doi:10.3934/nhm.2011.6.77

NETWORKS AND HETEROGENEOUS MEDIA ©American Institute of Mathematical Sciences Volume 6, Number 1, March 2011

pp. 77–88

CLOSED CURVES OF PRESCRIBED CURVATURE AND A PINNING EFFECT

Matteo Novaga

Università di Padova Via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy

ENRICO VALDINOCI

Università di Roma "Tor Vergata" Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Roma, Italy

(Communicated by Cyrill Muratov)

ABSTRACT. We prove that for any $H : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ which is \mathbb{Z}^2 -periodic, there exists H_{ε} , which is smooth, ε -close to H in L^1 , with L^{∞} -norm controlled by the one of H, and with the same average of H, for which there exists a smooth closed curve γ_{ε} whose curvature is H_{ε} . A pinning phenomenon for curvature driven flow with a periodic forcing term then follows. Namely, curves in fine periodic media may be moved only by small amounts, of the order of the period.

1. Introduction. In this paper, curves in the plane with prescribed curvature are dealt with.

We show that, for a "generic" H, periodic, possibly with small L^{∞} -size, and with prescribed (possibly zero) average, there exists a closed, convex curve whose curvature at any points agrees with H. The genericity is in the L^1 -sense.

We then apply this result to show a pinning phenomenon in an evolutionary problem driven by the curvature. More precisely, our result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. For any $H \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)$, with $H \not\equiv 0$, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $H_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)$, with

$$\|H_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)} \le \|H\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)},\tag{1.1}$$

$$\|H_{\varepsilon} - H\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \le \varepsilon \, \|H\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)},\tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} H_{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} H(x) \, dx, \tag{1.3}$$

such that there exists a set E_{ε} , with smooth compact boundary, whose curvature agrees with H_{ε} at any point of ∂E_{ε} . Moreover, we can choose E_{ε} such that either E_{ε} or $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E_{\varepsilon}$ is a convex set (with the convention that the curvature of a convex set is positive).

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 53A10, 34B15; Secondary: 58E99.

Key words and phrases. Prescribed curvature, pinning phenomena, heterogeneous media.

It is a pleasure to thank Luca Biasco for inspiring conversations. We also thank the GNAMPA project *Equazioni nonlineari su varietà: proprietà qualitative e classificazione delle soluzioni*, the FIRB project *Analysis and Beyond*, and the Research Institute *Le Studium* for supporting this work.

We observe that Theorem 1.1 does not hold, in general, if we choose $H_{\varepsilon} := H$, and H changes sign. However, it would be interesting to know:

- whether a result analogous to Theorem 1.1 holds if we replace the L^1 norm in (1.2) with a stronger one (e.g., the L^{∞} norm);
- whether a result analogous to Theorem 1.1 holds in higher dimension;
- under which conditions on H we can choose $H_{\varepsilon} := H$ in Theorem 1.1 (for instance, H strictly positive or chessboard-like);
- whether the random setting, instead of the periodic one, exhibits similar phenomena;
- whether a PDE analogue holds (for instance, whether there exists a mesoscopic phase transition [9] in the plane whose interface is a closed curve).

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we have a pinning phenomenon for the curvature flow.

Namely, given $\delta > 0$, for an open interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and a function $H : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, we say that a family of closed, smoothly embedded curves $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t\in I}$, with $\Gamma_t = \partial E_t$, moves by δ -periodic *H*-curvature if

$$v(x,t) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta}H\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right) - \kappa(x)\right)\nu(x) \tag{1.4}$$

for any $x \in \Gamma_t$ and any $t \in I$. Here v, κ and ν denote, respectively, the normal velocity, the curvature and the exterior unit normal of E_t at $x \in \Gamma_t$. Notice that when H = 0, (1.4) reduces to the usual curvature flow [7]. Equation (1.4) has been studied for instance in [6], where a general existence result has been established.

