Effects of exercise on maximal instantaneous muscular power of humans
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Ferretti, Guido, Maristella GussonI, Pietro E. di Prampero, and Paolo Cerretelli. Effects of exercise on maximal instantaneous muscular power of humans. J. Appl. Physiol. 62(6): 2288-2294, 1987.—The maximal instantaneous anaerobic power ($\hat{w}$), as determined during a high jump off both feet on a force platform, was measured on eight subjects starting from 1) a resting base line; 2) a base line of steady-state cycloergometric exercise requiring 30, 50, and 70% of individual maximum O2 consumption ($V\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$); and 3) a base line of maximal and supramaximal exercise (100 and 120% of $V\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$).

In addition, 4) $\hat{w}$ was also measured during the $V\text{O}_{2}$ transients from rest to each of the above work loads. Blood lactate concentration ([La]) was determined before and 8 min after the end of each priming load. After the onset of any priming load, $\hat{w}$ decreases with time reaching in 2 min a steady level that is lower than the higher the $V\text{O}_{2}$. For the three lowest work rates, the steady $\hat{w}$ level is unchanged by increasing the duration of the priming exercise up to 30 min. For low work levels, the decrease of $\hat{w}$ as a function of $V\text{O}_{2}$ is essentially parallel to that of estimated muscle concentration of ATP ($[\text{ATP}]$). For work levels >60% of $V\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$ involving a substantial accumulation of lactate, the decrease of $\hat{w}$ becomes smaller than the estimated drop of muscle [ATP]. This finding is tentatively attributed to an increase of either the mechanical equivalent or of the velocity constant of ATP splitting brought about by the lowering of intracellular muscle pH after lactate accumulation. The dynamic assessment of $\hat{w}$ during the rest-to-work transients is viewed as an indicator of the changes of $V\text{O}_{2}$ at the muscle level and can be approximated by an exponential with a half time on the order of 20 s.

The maximal instantaneous muscular power (peak power, $\hat{w}$), calculated from instantaneous force measurements during a maximal vertical jump off both feet on a force platform, as suggested by Davies and Rennie (3), can be assessed on a time basis of only 0.004 s, i.e., 1,000-1,500 times shorter than that for Margaria’s test (10, 11). Therefore, at variance with the latter, at the moment at which $\hat{w}$ is attained, mechanical energy should derive exclusively from the splitting of ATP immediately available for muscular contraction, without interference of ATP resynthesis from PCr. The aim of the present study was to follow in a group of untrained subjects, the evolution of $\hat{w}$ as a function of base lines of submaximal, maximal, and supramaximal cycloergometric exercise both at steady state and during rest-to-work transients.

METHODS

The experiments were carried out on eight male sedentary subjects (age 27 ± 1 yr; wt = 68.4 ± 8.0 kg). The steady-state $V\text{O}_{2}$ consumption was measured by standard open-circuit method. The expired air was collected in Douglas bags and analyzed for gas composition and volume using a paramagnetic $O_2$ meter (Sybron, 'Taylor), an infrared $CO_2$ meter (model LB-2, Beckman), and a dry gas meter. The $O_2$ consumption at the mouth during rest-to-work transients was measured on a breath-by-breath basis, as described by Giezendanner et al. (7). Venous blood lactate concentration ([La]) was measured by an electrometric enzymatic method (Kontron Instruments) (15).

The maximal mechanical peak power ($\hat{w}$) was determined during a maximal vertical jump off both feet performed on a force platform, as proposed by Davies and Rennie (3). A squatting starting position was chosen to minimize the unavoidable negative work done by the lower limbs' muscles at the onset of the push. The time course of the changes of the vertical forces was monitored by eight strain gauges positioned at the four corners of the platform, recorded on tape, and subsequently ana-
lyzed by a computer (MINC-11, Digital Equipment) with a dwell time of 0.004 s. The resonant frequency of the platform was 50 Hz. A typical force vs. time tracing obtained during a jump is shown in Fig. 1. \( \dot{w} \) at time \( t \) was calculated as the product of the vertical force \( (F) \) times the vertical velocity \( (v) \)

