Accepted Manuscript

External Validation Of Equations To Estimate Resting Energy Expenditure In 14952 Adults With Overweight And Obesity And 1948 Adults With Normal Weight From Italy

Giorgio Bedogni, Simona Bertoli, Alessandro Leone, Ramona de Amicis, Elisa Lucchetti, Fiorenza Agosti, Nicoletta Marazzi, Alberto Battezzati, Alessandro Sartorio

PII: S0261-5614(17)31409-7

DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.11.011

Reference: YCLNU 3308

To appear in: Clinical Nutrition

Received Date: 7 February 2017

Revised Date: 1 July 2017

Accepted Date: 17 November 2017

Please cite this article as: Bedogni G, Bertoli S, Leone A, de Amicis R, Lucchetti E, Agosti F, Marazzi N, Battezzati A, Sartorio A, External Validation Of Equations To Estimate Resting Energy Expenditure In 14952 Adults With Overweight And Obesity And 1948 Adults With Normal Weight From Italy, *Clinical Nutrition* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.11.011.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT **EXTERNAL VALIDATION OF EQUATIONS TO ESTIMATE RESTING ENERGY** 1 **EXPENDITURE IN 14952 ADULTS WITH OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY AND 1948** 2 ADULTS WITH NORMAL WEIGHT FROM ITALY 3 4 Giorgio BEDOGNI^{1, 2*}, Simona BERTOLI^{1*}, Alessandro LEONE¹, Ramona DE AMICIS¹, 5 Elisa LUCCHETTI³, Fiorenza AGOSTI³, Nicoletta MARAZZI³, Alberto BATTEZZATI^{1**}, 6 Alessandro SARTORIO 3,4 ** 7 8 ¹ International Center for the Assessment of Nutritional Status (ICANS), University of Milan, 9 Milan, Italy. 10 11 ² Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Liver Research Center, Basovizza, Trieste, Italy. 12 13 ³ Istituto Auxologico Italiano, IRCCS, Laboratorio Sperimentale Ricerche Auxo-14 15 endocrinologiche, Milan and Verbania, Italy. 16 ⁴ Istituto Auxologico Italiano, IRCCS, Divisione di Auxologia, Verbania, Italy. 17 18 * These authors share first autorship; ** these authors share last autorship. 19 20 Keywords: overweight, obesity, adults, resting energy expenditure, indirect calorimetry, 21 prediction equations. 22 23

24 **Running title**: External validation of REE equations.

- Funding: The study was supported by internal grants from the International Center for the
 Assessment of Nutritional Status and the Italian Institute of Auxology (Progetti di Ricerca
 Corrente).
- 28
- 29 Send correspondence to: Dr Giorgio Bedogni, International Center for the Assessment of
- 30 Nutritional Status (ICANS), Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences
- 31 (DEFENS), University of Milan, Via Botticelli 21, 20133 Milano, Italy. Phone: +39-02-5031
- 32 6643; Fax: +39-02-50216077; E-mail: giorgiobedogni@gmail.com.

33 ABSTRACT

34

Background & aims: We cross-validated 28 equations to estimate resting energy
 expenditure (REE) in a very large sample of adults with overweight or obesity.

37

Methods: 14952 Caucasian men and women with overweight or obesity and 1498 with normal weight were studied. REE was measured using indirect calorimetry and estimated using two meta-regression equations and 26 other equations. The correct classification fraction (CCF) was defined as the fraction of subjects whose estimated REE was within 10% of measured REE.

43

Results: The highest CCF was 79%, 80%, 72%, 64%, and 63% in subjects with normal weight, overweight, class 1 obesity, class 2 obesity, and class 3 obesity, respectively. The Henry weight and height and Mifflin equations performed equally well with CCFs of 77% vs. 77% for subjects with normal weight, 80% vs. 80% for those with overweight, 72% vs. 72% for those with class 1 obesity, 64% vs. 63% for those with class 2 obesity, and 61% vs. 60% for those with class 3 obesity. The Sabounchi meta-regression equations offered an improvement over the above equations only for class 3 obesity (63%).

51

Conclusions: The accuracy of REE equations decreases with increasing values of body
 mass index. The Henry weight & height and Mifflin equations are similarly accurate and
 the Sabounchi equations offer an improvement only in subjects with class 3 obesity.

55 **ABBREVIATIONS**

- 56
- 57 BMI = body mass index
- ⁵⁸ eREE = estimated resting energy expenditure
- 59 FFM = fat-free mass
- 60 FM = fat mass
- Ht = height
- 62 ICANS = International Center for the Assessment of Nutritional Status
- 63 IQR = interquartile range
- 64 mREE = measured resting energy expenditure
- 65 NIH = National Institutes of Health
- 66 REE = resting energy expenditure
- 67 RQ = respiratory quotient
- ⁶⁸ TEE = total energy expenditure
- 69 Wt = weight

70 INTRODUCTION

71

An evaluation of individual energy expenditure is important to deliver effective weight loss programs. Total energy expenditure (TEE) is most commonly calculated from measured (mREE) or estimated (eREE) resting energy expenditure (REE) using a constant correction for the thermic effect of food and a variable correction for physical activity (1).

76

As reviewed by Madden et al. (2), REE (kcal·day⁻¹) is higher in subjects with than in those 77 without obesity. This is explained by the expansion of fat-free mass (FFM) that 78 accompanies the expansion of fat mass (FM) in most subjects with obesity, with the 79 exception of those with genetic obesities such as the Prader-Willi syndrome (3). However, 80 REE standardized on body weight (kcal day⁻¹ kg⁻¹) is lower in obesity because FM, which 81 contributes to REE much less than FFM, accounts for most of the weight of subjects with 82 obesity. Body weight is included in most prediction equations because it explains the 83 greatest portion of REE variability (1). Mostly because the REE-weight relationship differs 84 in subjects with and without obesity, population-specific equations are considered to be 85 needed for subjects with obesity (2). 86

87

Sabounchi et al. (4) have recently developed REE meta-regression equations for 20 population groups by pooling the algorithms produced by 47 studies. The 20 population groups are defined on the basis of race, sex and age and the coefficients of the metaregression equations are weighted averages of the same coefficients across the available equations for a given population. The attractiveness of the Sabounchi equations lies in the fact that the aggregation of different studies is expected to provide more generalizable estimates. The Sabounchi equations have presently undergone external validation only in

a small sample of 30 subjects with values of body mass index (BMI) ranging from 19 to 39 kg·m⁻² (5).

97

Madden et al. (2) have recently performed a systematic review of the equations used to estimate REE in adults with overweight and obesity. They evaluated the accuracy of 28 equations that had been cross-validated in external populations. Equations based on simple anthropometric and demographic characteristics were chosen so that they could be easily employed in clinical practice. The conclusion of the systematic review of Madden et al. (2) was that no single equation provided accurate estimates of REE in adults with overweight and obesity.