We denote by $d_{\mathcal{H}}(A, B)$ the Hausdorff distance between two sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. With this notation, we have that solutions of (1.4) are, for a "typical" H, confined in a δ -neighborhood of their initial data, according to the following result:

Theorem 1.2. Let $H \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ be such that both $H^+ \neq 0$ and $H^- \neq 0$, where H^{\pm} denote respectively the positive and the negative part of H. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $H_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)$, satisfying (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), and $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that any $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t\in I}$, $\Gamma_t = \partial E_t$, which moves by δ -periodic H_{ε} -curvature satisfies

$$\sup_{s,t\in I} d_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_s,\Gamma_t) \le C_{\varepsilon}\delta.$$
(1.5)

Related pinning effects in the graph case have been studied in [4]. Theorem 1.2 should be compared with the results in [3, 2], where the limit of the functionals

$$E \mapsto \operatorname{Per}(E) + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_E H(x/\delta) \, dx,$$
 (1.6)

is carefully investigated (as usual, in (1.6), we denoted by Per the perimeter of a Caccioppoli set), and it is shown that the functionals in (1.6) converge, in the sense of Γ -convergence, to an anisotropic perimeter, with anisotropy depending on H. Since equation (1.4) corresponds to the gradient flow of (1.6), one may expect that the solutions of (1.4) converge, as $\delta \to 0$, to a solution of the gradient flow of the limit functional, that is to an anisotropic curvature flow.

We refer to [10] for a presentation of a general framework of convergence of gradient flows, under suitable conditions on the energy. However, the result in Theorem 1.2 indicates that this is *not* always the case, as the solutions of (1.4) do not move in the limit due to the effect of the strong forcing term.

78

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1, by making use of an auxiliary result, namely Proposition 1, which is proved in Section 3. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 4.

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The main step towards the proof of Theorem 1.1 consists in the following

Proposition 1. Let $K \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, with $K(x) \ge 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Suppose that there exist r' and r>0 in such a way that $r'\in [r,1/4]$ and c>0 for which

$$K(x) \ge c \text{ for any } x \in \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_r(j)$$

and

$$K(x) = 0$$
 for any x outside $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_{r'}(j)$.

Then, there exists a C^{∞} closed, convex curve γ whose curvature at any points is equal to K.

We postpone the proof of Proposition 1 to Section 3 and we show now that Proposition 1 implies Theorem 1.1.

For this, we fix a small $\varepsilon > 0$ and we take H as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. We consider a standard mollifier ρ_{ε} and we define the mollification of H as

$$\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon} := \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) (H * \rho_{\varepsilon}),$$

where ρ_{ε} is chosen in such a way that

$$\|H - H * \rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \varepsilon^2 \|H\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$
(2.1)

Note that $\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Since H is not identically zero, we have that there exist $c_{\varepsilon} > 0$, $r_{\varepsilon} > 0$, and $x_o \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon}(x) \ge c_{\varepsilon}$ or $\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon}(x) \le -c_{\varepsilon}$, for any $x \in B_{3r_{\varepsilon}}(x_o)$. For simplicity, we assume that $\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon} \ge c_{\varepsilon}$ on $B_{3r_{\varepsilon}}(x_o)$, since the other case can be treated analogously.

Up to change of coordinates, we may suppose $x_o = 0$. Then, by periodicity,

$$\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon}(x) \ge c_{\varepsilon} \text{ for any } x \in \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_{3r_{\varepsilon}}(j).$$
 (2.2)

We take a cut-off function $\tau_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2, [0, 1])$ such that

$$\tau_{\varepsilon}(x) = 1$$
 for any $x \in \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(j)$

and

$$\tau_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$$
 for any x outside $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_{3r_{\varepsilon}}(j)$.

We set

$$K_{\varepsilon} := \tau_{\varepsilon} \widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon}.$$

Then, by (2.2),

$$K_{\varepsilon}(x) \ge c_{\varepsilon}$$
 for any $x \in \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(j)$,

and $K_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . Thus, in both the cases considered above, we have found $K_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $K_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$\|K_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \|\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \|H\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)},\tag{2.3}$$

$$K_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$$
 for any x outside $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_{3r_{\varepsilon}}(j)$ (2.4)

and

$$K_{\varepsilon}(x) \ge c_{\varepsilon}$$
 for any $x \in \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(j)$,

for suitably small c_{ε} , $r_{\varepsilon} > 0$.

