The Effect of Surface Roughness on Early In Vivo Plaque Colonization on Titanium
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This study assesses in vivo the surface roughness necessary to reduce plaque colonization on titanium after 24 hours. Three groups of 16 titanium disks were assigned to 3 different polishing groups (A, B, and C). The roughness was evaluated with a laser profilometer and the morphology with a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Eight volunteers were enrolled and two stents were applied in the mandibular posterior region of each. Each stent supported 3 disks, one per group. The volunteers suspended oral hygiene for 24 hours, after which the stents were removed; one was processed for evaluation of the adherent biomass and the other for SEM study. On each specimen a global area of 100 × 125 µm was examined with SEM. The area was composed of five 20 × 25 µm randomly selected fields. For each field the density of bacteria and the morphotypes were recorded. The data quoted for the global area are cumulative of those observed in the 20 × 25 µm fields. Group A had a significantly smoother surface than groups B and C. The adherent microbial biomass determination and SEM evaluation revealed that group A contained less bacteria than the roughest group. The bacterial population was composed of cocci in group A, and of cocci and short and long rods in groups B and C. We conclude that a titanium surface with Ra ≤ 0.088 µm and Rz = 1.027 µm strongly inhibits accumulation and maturation of plaque at the 24-hour time period and that such smoothness can be achieved in transgingival and healing implant components. J Periodontol 1997;68:556–562.
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Several hundred species of bacteria live in the oral cavity as commensals. Of these only a few strains are observed to invade tissues around natural teeth and implants and cause their destruction. A prerequisite for the virulence of bacteria in the oral cavity is their ability to adhere to a surface before colonizing and damaging host tissues. The bacterial colonization process is complex and involves different stages: 1) transportation of bacteria to the surface through the saliva suspension medium; 2) non-specific electrostatic interaction between bacterial cells and substratum and/or specific interaction between bacterial adhesions and ligands; and 3) aggregation among different bacterial species. The initial interaction between a bacterial cell and the substratum is influenced by the physico-chemical properties of the substratum surface contaminated by salivary or crevicular fluid components.

The first physico-chemical factor of the adhesion process is the surface-free energy. The interfacial-free energy of microbial binding can be computed as a function of the surface-free energies of the substratum, bacteria, and the surface tension of suspension medium. Surface roughness is the second factor to be considered. The presence of pits and grooves promotes colonization because it shelters bacteria from clearance forces of salivary flow, chewing, swallowing, and hygiene procedures and it allows them to establish less reversible bindings. The influence of surface roughness seems to be particularly important since it overrules the effect of the substratum surface-free energy. A titanium “cell-friendly” surface is the goal for dental implant osseointegration but it has to be avoided if bacterial cells are involved, since microbial colonization has been shown to be one of the most important causes of implant failure.
Table 1. Abrasives and Polishing Procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper and Tissue</th>
<th>Abrasive</th>
<th>Grain Size (µm)</th>
<th>R.P.M.</th>
<th>Pressure (N)</th>
<th>Time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grinding paper</td>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>30 + 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-DUR</td>
<td>Diamond</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100-200</td>
<td>30 + 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-DUR</td>
<td>Diamond</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-Chem</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The purpose of this study was to assess in vivo the optimal polishing level of a titanium finish in order to reduce early plaque colonization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimen Preparation
Forty-eight grade IV pure titanium 4 mm wide disks, obtained by truncation of a titanium sheet, were used for the study. The disks were embedded, using an inclusion device, in a resin holder and equally divided into the following 3 groups of polishing: group A was automatically polished with grinding paper, tissue (DP-DUR) impregnated with diamond paste (3 µm grain size) and finally with gum tissue (OP-Chem) and a suspension of SiO₂ with a grain size of 0.04 µm; group B was automatically polished with grinding paper and tissue (DP-DUR) impregnated with diamond paste (6 µm grain size); group C was sandblasted with 200 µm diameter corundum at 6 bar pressure for 120 seconds. Table 1 provides details of the papers, abrasives, pressures, and working times used in the surface finishing procedures.