105

The aim of the present study was to externally validate the meta-regression equations of
 Sabounchi et al. (4) and those systematically reviewed by Madden et al. (2) in subjects
 with overweight or obesity using subjects with normal weight as comparator.

109

110 MATERIALS AND METHODS

111

112 Study design

113

We retrospectively collected the data of consecutive Caucasian men and women followed between January 2009 and June 2017 at the International Center for the Assessment of Nutritional Status (ICANS, Milan, Italy) and at the Italian Institute of Auxology (Verbania, Italy). The REE of the subjects with overweight and obesity was measured at the inception of a weight-loss program at both Centers. The REE of the subjects with normal weight was measured only at ICANS, which offers weight-maintaining and nutrition counseling programs also for subjects with normal weight. The inclusion criteria were: 1) age \geq 18

years; 2) BMI \ge 18.5 kg·m⁻² and; 3) availability of REE. The exclusion criteria were: 1) 121 syndromic obesity (6); 2) dysthyroidism; 3) use of drugs known to affect energy 122 expenditure (e.g. levothyroxine) and; 4) respiratory quotient (RQ) < 0.67 or > 1.3 (7). The 123 study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Italian Institute of Auxology. 124 125 Anthropometric assessment 126 127 Weight and height were measured following international guidelines (8). BMI was 128 calculated as weight (kg) height (m)⁻² and classified as normal weight (18.5 \leq BMI \leq 24.9 129 $kg \cdot m^{-2}$), overweight (25.0 \leq BMI \leq 29.9 $kg \cdot m^{-2}$), class 1 obesity (30.0 \leq BMI \leq 34.9 $kg \cdot m^{-2}$), 130

class 2 obesity $(35.0 \le BMI \le 39.9 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2)$, and class 3 obesity $(BMI \ge 40.0 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2)$ (9).

132

133 **REE measurement**

134

In both study centers, REE was measured between 8:00 and 10:00 AM in thermo-neutral 135 conditions using an open-circuit indirect calorimeter equipped with a canopy (Vmax 29, 136 Sensor Medics, Yorba Linda, CA). Each indirect calorimeter underwent an ethanol burning 137 test at least one time per year during the study period. The gas analyzers were calibrated 138 before each test using a reference gas mixture made of 15% O₂ and 5% CO₂. The 139 subjects were in the fasting state from at least 8 hours, were not smoking from at least 1 140 hour, and waited at least 30 minutes in the sitting position before undergoing REE 141 measurement. REE was measured in the supine position for at least 30 minutes, including 142 an acclimation period of 10 minutes. The data relative to the acclimation period were 143 discarded. The steady state was defined as at least 5 minutes with less than 5% variation 144 in RQ, less than 10% variation in O₂ consumption, and less than 10% variation in minute 145 ventilation (7). After the steady state was reached, O₂ consumption and CO₂ production 146

- were recorded at intervals of one minute for at least 20 minutes and averaged over the
 whole measurement period. REE was calculated from O₂ consumption and CO₂
 production using Weir's equation (10).
- 150
- 151 **REE estimation**
- 152
- REE was estimated using 2 of the 20 Sabounchi meta-regression equations (4) and 26 of
 the 28 equations systematically reviewed by Madden (2).
- 155

The two Sabounchi equations employed for the present study are the so-called S1 156 equations: 1) REE (kcal day⁻¹) = 10.2 weight (kg) + 3.09 height (cm) - 3.09 age (years) + 157 301 for women and, 2) REE (kcal·day⁻¹) = 10.4 weight (kg) + 3.19 height (cm) - 3.10 age 158 (years) + 522 for men. These are the Sabounchi weight and height equations applicable to 159 white men and women aged \geq 18 years and thus to our study subjects (4). Although the 160 equations contributing the most weight to the Sabounchi meta-regression equations were 161 developed at the Italian Institute of Auxology (on a sample of subjects different from that 162 enrolled for the present study) (11), other algorithms were taken into account by the 163 Sabounchi equations (12–14). Moreover, 53% of the present subjects were enrolled at 164 ICANS, which was not involved in the development of the Italian Institute of Auxology REE 165 equations (11). Thus, we considered the Sabounchi equations suitable for our purpose of 166 externally validating REE equations. 167

168

Two of the 28 equations reviewed by Madden et al. (2) had been developed at the Italian Institute of Auxology (on a sample of subjects different from that enrolled for the present study) and were therefore not considered suitable for the present study aimed at validating externally developed equations (15, 16). All the remaining 26 equations (13, 14, 17–21,
21–36) were evaluated in the present study.

174

175 Statistical analysis

176

Most continuous variables were not Gaussian-distributed and all are reported as median 177 (50th percentile) and interguartile range (IQR, 25th and 75th percentiles). Categorical 178 variables are reported as the number and proportion of subjects with the characteristic of 179 interest. Bland-Altman plots of the absolute bias (eREE - mREE) vs. the average bias 180 [(eREE + mREE) / 2] and of the percent bias [(eREE - mREE) / mREE] vs. the average 181 bias were used to investigate the presence of proportional bias (37). The correct 182 classification fraction (CCF) of an equation was defined as the fraction of subjects whose 183 eREE was within 10% of mREE (2). Not unexpectedly (37), proportional bias was detected 184 for almost all equations using both absolute and percent bias (data not shown). Because 185 of this fact and of our primary interest in the CCF of the equations (2), the Bland-Altman 186 limits of agreement were not computed (37). Statistical analysis was performed using 187 Stata 14.2 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). 188

- 189
- 190 **RESULTS**
- 191
- Table 1 gives the anthropometric measurements, the mREE and the eREEs of the 16900studied subjects.
- 194
- 195 Table 1 here
- 196

197	The median (IQR) age of the subjects was 48 (37;57) years and 72.7% of them were
198	women (Table 1). 11.5% of the subjects had a normal weight, 20.9% were overweight,
199	20.5% had class 1 obesity, 20.3% had class 2 obesity, and 26.8% had class 3 obesity
200	(Table 1).
201	
202	Table 2 gives the median (IQR) percent bias of the REE equations stratified by BMI class.
203	Using this criterion, the best equation is that with the median bias nearest to 0 and the
204	narrowest IQR.
205	
206	Table 2 here
207	
208	The median percent bias of the REE equations is also plotted in Figure 1. Using this
209	criterion, the best equation is that with the dot nearest to the 0 value of the Y-axis.
210	
211	Figure 1 here
212	
213	Table 3 gives the CCF, i.e. the proportion of subjects whose eREE was within 10% of
214	mREE. Using this criterion, the best equation is that with the highest CCF. This criterion is
215	more useful than the median (IQR) bias to evaluate the applicability of the REE equations
216	at the individual level (2).
217	
218	Table 3 here
219	
220	The CCF is also plotted in Figure 2. According to this criterion, the best equation is that
221	with the dot corresponding to the highest value on the Y-axis. Looking at Figure 2, it can

be clearly seen that, moving from subjects with normal weight to those with class 3 obesity,

- the CCF of all equations decreases substantially (from 79% to 63% under the best case
 scenario).
- 225

Figure 2 here

227

Among the subjects with normal weight, the highest CCF was associated with the Henry weight (Wt) equation (79%, 95% confidence interval 77 to 81%) followed by the Huang (78%, 76% to 80%), Sabounchi (78%, 76% to 80%), and Mifflin equations (77%, 76% to

231 **79%) (Table 3** and **Figure 2)**.

