Reduction of low density lipoprotein-cholesterol and cardiovascular events with proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors and statins: an analysis of FOURIER, SPIRE, and the Cholesterol Treatment Trialists Collaboration
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Treatment with proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors reduce low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) by approximately 45–60%, whether used alone or in combination with a statin.1,2 Two large cardiovascular outcomes trials have now reported that lowering LDL-C with a PCSK9 inhibitor when added to treatment with a statin reduces the risk of major cardiovascular events.3,4 We sought to compare the efficacy of PCSK9 inhibitors and statins for reducing the risk of cardiovascular events by comparing the results of the FOURIER and SPIRE trials with the results of the Cholesterol Treatment Trialists (CTT) meta-analysis of statin trials.5,6

In the FOURIER trial, 27,564 patients with cardiovascular disease and LDL-C levels above 1.8 mmol/L (70 mg/dL) on statin therapy were randomized to either 140 mg every 2 weeks (or 420 mg monthly) of evolocumab subcutaneously or matching placebo.3 At 48 weeks, treatment with evolocumab reduced LDL-C by 59%, from a baseline level of 2.4 mmol/L (92 mg/dL) to 0.78 mmol/L (30 mg/dL). Using the CTT method of imputation for missing values, this translated into a 1.4 mmol/L (53.4 mg/dL) absolute difference in LDL-C between the two treatment groups. After a median follow-up of 26 months (2.2 years), treatment with evolocumab reduced the incidence of the composite primary cardiovascular endpoint of cardiovascular death (CVD), myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, coronary revascularization, or hospitalization for unstable angina by 15%, from 11.3 to 9.8% (hazard ratio 0.85, 95% CI: 0.79–0.92, P < 0.001). The key secondary endpoint of CVD, MI, or stroke was reduced by 20%, from 7.4 to 5.9% (HR 0.80, 95% CI: 0.73–0.88, P < 0.001). When measured per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C, treatment with evolocumab reduced the risk of the primary outcome by 11.0% (HR 0.89, 95% CI: 0.84–0.94) per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C, and reduced the key secondary endpoint by 14.7% (HR 0.85, 95% CI: 0.80–0.91) per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C. The magnitude of this effect appears to be slightly less than the 22% reduction in risk (HR 0.78, 95% CI: 0.76–0.80) per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C during treatment with a statin as reported by CTT collaboration (P for difference = 1.6 × 10⁻⁵ for primary outcome; P = 0.015 for secondary outcome).5,6

Similarly, in two large-scale cardiovascular outcomes trials designated as SPIRE-1 and SPIRE-2, a total of 27,438 participants with either a history of cardiovascular disease, familial hypercholesterolaemia or who were at high risk for cardiovascular disease were randomized to either 150 mg every 2 weeks of bococizumab subcutaneously or matching placebo.4 The SPIRE trials were stopped early due to high...
rates of the development of neutralizing antidrug antibodies that resulted in an attenuation of the LDL-cholesterol lowering effect of bococizumab over time. The short duration of follow-up limits the usefulness of the findings in these prematurely terminated trials, but do shed some light on the effects of this class of drug. Because the median follow-up prior to discontinuation of the SPIRE-1 trial was only 7 months, we limit our analysis to the results of the SPIRE-2 trial which had a median follow-up of 12 months.

Among 10,621 patients with a baseline LDL-C greater than 2.6 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) enrolled in the SPIRE-2 trial, treatment with bococizumab reduced LDL-C by 54.6% at 14 weeks as compared to placebo which attenuated to a 40.6% reduction at 52 weeks, resulting in a reduction in LDL-C from a baseline level of 3.5 mmol/L (133.9 mg/dL) to 2.1 mmol/L (79.5 mg/dL) or a 1.5 mmol/L (57.3 mg/dL) absolute difference in LDL-C between the two treatment groups at 1 year. After a median follow-up of 1.0 year, treatment with bococizumab reduced the incidence of the primary composite outcome of CVD, MI, stroke, or hospitalization for unstable angina requiring urgent coronary revascularization by 21%, from 4.2 to 3.2% (HR of 0.79, 95% CI: 0.65–0.97, P = 0.02), and reduced the key secondary outcome of CVD, MI or stroke by 26%, from 3.6 to 2.7% (HR of 0.74, 95% CI: 0.60–0.92, P = 0.0007). When measured per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C, treatment with bococizumab reduced the risk of the primary outcome by 14.5% (HR of 0.85, 95% CI: 0.75–0.95) per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C, and reduced the key secondary endpoint by 18.2% (HR of 0.82, 95% CI: 0.71–0.94) per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C.

As observed in the FOURIER trial, the magnitude of this effect appears to be slightly less than the 22% reduction in risk per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C during treatment with a statin (P for difference = 0.19 for primary outcome; P = 0.52 for secondary outcome).

