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ABSTRACT

The integrated spectral energy distributions (SED) of the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) and Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) appear significantly flatter than expected from dust models based on their far-infrared and radio emission. The still unexplained origin of this millimetre excess is investigated here using the Planck data. The integrated SED of the two galaxies before subtraction of the foreground (Milky Way) and background (CMB fluctuations) emission are in good agreement with previous determinations, confirming the presence of the millimetre excess. In the context of this preliminary analysis we do not propose a full multi-component fitting of the data, but instead subtract contributions unrelated to the galaxies and to dust emission. The background CMB contribution is subtracted using an internal linear combination (ILC) method performed locally around the galaxies. The foreground emission from the Milky Way is subtracted as a Galactic H i template, and the dust emissivity is derived in a region surrounding the two galaxies and dominated by Milky Way emission. After subtraction, the remaining emission of both galaxies correlates closely with the atomic and molecular gas emission of the LMC and SMC. The millimetre excess in the LMC can be explained by CMB fluctuations, but a significant excess is still present in the SMC SED. The Planck and IRAS–IRIS data at 100 μm are combined to produce thermal dust temperature and optical depth maps of the two galaxies.
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The LMC temperature map shows the presence of a warm inner arm already found with the Spitzer data, but which also shows the existence of a previously unidentified cold outer arm. Several cold regions are found along this arm, some of which are associated with known molecular clouds. The dust optical depth maps are used to constrain the thermal dust emissivity power-law index ($\beta$). The average spectral index is found to be consistent with $\beta = 1.5$ and $\beta = 1.2$ below 500 $\mu$m for the LMC and SMC respectively, significantly flatter than the values observed in the Milky Way. Also, there is evidence in the SMC of a further flattening of the SED in the sub-mm, unlike for the LMC where the SED remains consistent with $\beta = 1.5$. The spatial distribution of the millimetre dust excess in the SMC follows the gas and thermal dust distribution. Different models are explored in order to fit the dust emission in the SMC. It is concluded that the millimetre excess is unlikely to be caused by very cold dust emission and that it could be due to a combination of spinning dust emission and thermal dust emission by more amorphous dust grains than those present in our Galaxy.
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### 1. Introduction

Star formation and the exchange and evolution of materials between the stars and the interstellar medium (ISM) are continuous processes that drive the evolution of galaxies. As stars evolve, die, and renew the life cycle of dust and gas, the amount of dust and its distribution in a galaxy has important consequences for its subsequent star formation. Knowing the dust content throughout cosmic history would thus provide clues to the star formation history of the universe as the metallicities evolve. One of the puzzling results that has emerged from the studies of dust SEDs in the early universe is finding very large dust masses in high-redshift galaxies. For example, recent Herschel observations of submillimetre galaxies (SMGs) find excessively large dust masses, and high dust-to-gas mass ratios (D/G) (Santini et al. 2010). These findings contradict the low metallicities measured in the gas. Large dust masses have also been measured for a range of low-metallicity, local universe dwarf galaxies (Dumke et al. 2003; Galliano et al. 2003, 2005; Bendo et al. 2006; Gallametz et al. 2009; Grossi et al. 2010; O’Halloran et al. 2010). Our understanding of how dust masses are estimated and what observational constraints are necessary is called into question by the discovery that the dust masses measured in these galaxies appear significantly larger than expected from their metal content.

Excessively large dust masses only seem to be found in low metallicity galaxies to date. The evidence is found in the behaviour of the submillimetre (submm) emission, beyond about 400–500 $\mu$m. From a study of a broad range of metal-rich and metal-poor galaxies, observed with wide wavelength coverage that included submm observations beyond 500 $\mu$m, a submm excess, beyond the normal dust SED models, was found only for low metallicity systems (Galametz et al. 2010). Such a submm excess is particularly evident in the Magellanic Clouds (Israel et al. 2010; Bot et al. 2010b). The origin of such an excess is still uncertain but several suggestions have been put forward:

- **The submm excess has been modelled as a cold dust component with a submm emissivity index ($\beta$) of $\beta = 1$, which suggests a low dust temperature of $\sim 10$ K (Galliano et al. 2003, 2005; Galametz et al. 2009, 2010). There are few observational constraints in the submm-wavelength window, hence this cold component is a rather ad hoc solution. This description often results in discrepantly large D/G ratios being found for the low metallicity galaxies if the estimates of the total gas reservoir are well known.**