\[
\dot{w}(t) = F(t) \cdot v(t) \quad (1)
\]

where

\[
F = M(g + a) \quad (2)
\]

with \( M \) being the subject’s mass, \( g \) the acceleration of gravity, and \( a \) the vertical acceleration imposed by muscle contraction to the center of gravity. Rearranging Eq. 2

\[
a = \frac{F}{M} - g \quad (3)
\]

whence, the vertical velocity of the center of gravity can be obtained by time integration

\[
v(t) = \int_0^t a(t) \, dt = \int_0^t \left( \frac{F}{M} - g \right) \, dt \quad (4)
\]

The maximal calculated value of \( \dot{w}(t) \) was taken as \( \dot{w} \) developed during the test jump.

From \( \dot{w} \), the average power throughout the whole push phase of the jump can be calculated as

\[
\bar{w} = \frac{\int_0^{t_f} \dot{w}(t) \, dt}{t_f} \quad (5)
\]

where \( t_f \), the push phase of the jump, \( \approx 0.5 \) s.

**Experimental procedure.** Ten high jumps were performed by each subject starting from a resting base line to set the control values. Subsequently, the individual maximum \( \dot{O}_2 \) consumptions \( (\dot{V}O_2\text{max}) \) were measured by means of graded cycloergometric exercise. \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \) was defined conventionally as the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) level not followed by a measurable rise (>2%) on a further 25-W increase of work rate. Five cycloergometric work rates were then chosen, corresponding to ~30, 50, 70, 100, and 120% of the individual \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \), respectively. For each selected work level, a series of exercise bouts of increasing duration was performed, the shortest lasting, in all cases, 20 s. Each trial followed the preceding one with a delay of 5 min and was 20 s longer than the one immediately preceding. The longest exercise duration was 2.3 min for the heaviest work load (120% \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \)), 3 min for 100% \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \), and 5 min for the three lower loads (70, 50, and 30% \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \)). In these latter cases, for exercises longer than 3 min, the duration of the bouts was increased by 30-s steps. At the end of each exercise period, two jumps were performed on the platform, allowing for a 1-s pause in between, and \( \dot{w} \) was calculated. Since the force platform was placed just beside the bicycle ergometer, <3 s
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FIG. 2. Time course of instantaneous power (\(\dot{w}\)) developed by a typical subject during two jumps performed 1) from a resting base line (dashed line) and 2) from steady state of a 100% maximum O\(_2\) consumption (\(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\)) priming exercise (solid line). Arrows indicate maximal instantaneous power (\(\ddot{w}\)) achieved in two jumps.

TABLE 1. Basic physiological data of the investigated subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subj No.</th>
<th>Wt, kg</th>
<th>Net (\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}), ml (\text{min}^{-1}) (\text{kg}^{-1})</th>
<th>(\ddot{w}), W/kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>32.79</td>
<td>48.43±2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>34.65</td>
<td>48.77±1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>45.75</td>
<td>51.00±5.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>39.64</td>
<td>51.20±2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>36.19</td>
<td>52.56±2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>39.28</td>
<td>52.94±2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>56.96±4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>54.04</td>
<td>61.57±3.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD 68.4±8.0 39.9±6.9 59.94±4.39

Values are means ± SD and \(n = 10\) for peak anaerobic power from a resting base-line (\(\ddot{w}\)) value. \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\), maximum \(O_2\) consumption.

