Circadian variations in central corneal thickness and intraocular pressure in patients with glaucoma
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Aim: To analyse 24 hour variations in intraocular pressure (IOP) and central corneal thickness (CCT) in a group of glaucomatous patients.

Methods: 30 patients with primary open angle glaucoma were hospitalised and underwent circadian evaluations (at 8 pm, midnight, 4 am, 8 am, noon, and 4 pm) of supine and sitting IOP, respectively, measured using a Perkins and a Goldmann tonometer, and CCT measured using an ultrasonic pachymeter (the mean value of three measurements within 5 µm). All patients were treated with timolol 0.5% twice daily and latanoprost 0.005% once daily.

Results: Mean supine IOP was 15.3 (SD 3.7) mm Hg (range 10–25), with circadian fluctuations of 7.3 (3.3) mm Hg. Mean sitting IOP was 15.1 (3.9) mm Hg (range 8–26), with circadian fluctuations of 5.4 (3.1) mm Hg. Mean CCT was 534 (39) µm (range 443–637 µm) with circadian fluctuations of 16.5 (6.2) µm (range 6–31 µm). Both the within patient and within time point fluctuations in CCT were statistically significant (p < 0.0001, ANOVA).

Conclusions: The authors found considerable fluctuations in 24 hour IOP. The circadian fluctuations in CCT were small and, although statistically significant, did not seem to interfere with the circadian IOP assessment.

Intraocular pressure (IOP) is an important parameter for glaucoma detection and follow up and, since the beginning of its medical treatment, reducing IOP has been the only way of slowing or halting disease progression. Like all biological parameters, IOP fluctuates over 24 hours, but the extent of the fluctuations and their importance in glaucoma patients are still debated.5,6

The “gold standard” for IOP evaluation is Goldmann tonometry, which is highly precise and shows the least interobserver variability. However, various factors can reduce its reliability,10–12 one of the most important of which is central corneal thickness (CCT). Although the effect of CCT on IOP measurements was assumed by Goldmann9 in 1957, and then experimentally demonstrated by Ehlers13 in 1975, it is only recently that the problems in measuring IOP created by refractive surgery have underlined its clinical importance.14–17 Though a number of cross sectional studies have shown that ocular hypertensive eyes have thicker mean CCT,18–21 whereas low tension glaucoma (LTG) is characterised by lower mean CCT,22,23 and primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) by normal mean CCT,24–25 individual corneal thickness largely varies and overlaps between groups. Nevertheless, pachymetry is important to correctly classify and diagnose these conditions.18–21,23–26

There are still many unanswered questions concerning CCT. First of all, although many correcting factors have been proposed in order to obtain an estimate of “actual” IOP with respect to CCT, there is still no agreement about their clinical usefulness.15,22,23,25–29

Furthermore, there is no pachymetric “gold standard.” Ultrasonic pachymeters are the most used in clinical settings; they are generally portable and easy to use, but they require adequate training because probe alignment and perpendicular contact with the central cornea are critical to ensure reliable data.30 Ultrasonic pachymetry provides the most precise CCT measurements,30 and its interoperator and intraoperator reproducibility is good,31 but its accuracy has recently been questioned because it can overestimate CCT in comparison with confocal microscopy.30 In an attempt to avoid these limitations, various non-contact pachymeters (optical devices, confocal microscopy,32 and optical coherence tomography33) have been introduced into clinical practice.

The variability of corneal thickness is a further controversial issue. Most studies have considered CCT a “static” parameter and ignored its possible fluctuations (as correctly pointed out in Dougherty’s meta-analysis34), and more recent studies of CCT variability have considered only daytime fluctuations,35–37 with the exception of Harper’s study of circadian variations in normal subjects.36

The aim of this study was to evaluate the circadian fluctuations of CCT in a group of glaucomatous patients, and their potential effects on IOP assessments.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study was carried out at the San Paolo Hospital Eye Clinic of the University of Milan. The patients attending the glaucoma service underwent a complete ophthalmic examination in order assess their eligibility, and 30 consecutive glaucomatous patients were enrolled (see table 1). In order to avoid the effects of different medical treatments, only patients treated with an unfixed association of timolol maleate 0.5% twice daily and latanoprost 0.005% once daily were considered.

