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We analyze the ground-state localization properties of an array of identical interacting spinless fermionic chains with quasirandom disorder, using nonperturbative renormalization-group methods. In the single- or two-chain case localization persists, while for a larger number of chains a different qualitative behavior is generically expected, unless the many-body interaction is vanishing. This is due to number-theoretical properties of the frequency, similar to the ones assumed in Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser theory, and cancellations due to the Pauli principle, which in the single- or two-chain case imply that all the effective interactions are irrelevant; in contrast, for a larger number of chains, relevant effective interactions are present.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A quantum system in which disorder-induced localization [1] persists in the presence of an interaction is said to be in a many-body localized phase. While normal systems are expected asymptotically to approach a thermal state (due to the interaction, “the system acts as its own bath”), this does not happen in a many-body localized phase [2–4], a fact with deep theoretical and technological implications. However, the interplay of disorder and interaction produces a complex behavior [5,6], and the existence itself of a many-body localized phase is quite a nontrivial property that is under thorough investigation.

In the case of random disorder, many-body localization (MBL) was established order by order by a formal series in any dimension [7–9], but this does not exclude delocalization due to the possible divergence of the expansions. In one dimension, a nonperturbative proof of MBL has been reached [10,11], but it relies on a still unproven assumption. Numerical evidence of MBL in one-dimensional lattices was obtained in [12–14].

In addition, quasirandom disorder in one dimension produces localization in the single-particle case, as found in [15] and rigorously proved in [16,17]. In the presence of interaction, a nonperturbative proof of ground-state localization was achieved in [18]. Numerical evidence of MBL with quasirandom disorder was found in [19–23]. One-dimensional systems of particles with quasirandom disorder can be realized in cold-atom experiments [24], and evidence of MBL was claimed.

As a natural step toward higher dimensions, we consider an array of interacting fermionic chains with Aubry-Andrè quasirandom disorder [15] and coupled by a hopping term. Such a model (with spinful fermions) has been realized in cold-atom experiments in [25]. We call $x = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ the coordinates of the infinite chain, and $y = 0, \ldots, L$ are the coordinates labeling the chains, and we consider a system of $N$ spinless fermions with Hamiltonian

$$H_N = \sum_{i=1}^{N} H_A(x_i) + J_\perp \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_y \cdot + U \sum_{i,j \in 1} (x_i - x_j),$$

where $v(x - y) = \delta_{y,x+1}$, $H_A$ is the Aubry-André Hamiltonian,

$$H_A(x) = J \nabla_x + \Delta \cos[2\pi(\omega x + \theta)],$$

and $\nabla_x f(z) = f(z - 1) + f(z + 1) - 2f(z)$; periodic boundary conditions are imposed in $y$. The Hamiltonian (1) describes $L$ fermionic chains, with identical disorder, intrachain hopping $J_\perp$, intrachain interaction $U$, and interchain hopping $J_L$. If $J_\perp = U = 0$, the system reduces to several uncoupled Aubry-André models [15]. The behavior of the eigenfunctions of $H_A (2)$ depends crucially on the ratio $\frac{J_\perp}{J_L}$ between the disorder and the hopping; if $\frac{J_\perp}{J_L} < 2$, the eigenfunctions are quasi-Bloch extended waves, while for $\frac{J_\perp}{J_L} > 2$ the eigenfunctions are exponentially decaying, and Anderson localization occurs [16,17]. A metal-insulator transition is therefore present varying the strength of the disorder, a feature making quasirandom disorder somewhat similar to random disorder in three dimensions.

The question we address in this paper is whether a localized phase persists in the array described by (1), and how the behavior depends on the interplay between the hopping $J_\perp$, the interaction $U$, and the number of chains $L$. The main theoretical difficulty is that localization is a nonperturbative phenomenon; the presence or absence of localization is related to the convergence or divergence of the series, driven by small divisors that can produce dangerous factorials. Information is carried by high orders, and instability is not signaled by divergences at low orders, as happens in quantum field theory.

In the single-particle case $H_A$, the small divisors are similar to the ones in the series in Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) theory, whose convergence implies stability in close-to-integrable system, while divergence is related to the onset of chaos. Indeed, the eigenfunctions of (2) can be written in a series of $J$, and divisors are of the form $\phi_x - \phi_y$, with $x \neq y$, with $\phi_x = \Delta \cos[2\pi(\omega x + \theta)]$. To get convergence, and as a consequence localization, one needs to assume number-theoretical conditions, called Diophantine (see below), to control the size of $|\langle \phi \mid \phi \rangle|$ and $|\langle \phi + \theta \mid \phi \rangle|$, with $\| \cdot \|$ the norm on the side 1 torus; see [16,17]. Such Diophantine conditions are the same as those assumed in KAM theory. In the presence of interaction, the small divisors in the expansion for the $N$-particle eigenfunctions are much more complex; they are of the form $E_N(x) - E_N(y)$, with $E_N(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_x$. No number-theoretical condition is known to control them for $N > 1$ [26] (for the $N = 2$ case, see [27]).