We can thus apply Proposition 1 and obtain a C^{∞} curve $\gamma_{\varepsilon} = \partial E_{\varepsilon}$, with E_{ε} compact convex set, such that

the curvature of
$$\gamma_{\varepsilon}$$
 is equal to K_{ε} at any point. (2.5)

We denote by

$$\pi:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{T}^2$$

the natural projection.

Notice that $\pi(\gamma_{\varepsilon})$ is a closed set of zero Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{T}^2 and so we can find a ball β_{ε} , with Lebesgue measure $b_{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1)$, and open sets $U_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} \subset U_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ such that $\pi(\gamma_{\varepsilon}) \subset U_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}, U_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \cap \beta_{\varepsilon} = \emptyset$ and

$$\left| U_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \right| \le \varepsilon^2 b_{\varepsilon} \,. \tag{2.6}$$

We consider a cut-off function $\psi_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2, [0, 1])$ such that $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) = 1$ for any $x \in U_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}$ and $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$ for any x outside $U_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}$. Hence, we take $\alpha_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2, [0, +\infty))$ to be a cut-off function such that $\alpha_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$

for any x outside β_{ε} and

$$\int_{\beta_{\varepsilon}} \alpha_{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx = 1.$$

By definition of b_{ε} , we can also suppose that

$$\|\alpha_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)} \le \frac{2}{b_{\varepsilon}}.$$
(2.7)

Let also

$$\ell_{\varepsilon} := \int_{U_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}} \psi_{\varepsilon}(x) \left(\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon}(x) - K_{\varepsilon}(x) \right) \, dx \,. \tag{2.8}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$, we define

$$H_{\varepsilon}(x) := \psi_{\varepsilon}(x) K_{\varepsilon}(x) + (1 - \psi_{\varepsilon}(x)) \widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon}(x) + \ell_{\varepsilon} \alpha_{\varepsilon}(x).$$

Note that the curvature of γ_{ε} agrees with H_{ε} , due to (2.5), since the support of $\pi(\gamma_{\varepsilon})$ lies in $U_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}$.

Therefore, γ_{ε} satisfies the claim of Theorem 1.1. We sow that H_{ε} also satisfies the claims of Theorem 1.1. For this, we use (2.6) and (2.8) to get

$$|\ell_{\varepsilon}| \le 2\varepsilon^2 b_{\varepsilon} ||H||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$
(2.9)

81

As a consequence, from (2.3) and (2.7) we obtain (1.1). Also, by (2.1), (2.3) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |H_{\varepsilon}(x) - H(x)| \, dx &\leq \int_{U_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}} |K_{\varepsilon}(x) - H(x)| + |\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon}(x) - H(x)| \, dx \\ &+ |\ell_{\varepsilon}| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus U_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}} \alpha_{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus U_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}} |\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon}(x) - H(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq 7\varepsilon^2 \|H\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |H * \rho_{\varepsilon}| \, dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|H\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)} \end{split}$$

which proves (1.2). Finally, (2.8) gives (1.3) and H_{ε} is $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ by construction.

Notice that, if we have instead $\widetilde{K}_{\varepsilon} \leq -c_{\varepsilon}$ on $B_{3r_{\varepsilon}}(x_o)$, we can reason as above replacing the function H with -H. The only difference is that in this case we obtain a curve $\gamma_{\varepsilon} = \partial E_{\varepsilon}$, still satisfying (2.5), where E_{ε} is unbounded and $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E_{\varepsilon}$ is a compact convex set.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 when Proposition 1 is in force.

3. **Proof of Proposition 1.** First of all, we fix $\alpha > 0$, to be taken conveniently small in what follows, and we construct a closed convex polygon \mathcal{P}_{α} whose vertex are in \mathbb{Z}^2 and such that the angles between its edges are in $[\pi - \alpha, \pi)$.

For this scope, we fix a small a > 0 and a point $P_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. We take a half-line λ_1 with rational slope through P_1 whose angle with respect to the horizontal axis is in [a, 2a]. Say, for definiteness, that the angles we consider are taken to be oriented anticlockwisely.



Figure 1

Due to the rationality of the slope of λ_1 , there exists $P_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \lambda_1$. We then take a half-line λ_2 with rational slope through P_2 whose angle with respect to λ_1 is in [a, 2a].