The inclusion criterion was bilateral POAG, defined as an untreated IOP > 21 mm Hg (measured on two consecutive occasions separated by an interval of at least 2 hours but no more than 12 weeks), a glaucomatous visual field (on the basis of at least two reliable Humphrey 30–2 full threshold tests), and glaucomatous optic disc changes (evaluated by means of colour stereophotographs) or retinal nerve fibre layer defects (evaluated by means of a scanning laser ophthalmoscope).

The exclusion criteria were low tension, exfoliation, pigmentary or secondary glaucomas; previous refractive surgery; any ocular surgery less than 3 months before enrolment; present or past contact lens wear; present or past corneal disease or injury; significant tear secretion.

Abbreviations: CCT, central corneal thickness; COV, coefficient of variation; CPSD, corrected pattern standard deviation; IOP, intraocular pressure; LTG, low tension glaucoma; MD, mean defect; POAG, primary open angle glaucoma.
abnormality; significant wake-sleep rhythm disturbances; and hypnotic drug consumption.

Before enrolment, the procedures and the aims of the study were carefully explained to the patients, who signed an informed consent form approved by the ethics committee of San Paolo Hospital. The study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

The enrolled patients were hospitalised at 7 pm to undergo a circadian evaluation of CCT, and supine and sitting IOP in one randomly chosen eye (randomisation by means of a list of random numbers), as previously described. Briefly, the measurements were made at 8 pm, midnight, 4 am, 8 am, noon, and 4 pm; the 8 pm, and 8 am measurements were made before the instillation of timolol maleate 0.5%. Latanoprost was instilled at 10 pm.

One drop of oxybuprocaine and fluorescein was instilled before each measurement. Supine IOP was measured by means of a Perkins tonometer, after which the patients walked about 10 metres to the ophthalmic cabinet and their sitting IOP was measured using a Goldmann tonometer. Finally, CCT was measured on the mid-pupillary/papillary axis (the mean of three readings within 5 μm) using a DGH 2000 AP ultrasonic pachymeter (DGH Technology Inc, San Diego, CA, USA).

A slit lamp examination was performed before each CCT measurement in order to ensure the absence of corneal epithelial defects. No dilation or gonioscopy was used during the study period in order to avoid any corneal surface damage or distortion.

Statistical analysis

The tonometric measurements were made by two experienced observers (PF and FM), whose concordance was evaluated before the eligibility examination by means of the calculation of kappa statistics (κ) over 50 measurements each using the Perkins and Goldmann tonometers. A cut-off point of 2 mm Hg (the lowest published interobserver variability for Goldmann tonometry) was used to define agreement, which was found to be excellent (κ = 0.84).

The CCT measurements were made by a single observer (PF). Intraobserver agreement was evaluated by comparing 50 CCT measurements of a single eye of a volunteer. Ten measurements were made on the same day at each time point of 8 am, 10 am, noon, 2 pm, and 4 pm, and agreement was defined as the presence of values within plus or minus 5 μm of the mean CCT (corresponding to the 95% confidence interval). The mean CCT was 507 (SD 3) μm, and the agreement was excellent (κ = 0.94).

The IOP and CCT data are given as mean values, standard deviations, and ranges. The coefficient of variation (COV, defined as the percentage standard deviation divided by the mean) was also calculated in order to facilitate comparisons with the variations reported in other studies.

The t test for paired samples was used to compare mean supine and sitting IOP. Two way analysis of variance for repeated observations was used to test the hypothesis that there were no significant within patient or within time point changes in CCT changes during the circadian evaluation.

The Bravais-Pearson coefficient of linear correlation (r) was used to check the correlations between the following variables: mean CCT; variations in CCT (percentage, standard deviation, and COV); mean supine IOP; variations in mean supine IOP; mean sitting IOP; variations in mean sitting IOP; mean defect and corrected pattern standard deviation at standard automated perimetry; and refraction. The correlation was considered negligible if r<0.2; weak if 0.2<r<0.5; good if 0.5<r<0.8; strong if r>0.8.

RESULTS

The key results of the study are summarised in table 2. IOP and CCT data of patients operated of cataract surgery (seven patients) or trabeculectomy (two patients) were comparable to data of patients who did not undergo eye surgery.