Even if the construction of all the eigenfunctions of (1) for a generic $N$ is outside the present analytical possibilities, we can analyze the problem using a different approach.
introduced in [18]: we do not consider the expansion for the eigenfunctions, but we compute in the thermodynamic limit \( N \to \infty \) the grand-canonical correlations, which at zero temperature become the ground-state correlations. This approach allows us to take advantage of fermionic cancellations, and nonperturbative and rigorous information on the localization of systems with an infinite number of particles, even if limited to the ground state, can be obtained. The correlations are written as Grassmann integrals that are analyzed via exact fermionic renormalization-group (RG) methods; one integrates out the degrees of freedom with smaller and smaller energy obtaining a sequence of effective interactions, given by a sum of terms that are all relevant in the RG sense, independently of the number of fields. The presence of an infinite number of relevant processes seems to say that an RG approach is hopeless; however, by exploiting number-theoretical properties of the frequency of incommensurate disorder, it is possible to show that a huge class of effective interactions, called nonresonant, is indeed irrelevant. In contrast with the single-chain problem, in which Diophantine conditions are sufficient, here one needs other conditions as well, called in KAM theory the first and second Melnikov conditions. While in the absence of interaction the structure of Feynman graphs is rather simple, the presence of interaction \( U \neq 0 \) complicates the problem considerably; one has a combination of small divisors and loops, which are absent in noninteracting or KAM-like problems. Other dangerous factors, in addition to the ones produced by small divisors, are produced by combinatorics related to the number of graphs; they are controlled by cancellations due to the fermionic sign cancellations.

A renormalized expansion is obtained in terms of the running coupling constants corresponding to the resonant terms. As usual in a RG, the physical properties depend on their flow; if the running coupling constants do not exit from the convergence radius, the interacting theory is analytically close to the free one, so that localization persists in the presence of interaction. The flow depends dramatically on the number of chains. In the two-chain problem, there are no relevant effective quartic interactions, the only relevant couplings being quadratic, as in the single-chain problem; localization persists in the ground state in the presence of interaction.

On the contrary, with a higher number of chains the quartic terms are relevant, and their size increase iterates the RG; therefore, a different qualitative behavior is generically expected, unless the many-body interaction is vanishing, where localization still persists.

The content of this paper is the following. In Sec. II we present the main results. In Sec. III we perform an exact RG analysis, and we show the irrelevance of the nonresonant terms. In Sec. IV we identify the relevant and marginal terms and study the corresponding flow, and in Sec. V we get our main results discussing the convergence of the expansion. Finally, in Sec. VI the main conclusions are presented.

II. MAIN RESULT

We consider the grand-canonical averages \( \langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} e^{\beta H - \beta \mu N} O \), with \( Z = \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} e^{\beta H - \beta \mu N} \); the thermodynamic limit is taken sending the chain length to infinity keeping the number of chains \( L \) finite. The Fock space Hamiltonian is

\[
H = J \sum_{x,y} (a_{x+1,y}^+ a_{x,y}^+ + a_{x-1,y}^+ a_{x,y}^- ) + \Delta \sum_{x,y} \cos(2\pi \omega x) a_{x,y}^+ a_{x,y}^-
+ U \sum_{x,y} a_{x,y}^+ a_{x,y}^- a_{x+1,y}^+ a_{x+1,y}^- + J_1 \sum_{x,y} (a_{x,y+1}^+ a_{x,y}^- + a_{x+1,y}^+ a_{x,y+1}^-),
\]

and we assume for definiteness the phase of the disorder equal to zero. It is convenient to write \( a_{x,y}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\omega} e^{\pm i \omega 7 u} \tilde{a}_{x,y}^\pm \), where \( \omega = 2\pi \frac{x}{L} \), with \( n = 0, \ldots, L-1 \), so that the Hamiltonian can be rewritten in the following way:

\[
H = \frac{J}{L} \left( \sum_{x,y} (\tilde{a}_{x+1,y}^+ \tilde{a}_{x,y}^+ + \tilde{a}_{x-1,y}^- \tilde{a}_{x,y}^-) + \Delta \sum_{x,y} \cos(2\pi \omega x) \tilde{a}_{x,y}^+ \tilde{a}_{x,y}^- \right)
+ \frac{U}{L} \sum_{x,y} (2 \cos \omega l \tilde{a}_{x,y}^+ \tilde{a}_{x,y}^- + U \sum_{l} \frac{1}{L^2})
\times \sum_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} \tilde{a}_{x+1,l_1}^+ \tilde{a}_{x,l_2}^- \tilde{a}_{x+1,l_3}^- \tilde{a}_{x,l_4}^+ (l_1 - l_2 + l_3 - l_4). \tag{4}
\]

