]. In the last years, new data are emerging regarding the use of lithium in youth with bipolar disorder, as well as in other neuropsychiatric conditions.

Studies on the mechanism of action of lithium are still inconclusive [6], but new frontiers, such as neuroprotective/anti-apoptotic properties, are rising. The glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta enzyme (GSK3β) is now recognized as a fundamental interactor at the crossroads of metabolic and functional regulations in neurons, moreover the actions of lithium on cell proliferation and synaptic structuring may be involved in processes of potentiation or depression linked with phases of bipolar cycling [7 Beaulieu 2009, Chiu 2010, Quiroz 2010, Alda 2015, Malhi 2016]. To date, data on the clinical use of lithium in children and adolescents are limited, but promising.
child and adolescent neuropsychiatric disorders are still sparse, and a previous meta-analysis revealed a risk-benefit profile possibly inferior to that of atypical antipsychotics [Liu 2011], thus a comprehensive review comprising only definitely proven pathways and aiming at summarizing the main new clinical findings in the pediatric age frame is warranted.

Methods

In the first section of this review, we briefly summarize the available knowledge on mechanisms of action and pharmacokinetics of lithium in children and adolescents. In the second section, we systematically analyze the available clinical studies in youth, including only those with measures of efficacy/effectiveness or safety, and according to specific indications. To this aim, we performed a Medline search from January 1980 up to the end of March 2017. Search terms were: children/adolescents and lithium, restricted on humans, and in English language; studies without abstract were not further considered; additional manual search was performed through the references of the included papers. Irrespective of diagnosis or indications, we included all the perspective studies (of whichever duration), with children or adolescents, with homogeneous diagnosis, and with clear and standardized efficacy or effectiveness outcome measures. Augmentation trials were included only when the added value of lithium was clearly defined (e.g., adding lithium to partial or non-responders); combination trials were included only when it was clear that at least one arm or one time period of treatment was with lithium alone. We excluded retrospective chart reviews, case series including less than 5 patients, studies whose outcome was somewhat vague or not clearly replicable, articles reporting post hoc or mediation/moderator analyses only, as well as reviews and meta-analyses.

We firstly found 1289 articles. On the basis of title and abstract screening (if papers did not fit our inclusion or exclusion criteria), results were restricted to 82 articles. Two independent authors went through the full text of these papers, analyzing the inclusion and exclusion criteria, with a third author when discrepancies occurred, until consensus was reached. Finally, 32 papers were included. Results from the systematic part will be organized according to the clinical indications.

Putative mechanisms of action

1. Lithium is a competitor of magnesium

   The effects of lithium seem to stem partly from the physical-chemical compatibility with magnesium ions (Mg²⁺)[8], which are essential cofactors of biological reactions involving phosphorylation. This action is involved in the regulation of the energetic metabolism, in the intracellular signal transduction pathways, and in the stabilization of macromolecular complexes. The wide potential implications of this basic mechanism of action may explain the role of lithium as a multimodal drug, with possible multiple
indications. As in all competitions, magnesium is a crucial determinant of lithium activity, since the pharmacological actions of lithium depend on the reciprocal Li\(^+\)/Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration ratio. Among the experiments exploring the lithium-magnesium competition, the first compared the activity of lithium on the inhibition of \textit{the glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta enzyme} (GSK3\(\beta\), described later), depending on magnesium concentrations. In the presence of 12.5 mM magnesium, lithium showed an IC\(_{50}\) of 2.5mM and an IC\(_{90}\) of 32mM. However, as the cellular content of free magnesium is lower, around 0.6-1.3 mM [9], the experiment was repeated at decreasing concentrations of magnesium, down to 1.56 mM, that is in the physiological range. In the presence of this magnesium concentration, lithium showed an IC\(_{50}\) of 0.8mM and an IC\(_{90}\) of more than 8mM [10]. These results are consistent with the therapeutic range of lithium in humans, which is between 0.4 up to 1.2mM; at maximal therapeutic doses, lithium may therefore reach a 60-80\% inhibitory activity on GSK3\(\beta\), depending on the local availability of free magnesium.

The role of magnesium, in the context of bipolar and other psychiatric disorders and of lithium treatment, is highly debated and controversial. Whereas magnesium levels have been described higher among bipolar patients, compared with healthy controls [11,12], there are also studies reporting no differences [13]. One small clinical trial investigated the use of magnesium supplementation for bipolar patients, with an efficacy comparable to lithium in half cases [14]. Therefore, even if the molecular characterization of lithium as a competitor of magnesium is solid, clinical evidence is not comparably clear as regards competition with magnesium.

2. Lithium “stabilizes” the phosphatidylinositol-phosphate cycle

The phosphatidylinositol-phosphate cycle is a crucial convergence step for several signal transduction pathways, centered on the regulation of calcium-calmodulin-dependent kinases and phosphatases. This cycle is based on shifts in the phosphorylation state of inositol, which can be supplied to the cell as myoinositol, or generated from glucose via phosphoglucomutase, or, most importantly in quantitative terms, continuously recycled from other intermediates. While evidence supporting the activity of lithium on the myoinositol transporter [15] and on phosphoglucomutase is scant and conflicting [16], more consistent are data on two of the enzymes involved in regenerating myoinositol, that is inositol polyphosphate 1-phosphatase (IPP), and inositol monophosphatase (IMP). Both these enzymes require magnesium as a cofactor, and both are inhibited by lithium [17,18]. In the absence of their activity, the phosphatidylinositol-phosphate cycle is impaired or halted, leading to a depletion of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-diphosphate (PIP\(_2\)). The PIP\(_2\) serves as the substrate for two major signaling pathways, phospholipase C (PLC) and phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K) (Figure 1). The relevance of this depletion is widely proven in animal models. One exploratory clinical trial studied the effect of an inositol-depleting diet on the efficacy of concomitant lithium treatment in patients with bipolar disorder, finding consistent clinical improvements which were attributed to the synergistic effect of diet and lithium treatment [19].

