Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: JVEB-D-13-00049R2

Title: Effect of the change of social environment on the behavior of a captive brown bear (Ursus arctos)

Article Type: Original Research Paper

Keywords: brown bear; behavior; sleep; animal welfare; zoo

Corresponding Author: Prof. Silvana Mattiello, Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Milan

First Author: Silvana Mattiello, Ph.D.

Order of Authors: Silvana Mattiello, Ph.D.; Serena M Brignoli; Antonella Cordedda; Bernardo Pedroni; Cristina Colombo; Fabia Rosi

Milano, January 7th, 2014

Dear Editor,

thank you very much for your answer.

I made all the changes required by the reviewer. I hope that the pictures of the enclosure are in line with the reviewer's request.

I also included all the additional information that you required in your e-mail.

I hope that this new version will be acceptable for publication.

Best regards and Happy New Year!

Silvana Mattiello

Answers to reviewer's comments:

1. Lines 84-90

I suggest moving even incomplete results of earlier studies on these two bears to section "Results" as an "unpublished data". I do not share the opinion that much more additional information would be needed.

Au: I moved this part to the beginning of the Results section.

2. Line 79

(Fig. 1) While suggesting a picture I meant photo rather or schematic drawing. I suggest again as explained, that authors provide a photo or schematic drawing (preferably black and white or grey scale) of the enclosure.

Au: I replaced the map with a photo-collage with views on different parts of the enclosure (and modified the Figure caption accordingly). Sorry if I misunderstood the previous suggestion!

1	Effect of the change of social environment on the behavior of a captive brown bear (Ursus
2	arctos)
3	
4	Silvana Mattiello ¹ , Serena Maria Brignoli ¹ , Antonella Cordedda ¹ , Bernardo Pedroni ² , Cristina
5	Colombo ³ , Fabia Rosi ⁴
6	
7	¹ Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di Scienze Veterinarie e Sanità Pubblica
8	² Osservatorio Eco-Faunistico Alpino, Aprica (SO), Italy
9	³ Unità Operativa Psichiatria 1 – San Raffaele Turro, Istituto Scientifico Universitario Ospedale San
10	Raffaele, Milano
11	⁴ Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie e Ambientali - Produzione,
12	Territorio, Agroenergia
13	
14	
15	Corresponding author: Prof. Silvana Mattiello. Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di
16	Scienze Veterinarie e Sanità Pubblica, Via Celoria 10, 20133 Milano, Italy - Tel. +39 02 5031 8040 -
17	Fax: +39 02 5031 8030 – E-mail: Silvana.Mattiello@unimi.it
18	

21 Abstract

We observed the behavior of a captive sterilized male brown bear before and after the death of his female sibling, in order to investigate the effect of the change of social environment on his behavior and welfare. Observations were carried out by continuous recording during daylight for 6 weeks prior to hibernation when the bear was kept with the sibling, and they were repeated two years later, when the bear was alone (total observation time=108 h).

27 Feeding, moving and the total amount of time spent resting (including sleeping and alert inactive) 28 were not affected by the change of social environment. However, when the bear was alone the 29 percentage of time he spent alert inactive almost trebled (pair: 17.7 ± 3.3 , alone: 48.5 ± 5.5 ; P<0.001) 30 and the time spent sleeping was less than one third (pair: 51.1 ± 6.1 , alone: 14.2 ± 5.0 ; P<0.001) than 31 when the female was present. The bear spent most of his sleeping time in lateral lying posture (a 32 posture probably associated with REM sleep). The percentage of time dedicated to this posture was significantly reduced after the death of his sibling (pair: $35.9\% \pm 7.4$, alone: $15.0\% \pm 5.4$; P<0.05), 33 34 whereas the percentage of time spent in quadrupedal posture increased (pair: 17.1%±5.4, alone: 35 $37.8\% \pm 7.8$; P<0.05). One of the possible reasons for these changes may be an increased risk 36 perception of the bear after the death of his sibling. Our results highlight the importance of social environment and of its changes, which should be carefully considered in order to maintain captive 37 bears in good welfare conditions. 38

39

40 **Keywords**: brown bear, behavior, sleep, animal welfare, zoo.

- 41
- 42

43 Introduction

According to the International Species Information System (ISIS), at least 561 brown bears of various subspecies are kept in zoos around the world (as of March 22, 2013), either in group (n=79) or as individual animals (n=482). This raises some welfare issues, as captivity implies a number of environmental and management restrictions that may affect bears' welfare (Poole, 1994; O'Grady, 1994).