- **Meny et al. (2007) show that emission by amorphous grains is expected to produce excess emission in the submm wavelength range. As a result, the spectral shape of emission by amorphous grains cannot be reproduced by a single emissivity index over the whole far-infrared (FIR) to millimetre (mm) range, and is expected to flatten at long wavelengths. The intensity of the excess is also predicted to depend strongly on the temperature of the dust grains. Modifying the optical properties of the dust to incorporate**

Some of the possible causes of the submm excess could be ruled out or constrained if the gas mass estimates were better established. However, estimates of the total gas reservoirs in low
metallicity environments have been uncertain, particularly the molecular gas component. Observations of CO in low metallicity galaxies have been a challenge, and the dearth of detected molecular gas component. Observations of CO in low metallicity environments have been uncertain, particularly the actively forming massive stars (Leroy et al. 2009). However, relying on CO alone to trace the H$_2$ mass could potentially miss a large reservoir of molecular gas residing outside the CO-emitting region, as indicated by the FIR fine structure observations in the Magellanic Clouds and other low metallicity galaxies (e.g. Poglitsch et al. 1995; Madden et al. 1997; Madden 2000). The presence of a hidden gas mass in low metallicity galaxies is also suggested by dust observations of the Magellanic Clouds (e.g. Bernard et al. 2008; Dobashi et al. 2008; Leroy et al. 2007; Roman-Duval et al. 2010; Bot et al. 2010a).

Most of these studies which find a submm excess in low-metallicity galaxies are derived from models on global galaxy scales, and use data with a wavelength coverage that is limited to $\lambda < 500\mu$m with Herschel or to $\lambda < 870\mu$m for ground-based observations. The Galametz et al. (2010) study demonstrated that dust masses can differ by an order of magnitude depending on the availability of submm constraints on the SED modelling. These require wider wavelength coverage of the submm and millimetre (mm) region and better spatial information, to map out the submm excess and determine the local physical conditions.

The closest low-metallicity galaxies are our neighbouring Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) and Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC), which have metallicities of 50% and 20% solar metallicity (Z$_{\odot}$) respectively. They are ideal laboratories for studying the ISM and star formation in different low metallicity environments. Recent studies with Spitzer (SAGE: Meixner et al. 2006; Bolatto et al. 2007) and Herschel (HERITAGE: Meixner et al. 2010) have mapped out the the temperature and dust mass distribution (Bernard et al. 2008; Gordon et al. 2009, 2010; Leroy et al. 2007). Recent Herschel studies of the LMC already point to a possible submm band excess and an excessive dust mass, which may be suggesting the presence of molecular gas not probed by CO. This could be explained by the presence of amorphous carbon grains (Roman-Duval et al. 2010; Meixner et al. 2010; Gordon et al. 2010). It was difficult to be conclusive about the cause of the excess seen in the Herschel observation because of the lack of longer wavelength coverage. Global excess mm and submm emission in the LMC and SMC was indisputably shown by Bot et al. (2010b) and Israel et al. (2010), using broader wavelength coverage that included the submm to cm observations of the Top-Hat balloon experiment, WMAP and COBE. Their results favour spinning dust as the explanation for the submm and mm excess, but require additional spatial coverage to be conclusive. \textit{Planck} observations have both wide wavelength coverage and the spatial resolution to locate the submm emission within the LMC and SMC, which will help determine the origin of the submm excess. In the framework of the preliminary analysis carried out here, we focus our interest on dust emission from the galaxies themselves, which we isolate by subtracting other unrelated components, instead of carrying out a full multi-component analysis exploiting all spatial information available in the data.

