

26 **Keywords:** sprouting; enzymes; improvers; rheology; bread staling

27 **Abstract**

28 Sprouting is a natural process that enhances the nutritional and sensory profile of
29 cereal-based foods. The present work addressed the possibility of using refined flour
30 from sprouted wheat (SWF) to improve the bread-making performance of some flours
31 in place of conventional improvers - i.e. enzymatic improver (EI) and malt (M). Either
32 0.5% EI or M was added to the control flour (CTRL), as conventionally used in
33 bakeries, whereas SWF was used up to 2%. Unlike EI and M, 1.5% SWF showed a
34 gluten aggregation strength similar to that of the CTRL, suggesting no worsening of the
35 protein network characteristics. As for the leavening properties, dough development
36 increased, thanks to the enrichment with 1.5% SWF. In addition, presence of SWF
37 improved the amount of gas production during leavening- resulting in bread with high
38 specific volume - and the crumb softness during storage. Addition of SWF may
39 represent a valid alternative to enzymatic improvers or malt for improving the
40 technological performance of wheat flours.

41 **1.Introduction**

42 During germination (or sprouting), high levels of hydrolytic enzymes - such as
43 amylases and proteases – are accumulated in the cereal seed, so that the insoluble
44 endosperm starch and protein reserves are hydrolyzed into soluble forms that can be
45 transported to the embryo to meet the needs of the growing plant. **Significant**
46 **correlations between xylanase activity levels and sprouting-related parameters, such as**
47 **α -amylase activity, and viscous properties of flour-water suspensions, have been**
48 **reported (Dornez et al., 2008).**

49 Under ideal growth conditions, ripe grains contain only small amount of enzymes
50 and the resulted flour can be used to produce a wide range of cereal-based products. On
51 the other hand, under non ideal conditions - e.g. when the grains are exposed to
52 prolonged wet or foggy conditions – amylases, proteases, **and xylanases** may be
53 retained or synthesized prior to harvest and as a consequence, the flour is unsuitable for
54 baked products (Prasada and Hemalata, 2014).

55 Indeed, pre-harvest sprouted wheat is usually associated with dough weakening and
56 stickiness, and with worsening of dough handling (Paulsen and Auld, 2004). Moreover,
57 bread from extensively sprouted wheat show very poor characteristics, with a sticky
58 and gummy crumb (McCleary and Sturgeon, 2002). Finally, the crumb color of the
59 breads is darker and the grain and texture inferior compared to bread baked from non-
60 germinated wheat (Finney et al., 1980).

61 On the other hand, since the nutritional (Hubner and Arendt, 2013; Singh et al.,
62 2015) and sensory (Heiniö et al., 2001) benefits of germination have been extensively
63 documented, using of sprouted grains in food formulations is continuing to gain
64 traction in the marketplace and represents a re-emerging trend in healthy foods.

65 Recent studies reported that the use of flour from whole wheat germinated in
66 controlled conditions improved loaf volume and crumb texture (Bellaio et al., 2014;
67 Richter, Christiansen, & Guo, 2014). These positive effects were ascribed to the natural
68 enzymes expressed during the germination process that might decrease or completely
69 replace the quantity of commercial enzymes added to bread formulation. Nonetheless,
70 the use of sprouted wheat as alternative to conventional flour improvers (e.g. enzymes,
71 malt) has not been thoroughly investigated up to now.

72 Using enzymes as flours improvers is a frequent practice for flour standardization
73 and also as baking aids. Enzymes – such as amylases, proteases and xylanases - are
74 usually added to modify dough rheology, gas retention and crumb softness in bread-
75 making (Goesaert et al., 2006). Those enzymes can be added individually or in
76 complex mixtures, which may act in a synergistic way in the production of baked
77 goods.

78 The present work addressed the possibility of using refined flour from
79 controlled-sprouted wheat, as source of enzymes, to improve the bread-making
80 performance of flours. The effects of the enrichment with low level (0.5-2%) of
81 sprouted wheat on dough rheology and bread-making performance were assessed and
82 compared to those of the improvers (e.g. malt and enzymatic improver) conventionally
83 used in bread making.

84

85 **2. Materials and Methods**

86 **2.1 Materials**

87 Flours from unsprouted wheat (USWF) and sprouted wheat (SWF) were kindly
88 provided by Molino Quaglia (Molino Qualia S.p.A., Vighizzolo d'Este, Italy), as the
89 commercial wheat flour (CTRL; W =260 *10⁻⁴ J; P/L = 2.08) used for blending studies.