49 One of the key issues of bear management in captivity is the social environment. Captivity can 50 induce modifications of social behavior, for example in response to forced aggregations of 51 individuals. Anecdotal information based on sporadic observation in zoos (Poulsen, 2011) 52 report that these forced aggregations can sometimes result in aggressive interactions or, in some 53 cases, they may lead to the establishment of friendly relationships that may last for a lifetime, in 54 spite of the solitary attitude of the species. Bears are usually regarded as solitary animals, with a promiscuous mating system (Schwartz et al., 2003; Bellemain et al., 2006). This means that they 55 56 can show some sort of social behavior, which varies from strict territoriality to more or less developed forms of social aggregation (Sandell, 1989). This plasticity makes the animals able to 57 58 adapt to different situations. Bear social attitudes can present some variations in response to several factors, such as individual genetic factors (Stirling and Derocher, 1990) and the season 59 60 or the environmental conditions in which animals are living. A more or less developed social behavior may depend, for example, from resource distribution: where resources are scarce, 61 62 bears are likely to be more solitary, whereas in case of high density of high quality food (e.g. in 63 captive environment, where food is always guaranteed) they may show a trend to aggregate with 64 other individuals (Stirling and Derocher, 1990). Other forms of aggregation may be observed 65 for females, which can remain with their cubs, and for littermates, which may continue to 66 associate with one another, playing and feeding together (Novak, 1999). In brown bear this 67 association, based on relatedness, is particularly relevant for females, whereas males tend to 68 disperse far from their relatives (Støen et al., 2005).

69 Throughout the year, we can observe different behavioral phases, mainly related to the 70 reproductive cycle, that may modify the social attitudes of bears, leading to a gregarious 71 tendency (Mustoni, 2004).

An open issue for discussion on bears' welfare in captivity is whether males and females should be kept together all year round (Poole, 1994). This is particularly relevant when bears are sexually active and approach the reproductive season. In order to limit stress and to prevent undesired births, some zoos choose to sterilize the animals or vasectomize the males (O'Grady, 1994).

This study was carried out in order to investigate the effect of the change of social environment
on the behavior of a captive sterilized male brown bear, which spent all his life together with his
sister, and suddenly remained alone after her death.

80

81 Material and methods

The subject of the present study is Orfeo, a male brown bear born in captivity in 1990 and kept since 2007 in an enclosure at the Osservatorio Eco-Faunistico Alpino of the Orobie Valtellinesi Park, a tourist area of natural interest, open to visitors, located in Aprica (Province of Sondrio, Northern Italy), at 1650 m a.s.l..

The double fenced enclosure has a surface area of approximately 10,000 m² and includes meadows, a mixed conifer wooded area, some rocks, a stream, a pond, two artificial dens and two natural dens dug by the bears (Fig. 1). Feed was delivered every morning and consisted of variable proportions of vegetables (e.g. carrots, apples, or fennels), meat or fish, and honey.

90 Until the beginning of 2011, Orfeo had been living together with his twin sister. Both animals
91 had been sterilized, in order to prevent undesired births. The behavior of both bears was
92 observed in 2009 during the pre-hibernation period (end of September - early November;
93 Daldoss, 1981), in order to assess their welfare status in the captive environment.

4

Orfeo's sibling never woke up after the hibernation period of winter 2010-2011. Following her 94 95 death, in autumn 2011 further observations were carried out on Orfeo alone, implementing the 96 same observation protocol used in 2009, in order to detect possible changes of the bear's 97 behavior in response to the modification of his social environment. Both in 2009 and in 2011, 98 the protocol consisted of direct continuous recording observations (Martin and Bateson, 1993), 99 conducted during daylight for 6 weeks prior to hibernation. During each week, three observation 100 sessions (3 h each) were carried out: one in the morning (from 9:00 to 12:00), one in the 101 afternoon (from 12:00 to 15:00) and one in the evening (from 15:00 to 18:00). The total 102 observation time was 108 h (3 h x 3 sessions x 6 wk x 2 y).

103 Orfeo was observed from a high platform (an observation terrace normally used also by the
104 visitors of the Osservatorio) with the aid of an 8 x 30 magnification binoculars.