The impairment of the PLC pathway is achieved by the reduction of inositol-triphosphate (IP\(_3\)) and diacylglycerol (DAG) levels. The IP\(_3\) acts by binding intracellular membrane receptors located on the
endoplasmic reticulum, where calcium is stored: upon binding, calcium is released into the cytosol, where it can activate calmodulin-dependent protein kinases (CaMKI, CaMKII and others) and phosphatases (PP2A or calcineurin and others), involved in the regulation of a multitude of signals, including protein-kinases C (PKC) and B (Akt), and all their downstream targets. DAG may in turn trigger PKC activation, either independently or in synergy with calcium-calmodulin.

The impairment of the PI3K pathway is achieved by the reduction of PIP2, which serves as the substrate for PI3K, leading to the production of PIP3; PIP3 in turn starts the activation chain of Akt.

Overall, the activity of lithium can be summarized through the removal of signals mediated by calcium, PKC and Akt from the physiological control exerted by the inositol cycle. This event results in reduced responsiveness to external stimuli, and therefore in a stabilization of the calcium levels, and of the phosphorylation-dephosphorylation dynamics [20,21]. Since several neurotransmitters act through G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs – including several dopamine and serotonin receptors) by influencing the inositol cycle, the effect of lithium may translate into a modification or lack of response to neurochemical stimuli, which may result in the stabilization of basic and superior neurological functions.

3. Lithium “stabilizes” the balance between Akt and GSK3β in favor of Akt

Increasing evidence supports the notion that lithium-dependent inhibition of the inositol cycle is based not only on a stabilization, with less responsiveness, but also on a shift of the balance towards Akt activation. As discussed above, although lithium decreases the calcium and PI3K-driven activation of Akt, a number of observations reported an increase in Akt activation and Akt-mediated effects. This is a direct effect of lithium, based on its competition with magnesium, which disrupts a balanced mechanism of reciprocal inactivation between Akt and GSK3β. This competition is physiologically activated when GPCRs are subject to endocytosis as a consequence of signaling. The internalized receptor recruits and activates a β-arrestin protein, that serves as a scaffold, and allows the interaction of proteins that would not be otherwise able to interact. In this specific case, β-arrestin2 can recruit three proteins that are capable of reciprocal inactivation: Akt, GSK3β and PP2A [22]. In this complex, PP2A can activate GSK3β and inactivate Akt, while outside of this complex Akt can inactivate GSK3β [23] (Figure 2). In physiological conditions, GPCR activation can influence the activity of PP2A, and thus the balance between Akt and GSK3β: high GPCR internalization/calcium signaling may decrease Akt activity while low internalization may decrease GSK3β activity.

Interestingly, whereas PP2A is under the indirect control of lithium (via inhibition of calcium-calmodulin), the kinase activity of GSK3β on Akt is also inhibited directly by lithium, because GSK3β requires magnesium as a cofactor [24]. Moreover, the entire complex requires magnesium for its structural stabilization, and lithium interferes with the assembly of phosphorylated Akt with β-arrestin2 [25]. These actions of lithium point to activation of Akt and the inactivation of GSK3β.

Therefore, lithium removes the Akt/GSK3β balance from the control of GPCRs and calcium signals, and at the same time changes the functional significance of the inositol cycle inhibition, leading to
the preservation of peculiar phosphorylated forms of Akt (active) and GSK3β (inactive). By this activity, lithium acts as a “biased” stabilizer. Both Akt and GSK3β are predominantly known for their activity in other contexts, however several among their targets are relevant to the survival, proliferation and function of neurons.

4. The role of lithium for neuronal function and mental health, through Akt

Akt stimulates cell survival in two complementary ways, i.e. inhibiting apoptosis and promoting cell cycle progression, which may also promote neurogenesis in the adult brain and resilience against traumas or neurotoxic insults (Figure 3) [26,27]. Akt may also strengthen neurons through an increased capability of protein transcription and translation [28,29]. This is coupled to actions on the energetic metabolism, where the inhibition of GSK3β, hexokinase2 and phosphofructokinase2 shifts the energetic balance towards glycolysis; the increase in glucose consumption with less mitochondrial engagement may provide protection against oxidative stress and excitotoxicity [30-32].

Akt phosphorylates and activates β-catenin, a transcription factor crucial for the survival, proliferation and differentiation of adult neural stem cells (depending on the presence of co-factors [33]). Indeed, impairment in Akt signaling is widely reported in animal models of neuronal deficits, as well as in patients with schizophrenia, who were reported to show decreased Akt activation and reduction in left hippocampal volume [34]; to date, no data in this respect are available regarding bipolar patients. Another contribution of Akt to neuronal survival may come from the activation of the cyclic AMP responsive element binding factor (CREB), through the Raf pathway, which leads to increased production of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) [35,36]. A study on bipolar patients and healthy controls identified elevated but erratic levels of circulating BDNF in patients, together with reduced grey matter volumes; controls instead displayed greater grey matter volumes and lower BDNF levels that resulted to be inter-correlated. [37]

The importance of BDNF levels is underlined by other studies, reporting that in bipolar patients the methylation levels (implying lower transcription) of BDNF correlated with the presence of a depressive phase, an effect reversible by lithium treatment [38]. A similar observation related low levels of BDNF in depressive, but also in manic phases in bipolar patients, again reversible by lithium treatment; this study also showed that plasma levels of lithium and BDNF were inter-correlated [39]. Similarly, BDNF levels have been proposed as both predictors [40] and markers of lithium treatment efficacy [41], where higher baseline and stimulated levels associate with a better therapeutic response.

Besides survival and proliferation, Akt can also influence the intracellular transport dynamics by acting on the Rac pathway [42], with possible effects on memory consolidation [43]. Another debated target of Akt in neurons is NF-kB [44], as NF-kB may control by post-transcriptional actions some aspects of postsynaptic membrane structuring, by clustering together with glutamate receptors and increasing their membrane density, which is involved in potentiation mechanisms [45,46]. Akt can also exert rapid influences on neuronal functioning, by phosphorylating several neurotransmitter receptors (such as specific
NMDA glutamate receptor subunits [47]), although the precise function of such modifications in the context of a complex spectrum of activations and inactivation (PKA, PKC, calcium), and of concomitant neurotransmitter activities, is still a matter of debate [48]. Indeed, oscillations of the transcription levels of Akt have been reported in patients, who displayed low Akt during depressive episodes, which recovered after lithium treatment and in connection with clinical improvement [49].