We then iterate this procedure (see Figure 1) and we find a half-line λ_n with rational slope through P_n whose angle with respect to λ_{n-1} is in [a, 2a].

We denote by β_n the angle between λ_n and the horizontal axis. By construction,

$$\beta_n \in [\beta_{n-1} + a, \beta_{n-1} + 2a] \tag{3.1}$$

and therefore we can take m to be the first angle for which $\beta_m \ge (\pi/2) - 3a$. We observe that, from (3.1), we have

$$(\pi/2) - 3a \ge \beta_{m-1} \ge \beta_m - 2a$$



Figure 2

hence (see Figure 2)

$$\beta_m \in [(\pi/2) - 3a, (\pi/2)).$$

In particular, the angle between λ_m and the vertical axis is in (0, 3a]. The polygon \mathcal{P}_{α} is then obtained by the segments $P_1P_2 \dots P_{m+1}$ by even reflections along the horizontal and vertical axes.

82

The reflections make \mathcal{P}_{α} closed. Since $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for any n, the vertices of \mathcal{P}_{α} are in \mathbb{Z}^2 . Also, if a is chosen suitably small, the angles of \mathcal{P}_{α} are close to π but less then π (thus, in particular, \mathcal{P}_{α} is convex).

We now take c and r > 0 as in the statement of Proposition 1 and we construct a closed $C^{1,1}$ curve Γ which consists in:

• pieces of segments outside

$$\mathcal{B}_r := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_{r/2}(j) \tag{3.2}$$

• arcs of circumferences with curvature less then c/2 in \mathcal{B}_r .

The curve Γ is constructed by modifying \mathcal{P}_{α} . Indeed, we take Γ to agree with \mathcal{P}_{α} outside \mathcal{B}_r .

Then, if P is a vertex of \mathcal{P}_{α} , we call Q and R to be the two points in $\partial B_{r/2}(P) \cap \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ and we take Γ in $B_{r/2}(P)$ to be the arc of circumference passing through Q and R and tangent to \mathcal{P}_{α} from inside (see Figure 3).



Figure 3

If we call 2θ the angle of \mathcal{P}_{α} in P, the radius ρ of such circumference satisfies

$$\rho = \frac{r}{2}\tan\theta,$$

due to standard trigonometry (see Figure 4).



Figure 4

Accordingly, the curvature of Γ inside $B_{r/2}(P)$ is of the order of $1/(r \tan \theta)$. Since we know that $\theta \in [(\pi - \alpha)/2, \pi/2)$, such curvature is smaller than c/2, provided that α is small enough (possibly in dependence of r and c).

This ends the construction of the curve Γ satisfying the desired properties.

We define E_{\star} to be the bounded set for which $\partial E_{\star} = \Gamma$.

Let also $R_{\star} \supseteq E_{\star}$ to be a square, with horizontal/vertical edges, such that

$$\partial R_{\star} \cap \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_{r'}(j) = \emptyset.$$
(3.3)

By (3.3) and our hypotheses on K, we have that

$$K$$
 is zero near ∂R_{\star} . (3.4)

We look at the following functional. Given any bounded Caccioppoli set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (see [8] for the definition and the basic properties of such an F), we define

$$\mathcal{I}(F) := \operatorname{Per}(F) - \int_F K(x) \, dx.$$

By standard compactness arguments (see, for instance, [8] or page 1425 in [1]), the functional \mathcal{I} attains its minimum under the constraint that

$$E_{\star} \subseteq F \subseteq R_{\star}.$$

Let F_{\star} be one of such minima. We have that the curvature of $\gamma := \partial F_{\star}$ is equal to K at any point in which γ does not touch $\partial E_{\star} \cup \partial R_{\star}$ (see, for instance, Section 11.1 in [1]).