elapsed before the subject could carry out the first jump. The time course of the muscular power developed by a typical subject during the push phase of a jump, performed at rest and when starting from a 100\% \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\) priming exercise, is shown in Fig. 2. The \(O_2\) consumption at the steady state was measured during the last 30 s of the longest exercise period at each work load, with the exception of the heaviest one. In the latter case, the imposed mechanical power output was equal to 120\% of that required for the individual \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\). The above protocols allowed for all subjects (\(n = 8\)) 1) the assessment of the time-dependent changes of \(\dot{w}\) at the onset of exercises of the indicated loads, and 2) the study of the relationship between \(\dot{w}\) and \(\dot{V}O_2\) measured at the steady state of each of the above loads. On four subjects \(\dot{V}O_2\) was also determined on a breath-by-breath basis at the onset of rectangular exercises of 3-min duration requiring 30, 50, 70, and 100\% of individual \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\). This allowed the comparison of the time courses of the \(\dot{w}\) and \(\dot{V}O_2\) changes in the rest-to-work transients at the indicated power levels. In addition, in the same four subjects, at all work rates, a venous blood sample for the measurements of [La\(_b\)] was taken from the antecubital vein at rest and 6–8 min after the end of the longest exercise period.

In an additional series of experiments on five subjects, the base-line exercise was prolonged for 30 min at work intensities corresponding to 30, 50, and 70\% \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\) while \(\dot{w}\) was determined at 5-min intervals.

RESULTS

Individual values of \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\) above resting and \(\dot{w}\) from a resting base line (\(\dot{w}_r\), average of 10 measurements) are given in Table 1. Mean \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\) was 39.9 ± 6.9 (SD) ml \(\text{min}^{-1}\) \(\text{kg}^{-1}\), indicating that the present subjects were sedentary. \(\dot{w}_r\) was on the average 52.9 ± 4.4 W/kg, the mean of the individual coefficients of variation amounting to 5.7 ± 2.2\%. \(\dot{w}\) was about twice the average power calculated throughout the whole push phase of the same jumps (\(\ddot{w} = 28.8 ± 5.0\) (SD) W/kg).

After the onset of any priming rectangular load, \(\dot{w}\) decreased progressively with time to attain in ~2 min a constant value which was lower, the higher the work level (Fig. 3). Once a steady state was reached, \(\dot{w}\) did not decrease further even when prolonging the exercise to 30 min (work levels corresponding to 30, 50, and 70\% of \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\)). The time course of \(\dot{w}\) can be approximated by a simple exponential function (Fig. 4) with a half time of ~20 s (\(n = 4\)). On the same four subjects and for the same exercise levels appearing in Fig. 4, the time course of the \(\dot{V}O_2\) kinetics measured at the mouth on a breath-
by breath basis showed an exponential increase with a half time of ~40 s. The individual \( \dot{w} \) values attained at steady state are reported in Table 2 as percent of the corresponding \( \dot{w} \), together with the \( \text{VO}_2 \) levels of the priming exercise (percent of \( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} \)). A two-way analysis of variance carried out on eight subjects at 30-100% \( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} \) and on seven subjects at all work loads showed no significant differences among subjects \( (P > 0.025) \), whereas a significant difference among work loads was found \( (P < 0.025) \). A subsequent Newman-Keuls test showed a significant difference among all work loads, with the exception of 30 vs. 50% and 50 vs. 70% \( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} \). The mean values of \( \dot{w} \), as percent of the resting values, are plotted in Fig. 5 as a function of the metabolic level of the priming load. Up to 50% \( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} \), \( \dot{w} \) appears to decrease linearly with increasing metabolism; above 50% \( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} \), the decrease of \( \dot{w} \) becomes progressively greater.

The \([\text{La}]_\text{a}\) values assessed on four subjects 8 min after the longest priming exercise at all tested work loads are plotted as a function of %\( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} \) in Fig. 6 together with the corresponding \( \dot{w} \) values. \([\text{La}]_\text{a}\) starts to increase at the same %\( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} \) level at which the rate of decrease of \( \dot{w} \) becomes greater.