---

Table 1. Characteristics of the FIR/submm data used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{int}}$ [(\mu\text{m})]</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{int}}$ [GHz]</th>
<th>$\theta$ [arcmin]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{IT}}$ [MJy sr$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{abs}}$ [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRAS</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2997.92</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>13.6$_{+1}^{1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFI</td>
<td>349.82</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.12$_{+0}^{0}$</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFI</td>
<td>550.08</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.12$_{+0}^{0}$</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFI</td>
<td>849.27</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>0.08$_{+0}^{0}$</td>
<td>$\lesssim$2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFI</td>
<td>1381.5</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>0.08$_{+0}^{0}$</td>
<td>$\lesssim$2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFI</td>
<td>2096.4</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>0.08$_{+0}^{0}$</td>
<td>$\lesssim$2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFI</td>
<td>2997.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>0.07$_{+0}^{0}$</td>
<td>$\lesssim$2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFI</td>
<td>4285.7</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFI</td>
<td>6818.2</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>27.92</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFI</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>32.65</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$\lesssim$2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMAP</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>93.69</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1.76$_{+0}^{d}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMAP</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>61.18</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.36$_{+0}^{d}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMAP</td>
<td>7300</td>
<td>41.07</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>0.11$_{+0}^{d}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMAP</td>
<td>9100</td>
<td>32.94</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>0.05$_{+0}^{d}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMAP</td>
<td>13000</td>
<td>23.06</td>
<td>52.08</td>
<td>0.02$_{+0}^{d}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (1) Assumed to be for the average IRAS coverage. $\sigma_{\text{IT}}$ computed by rescaling this value to actual coverage. (2) From Michielle-Deshènes & Lagache (2005). (3) For average value in one beam scaled from Planck HFI Core Team (2011b). We actually use the internal variance maps for $\sigma_{\text{IT}}$ from Bennett et al. (2003).

2. Observations

2.1. Planck data

The \textit{Planck} first mission results are presented in Planck Collaboration (2011a) and the in-flight performances of the two focal plane instruments, the HFI (High Frequency Instrument) and the LFI (Low Frequency Instrument), are given in Planck HFI Core Team (2011a) and Mennella et al. (2011) respectively. The data processing and calibration of the HFI and LFI data used here is described in Planck HFI Core Team (2011b) and Zacchei et al. (2011) respectively.

Figure 1 shows the total intensity maps, observed toward the LMC and SMC at three HFI and LFI frequencies. Both galaxies are well detected at high frequencies. Around 100 GHz, their emission can barely be distinguished from CMB fluctuations. At lower frequencies, the contrast between the galaxies’ emission and the CMB fluctuations becomes larger again. Note that the apparent variation of the noise level at a constant right ascension value across the LMC is real and is due to the LMC being located at the boundary of the \textit{Planck} deep field.

The \textit{Planck} DR2 data have had the CMB fluctuations removed in a way which is inappropriate for detailed examination of foreground sources like the LMC and SMC. We therefore use the original data before CMB subtraction (version DX4 of the \textit{Planck}-HFI and \textit{Planck}-LFI data) and perform our own subtraction of the CMB fluctuations, based on a local ILC (see Sect. 2.3.2).

We use the internal variance ($\sigma_{\text{IT}}^2$) provided with the \textit{Planck} data, which represents the white noise on the intensity. The LMC and SMC have been observed many times, as the \textit{Planck} scanning strategy (Planck Collaboration 2011a) covers the region close to the ecliptic pole repeatedly. In particular, the LMC is located at the boundary of the \textit{Planck} deep field. Its eastern half has a much higher signal to noise ratio than its western half. We assume the absolute uncertainties from calibration given in Planck HFI Core Team (2011b) and Zacchei et al. (2011) for HFI and LFI respectively and summarised in Table 1.
Fig. 1. Planck total intensity data for the LMC (left) and SMC (right) at 857 (top), 100 (middle) and 28.5 GHz (bottom) at full resolution. The top panels are shown in log scale. The circle in the top panels shows the region used to extract average SEDs.

2.2. Ancillary data

2.2.1. H\textsc{i} LMC/SMC data

To trace the atomic gas in the Magellanic Clouds, we used H\textsc{i} maps in the 21 cm line, obtained by combining data from the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) and the Parkes single dish telescope. For the LMC, this data was obtained by Kim et al. (2003) and Staveley-Smith et al. (2003) and covers 11.1°×12.4° on the sky. The spatial resolution is 1′, corresponding to a physical resolution of about 14.5 pc at the distance of the LMC. For the SMC, the data were obtained by Staveley-Smith et al. (1997) and Stanimirovic et al. (1999). The area covered is a 4.5°×4.5° region. H\textsc{i} observations of the SMC tail (7°×6°) were obtained by Muller et al. (2003) and combined with the observations taken in the direction of the SMC. The spatial resolution is 98″, corresponding to 30 pc at the distance of the SMC.