Morphological and Profilometric Analyses
After polishing, the resin was removed and the specimens were cleaned by an ultrasonic device with tetrahydrofurane for 10 minutes; 10 specimens from each group were randomly selected for profilometric analysis. The profilometric analysis was performed with a laser profilometer** according to the ISO standard 468/1982. Two perpendicular measurements were performed at the center of each specimen and the Ra and Rz values were recorded. The specimens were mounted on an aluminum stub using conductive adhesive tape," and the surface morphology was observed with a scanning electron microscope (SEM).

In Vivo Tests
Sixteen acrylic stents were made. A disk from each group was mechanically fixed by grips on each stent (Fig. 1). Eight volunteers, aged between 20 and 22 years, with good oral health and Löe and Silness plaque index close to 0 at the beginning of the study, and who had not used antibiotics or chlorhexidine for 2 months before the study were selected. Two acrylic stents were applied to each subject in the left and right lower molar and premolar region by an orthodontic wire ligature. Then the volunteers stopped all hygiene procedures for 24 hours. After 24 hours, the stents were removed. A stent from each subject was immediately processed for SEM observation and the other for microbiologic analysis.

Microbiological Analysis (Biomass Determination)
The quantitative evaluation of the adherent microbial biomass was performed using a colorimetric technique based on the reduction of tetratolium salts (MTT). The procedure was as follows:

Reagents. An MTT solution was prepared by dissolving 5 mg of 3-(4,5) dimethylthiazol-2-yl-2,5 diphenyl tetrazolium bromide per ml of sterile phosphate buffered saline. A lysing solution was prepared by dissolving 10% v/v of sodium dodecyl sulphate and 50% v/v of dimethylformamide in distilled water.

**RM 600 Rodenstock, Gottingen, Germany.
**Conductive adhesive tape, Agar Scientific Ltd., Cambridge, UK.
**J840A, Jeol, Tokyo, Japan.
**Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO.
**MTT assay.** The specimens were rinsed twice in sterile phosphate buffered saline and each one was placed in a well of a 24-well polystyrene plate. To each well, 1 ml of fresh sterile brain-heart-infusion broth and 100 µl of MTT solution were added and the plates were incubated for 3 hours at room temperature in the dark. During incubation, the redox system converts the yellow salt to an intracellular insoluble purple formazan. Formazan crystals obtained were dissolved by addition of 0.5 ml of lysing solution to each well. After an incubation of 3 hours at room temperature, 100 µl of the suspension of each well was placed in a 96-well plate. Adsorbance (OD) was measured by a dual wavelength spectrophotometer at 620 to 550 nm. The mean blank OD for the same 96 well plate was always subtracted from each test OD value.

**SEM Evaluation**
The specimens were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution in 1 M Na cacodylate buffer for 24 hours. Then they were rinsed in 1 M Na cacodylate for 30 minutes, dehydrated in the graded series of ethanol (70, 80, 90, and 100 for 15 minutes each) and in freon overnight. Finally, they were dehydrated with CO₂ in a bomb. The specimens were mounted on aluminum stubs, coated with a 20 nm gold-palladium layer and blind studied with SEM, using secondary electron imaging, working over a 4–15 kV range and magnification between 25 and 20,000 x. A global area of 100 × 125 µm was examined on each specimen. The area was composed of the sum of five 20 × 25 µm fields randomly selected for each specimen. The following variables were recorded for each field: presence (=1) or absence (=0) of cocci, short rods, and long rods morphology. The values herein quoted for the global area are cumulative of those observed in the 20 × 25 µm fields. Bacteria density was recorded. The following variables were recorded in each 20 × 25 µm fields: ≤30 (score 1); >30 ≤100 (score 2); >100 (score 3) bacteria per field. The value computed for each global area was done by the cumulative sum of each score multiplied by the frequency of observation in the area:

\[
\text{bacteria density index (BD)} = \sum (\text{no. fields})(\text{score}).
\]

**Statistical Analysis**
The Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA one-way test was used for comparison of profilometric variables, since Fisher ANOVA one-way test could not be applied due to the violation of variance homogeneity assumption tested by the Levene test. Fisher ANOVA one-way test and Scheffé post-hoc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.780</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.142</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>17.546</td>
<td>1.498</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation.
Ra differs significantly among the groups (P < 0.0001). Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA one-way.
Rz differs significantly among the groups (P < 0.0001). Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA one-way.