Mean supine IOP was 15.3 (SD 3.7, range 10–25) mm Hg; mean sitting IOP was 15.1 (SD 3.9, range 8–26) mm Hg; and mean CCT was 534 (SD 39, range 443–637) μm (see fig 1 for the distribution of mean CCT values).

### Table 1: Main patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race, white</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age range, years</td>
<td>55–84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>12 F, 18 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refraction (D), mean (SD)</td>
<td>-0.9 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD (dB), mean (SD)</td>
<td>-6.6 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPSD (dB), mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.6 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD: mean defect; CPSD: corrected pattern standard deviation.

### Table 2: Main results of the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Supine IOP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Sitting IOP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>CCT (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>10–25</td>
<td>8–26</td>
<td>443–637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of variation</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean results at each time point</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 pm</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>529.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midnight</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>535.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 am</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>538.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 am</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>535.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noon</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>531.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 pm</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>529.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation*</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of variation*</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean results per patient over the 24 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of variation (SD)</td>
<td>18.8 (7.5%)</td>
<td>13.4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>6.6%–33.1%</td>
<td>3.6%–36.5%</td>
<td>0.4%–2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean individual variation</td>
<td>7.3 (3.3)</td>
<td>5.4 (3.1)</td>
<td>16.5 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage (SD)</td>
<td>63% (33%)</td>
<td>45% (33%)</td>
<td>3.2% (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>3–15</td>
<td>1–17</td>
<td>6–31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>22%–150%</td>
<td>7%–189%</td>
<td>1.1%–6.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Considering the mean values at the six time points.
Figure 2 shows the 24 hour curves of mean supine and sitting IOP, and CCT. There were no significant changes in supine IOP at any time point, although it peaked at midnight (16.5 mm Hg) and troughed at noon and 4 pm (14.2 mm Hg), nor any significant change in sitting IOP (mean values between 14.8 mm Hg and 15.7 mm Hg). The same was true when the day and night-time measurements were compared. Mean time point CCT ranged from 530–539 μm, with a 0.7% COV comparing all time points.

Variability became more important when the within patient curves were considered. The mean variations in supine and sitting IOP were respectively 7.3 (3.3) mm Hg and 5.4 (3.1) mm Hg (fig 3), and mean CCT changes were 16.5 (6.2) μm, with mean COV = 1.2 (0.4%) (figs 4 and 5). The distribution of CCT peaks and troughs over time is shown in figure 6. In terms of the values at each time point, the difference between peak CCT (at 4 am) and trough CCT (at 4 pm) was about 9 μm (p<0.0001, ANOVA; table 3). The Bravais-Pearson coefficient of linear correlation was negligible or weak for all of the variables except for the good correlations between mean supine IOP and mean CCT (r = 0.53), and between mean sitting IOP and mean defect at standard automated perimetry (r = 0.64). In particular, there were negligible or weak correlations for CCT COV and CCT (r = 0.11), mean supine IOP (r = 0.02) and its variation (r = 0.11), and mean sitting IOP (r = 0.10) and its variation (r = 0.42).

The CCT curve shown in figure 2 was recalculated in terms of the percentage deviation from the mean (considered as...
DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge this is the first study of circadian variations in CCT and their relations to supine and sitting IOP in a group of glaucomatous patients. A 48 hour study of CCT was published by Harper et al on a small group (n = 8) of normal subjects to evaluate fluctuations in CCT during the sleep phase. Conversely, the main objective of the present study was to evaluate circadian CCT variations in a group of glaucomatous patients and their role in the circadian assessment of IOP.

We found very small variations in circadian supine and sitting IOP, with mean values of respectively 15.3 (0.9) mm Hg and 15.1 (0.3) mm Hg; the biggest difference of 2.3 mm Hg found in the supine curve between midnight and noon values was neither clinically nor statistically significant. When comparing this finding with previously published data, it is necessary to consider the possibility of the treatment induced stabilisation of circadian IOP values.