Indeed, when plotted on the CTT regression line, the results of the FOURIER trial does appear to fall slightly below the regression line describing the average expected benefit from treatment with a statin (Figure 1A). However, this may not be a fair comparison. It should be noted that the CTT regression line is based on the observed reduction in risk per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C over an average of 5 years of treatment with a statin. It is well recognized from the CTT meta-analysis that statins are associated with only a 10–12% reduction in cardiovascular events per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C during the first year of treatment, followed by a 22–24% reduction in risk per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C during each subsequent year of treatment (Table 1). Therefore, due to the short duration of follow-up for both the FOURIER (2.2 years) and early-terminated SPIRE-2 (1 year) trials, the relevant analysis would be to compare the effect of PCSK9 inhibitors with the effect of statins on the risk of cardiovascular events per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C for the same total duration of therapy or during each year of treatment.

We can compare the effect of PCSK9 inhibitors and statins during each year of therapy and for the same total duration of therapy by first noting that the CTT Collaborators have indeed already reported the effect of treatment with a statin on the risk of cardiovascular...
The observed reduction in risk of major cardiovascular events per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C by duration of treatment in the statin and PCSK9 trials

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of treatment in CTT</th>
<th>No. of events</th>
<th>HR (95% CI) per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C by duration of treatment in CTT</th>
<th>HR (95% CI) for cumulative reduction in LDL-C over a mean of 5.1 years</th>
<th>HR (95% CI) by median duration of treatment in PCSK9 Trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>7,494</td>
<td>0.88 (0.84–0.93)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.79–0.97)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.79–0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>4,757</td>
<td>0.77 (0.73–0.82)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.71–0.89)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.77–0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>4,592</td>
<td>0.72 (0.67–0.77)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.76–0.80)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.76–0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>4,371</td>
<td>0.72 (0.68–0.76)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.78 (0.76–0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>1,864</td>
<td>0.76 (0.69–0.85)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.78 (0.76–0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>24,323</td>
<td>0.78 (0.76–0.80)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.78 (0.76–0.80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall estimate of the effect of statin therapy per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C over a mean of 5.1 years is derived by combining the effect of statin therapy per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C during each year of treatment (column 3) for all treatment periods in a fixed effects inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis as described by the CTT collaboration. The HR (95% CI) for the effect of statin therapy per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C for any period of total duration of treatment (column 4) is derived by re-drawing the CTT regression line to estimate the effect of statins on the risk of cardiovascular events for various durations of total treatment as shown in Figure 1B.

When analysed in this way, the PCSK9 inhibitors and statins appear to have remarkably similar effects on the risk of cardiovascular events for the same duration of therapy (Table 1). In the SPIRE-2 trial, 1 year of treatment with bococizumab reduced the risk of major vascular events (CVD, MI, stroke, or urgent revascularization) by 14.5% per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C (HR: 0.85, 95% CI: 0.75–0.98), which is very similar to the 12% reduction in major vascular events after 1 year of treatment with a statin in the CTT meta-analysis. Similarly, in the FOURIER trial, 2.2 years of treatment with evolocumab reduced the risk of major vascular events by 16% per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C (HR: 0.84, 95% CI: 0.80–0.88), which is nearly identical to the 17% reduction in major vascular events after 2 years of treatment with a statin in the CTT meta-analysis. Indeed, when the results of the FOURIER and SPIRE-2 trials are plotted on the separate CTT regression lines recalculated for each duration of therapy, they agree very closely with the results observed in the statin trials (Figure 1B).

Similarly, the PCSK9 inhibitors and statins also appear to have remarkably similar effects on the risk of cardiovascular events during each year of treatment (Figure 2). In a combined analysis of the FOURIER and SPIRE-2 trials, treatment with either evolocumab or bococizumab during the first year of treatment reduced the risk of multiple different cardiovascular outcomes by 11–16%, which is nearly identical to the 4–16% reduction in risk per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C observed during the first year of treatment in the statin trials. Similarly, in the FOURIER trial, treatment with evolocumab during the second year of the trial reduced the risk of multiple cardiovascular outcomes by 18–23% per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C, which is very similar to the 22–25% reduction in risk for these same outcomes observed during the second year of treatment in the statin trials (Figure 2). Together, these analyses demonstrate that treatment with PCSK9 inhibitors and statins have nearly identical effects on the risk of cardiovascular events per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C when compared by total duration of therapy and during each year of treatment.