We focus on the two-point function \( \langle \tilde{a}_{x}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{x'} \rangle \), where \( \langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} e^{\beta H - \beta \mu N} O \); the time ordering, and \( \tilde{a}_{x}^\pm = e^{(H-\mu N) t} \tilde{a}_{x}^\pm e^{-(H-\mu N) t} \) and \( x = (x_0, \chi) \). In the molecular limit \( U = J = 0 \), one has (setting \( \Delta = 1 \) for definiteness)

\[
H_0 - \mu N = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{x,y} \cos(2\pi \omega x) - \mu_I \tilde{a}_{x}^+ \tilde{a}_{x}^- \tag{5}
\]

with

\[
\mu_I = \mu - 2J_\perp \cos l \equiv 2\pi \omega \vec{x}_I \tag{6}
\]

so that, calling \( \mu = \cos(2\pi \omega x) \), then \( \vec{x}_I = \vec{x} + a \cos J_\perp \) with \( a^{-1} = \pi \omega \sin 2\pi \omega x + O(J_\perp) \). In this limit, the system is uncoupled with an \( l \)-dependent chemical potential for any chain. The ground-state occupation number is \( 1 = \cos(2\pi \omega x) < \mu_I \) and \( 0 \) for \( \cos(2\pi \omega x) > \mu_I \). The two-point function \( \langle \tilde{a}_{x}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{x'} \rangle \big|_{U=J=0} \equiv g_0(x,y) \) is equal to

\[
g_0(x,y) = \delta_{x,y} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k_0} \tilde{g}_0(t,x,k_0) e^{-ik_0(t_0-y_0)} \tag{7}
\]

with

\[
\tilde{g}_0(x,k_0) = \int_0^\beta \text{d} t e^{i k_0 t} e^{-\tau (\cos 2\pi \omega x - \mu_I)} \int \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\tau (\cos 2\pi \omega x - \mu_I)}} \tag{8}
\]

The two-point function is perfectly localized in the chain direction (the two-point function is vanishing if \( x \neq y \), but not in the transversal direction in the coordinate space. Assume that \( \vec{x}_I \) is not a point of the lattice, so that the propagator (8)
is never singular. As \( \omega \) is an irrational number, \( \omega x \) modulo 1 fills densely the set \([-1/2,1/2)\), and in particular it can be arbitrarily close to \( \pm \omega \tilde{x} \). If we set \( x = x' + \rho_l \tilde{x}_l \), then for small \( (\omega x') \mod 1 \), \( \rho_l = \pm \),

\[
\tilde{g}(x,k) \sim \frac{1}{-ik_0 + v_l \rho_l (\omega x') \mod 1},
\]

and \( v_l = -2\pi \omega \sin 2\pi \omega x_l \). The expansion of the two-point function in terms of \( J, U \) can be represented in terms of Feynman graphs, expressed by the product of propagators \( \tilde{g}(x,k_l) \); on each line of the diagram is associated a coordinate \( x \), and the difference of lines coming in or out from the vertex \( J \) is \( \pm 1 \), while from a \( U \) vertex it is 0, \( \pm 1 \). Note the similarity of (8) with the two-point function in the free fermion limit \( \Delta = U = J_\omega = 0, \sigma = 1 \), which in Fourier space is given by \( 1/ -ik_0 + \cos k - \mu \). If \( k = k' + \mu p_F , \mu \), the free fermion propagator is asymptotically given by \( 1/( -ik_0 \pm v_F k') \), which is the well-known Luttinger liquid propagator. \( p_F \) are called Fermi momenta, and by analogy we can call \( \pm \tilde{x} \) the Fermi coordinates.

The expansion in \( J, U \) around the molecular limit is convergent at finite temperature, as the temperature acts as an infrared cutoff, and the main issue is to get the zero-temperature limit.

Our main result is the following.

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i} \left| \tilde{g}(x_k) \right| & \leq C_N | \log \Delta | \left( \frac{1}{1 + (\Delta |x_0 - y_0|)^{\nu}} \right) \\
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{g}(x,k_0) & \approx e^{-\xi |(x-y)-J|} C_N | \log \Delta | \\
\end{align*}
\]

with \( \xi = | \log \beta | \), \( \beta = \max(|J|,|U|) \), and \( \Delta = [1 + \min(|x|,|y|)]^{-\nu} \).

(b) If \( L \geq 3, U = 0 \), then for \( \beta \to \infty \) (13) holds.

(c) If \( L \geq 3 \), then (13) holds for \( \beta |U| / \leq 1 \), with \( \xi = \max(|(\log \beta),| - \beta^\nu) \) and \( \Delta = \max(1 + \min(|x|,|y|))^{-\nu} \).

In the case of two chains [case (a)], the two-point function decays at zero temperature exponentially in the direction of the chains, and a very weak decay is present in the imaginary time direction faster than any power but with a rate which can be arbitrarily small; this is very similar to what happens in the single-chain case, and it indicates localization of the ground state with or without interaction. In contrast, for a greater number of chains, the interaction produces a qualitative difference; in the absence of many-body interaction, zero-temperature exponential decay is found for any number of chains [case (b)], while in the presence of interaction, convergence of the expansion holds only up to a finite temperature [case (c)]. The reason is that when \( L \geq 3 \), there are extra relevant terms which increase under the RG iterations, and this has the effect that convergence holds only for temperatures not too small. Indeed when \( L = 2 \), the Fermi coordinates are different at different \( l \), so that there are no relevant processes connecting them, in contrast to what happens when \( L \geq 3 \). As the presence of diverging directions in the RG flow signals an instability that can imply delocalization, this is in agreement with the behavior observed in cold-atom experiments [25], in which the absence of localization is found in an array of chains (except when there is no interaction, when localization is found), and localization is found in the single-chain case; moreover, we find localization with two chains in the spinless case, a prediction that is accessible in principle to future experiments.