5. The role of lithium for neuronal function and mental health, through GSK3 β

GSK3β can be viewed as a functional antagonist of Akt in several regards (Figure 4). For instance, it decreases the activity of CREB, and particularly reduces BDNF levels, it phosphorylates β-catenin, and improves its proteolytic degradation. Two studies conducted in psychiatric patients (schizophrenic or with major depression) suggested a connection between homozygosis for the major frequency allele of an intronic GSK3β polymorphism, and reduced cortical grey matter, possibly mediated by the association with lower β-catenin levels [50,51]. This apparently puzzling finding can be explained since the minor frequency allele, associated to a reduced function of GSK3 β, should associate with improved basal health of neurons. Indeed, controversial [52] investigations of another polymorphism (rs334558) of GSK3 β found that patients carrying homozygotic minor frequency alleles (i.e. impaired GSK3 β) had larger grey matter volumes [53,54], better white matter integrity [55], and they responded better than others to lithium treatment [56]. The aspect of brain connectivity (as investigated through diffusion tensor imaging) seems to be crucial for the etiopathogenesis of bipolar disorders, and patients often display connectivity issues, which can be reverted by long-term lithium treatment [57,58]. Again, related to neuronal structure and functions, GSK3 β can phosphorylate Dynamin 1, an event required in order to perform bulk endocytosis [59]. This is an endocytosis mechanism only elicited when cells have to face a massive rate of membrane recycling. This event is among those sustaining the excessive neuronal activation and neurotransmitter release involved in excitotoxicity. GSK3 β can also phosphorylate Tau, possibly leading to disrupted vesicle trafficking and formation of Tau fibril aggregates [60]. GSK3 β also phosphorylates MAP1b, promoting axonal pathfinding and the emergence of multiple growth cones, in spite of cone growth and structuring [61]. Another peculiar activity of GSK3 β, demonstrated in striatal neurons, is the phosphorylation of the transcription factor Bmal1; this event allow its degradation, thereby promoting an accelerated cycling of circadian rhythms [62]. Indeed, among bipolar patients, circadian rhythms are disregulated towards an excessively rapid cycling, which possibly contributes to the disorganization of brain connectivity [63]. Overall, the inhibition of GSK3 β may promote cell survival and differentiation, BDNF production, it may prevent excitotoxicity and the disorganization of the neuronal cytoskeleton, favoring cone growth and synaptic structuring; moreover it can slow down the circadian rhythm. Observations in patients indirectly link the GSK3 β activity status with the bipolar phase cycling: a longitudinal study monitored at several time points the blood levels of inactivated GSK3 β, finding a correlation between higher inactivation and the predominance of depressive phases, as opposed to manic phases, where GSK3 β was mostly active. Interestingly, euthymic phases were associated with average levels of inactive-GSK3 β, that however were
still lower than those observed in control subjects [64]. This observation is supported by other investigations, linking low activation levels of GSK3 β with depression phases of bipolar disorders, however low GSK3 β activity does not seem to be related with affective traits in healthy controls [65]. These observations would favor the role of lithium as an anti-manic drug, with possible depressant actions in bipolar patients.

6. Lithium and PKA, still an open question

Whereas the influence of lithium on the calcium, PKC and Akt pathways is quite well defined, it is not known whether the effect of lithium on the levels of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) is direct or indirect. It has been speculated that, since the production of cAMP by adenyl cyclase (AC) is magnesium dependent, lithium may directly inhibit AC and cAMP formation [66]. Studies that reported an in-vitro inhibition of AC by lithium often used toxic concentrations of lithium, being questionably relevant in a clinical perspective. However, it is well known from preclinical evidence that manganese, another ion that can compete with magnesium, can bind to AC, and directly activate it [67]. This supports the importance of ion cofactors for AC activity, although data are only preclinical. In fact, it is not really known whether lithium increases or decreases cAMP, as this action seems to be highly dependent on the context, such as the brain region [68,69]. A detailed investigation on rats showed that after lithium treatment, cAMP increased in the frontal cortex only, while it decreased in the neostriatum, and remained unchanged in the hippocampus, hypothalamus, thalamus, amygdala and cerebellum [70]. Part of these apparent inconsistences may depend upon the expression of different AC isoforms, and it becomes clearer in view of the finding that hypofunctional ADCY2 (the gene coding for AC isoform 2) polymorphic variants associated with a diagnosis of bipolar disorder [71]. A possible explanation is that, while most of the experiments conducted in vitro evaluated the interplay between lithium and AC1, the isoform most widely expressed in the human brain is AC2, which is insensitive to a series of stimuli that trigger or inhibit AC1, i.e. αs and αi subunits of GPCRs. This may imply that lithium may activate (or at least not-inactivate) the cAMP-PKA system in a brain-region-wise manner, further contributing to the inhibition of GSK3 β and promotion of Akt activity (also through the PKA-mediated inhibition of PP2A) [72], while in other brain areas lithium may inhibit the generation of cAMP, blunting its own effect on the GSK3β/Akt interplay, while still subtracting it from the control by αs and αi GPCRs. This activity may also be interpreted as a means of “biased stabilization”, through which lithium may selectively increase and decrease the activity of different brain areas.

