1

World Workshop on Oral Medicine VI: Patient-reported outcome measures and oral mucosal disease: current status and future direction.

Rícheal Ní Ríordáin, BDS, MBBS, PhD, Penelope Shirlaw BDS FDSRCPS, Ivan Alajbeg, DMD, MSc, PhD, Ghada Y. Al Zamel, DDS, Pok Lam Fung, PhD, Anna D. Yuan, DMD, Christine McCreary, MD, Eric T. Stoopler, DMD, Scott S. De Rossi, DMD, Giovanni Lodi, DDS, PhD, Martin S. Greenberg, DDS, FDS RCSEd, Michael T. Brennan, DDS, MHS, FDS RCSEd

Corresponding Author:

Rícheal Ní Ríordáin BDS, MBBS, PhD, MFD RCSI Specialty Doctor in Oral Medicine UCL Eastman Dental Hospital London, UK

Abstract

Objective: This systematic review aimed (i) to explore the Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) currently used in the oral mucosal disease literature and to report on the type and context of the use of these instruments and (ii) to provide a future direction for PROMs in oral medicine practice and research.

Study Design: A systematic review of published English-language articles relating to the use of PROMs in the oral mucosal diseases literature was performed in November 2013.

Results: One hundred and thirty one articles met the inclusion criteria, which addressed the following oral mucosal conditions: 75 lichen planus; 30 recurrent aphthous stomatitis; 14 mucous membrane pemphigoid/pemphigus vulgaris; 1 orofacial granulomatosis and 11 articles addressed multiple oral mucosal diseases. The most commonly used instruments were Visual Analogue Scales (VAS) and the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP).

Conclusions: Limited progress has been achieved with use of PROMs in oral medicine in the last few decades in both clinical practice and a research setting. With the engagement of allied medical disciplines in PROM usage and the promotion of PROMs by national healthcare bodies globally, advancement of PROMs is imperative for oral medicine. Exposure through the World Workshop on Oral Medicine (WWOM), along with potential involvement in the Core Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials (COMET) or other such initiatives, will enable worldwide collaboration to promote the development and utilization of valid and reliable PROMs in oral medicine, and improve patient care.

Introduction

A patient-reported outcome measure (PROM) is an instrument for patients to assess their health, without external interpretation or extrapolation^{1, 2}. The outcome may represent a measure of symptoms and signs that equate with the state of the disease, or it may represent a change from a previous measure. PROMs commonly include psychosocial changes, changes in health status, ability to function, and satisfaction with the care provided ^{3, 4}. The importance of evaluating the patients' perceptions of the impact of their health on daily living has been well established ⁵. Patients can provide key information regarding the day to day impact of the chronic diseases they suffer from, which can prove helpful in their healthcare ⁶. Studies have determined that PROM use in clinical practice can have a positive impact on communication between patients and clinicians, and can also have a positive impact on both diagnosis and treatment ^{7, 8}. Outcome measures are also increasingly important to establish patient reported benefits⁹ in healthcare and evidence that PROMs improve patient care¹⁰ in general medicine.

Some progress has been made in the use of PROMs in oral medicine from early studies reporting on anxiety and depression in population groups ^{11, 12}, to the importance of valid and reliable instruments highlighted by Hegarty et al ¹³ and the use of PROMs as outcomes in clinical trials ¹⁴. Due to the chronic nature of common oral medicine conditions such as orofacial pain, salivary dysfunction and oral mucosal lesions and an underestimation by clinicians of the impact of these conditions on the lives of patients ^{15, 16}, the use of

PROMs in assessing the treatment of patients with chronic oral mucosal diseases is of great importance.

Cochrane reviews of clinical trials of oral mucosal disease (i.e. management of both oral lichen planus (OLP) ^{17, 18}, mucous membrane pemphigoid (MMP) ¹⁹, along with other reviews in the area of bullous diseases ^{20, 21}) provide limited information regarding PROMs. No overview of current PROMs usage in oral mucosal diseases literature has been reported. The purpose of this systematic review was (i) to explore the PROMs currently used in the oral mucosal disease literature and to report on the type and context of the use of these instruments and (ii) to provide future direction for PROMs in oral medicine practice and research.

Materials and Method

Search Strategy

Search strategies for this review were designed to retrieve references relating to the use of PROMs in the oral mucosal diseases literature. An initial search was conducted using the following key terms: 'patient centered', 'outcome measurement', 'patient defined', 'patient reported outcome', 'patient reported outcome measure', 'subjective outcomes', 'scale', 'score', 'instrument', 'research instrument', 'questionnaire', 'inventory' AND 'oral lichen planus', 'recurrent aphthous stomatitis', 'recurrent oral ulcers', 'mouth ulcers' 'pemphigoid', 'pemphigus', 'orofacial granulomatosis', 'Melkersson Rosenthal Syndrome', 'cheilitis granulomatosa', 'granulomatous cheilitis'. This search, carried out in November 2013, was developed for MEDLINE (through

PubMed), and then adapted to the other databases, including EMBASE, CINDHL, Web of Science Citation Index and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Articles were included in the review if they fulfilled the following criteria:

Publication in the English language, published in a peer-reviewed journal, inclusion of a report on the use of a PROM in patients with a specific oral mucosal disease as identified in our search strategy.

Articles were excluded from this review if they were based solely on author opinion, or if they were editorials or letters. Articles concerning the etiology, management or narrative reviews of oral mucosal disease were also excluded.

Data extraction

A specific data extraction form was constructed for this review. Each reviewer was calibrated with three articles, which had completed gold standard data extraction forms. Data items recorded included study aims and objectives; study type (population-based studies; questionnaire properties, development and validation-based studies; treatment-based studies); patient reported outcome measures used and study outcome. Each article was evaluated independently by two reviewers, with disagreements resolved by the primary author (RNR).

Results

Search results

The initial literature search yielded a total of 986 citations, to include duplicates and unrelated references. Following a review of the titles and abstracts, 189 abstracts were selected with 131 deemed suitable for inclusion in this systematic review (Table 1). These papers were then catalogued as: OLP studies (n=75) (Table 2), recurrent aphthous stomatitis (RAS) studies (n=30) (Table 3), bullous (mucous membrane pemphigoid/pemphigus vulgaris (MMP/PV) disease studies (n=14) (Table 4), orofacial granulomatosis (OFG) studies (n=1) (Table 5) and multi-disease (multi) studies (n=11) (Table 6) with the PROMs used. The most commonly used instruments were Visual Analogue Scales (VAS) and the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP).