105 The following behavioral categories were recorded: feeding (eating or chewing food, either 106 delivered by the keeper or found in the fence, e.g. leaves or branches); drinking; moving 107 (walking or hurrying, including movement while sniffing or foraging); sleeping (a state of 108 behavioral quiescence, the body is completely relaxed, the eyes are closed and the bear is not 109 reactive to any external stimulus); alert inactive (the bear is not moving, but his eyes are open 110 and he is reactive to external stimuli); den preparation (including digging and raking or mowing 111 vegetable parts to prepare for hibernation); self-grooming (including self-licking, self-112 scratching, scratching against trunks or rocks, bathing and scrolling) and stereotypies (pacing, 113 circling or walking in figure-of-eight, head-tossing, swaying; Montaudouin and Le Pape, 2005). All behavioral categories were mutually exclusive. Additionally, the following postures were 114 115 also recorded: quadrupedal posture (standing or moving on the 4 legs); lateral lying (the bear is lying on a side, with outstretched legs and neck, occasionally with one leg partially bent); 116 117 sterno-costal lying (the weight of the bear is loaded on the ventral part of the body, the fore legs 118 are outstretched, while the hind legs are bent below the body, the head is usually upright,

occasionally outstretched) and sitting (the bear is sitting on his hindquarters, leaning on thedistal portion of his front legs, like a dog) (Fig. 2). All postures were mutually exclusive.

121 Orfeo was visible for most of the time, except when he was in the den or obscured by trees. The 122 duration of each activity/posture was expressed in minutes; the percentage of time dedicated to 123 each activity/posture out of the total visible observation time was then calculated. These 124 variables were submitted to non-parametric analysis of variance (Mann-Whitney test; SPSS, 125 2007), in order to test the effect of social environment (in pair vs alone) on the behavior of the brown bear. Results are presented as means ± standard errors of the percentage of time 126 127 dedicated to each behavioral category and to each posture. Preliminary analysis showed a very 128 similar trend of behavioral rhythms for both years, therefore the results regarding the effect of 129 time band (morning, afternoon, evening) will not be reported.

130

131 **Results**

The observations carried out on the pair in 2009 showed that bears exhibited a wide range of behaviors, reflecting their ethogram in the wild (with peaks of feeding activity in the morning, and peaks of resting activity in the middle of the day), and that they were free from stereotypies or other abnormal behaviors. These findings suggested that the enclosure where they lived, and the management practices to which they were submitted, were adequate to guarantee their welfare in the captive environment (unpublished data).

138 Behavioral categories

139 During the total observation period (2009 and 2011), Orfeo spent the majority of his time alert

140 inactive (33.1%), followed by sleeping (32.7%), feeding (16.1%) and moving (9.5%). The rest

141 of the time was dedicated to self-grooming (4.4%), den preparation (4.1%) and drinking (0.1%).

- 142 No stereotypies were ever recorded. Behavioral categories with low or null occurrence were not
- statistically analyzed.

Feeding and moving time were not affected by the change of the social environment. The total amount of time spent resting (including sleeping and alert inactive) was also very similar in both periods ($68.7\% \pm 4.7$ in pair *vs* $62.7\% \pm 5.3$ alone). However, the proportion of time sleeping and alert inactive was reversed: when Orfeo was alone, the time he spent alert inactive almost trebled and the time spent sleeping was less than one third than when his sibling was present (Fig. 2). These differences were statistically significant (P<0.001 for both behavioral categories).

151

152 *Postures*

Orfeo spent 39.2% of his time in lateral lying posture, 27.4% of his time in quadrupedal posture,
25.5% sterno-costal lying and 7.9% sitting.

155 When he was alone, Orfeo spent significantly less time in lateral lying and more time in 156 quadrupedal posture than when he was in pair (Fig. 3; P<0.05 for both postures), while the time 157 spent sitting and in sterno-costal lying was not affected by the change of the social environment. 158 The time allocated to different behavioral categories during each posture is reported in Tab. 1. 159 Orfeo spent most of his sleeping time in lateral lying posture. When he was sitting or in sterno-160 costal lying posture, he spent most of the time inactive but vigilant (alert inactive). Feeding was 161 performed during sterno-costal lying or quadrupedal posture. Moving and den preparation were 162 performed almost exclusively in quadrupedal posture.