232

Among the subjects with overweight, the highest CCF was associated with the Henry weight and height (Wt & Ht) (80%, 95% confidence interval 78% to 81%) and the Mifflin equations (80%, 95% confidence interval 78% to 81%) followed by the Huang (78%, 77% to 80%), Henry Wt (78%, 76% to 79%), and Sabounchi equations (77%, 76% to 79%)

237 (**Table 3** and **Figure 2**).

238

Among the subjects with class 1 obesity, the highest CCF was associated with the Mifflin equation (72%, 95% confidence interval 71% to 74%) and the Henry Wt & ht equations (72%, 71% to 74), followed by the Huang (71%, 69% to 72%), and Sabounchi (70%, 69 to 72%) equations (**Table 3** and **Figure 2**).

243

Among the subjects with class 2 obesity, the highest CCF was associated with the Huang equation (65%, 95% confidence interval 64% to 67%) followed by the Sabounchi (64%, 63% to 66%), Henry Wt & ht (64%, 62 to 66%), and Mifflin equations (63%, 61% to 65%) (**Table 3** and **Figure 2**).

248

Lastly, among the subjects with class 3 obesity, the highest CCF was associated with the Huang equation (63%, 95% confidence interval 62 to 65%), followed by the Sabounchi (63%, 61 to 64%), Roza (61%, 59 to 62%), Henry Wt & ht (61%, 59 to 62%), and Mifflin (60%, 59 to 61%) equations (**Table 3** and **Figure 2**).

253

254 **DISCUSSION**

255

In the largest study performed so far on Caucasian adults with overweight and obesity, we
evaluated the accuracy of two of the 20 REE meta-regression equations of Sabounchi et
al. (4) and 26 (13, 14, 17–21, 21–36) of the 28 REE equations systematically reviewed by
Madden et al. (2).

260

In agreement with Madden et al. (2), we found that the Henry Wt & Ht and the Mifflin 261 equations gave similarly accurate predictions of REE. The CCFs for the Mifflin and the 262 WHO equations were better than those obtained in a previous study performed at the 263 Italian Institute of Auxology (11). The greater accuracy of the WHO and Mifflin equations in 264 the present study may be partly explained by a different case-mix of subjects. 53% of the 265 subjects were in fact contributed by ICANS and the remaining 47% were not involved in 266 the previous study performed at the Italian Institute of Auxology (11). The Sabounchi 267 equation performed better than the Henry Wt & Ht and Mifflin equations only in subjects 268 with class 3 obesity. The Sabounchi equation was however paralleled by the Huang 269 equation, which showed also similar or slightly better CCFs for subjects with normal-weight, 270 overweight, class 1 and class 2 obesity. (It is to be noted that the Huang equation is one of 271 those used by Sabounchi to develop the meta-regression equations). It is noteworthy that 272 there was not a clear winner among the REE equations within any given BMI class (Table 273 3) and that an equation developed in the general population, i.e. the Henry Wt & Ht 274

equation, had the same accuracy of one specifically developed in obese subjects, i.e. the
Mifflin equation (**Table 3**).

277

The main strength of the present study is the very large number of enrolled subjects (N = 278 19600) and their balanced distribution within the classes of overweight (N = 3524), degree 279 1 obesity (N = 3464), degree 2 obesity (N = 3429), and degree 3 obesity (N = 4535). 280 Another strength of the present study is that REE was measured using the same 281 instrumentation and protocol at the two study Centers. This is expected to reduce the 282 variability of the bias attributable to the application of the reference method, i.e. indirect 283 calorimetry. Another strength of the present study is the use of a comparator group of 284 subjects with normal weight (N = 1948). We believe that the present study adds 285 substantially to the available data, which were collected mostly on subjects with 286 overweight or class 1 obesity (2). 287

288

The present study has nonetheless two clear limitations. The first limitation is that we 289 studied only Caucasian subjects. Non-Caucasian individuals account for less than 2% of 290 the subjects presently followed at our Centers. The number of non-Caucasian subjects 291 available during the time frame of the study was too low to allow a precise estimate of the 292 bias of the REE equations, especially because stratification on BMI was needed (Tables 2 293 and 3) (2). The second limitation is that our findings may not extend to the general 294 population. This is possibly true also for the subjects with normal weight, because the fact 295 that they sought professional help to maintain their weight and/or ameliorate their diet is 296 likely to select an health-conscious sector of the population. However, if one considers the 297 50th (34.3 kg·m⁻²) and 75th (40.3 kg·m⁻²) percentiles of BMI of our study subjects, it should 298 be clear that subjects with such degree of obesity can be adequately studied only at 299 specialized centers such as ICANS and the Italian Institute of Auxology. 300

The very high number of studied subjects allowed us to obtain precise estimates of the 301 CCF. Because of such precision, we can confidently state that, in our study sample, the 302 Henry Wt & Ht and Mifflin equations perform equally well with a CCF of 77% vs. 77% 303 among subjects with normal weight, 80% vs. 80% among subjects with overweight, 72% 304 vs. 72% among subjects with class 1 obesity, 64% vs. 63% among subjects with class 2 305 obesity, and 60% vs. 60% among subjects with class 3 obesity and that the Sabounchi 306 equations offers an improvement over these equations only in class 3 obesity (CCF = 307 63%). 308

309

The most interesting finding of the present study is that, if one chooses the most accurate 310 equation for a given BMI class, the CCF decreases from 79% among subjects with normal 311 weight and 80% among subjects with overweight to 72% among subjects with class 1 312 obesity to 64% among subjects with class 2 obesity to 63% among subjects with class 3 313 obesity (Table 3 and Figure 2). Thus, the accuracy of REE equations decreases 314 substantially with increasing BMI. This has important practical implications as the higher is 315 the BMI of the subject, the higher is the possibility of having her/his REE misclassified with 316 the currently employed REE equations independently of the fact that they were developed 317 in overweight and obese subjects. 318

319

In conclusion, the accuracy of REE equations decreases with increasing BMI. The Henry Wt & Ht and Mifflin equations are similarly accurate to estimate the REE of subjects with overweight and obesity. The Sabounchi equations are more accurate than these equations only in subjects with class 3 obesity.