Of the 75 OLP articles, 47 concerned treatment interventions, 5 related to questionnaire validity, sensitivity and correlation to clinical scoring systems, and 23 studies were population based. The VAS was the most commonly used of the 33 various PROMs used in OLP studies.

Of the 30 RAS articles, 20 were concerned with treatment interventions, one was related to the development of a clinical scoring system for both RAS and Behçet's disease with the incorporation of patient input using a VAS to record pain, and nine studies were population-based measuring the psychological impact of the disease and the quality of life in patients with RAS. Eleven studies used VAS, with this instrument being the most commonly used PROM in RAS studies.

Of the 14 MMP/PV articles, four were concerned with treatment interventions, two were related to the development or validation of clinical scoring systems that included VAS, and eight studies were population based evaluating periodontal status, quality of life and mental health. Ten PROMs were used with clinical scoring systems incorporating VAS. This instrument being the most commonly used PROM in MMP/PV studies.

Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PaedsQL) was used in the single OFG article of a patient cohort attending paediatric oral medicine clinics.

Eleven articles incorporated multiple oral mucosal diseases, with one exploring the use of topical clobetasol propionate in the treatment of RAS and OLP, five were related to the development of new instruments, namely Chronic Oral Mucosal Diseases Questionnaire (COMDQ) and the Autoimmune Bullous Disease Quality of Life questionnaire (ABQOL), or the validation of existing PROMs, and five studies were population based. Ten various PROMs were used with OHIP, with this instrument being the most commonly used PROM in these studies.

Discussion

The importance of patient involvement in their own healthcare should not be underestimated ²². The paradigm of the clinician as the single determinant of clinical care is shifting to a shared decision-making process ²³. A patient who

is actively involved in his/her individual healthcare is more likely to have improved treatment compliance and better outcomes ^{24, 25}. National health bodies such as the National Heath Service (NHS) in the United Kingdom (UK) are now promoting quantification and formal registration of the impact of disease via PROMs. In an initiative launched in 2009, PROMs are now being used to determine the impact of surgical intervention in the management of hernias, large joint arthritides and varicose veins ²⁶. Work is underway to extend PROM usage to chronic diseases such as diabetes, asthma and stroke ⁹. The United States' Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommended the inclusion of PROMs in US clinical trials ¹ and a more recent development is the FDA Patient Focused Drug Development Initiative. A list of 20 diseases that are chronic, symptomatic and affect the activities of daily living of patients, such as heart failure, fibromyalgia and inflammatory bowel disease, have been designated in the initial process to be explored between 2013 and 2015, gaining direct patient input on the impact of these diseases on their lives. This information will then be used by the FDA to assess applications for new drugs for treatment of these diseases. The patient narrative from this process will also be used to develop or refine PROMs relevant to these disease areas. Based on the results of this review, there is limited usage of PROMs in the oral mucosal disease literature, with oral medicine slowly adopting this global initiative of patient engagement.

When considering levels of evidence and robustness of research, a clear goal is to produce high-quality randomised controlled trials, the outcomes of which would lend themselves to systematic review and meta-analysis for

comprehensive comparisons to be made between management interventions. Due to difficulties caused by heterogeneity of outcome measures in research, the COMET initiative was established in the UK in 2010 to promote the creation of a core outcome set for research topics. The goal of this group is to determine the minimum set of outcome measures that should be used in clinical trials, audit of clinical services and other forms of clinical research for a particular disease. A detailed methodology has been developed including a literature review to establish current outcomes used, engagement with patient groups to determine which outcomes they consider to be important, and then ultimately produce a list, ratified by both patients and clinicians, as the core outcome measurements for future research ²⁷. As demonstrated from the heterogeneity of outcomes delineated in this review, oral medicine has yet to fully engage in this process. The greatest advancement in this area has been made in rheumatology ^{28, 29} although other areas of healthcare are also employing this process with a core outcomes group registered for head and neck cancer and for recurrent aphthous ulcers. Now that the heterogeneity has been established in OLP, RAS, OFG, MMP and PV, a forum such as the World Workshop on Oral Medicine could mediate the progress of core outcomes research in oral medicine using the results of this review as a foundation for engagement of both clinicians and patients and hence, the creation of a definitive core outcome measurement list.

Although it is important to promote the use of PROMs in research and clinical practice, it remains essential that instruments used should be valid, reliable and appropriately administered. The Patient-Centered Outcomes Research

Institute (PCORI) is a research group authorized by the United States Congress to facilitate informed decision-making by patients with regard to their healthcare ³⁰. Central to the work of PCORI is the promotion of robust methodology in PROMs, thereby ensuring 'more valid, trustworthy and useful information' 31. From the papers analysed in this review, a number of ad hoc instruments were used for recording burning pain ³², quality of life and symptom improvement, ³³ while others circumvented the rigorous process of instrument development by changing the wording of an existing questionnaire for use without examining the impact of this change on the psychometrics of the instrument ³⁴. The process of questionnaire development ideally involves patients throughout each stage from item derivation to validity and reliability testing ³⁵. Using ad hoc questionnaires to record symptoms, as was done in a number of the studies included in this review, calls into question the usefulness of the information derived. The most commonly used PROM was VAS. Research has been conducted regarding the importance of using a paper version of the instrument ³⁶, the horizontal aspect of the scale ³⁷ and the caution required when photocopying the instrument ³⁸. Authors often fail to stipulate the method of usage of the VAS in studies and therefore call into question the validity of the instrument as a PROM. The work of PCORI is important to provide direction not only to patients in healthcare decisionmaking, but also in raising awareness of the importance of the appropriate use of valid and reliable PROMs.

PROMs are being used as discriminators in determining the progression of drug management in patients with chronic disease. Current National Institute

for Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidance in the UK on the use of biologics in psoriasis state that a patient with a Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) score of greater than 10 are eligible for biologics such as adalimumab, enteracept or ustekinumab ³⁹. DLQI is one of many valid and reliable dermatology-specific quality of life instruments that was developed in the early 1990s by Finlay and Khan ⁴⁰. The list of PROMs generated in this review identifies only one oral medicine- specific quality of life instrument^{41, 42} which demonstrates the limited development and utilization to date of PROMs in oral medicine practice.

In conclusion, the overall utility of PROMs is still limited, particularly in clinical trials. With engagement of allied medical disciplines in PROM usage and the promotion of PROMs by national healthcare bodies globally, advancement of PROMs is imperative for oral medicine. The World Workshop forum, along with the potential involvement in COMET or other such initiatives, will enable worldwide collaboration to promote the development and utilization of valid and reliable PROMs in oral medicine to improve patient care.