This conclusion is strongly supported by the results of a recent Mendelian randomization study that demonstrated that genetic variants that mimic the effect of PCSK9 inhibitors and statins have nearly identical effects on the risk of cardiovascular disease per unit change in LDL-C. These data suggested that inhibition of PCSK9 and HMG-CoA reductase (the target of statins) have biologically equivalent effects on the risk of cardiovascular events per unit change in LDL-C, and therefore explicitly predicted that PCSK9 inhibitors and statins should therefore have therapeutically equivalent effects on the risk of cardiovascular events per unit change in LDL-C. As described above, this is exactly what the FOURIER and SPIRE-2 trials showed (Figure 2). The remarkable concordance between the naturally randomized genetic evidence, the CTT meta-analysis of statin trials,
Figure 2 Boxes represent effect estimates and lines represent 95% confidence intervals. (A) Effect of variants that mimic proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors as compared to variants that mimic statins on the risk of various cardiovascular outcomes per 0.25 mmol/L reduction in low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C). (B) Effect of PCSK9 inhibitors per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C in a meta-analysis of the FOURIER and SPIRE-2 trials during the first year of treatment as compared with the effect of statins during the first year of treatment per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C as reported by the Cholesterol Treatment Trialists (CTT) Collaboration. (C) Effect of PCSK9 inhibitors in the FOURIER trial per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C during the second year of treatment as compared to the effect of statins during the second year of treatment per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C as reported by the CTT.
and the results of the FOURIER and SPIRE-2 trials when considered both by total duration of therapy and during each year of treatment clearly demonstrates that PCSK9 inhibitors and statins have equivalent effects on the risk of cardiovascular events per unit change in LDL-C. Furthermore, this concordance strongly suggests that the effect of both PCSK9 inhibitors and statins on the risk of cardiovascular events is due entirely to the absolute achieved reduction in LDL-C rather than to potential pleiotropic effects.

The fact that the clinical benefit of both PCSK9 inhibitors and statins depends on the absolute magnitude of the achieved LDL-C reduction and the total duration of treatment has important implications for the on-going ODYSSEY OUTCOMES trial. This trial randomized 18,600 patients to biweekly injections of alirocumab (initially 75 mg adjusted to 150 mg in a blinded fashion to achieve an LDL-C value of between 15 and 50 mg/dL with dose adjustment for patients with LDL-C below 15 mg/dL) or matching placebo beginning 1 to 12 months after an index hospitalization for acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina. Assuming that the tailored-dose approach will lead to a 50% reduction in LDL-C, treatment with alirocumab should reduce LDL-C by approximately 1.1 mmol/L (43.2 mg/dL) from a baseline LDL-C level of 2.2 mmol/L (86.4 mg/dL). Importantly, in the CTT meta-analysis, treatment with a statin reduced major cardiovascular events by 17% after 2 years of treatment and by 20% after 3 years of treatment (Table 1). Therefore, based on an expected median follow-up of 33 months (2.75 years), reducing LDL-C by 1.1 mmol/L with alirocumab should reduce the risk of major cardiovascular events by approximately 18–22% in the ODYSSEY OUTCOMES trial.

Finally, it is important to note that treatment with a PCSK9 inhibitor was very safe even with very low absolute achieved LDL-C levels in the FOURIER and SPIRE trials. There was no evidence of any increased risk of neurocognitive effects or cataracts in either trial. By contrast, there was a numerically greater number of patients who experienced new onset diabetes in the FOURIER trial (HR: 1.05, 95% CI: 0.95–1.17, \( P = 0.34 \)) and among patients with the lowest achieved LDL-C (at least one LDL-C value < 25 mg/dL) in the SPIRE trials (HR: 1.21, 95% CI: 0.99–1.49, \( P = 0.07 \)). In addition, treatment with bococizumab was associated with a 1.74 mg/dL increase in fasting serum glucose (95% CI: 0.56–2.92, \( P = 0.004 \)) in the SPIRE trials. Five of these findings are consistent with a meta-analysis of statin trials demonstrating that treatment with statin is associated with a small increase in the risk of diabetes, and with Mendelian randomization studies demonstrating that variants that mimic PCSK9 inhibitors and statins are associated with a similar increased risk of diabetes per unit change in LDL-C. It is important to note, however, that the naturally randomized genetic evidence suggests that only persons with impaired fasting glucose are at risk for PCSK9 or statin induced new onset diabetes. Additional analysis of the FOURIER, SPIRE, and ODESSEY trials stratified by fasting glucose level should provide more insight into whether there is a clinically relevant effect of PCSK9 inhibitors on the risk of new onset diabetes.

In conclusion, the results of the FOURIER and SPIRE trials demonstrate that lowering LDL-C with a PCSK9 inhibitor reduces the risk of major cardiovascular events by the same amount as statins per mmol/L reduction in LDL-C. The magnitude of the observed risk reduction in the FOURIER and SPIRE trials was exactly what would have been expected based on the Cholesterol Treatment Trialists meta-analysis of statin trials when the effect of PCSK9 inhibitors and statins are compared either by total duration of treatment or by the observed effect during each year of treatment. The remarkable concordance between the naturally randomized genetic evidence, the results of the CTT meta-analysis of statin trials and the results of PCSK9 inhibitor cardiovascular outcomes trials demonstrates that PCSK9 inhibitors and statins reduce the risk of cardiovascular events proportional to the absolute achieved reduction in LDL-C and the total duration of therapy.
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