163

164 Discussion

Orfeo spent a high overall proportion of time resting, either sleeping or alert inactive. This is in agreement with observations on wild bears, which use most of daylight time for resting, while their activity is mainly concentrated during the evening and the night (Roth, 1983). However, the resting behavior of the observed brown bear was significantly affected by the change of social environment. The absence of his sibling, which used to be vigilant by Orfeo when he was 170 resting (Cordedda, 2010), seems to have induced a reduction of the time dedicated to totally 171 relaxed inactivity, suggesting that Orfeo was in quieter conditions when his sibling was present. 172 The behavior that we described as sleeping (behavioral quiescence, with the body completely 173 relaxed, the eyes closed and no reaction to external stimuli) is very similar to the behavioral 174 phenotype of sleep described by Lima et al. (2005). However, in absence of physiological 175 measures, we cannot state that Orfeo was really sleeping when he was lying inactive and, above 176 all, we cannot distinguish in which phase of sleep (REM (Rapid Eyes Movement) or non REM) 177 he was. This behavior was performed by Orfeo mainly during the lateral lying posture, that 178 seems to be associated to REM sleep in some mammal species, such as cattle (de Wilt, 1985). 179 The fact that most of the time that Orfeo spent in lateral lying posture was dedicated to total 180 inactivity seems to support this statement also for bears. During sleep, and especially during the 181 REM phase, the arousal thresholds tend to be higher than in other states, and therefore animals 182 exposed to predation risk are likely to spend less time sleeping and less time in REM sleep, due 183 to increased vulnerability (Lima et al., 2005). Sleep is a plastic behavior that may dynamically 184 adapt to different environmental situations (Lima et al., 2005). Modifications of social activities, 185 as well as of other factors, such as for example time of light exposure or food availability, can modify the sleep-wake cycle and the SWS-REM cycle (Pitrosky et al., 2003). In many 186 gregarious species, the presence of conspecifics provides a sense of protection to other 187 individuals, whereas isolated animals usually show higher levels of attentiveness, which are 188 189 probably related to a higher sense of fear of external stimuli (Krebs and Davis, 1993). In fact, 190 animals in risky situations prefer to form groups when they sleep (Krause and Ruxton, 2002). 191 Although bears are usually regarded as predators, and not as prey, a solitary situation may be 192 dangerous for them too, as some risks may occur, such as hunting, and the need for protection is 193 important. In the specific case of bears, the cubs may decide to remain together with their 194 brothers and sisters or to group with other young adults (Novak, 1999), in order to feel more 195 protected. Our results seem to confirm the presence of a similar behavior also in captive brown 196 bear and highlight the importance of providing a sense of security for the animals in captivity, 197 as recommended by Poole (1994). Therefore, in our study we can infer that the modification of 198 the social environment can be responsible for the reduction of the time spent sleeping and of the 199 time spent in lateral lying posture, which is strictly associated with a state of total inactivity. 200 Sleep plays a vital role in determining balance among recovery from prior wakefulness and 201 preparation for ensuing wakefulness. Severe sleep disruption, with alteration of the normal 202 restorative functions linked to correct non REM-REM pattern, may alter breathing and 203 cardiovascular functions, and may give rise to impaired emotional reactivity and impairment in 204 cognitive functions (Zepelin and Rechtschaffen, 1974). Furthermore, studies on laboratory 205 rodents demonstrated that REM sleep deprivation represents a stress, which can lead to 206 hyperphagia and increased cardiovascular disease risk (Shaw et al., 1998). Therefore, we may 207 assume that the decrease of time dedicated to the lateral lying posture, possibly related to REM 208 sleep, is connected to a reduced welfare condition. However, in our case Orfeo still seems to be 209 able to cope with the surrounding environment, as his general health status is good, his feeding 210 behavior is normal and he shows no sign of stereotypies.

211 In spite of their solitary attitude, bears can show a strong attachment to other conspecifics, 212 especially if they have been living with them for all their life, as in the case of Orfeo and his 213 sister. Poulsen (2011) reports the case of a female polar bear in captivity that, for the first time 214 in her life, found herself alone and then started to look for a social contact with the zookeeper: 215 although this is an isolated case report, it supports our hypothesis that the break of a social 216 relationship can affect bears' behavior and that a condition of isolation is not suitable for the 217 welfare of bears that were accustomed to the presence of other conspecifics. In our case, Orfeo was probably habituated to his sister's presence and had developed a strong bond with her. 218 219 After the death of his sibling, Orfeo appears in a condition of mental discomfort. This negative 220 condition may be a further cause of the reduction of sleep, as there are growing clinical

evidences in humans supporting the relationship between depression and sleep disruption(Benedetti and Colombo, 2011).

223

224 Conclusions

Our results provide important information for captive bear management. We provide evidence that the change of social environment, and specifically the loss of a conspecific and the subsequent state of isolation and insecurity, may have short-term negative effects on the welfare of captive bears, as the change of activity patterns and the alteration of sleep may interfere with the normal restorative functions of REM sleep. We are uncertain whether these effects will last in the long-term, but preliminary observations suggest that the bear is restoring his normal behavioral patterns. Further research will be carried out in order to confirm these hypotheses.