References

- FDA UDoHaHSFaDA. Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Use in Medical Product Development to Support Labeling Claims; 2006.
- 2. Basch E, Torda P, Adams K. Standards for patient-reported outcomebased performance measures. JAMA 2013;310:139-40.
- 3. Donabedian A. The quality of care how can it be assessed? . Journal of the American Medical Association 1988;260:1743-8.
- 4. Gnanasakthy A, Mordin M, Clark M, DeMuro C, Fehnel S, Copley-Merriman C. A review of patient-reported outcome labels in the United States: 2006 to 2010. Value Health 2012;15:437-42.
- 5. Bowling A. Measuring Disease: A review of Disease Specific Quality of Life Measurement Scales. Buckingham: Open University Press; 1995.
- 6. DOH. The Expert Patient A New Approach to Chronic Disease Management for the 21st Century In: Health Do, ed. London: HMSO; 2001.
- 7. Valderas JM, Kotzeva A, Espallargues M, et al. The impact of measuring patient-reported outcomes in clinical practice: a systematic review of the literature. Qual Life Res 2008;17:179-93.
- 8. Marshall S, Haywood K, Fitzpatrick R. Impact of patient-reported outcome measures on routine practice: a structured review. J Eval Clin Pract 2006;12:559-68.
- Devlin NJ, Appleby J. Getting The Most Out of PROMs
 Putting health ourcomes at the heart of NHS decision-making: Office of Health
 Economics; 2010.
- Black N, Jenkinson C. Measuring patients' experiences and outcomes.
 Br Med J 2009;339:b2495.

- 11. McCartan BE, Lamey PJ, Wallace AM. Salivary cortisol and anxiety in recurrent aphthous stomatitis. J Oral Pathol Med 1996;25:357-9.
- 12. Allen CM, Beck FM, Rossie KM, Kaul TJ. Relation of stress and anxiety to oral lichen planus. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1986;61:44-6.
- 13. Hegarty AM, McGrath C, Hodgson TA, Porter SR. Patient-centred outcome measures in oral medicine: are they valid and reliable? Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2002;31:670-4.
- 14. Stone SJ, McCracken GI, Heasman PA, Staines KS, Pennington M. Cost-effectiveness of personalized plaque control for managing the gingival manifestations of oral lichen planus: a randomized controlled study. J Clin Periodontol 2013;40:859-67.
- 15. Sprangers MA, Aaronson NK. The role of health care providers and significant others in evaluating the quality of life of patients with chronic disease: a review. J Clin Epidemiol 1992;45:743-60.
- 16. Sneeuw KC, Aaronson NK, Sprangers MA, Detmar SB, Wever LD, Schornagel JH. Value of caregiver ratings in evaluating the quality of life of patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol 1997;15:1206-17.
- 17. Chan ES, Thornhill M, Zakrzewska J. Interventions for treating oral lichen planus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000:CD001168.
- 18. Thongprasom K, Carrozzo M, Furness S, Lodi G. Interventions for treating oral lichen planus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011:CD001168.
- 19. Kirtschig G, Murrell D, Wojnarowska F, Khumalo N. Interventions for mucous membrane pemphigoid and epidermolysis bullosa acquisita. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003:CD004056.

- 20. Martin LK, Werth VP, Villaneuva EV, Murrell DF. A systematic review of randomized controlled trials for pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus. J Am Acad Dermatol 2011;64:903-8.
- 21. Daniel BS, Hertl M, Werth VP, Eming R, Murrell DF. Severity score indexes for blistering diseases. Clin Dermatol 2012;30:108-13.
- 22. Gotay CC, Kawamoto CT, Bottomley A, Efficace F. The prognostic significance of patient-reported outcomes in cancer clinical trials. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:1355-63.
- 23. Desroches S. Shared decision making and chronic diseases. Allergy, Asthma & Clinical Immunology 2010;6:A8.
- 24. Mullen PD. Compliance becomes concordance. Br Med J 1997;314:691-2.
- 25. Stewart M, Brown JB, Donner A, et al. The impact of patient-centered care on outcomes. J Fam Pract 2000;49:796-804.
- 26. HSCIC HSCIC. Provisional Monthly Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) in England April 2013 to December 2013, May 2014 release; 2014.
- 27. Williamson PR, Altman DG, Blazeby JM, et al. Developing core outcome sets for clinical trials: issues to consider. Trials 2012;13:132.
- 28. Tugwell P, Boers M, Brooks P, Simon L, Strand V, Idzerda L. OMERACT: an international initiative to improve outcome measurement in rheumatology. Trials 2007;8:38.
- 29. Brooks P, Boers M, Simon LS, Strand V, Tugwell P. Outcome measures in rheumatoid arthritis: the OMERACT process. Expert Rev Clin Immunol 2007;3:271-5.

- 30. Fleurence R, Selby JV, Odom-Walker K, et al. How the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute is engaging patients and others in shaping its research agenda. Health Aff (Millwood) 2013;32:393-400.
- 31. Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute. (Accessed at http://www.pcori.org/. on 04/20/14)
- 32. Corrocher G, Di Lorenzo G, Martinelli N, et al. Comparative effect of tacrolimus 0.1% ointment and clobetasol 0.05% ointment in patients with oral lichen planus. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35:244-9.
- 33. Byrd JA, Davis MD, Bruce AJ, Drage LA, Rogers RS, 3rd. Response of oral lichen planus to topical tacrolimus in 37 patients. Arch Dermatol 2004;140:1508-12.
- 34. Heffernan MP, Smith DI, Bentley D, Tabacchi M, Graves JE. A single-center, open-label, prospective pilot study of subcutaneous efalizumab for oral erosive lichen planus. J Drugs Dermatol 2007;6:310-4.
- 35. Streiner DL, Norman GR. Health Measurement Scales
 A practical guide to their development and Use. Oxford: Oxford University
 Press; 2006.
- 36. Hawker GA, Mian S, Kendzerska T, French M. Measures of adult pain: Visual Analog Scale for Pain (VAS Pain), Numeric Rating Scale for Pain (NRS Pain), McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ), Short-Form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ), Chronic Pain Grade Scale (CPGS), Short Form-36 Bodily Pain Scale (SF-36 BPS), and Measure of Intermittent and Constant Osteoarthritis Pain (ICOAP). Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2011;63 Suppl 11:S240-52.