324

325 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

326

- 327 We wish to thank the ICANS research staff and especially the dietitians Chiara Caporali,
- 328 Giulia De Carlo, Valentina Giustizieri, Chiara Lessa, Lidia Lewandowski, and Diana Osio
- 329 for their help in the collection of the data used in the present study.
- 330

331 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

- 332
- 333 Study design: Giorgio Bedogni, Simona Bertoli, Alberto Battezzati, Alessandro Sartorio.
- 334
- 335 Data collection: Alessandro Leone, Ramona De Amicis, Elisa Lucchetti, Fiorenza Agosti,
- 336 Nicoletta Marazzi.
- 337
- 338 Manuscript writing: Giorgio Bedogni, Simona Bertoli, Alberto Battezzati, Alessandro
- 339 Sartorio.
- 340
- 341 Data management: Alessandro Leone, Giorgio Bedogni.
- 342
- 343 Statistical analysis: Giorgio Bedogni.

344 **FIGURE CAPTIONS AND LEGENDS**

345

- **Figure 1** Dot chart showing the median percent bias of the REE equations. The best
- equation is that with the dot nearest to the 0 value of the Y-axis.
- 348
- **Figure 2** Dot chart showing the correct classification fraction of the REE equations. The
- 350 best equation is that with the dot corresponding to the highest value on the Y-axis.

CER MAN

	Women	Men	Total
	N = 12281	N = 4619	N = 16900
Center			
Italian Institute of Auxology	5782 (47.1%)	2230 (48.3%)	8012 (47.4%)
International Center for the Assessment of Nutritional Status	6499 (52.9%)	2389 (51.7%)	8888 (52.6%)
Age (years)	48 (37;57)	48 (38;57)	48 (37;57)
Weight (kg)	87 (72;102)	105 (91;121)	92 (76;108)
Height (m)	1.60 (1.55;1.65)	1.74 (1.70;1.78)	1.63 (1.57;1.70)
BMI (kg⋅m⁻²)	34.0 (27.6;40.5)	34.8 (30.0;40.3)	34.3 (28.3;40.4)
BMI classification (NIH)		Ú	
Normal weight	1724 (14.0%)	224 (4.8%)	1948 (11.5%)
Overweight	2595 (21.1%)	929 (20.1%)	3524 (20.9%)
Class 1 obesity	2268 (18.5%)	1196 (25.9%)	3464 (20.5%)
Class 2 obesity	2369 (19.3%)	1060 (22.9%)	3429 (20.3%)
Class 3 obesity	3325 (27.1%)	1210 (26.2%)	4535 (26.8%)
mREE indirect calorimetry (kcal·day ⁻¹)	1506 (1346;1711)	1923 (1725;2200)	1609 (1403;1865)
mREE indirect calorimetry (kcal·day ⁻¹ ·kg weight ⁻¹)	18 (16;20)	19 (17;20)	18 (16;20)
eREE Bernstein 1983 (14) (kcal·day-1)	1279 (1172;1400)	1618 (1442;1834)	1344 (1204;1514)
eREE De Lorenzo 2001 (35) (kcal·day-1)	1743 (1561;1954)	1844 (1686;2047)	1773 (1595;1981)
eREE de Luis 2006 (34) (kcal·day-1)	1626 (1484;1798)	1796 (1645;1986)	1674 (1523;1854)
eREE Fredrix 1990 (33) (kcal·day-1)	1727 (1572;1916)	2125 (1959;2332)	1835 (1631;2067)
eREE Ganpule 2007 (32) (kcal·day-1)	1626 (1465;1814)	2043 (1878;2251)	1739 (1524;1970)
eREE Harris 1919 (31) (kcal·day·1)	1552 (1416;1709)	2048 (1843;2299)	1651 (1464;1890)
eREE Henry 2005 (Wt) (30) (kcal·day-1)	1526 (1379;1695)	2048 (1848;2305)	1635 (1431;1889)
eREE Henry 2005 (Wt & Ht) (30) (kcal·day-1)	1488 (1368;1630)	1979 (1809;2196)	1582 (1410;1819)
eREE Huang 2004 (13) (kcal·day-1)	1500 (1358;1660)	1996 (1856;2175)	1614 (1409;1866)
eREE Ireton-Jones 1989 (29) (kcal·day-1)	1878 (1654;2140)	2262 (2004;2595)	1971 (1717;2285)
eREE Kleiber 1932 (28) (kcal·day ⁻¹)	1538 (1403;1699)	1806 (1652;1999)	1610 (1446;1802)
eREE Korth 2007 (27) (kcal·day-1)	1561 (1418;1731)	2121 (1967;2311)	1681 (1473;1970)
eREE Livingston 2005 (26) (kcal·day-1)	1482 (1352;1623)	1503 (1385;1642)	1488 (1361;1628)
eREE Mifflin 1990 (25) (kcal·day-1)	1465 (1322;1635)	1898 (1744;2086)	1573 (1375;1803)

ACCEDTE	DM	A NIL I	ICCE	DIDT
ACCEPTE	D ML	ANU	JUC	

1572 (1409;1752)	2015 (1857;2214)	1691 (1468;1918)
1604 (1461;1774)	2042 (1881;2253)	1725 (1517;1959)
1417 (1310;1528)	1948 (1805;2114)	1499 (1349;1745)
1533 (1402;1687)	2050 (1854;2294)	1633 (1449;1879)
1539 (1411;1688)	2039 (1857;2262)	1634 (1456;1888)
1550 (1414;1705)	2029 (1842;2259)	1646 (1460;1908)
1539 (1367;1716)	1747 (1586;1935)	1600 (1418;1784)
1863 (1542;2194)	2253 (1952;2604)	1978 (1638;2321)
1663 (1462;1886)	2119 (1922;2360)	1790 (1533;2049)
1579 (1440;1734)	2068 (1888;2286)	1678 (1488;1927)
1568 (1435;1723)	2062 (1873;2290)	1665 (1480;1910)
1528 (1383;1692)	2012 (1867;2200)	1643 (1435;1889)
	1572 (1409;1752) 1604 (1461;1774) 1417 (1310;1528) 1533 (1402;1687) 1539 (1411;1688) 1550 (1414;1705) 1539 (1367;1716) 1863 (1542;2194) 1663 (1462;1886) 1579 (1440;1734) 1568 (1435;1723) 1528 (1383;1692)	1572 (1409;1752)2015 (1857;2214)1604 (1461;1774)2042 (1881;2253)1417 (1310;1528)1948 (1805;2114)1533 (1402;1687)2050 (1854;2294)1539 (1411;1688)2039 (1857;2262)1550 (1414;1705)2029 (1842;2259)1539 (1367;1716)1747 (1586;1935)1863 (1542;2194)2253 (1952;2604)1663 (1462;1886)2119 (1922;2360)1579 (1440;1734)2068 (1888;2286)1568 (1435;1723)2062 (1873;2200)1528 (1383;1692)2012 (1867;2200)

351 *Not available for the 1948 subjects with normal weight

352

Table 1 – Measurements of the study subjects. Continuous variables are reported as
median (50th percentile) and interquartile range (25th and 75th percentiles). Categorical
variables are reported as number and proportion. Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index;
eREE = estimated resting energy expenditure; Ht = height; mREE = measured resting
energy expenditure; NIH = National Institutes of Health; Wt = weight.