90 Malt (M; Matlo 5, Bona s.r.l., Monza, Italy) and the enzymatic improver (EI,
91 PowerBake950, Danisco, Copenhagen, Denmark) were added to CTRL at 0.5% level,
92 which represents conventional amount used in bread-making (De Leyn, 2006). SWF
93 was used at 0.5, 1, 1.5, and 2%.

94

95 **2.2 Sprouting process**

96 Commercial wheat kernels were sprouted in an industrial sprouting plant (Bühler AG,
97 Uzwil, Switzerland). Wheat (10 tons) was soaked in water (kernels:water ratio of 1:2)
98 for 12-24h at 20°C, germinated for 72-90h at 20 °C, dried at 50 °C for 32 h.
99 Unsprouted and sprouted wheat were milled in the same industrial plant (Bühler AG,
100 Uzwil, Switzerland), and the related flours – USWF and SWF, respectively - were
101 obtained.

102

103 **2.3 Chemical composition**

104 Moisture, starch, protein, lipid and ash contents were assessed by AACC standard
105 methods (44-15.02, 76-13.01, 46-12.01, 30-10.01, and 08-01.01, respectively; AACC
106 2001). Sugars were determined by HPLC by Anion Exchange Chromatography with
107 Pulsed Amperometric Detection (HPAEC-PAD) (Zygmunt et al. 1982). Total, soluble
108 and insoluble dietary fiber content was quantified by enzymatic–gravimetric procedure
109 (AOAC Method 991.43).

110

111 **2.4 Enzymatic activities**

112 Proteolytic activity was determined in triplicate in the conditions proposed by Arnon
113 (1970) and using azocasein (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA) as the
114 substrate. Alpha-amylase activity was determined in triplicate according to AACC

115 standard method n. 303, by using the Megazyme Amylase Assay Procedure
116 (Megazyme International Ireland Ltd., Wicklow, Ireland). Xylanase activity was
117 determined in triplicate using the Azo-wheat arabinoxylan kit (K-AZOWAX 09/04)
118 provided by Megazyme (Megazyme International Ireland Ltd., Wicklow, Ireland).
119

120 **2.5 Rheological properties**

121 **2.5.1 Pasting properties**

122 Pasting properties were measured in duplicate using a Micro-Visco-Amylograph device
123 (MVAG, Brabender GmbH & Co. KG, Duisburg, Germany). An aliquot of sample (12
124 g) was dispersed in 100 mL of distilled water and stirred at 250 rpm. The following
125 temperature profile was applied: heating from 30 °C to 95 °C at a rate of 3 °C/min,
126 holding at 95 °C for 20 min, cooling from 95 °C to 30 °C at a cooling rate of 3 °C/min,
127 and holding at 30 °C for 1 min.

128

129 **2.5.2 Gluten aggregation properties**

130 Gluten aggregation properties were measured at least in triplicate using the GlutoPeak
131 device (Brabender GmbH & Co. KG, Duisburg, Germany), as reported by Marti et al.
132 (2015a).

133

134 **2.5.3 Leavening properties**

135 Leavening properties of doughs were assessed in duplicate with a
136 Rheofermentometer® device (Chopin, Tripette & Renaud, Villeneuve La Garenne
137 Cedex, France). Dough samples were prepared in an automatic spiral mixer (Bomann,
138 Clatronic s.r.l., Piadena, Italy) with 1.5% NaCl and 1.5% bakers' yeast. Mixing time
139 (1.6-1.8 min) and amount of water (54.5-55%) were those determined by the

140 Farinograph test, according to the ICC Standard Method 115/1 (ICC 1992). The
141 rheofermentographic test was performed on 315 g portion of the dough and carried out
142 at 30 °C for 3 h.

143

144 **2.6 Bread-making**

145 Either wheat flour or blends were mixed with compressed yeast and salt, each
146 comprising 1.5g/100g of the total mixture, and previously dissolved in water. The
147 amount of water added to each formulation varied according to the farinographic water
148 absorption index, previously determined. For each formulation, the ingredients were
149 mixed in an automatic spiral mixer (Bomann, Clatronic s.r.l., Italy), for 8 min.
150 Immediately after mixing, the dough was left to rest for 10 min at room temperature.
151 After that, the dough was divided into portions of 250 g, molded into cylinder shapes,
152 put in baking pans (8×15×5 cm) and left to rest for 60 min in a proofing chamber at 30
153 °C and 70% RH. Samples were baked in an oven (Self Cooking Center®, Rational
154 International AG) for 4 min at 120 °C with vapor injection for 7 s. Then, the oven
155 temperature was increased to 230°C for 11 min. Two hours after removing loaves from
156 the oven, they were packaged in perforated orientated polypropylene film and stored at
157 controlled conditions (20 °C, 60% RH) for three days. For each sample, two baking
158 experimental tests were performed and three loaves were obtained from each baking
159 test.