7. Data from studies including children and adolescents

As mentioned above, lithium interacts with the phosphoinositide cycle. Looking at studies investigating the neural effect of lithium in an adolescent population, two studies are worth mentioning. The first [73] demonstrated changes in brain proton spectra and in myoinositol levels in 11 children with bipolar disorder compared with 11 controls. Patients received a proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy
and a procedure of single voxel placement (2x2x2 cm$^3$) in anterior cingulated cortex was performed in order to collect the $^1$H spectra at the baseline and after acute treatment (7 days). Authors found a significant reduction of the myoinositol/creatinine ratio in brains of children and adolescents with bipolar disorder after seven days of lithium treatment. This result may represent a possible pathway towards the identification of a biological marker of response to lithium therapy in youth [73]. Conversely, Patel et al. [74] did not find significant changes of myoinositol levels in the prefrontal cortex of 28 adolescents with bipolar disorder I (current depressed episode) after acute (7 days) and chronic (42 days) lithium treatment (30 mg/Kg). This inconsistency may be due to the different phases of the disorder in which patients were tested, but it warrants further in vivo and human studies in order to elucidate the neural mechanism of action of lithium.

**Pharmacokinetics**

A critical element of lithium administration is to start therapies with a dose high enough to induce a fast response, while remaining below the toxicity threshold. This approach is feasible nowadays, by virtue of studies that initially identified plasma levels associated with fixed lithium doses, and subsequently succeeded to predict weight-adjusted lithium doses necessary to obtain defined plasma levels. Vitiello et al. (1988) firstly described the drug pharmacokinetics in children with conduct or adjustment disorders receiving 300 mg daily of lithium, generally finding similar parameters as compared to adults, except for the elimination half-life and total clearance, which were faster in children [75]. Malone et al. then followed the method of Cooper and colleagues [76,77] to predict which lithium doses could lead to plasma concentrations in the therapeutic window of 0.6 – 1.2 mEq/L in 16 children and adolescents with conduct disorder (13 M, 3 F; mean age: 12.7, SD: 2.1). The procedure included a pre-treatment administration of 600 mg of lithium, after which patients received 600 mg/day for two days, then increased by 300 mg/day until the individual predicted maintenance dose was reached. The dose was then kept for six days to ensure steady-state. The predicted doses ranged from 600 to 1,800 mg/day, and serum concentrations from 0.58 to 1.13 mEq/L; no adverse effects occurred. The Authors concluded that the method of Cooper and colleagues was useful to manage lithium administration in children and adolescents. Later, the Collaborative Lithium Trials (CoLT), a pediatric study with multiple aims and phases, provided results on post-acute effectiveness, dosing strategies and first-dose lithium pharmacokinetics in children with bipolar disorder I [78-80]. In particular, Findling et al. found that a starting dose of 300mg/d for subjects weighing less than 30 kg, or of 300 mg, 2 to 3 times/day, for subjects weighing 30Kg or more, was appropriate in a sample of 39 subjects (20 M, 19 F, mean age: 11.8yy) with current manic or mixed states. Authors concluded that the initial dosage should be carefully evaluated, based on the body weight [79]. Landersdorfer et al extracted detailed pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics data from the CoLT population. They built a study model that accounted for inter-individual variability (IIV), lean body weight (LBW) and total body weight (TBW). Results showed that a daily dose of 25 mg/kg TBW was the best among the evaluated regimens. This posology, that corresponded to an average of 1337 mg/day in their sample, attained a reduction in
YMRS to <15 and a CGI improvement of 1 or 2 points in 70% patients, moreover in 74% of the patients, this dose achieved a 50% reduction of baseline YMRS. Scaling by TBW was a correct strategy for patients in a normal weight range (TBW and body composition), whereas authors suggested to scale by LBW for overweight and obese patients to avoid adverse effects, since lithium mainly distributes into non-fat tissues. Pharmacokinetic parameters were in line with those of adults for patients scaled to 70 Kg TBW: total body clearance = 1.59 L/h/70kg TBW (adults: 1.32-2.15 L/h/70Kg TBW); distribution volume at steady state ($V_{ss, V_{central}+V_{periph}}$) = 56.1 L/70 Kg TBW (adults: 38.6-70.2 L/70 Kg TBW), and terminal half – life = 29h (adults: 13.8-29h) [80].

**Efficacy of lithium in children and adolescents**

1. **Bipolar disorder (manic, hypo-manic, mixed episodes – depressive symptoms)**

Fourteen studies explored the efficacy of lithium in bipolar disorders, the majority of them focused on both children and adolescents. Results are summarized in Table 1. We here briefly describe each study, starting from the most recent.

Fallah and colleagues [81] recently reported on a RCT comparing lithium+placebo and lithium+tamoxifen (based on the possible PKC inhibition effect of tamoxifen) in decreasing acute manic symptoms. Both groups showed a reduction in the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) [82], and an improvement in the Children’s Depression Inventory (CDI) scores, with a greater improvement in the arm with tamoxifen. The study is novel and intriguing, but some methodological shortcomings (i.e., small sample size, lack of examining comorbidities) require caution and replication.

The Treatment of Early Age Mania (TEAM) study is a multicenter, prospective, randomized, masked comparison of divalproex sodium, lithium carbonate, and risperidone in an 8-week parallel clinical trial. A total of 279 children and adolescents with DSM-IV diagnosis of bipolar I disorder, mixed or manic episode, aged 6 to 15 years. were enrolled. Several studies from the same dataset [83-85] are available in the literature. In the first study [83], authors reported a clear superiority in the response rate, assessed by Clinical Global Impression-for Bipolar Illness Improvement-Mania, of risperidone over both lithium (68.5% vs 35.6%; $\chi^2 1=16.9, P<.001$) and divalproex sodium (68.5% vs 24.0%; $\chi^2 1=28.3, P<.001$), while lithium and divalproex sodium did not differ each other. Secondary outcomes (Kiddie Mania Rating Scale, Children Global Assessment Scale [CGAS], absence of mania diagnosis) were all consistent with the primary analysis. In the other study [84], depressive symptoms (assessed with Clinical Global Impression Bipolar Depression) showed greater improvement with risperidone, whereas similar improvement with all the three medications resulted from assessment with Child Depression Rating Scale, as well as for suicidality (assessed with the item 13 of CDRS). Also, risperidone appeared to yield more rapid improvement than lithium or divalproex sodium [84]. The trial was well designed and well powered. Another study from the same dataset [85] explored the effect of switching or adding one of the other antimanic drug in partial or non-responder of the main study. Switching to lithium (or divalproex) resulted less effective than switching to risperidone.
Findling and colleagues [86] conducted the first double blind, placebo-controlled trial, including 81 outpatients aged 7 to 17 years. Lithium was superior to placebo in reducing manic symptoms (assessed by YMRS) with a Cohen’s d effect size of 0.53. Results also favored lithium on CGI-Improvement, whereas no differences were reported for CDRS and CGAS (secondary measures). Two other studies are available, reporting data from the same dataset [87,88]. In the first study [87], an 8-week, dose-based randomized, open label trial, based on a reduction of ≥ 50% of CGI-S and YMRS scores, an improvement as found in 70% and 61% of the patients, respectively. Also, a fast titration did not determine a worse safety profile. In the second study [88], a long-term, open label trial, including responders or partial responders of the first study, responders maintained their stabilization over the long term, whereas the partial responders did not experience further improvement, despite the opportunity to receive adjunctive medications.