- 37. Scott J, Huskisson EC. Vertical or horizontal visual analogue scales. Ann Rheum Dis 1979;38:560.
- 38. Jensen MP, Karoly P, Braver S. The measurement of clinical pain intensity: a comparison of six methods. Pain 1986;27:117-26.
- 39. Excellence NGNIfHaC. Psoriasis. The assessment and management of psoriasis; 2012.
- 40. Finlay AY, Khan GK. Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI)--a simple practical measure for routine clinical use. Clin Exp Dermatol 1994;19:210-6.
- 41. Ni Riordain R, Meaney S, McCreary C. A patient-centered approach to developing a quality-of-life questionnaire for chronic oral mucosal diseases.

 Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2011;111:578-86 e2.
- 42. Ni Riordain R, McCreary C. Validity and reliability of a newly developed quality of life questionnaire for patients with chronic oral mucosal diseases. J Oral Pathol Med 2011;Epub ahead of print.
- 43. Sebaratnam DF, Hanna AM, Chee SN, et al. Development of a quality-of-life instrument for autoimmune bullous disease: the Autoimmune Bullous Disease Quality of Life questionnaire. JAMA Dermatol 2013;149:1186-91.
- 44. Burkhart NW, Burker EJ, Burkes EJ, Wolfe L. Assessing the characteristics of patients with oral lichen planus. J Am Dent Assoc 1996;127:648, 51-2, 55-6 passim.
- 45. Carbone M, Conrotto D, Carrozzo M, Broccoletti R, Gandolfo S, Scully C. Topical corticosteroids in association with miconazole and chlorhexidine in the long-term management of atrophic-erosive oral lichen planus: a placebocontrolled and comparative study between clobetasol and fluocinonide. Oral Dis 1999;5:44-9.

- 46. Chainani-Wu N, Silverman S, Jr., Lozada-Nur F, Mayer P, Watson JJ. Oral lichen planus: patient profile, disease progression and treatment responses. J Am Dent Assoc 2001;132:901-9.
- 47. Hampf BG, Malmstrom MJ, Aalberg VA, Hannula JA, Vikkula J. Psychiatric disturbance in patients with oral lichen planus. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1987;63:429-32.
- 48. Malhotra AK, Khaitan BK, Sethuraman G, Sharma VK. Betamethasone oral mini-pulse therapy compared with topical triamcinolone acetonide (0.1%) paste in oral lichen planus: A randomized comparative study. J Am Acad Dermatol 2008;58:596-602.
- 49. Arikan OK, Birol A, Tuncez F, Erkek E, Koc C. A prospective randomized controlled trial to determine if cryotherapy can reduce the pain of patients with minor form of recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2006;101:e1-5.
- 50. Sami N, Bhol KC, Ahmed AR. Treatment of oral pemphigoid with intravenous immunoglobulin as monotherapy. Long-term follow-up: influence of treatment on antibody titres to human alpha6 integrin. Clin Exp Immunol 2002;129:533-40.
- 51. Girardi C, Luz C, Cherubini K, de Figueiredo MA, Nunes ML, Salum FG. Salivary cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) levels, psychological factors in patients with oral lichen planus. Arch Oral Biol 2011;56:864-8.
- 52. Lundqvist EN, Wahlin YB, Bergdahl M, Bergdahl J. Psychological health in patients with genital and oral erosive lichen planus. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2006;20:661-6.

- 53. Rojo-Moreno JL, Bagan JV, Rojo-Moreno J, Donat JS, Milian MA, Jimenez Y. Psychologic factors and oral lichen planus. A psychometric evaluation of 100 cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1998;86:687-91.
- 54. Valter K, Boras VV, Buljan D, et al. The influence of psychological state on oral lichen planus. Acta Clin Croat 2013;52:145-9.
- 55. McCartan BE. Psychological factors associated with oral lichen planus. J Oral Pathol Med 1995;24:273-5.
- 56. Li M, He SL. Reliability and validity of the Chinese version of the chronic oral mucosal diseases questionnaire. J Oral Pathol Med 2013;42:194-9.
- 57. Ni Riordain R, McCreary C. Further reliability and responsiveness of the Chronic Oral Mucosal Diseases Questionnaire. Oral Dis 2012;18:60-6.
- 58. Chainani-Wu N, Silverman S, Jr., Reingold A, Bostrom A, Lozada-Nur F, Weintraub J. Validation of instruments to measure the symptoms and signs of oral lichen planus. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008;105:51-8.
- 59. Escudier M, Ahmed N, Shirlaw P, et al. A scoring system for mucosal disease severity with special reference to oral lichen planus. Br J Dermatol 2007;157:765-70.
- 60. Lopez-Jornet P, Camacho-Alonso F. Clinical assessment of oral lichen planus based on different scales. Int J Dermatol 2010;49:272-5.
- 61. Mumcu G, Sur H, Inanc N, et al. A composite index for determining the impact of oral ulcer activity in Behcet's disease and recurrent aphthous stomatitis. J Oral Pathol Med 2009;38:785-91.

- 62. Akman A, Kacaroglu H, Yilmaz E, Alpsoy E. Periodontal status in patients with pemphigus vulgaris. Oral Dis 2008;14:640-3.
- 63. Behzad M, Mobs C, Kneisel A, et al. Combined treatment with immunoadsorption and rituximab leads to fast and prolonged clinical remission in difficult-to-treat pemphigus vulgaris. Br J Dermatol 2012;166:844-52.
- 64. Pfutze M, Niedermeier A, Hertl M, Eming R. Introducing a novel Autoimmune Bullous Skin Disorder Intensity Score (ABSIS) in pemphigus. Eur J Dermatol 2007;17:4-11.
- 65. Rosenbach M, Murrell DF, Bystryn JC, et al. Reliability and convergent validity of two outcome instruments for pemphigus. J Invest Dermatol 2009;129:2404-10.
- 66. Thorat MS, Raju A, Pradeep AR. Pemphigus vulgaris: effects on periodontal health. J Oral Sci 2010;52:449-54.
- 67. Arbabi M, Ghodsi Z, Mahdanian A, et al. Mental health in patients with pemphigus: an issue to worth consideration. Indian J Dermatol 2011;56:541-5.
- 68. Ghodsi SZ, Chams-Davatchi C, Daneshpazhooh M, Valikhani M, Esmaili N. Quality of life and psychological status of patients with pemphigus vulgaris using Dermatology Life Quality Index and General Health Questionnaires. J Dermatol 2012;39:141-4.
- 69. Chaudhary S. Psychosocial stressors in oral lichen planus. Aust Dent J 2004;49:192-5.