Greater fluctuations in 24 hour IOP were found when the within patient curves were analysed, with mean variations of 7.3 (range 3–15) mm Hg in supine IOP, and mean variations of 5.4 (range 1–17) mm Hg in sitting IOP. Goldmann tonometry revealed fluctuations of more than 5 mm Hg in about 60% (fig 3) despite the use of treatment schedules that are considered to be very effective in the majority of clinical settings. Although there were no significant differences in daytime v night-time IOP values, 50% of the supine and 40% of the sitting IOP peaks occurred at midnight or 4 am, thus confirming the clinical importance of circadian IOP evaluations in order to be sure of treatment efficacy in glaucomatous patients. The timings found in our study seem to confirm previous findings by Liu et al in glaucomatous patients and young healthy subjects.

The mean CCT values were very similar to those reported in the literature. The high range in CCT values (443–637 μm) in our homogeneous sample of POAG patients receiving the same therapy confirms the importance of individual assessments in better estimating “true” IOP and detecting possible risk factors for disease progression.

The 24 hour variations in CCT were small: mean within patient variation 16.5 (6.2) μm (3.2 μm (1.2%); range 6–31 μm) and mean COV 1.2 (0.4%) (range 0.4–2.4%). In relation to the mean CCT at each time point, the difference between peak CCT (at 4 am) and trough CCT (at 4 pm) was about 9 μm (p<0.0001). This may reflect the well documented changes in corneal metabolism occurring during the night, with increased lactate and corneal swelling.

The circadian CCT curve in the present study was very similar to that of Doughty’s meta-analysis of normal, non-white subjects, except for the fact that our fluctuations were smaller (plus or minus 1% v plus or minus 2%). This may have been because of the high degree of intraobserver reproducibility in our study, or to the absence of some factors that may increase CCT variability, such as pregnancy, menstruation, or oral contraceptive use. As shown in figure 7, both curves intersected the 100% line between 10 am and noon, which is probably the best time to measure CCT as it is nearest to its mean value.

There was a good correlation between mean supine IOP and mean CCT (r = 0.53), which confirms that an increase in CCT is associated with an increase in IOP. The fluctuations in CCT did not correlate with the other clinical parameters of mean CCT, mean IOP, IOP fluctuations, or refraction.

Harper found that CCT varied by 7.2% over 48 hours (with an interval of about 6 hours during the night), as against the 3.2% observed in our study in which the measurements were continued every 4 hours even during the night. One possible reason for decreased variability in the present study is that disturbances in normal sleep-wake rhythms may change corneal metabolism during the night.

CCT measurements can be theoretically affected when made by means of an ultrasonic pachymeter after applanation tonometry, but two previous studies found no significant influence, and Damji found CCT measured in IOP before CCT. Only one study has measured CCT before tonometry.

Although avoided in other studies on the grounds that the use of a local anaesthetic may disrupt the epithelial barrier, we followed the usual clinical practice of instilling one drop of oxybuprocaine before our IOP and CCT measurements in order to allow more reliable measurements of both; however, biomicroscopy was regularly used to exclude any changes in the corneal surface at each time point.

It would have been ideally preferable to obtain data from untreated patients because CCT and its variability may be influenced by glaucoma treatment. It has been found that

---

**Table 3** CCT analysis of variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Degrees of freedom</th>
<th>Sums of squares</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F test</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>267912,561</td>
<td>9238,364</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time points</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2156,361</td>
<td>431,272</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>4465,806</td>
<td>30,799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>274354,728</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 7** Percentage CCT fluctuations over 24 hours in this study (solid line, plus or minus 1%) and Doughty’s meta-analysis (broken line, plus or minus 2%). This may be reasonably the best interval in which to measure CCT as it is nearest to its mean value.
timolol may increase CCT and latanoprost may decrease it, but the differences from baseline were small (within plus or minus 1%) and can be considered clinically negligible. We therefore preferred to study a homogeneous sample of POAG patients identically treated with the unixed combination of timolol and latanoprost. No data have been published concerning the effect of glaucoma treatment on circadian fluctuations in CCT.

In conclusion, our data relating to glaucomatous patients suggest that, although statistically significant, circadian CCT fluctuations do not significantly influence circadian IOP measurements, which are crucial when considering glaucoma therapy. With respect to the assumption of Doughty’s meta-analysis that “for eyes with chronic disease, […] even modest changes (that is, 10%) in CCT can be expected to have a measurable impact on tonometry measures,” we found fluctuations of only 3%.
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