Before the death of Orfeo's sibling, the pair never showed behavioral problems, suggesting that sterilized males and females can live together in captivity, provided that they have enough resources in their enclosure. The Osservatorio is presently considering the possibility of introducing a new bear mate; however, the risk of aggressive interactions between two unfamiliar adult bears in a confined enclosure is high, and the partition of the existing enclosure is under evaluation, in order to allow a period of acclimation in neighbouring enclosures, that should minimize these risks.

Although forcedly based only on one case report, the results of the present study provide new information that open new insights on a previously unexplored field, and highlight the importance of social environment and of its changes, that should be carefully considered in order to maintain good welfare conditions of bears kept in zoos or in other captive environments.

244

245 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Osservatorio Eco-Faunistico Alpino (Aprica) for granting us the access to the bear facilities for behavioral observations. Many thanks to the International Species Information System (ISIS) for providing updated information on brown bear numbers in ISIS member institutions around the world. Finally yet importantly, we acknowledge Leigh Murray for the revision of the English manuscript and an anonymous reviewer for the useful comments on the first version of this manuscript.

252

253 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

254 The idea for the paper was conceived by Bernardo Pedroni and Fabia Rosi.

255 The experiment was designed by Silvana Mattiello.

256 The experiment was performed by Antonella Cordedda and Serena Brignoli.

- 257 The data were analyzed by Silvana Mattiello.
- 258 The paper was written by Silvana Mattiello, with help and inputs from all co-authors.
- 259 No specific funding was provided for this research.
- 260 The study met all humane standards, as no manipulation was necessary and only non invasive
- 261 observations were carried out on the subjects.
- 262

263 **References**

264 Bellemain, E., Zedrosser, A., Manel S., Waits, L.P., Taberlet, P., Swenson, J.E., 2006. The

dilemma of female mate selection in the brown bear, a species with sexually selected

- 266 infanticide. Proc. R. Soc. Bull. 273, 283-291.
- Benedetti, F., Colombo, C., 2011. Sleep deprivation in mood disorders. Neuropsychobiology
 64, 141-151.
- 269 Cordedda, A., 2010. Analisi comportamentale della coppia di orsi bruni presenti
 270 nell'Osservatorio Eco-faunistico Alpino del Parco delle Orobie Valtellinesi. Degree thesis.
 271 Università degli Studi di Milano.

- 272 Daldoss, G., 1981. Sulle orme dell'orso. Editrice Temi, Trento, Italy.
- 273 de Wilt, J.G., 1985. Behaviour and welfare of veal calves in relation to husbandry systems. PhD
- 274 Thesis. Agricultural University, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- 275 Krause, J., Ruxton, G.D., 2002. Living in Groups. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- 276 Krebs, J.R, Davis, N.B., 1993. An introduction to behavioural ecology. Blackwell Science Ltd.,
- 277 Oxford, UK. 3rd edition.
- 278 Lima, S.L., Rattenborg, N.C., Lesku, J.A., Amlaner, C.J., 2005. Sleeping under the risk of
- 279 predation. Anim. Behav. 70, 723-736.
- 280 Martin, P., Bateson, P., 1993. Measuring behaviour: an introductory guide. Cambridge
- 281 University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 282 Montaudouin, S., Le Pape, G., 2005. Comparison between 28 zoological parks: stereotypic and
- social behaviours of captive brown bears (*Ursus arctos*). Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 92, 129–141.
- 284 Mustoni, A., 2004. L'orso bruno sulle alpi biologia comportamento e rapporti con l'uomo.
- 285 Nitida Immagine Editrice, Cles (TN).
- Nowak, R.M., 1999. Walker's mammals of the world. Johns Hopkins University Press,
 Baltimore, Maryland. 6th ed.
- 288 O' Grady, R.J.P., 1994. Bear welfare in captivity with particular reference to the Asiatic black
- 289 bear Selenarctos thibetanus. In: O'Grady, R.J.P., Hughes, D.G. (Eds), Bears: Their status,
- 290 conservation and welfare in captivity. The Zoological Society of Glasgow and the West of
- 291 Scotland, Glasgow, pp. 43-46.
- 292 Pitrosky, B., Delagrange, P., Rettori, M.C., Pévet, P., 2003. S22153, a melatonin antagonist,
- dissociates different aspects of photoperiodic responses in Syrian hamsters. Behav. Brain Res.138, 145-152.
- 295 Poole, T.B., 1994. Behavioural needs of bears in captivity. In: O'Grady, R.J.P., Hughes, D.G.
- 296 (Eds), Bears: Their status, conservation and welfare in captivity. The Zoological Society of
- 297 Glasgow and the West of Scotland, Glasgow, pp. 59-60.