160

161 **2.7 Bread properties**

162 A reflectance color meter (CR 210, Minolta Co., Osaka, Japan) was used to measure
163 the lightness and saturation of the color intensity of bread crumb and crust. Each
164 measurement was replicated five times and the average value was used.

165 The apparent volume (n=6) was determined by the rapeseed displacement
166 method, two hours after baking. The weight of the bread (n=6) was recorded and the
167 specific volume was determined through the volume/mass ratio and expressed in mL/g.

168 Three central slices (15 mm thickness) were selected from each bread and used
169 for crumb moisture, water activity, porosity and texture analysis.

170 Moisture content of the crumb was measured in triplicate by drying the sample
171 at 130 °C until the weight will not change of 1 mg for 60 s, by an infrared balance (MA
172 210.R, Radwag Wagi Elektroniczne, Poland). The crumb core water activity (aw) was
173 measured in triplicate by an electronic hygrometer (Aqua Lab, CX-2 – Decagon
174 Devices, Pullman, WA).

175 Crumb porosity was evaluated by image analysis. The images were acquired at
176 a resolution of 600 dpi (dots for inch) using a flatbed scanner (Epson Perfection 3170
177 Photo, Seiko Epson Corp., Japan). The images were converted to 8 bit grey scale and
178 subjected to spatial calibration before the analysis. The images were calibrated,
179 standardized and optimized applying appropriate filters to evaluate the morphological
180 characterization of the bubbles area (mm²) and porosity (%) using an Image-Pro Plus
181 6.0 (Media Cybernetics Inc., USA) software. The bubbles, moreover, have been
182 classified into four different size classes according to their surface: class 1: bubbles
183 area between 0.01 and 0.99 mm²; class 2: bubbles area between 1.00 and 4.99 mm²;
184 class 3: bubbles area between 5.00 and 49.99 mm²; class 4: bubbles area greater than
185 50.00 mm². The number of pores and the area occupied by each class (expressed as
186 percentage of the total number of pores and total pore-area, respectively) were also
187 evaluated.

188 Crumb texture characteristics were assessed using a testing machine (Z005,
189 Zwick Roell, Ulm, Germany), equipped with a 100 N load cell as described by Marti et

190 al. (2014). A 30 mm diameter cylindrical aluminum probe and a test speed of 2 mm/s
191 were used. Crumb hardness was measured (n = 6) after 0 (two hours after baking), 1, 2
192 and 3 storage days and expressed as the load (N) at 30% strain.

193

194 **2.8 Statistics**

195 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed utilizing Statgraphics XV version
196 15.1.02 (StatPoint Inc., Warrenton, VA, USA). Different dough samples were
197 considered as factors for ANOVA. When a factor effect was found significant
198 ($p \leq 0.05$), significant differences among the respective means were determined using
199 Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

200

201 **3. Results and Discussion**

202 **3.1 Chemical composition and enzymatic activities before and after sprouting**

203 Wheat kernels were germinated in an industrial plant by modulating temperature and
204 humidity conditions, in order to promote a controlled sprouting (Figure S1). The
205 sprouting process did not affect the ash, protein, lipids, and fiber contents (Table S1).
206 On the other hand, after sprouting, the starch content decreased and, consequently, the
207 amount of total sugars increased, with particular regards to maltose, sucrose and
208 glucose (Table S1). These variations are due to the high enzymatic activities after
209 sprouting. Indeed, SWF had much more enzymatic activities (amylases, proteases and
210 xylanases) than USWF (Table 1). The enzymatic data confirm the synthesis and
211 accumulation of enzymes during the germination phase. This phenomenon is necessary
212 to assure the hydrolysis of proteins, polysaccharides and lipids to allow the growth of
213 the embryo (Nelson et al., 2013). Table 1 also showed the enzymatic activities of a
214 commercial malt (M) and an enzymatic improver (EI) that are conventionally used in

215 bread-making to improve the baking performance and shelf-life of the product. In the
216 following sections, the effects of small amounts of SWF (0.5-2%) on dough rheology
217 and bread quality will be compared with those promoted by conventional flour
218 improvers at similar dosage (De Leyn, 2006).

219

220 **3.2 Pasting properties**

221 The MVAG indices of commercial wheat flour alone (CTRL) or after addition of malt
222 (0.5% M), enzymatic improver (0.5% EI), or sprouted wheat flour (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2%
223 SWF) are reported in Table 2. The progressive addition of SWF (from 0.5 to 2%)
224 resulted in a significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) decrease in viscosity during heating and cooling
225 phase as a consequence of the high amylase activity in germinated wheat (Table 1).
226 The effect of amylase activity on paste viscosity has been already documented
227 (Dobraszczyk and Dendy, 2001).