Then, the proof of Proposition 1 will be finished once we show that

$$\gamma \cap (\partial E_{\star} \cup \partial R_{\star}) = \emptyset. \tag{3.5}$$

To prove (3.5), we first observe that

the curvature of γ is bigger or equal to K in a neighborhood of ∂E_{\star} . (3.6)

Indeed, if we take a small perturbation F_{ϵ} of F_{\star} , supported in the neighborhood of ∂E_{\star} , for which $F_{\star} \subseteq F_{\epsilon}$, we know that

$$\mathcal{I}(F_{\epsilon}) \ge \mathcal{I}(F_{\star}). \tag{3.7}$$

We take ν to be the external normal of F_{\star} and we write F_{ϵ} as a normal deformation (see [8]), that is

$$F_{\epsilon} = \{ x + \eta \nu(x) \zeta(x), \ x \in \partial F_{\star}, \ \eta \in [0, \epsilon] \},\$$

for some smooth compactly supported function ζ and $\epsilon > 0$.

Then, if $\pi_{\partial F_{\star}}$ is the natural projection onto ∂F_{\star} , we have

$$\int_{F_{\epsilon} \setminus F_{\star}} K(x) \, dx = \int_{F_{\epsilon} \setminus F_{\star}} K(\pi_{\partial F_{\star}} x) \, dx + o(\epsilon)$$

$$= \epsilon \int_{\partial F_{\star}} K(y) \, \zeta(y) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) + o(\epsilon),$$
(3.8)

where \mathcal{H}^{n-1} is the (n-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Also (see formula (10.12) in [8]),

$$\operatorname{Per}(F_{\epsilon}) - \operatorname{Per}(F_{\star}) = \epsilon \int_{\partial F_{\star}} \mathcal{C}(y) \, \zeta(y) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) + o(\epsilon), \tag{3.9}$$

where C denotes the curvature (in fact, here, the only curvature) of ∂F_{\star} . Thus, by (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9),

$$0 \leq \frac{\mathcal{I}(F_{\epsilon}) - \mathcal{I}(F_{\star})}{\epsilon} \\ = \int_{\partial F_{\star}} \mathcal{C}(y) \, \zeta(y) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) - \int_{\partial F_{\star}} K(y) \, \zeta(y) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) + o(1)$$

hence $\mathcal{C} \geq K$ on ∂F_{\star} , which proves (3.6).

We now make an elementary observation of strong comparison principle type. Namely, for $\delta > 0$, if $u \in C^2((0,\delta)) \cap C^1([0,\delta))$ with $u(t) \ge 0$ for any $t \in [0,\delta)$, u'(0) = u(0) = 0 and

div
$$\left(\frac{u'(t)}{\sqrt{1+(u'(t))^2}}\right) \le 0$$
 for any $t \in (0,\delta)$,

then

$$u(t) = 0 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, \delta). \tag{3.10}$$

To prove (3.10) we just write the equation as

$$\frac{u''}{(1+(u')^2)^{3/2}} \le 0$$

and therefore, since u'(0) = u(0) = 0, we get

$$0 \le u(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^\tau u''(s) \, ds \, d\tau \le 0$$

for any $t \in [0, \delta)$, proving (3.10). Now, we have that

Now, we have that

 γ cannot touch ∂E_{\star} in the interior of any $B_r(j)$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. (3.11)

Indeed, thanks to (3.6), the osculating circle of γ has curvature bigger than, or equal to, c in $B_r(j)$. Since the curvature of the osculating circle of ∂E_{\star} in the interior of $B_{r/2}(j)$ is at most c/2, we see that (3.11) holds true.

Moreover,

$$\gamma$$
 cannot touch ∂E_{\star} in the closure of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_r(j)$. (3.12)

Indeed, if such a touching point P_{\star} existed, since ∂E_{\star} contains a segment passing through P_{\star} , we would obtain from (3.10) that γ and ∂E_{\star} agree as long as ∂E_{\star} is flat, that is up to $\partial B_{r/2}(j_{\star})$, for some $j_{\star} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. But this would be in contradiction with (3.11) and it thus proves (3.12).

Therefore, from (3.11) and (3.12), we have that

$$\gamma \cap \partial E_{\star} = \emptyset. \tag{3.13}$$

Furthermore, γ cannot touch ∂R_{\star} at its corner, since cutting the corner would decrease the perimeter and leave unchanged the term $\int_F K(x) dx$, thanks to (3.4), thus decreasing \mathcal{I} . Also, γ cannot touch ∂R_{\star} at the other points as well, since otherwise it should be a straight line in a neighborhood of ∂R_{\star} , due to (3.4).