An open trial examined the efficacy of lithium for the treatment of acute depression in adolescents with bipolar disorder [74]. This was a 6-week study involving 27 adolescents (mean age= 15.6±1.4 years). The mean CDRS decreased significantly from baseline to endpoint, with a large effect size (d=1.7). Using a ≥50% reduction in baseline CDRS scores as the primary effectiveness measure, the authors reported a response rate of 48% and a remission rate of 30%. The study is limited by the open label design and the small sample size, although drop out rate was low.

In 2005, Findling and colleagues explored the comparative effectiveness of lithium and divalproex in the maintenance treatment of juvenile bipolar disorder, over 76 weeks [89]. Patients who stabilized their symptoms for 4 consecutive weeks with a combination of lithium and divalproex were randomized the monotherapy (divalproex or lithium alone), and followed up to 76 weeks. Time to mood relapse or study discontinuation did not differ between the two groups (log-rank [1 df] = 0.35, p = 0.55). Similarly, Kafantaris et al. [90] reported the results of a large open trial that served as the lead in study to a randomized placebo-controlled discontinuation study, which is described below [91]. In the open trial, 100 youth with bipolar disorder type I (mean age= 15.23 years) were treated with lithium for a duration of 4 weeks (mean serum level of 0.93± 0.21 mEq/L). Forty-six subjects received concomitant antipsychotic medication for associated psychosis or severe aggression. Using a reduction of ≥ 50% in baseline YMRS score as response criterion, the response rate was 55%, with a large effect size for change in manic symptoms (d = 1.48).

The randomized, placebo-controlled, discontinuation follow-up phase of the same study was a 2-week trial that involved 40 subjects (mean age=15.16±1.72 years), who had previously responded to the open-label lithium treatment. In this trial, 19 subjects continued on lithium monotherapy, while 21 subjects received placebo. No statistically significant differences were found in exacerbation rates between the two treatment groups (52.6% and 61.9, respectively), suggesting that a 4-8 week treatment with lithium monotherapy may not be adequate to maintain remission of mood symptoms. Studies are well conducted, but they allowed a concomitant antipsychotic use, then results are hard to interpret in regard to lithium alone. In fact, in a small study from the same research group [92], when five patients who started with a combination of lithium and haloperidol discontinued haloperidol, a relapse of psychotic symptoms was
apparent within 1 week, despite the ongoing treatment with lithium.

Kowatch et al. [93] compared the efficacy of lithium, divalproex sodium and carbamazepine (CBZ), randomly administered for 6 weeks to 42 outpatients (mean age 11.4 years) with a diagnosis of bipolar spectrum disorder. The effect size (determined from the change in baseline to endpoint of YMRS scores) for lithium was 1.06 with a response rate (reduction of ≥ 50% in baseline YMRS score) of 38%, not statistically different from divalproex sodium and CBZ. The study was relatively small and unblinded.

Geller et al. [94] explored in a prospective, placebo controlled trial, the efficacy of lithium monotherapy in 25 adolescents (mean age= 16.3±1.2 years) with a history of bipolar disorder I or II, or major depressive disorder (MDD) associated with one predictor of future bipolar disorder (e.g., switching during treatment with a tricyclic antidepressant), and comorbid substance dependency disorder. After a randomization to a 6-week treatment with either lithium or placebo, 46.2% of the subjects significantly responded with lithium, compared to 8.3% with placebo. The improvement was significant using both categorical and continuous outcome measures, and for symptoms of both mania and substance abuse.

The possible moderator effect of prior ADHD diagnosis on the response to lithium in manic patients was firstly explored by Strober et al. [95]. Thirty youths with acute mania and prior history of ADHD were compared with an age- and sex-matched patients with acute mania and no premorbid history of ADHD (age range=13 – 17 years). Both groups presented a decrease in Beck-Rafaelsen Mania Scale (BRMS), but the improvement was greater in non-ADHD patients. Furthermore, the median time of onset of sustained response was also shorter (17.0 days) for the non ADHD group compared to the prior ADHD group (23.0 days).

Strober et al. [96] reported on 37 adolescents with bipolar mania stabilized with lithium, naturalistically followed up for 18 months. Thirteen patients did not complete the follow up on lithium (mean time on lithium 3.92 months). At the end of the follow-up period, 21 patients (56.8%) relapsed, and the relapse rate was three times higher among patients who discontinued lithium earlier.