- Poulsen, E., 2011.Smiling bears. A zookeeper explores the behavior and emotional life of bears.
 Greystone Books, Vancouver, Canada.
- Roth, H.U., 1983. Home ranges and movements patterns of European brown bears as revealed
- 301 by radio-tracking. Acta Zool. Fenn. 174, 143-144.
- 302 Sandell, M., 1989. The mating tactics and spacing patterns of solitary carnivores. In: Gittleman,
- J.L. (Ed), Carnivore Behaviour, Ecology and Evolution. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, pp.
 164-182.
- Schwartz, C.C., Miller, S.D., Haroldson, M.A., 2003. Grizzly bear. In: Feldhammer, G.A.,
 Thompson, B.C., Chapman, J.A. (Eds), Wild mammals of North America: biology,
 management and conservation. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp. 556–586. 2nd
 ed.
- Shaw, P.J., Bergmann, B.M., Rechtschaffen, A., 1998. Effects of paradoxical sleep deprivation
 on thermoregulation in the rat. Sleep 21, 7-17.
- 311 SPSS, 2007. SPSS 16.0 Command Syntax Reference. SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL.
- Stirling, I., Derocher, A.E., 1990. Bears: Their Biology and Management. A Selection of
 Papers from the Eighth International Conference on Bear Research and Management,
 International Association for Bear Research and Management. Victoria, Canada, Vol. 8, pp.
 189-204.
- Støen, O.-G., Bellemain, E., Sæbø, S., Swenson, J.E., 2005. Kin-related spatial structure in
 brown bears *Ursus arctos*. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 59, 191-197.
- 318 Zepelin, H., Rechtschaffen. A., 1974. Mammalian sleep, longevity, and energy metabolism.
- 319 Brain Behav. Evol. 10, 425-470.
- 320

321 Table 1. Overall percentage of time allocated to different behavioral categories during each 322 posture. Considered behavioral categories are: alert inactive (the bear is not moving, but his 323 eyes are open and he is reactive to external stimuli); sleeping (a state of behavioral quiescence, 324 the body is completely relaxed, the eyes are closed and the bear is not reactive to any external stimulus); feeding (eating or chewing food, either delivered by the keeper or found in the fence, 325 e.g. leaves or branches); moving (walking or hurrying, including movement while sniffing or 326 foraging); self-grooming (including self-licking, self-scratching, scratching against trunks or 327 rocks, bathing and scrolling); den preparation (including digging and raking or mowing 328 329 vegetable parts to prepare for hibernation); drinking.

Observed postures are: quadrupedal posture (standing or moving on the 4 legs); lateral lying (the bear is lying on a side, with outstretched legs and neck, occasionally with one leg partially bent); sterno-costal lying (the weight of the bear is loaded on the ventral part of the body, the fore legs are outstretched, while the hind legs are bent below the body, the head is usually upright, occasionally outstretched); sitting (the bear is sitting on his buttocks, leaning on the distal portion of his front legs, like a dog).

336

	alert inactive	sleeping	feeding	moving	self- grooming	den preparation	drinking
lateral lying	22.2%	77.6%	0%	0%	0.2%	0%	0%
sterno-costal lying	75.8%	13.8%	4.6%	0%	5.4%	0.2%	0.2%
quadrupedal posture	39.8%	0.3%	7.2%	28.6%	3.3%	20.6%	0.2%
sitting	85.6%	0%	0%	0%	12.9%	0%	1.4%

337

338

339 Figure legends

- Figure 1. Views of the enclosure. a) meadows and mixed conifer wood (the fence can be seen
 on the right); b) high platform from which the observations were carried out; c) artificial dens;
 d) natural dens dug by the bears; e) stream.
- Figure 2. Percentage of time (mean \pm s.e.) devoted by Orfeo to the main behavioral categories in the presence of his sibling (pair) and alone. Asterisks over the columns indicate significant differences (P<0.001).
- 347
- Figure 3. Percentage of time (mean \pm s.e.) spent by Orfeo in different postures in the presence of
- his sibling (pair) and alone. Asterisks over the columns indicate significant differences(P<0.05).