These observations together with (3.13) imply (3.5) and so complete the proof of Proposition 1.

4. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** For all $\varepsilon > 0$, we let $\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm} = \partial E_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}$ be the smooth curves given by Theorem 1.1, which correspond to the forcing term $\pm H$ respectively.¹

Thanks to our assumptions on the function H, we may assume that the sets E_{ε}^{\pm} are both compact and convex. Therefore, we can find a square with integer vertices containing $\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}$, and we denote by C_{ε} the sidelength of such square. Thus, we consider a tiling of \mathbb{R}^2 made by squares of sides C_{ε} each containing an integer translation of E_{ε}^{\pm} (see Figure 5).

¹We remark that the construction of γ_{ε}^{-} may be performed consistently with the one of γ_{ε}^{+} , up to changing H_{ε} in a small set. Indeed, if any of the straight segments of γ_{ε}^{-} enter a ball $B_{r/2}(j)$ of (3.2), used in the construction of γ_{ε}^{+} , one takes a small neighborhood of such segment in $B_{r/2}(j)$, resets H_{ε} to be zero and γ_{ε}^{+} to be a segment there, with a smooth interpolation.



Figure 5

In dealing with the proof of Theorem 1.2, up to a dilation of factor $1/\delta$, we may and do assume that $\delta := 1$ in (1.4). Thus, we take any $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t \in I}$, with $\Gamma_t = \partial E_t$, that moves by 1-periodic H_{ε} -curvature and we show that

$$\sup_{s,t\in I} d_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_s,\Gamma_t) \le \operatorname{const} C_{\varepsilon}.$$
(4.1)

Dilating back by a factor δ the estimate in (4.1), we then obtain (1.5).

To prove (4.1), we observe that all the integer translations of E_{ε}^+ and of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E_{\varepsilon}^-$ (which is an unbounded set) are stationary solutions of (1.4), with $\delta := 1$. Consequently, by comparison principle (see, for instance, page 18 in [5]), Γ_t cannot travel neither through the translations $z + \gamma_{\varepsilon}^+$ such that $(z + E_{\varepsilon}^+) \subset E_t, z \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, nor through the translations $z + \gamma_{\varepsilon}^-$ such that $E_t \subset (z + \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E_{\varepsilon}^-)$.

Such confinement proves (4.1) and thus completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

- L. A. Caffarelli and R. de la Llave, *Planelike minimizers in periodic media*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 54 (2001), 1403–1441.
- [2] A. Chambolle and G. Thouroude, Homogenization of interfacial energies and construction of plane-like minimizers in periodic media through a cell problem, Netw. Heterog. Media, 4 (2009), 127–152.
- [3] N. Dirr, M. Lucia and M. Novaga, Γ-convergence of the Allen-Cahn energy with an oscillating forcing term, Interfaces and Free Boundaries, 8 (2006), 47–78.
- [4] N. Dirr and N. K. Yip, *Pinning and de-pinning phenomena in front propagation in hetero-geneous media*, Interfaces Free Bound., 8 (2006), 79–109.
- [5] K. Ecker, "Regularity Theory for Mean Curvature Flow," Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 57. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [6] K. Ecker and G. Huisken, Parabolic methods for the construction of spacelike slices of prescribed mean curvature in cosmological spacetimes, Comm. Math. Phys., 135 (1991), 595–613.

- [7] M. Gage and R. S. Hamilton, The heat equation shrinking convex plane curves, J. Differential Geom., 23 (1986), 69–96.
- [8] E. Giusti, "Minimal Surfaces and Functions of Bounded Variation," volume 80 of "Monographs in Mathematics," Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.
- M. Novaga and E. Valdinoci, *The geometry of mesoscopic phase transition interfaces*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **19** (2007), 777–798.
- [10] E. Sandier and S. Serfaty, Gamma-convergence of gradient flows with applications to Ginzburg-Landau, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 57 (2004), 1627–1672.

Received February 2010; revised May 2010.

E-mail address: novaga@math.unipd.it *E-mail address*: valdinoc@mat.uniroma2.it