2.2.2. H\textsc{i} Galactic data

The LMC and SMC are located at galactic latitudes $b_{\text{II}} = -34^\circ$ and $b_{\text{II}} = -44^\circ$ respectively. They can therefore suffer from significant contamination by Galactic foreground emission, which has to be removed from the IR data. In order to account for the Galactic foreground emission, we used Galactic H\textsc{i} column density maps.
For the LMC, the H\textsubscript{i} foreground map was constructed by Staveley-Smith et al. (2003) by integrating the Parkes H\textsubscript{i} data in the velocity range from $-64 < v_{\text{hel}} < 100$ km s\textsuperscript{-1}, which excludes all LMC and SMC associated gas ($v > 100$ km s\textsuperscript{-1}) but includes essentially all Galactic emission. The spatial resolution is 14\textquotesingle. For the SMC, a map of combined ATCA and Parkes data was built by integrating the Galactic velocities by E. Muller (priv. comm.). The resolution is 98\textquotesingle.

These maps show that the Galactic foreground across the LMC is as strong as $N_{\text{H}} = 1.3 \times 10^{21}$ H cm\textsuperscript{-2}, with significant variation across the LMC, particularly in a wide filamentary structure oriented southwest to northeast. The Galactic foreground across the SMC is weaker, with values $N_{\text{H}} \simeq 3.2 \times 10^{20}$ H cm\textsuperscript{-2} but the associated FIR-submm emission is non-negligible since the SMC emission is weaker than that of the LMC, due to its lower dust and gas content.

These foreground maps are used to subtract the foreground IR emission from the IR maps, using the emissivity SED described in Sect. 2.3.3.

### 2.2.3. CO data

The $^{12}\text{CO}(J = 1 \rightarrow 0)$ molecular data used in this work was obtained using the NANTEN telescope, a 4-m radio telescope of Nagoya University at Las Campanas Observatory, Chile (see Fukui et al. 2008). The observed region covers about 30 square degrees where CO clouds were detected in the NANTEN first survey (e.g. Fukui et al. 1999; Mizuno et al. 2001; Fukui et al. 2008). The observed grid spacing was 2\arcmin, corresponding to about 30 and 35 pc at the distance of the LMC and SMC, while the half-power beam width was 2.6\arcmin at 115 GHz.

We used the CO maps of the Magellanic Clouds to construct an integrated intensity map ($W_{\text{CO}}$), integrating over the full $v_{\text{lsr}}$ range of the data ($100 < v_{\text{lsr}} < 400$ km s\textsuperscript{-1} for about 80\% of the data, while the remaining 20\% had a velocity range of about 100 km s\textsuperscript{-1} covering the H\textsubscript{i} emitting regions.)

### 2.2.4. H\textsubscript{α} data

In order to estimate the free-free contribution to the millimetre fluxes, we used the continuum-subtracted H\textsubscript{α} maps from the Southern H-Alpha Sky Survey Atlas (SHASSA, Gaustad et al. 2001) centred on the Magellanic Clouds.

### 2.2.5. FIR-submm data

We used the following FIR-submm ancillary data.

- IRAS–IRIS (improved reprocessing of the IRAS survey) 100\,\mu m data, in order to constrain the dust temperature. The characteristics of these data, including the noise properties, were taken from Miville-Deschênes & Lagache (2005) and are summarised in Table 1.

- WMAP 7 yr data. The characteristics of these data, including the noise properties, were taken from Bennett et al. (2003) and Jarosik et al. (2011) and are summarised in Table 1.

### 2.3. Additional data processing

#### 2.3.1. Common angular resolution and pixelisation

For data already available in the HEALPix (Górski et al. 2005) format (e.g., WMAP), we obtained the data from the Lambda web site (http://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov/). For data not originally presented in the HEALPix format, the ancillary data were brought to the HEALPix pixelisation, using a method where the area of the surface of intersection between each HEALPix and FITS pixel of the original data was used as a weight to regrid the data. The HEALPix resolution was chosen so as to match the Shannon sampling of the original data at resolution $\theta$, with a HEALPix resolution set so that the pixel size is $<\theta/2.4$. The ancillary data and the description of their processing will be presented in Paradis et al. (in prep.).

All ancillary data were then smoothed to an appropriate resolution by convolution with a Gaussian smoothing function with appropriate FWHM, using the smoothing HEALPix function. They were brought to a pixel size matching the Shannon sampling of the final resolution.

#### 2.3.2. CMB subtraction

The upper panel in Fig. 3 shows the total intensity maps observed toward the LMC and SMC before CMB subtraction in the LFI 70.3 GHz channel. The amplitude of CMB fluctuations is of the order of that of the diffuse emission of the galaxies and it is clear that, in order to study the integrated SED of the LMC and SMC galaxies, an efficient CMB subtraction has to be performed.