- 70. Pourshahidi S, Ebrahimi H, Andisheh Tadbir A. Evaluation of the Relationship between Oral Lichen Planus and Stress. Journal of Dentistry University of Medical Sciences 2011;12.
- 71. Tabolli S, Bergamo F, Alessandroni L, Di Pietro C, Sampogna F, Abeni D. Quality of life and psychological problems of patients with oral mucosal disease in dermatological practice. Dermatology 2009;218:314-20.
- 72. Mazzotti E, Mozzetta A, Antinone V, Alfani S, Cianchini G, Abeni D. Psychological distress and investment in one's appearance in patients with pemphigus. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2011;25:285-9.
- 73. Llewellyn CD, Warnakulasuriya S. The impact of stomatological disease on oral health-related quality of life. Eur J Oral Sci 2003;111:297-304.
- 74. Soto Araya M, Rojas Alcayaga G, Esguep A. Association between psychological disorders and the presence of Oral lichen planus, Burning mouth syndrome and Recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Med Oral 2004;9:1-7.
- 75. Vallejo MJ, Huerta G, Cerero R, Seoane JM. Anxiety and depression as risk factors for oral lichen planus. Dermatology 2001;203:303-7.
- 76. Tabolli S, Mozzetta A, Antinone V, Alfani S, Cianchini G, Abeni D. The health impact of pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus assessed using the Medical Outcomes Study 36-item short form health survey questionnaire. Br J Dermatol 2008;158:1029-34.
- 77. Bergdahl J, Ostman PO, Anneroth G, Perris H, Skoglund A. Psychologic aspects of patients with oral lichenoid reactions. Acta Odontol Scand 1995;53:236-41.
- 78. Ivanovski K, Nakova M, Warburton G, et al. Psychological profile in oral lichen planus. J Clin Periodontol 2005;32:1034-40.

- 79. Kaliakatsou F, Hodgson TA, Lewsey JD, Hegarty AM, Murphy AG, Porter SR. Management of recalcitrant ulcerative oral lichen planus with topical tacrolimus. J Am Acad Dermatol 2002;46:35-41.
- 80. Hegarty AM, Hodgson TA, Lewsey JD, Porter SR. Fluticasone propionate spray and betamethasone sodium phosphate mouthrinse: a randomized crossover study for the treatment of symptomatic oral lichen planus. J Am Acad Dermatol 2002;47:271-9.
- 81. Chainani-Wu N, Madden E, Lozada-Nur F, Silverman S, Jr. High-dose curcuminoids are efficacious in the reduction in symptoms and signs of oral lichen planus. J Am Acad Dermatol 2012;66:752-60.
- 82. Park HK, Hurwitz S, Woo SB. Oral lichen planus: REU scoring system correlates with pain. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2012;114:75-82.
- 83. Shim YJ, Choi JH, Ahn HJ, Kwon JS. Effect of sodium lauryl sulfate on recurrent aphthous stomatitis: a randomized controlled clinical trial. Oral Dis 2012;18:655-60.
- 84. Thornhill MH, Baccaglini L, Theaker E, Pemberton MN. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of pentoxifylline for the treatment of recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Arch Dermatol 2007;143:463-70.
- 85. Gorouhi F, Solhpour A, Beitollahi JM, et al. Randomized trial of pimecrolimus cream versus triamcinolone acetonide paste in the treatment of oral lichen planus. J Am Acad Dermatol 2007;57:806-13.
- 86. Lee YC, Shin SY, Kim SW, Eun YG. Intralesional injection versus mouth rinse of triamcinolone acetonide in oral lichen planus: a randomized controlled study. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013;148:443-9.

- 87. Lopez-Jornet P, Camacho-Alonso F. Quality of life in patients with oral lichen planus. J Eval Clin Pract 2010;16:111-3.
- 88. Lopez-Jornet P, Martinez-Canovas A, Pons-Fuster A. Salivary biomarkers of oxidative stress and quality of life in patients with oral lichen planus. Geriatr Gerontol Int 2013.
- 89. McGrath C, Hegarty AM, Hodgson TA, Porter SR. Patient-centred outcome measures for oral mucosal disease are sensitive to treatment. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2003;32:334-6.
- 90. Salazar-Sanchez N, Lopez-Jornet P, Camacho-Alonso F, Sanchez-Siles M. Efficacy of topical Aloe vera in patients with oral lichen planus: a randomized double-blind study. J Oral Pathol Med 2010;39:735-40.
- 91. Babaee N, Mansourian A, Momen-Heravi F, Moghadamnia A, Momen-Beitollahi J. The efficacy of a paste containing Myrtus communis (Myrtle) in the management of recurrent aphthous stomatitis: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Oral Investig 2010;14:65-70.
- 92. Hapa A, Aksoy B, Polat M, Aslan U, Atakan N. Does recurrent aphthous stomatitis affect quality of life? A prospective study with 128 patients evaluating different treatment modalities. J Dermatolog Treat 2011;22:215-20.
- 93. Mumcu G, Hayran O, Ozalp DO, et al. The assessment of oral health-related quality of life by factor analysis in patients with Behcet's disease and recurrent aphthous stomatitis. J Oral Pathol Med 2007;36:147-52.
- 94. Liu LJ, Xiao W, He QB, Jiang WW. Generic and oral quality of life is affected by oral mucosal diseases. BMC Oral Health 2012;12:2.

- 95. Lopez-Jornet P, Camacho-Alonso F, Lucero Berdugo M. Measuring the impact of oral mucosa disease on quality of life. Eur J Dermatol 2009;19:603-6.
- 96. Krisdapong S, Sheiham A, Tsakos G. Impacts of recurrent aphthous stomatitis on quality of life of 12- and 15-year-old Thai children. Qual Life Res 2012;21:71-6.
- 97. Howell JL, Bussell RM, Hegarty AM, Zaitoun H. Service evaluation of patients with orofacial granulomatosis and patients with oral Crohn's disease attending a paediatric oral medicine clinic. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 2012;13:191-6.
- 98. Morell-Dubois S, Carpentier O, Cottencin O, et al. Stressful life events and pemphigus. Dermatology 2008;216:104-8.
- 99. Chiappelli F, Kung MA, Nguyen P, Villanueva P, Farhadian EA, Eversole LR. Cellular immune correlates of clinical severity in oral lichen planus: preliminary association with mood states. Oral Dis 1997;3:64-70.
- 100. Webb JR, Webb BF, Schroeder MC, North CS. Association of aphthous ulcers with self-reported symptoms of depression in a sample of smartphone users. Ann Clin Psychiatry 2013;25:266-70.
- 101. Huling LB, Baccaglini L, Choquette L, Feinn RS, Lalla RV. Effect of stressful life events on the onset and duration of recurrent aphthous stomatitis. J Oral Pathol Med 2012;41:149-52.
- 102. Buajeeb W, Laohapand P, Vongsavan N, Kraivaphan P. Anxiety in recurrent aphthous stomatitis patients. J Dent Assoc Thai 1990;40:253-8.