228 Although a decrease in peak viscosity has been measured in presence of SWF,
229 the starch in the mixture has still the ability to form a gel at temperature lower than
230 95°C. This result is of great interest in view of incorporating SWF in food formulation,
231 without dramatically compromising the starch behavior during baking. In presence of
232 SWF, peak temperature significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) decreased, indicating the starch
233 granules reached maximum viscosity earlier compared to CTRL.

234 During the cooling step the gelatinized starch is reorganized, giving the structure of
235 a gel. The setback value - which reflects the retrogradation tendency of amylose in a
236 starch paste - decreased with increasing percentage of SWF (Table 2), suggesting a
237 decrease in starch retrogradation compared to the CTRL. The outer branches of the
238 amylopectin are hydrolyzed by the alpha-amylase and thus made unavailable for
239 forming large amylopectin crystals. These small crystallites do not form a three-

240 dimensional network capable of promoting an important increase in viscosity during
241 cooling (Dobraszczyk and Dendy 2001). This trend could be of great interest, since low
242 setback values indicate low rate of starch retrogradation and syneresis. **This aspect**
243 **would contribute to the maintenance of a soft crumb during bread storage.**

244 The addition of 0.5% EI (having xylanase as the main activity, Table 1) lead to
245 no significant changes in the pasting properties of the CTRL, despite previous studies
246 showed that xylanase cleaves the arabinoxylans into oligomers resulting in the decrease
247 in peak viscosity (Hemalatha et al., 2010). **Differences in xylanase activity among**
248 **commercial improvers might account for the differences in results.**

249 As expected the addition of malt – even if at low level (0.5%) - causes a
250 considerable decrease in pasting temperature, maximum viscosity, and peak
251 temperature (Table 2), in agreement with the studies of Rao, Manohar, &
252 Muralikrishna (2007). Due to the high amount of α -amylase, this mixture did not show
253 the typical pasting profile of wheat flour; in particular, there is no real viscosity peak
254 and the curve is flat throughout the analysis period.

255

256 **3.3 Gluten Aggregation Properties**

257 The GlutoPeak indices of the commercial wheat flour (CTRL) or added to malt (0.5%
258 M), to the enzymatic improver (0.5% EI), or to the sprouted wheat flour (0.5, 1, 1.5,
259 2% SWF) are shown in Table 2.

260 GlutoPeak is a new device proposed for gluten quality evaluation, by measuring
261 protein aggregation capability (Marti et al., 2015a). Bread flours with poor
262 technological quality (e.g. resulting in a low bread volume) are usually characterized by
263 a rapid build-up in consistency and a sharply defined peak followed by a rapid

264 breakdown, while high bread quality flours have a much slower build-up in dough
265 consistency and require more time to reach peak consistency (Marti et al., 2015a,b).

266 Adding M or EI at the 0.5% no significant differences in the maximum consistency
267 value were observed. A similar result was obtained when 0.5% SWF was added;
268 whereas, increasing SWF levels (1-2%) determined a significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increase in
269 maximum torque (Table 2).

270 As regards the time at which the maximum aggregation occurred, a significant ($p \leq$
271 0.05) decrease in value has been measured when M, EI, and SWF have been added to
272 flour. The faster aggregation was measured for SWF at levels $\geq 1.5\%$. The decrease in
273 time can be related to gluten dilution, since the same phenomenon was observed adding
274 1% of starch (data not shown). Nevertheless, the action of proteases, which are
275 synthesized during germination, could be responsible for changing the aggregation
276 properties. In general, the shorter the time until the formation of gluten, the lower the
277 quality of the network (Melnyk et al., 2012). However, on the basis on previous work
278 (Marti et al., 2015a,b) the mixtures with germinated wheat flour show a gluten
279 aggregation kinetic similar to that of a flour with good bread-making quality. Indeed, it
280 seems that wheat sprouting under controlled conditions determined protein hydrolysis
281 without compromising their ability of aggregating and forming gluten network.

282 More recently the area under the peak – which takes into account both maximum
283 torque and maximum peak time - has been found the most suitable parameter for
284 predicting conventional parameters related to dough strength and extensibility (Marti et
285 al., 2015b). The energy value decreased when either M or EI were added to the CTRL.
286 Interestingly, when SWF was present at 1 or 1.5%, samples showed a similar energy
287 value as the CTRL (Table 2), suggesting that the enrichment of 1.5% SWF did not
288 compromise the gluten aggregation properties of the flour.