358

	Normal weight	Overweight	Class 1 obesity	Class 2 obesity	Class 3 obesity
	N = 1948	N = 3524	N = 3464	N = 3429	N = 4535
Bernstein 1983 (14)	-14 (-19;-9)	-15 (-19;-10)	-16 (-21;-10)	-16 (-22;-10)	-17 (-23;-11)
De Lorenzo 2001 (35)	13 (6;19)	11 (2;19)	9 (-1;19)	10 (-2;20)	11 (-1;21)
de Luis 2006 (34)	9 (3;17)	6 (-2;13)	3 (-6;11)	2 (-7;12)	2 (-7;11)
Fredrix 1990 (33)	17 (11;24)	15 (9;21)	13 (6;21)	12 (3;20)	11 (2;19)
Ganpule 2007 (32)	7 (1;13)	8 (2;13)	8 (1;14)	7 (-1;14)	6 (-2;15)
Harris 1919 (31)	4 (-1;10)	4 (-1;10)	4 (-2;10)	3 (-4;11)	2 (-6;10)
Henry 2005 (Wt) (30)	1 (-5;6)	2 (-3;8)	3 (-3;10)	3 (-5;11)	2 (-6;11)
Henry 2005 (Wt & Ht) (30)	2 (-4;8)	1 (-4;7)	1 (-5;7)	-1 (-8;6)	-3 (-11;5)
Huang 2004 (13)	0 (-6;6)	1 (-4;7)	1 (-5;8)	0 (-7;7)	-1 (-9;6)
Ireton-Jones 1989 (29)	31 (22;39)	37 (29;46)	5 (-4;15)	15 (4;26)	26 (15;40)
Kleiber 1932 (28)	5 (-1;11)	3 (-3;9)	0 (-7;7)	-2 (-10;7)	-5 (-13;4)
Korth 2007 (27)	7 (1;14)	7 (1;13)	6 (0;13)	4 (-4;12)	2 (-6;10)
Livingston 2005 (26)	-2 (-9;4)	-4 (-14;2)	-7 (-19;1)	-8 (-20;1)	-10 (-20;-1)
Mifflin 1990 (25)	-1 (-7;4)	-1 (-7;4)	-2 (-8;4)	-3 (-11;4)	-4 (-12;3)
Muller 2004 (24)	2 (-3;8)	4 (-1;9)	5 (-1;11)	4 (-3;11)	4 (-4;12)
Muller 2004 (BMI) (24)	Not available	4 (-1;9)	3 (-3;10)	4 (-4;11)	4 (-4;12)
Owen 1986; 1987 (23, 36)	-4 (-9;3)	-2 (-8;4)	-3 (-9;4)	-6 (-13;2)	-8 (-15;0)
Roza 1984 (22)	4 (-2;10)	4 (-2;9)	3 (-3;10)	2 (-5;9)	1 (-7;9)
Schofield 1985 (Wt) (21)	4 (-1;10)	5 (-1;10)	4 (-3;11)	2 (-6;11)	1 (-7;10)
Schofield 1985 (Wt & Ht) (21)	7 (0;15)	6 (-1;12)	4 (-3;11)	2 (-6;11)	0 (-8;9)
Siervo 2003 (20)	-4 (-10;2)	-3 (-9;4)	-2 (-9;5)	-1 (-10;7)	0 (-9;9)
Tabata 2012 (18)	1 (-5;8)	11 (5;18)	19 (11;27)	25 (15;35)	33 (22;44)
Weijs 2010 (19)	3 (-2;9)	8 (2;14)	10 (4;17)	11 (3;19)	12 (4;21)
WHO 1985 (Wt) (17)	6 (0;12)	6 (1;12)	6 (-1;13)	5 (-3;13)	4 (-4;12)
WHO 1985 (Wt & Ht) (17)	6 (0;12)	7 (1;12)	5 (-1;13)	4 (-4;12)	2 (-6;11)
Sabounchi (S1) 2013 (4)	2 (-4;7)	3 (-2;8)	3 (-3;9)	1 (-6;9)	0 (-7;8)

359

360 **Table 2** – Percent bias of the REE equations. Variables are reported as median (50^{th})

³⁶¹ percentile) and interquartile range (25th and 75th percentiles).

	Normal weight	Overweight	Class 1 obesity	Class 2 obesity	Class 3 obesity
	N = 1948	N = 3524	N = 3464	N = 3429	N = 4535
Bernstein 1983 (14)	28%	24%	25%	24%	23%
De Lorenzo 2001 (35)	36%	42%	43%	39%	38%
de Luis 2006 (34)	49%	58%	57%	53%	54%
Fredrix 1990 (33)	23%	30%	37%	41%	43%
Ganpule 2007 (32)	64%	61%	56%	53%	53%
Harris 1919 (31)	72%	73%	67%	61%	60%
Henry 2005 (Wt) (30)	79%	78%	68%	60%	58%
Henry 2005 (Wt & Ht) (30)	77%	80%	72%	64%	61%
Huang 2004 (13)	78%	78%	71%	65%	63%
Ireton-Jones 1989 (29)	5%	1%	52%	34%	16%
Kleiber 1932 (28)	68%	72%	66%	58%	54%
Korth 2007 (27)	61%	60%	58%	59%	60%
Livingston 2005 (26)	72%	62%	50%	47%	45%
Mifflin 1990 (25)	77%	80%	72%	63%	60%
Muller 2004 (24)	78%	75%	66%	60%	59%
Muller 2004 (BMI) (24)	Not available	75%	69%	61%	58%
Owen 1986; 1987 (23, 36)	71%	72%	66%	55%	50%
Roza 1984 (22)	74%	76%	69%	63%	61%
Schofield 1985 (Wt) (21)	71%	71%	65%	59%	58%
Schofield 1985 (Wt & Ht) (21)	60%	65%	62%	57%	56%
Siervo 2003 (20)	69%	69%	64%	58%	56%
Tabata 2012 (18)	71%	45%	21%	15%	8%
Weijs 2010 (19)	72%	60%	48%	43%	39%
WHO 1985 (Wt) (17)	68%	65%	61%	56%	56%
WHO 1985 (Wt & Ht) (17)	66%	64%	61%	57%	58%
Sabounchi (S1) 2013 (4)	78%	77%	70%	64%	63%

Table 3 – Correct classification fraction of the REE equations, i.e. proportion of subjects
 whose estimated resting energy expenditure was within 10% of measured resting energy
 expenditure.