**RESULTS**

**Profilometric and Morphologic Surface Analysis of the Specimens**
A significant difference (P < 0.0001) was found among groups A, B, and C concerning Ra and Rz values (Table 2). The polishing procedure used in group A produced the smoothest titanium surface, with small pits and few grooves (Fig. 2A). In contrast, surfaces of group B showed more irregularities mainly due to the grooves caused by the polishing procedure (Fig. 2B). Group C disks showed the roughest surface, characterized by deep pits (Fig. 2C).

**Biomass Determination**
The biomass determination was performed in only 5 subjects because of accidental detachment of a specimen in 3 patients. Figure 3 shows the mean and standard deviation of the OD values. The Fisher ANOVA test revealed significant differences (P < 0.05) among the groups. Group A hosted significantly less bacteria than group C (P < 0.001). The P values computed by the Sheffè test concerning the comparison group A/group B and group B/group C were, respectively, 0.069 and 0.692.

**SEM Analysis**
Figure 4 shows the density of bacteria observed in 20 × 25 µm fields in groups A, B, and C. Group A did not host significantly less bacteria than group B. In contrast, groups A and C differed significantly (P < 0.0005). Group B was observed to host significantly less bacteria than group C (P < 0.005). Cocc were always observed in the groups. In contrast, the presence

---

3MTdish 24-well Nuncor Delta Sl, Nunc, Kamstrup, Denmark.
996-well Micrometer Plate, Nunc, Kamstrup, Denmark.
**UP 200, Diagnostic Pasteur, Milan, Italy.
***Top Critical Point 30, W. Pabish, Pero, Milan, Italy.
'Coating Unit ES100, Polaron Equipment Ltd., Watford Hertfordshire, UK.

11SPSS, Chicago, IL.
of short rod morphotype was different among the groups. The long rod morphotype differed significantly between groups A and C ($P < 0.05$) and between group B and C with $P$ value close to significant level ($P = < 0.08$) (Table 3). Group A harbored few bacteria limited to cocci morphotypes, localized at the base of concavities caused by the polishing procedure (Fig. 5). No short and long rods and only a few bacterial aggregations were detected. Group B specimens were observed to harbor more bacteria frequently attached to organic pellicle than group A (Fig. 6). Short and long rods, horizontally arranged, were observed. Group C exhibited the most colonized surfaces. Cocci and bacillary forms were predominant in this group of specimens.
Table 3. Presence of Cocci and Short and Long Rods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>% Cocci</th>
<th>% Short Rods</th>
<th>% Long Rods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are summarized as mean percentage of observation of morphotypes and bacterial aggregations; statistical differences were computed by Mann-Whitney U exact test using the mean rank. P values were computed by Monte Carlo method. Significant differences as regards the short rods between groups A and B (P < 0.05), groups A and C (P < 0.05) and groups B and C (P < 0.05). Significant differences as regards the long rods between groups A and C (P < 0.05); comparison between groups B and C (P < 0.08).

Figure 5. Group A. Few cocci are visible on the surface (original magnification ×4,500; bar = 1 μm).

Figure 6. Group B. The surface is colonized by a monolayer of cocci and bacillary forms (original magnification ×4,500; bar = 1 μm).

Figure 7. Group C. A thick biofilm composed of cocci, and short and long rods colonizes the surface (original magnification ×5,000; bar = 1 μm).

Long rods were also detected (Fig. 7). A thick organic pellicle was sometimes observed. Bacteria were always observed aggregated in group C.