289

290 **3.4 Leavening properties**

291 The Rheofermentometer allows evaluating the proofing behaviour of doughs by
292 measuring dough development and gas release during the fermentation process. The
293 main indices obtained from the curves during dough development and gas production
294 are summarized in Table 2. Adding 0.5% EI to control flour did not affect either the
295 dough height or the gas production and retention. Both samples showed a slight dip in
296 height after 1 h and 30 min of proofing (data not shown). When 0.5% M was added to
297 the flour, dough developed without showing any decrease in height within the first 2
298 hours of proofing. Moreover, the use of malt increased the dough final height from 57
299 to 70 mm (Table 1), likely due to the more intense yeast activity in presence of free
300 sugars formed from the starch hydrolysis from α -amylase. The positive effect of α -
301 amylase on dough leavening properties have been already demonstrated (Penella,
302 Collar, & Haros, 2008). The height reached by dough during fermentation is related to
303 loaf specific volume; therefore, maximum height is an important parameter when
304 evaluating baking performance.

305 Adding SWF led to increase the development of the dough (Table 2). The
306 maximum dough height was reached in the mixture with $\geq 1.0\%$ SWF. Even the time
307 when this maximum height is reached, which is in closed relation to the yeast activity
308 (Huang et al., 2008), is similar for all samples. However, the mixture with 1.5 % and
309 2.0% SWF showed a better response than the other percentages.

310 Rheofermentometer analysis yields insight into CO₂ production, retention and
311 dough height throughout the dough fermentation process and therefore gives a good
312 indication of yeast fermentation performance. Either the improvers conventionally used
313 in bread-making or SWF affect the porosity time (corresponding to the loss of CO₂

314 from the dough; Table 2). On the contrary all of them, but EI, positively affected the
315 total volume of CO₂ produced and retained into the dough. Previous studies have also
316 shown that gas formation of doughs prepared with fungal α -amylase during
317 fermentation generally increased significantly (Penella et al., 2008).

318 The quantity of CO₂ lost by the dough when proofing is directly linked to the
319 porous nature of the dough, which appears more or less prematurely and is closed
320 linked with the quality of the protein network. The highest amount of retained gases is
321 observed in presence of either malt or 2% SWF. According to literature, the α -amylase
322 provoked a negative effect in the gas retention coefficient, associated with an increase
323 in dough permeability. According to Penella et al. (2008), this phenomenon was
324 induced by increased hydrolysis of starch chains.

325

326 **3.5 Bread Properties**

327 Based on the results obtained on dough rheological properties, we decided to compare
328 the bread-making performance of CTRL, with that of 0.5% EI, 0.5% M, and 1.5%
329 SWF. Crumb porosity is shown in Fig. 1, whereas bread characteristics are reported in
330 Table 3. Adding 1.5% SWF significant increased the porosity area from 44.5% (CTRL)
331 to 54.9%. This figure was similar to that of bread with 0.5% EI (53.9%) and higher
332 than sample with 0.5% M (52.4%). Looking at the cells, despite the number of cells of
333 each class was very similar among the samples (data not shown), differences in cell
334 area were observed (Fig. 1). In particular, small cells (<5 mm²) area represented more
335 than 70% of the total pore area in the CTRL bread and about 40% in 0.5% M, 0.5% EI
336 and 1.5% SWF products. Crumb of bread with M, EI, and SWF was characterized by
337 the presence of large cells (5-50 mm²) whose area accounted for the 60% of the total
338 porosity.

339 The effect of SWF on crumb colour was similar to that of malt. Both of them
340 significantly decreased the lightness and increased the redness compared to the control
341 bread, with no effect on yellowness. Once again, this result could be related to the
342 increased amount of amylases in the flour mixture of this two bread types.

343 As expected, adding malt or germinated wheat flour resulted in a decrease in
344 luminosity, redder and more yellow crust compared to CTRL. These changes were
345 likely caused by increase in Maillard reaction extent (Hefni and Witthöft, 2011) due to
346 the hydrolytic action of amylases and proteases (Goesaert et al., 2006). On the contrary,
347 the use of EI did not affect the bread crust colour, likely due to the low amylase content
348 and thus to low levels of released glucose.

349 The highest specific volume was observed for the bread with SWF, whereas no
350 significant differences were observed in presence of either 0.5% EI or 0.5% M (Table
351 3). Enzymes concentrations seem not to account for the observed differences in bread-
352 making performance. On the other hand, the nature of sample should be considered.
353 Indeed, adding SWF contains also proteins that might contribute to gluten formation
354 and thus maintain the structure during baking. Also Mäkinen and Arendt (2012)
355 reported no significant increased bread volume with 0.5% malt. The effectiveness of
356 xylanase present in EI (Table 1) in improving bread volume is contributing to result in
357 the redistribution of water from the pentosane phase to the gluten phase. The increase
358 in gluten volume fraction assures more extensibility to gluten and consequently a better
359 oven-spring (Goesaert et al., 2006). However, it should be considered that the improver
360 used in our study was not a pure enzyme but included various enzymatic activities,
361 with xylanase as the highest activity.