366

REFERENCES 367

368

369	1.	Shetty P. Energy requirements of adults. <i>Public Health Nutr</i> 2005;8:994-1009.
370	2.	Madden AM, Mulrooney HM, Shah S. Estimation of energy expenditure using

- prediction equations in overweight and obese adults: a systematic review. J Hum 371
- Nutr Diet 2016;29:458-476. 372
- Bedogni G, Grugni G, Tringali G, Agosti F, Sartorio A. Assessment of fat-free mass 3. 373 from bioelectrical impedance analysis in obese women with Prader-Willi syndrome. 374
- Ann Hum Biol 2015;42:538-542. 375
- Sabounchi NS, Rahmandad H, Ammerman A. Best-fitting prediction equations for 4. 376 basal metabolic rate: informing obesity interventions in diverse populations. Int J 377 Obes (Lond) 2013;37:1364-1370. 378
- 5. Flack KD, Siders WA, Johnson L, Roemmich JN. Cross-Validation of Resting 379 Metabolic Rate Prediction Equations. J Acad Nutr Diet 2016 380
- 6. Kaur Y, de Souza RJ, Gibson WT, Meyre D. A systematic review of genetic 381 syndromes with obesity. Obes Rev 2017;18:603-634. 382
- McClave SA, Lowen CC, Kleber MJ, McConnell JW, Jung LY, Goldsmith LJ. Clinical 7. 383 use of the respiratory quotient obtained from indirect calorimetry. JPEN J Parenter 384 Enteral Nutr 2003;27:21-26. 385
- Lohman TG, Roche AF. Anthropometric standardization reference manual. Human 8. 386 Kinetics Books: Champaign IL, 1988. 387
- 9. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, North American Association for the Study of 388
- Obesity. The practical guide: identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight 389
- and obesity in adults. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute: Bethesda, MD, 2000, 390
- 10. Weir JB. New methods for calculating metabolic rate with special reference to protein 391
- metabolism. 1949. Nutrition 1990;6:213-221. 392

11. Lazzer S, Bedogni G, Lafortuna CL et al. Relationship between basal metabolic rate, 393 gender, age, and body composition in 8,780 white obese subjects. Obesity 394 2010;18:71-78. 395

12. Javed F, He Q, Davidson LE et al. Brain and high metabolic rate organ mass: 396

contributions to resting energy expenditure beyond fat-free mass. Am J Clin Nutr 397 2010;91:907-912. 398

13. Huang KC, Kormas N, Steinbeck K, Loughnan G, Caterson ID. Resting metabolic 399 rate in severely obese diabetic and nondiabetic subjects. Obes Res 2004;12:840-845.

14. Bernstein RS, Thornton JC, Yang MU et al. Prediction of the resting metabolic rate in 401 obese patients. Am J Clin Nutr 1983;37:595-602. 402

15. Lazzer S, Agosti F, Silvestri P, Derumeaux-Burel H, Sartorio A. Prediction of resting 403 energy expenditure in severely obese Italian women. J Endocrinol Invest 2007;30:20-404 27. 405

16. Lazzer S, Agosti F, Resnik M, Marazzi N, Mornati D, Sartorio A. Prediction of resting 406 energy expenditure in severely obese Italian males. J Endocrinol Invest 2007;30:754-407

761. 408

400

17. Joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation on Energy and Protein Requirements. 409

Energy and protein requirements: report of a Joint FAO WHO UNU Expert 410

Consultation. World Health Organization: Geneva, 1985. 411

18. Tabata I, Ebine N, Kawashima Y et al. Dietary Reference Intakes for Japanese 2010: 412 Energy. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol 2012;59:S26-S35. 413

19. Weijs PJ, Vansant GA. Validity of predictive equations for resting energy expenditure 414

in Belgian normal weight to morbid obese women. Clin Nutr 2010;29:347-351. 415

20. Siervo M, Boschi V, Falconi C. Which REE prediction equation should we use in 416

normal-weight, overweight and obese women. Clin Nutr 2003;22:193-204. 417

21. Schofield WN. Predicting basal metabolic rate, new standards and review of previous 418

- 419 work. *Hum Nutr Clin Nutr* 1985;39 Suppl 1:5-41.
- Roza AM, Shizgal HM. The Harris Benedict equation reevaluated: resting energy
 requirements and the body cell mass. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1984;40:168-182.
- 422 23. Owen OE, Kavle E, Owen RS et al. A reappraisal of caloric requirements in healthy
 423 women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1986;44:1-19.
- 424 24. Muller MJ, Bosy-Westphal A, Klaus S et al. World Health Organization equations
- 425 have shortcomings for predicting resting energy expenditure in persons from a
- 426 modern, affluent population: generation of a new reference standard from a
- retrospective analysis of a German database of resting energy expenditure. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;80:1379-1390.
- 429 25. Mifflin MD, St Jeor ST, Hill LA, Scott BJ, Daugherty SA, Koh YO. A new predictive
 430 equation for resting energy expenditure in healthy individuals. *Am J Clin Nutr*431 1990;51:241-247.
- 432 26. Livingston EH, Kohlstadt I. Simplified resting metabolic rate-predicting formulas for
 433 normal-sized and obese individuals. *Obes Res* 2005;13:1255-1262.
- 434 27. Korth O, Bosy-Westphal A, Zschoche P, Gluer CC, Heller M, Muller MJ. Influence of
- 435 methods used in body composition analysis on the prediction of resting energy
- 436 expenditure. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2007;61:582-589.
- 437 28. Kleiber M. Body size and metabolism. *Hilgardia* 1932;11:315-353.
- Ireton-Jones CS. Evaluation of energy expenditures in obese patients. *Nutr Clin Pract* 1989;4:127-129.
- 30. Henry CJ. Basal metabolic rate studies in humans: measurement and development of
 new equations. *Public Health Nutr* 2005;8:1133-1152.
- 442 31. Harris J. A biometric study of basal metabolism in man. Carnegie institution of
 443 Washington, 1919.
- 32. Ganpule AA, Tanaka S, Ishikawa-Takata K, Tabata I. Interindividual variability in