- 103. Paradisi A, Sampogna F, Di Pietro C, et al. Quality-of-life assessment in patients with pemphigus using a minimum set of evaluation tools. J Am Acad Dermatol 2009;60:261-9.
- 104. Hirota SK, Moreno RA, Dos Santos CH, Seo J, Migliari DA. Psychological profile (anxiety and depression) in patients with oral lichen planus: a controlled study. Minerva Stomatol 2013;62:51-6.
- 105. Koray M, Dulger O, Ak G, et al. The evaluation of anxiety and salivary cortisol levels in patients with oral lichen planus. Oral Dis 2003;9:298-301.
- 106. Agha-Hosseini F, Arbabi-Kalati F, Fashtami LA, Djavid GE, Fateh M, Beitollahi JM. Methylene blue-mediated photodynamic therapy: a possible alternative treatment for oral lichen planus. Lasers Surg Med 2006;38:33-8.
- 107. Agha-Hosseini F, Borhan-Mojabi K, Monsef-Esfahani HR, Mirzaii-Dizgah I, Etemad-Moghadam S, Karagah A. Efficacy of purslane in the treatment of oral lichen planus. Phytother Res 2010;24:240-4.
- 108. Agha-Hosseini F, Moslemi E, Mirzaii-Dizgah I. Comparative evaluation of low-level laser and CO(2) laser in treatment of patients with oral lichen planus. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2012;41:1265-9.
- 109. Azizi A, Lawaf S. The comparison of efficacy of adcortyl ointment and topical tacrolimus in treatment of erosive oral lichen planus. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects 2007;1:99-102.
- 110. Cafaro A, Albanese G, Arduino PG, et al. Effect of low-level laser irradiation on unresponsive oral lichen planus: early preliminary results in 13 patients. Photomed Laser Surg 2010;28 Suppl 2:S99-103.

- 111. Cafaro A, Arduino PG, Massolini G, Romagnoli E, Broccoletti R. Clinical evaluation of the efficiency of low-level laser therapy for oral lichen planus: a prospective case series. Lasers Med Sci 2014;29:185-90.
- 112. Campisi G, Giandalia G, De Caro V, Di Liberto C, Arico P, Giannola LI. A new delivery system of clobetasol-17-propionate (lipid-loaded microspheres 0.025%) compared with a conventional formulation (lipophilic ointment in a hydrophilic phase 0.025%) in topical treatment of atrophic/erosive oral lichen planus. A Phase IV, randomized, observer-blinded, parallel group clinical trial. Br J Dermatol 2004;150:984-90.
- 113. Choonhakarn C, Busaracome P, Sripanidkulchai B, Sarakarn P. The efficacy of aloe vera gel in the treatment of oral lichen planus: a randomized controlled trial. Br J Dermatol 2008;158:573-7.
- 114. Czerninski R, Eliezer M, Wilensky A, Soskolne A. Oral lichen planus and dental implants--a retrospective study. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2013;15:234-42.
- 115. Dalirsani Z, Taghavi Zenouz A, Mehdipour M, Alavi F, Javadzadeh Y. Comparison of the effect of combination of triamcinolone acetonide and vitamin a mouthwash with triamcinolone mouthwash alone on oral lichen planus. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects 2010;4:21-4.
- 116. Fu J, Zhu X, Dan H, et al. Amlexanox is as effective as dexamethasone in topical treatment of erosive oral lichen planus: a short-term pilot study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2012;113:638-43.
- 117. Harpenau LA, Plemons JM, Rees TD. Effectiveness of a low dose of cyclosporine in the management of patients with oral erosive lichen planus.

 Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1995;80:161-7.

- 118. Ibrahim SS, Hazzaa HH. Topical pimecrolimus effect on Fas inducing apoptosis in oral lichen planus: a clinical immunohistochemical study. J Oral Pathol Med 2012;41:315-21.
- 119. Jajarm HH, Falaki F, Mahdavi O. A comparative pilot study of low intensity laser versus topical corticosteroids in the treatment of erosive-atrophic oral lichen planus. Photomed Laser Surg 2011;29:421-5.
- 120. Lodi G, Tarozzi M, Sardella A, et al. Miconazole as adjuvant therapy for oral lichen planus: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. Br J Dermatol 2007;156:1336-41.
- 121. Lozada-Nur FI, Sroussi HY. Tacrolimus powder in Orabase 0.1% for the treatment of oral lichen planus and oral lichenoid lesions: an open clinical trial. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2006;102:744-9.
- 122. Malik U, Gupta S, Malik SD, Vashishth S, Zaheeruddin, Raju MS. Treatment of symptomatic oral lichen planus (OLP) with 0.1% tacrolimus powder in Oraguard-B A pilot prospective study. Saudi Dent J 2012;24:143-8.
- 123. Mansourian A, Momen-Heravi F, Saheb-Jamee M, Esfehani M, Khalilzadeh O, Momen-Beitollahi J. Comparison of aloe vera mouthwash with triamcinolone acetonide 0.1% on oral lichen planus: a randomized double-blinded clinical trial. Am J Med Sci 2011;342:447-51.
- 124. McCaughey C, Machan M, Bennett R, Zone JJ, Hull CM. Pimecrolimus 1% cream for oral erosive lichen planus: a 6-week randomized, double-blind, vehicle-controlled study with a 6-week open-label extension to assess efficacy and safety. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2011;25:1061-7.