DISCUSSION

Osseointegrated titanium dental implants have been proven to provide highly reliable restoration of function in totally and partially edentulous patients over many years of follow-up.15-19 Despite encouraging results, much attention has been devoted to the etiology of implant failure. Bacterial plaque colonization was recognized as one of the main factors influencing the success rate of oral implants.11 Several studies have pointed out the physicochemical properties of the implant surface as an important factor in promoting colonization. Surface-free energy,9,20,21 roughness22 and the presence of specific molecules adsorbed from the saliva onto the titanium surface23 are suggested to promote colonization. In particular, surface roughness increases plaque colonization by several mechanisms, which include an increase in the global area available for colonization and the sheltering effect of irregularities, which protect the bacteria from the removal forces22 and, at the same time, allow them to establish a less reversible binding with the surface. Surface roughness appears to be particularly relevant for early bacterial colonization. It was observed to be prominent with respect to surface-free energy,10 and it influences the number and the sequence rate of appearance of the bacteria species with similar modalities in supra and in subgingival areas.24,25 Plaque accumulation starts at the level of pits and grooves26 and the sequence of appearance is usually cocci, rods, filamentous morphotypes, fusiforms, spirochetes (subgingivally), and bacterial aggregations such as corncoats and test-tube brushes.27 The effect of roughness seems to be reduced over time.28
The different composition of materials only slightly affects plaque colonization. Differences in the amount of adherent plaque are observed in various materials and tissues. Titanium has been observed to inhibit plaque growth in vitro, particularly in the early stages, probably due to the antimicrobial effect of metal ion release. However, the amount of early plaque colonization seems to be related more to the roughness degree than to material composition. The relationship between surface roughness and plaque colonization has been widely studied as a side effect of professional or habitual hygiene procedures. The surface of the implant abutment, as provided by the manufacturer, has also been observed to be regular and it could, therefore, be potentially plaque retentive. However, improvement of surface polishing at baseline has received less attention than the maintenance protocols planned to avoid damage to the titanium surface.

The present investigation examined the relationship between three degrees of surface roughness, obtained by experimental surface finishing procedures of implant titanium, and the amount of supragingival plaque colonization observed after 24 hours. The bacterial morphotypes were also investigated. The surface modification procedures produced different surface morphology and roughness. Particularly in group A, the mean Ra and Rz values were 2 to 7 times lower than those reported for transgingival and abutment components of the most common commercial oral implants. The surface roughness of group B was very similar to that reported for the latter.

The amount of bacterial plaque adhering to the titanium surface was shown to be directly dependent on the roughness by biomass determination as well as by SEM observation, in accordance with previous studies performed with microscopic analysis or microbiological cultures. In addition, the SEM observations in our study provide information about the degree of bacterial plaque maturation, with particular regard to pioneer colonization phenomenon. In group A, fewer bacterial cells were observed than in the other groups and were limited to cocci morphotypes. Colonization was observed to be localized over badly polished areas. It is noteworthy that the amount of bacteria observed after 24 hours in group A seemed to be less than reported by other studies on implant collars, plasma sprayed titanium, other materials and dental tissues. We suggest that the observed results could be due to the inhibition of the phase of bacterial adhesion. The surface-finishing degree achieved in group A did not allow bacteria to establish a firm adhesion to the contaminated titanium surface. Plaque maturation is observed to be related to the roughness degree. Cocci have been observed as pioneer species primarily involved in bacterial colonization. Rods appear secondarily, frequently aggregated with cocci, and they can be considered as an indicator of advanced stages of maturation. Short and long rods were never detected in group A disks. In contrast, they were always observed in group C and were aggregated and multilayered. It is evident that the polishing degree achieved in group A strongly hindered bacterial colonization, limiting it to coccoid forms, usually non-pathogenic with respect to peri-implant tissues disease.

Although these results were obtained using a 24-hour supragingival plaque colonization model, they may be clinically relevant because the first bacterial layers in contact with a surface determine the strength of adhesion of the whole biomass to the surface itself. Moreover, the amount of early plaque colonization and the sequence of bacterial species appearance over the titanium surface has been suggested to be similar in supragingival and subgingival regions, because the mechanism of bacterial adhesion is supposed to be the same in both environments. Therefore, it is rational to suppose that finishing degree of the titanium surface achieved in group A might hamper subgingival colonization as it did in the supragingival condition.

Our results demonstrated that a titanium finish with Ra ≤ 0.088 and Rz ≤ 1.027 μm strongly hampered plaque colonization after 24 hours. We suggest that a similar surface-finishing degree should characterize the surface of trans-gingival and healing implant components in order to minimize plaque colonization and aid in good healing and health to peri-implant tissues.
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