ris WH. ;44:741- 3y
;44:741- Jy
JV
JY
utr
».
aged 18-
ients of
r the
ətr

Normal weight

	1				1					
Bernstein 1983										
Siervo 2003				- 	ا…و					
Owen 1986 & 1987					0					
Livingstone 2005					··0·					
Mifflin 1990		• • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • •	o	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Huang 2004	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • •	¢	• • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Henry (Wt) 2005	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • •	·····¢		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Tabata 2013	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		<u>o</u>	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Henry (Wt & Ht) 2005	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		<u>o</u>	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Sabounchi (S1) 2013	•••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		<u>o</u>	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Muller 2004	+ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Wejis 2010		•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Roza 1984		•••••	•••••	•••••			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Schofield (Wt) 1985		•••••	•••••	•••••			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Harris 1919		•••••		•••••			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Kleiber 1932	1	•••••		•••••			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
WHO (Wt) 1985		•••••						• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
WHO (Wt & Ht) 1985	1							• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••
Ganpule 2007	1							•••••		•••••
Scholleid (Wt & Ht) 1985							·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
North 2007										
De Luis 2000]									
De Lorenzo 2001 Frodriv 1000										
Ireton- lones 1989										
									Ŭ	
	L									
	-40	-30	-20	-10	Ó)	10	20	30	40
			Med	dian bia	as (% 0	f mR	EE)		

Overweight

	1				1	-			
Bernstein 1983									
Livingstone 2005					o			•••••	
Siervo 2003					· • · · · · ·				
Owen 1986 & 1987				•••••					• • • • • • • • • • •
Mifflin 1990	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	····0 ····		•••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • •
Huang 2004	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	····· 0··	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
Henry (Wt & Ht) 2005	•••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	····· 0··	••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
Henry (Wt) 2005	••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	·····	••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
Sabounchi (S1) 2013	•••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••			•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
Kleiber 1932	••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••)	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
Roza 1984		•••••	•••••	•••••			•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
Muller 2004		•••••		•••••		0	•••••	•••••	•••••
Muller (BMI) 2004	1					0		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
Harris 1919 Schofield (M/t) 1095				•••••		0			•••••
Scholield (Wt) 1985						0			
WHO (Wt & Ht) 1985									
Korth 2007									
Weiis 2010									
Ganpule 2007									
De Lorenzo 2001						·····			
Tabata 2013									
Fredrix 1990	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••••		••••	• • • • • • • • • • •
Ireton-Jones 1989	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	···••
	<u> </u>		1	I		1	I	1	
	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
			Mor	dian hi	as (%	ofmB	EE)		
			10100	JIGHT DI	us (70		/		

Class 1 obesity

)O			•••••	•••••	•••••
••••••		······					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•••••	•••••
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			· • · · • •		•••••	•••••	•••••
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	··••• ··	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	⊷⊙·[··	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	<u>6</u> .	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	·····p.		•••••	•••••	•••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••) ~	•••••	•••••	•••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		Ö	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
				Ö			
				Ö			
				õ			
				. <u>o</u>			
•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		·O·····	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		··⊙·····	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	··· ••····	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	···•⊙······	•••••	•••••	•••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			•••••	•••••	•••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•••••	•••••	••••
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			•••••	•••••	•••••
					Ŭ		
) -30	-20	-10	Ó	10	20	30	40
	Me	dian bia	as (?	% of mRF	EE)		
) - <u>3</u> 0) -30 -20 Me	0 -30 -20 -10 Median bia	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Class 2 obesity

						-			
Bernstein 1983									
Livingstone 2005						•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Owen 1986 & 1987	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Mifflin 1990		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		·O·· ····	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Kleiber 1932	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		···•·	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Siervo 2003	•••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Henry (Wt & Ht) 2005		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ႌၯႍႌႌ	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Huang 2004		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	····· @·····	•••••	•••••		•••••
Sabounchi (S1) 2013		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Schotield (Wt & Ht) 1985	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
De Luis 2006		•••••	•••••				•••••		
RUZA 1964									
Honny (Wt) 2005									
Harrie 1010									
Muller (BMI) 2004					lŏ				
WHO (Wt & Ht) 1985									
Korth 2007					ļē				
Muller 2004					····· ····ō				
WHO (Wt) 1985		• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•••••		•••••
Ganpulé 2007	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••••••••	•••••		•••••
De Lorenzo 2001		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Wejis 2010	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	•••••	•••••
Fredrix 1990	•••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	····••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Ireton-Jones 1989		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				•••••
Tabata 2013		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••			•••••
	10			10		10		00	10
	-40	-30	-20	-10	U	10	20	30	40
			Mee	dian bia	as (%	of mR	EE)		
					\ / •		/		

Class 3 obesity

					1	-			
Bernstein 1983						•••••			
Livingstone 2005	••••••					•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Owen 1986 & 1987	••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••			•••••
Kleiber 1932	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	······)···· ····	•••••			•••••
Mifflin 1990	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	∍…	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Henry (Wt & Ht) 2005	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	·O·· ····	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Huang 2004	•••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	···0 ·····	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Siervo 2003	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	····· • • · · · ·	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Schofield (Wt & Ht) 1985	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Sabounchi (S1) 2013		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	·····e····	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Schofield (Wt) 1985		• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	·····p····	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••
Roza 1984			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••			•••••
De Luis 2006			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••
Korth 2007		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•••••			•••••
Honny (W(t) 2005									
Muller 2004					lõ				
Muller (BMI) 2004					lŏ				
Gannule 2007						·O · · · · · · ·			
De Lorenzo 2001									
Fredrix 1990						····.ō····			
Weiis 2010	••••••					······			•••••
Ireton-Jones 1989	•••••			•••••		•••••		·O·····O·	•••••
Tabata 2013	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	·········	•••••
	<u> </u>		1	1		I	I	1	
	-40	-30	-20	-10	0	10	20	30	40
			Ma	dian hi	ac (%	ofmD			
			INIEC	JIAH DI	ao (70		ㄴㄴ)		

Normal weight

	1						Ĩ				
Muller (BMI) 2004 (₫										
Ireton-Jones 1989	10										
Fredrix 1990		• • • • • • • •				•••••			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Bernstein 1983			• • • • • • • • • •			•••••	•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
De Lorenzo 2001		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	· O· · · · · · ·	•••••	•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
De Luis 2006		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	···•⊙·····	•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Schofield (Wt & Ht) 1985	+	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	····•@····	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Korth 2007		•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	····· 0···		•••••	•••••	•••••
Ganpule 2007		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	····∤··⊙		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
WHO (Wt & Ht) 1985	1	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	0		•••••	•••••
WHO (Wt) 1985	1	•••••	•••••			•••••	•••••	.01		• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Kleiber 1932	1						••••	.01			
Siervo 2003											
Owell 1980 & 1987											
Schofield (Wt) 1095								K			
Weije 2010											
Livingstone 2005											
Harris 1919											
Boza 1984									, 		
Henry (Wt & Ht) 2005											
Mifflin 1990						• • • • • • • • • •			•••••		
Sabounchi (S1) 2013			• • • • • • • • • •			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •		··••		• • • • • • • • • •
Huang 2004		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		··••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Muller 2004		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		··⊙∤···	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Henry (Wt) 2005		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		o	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
								1			
(U	.1	.2	.3	.4	.5	.6	.7	.8	.9	1
				Corre	ect cla	ssific	ation	fracti	on		