2. Severe mood dysregulation

Dickstein et al. [97] assessed the efficacy and safety of lithium in youth with severe mood dysregulation (SMD) [98], a new clinical diagnosis close to the disruptive mood dysregulation disorder, included by DSM 5 within the category of Depressive Disorders. Forty-five patients participated, but 20 were not randomized due to a significant clinical improvement during the placebo run-in phase, so 25 SMD patients, aged 7 to 17 years, entered the 6 weeks, randomized, double blind trial (14 on lithium, 11 on placebo). No significant between-group differences in clinical outcomes (CGI-I and Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale factor 4 score -sum of excitement, hostility, uncooperativeness, poor impulse control, other secondary outcomes) were detected (effect size= 0.23). The study has some strengths (placebo run-in phase, associated magnetic resonance spectroscopy study supporting the clinical findings), but the number of the randomized patients is very small.
3. Unipolar Depression

Lithium was also evaluated by Geller et al. [99] for the treatment of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) in 30 pre-pubertal children (6-12 years) with a family history of bipolar disorder (80%) or a multigenerational family history of MDD without bipolar disorder (20%) in a 6-week, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. No significant differences between groups were detected on both continuous and categorical outcomes (CGAS, score at 9 items of K-SADS).

4. Lithium as augmenting medication

Augmentation with lithium was assessed in a 3-week, open label study [100] in 24 youth non-responders after 6 weeks of treatment with imipramine, compared with 10 controls who did not receive lithium augmentation. In the lithium group, 42% of the patients showed evidence of clinical improvement, compared to 10% of the controls; the mean degree of improvement, assessed with Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) [101] was similar between augmented and controls (both statistically significant), and modest in magnitude (reduction of about 14% of HAM-D score in both groups).

5. Conduct disorder/aggression

Malone et al. [102] conducted a 6-week (the first two as placebo run-in), double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled study including 40 patients with conduct disorder, aged from 10 to 17 years. Lithium was statistically and clinically superior to placebo (odds ratio of 9.3 to be a responder in lithium group; 80% of responders in the lithium group, compared to 30% in the placebo group), using several categorical and continuous measures (Global Clinical Judgement Consensus Scale (GCJS), CGI and Overt Aggression Scale (OAS) [103,104]. The same research group [105] previously conducted a small open label trial (4 weeks, 8 patients), supporting the clinical usefulness of lithium, based on OAS and CGI.

On the contrary, in a shorter (2 weeks), single blind, placebo-controlled trial, lithium showed no advantage when compared with placebo in 33 adolescent inpatients with conduct disorder. At the completion of the study, only 1 of 12 patients taking placebo and 3 of 14 taking lithium met remission criteria [106]. The study had a 1-week placebo run in phase, but it is too short to provide conclusive and reliable results.

Campbell et al. [103] reported a 6-week, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial in 50 children aged 5 to 12 years with chronic and treatment resistant aggressiveness in the context of a conduct disorder diagnosis. The study had 2 additional weeks of a placebo run-in phase. Lithium was superior to placebo on several measures (Children’s Psychiatric Rating Scale (CPRS), GCJS, CGI); no effect of lithium was detected on Profile of Mood States ratings (POMS) [107]. In a previous study from the same research group with a similar population and design, 61 children were randomly assigned to haloperidol, lithium or placebo for a 4-week double blind trial. Lithium and haloperidol were both statistically and clinically (GCJS) superior to placebo in reducing aggression, without differences between them [108]. Finally, in another small trial of 11 patients, children treated per a minimum of 8 weeks, lithium carbonate resulted
effective in improving self-control, aggression and irritability [109].

6. Kleine-Levin Syndrome

Kleine-Levin syndrome is a clinical condition characterized by a symptomatological triad of recurrent hypersomnia (mandatory for the diagnosis), with or without hyperphagia, and/or hypersexuality. Perceptual abnormalities and behavioural dyscontrol are common. An incomplete presentation of KLS is more common than the presence of the complete triad, and it can resemble many psychiatric conditions [110]. Poppe and colleagues [111] reported the clinical course of five adolescents with KLS who were treated with lithium. All patients had relapses during lithium treatment, but the treatment was associated with shorter episodes of monosymptomatic hypersomnia without other co-occurring behavioural symptoms. Statistical modelling showed that the risk of relapsing under lithium dropped from 100 % to 93 % per month of therapy, and that the maintenance of lithium shortened the mean duration of episodes to 19 %. Furthermore, the treatment was well tolerated. Leu-Semenescu and colleagues [112] compared the benefits and risks of lithium therapy vs abstention / other treatments in a prospective, open-label, controlled study in 130 patients with KLS. Seventy-one patients (including 40 children) were treated with lithium (median dose 1,000 mg/day); 49 subjects did not receive medications, 5 were on valproate, and 5 were on the contraceptive pill. The characteristics of the disorder (frequency, mean, and longest durations of episodes, time incapacitated per year) were compared before and after follow-up in the lithium vs no-treatment group. The patients were followed up for a mean of 21.5 ± 17.8 months. Compared to the untreated patients, patients receiving lithium presented a decrease of the duration of the longest episode (-18 ± 35 vs -5 ± 13 days), of the time spent incapacitated (-37 ± 65 vs -10 ± 38 days), and of the frequency of episodes per year (-2.6 ± 2.9 vs 1.3 ± 2.78 episodes). Side effects were reported by 50% of the patients in the lithium group, but they were mild, and included tremor, increased drinking, diarrhoea, and subclinical hypothyroidism. In this large study, the benefit/risk ratio of lithium therapy was reported superior to that of abstention. In conclusion, in KLS with a high frequency of episodes and severe behavioural changes, lithium represent a favourable treatment option, as evidences suggest that it can decrease frequency and duration of the episodes.

7) Fragile X Syndrome (FXS)

Fragile X syndrome (FXS) results in a loss of Fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP) expression, and characteristically presents with intellectual disability and a characteristic behavioural profile that includes autism spectrum disorder, ADHD, sensory hypersensitivity, hyperarousal, and anxiety [113]. Abnormal neurodevelopment is thought to result from the epigenetic silencing of FMR1 and the consequent absence of its protein product, influencing glutamate signalling, memory, and regulation of the critical serine/threonine regulatory kinase, glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3) [114].