**TABLE 2. Individual data of \( \dot{w} \) and \( \text{VO}_2 \)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subj No.</th>
<th>30% ( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>50% ( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>70% ( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>100% ( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>120% ( \text{VO}_2^{\text{max}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \dot{w} )</td>
<td>( \text{VO}_2 )</td>
<td>( \dot{w} )</td>
<td>( \text{VO}_2 )</td>
<td>( \dot{w} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105.3</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>100.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD | 96.9±4.0      | 30.3±4.1        | 95.2±6.0       | 49.8±4.5        | 90.8±9.0       | 68.9±4.3        | 85.2±8.9       | 96.8±3.7       | 75.3±9.8       |

At highest work load, \( \text{O}_2 \) consumption \( (\text{VO}_2) \) was obtained by extrapolation of individual \( \text{VO}_2 \) vs. work rate relationships. Mean individual absolute values of maximum \( \text{O}_2 \) consumption \( (\text{VO}_2^{\text{max}}) \) and peak power from a resting base line \( (\dot{w}^{\text{r}}) \) (100% values) are indicated in Table 1. \( \dot{w} \), peak anaerobic power.

**DISCUSSION**

Muscle involvement during cycling and jumping. The use of cycling as the priming exercise rather than walking or stepping up and down from a bench is justified by electromyographic data indicating that most muscles active during the push phase of the jump are also involved in the push phase of the cycloergometric exercise (16). As a consequence, most of the biochemical changes induced by the priming activity occur in the same muscles contracting during the subsequent test jump. For the present analysis of \( \dot{w} \) it is assumed that in the jump test the activated muscle mass is the same, independent of the exercise base line from which the jump is performed, even though its metabolic history is necessarily different.

Consequences of the recoil of elastic energy on \( \dot{w} \). The procedure followed for measuring \( \dot{w} \), unless carefully standardized, might lead to controversial results. It is well known, in fact, that when a maximal jump off both feet on a force platform is preceded by a countermovement (flexion), the vertical displacement of the center of gravity during the flight is greater than that observed in control jumps without countermovement, thus possibly affecting the measured \( \dot{w} \) value (1). This finding can be
attributed to a substantial contribution of the mechanical energy stored in the previously stretched series elastic elements of the muscle to the energy output throughout the jump. In the present experiments a squatting starting position was therefore imposed to the subjects who were carefully instructed to avoid any flexion before the jump. In spite of this, a small but measurable flexion leading to negative work ($w_n$) performance immediately before the push phase of the jump was nearly unavoidable. To evaluate whether and to which extent $\dot{w}$ is affected by $w_n$, the latter was calculated for each subject by time integration of the negative instantaneous power values during the series of control jumps ($n = 10$). No relationship was found between $\dot{w}$ and $w_n$ for any of the eight investigated subjects, the average $r^2$ amounting to 0.167 $\pm$ 0.146 (SD). Thus it can be concluded that, in spite of its effect on the vertical displacement of the center of gravity during the flight (1), the flexion immediately preceding the jump had no effects on the observed values of $\dot{w}$.

Average power and peak power. The method employed in the present experiments allowed calculation of two sets of maximal power data: 1) the peak values ($\dot{w}_p$) calculated on a time basis of 4 ms; and 2) the average values ($\bar{\dot{w}}$), obtained through the whole push phase of the maximal vertical jump (0.5 s), the value of the latter being about half that of the former (see METHODS and RESULTS). Because of the much longer time basis on which $\bar{\dot{w}}$ is calculated (500 vs. 4 ms), a substantial contribution of PCR splitting cannot be ruled out. We therefore focused our attention only on the peak power which we consider, at present, the only realistic estimate of the maximal ATP splitting rate in human muscle in vivo.

$\dot{w}$, alactic energy sources, and muscle acidosis. The found average value of $\dot{w}$, of 52.9 W/kg body wt, i.e., of $\approx$200 W/kg of activated muscle mass, can be compared with similar data obtained in humans and in the isolated perfused dog gastrocnemius. Wilkie (17), during maximal concentric contractions of the biceps brachii of humans, found a $\dot{w}$ of 200 W/kg, a figure recalculated for an assumed working muscle mass of 400 g. On the other hand, in the isolated-perfused muscle of the dog (5, 6) the calculated values appear to be somewhat less (100–150 W/kg of muscle). In the latter case, however, allowance must be made for the conditions of the preparation and for the set up utilized which may not have allowed the development of maximal power.