362 The presence of either malt or SWF improved the textural properties of the
363 bread by significantly decreasing the crumb firmness of fresh samples (2h after baking)

364 (Fig. 2). On the contrary, EI at 0.5% did not affect the crumb texture. During storage
365 (up to 3 days), all the samples exhibited lower firmness than CTRL (Fig. 2). The best
366 result in terms of increasing crumb softness and lowering the staling process was
367 obtained in presence of M or SWF. Differences in bread textural properties cannot be
368 related to bread crumb moisture nor to water activity, as no significant differences were
369 observed among the samples (data not shown).

370 The results of our study confirm the positive effects of amylase, proteases and
371 xylanase on crumb firmness and bread staling (Caballero, Gómez, & Rosell, 2007). The
372 antistaling effect of these enzymes have been widely reviewed (De Leynm, 2006;
373 Goesaert et al., 2006). In particular, α -amylase has been proved to be useful for
374 reducing amylopectin retrogradation and the firming rate of wheat bread crumb
375 (Champenois et al., 1999). Through studies on model systems, Rojas, Rosell, & De
376 Barber (2001) stated that maltodextrins were responsible for the antistaling effect
377 promoted by addition of α -amylase to bread formulation. Jiménez and Martínez-
378 Anaya (2001) proved that water-insoluble pentosans were positively correlated with
379 crumb elasticity and hardness during storage. Xylanases would lead to cleavage of the
380 backbone of arabinoxylans, with the consequent release of water and decrease in water-
381 insoluble pentosans (Rouau, El-Hayek, & Moreau, 1994). Both phenomena could
382 explain the positive effects of xylanases in bread freshness. Similarly, the improvement
383 of bread shelf-life through protease addition possibly would be tied with the increase of
384 the water available for starch, in conjunction with a simultaneous diminution of starch-
385 protein interactions as consequence of the hydrolysis of peptide bonds in the protein
386 molecules. In addition to enzymatic activities, during germination the lipid hydrolysis
387 promotes the production of mono- and diglycerides. This process slows the staling of
388 bread, which corresponds to a longer shelf life of the product.

389

390 **4. Conclusions**

391 This study provides evidence that refined flour from sprouted wheat can be considered
392 as an ingredient for improving the technological performance of commercial flours.
393 Refined flour from industrial-scale germinated wheat shows increased enzymatic
394 activities without compromising the aggregation properties of gluten proteins. Wheat
395 sprouting under controlled conditions increases sugar production with a concomitant
396 improvement of dough leavening properties. The bread-making performance evaluated
397 in terms of loaf volume and crumb softness, confirms that flour from sprouted wheat is
398 a promising and interesting ingredient for formulating baked products, avoiding the use
399 of enzymatic improvers or malt with a positive impact on consumers' acceptance and
400 facilitating the adoption of clean label.

401

402 **Acknowledgments**

403 The authors wish to thank Dr M. Marengo and Dr. M.C. Casiraghi for their support for
404 the determination of enzymatic activities and chemical composition, respectively.

405

406 **References**

407 AACC International. Approved Methods of Analysis, 11th Ed. (2001). St Paul:

408 AACC International.

409 AOAC International. Official Methods of Analysis. Rockville: Method AOAC

410 International.

411 Arnon, R. (1970). Papain. In: G. E. Perman and L. Lorand (Eds.), *Methods*

412 *enzymol* (pp. 226-244). New York: Academic Press.

413 Bellaio, S., Kappeler, S., Rosenfeld, E. Z., & Jacobs, M. (2014). Partially
414 germinated ingredients for naturally healthy and tasty products. *Cereal Foods World*,
415 *59*(5), 231-233.

416 Caballero, P. A., Gómez, M., & Rosell, C. M. (2007). Improvement of dough
417 rheology, bread quality and bread shelf-life by enzymes combination. *Journal of food*
418 *engineering*, *81*(1), 42-53.

419 Champenois, Y., Della Valle, G., Planchot, V., Buleon, A., & Colonna, P.
420 (1999). Influence of α -amylases on bread staling and on retrogradation of wheat starch
421 models. *Sciences des aliments*, *19*(3-4), 471-486.

422 De Leyn, I. (2006). Functional additives. In: Y. H. Hui (Ed.), *Bakery Products:*
423 *Science and Technology* (pp. 233-242). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

424 Dobraszczyk, B. J., & Dendy, D. A. V. (2001). *Cereal and Cereal Products:*
425 *Chemistry and Technology*. Maryland: Aspen Publisher, Inc.