Lithium treatment has been studied extensively in both mouse and fruit fly models of FXS, and it has been shown to reverse numerous behavioural, physiological, cellular, and molecular phenotypes [115].
In humans, Berry-Kravis et al. [116] conducted a pilot add-on trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of lithium, titrated to levels of 0.8-1.2 mEq/L, in 15 individuals with FXS, ages 6-23. The primary outcome measure was the Aberrant Behaviour Checklist -Community Edition (ABC-C) Irritability Subscale [117] and secondary outcome measures were other ABC-C subscales, clinical global improvement scale (CGI), visual analogue scale for behaviour (VAS), Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scale (VABS), [118], exploratory cognitive and psychophysiological measures and an extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) activation assay [119]. These measures were administered at baseline and after 2 months of lithium treatment. Side effects were quantified with a standardized checklist and lithium blood level, complete blood count (CBC), thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), and chemistry, at the baseline, after 2 weeks, 4 weeks and 2 months [116]. The only significant treatment-related side effects were polyuria/polydipsia (n = 7) and elevated TSH (n = 4). Although the ABC-C Irritability Subscale showed only a trend toward improvement, there was significant improvement in the Total ABC-C score (p = 0.005), VAS (p = 0.003), CGI (p = 0.002), VABS Maladaptive Behaviour Subscale (p = 0.007), RBANS List Learning (p = 0.03), and an enhanced ERK activation rate (p = 0.007), although several exploratory tasks were too difficult for lower-functioning FXS subjects. Results from this study are consistent with results in mouse and fly models of FXS, and suggest that lithium is well tolerated, and may provide functional benefits in FXS, possibly by modifying the underlying neural defect [116].

8. Haematological Uses of Lithium

Lithium carbonate produces neutrophilia and increases circulating CD34+ cells of marrow origin [120]. Lithium increases G-CSF (Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor), and augments G-CSF effects. In bone marrow transplantation, pre-harvest lithium-assisted hematopoietic stem cell mobilization may be useful as well [120]. Use of lithium during hematological investigations was considered in the 1980s, especially for the treatment of aplastic anaemia and congenital neutropenia, but no definitive use in haematology has emerged. In a first randomized trial assessing lithium in chemotherapy-induced myelosuppression [121], authors reported that lithium reduced the time period of leukopenia during which patients may acquire infections. The same group [122] reported on a trial of patients (1-21 years old) with various bone tumours such as osteosarcoma, Ewing’s sarcoma, or rhabdomyosarcoma receiving oxymetholone, randomized to lithium or lithium plus oxymetholone after chemotherapy. Seventy-one patients with lithium, 63 with both drugs, and 79 in the control group, were compared. White blood cell count and neutrophil nadirs were better in both treatment groups than in the controls (p = 0.001), but an additive effect of oxymetholone above and over lithium alone was seen only in patients under 15 years old (p = 0.05). The median duration of severe neutropenia (absolute neutrophil count less than 1000/cm3) was 6.2 days/patient in the control group, but only 4.5 days/patient and 3.8 days/patient in the lithium and lithium plus oxymetholone groups, respectively (p = 0.0001).
Regarding chronic neutropenia, a case series of 5 patients [123] described an ameliorating effect of lithium in 2 patients, one of them with substantial and persisting normalization of neutrophil counts. No toxic adverse events occurred. Authors argued that lithium may be effective in clinical conditions where the colony-stimulating activity was low.

Based on these observations, lithium has been proposed for the treatment of clozapine-induced neutropenia. Mattai and colleagues [124] conducted a systematic audit of 7 patients with Childhood Onset Schizophrenia (COS) who developed neutropenia during clozapine treatment, in order to explore the management of neutropenia and concomitant use of lithium to counter the neutropenia. After initiation of lithium, absolute neutrophil count (ANC) increased significantly in six out of seven subjects by 29% to 106% with a mean of 66%. In addition, six out of seven subjects continued using both clozapine and lithium for over 2 years (range: 2.0 to 7.2 years) [124].

Safety of lithium in children and adolescents

Long-term naturalistic studies, namely those in an active pharmacovigilance context, and dataset studies, are mostly able to detect the frequency and the intensity of adverse events (above all, rare adverse events) of a specific treatment. No studies of this type are available for lithium in children and adolescents. We thus based our considerations on the safety sections of the longer or larger trials described above.

In the TEAM study, from baseline up to 8 weeks, lithium was associated with moderate weight gain (from 40.2 to 41.6 Kg), increase of calcium (from 9.5 to 9.7 mg/dl), and thyrotropin level (from 2.1 to 5.2 mIU/l), decrease of urine specific gravity (from 1021 to 1013), prolongation of electrocardiogram PR (from 127 to 140 msec) and QTc (404 to 414 msec) intervals. Compared to risperidone, the magnitude of weight gain (and other metabolic parameters such as BMI and prolactin) was lower, whereas thyroid dysfunction is specific to lithium. Adverse events that increased their frequency from baseline to at least 1 week were: abdominal pain, weight loss, weight gain, nausea, vomiting, headache, dry mouth, nasal congestion, frequent urination, enuresis, excessive thirst.

In the double-blind study by Findling et al. [86], no participants discontinued the study due to lack of tolerability. All the side effects involving at least 5% of participants and with a frequency at least twice than placebo were all mild to moderate in severity, namely vomiting, nausea, headache. Vomiting and nausea vanished after respectively 7.3, 14.7 days, and sometimes following a dose reduction. No statistical difference was evident between lithium and placebo with respect to weight gain. A statistically significant increase in thyrotropin concentration of 3.0 ± 3.1 mIU/L was observed in the lithium group, compared with -0.1 ± 0.9 mIU/L with placebo (P < .001). In the open label continuation study (16 weeks) [88], no serious adverse events were reported. The most common adverse events (at least 20% of the participants) were vomiting, headache, abdominal pain, tremor and weight gain.

In the Findling et al. study [89] which followed patients up to 18 months, adverse events reported by > 10% of the 30 patients in the lithium arm were: vomiting, headache, tremor, enuresis, stomach pain, nausea, diarrhea, decrease appetite, increased thirst, upper respiratory congestion, fever, sore throat;
roughly, they are similar to those reported in the valproic acid arm.