Since the role played by the mechanical energy stored in the series elastic elements of the muscle in determining the observed $\dot{w}$ values is negligible, the energy required to develop $\dot{w}$ as measured in the present study can be supplied only by the splitting of the ATP already available in the muscle before the jump. In fact, since $\dot{w}$ refers to a time not longer than 8 ms (see the dwell time used for the calculations), energy can neither originate from ATP resynthesized by aerobic or anaerobic glycolysis nor from PCR breakdown. Therefore $\dot{w}$ can be assumed to be

![FIG. 5. Peak power at steady state, [% of control value from a resting base line ($\dot{w}_p$)] as a function of the net VO\textsubscript{2} level of priming exercise [% of individual maximum VO\textsubscript{2} consumption (VO\textsubscript{2max})]. Data are mean values from all subjects. Bars indicate standard deviation of mean.](image)

![FIG. 6. Peak power ($\dot{w}$) at steady state as percent of control value from resting base line (filled circles, left ordinate) and corresponding blood lactate concentration (in mM) ([L\textsubscript{ac}], open circles, right ordinate) determined 8 min after end of priming exercise on 4 subjects, as a function of O\textsubscript{2} consumption preceding exercise [% of the individual maximum O\textsubscript{2} consumption (VO\textsubscript{2max})].](image)
TABLE 3. Comparison of present $\dot{w}$ data with $\dot{w}_{max}$ data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net VO$<em>2$, % VO$</em>{2\ max}$</th>
<th>$\dot{w}$</th>
<th>$\dot{w}_{max}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W/kg</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\dot{w}_{max}$, maximum anaerobic power [data obtained by Margaria et al. (11)]. See Table 2 for further definitions.

A comparison between the $\dot{w}$ data as a function of VO$_2$ as measured in the present experiments (see Table 2) and the average [ATP] values found for humans and for the dog in muscle biopsies (8, 9, 14) and from $^{31}$P-NMR spectra (12, 13) at increasing VO$_2$ levels is attempted in Fig. 7. Both $\dot{w}$ and [ATP] are given as percent of their maximal levels. Based on Eq. 6, if $k$ and $w^*_p$ were constant, independent of the intensity of the priming exercise, the above two curves should coincide. Evidently, this is not the case, as the $\dot{w}$ values are consistently higher than expected. This implies that either $w^*_p$ and/or $k$ increase with increasing the intensity of the priming load. Since the difference between the two curves becomes appreciable as Lactate begins accumulating in the tissues and appears to be proportional to $[\text{Lactate}]$, we propose that the above described changes may be the consequence of the increase of muscle [$\text{H}^+$] due to lactate accumulation that occurs at work loads exceeding 60% VO$_{2\ max}$. In fact, an increase of the mechanical efficiency of the contraction and of $w^*_p$ has been found to occur in the isolated-perfused dog gastrocnemius in the course of repeated short tetani, simultaneously with Lactate accumulation and depletion of the high-energy phosphate stores over a period of 4–6 s. In addition, given the average power observed by Margaria et al. (Ref. 11; see Table 3) and assuming that the splitting of 1 mol ATP yields 19 kJ of mechanical work (Table 4), it can be calculated that the overall amount of ATP needed for a 6-s run amounted to 17 mmol/kg wet muscle wt, a figure about four times greater than the resting muscle [ATP]. As a consequence, a substantial fraction of $\dot{w}_{max}$ is necessarily due to the splitting of ATP resynthesized from PCr breakdown. Thus, the present value of $k$ (2.3 s$^{-1}$) is a closer estimate of the "maximal" absolute rate of ATP splitting in human muscle in physiological conditions than that calculated by di Prampero from $\dot{w}_{max}$ (4). It is noteworthy that in spite of the described differences, the decrease of $\dot{w}$ as a function of the base-line VO$_2$ found in the present study is essentially the same as that found by Margaria et al. for $\dot{w}_{max}$ (Table 3).