- 125. Mehdipour M, Taghavi Zenouz A, Bahramian A, Yazdani J, Pouralibaba F, Sadr K. Comparison of the Effect of Mouthwashes with and without Zinc and Fluocinolone on the Healing Process of Erosive Oral Lichen Planus. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects 2010;4:25-8.
- 126. Misra N, Chittoria N, Umapathy D, Misra P. Efficacy of diode laser in the management of oral lichen planus. BMJ Case Rep 2013;2013.
- 127. Nagao Y, Sata M. Effect of oral care gel on the quality of life for oral lichen planus in patients with chronic HCV infection. Virol J 2011;8:348.
- 128. Niissalo S, Hampf G, Hietanen J, et al. Thermal sensation and pain in oral lichen planus and lichenoid reaction. J Oral Pathol Med 2003;32:41-5.
- 129. Nolan A, Badminton J, Maguire J, Seymour RA. The efficacy of topical hyaluronic acid in the management of oral lichen planus. J Oral Pathol Med 2009;38:299-303.
- 130. Olivier V, Lacour JP, Mousnier A, Garraffo R, Monteil RA, Ortonne JP. Treatment of chronic erosive oral lichen planus with low concentrations of topical tacrolimus: an open prospective study. Arch Dermatol 2002;138:1335-8.
- 131. Resende JP, Chaves M, Aarestrup FM, Aarestrup BV, Olate S, Netto HD. Oral lichen planus treated with tacrolimus 0.1%. Int J Clin Exp Med 2013;6:917-21.
- 132. Salgado DS, Jeremias F, Capela MV, Onofre MA, Massucato EM, Orrico SR. Plaque control improves the painful symptoms of oral lichen planus gingival lesions. A short-term study. J Oral Pathol Med 2013;42:728-32.

- 133. Swift JC, Rees TD, Plemons JM, Hallmon WW, Wright JC. The effectiveness of 1% pimecrolimus cream in the treatment of oral erosive lichen planus. J Periodontol 2005;76:627-35.
- 134. Thomson MA, Hamburger J, Stewart DG, Lewis HM. Treatment of erosive oral lichen planus with topical tacrolimus. J Dermatolog Treat 2004;15:308-14.
- 135. Thongprasom K, Chaimusig M, Korkij W, Sererat T, Luangjarmekorn L, Rojwattanasirivej S. A randomized-controlled trial to compare topical cyclosporin with triamcinolone acetonide for the treatment of oral lichen planus. J Oral Pathol Med 2007;36:142-6.
- 136. Trehan M, Taylor CR. Low-dose excimer 308-nm laser for the treatment of oral lichen planus. Arch Dermatol 2004;140:415-20.
- 137. Ungphaiboon S, Nittayananta W, Vuddhakul V, et al. Formulation and efficacy of triamcinolone acetonide mouthwash for treating oral lichen planus. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2005;62:485-91.
- 138. Volz T, Caroli U, Ludtke H, et al. Pimecrolimus cream 1% in erosive oral lichen planus--a prospective randomized double-blind vehicle-controlled study. Br J Dermatol 2008;159:936-41.
- 139. Wu CH, Hsieh SC, Lee KL, Li KJ, Lu MC, Yu CL. Pilocarpine hydrochloride for the treatment of xerostomia in patients with Sjogren's syndrome in Taiwan--a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Formos Med Assoc 2006;105:796-803.
- 140. Xia J, Li C, Hong Y, Yang L, Huang Y, Cheng B. Short-term clinical evaluation of intralesional triamcinolone acetonide injection for ulcerative oral lichen planus. J Oral Pathol Med 2006;35:327-31.

- 141. Xiong C, Li Q, Lin M, et al. The efficacy of topical intralesional BCG-PSN injection in the treatment of erosive oral lichen planus: a randomized controlled trial. J Oral Pathol Med 2009;38:551-8.
- 142. Yoke PC, Tin GB, Kim MJ, et al. A randomized controlled trial to compare steroid with cyclosporine for the topical treatment of oral lichen planus. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2006;102:47-55.
- 143. Hernandez G, Lopez-Pintor RM, Arriba L, Torres J, de Vicente JC. Implant treatment in patients with oral lichen planus: a prospective-controlled study. Clin Oral Implants Res 2012;23:726-32.
- 144. Ramos-e-Silva M, Ferreira AF, Bibas R, Carneiro S. Clinical evaluation of fluid extract of Chamomilla recutita for oral aphthae. J Drugs Dermatol 2006;5:612-7.
- 145. Arabaci T, Kara C, Cicek Y. Relationship between periodontal parameters and Behcet's disease and evaluation of different treatments for oral recurrent aphthous stomatitis. J Periodontal Res 2009;44:718-25.
- 146. Babaee N, Zabihi E, Mohseni S, Moghadamnia AA. Evaluation of the therapeutic effects of Aloe vera gel on minor recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Dent Res J (Isfahan) 2012;9:381-5.
- 147. Bratel J, Hakeberg M, Jontell M. The effect of LongoVital on recurrent aphthous stomatitis in a controlled clinical trial. Oral Health Prev Dent 2005;3:3-8.
- 148. Coli P, Jontell M, Hakeberg M. The effect of a dentifrice in the prevention of recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Oral Health Prev Dent 2004;2:133-41.

- 149. Gallo Cde B, Mimura MA, Sugaya NN. Psychological stress and recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 2009;64:645-8.
- 150. Ghaffari S, Barikbin L, Ashnagar S, Hajji Fattahi F, Tavakoli Kia R, Rezaiee M. Enoxaparin for the treatment of recurrent aphthous stomatitis: a pilot exploratory clinical trial. Minerva Stomatol 2013;62:281-7.
- 151. Gorsky M, Epstein J, Rabenstein S, Elishoov H, Yarom N. Topical minocycline and tetracycline rinses in treatment of recurrent aphthous stomatitis: a randomized cross-over study. Dermatol Online J 2007;13:1.
- 152. Gorsky M, Epstein J, Raviv A, Yaniv R, Truelove E. Topical minocycline for managing symptoms of recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Spec Care Dentist 2008;28:27-31.
- 153. Jiang XW, Zhang Y, Zhu YL, et al. Effects of berberine gelatin on recurrent aphthous stomatitis: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial in a Chinese cohort. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2013;115:212-7.
- 154. Lee JH, Jung JY, Bang D. The efficacy of topical 0.2% hyaluronic acid gel on recurrent oral ulcers: comparison between recurrent aphthous ulcers and the oral ulcers of Behcet's disease. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2008;22:590-5.
- 155. Mahdi AB, Coulter WA, Woolfson AD, Lamey PJ. Efficacy of bioadhesive patches in the treatment of recurrent aphthous stomatitis. J Oral Pathol Med 1996;25:416-9.
- 156. Miles DA, Bricker SL, Razmus TF, Potter RH. Triamcinolone acetonide versus chlorhexidine for treatment of recurrent stomatitis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1993;75:397-402.