III. RENORMALIZATION-GROUP ANALYSIS

The two-point function is obtained by the second derivative of the generating function,

\[ e^{W(\phi)} = \int P(d\psi) e^{V(\psi) + (\psi, \phi)}, \]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
V = & \int \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l} dx J (\psi^+_x \psi^-_{x+e_1} + \psi^+_{x+e_1} \psi^-_x) \\
& + \int \frac{U}{L} \sum_{l} \psi^+_x \psi^+_{x+e_1} \psi^-_{x+e_1} \delta(l_1 - l_2 + l_3 - l_4) \\
& + \int \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l} v_l \int dx \psi^+_x \psi^-_{x},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \psi \) are Grassmann variables, \( \phi \) is the external source, \( \int dx = \int dx_0 \sum_{\epsilon_1} \epsilon_1 = (0, 1) \), and \( P(d\psi) \) is the fermionic integration with propagator (7).

We introduce a cutoff smooth function \( \chi_\rho(k_0, x) \) which is nonvanishing for \( \sqrt{k_0^2 + \{v_l (\omega(x - \rho \tilde{x}) \mod 1)^2 \}} \leq \gamma \), where
\[ \rho = \pm 1, \text{and } \gamma > 1 \text{ is a suitable constant (to be fixed below); therefore, we can write the propagator as} \]

\[ \hat{g}_f(x) = \hat{g}_f^{(u,v)}(k) + \sum_{\rho = \pm} \hat{g}_{\rho,l}(k), \]  

(16)

where

\[ \hat{g}_{\rho,l}(k_0,x) = \frac{\chi_{\rho}(k_0,x)}{-i k_0 + \cos[2\pi (\omega x)] - \cos[2\pi (\omega x_l)]} \]  

(17)

and correspondingly \( \psi_{k_0,x,l}^{(u,v)} = \psi_{k_0,x,l}^{(u,v)} + \sum_{\rho = \pm} \psi_{\rho,k_0,x,l}. \) This simply says that we are rewriting the fermionic field as a sum of two independent fields living close to one of the Fermi points, up to a regular field. We can further decompose

\[ \hat{g}_{\rho,l}(k_0,x) = \sum_{h = \rho} \hat{g}_{\rho,l}^{(h)}(k_0,x) \]  

(18)

with \(-h \rho \sim \log \beta, \) \( \hat{g}_{\rho,l}^{(h)}(k_0,x) \) similar to \( \hat{g}_{\rho,l}(k_0,x) \) with \( \chi \) replaced by \( f_h \) with \( f_h(k_0,\omega x') \) nonvanishing in a region \( \sqrt{k_0^2 + [v_l(\omega x')]^2} \sim \gamma h^2 \) with \( x' = x + \rho \chi_l. \)

The persistence (or lack thereof) of localization is related to the presence or lack of convergence, that is, to the behavior at high orders; therefore, we need to remember some basic tools of renormalization theory, which are crucial to avoid the well-known problem of “overlapping divergences.” Given a Feynman graph, one first considers a maximally connected subset of vertices corresponding to propagators with scale \( h_v \gg h_0, \) with at least a scale \( h_v, \) and we call it cluster \( v; \) see Fig. 1 (for more details, see [28]). The external lines have a scale smaller than \( h_v. \) Therefore, to each Feynman graph is associated a hierarchy of clusters; inside each cluster \( v \) there are \( S_v \) maximal clusters, that is, clusters contained only in the cluster \( v \) and not in any smaller one, or trivial clusters given by a single vertex. The clusters, therefore, identify the subdiagrams that one needs to renormalize as those containing propagators living at energy scales greater than the ones outside them.

By using a tree of propagators with scale \( h_v, \) by integrating the propagators over time, and by using (21) we get that each graph of order \( n \) contributing to \( W_m \) is bounded at fixed scale, if \( \varepsilon = \max(|J_1|,|U|), \) by

\[ C^n \varepsilon^n \prod \gamma^{h_0(S_v-1)}, \]  

(22)

where \( v \) are the clusters (not end points) and \( h_v \leq 0. \) From the above estimate, we see that the scaling dimension of any contribution to the effective potential has the same positive scaling dimension (independent of the number of fields),

\[ D = 1. \]  

(23)

In other words, all the effective interactions are relevant in the RG sense, and the theory is nonrenormalizable. Indeed, graphs with all the assignments of scales contribute to the effective potential, and from (22) the sum over scales gives an infinite result. However, it turns out, as a consequence of number-theoretical properties of the quasirandom disorder, that a huge class of terms is indeed irrelevant. In a large relative measure set of \( J_{\perp}, \) one has

\[ ||\alpha x \pm 2\omega \bar{x}|| \geq C_0|x|^{-\varepsilon} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\} \]  

(24)

and

\[ ||\alpha x \pm \omega \bar{x} || \geq C_0|x|^{-\varepsilon} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\}. \]  

(25)