Overweight

	1						Ŭ		l l	1		
Ireton-Jones 1989	<u>о</u>	•••••	•••••	 -	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Bernstein 1983	1	•••••	•••••••	\mathfrak{I}	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Fredrix 1990	1	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••		•••••		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
De Lorenzo 2001		•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • •	••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Tabata 2013		• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	······ ©	••••••	····	•••••		•••••		•••••
De Luis 2006		• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	·⊙ŀ	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		•••••
Wejis 2010		•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	····•@	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		•••••
Korth 2007		• • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	····•	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		•••••
Ganpule 2007		• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	b)		••••••		• • • • • • • • •
Livingstone 2005		• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •					0		•••••		• • • • • • • • •
WHO (Wt & Ht) 1985		• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •					···0····		•••••		
WHO (Wt) 1985										•••••		
Schofield (Wt & Ht) 1985										•••••		
Siervó 2003								·····6		•••••		
Schofield (Wt) 1985									b	••••••		
Owen 1986 & 1987									0	•••••		
Kleiber 1932									·o · · · · ·	•••••		
Harris 1919										••••••		
Muller (BMI) 2004										•••••		
Muller 2004									<u>o</u>			
Boza 1984									····ō···			
Sabounchi (S1) 2013												
Henry (Wt) 2005									·····ō	. .		
Huang 2004									ō			
Mifflin 1990										.		
Henry (Wt & Ht) 2005										3		
										T		
	<u> </u>											
	'n	- i	່ວ	ģ	4	Ē	ิต		7	ò	'n	- Á
,	0	. 1	.2	.0	.4	.5	.0	••••		0	.9	1
				Corre	ot da	eeific	atio	n fra	ction			
				COLLE	iol ula	ວວາກປ	auo	nina				

Class 1 obesity

							1	-		1		
Tabata 2013												
Bernstein 1983								•••••				
Fredrix 1990		•••••			·······	•••••		•••••		••••••		• • • • • • • • • •
De Lorenzo 2001		•••••				•••••	· · · · · ·	•••••		••••••		• • • • • • • • • •
Wejis 2010		•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• •••••	· · · · ·	•••••		••••••	• • • • • • •	•••••
Livingstone 2005	·····	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	····@····	····	•••••		•••••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••
Ireton-Jones 1989		•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		····•	•••••	•••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••
Ganpule 2007		•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		<u>o</u> …⊦	•••••	•••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••
De Luis 2006	1	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• •• •	•••••		•••••••	•••••	•••••
Korth 2007	1	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••		•••••••	•••••	••••
WHO (Wt) 1985	1		• • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	F					
WHU (WI & HI) 1985			• • • • • • • • • • •				·····	,				
Scholield (WL& HL) 1985												
Siervo 2003 Schofield (Wt) 1985												
Kloibor 1022												
Muller 2004								ŏ				
Owen 1986 & 1987								ŏ				
Harris 1919												
Henry (Wt) 2005								·····				
Muller (BMI) 2004	····						· · · · ·	·····ō				
Rozá 1984		•••••			• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	· · · · ·	·····e		••••••		• • • • • • • • • •
Sabounchi (S1) 2013	·····	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	····	••••••	þ	•••••••	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •
Huang 2004	·····	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	þ	•••••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••
Henry (Wt & Ht) 2005		•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	0	••••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••
Mifflin 1990		•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	0	•••••••	•••••	•••••
							1			1		
(0	.1	.2	.3	.4	.5	.6		7.	8	.9	1
				Corre	ect cla	ssifica	atio	n fra	ction			
				0011	201 010	001100	auo	iii ii a	0001			

Class 2 obesity

Tabata 2013		c							1					
Bornstoin 1092			, 						1					
Ireton- lones 1080					ດ				1					
Do Loronzo 2001									I					
Erodriv 1000									I					
Maiia 2010									1					
					0									
Livingstone 2005	1						~		1					
De Luis 2006	1	•••••	•••••	•••••			·····		1					
Ganpule 2007	1	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •				1			•••••		
Owen 1986 & 1987	1		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	.0		1		• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
WHO (Wt) 1985	1		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			1		•••••			
Schofield (Wt & Ht) 1985	1	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		•••••			1	••••	•••••	•••••		
WHO (Wt & Ht) 1985		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••			1			•••••		
Kleiber 1932	1	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	0.		1		•••••	•••••		
Siervo 2003		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	0.		1	••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Korth 2007	1	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	····•0		1	••••	•••••	•••••		
Schofield (Wt) 1985		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	·····0	••••••		••••	•••••	•••••		
Henry (Wt) 2005	1	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		₽		••••	•••••	•••••		
Muller 2004		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •		₽		••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Muller (BMI) 2004		• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	þ		••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Harris 1919		• • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	p		••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Roza 1984	+		••••	•••••		• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	.⊙		••••		•••••		
Mifflin 1990			••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	ŀ.⊙		••••		•••••		
Henrv (Wt & Ht) 2005			••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • •		···0····		••••		•••••		
Sabounchi (S1) 2013			•••••	•••••				····0····				•••••		
Huang 2004			•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • •		<u>o</u> …				•••••		
									-					
(0	.1	.2	.3	.4	.5		6.	7	.8	.9	1		
				Corr	ect cla	assifi	catio	on fra	actic	n				

Class 3 obesity

Tabata 2013		0												
Ireton-Jones 1989			o											
Bernstein 1983			<i>c</i>											
De Lorenzo 2001														
Weiis 2010						, 								
Fredrix 1990						<u>.</u>								
Livingstone 2005						ĭ₀								
Owen 1986 & 1987														
Gannule 2007							í							
Kloibor 1022														
Do Luio 2006								Ś						
Schofield (Wt & Ht) 1095	l							<u>م.</u> ا		l				
Scholiela (WL& TIL) 1965								õ						
								<u>.</u>						
Schofield (Wt) 1985								പ						
										l				
Muller (PMI) 2004	I													
Korth 2007														
Horria 1010														
Mifflin 1000														
									5					
									5					
ROZA 1984	1		•••••			• • • • • • • • •				1				•••
Sabounchi (ST) 2013	1		•••••			•••••	•••••	•••••		1				•••
Huang 2004	1		•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••			1		•••••	•••••	•••
	-	<u> </u>							`					
(U	.1	.2	.:	5	.4	.5		j.	1	.8	.9	1	
				Co	rrad		onifin	oti	on fra	otion				
				00	rieci	. ula	รรแเต	all	лппа	UCUOL				