- 157. Moghadamnia AA, Motallebnejad M, Khanian M. The efficacy of the bioadhesive patches containing licorice extract in the management of recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Phytother Res 2009;23:246-50.
- 158. Prasad RS, Pai A. Assessment of immediate pain relief with laser treatment in recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2013;116:189-93.
- 159. Rhodus NL, Bereuter J. An evaluation of a chemical cautery agent and an anti-inflammatory ointment for the treatment of recurrent aphthous stomatitis: a pilot study. Quintessence Int 1998;29:769-73.
- 160. Ylikontiola L, Sorsa T, Hayrinen-Immonen R, Salo T. Doxymycine-cyanoacrylate treatment of recurrent aphthous ulcers. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1997;83:329-33.
- 161. Zand N, Ataie-Fashtami L, Djavid GE, et al. Relieving pain in minor aphthous stomatitis by a single session of non-thermal carbon dioxide laser irradiation. Lasers Med Sci 2009;24:515-20.
- 162. Arduino PG, Lopetuso E, Carcieri P, et al. Professional oral hygiene treatment and detailed oral hygiene instructions in patients affected by mucous membrane pemphigoid with specific gingival localization: a pilot study in 12 patients. Int J Dent Hyg 2012;10:138-41.
- 163. Thornhill M, Pemberton M, Buchanan J, Theaker E. An open clinical trial of sulphamethoxypyridazine in the treatment of mucous membrane pemphigoid. Br J Dermatol 2000;143:117-26.
- 164. Lo Muzio L, della Valle A, Mignogna MD, et al. The treatment of oral aphthous ulceration or erosive lichen planus with topical clobetasol propionate

in three preparations: a clinical and pilot study on 54 patients. J Oral Pathol Med 2001;30:611-7.

165. Colquhoun AN, Ferguson MM. An association between oral lichen planus and a persistently dry mouth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2004;98:60-8.

Table 1.

Patient-reported outcome measure (PROMs) used, frequency of use and associated mucosal disease

PROM	Abbreviation	No. of times used	Disease
Autoimmune Bullous	ABQOL	1	Multi* (1) ⁴³
Disease Quality of			
Life questionnaire			
Ad hoc patient	Ad hoc	9	OLP **(7) 32, 33, 44-
reported outcome			48
measures			RAS*** (1) 49
			MMP/PV**** (1) ⁵⁰
Beck Depression	BDI	4	OLP (4) 51-54
Inventory			
Covi Anxiety Screen	CAS	1	OLP (1) ⁵³
Cattell 16 Personality	Cattell 16PF	2	OLP (2) 53, 55
Factors			
Cornell Medical Index	СМІ	1	OLP (1) ⁴⁷
Health Questionnaire			
Chronic Oral Mucosal	COMDQ	3	Multi (3) 42, 56, 57
Diseases			
Questionnaire			
Clinical Scoring	CSS	9	OLP (3) ⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰
System			RAS (1) ⁶¹
			MMP/PV (5) 62-66
Dermatology Life	DLQI	2	MMP/PV (2) 67, 68
Quality Index			
General Health	GHQ	5	OLP (2) 69, 70
Questionnaire			MMP/PV (2) 67, 68
			Multi (1) ⁷¹
Hospital Anxiety and	HAD	5	OLP (1) ⁵⁵
Depression			RAS (1) ¹¹
			MMP/PV (1) ⁷²
			Multi (2) ^{73, 74}

Hamilton Anxiety	HAS	1	OLP (1) ⁷⁵
Scale			
Hassanyeh Rating of	Hassanyeh	1	OLP (1) ⁵³
Anxiety-Depression-	RADV		
Vulnerability			
Insitute for Personality	IPAT-CDQ	1	MMP/PV (1) ⁷⁶
and Ability Testing			
Karolinska Scales of	KSP	1	OLP (1) 77
Personality			
Lipp's Inventory of	LISS	1	OLP (1) ⁵¹
Stress and Symptoms			
Montgomery-Asberg	MADRS	1	OLP (1) ⁷⁵
Depression Rating			
Scale			
Minnesota Multiphasic	MMPI	1	OLP (1) ⁷⁸
Personality Inventory			
McGill Pain	MPQ	2	OLP (2) 79,80
Questionnaire			
Numerical Rating	NRS	5	OLP (3) ^{58, 81, 82}
Scale			RAS (2) 83, 84
Oral Health Impact	OHIP	19	OLP (10) 14, 34, 79,
Profile			80, 85-90
			RAS (3) ⁹¹⁻⁹³
			Multi (6) ^{13, 42, 71, 73,}
			94, 95
Oral Health-Related	OHQoL	3	OLP (2) 80,89
Quality of Life			Multi (1) ¹³
Oral Impacts on Daily	OIDP	1	RAS (1) ⁹⁶
Performance			
Paediatric Quality of	PaedsQL	1	OFG (1) 97
Life Inventory			
Paykel's Inventory	PI	1	MMP/PV (1) 98
Profile of Mood States	POMS	1	OLP (1) 99

Patient Satisfaction	PSQ	1	OLP (1) ⁵²
Questionnaire			
Perceived Stress	PSS	1	OLP (1) ⁷⁰
Scale			
Quick Inventory of	QIDS	1	RAS (1) 100
Depressive			
Symptomatology			
Raskin Depression	RDS	1	OLP (1) ⁵³
Screen			
Recent Life Changes	RLCQ	1	RAS (1) 101
Questionnaire			
Self-rating Anxiety	SAS	1	RAS (1) 102
Scale			
Medical Outcomes	SF	5	MMP/PV (2) 76, 103
Study Short Form			Multi (3) 71, 94, 95
State-Trait Anxiety	STAI	6	OLP (6) 12, 52-54, 104,
Inventory			105
Visual Analogue	VAS	72	OLP (47) 14, 34, 58,
Scale			60, 79, 80, 85, 86, 90, 106-
			143
			RAS (19) 91, 93, 144-
			161
			MMP/PV (2) ^{162,}
			163
			Multi (4) ^{13, 42, 73,}
			164
Xerostomia Inventory	XI	1	OLP (1) ¹⁶⁵

*Multi: multiple mucosal diseases

**OLP: oral lichen planus

***RAS: recurrent aphthous stomatitis

****MMP/PV: mucous membrane pemphigoid/pemphigus vulgaris