Conditions (24) and (25) are known in KAM theory as the first and second Melnikov conditions. The first condition is used to bound the propagator; using \( ||\alpha x'|| = ||\alpha x - \rho \omega \bar{x}|| = \frac{1}{2}|\omega 2x - 2\rho \omega \bar{x}|| \) for small \( ||\alpha x'||, \) then \( \hat{g}_{\rho}^{(h)}(k_0,x) \leq C|x|^{-\tau}. \) The second condition is used to show the irrelevance of a number of terms in the effective potential. Let us consider a contribution to the effective potential (20) with external lines \( \psi_{\rho_{1},x_{1},l_{1}}^{(h)} \cdots \psi_{\rho_{m},x_{m},l_{m}}^{(h)} \). By construction, the coordinates of the external fields are such that \( (\alpha x')_{\mod \leq \gamma h^2}. \) Note that in each graph there is a tree of propagators connecting all the vertices and external lines (see Fig. 2); each propagator carries a coordinate \( x, \) and verifies connect lines with coordinates differing at most by \( \pm 1; \) more exactly, if \( x_i, x_j \) are the
coordinates of two external lines,
\[ x_i - x_j = x'_i + \rho_i \bar{x}_i - \rho_j \bar{x}_j = \sum_a \delta_{a, \alpha} , \]
where the sum is over the vertices in the path of the tree connecting \( i \) and \( j \), and \( \delta_{a, \alpha} = (0, 1, -1) \) is associated with the line connected to the vertex \( \alpha \). When \( U = 0 \), then necessarily \( l_i = l_j \). It is natural to distinguish among the terms contributing to the effective potential between resonant terms and nonresonant terms. The first are the contributions in (20) in which the coordinate \( x' \) of the external fields is equal, \( \psi_{\rho_i \bar{x}_i, \alpha} (\bar{x}_i) \cdots \psi_{\rho_j \bar{x}_j, \alpha} (\bar{x}_j) \), that is, for any \( i, j \),
\[ x'_i = x'_j . \]
The nonresonant terms are the ones such that, for some \( i, j \), \( x'_i \neq x'_j \) so that from (26) and the second Melnikov condition (25)
\[ 2\gamma^h \geq ||(\omega x'_j)|| + ||(\omega x'_j)|| \geq ||(\omega x'_j - x'_j)|| \]
\[ = \left| \omega (\rho_i \bar{x}_i - \rho_j \bar{x}_j) + \omega \sum_a \delta_{a, \alpha} \right| \geq \frac{C}{\sum_a \delta_{a, \alpha}} \]
so that \( \sum_a \delta_{a, \alpha} \geq \tilde{C} \gamma^{h/2} \).

One can then use the high power of \( J, U \) to get a gain factor, making irrelevant the nonresonant contributions to the effective potential. Writing \( \varepsilon = \max(|J|, |U|) \) and \( \varepsilon = \prod_{a=0}^{\infty} e^{\varepsilon a} \), we can associate a factor \( e^{2h/2} \) for each end point enclosed in the cluster \( v \); as \( \sum_a \delta_{a, \alpha} \) is surely smaller than the number of vertices in the cluster \( v \), and choosing \( \gamma^{h/2} > 1 \), we can associate to each nonresonant contribution a factor \( e^{2h/2} \sum_a \delta_{a, \alpha} \leq e^{2h/2} \gamma^{h/2} \leq Y^h \) for \( \varepsilon \) small; therefore,
\[ e^{\gamma^2} \leq \prod_v e^{2h/2} \gamma^{h/2} S^R = \prod_v Y^h S^R , \]
where \( S^R \) is the number of nonresonant clusters in \( v \); this means that to each nonresonant term is associated at least a factor \( Y^h \), which is sufficient to make its scaling dimension negative.

It remains to prove that (24) and (25) are true in a large relative measure set of values, that is, if \( |J_\perp| \leq \varepsilon_0 \), in a set whose complement has measure \( O(C_{\varepsilon_0}^{1+\alpha}) \), \( \alpha \geq 0 \), and \( C_L \) is an \( L \)-dependent constant. Indeed if (24) is true, then if \( \cos l \neq 0 \),
\[ C_0 |x|^{-\gamma} \leq ||a_\omega \pm 2\varepsilon|| \leq ||a_\omega \pm 2(\bar{x} + a J_\perp \cos l)|| + 2|a J_\perp \cos l| \leq C_0 |x|^{-\alpha} + C |\varepsilon_0 \cos l| \]
\[ \leq C_0 |x|^{-\gamma} + C |\varepsilon_0 \cos l| \]
and if \( \tau > \tau + 1 \), \( C_0/2 |x|^\gamma \leq C_0 |x|^\gamma (1 - |x|^\gamma - \tau - 1) \leq C |a_\varepsilon \cos l| \) for \( |x| \geq 2 \), and \( |x| \geq 2 |\varepsilon_0 \cos l| / C_0 \). The set \( I \) of \( J_\perp \) not verifying (24) is defined by the condition, for \(-1 \leq s \leq 1 \),
\[ f(s) = \alpha 2 \xi \pm 2[\xi + a J_\perp \cos l] = s C_0 |x|^{-\gamma} \]
and \( \partial s/\partial J_\perp = \alpha 2 \xi \pm a J_\perp = C_0 |x|^{-\gamma} \) so that the measure of the region in which (24) is not true is
\[ \int J_\perp \sum_{l, \cos l \neq 0} \frac{1}{C \cos l} \sum_{s \geq N_0} |x|^{-\gamma} \leq C_L |s_0|^{-\gamma} \]
and choosing \( \gamma^{-1} > 1 \), that is, \( \tau > \tau + 1 \), we have that for \( |J_\perp| \leq \varepsilon_0 \) the relative measure of the excluded \( J_\perp \) is \( O(C_{\varepsilon_0}^{1+\gamma^{-1}}) \), hence it is vanishing if \( \varepsilon_0 \to 0 \). A similar procedure can be repeated for the second Melnikov condition; if \( \cos l_i \pm \cos l_j \neq 0 \), then
\[ C_0 |x|^\gamma \leq ||a_\omega \pm (\xi + a \cos l_i J_\perp) \pm (\xi + a \cos l_j J_\perp)|| \]
\[ + |J_\perp a (\cos l_i \pm \cos l_j)| \leq C_0 |x|^\gamma + C |\varepsilon_0 (\cos l_i \pm \cos l_j) a| \]
from which \( |x| \geq 2 |\varepsilon_0 (\cos l_i \pm \cos l_j a)| / C_0 \); one then proceeds as above with \( |\cos l_i \pm \cos l_j| / |\cos l_i| \) replacing \( |\cos l_i| \).