In summary, all these studies indicate that gastrointestinal symptoms (namely, vomiting, nausea, diarrhea, decrease appetite, stomach pain), urinary symptoms (frequent urination, enuresis, increased thirst), headache and tremor are relatively frequent, but they rarely require drug discontinuation. Gastrointestinal symptoms are usually reported in the first weeks, often tend to decrease with time, but sometimes a dose reduction is needed. Increased thyreotropin level is frequent, usually with normal levels of thyroid hormones, while increased weight gain is inconstant and moderate. Cardiac effect are statistically, but not clinically significant. However, long term studies specifically designed to assess safety issues are lacking.

Discussion

After a survey of possible mechanisms of action of lithium, and data about pharmacokinetics in youth, we have provided a critical revision of literature regarding clinical uses, efficacy and safety of lithium in children and adolescents. Good evidence supports the efficacy of lithium as a possible first line treatment of pediatric bipolar disorder. It appears particularly effective in acute manic phases, as well as in the maintenance phase of the disorder, preventing relapses [83,86]. In acute mania, second generation antipsychotics (namely risperidone) may be more effective than lithium [83], and a possible mediator of risperidone superiority may be ADHD comorbidity (both diagnosis and severity), as risperidone and lithium had a similar response rate in patients without ADHD. This is consistent with the older study by Strober et al [95] that revealed a poorer response of lithium in patients with a prior history of ADHD. It is possible that lithium affects specifically the mood, whereas risperidone (and possibly other antipsychotics, (see also Masi et al. [125,126] and Kirino [127] for quetiapine and aripiprazole) have a wider effect, on mood dysregulation, hyperactivity and impulsivity. Safety profile, namely weight gain and risk of metabolic syndrome, were more favorable with lithium.

More controversial is the efficacy of lithium on depressive symptoms of bipolar youth. Although some evidences suggest a possible efficacy [74,84], others are discouraging [86]. Studies from adult patients indicate a possible efficacy of lithium of suicidality [2], particularly frequent in adolescents with unipolar and bipolar mood disorders. Further studies may be able to more closely disentangle the specific effect of different mood stabilizers and antipsychotics of (hypo)manic and depressive symptoms (or phases).

Evidence supporting a possible use of lithium in unipolar depression and severe mood dysregulation is even scantier. Both the randomized controlled studies are negative [97,99]; the open label combination study of partial responders to Imipramine [100] showed modest results. A possible indication of a combined antidepressant-lithium treatment may be represented by adolescents who committed suicide and are still depressed, as indicated by the Treatment of Suicidal Adolescent (TASA) study [128, 129].

Efficacy of lithium in aggressive youth is supported by two old, controlled trial [102,103], as well by large retrospective studies [130]. Given the strong effect of lithium on impulsivity, this kind of aggression, overt, affective and impulsive, may be more sensitive to lithium, compared to the more
proactive and callous aggression, as already suggested in the literature [130].

Some evidence support also the use of lithium in a rare, or, more precisely, rarely diagnosed syndrome, such as the Kleine Levin syndrome. Lithium may be useful in reducing the frequency and the intensity of hypersomnia, cognitive impairment, apathy episodes, and even more, in controlling emotional and behavioral dyscontrol and hypersexuality. These findings are consistent with some reviews [131, 110], indicating that lithium was useful in about 40% of patients for stopping relapses when compared to no treatment (19%).

Evidence supporting a possible role of lithium in fragile-X syndrome need randomized, controlled studies on larger samples, although the possible role of lithium on the underline genetic defect is potentially intriguing [116].

The use of lithium to manage neutropenia in children and adolescents assuming clozapine for Childhood Onset Schizophrenia (COS) is of relevant clinical significance. Children with COS are often treatment resistant, and clozapine may be the most effective, if not the unique, pharmacological treatment [132]. When neutropenia occurs during this treatment, lithium can represent the most effective strategy for a rechallenge, namely when alternative treatment are ineffective. However, this strategy is not without risks, and a close monitoring of these patients, for persisting of worsening neutropenia, as well as for other complications (i.e., increased risk of Neuroleptic Malignant Syndrome) is warranted.

Surprisingly, despite its use in adults [133], we did not find evidence for the use of lithium in any kind of headaches in children and adolescents. Given the tolerability profile of lithium (see below), this area of research can be enhanced.

Other unexplored areas of possible utilization of lithium, such as the emotional and behavioral dysregulation, irritability and aggression of youth with intellectual disability and/or autism spectrum disorders, may be explored, namely when second generation antipsychotics are associated with metabolic side effects. A retrospective chart review (not included in this review) [134] suggests promising results, as well as a good tolerability. Again, a RCT would be welcome.

Most of the studies suggest a relatively good tolerability of lithium, namely in comparison with the well-known risks of most of the second generation antipsychotics [135,136]. Adverse events (AE) are generally rare and mild to moderate, above all weight gain, diabetes and dyslipidemia, who, on the contrary, represent the most troublesome AE during SGA treatment [137,138]. Also cardiac AE (i.e., QTc prolongation) seem less concerning, compared to antipsychotics. Concerns about some electrocardiographic findings was raised by the TEAM study [83], but other studies did not report electrocardiographic issues, nor serious cardiac events. Monitoring of thyroid and kidney functions is mandatory, although the raise of thyrotropin concentration was generally below a frank hypothyroidism level [83]. Large naturalistic pharmacovigilance studies, as well as dataset studies on these aspects, are not available. Given the chronic nature of the disorders for which lithium is indicated, long-term studies addressing the safety of lithium in large populations, including thyroid, kidney and heart functioning, are clearly welcomed.
In summary, lithium is a milestone in the treatment of various symptoms in various neuropsychiatric disorders, first of all the manic symptoms of bipolar disorders, and the impulsive aggression. Other uses are still viable options, e.g. to treat depressive symptoms in the context of bipolar disorders, or to manage unipolar depression in treatment resistant youth, with suicidal attempts, non suicidal self injuries of other impulsive behaviors. A re-discovery of the use of lithium in children and adolescents is desirable, based on the new insights on his mechanisms of action, on the possible role of biomarkers of efficacy, and in the light of a reassuring safety profile.
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