426 Dornez, E., Gebruers, K., Joye, I. J., De Ketelaere, B., Lenartz, J., Massaux, C.,
427 Bodson, B., Delcour, J.A., & Courtin, C. M. (2008). Effects of genotype, harvest year
428 and genotype-by-harvest year interactions on arabinoxylan, endoxylanase activity and
429 endoxylanase inhibitor levels in wheat kernels. *Journal of Cereal Science*, *47*(2), 180-
430 189.

431 Finney, P. L., Morad, M. M., Patel, K., Chaudhry, S. M., Ghiasi, K., Ranhotra,
432 G., et al. (1980). Nine international breads from sound and highly-field-sprouted
433 pacific northwest soft white wheat. *Bakers Digest*, *54*(3), 22-27.

434 Goesaert, H., Gebruers, K., Courtin, C. M., Brijs, K., & Delcour, J. A. (2006).
435 Enzymes in breadmaking. In: Y. H. Hui (Ed.), *Bakery Products: Science and*
436 *Technology* (pp. 337-364). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

437 Hefni, M., & Witthöft, C. M. (2011). Increasing the folate content in Egyptian
438 baladi bread using germinated wheat flour. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, *44*(3),
439 706-712.

440 Heiniö, R. L., Oksman-Caldentey, K. M., Latva-Kala, K., Lehtinen, P., &
441 Poutanen, K. (2001). Effect of drying treatment conditions on sensory profile of
442 germinated oat. *Cereal Chemistry*, *78*(6), 707-714.

443 Hemalatha, M. S., Rao, U. P., Leelavathi, K., & Salimath, P. V. (2010).
444 Influence of amylases and xylanase on chemical, sensory, amylograph properties and
445 microstructure of chapati. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, *43*(9), 1394-1402.

446 Huang, W., Kim, Y., Li, X., & Rayas-Duarte, P. (2008). Rheofermentometer
447 parameters and bread specific volume of frozen sweet dough influenced by ingredients
448 and dough mixing temperature. *Journal of Cereal Science*, *48*(3), 639-646.

449 Hübner, F., & Arendt, E. K. (2013). Germination of cereal grains as a way to
450 improve the nutritional value: a review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and*
451 *Nutrition*, *53*(8), 853-861.

452 ICC International. Standard Methods of Analysis. Vienne: ICC.

453 Jiménez, T., & Martínez-Anaya, M. A. (2001). Amylases and hemicellulases in
454 breadmaking. Degradation by-products and potential relationship with functionality.
455 *Food Science and Technology International*, *7*(1), 5-14.

456 Mäkinen, O. E., & Arendt, E. K. (2012). Oat malt as a baking ingredient. A
457 comparative study of the impact of oat, barley and wheat malts on bread and dough
458 properties. *Journal of Cereal Science*, *56*(3), 747-753.

459 Marti, A., Torri, L., Casiraghi, M. C., Franzetti, L., Limbo, S., Morandin, F.,
460 Quaglia, L., & Pagani, M. A. (2014). Wheat germ stabilization by heat-treatment or

461 sourdough fermentation: Effects on dough rheology and bread properties. *LWT-Food*
462 *Science and Technology*, 59(2), 1100-1106.

463 Marti, A., Augst, E., Cox, S., & Koehler, P. (2015a). Correlations between
464 gluten aggregation properties defined by the GlutoPeak test and content of quality-
465 related protein fractions of winter wheat flour. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 66, 89-95.

466 Marti, A., Ulrici, A., Foca, G., Quaglia, L., & Pagani, M. A. (2015b).
467 Characterization of common wheat flours (*Triticum aestivum* L.) through multivariate
468 analysis of conventional rheological parameters and gluten peak test indices. *LWT-*
469 *Food Science and Technology*, 64(1), 95-103.

470 McCleary, B. V., & Sturgeon, R. (2002). Measurement of alpha-amylase in
471 cereal, food, and fermentation products. *Cereal Foods World*, 47(7), 299.

472 Melnyk, J. P., Dreisoerner, J., Marcone, M. F., & Seetharaman, K. (2012).
473 Using the Gluten Peak Tester as a tool to measure physical properties of gluten.
474 *Journal of Cereal Science*, 56(3), 561-567.

475 Nelson, K., Stojanovska, L., Vasiljevic, T., & Mathai, M. (2013). Germinated
476 grains: a superior whole grain functional food? 1. *Canadian Journal of Physiology and*
477 *Pharmacology*, 91(6), 429-441.