**IV. THE RESONANT TERMS**

We have seen in the preceding section that the nonresonant terms are irrelevant. We must then construct a renormalized expansion for the two-point function, extracting, at each RG iteration, the marginal and relevant part of the resonant terms. In this way, the two-point function is written as an expansion in a set of running coupling constants, which is convergent if such constants remain small at each scale; convergence at the end implies localization in the ground state at a nonperturbative level, as it means that the interacting theory is analytically close to the noninteracting one, which is localized.

We shall now focus on some properties of the resonant terms. Note that \( x_i - x_j = x'_i - x'_j + \rho_i \bar{x}_i - \rho_j \bar{x}_j \in Z \) so that in the resonances \( \rho_i \bar{x}_i - \rho_j \bar{x}_j \in Z \). This says that, up to a zero measure set of \( J_\perp, \rho_i \bar{x}_i - \rho_j \bar{x}_j = 0 \) as \( (\cos l_i - \cos l_j) a J_\perp \) or \( 2 \xi + (\cos l_i + \cos l_j) a J_\perp \) can be a nonvanishing integer only in a zero measure set by the diophantine condition, \( 2 \xi \) cannot be integer. In addition in a resonant terms, all the fields necessarily have the same \( \rho \),
\[ \rho_i = \rho_j \]
as if \( \rho_i = -\rho_j \) one gets \( 2x + (\cos l_i + \cos l_j) \alpha J_{l_j} = 0 \), which cannot be vanishing for small \( J_{l_j} \). Finally in the resonances the condition \( (\cos l_i - \cos l_j) \alpha J_{l_j} \neq 0 \) cannot be verified unless \( \cos l_i = \cos l_j \). The above properties imply that the resonances with a number of fields \( \geq 4 \) have the following structure:

\[
\prod_j \psi_{\rho,x',n_i,j}^e \cos l_j = \cos l_j. \tag{34}
\]

If \( L = 2 \), that is, the array is only composed of two chains, then \( l = (0, \pi), \bar{x}_1 = \bar{x} + J_{l_2}/2 \), and \( \bar{x}_1 = \bar{x} - J_{l_2}/2 \) so that the resonant terms have the same \( \rho, l \) index; this has the effect that the monomials with \( \geq 4 \) fields and the same coordinates are vanishing. In resonances for which the number of fields is greater than two, there are at least two couples of the form \( \psi_{\rho,x',n_0,1} \psi_{\rho,x',n_2,2} \), which can be rewritten as

\[
\psi_{\rho,x',n_0,1} \psi_{\rho,x',n_2,2} = \psi_{\rho,x',n_0,1} \left( \psi_{\rho,x',n_2,2} - \psi_{\rho,x',n_0,1} \right) \tag{35}
\]

and

\[
\psi_{\rho,x',n_0,1} \psi_{\rho,x',n_2,2} - \psi_{\rho,x',n_0,1} = (x_0 - x_1) \int_0^1 dt \psi_{\rho,x',n_0(t)} \tag{36}
\]

with \( x_0(t) = x_0 + t(x_2 - x_0) \). The derivative produces an extra \( \gamma \hbar v \) if \( v' \) is the cluster enclosing \( v \), and the factor \( x_0 - x_0(t) \) produces an extra \( \gamma - h_i \); as there are at least two such monomials, one gets at least a factor \( \gamma ( \hbar v - h_i ) \). Remembering that the scaling dimension is \( D = 1 \), this means that all the resonances with more than two fields are irrelevant if \( L = 2 \).