FIG. 7. Peak power ($\dot{w}$) (closed circles and dashed line, data from Fig. 5) and ATP concentration ([ATP]) (open circles, solid line, data from Refs. 8, 9, 12-14) both expressed as percent of their resting values are given as a function of net O$_2$ consumption of priming load [% of maximum O$_2$ consumption (VO$_{2\ max}$)]. Phosphocreatine ([PCr]) scale, as calculated from Ref. 8, is also given.
TABLE 4. Work performed per mole ATP split and velocity constant of ATP splitting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net VO₂</th>
<th>% of VO₂max</th>
<th>$\dot{w}$</th>
<th>ATP, mmol/kg muscle</th>
<th>$k$ = constant</th>
<th>$w_\infty$ = constant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculated from Eq. 6, assuming either $k$ = constant or $w_\infty$ = constant for indicated peak power ($\dot{w}$) and ATP concentration ([ATP]) values as in Fig. 7. $w_\infty$, work performed per mole ATP split, $k$, velocity constant of ATP splitting. See Table 2 for further definitions.

(6). The solutions of Eq. 6 using the data of Fig. 7 and keeping either $k$ or $w_\infty$ constant appear in Table 4. The experimental data can be explained with a change of either the mechanical work per mole ATP split or the maximal rate of ATP splitting, on the order of 20% compared with the reference resting levels for the priming load range from 0 to 100% of net VO₂max.

$\dot{w}$ during the rest-to-work transients. During the transient between rest and a given work load, $\dot{w}$ appears to decrease with time, reaching within 2 min a steady value whose absolute level depends on the intensity of the priming load (Fig. 3). Once the steady-state VO₂ is attained, the duration of the priming exercise has no effect on $\dot{w}$, at least up to 30 min. Hence of the two considered variables, i.e., time and VO₂, only the latter affects $\dot{w}$. Therefore, during a rest to exercise transient, the time course of $\dot{w}$ can be expected to be the mirror image of the VO₂ changes at the muscle level. From Fig. 4, the kinetics of the $\dot{w}$ transients appear to be roughly exponential, with a half time of ~20 s. This value is similar to that directly measured for VO₂ in the isolated-perfused gastrocnemius muscle of the dog (14) and to the figure estimated on humans by Cerretelli et al. (2). The VO₂ measured at the mouth on a breath-by-breath basis (7) on the same subjects, at the same exercise levels as for $\dot{w}$ (Fig. 4), increases exponentially as a function of exercise duration with a half time of ~40 s. This finding provides evidence supporting the hypothesis that the changes of VO₂ at the muscle level at the onset of exercise are faster than at the mouth (4).

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, $\dot{w}$, during an all out effort, decreases with increasing the intensity of the priming exercise. This decrease takes place during the first 2 min of exercise and is not affected by the duration of submaximal base-line loads, at least up to 30 min. For priming levels <60% VO₂max, the decrease of $\dot{w}$ essentially parallels the decrease of the muscle [ATP], as estimated from the literature data. For work levels >60% VO₂max and involving a substantial accumulation of lactate, the decrease of $\dot{w}$ is smaller than the estimated drop of muscle ATP. This finding is tentatively attributed to an increase of either the work performed per mole of ATP split and/or the maximal rate of ATP splitting brought about by the increased [H⁺].

Moreover, the dynamic assessment of $\dot{w}$ in the rest-to-work transient can provide a noninvasive estimate of the VO₂ kinetics at the muscle level. This can be approximated by a single exponential with a half time on the order of 20 s.

The authors thank M. Buclin for design and construction of the force platform.

This study was supported by Swiss National Science Foundation Grant 3.364.0.82. The work was carried out during the tenure of G. Ferretti of a fellowship from the Ministry of the Public Education of Italy.

Received 21 July 1986; accepted in final form 23 January 1987.

REFERENCES