478 Paulsen, G. M., & Auld, A. S. (2004). Preharvest sprouting of cereals. In:
479 Benech-Arnold R.L., & Sánchez R.A. (eds), *Handbook of seed physiology applications*
480 *to agriculture*. New York: Food Products Press.

481 Penella, J. S., Collar, C., & Haros, M. (2008). Effect of wheat bran and enzyme
482 addition on dough functional performance and phytic acid levels in bread. *Journal of*
483 *Cereal Science*, 48(3), 715-721.

484 Prasada Rao, U. J. S., & Hemalata, M. S. (2014). Enzymes. In: *Bakery*
485 *Products: Science and Technology* (pp. 275-294). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

486 Rao, R. S. P., Manohar, R. S., & Muralikrishna, G. (2007). Functional
487 properties of water-soluble non-starch polysaccharides from rice and ragi: Effect on
488 dough characteristics and baking quality. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, *40(10)*,
489 1678-1686.

490 Richter, K., Christiansen, K., & Guo, G. (2014). Wheat sprouting enhances
491 bread baking performance. *Cereal Foods World*, *59(5)*, 231-233.

492 Rojas, J. A., Rosell, C. M., & De Barber, C. B. (2001). Role of maltodextrins in
493 the staling of starch gels. *European Food Research and Technology*, *212(3)*, 364-368.

494 Rouau, X., El-Hayek, M. L., & Moreau, D. (1994). Effect of an enzyme
495 preparation containing pentosanases on the bread-making quality of flours in relation to
496 changes in pentosan properties. *Journal of Cereal Science*, *19(3)*, 259-272.

497 Singh, A. K., Rehal, J., Kaur, A., & Jyot, G. (2015). Enhancement of attributes
498 of cereals by germination and fermentation: a review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science*
499 *and Nutrition*, *55(11)*, 1575-1589.

500 Zygmunt, L. C., Anderson, E., Behrens, B., Bowers, R., Bussey, M., Cohen, G.,
501 et al. (1982). High pressure liquid chromatographic determination of mono-and
502 disaccharides in presweetened cereals: Collaborative study. *Journal-Association of*
503 *Official Analytical Chemists*, *65(2)*, 256-264.

504

505 **List of Tables**

506 **Table 1.** Enzymatic activities of flour from unsprouted (USWF) and sprouted (SWF)

507 wheat, malt (M) and enzymatic improver (EI).

508

509 **Table 2.** Rheological properties of commercial wheat flour (CTRL), with either malt

510 (0.5% M), enzymatic improver (0.5% EI), or sprouted wheat flour (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2%

511 SWF).

512

513 **Table 3.** Specific volume, moisture, water activity, color and firmness of bread from

514 commercial wheat flour (CTRL), with either malt (0.5% M), enzymatic improver

515 (0.5% EI), or sprouted wheat flour (1.5% SWF).

516

517 **List of Figures**

518 **Fig. 1.** Pictures of the bread prepared from commercial wheat flour (CTRL), with either
519 malt (0.5% M), enzymatic improver (0.5% EI), or sprouted wheat flour (1.5% SWF) (a)
520 and crumb porosity by image analysis (b). **Bars associated with different letters in the**
521 **same class of pores are significantly different (one-way ANOVA, LSD test, $p \leq 0.05$).**

522

523 **Fig. 2.** Crumb firmness of bread prepared from commercial wheat flour (CTRL), with
524 either malt (0.5% M), enzymatic improver (0.5% EI), or sprouted wheat flour (1.5%
525 SWF) during storage. **Values associated with different letters are significantly different**
526 **(one-way ANOVA, LSD test, $p \leq 0.05$).**

527

528 **Table 1.** Enzymatic activities of flour from unsprouted (USWF) and sprouted (SWF)
 529 wheat, malt (M) and enzymatic improver (EI).
 530

	USWF		SWF		M		EI	
α -amylase (ceralpha unit * g ⁻¹)	0.094	± 0.001 ^a	12.904	± 0.040 ^b	247.744	± 0.298 ^c	0.118	± 0.006 ^a
Xylanase (unit * g ⁻¹)	0.701	± 0.003 ^a	2.316	± 0.032 ^b	80.47	± 0.08 ^c	256.27	± 0.17 ^d
Protease (unit * g ⁻¹)	0.66	± 0.90 ^a	1.43	± 0.29 ^b	8.280	± 0.057 ^d	4.290	± 0.124 ^c

531

532 Values associated with different letters in the same row are significantly different
 533 (one-way ANOVA, LSD test, $p \leq 0.05$).

534 EI, enzymatic improver; M, malt; SWF, flour from sprouted wheat; USWF, flour
 535 from un-sprouted wheat

536