If \( L \geq 3 \), the situation is different; there are a couple of indices \( l' \) such that \( x_1 = x_2 \); quartic terms involving such indices and the same \( \rho \), \( l \) are not vanishing so that there are quartic relevant terms. For instance, in the three-chain problem \( L = 3 \) one has \( l = 2\pi/3, 4\pi/3, 2\pi \) and \( x_1 = x - J_{l_3}, x_2 = x + J_{l_3}, x_3 = x \); the local part of the quartic terms (the part with identical coordinates) \( \psi_{p,0} \psi_{p,0} \psi_{p,0} \psi_{p,0} \) is nonvanishing; the quartic terms are indeed relevant, while resonant terms with a number greater than 6 are irrelevant. The number of couples \( l, j \) with \( \cos l = \cos l_j \), and the corresponding quartic terms, increases with \( L \); for instance, for \( L = 8 \) one has \( l = \pi/4, \pi/2, 3\pi/4, \pi, 5\pi/4, 3\pi/2, 7\pi/4, 2\pi \), with \( \cos l = \sqrt{2} \cos(2\pi/\sqrt{2}), -\sqrt{2} \cos(2\pi/\sqrt{2}), -1, -\sqrt{2}/2, 0, \sqrt{2}/2, 1 \), so that the nonvanishing local quartic terms are \( \psi_{p,0}^4 \psi_{p,0} \psi_{p,0}^2 \psi_{p,0}^2 \), \( \psi_{p,0}^2 \psi_{p,0}^2 \psi_{p,0} \psi_{p,0} \), \( \psi_{p,0}^2 \psi_{p,0} \psi_{p,0} \psi_{p,0} \), \( \psi_{p,0} \psi_{p,0} \psi_{p,0} \psi_{p,0} \). As there are at most a couple of fields with the same \( x_i \) and different \( l \), the terms with a number \( \geq 6 \) of fields are irrelevant, as there are at least four fields with the same \( l \).

To get a convergent expansion, one has to extract the relevant part from the resonant terms. If \( \mathcal{V}^h_{\text{res}} = \sum_m \mathcal{V}^h_{m,\text{res}} \), where \( \mathcal{V}^h_m \) are the monomials with \( m \) fields, then we define a localization operation \( \mathcal{V}^h_{2} = \mathcal{L}^h \mathcal{V}^h_{2} + \mathcal{R} \mathcal{V}^h_{2} \) with \( \mathcal{R} = 1 - \mathcal{L} \), and \( \mathcal{L} \) acts on the kernels of \( \mathcal{V}^h_{2} \) in the following way:

\[
\mathcal{L}^h \mathcal{W}^h_{2}(k_0,x') = \mathcal{W}^h_{2}(0,0) + k_0 \mathcal{W}^h_{2}(0,0) + (\alpha x')^2 \mathcal{W}^h_{2}(0,0), \tag{37}
\]

where \( \mathcal{W}^h_{2}(k_0,x') \) has an extra \( \gamma^{2h_i} \). \( \mathcal{R} \mathcal{V}^h_{2} \) is therefore irrelevant. The local part of the effective potential then has the form

\[
\mathcal{L}^h_{\text{res},2} = \sum_{\rho,l} \int d\bar{x}_l [v_{h,l} \gamma^h \psi_{\rho,x',l}^+ \psi_{\rho,x',l}^+] + \gamma^h \psi_{\rho,x',l}^+ \psi_{\rho,x',l}^+ + \alpha(h_{i,l} + \alpha x') \psi_{\rho,x',l}^+ \psi_{\rho,x',l}^+. \tag{38}
\]

Regarding terms with a number of fields \( \geq 6 \), \( \mathcal{L}^h_{\text{res},m} = 0 \) for \( m \geq 6 \), as the local part and its first derivative are vanishing. Finally, if \( L = 1,2 \), then

\[
\mathcal{L}^h_{\text{res},4} = 0 \tag{39}
\]

while for \( L \geq 3 \) then

\[
\mathcal{L}^h_{\text{res},4} = G + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\rho,j} \sum_{\rho} \lambda_{h_{i,j},\rho} \gamma^h \times \int dx \psi_{\rho,x,l}^+ \psi_{\rho,x,l}^+ \psi_{\rho,x,j}^+ \psi_{\rho,x,j}^+, \tag{40}
\]

where marginal terms are included in \( G \), that is, quartic local terms with at least a field \( \partial \psi \) (the corresponding coupling is called \( \lambda_{h_{i,j},\rho} \)) and the sum \( \sum_{\rho,j} \) is over the fields with the same \( \bar{x}_l \).

V. CONVERGENCE OF THE RENORMALIZED EXPANSION

The integration is done separating at each integration step the relevant and the irrelevant parts of the effective integration, writing

\[
\int d\psi \mathcal{P}^h \mathcal{E}^h(\psi^h) + \mathcal{R} \mathcal{V}^h(\psi^h) \tag{41}
\]

with \( \mathcal{R} = 1 - \mathcal{L} \), and \( \mathcal{L} \) is the localization operator defined above; this allows us to get an expansion in terms of running coupling constants \( \tilde{v}_0 = (\lambda_{h_{i,j},\rho}, \lambda_{h_{i,j},\rho} - \lambda_{h_{i,j},\rho}, v_{h,l} + \alpha(h_{i,j}, \alpha x') \) if \( v_0 \) is the largest cluster, \( v \) are the clusters (without vertices), \( \tilde{v} \) are the vertices, \( R \) or \( N \) are the resonant clusters or vertices, and \( v' \) is the first cluster enclosing \( v \), then

\[
\prod_v \gamma^{-h_0} \mathcal{S} \prod_{v \neq v_0} \mathcal{V}^h \prod_{v' \neq v_0} \prod_{v'' \neq v_0} \gamma^{-h_{v''}} \prod_v \gamma^{-h_{v'}} \prod_{v' \neq v_0} \gamma^{-h_{v'}} \tag{42}
\]

and

\[
\prod_v \gamma^{-h_0} \prod_{v \neq v_0} \gamma^{-h_{v'}} \prod_{v' \neq v_0} \gamma^{-h_{v'}} \tag{42}
\]

Using (29), we get that the kernel \( \mathcal{W}^h_{m} \) in the renormalized expansion is bounded, if \( |\tilde{v}_0| = \epsilon \), by

\[
[\epsilon^{n/2} \prod_v \gamma^{-h_{v}}(\mathcal{S} - 1)] \prod_{v \in \mathcal{R}} \gamma^{2h_{v} - h_{v}} \tag{43}
\]

where the factor \( \prod_{v \in \mathcal{R}} \gamma^{2h_{v} - h_{v}} \) is, as explained in the previous section, due to the action of \( \mathcal{R} \) or to (35) and (36).
Therefore, (43) can be written as

$$C_{\eta} \gamma^{\eta/2} \prod_{v} \gamma^{(h_{v}-h_{\eta})} \left[ \prod_{\ell \in N R} \gamma^{h_{\ell}} \right].$$  (44)

As $h_{v} - h_{\eta} \lesssim 0$, it is possible over the scales $h_{v}$ to obtain a bound followed by convergence provided that $\varepsilon$ is not too large. Note that the above bound is valid for the sum of all Feynman graphs of order $n$ by using determinant bounds for fermionic expectations; see [28] for details. The renormalization expansion has a finite radius of convergence in terms of the running coupling constants; if they remain, for any potential, that is, localization is found inside the convergence radius. We must then analyze the flow of the effective couplings, and the result is dramatically different in the $L = 2$ and $L \geq 3$ cases.

In the $L = 2$ case, there are no quartic terms in the effective potential, that is, $\lambda_{h} = \bar{\lambda} = 0$; the only effective couplings are quadratic, and the flow equations are

$$v_{h-1,l} = y^{h_{l}} + \beta_{h,l}^{v}, \quad \alpha_{h-1,l} = h_{l} + \beta_{h,l}^{\alpha},$$  (45)

and $\bar{z}_{h-1} = \bar{z}_{h} + \beta_{h}^{z}$, where the $\beta_{h}^{v}, \beta_{h}^{\alpha}, \beta_{h}^{z}$ are the beta functions; they are given by a sum of terms with at least one irrelevant term, as terms containing only marginal terms (quadratic in the fields) are chain graphs giving a vanishing contribution to be a $\beta$ function via the compact support properties of the propagator. Therefore, by (44), the $\beta$ function is asymptotically vanishing, $\beta_{h}^{v}, \beta_{h}^{\alpha}, \beta_{h}^{z} = O(y^{h_{l}})$. $v_{h,l}$ is a relevant coupling, but its flow can be controlled by choosing properly the counter-terms $v_{l}$; indeed, we can write $v_{h-1,l} = y^{h_{l}}(v_{l} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y^{k} \beta_{h,l}^{k})$, and choosing $v_{l} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y^{k} \beta_{h,l}^{k}$, we obtain $v_{h,l} = O(y^{h_{l}})$. Moreover, $\bar{z}_{h-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y^{k} \beta_{h,l}^{z}$, we obtain $v_{h,l} = O(\varepsilon)$. Therefore, if $J, U$ are sufficiently small, we have that the running coupling constants are small and the series are convergent. Similarly, if $L \geq 3$ and $U = 0$ there are only quadratic couplings, and we can proceed in the same way.

In the case $L \geq 3$, however, there are quartic relevant and marginal couplings, that is,

$$\lambda_{h-1,l,r,p} = \gamma^{\lambda_{h,l,r,p}} + \beta_{h,l,r,p}^{\lambda}.$$  (46)

Convergence is achieved at finite temperatures, that is, for $\gamma^{-n} U$ or $\beta U$ of order 1, and at lower temperatures one expects generically an unbounded flow.

An estimate for the two-point function follows easily from the expansion for the effective potential; if the external coordinates are $x$ and $y$, then there are at least $|x - y|$ $\varepsilon$ factors, and this implies exponential decay in the direction of the chains. By the first Melnikov condition, the smallest scale of the contribution at order $n$ verifies

$$\gamma^{n} U \leq C(1 + \min(|x|, |y|))^{n} \left[ 1 + \frac{n}{1 + \min(|x|, |y|)} \right]$$  (47)

from which follows Eq. (13).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have considered an array of interacting chains with quasirandom disorder. The RG analysis is in agreement with cold-atoms experiments [24,25], in which it is found that localization is present in the single-chain case while it is absent when several chains are considered. In the first case, number-theoretical properties, combined with cancellations due to the Pauli principle, ensure that all the effective interactions are irrelevant, even if dimensionally relevant. On the contrary, in the second case there are nonvanishing relevant interactions, whose number increases with the number of chains; as usual, the presence of diverging directions in the RG flow is expected to signal an instability of the system. In addition, we have shown that localization in the ground state is present with two chains if the fermions are spinless, and in the presence of interaction a prediction in principle is accessible with experimental verification.