The standard CMB–subtracted maps produced by the data processing centre (DPC) (Planck HFI Core Team 2011b) were not used in this analysis. They were processed by a needlet internal linear combination method (NILC) (Planck HFI Core Team 2011b) that left a significant amount of foreground emission in the CMB estimate towards the LMC and the SMC.

For this reason we have subtracted an estimate of the CMB optimised locally for the LMC and SMC regions, as described below. This CMB component was reconstructed through a classical ILC by means of Lagrange multipliers (Eriksen et al. 2004). 12\arcmin × 12\arcmin patches around the LMC and SMC were extracted from the HFI CMB frequency channels maps (100, 143, 217 and 353 GHz) reduced to a common resolution (10 arcmin), in units of $K_{\text{CMB}}$. The CMB component obtained on these patches clearly contains less LMC and SMC residual than the standard HFI DPC CMB and therefore will affect the SED determination less. The
Fig. 3. SMC (left) and LMC (right) total intensity maps before (top) and after (bottom) CMB subtraction in the 70.3 GHz band at the 13.01 arcmin resolution.

lower panel in Fig. 3 shows the maps after CMB subtraction in the LFI 70.3 GHz channel.

We performed Monte-Carlo simulations in order to estimate the error induced on the SED by our CMB removal. The LMC and SMC were simulated as a sum of two correlated components. The spatial template for the first component is the IRAS–IRIS 100 μm map. The spatial template for the second component is the 545 GHz LMC or SMC Planck map. Their correlation coefficients are 83% for the LMC and 92% for the SMC. We normalised their fluxes inside a 4° ring to the value of a typical LMC or SMC dust component and a typical millimetre excess at each HFI CMB frequency, respectively. 200 independent realisations of a WMAP 7 yr best-fit CMB (Komatsu et al. 2011) and of nominal inhomogeneous HFI white noise were added to the synthetic LMC and SMC on patches of varying sizes. For each of these simulations, for each size, our ILC is performed and compared with the input CMB. We estimate the error due to the CMB subtraction in both the LMC and the SMC by comparing the residual in the CMB map to the emission of the two components at a given frequency. Results at 100 GHz are displayed in Fig. 2. For both the LMC and the SMC, the error increases at small and large patch sizes and an optimal patch size with respect to the CMB subtraction can be found at 5° for the LMC and 13° for the SMC. The narrower the patch, the lower is the contribution of the CMB to the total variance which is to be minimised in the ILC. On the other hand, when their sizes increase,
patches include foreground emission which is uncorrelated with the galaxies and has a different spectrum, and thus both small and large patches contribute to the total variance which must be minimised.

For the 12° × 12° patches used in the following analysis, we estimate the error due to CMB subtraction as 10.38 μK_{\text{CMB}} and 28.2 μK_{\text{CMB}} for the LMC and SMC respectively. In terms of the fraction of the total galaxy brightness, these correspond to 8.1, 6.2, 2.3 and 0.3% for the LMC and 12.1, 10.7, 6.4 and 1.4% for the SMC at 100, 143, 217 and 353 GHz, respectively.

### 2.3.3. Galactic foreground subtraction

The Milky Way (MW) emission is non-negligible compared to the emission of the LMC and SMC. We remove this contribution at all wavelengths using the MW HI template described in Sect. 2.2.2. We first computed the correlation of all FIR-submm data with this template, in the region where both the MW HI template and the CMB estimate are available. We included a circular region centred on each galaxy (centre coordinates taken as α_{2000} = 05h18m14.8s, δ_{2000} = 68°26′34.5") and α_{2000} = 00°53′59.6", δ_{2000} = 72°40′16.1" for the LMC and SMC respectively) with radius 4.09° and 2.38° for the LMC and SMC respectively. The spectral distribution of this correlation factor, taken to represent the SED of the MW foreground is shown in Fig. 4. The SED is compared to that of the high galactic latitude reference region used in Planck Collaboration (2011o) in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the two SEDs are similar, although the MW foreground towards the LMC and SMC appears slightly colder and has a relatively stronger non-thermal component in the millimetre wavelength range. We subtracted the MW foreground from all the data using this SED multiplied by the MW HI template over the full map extent. Note that the median foreground HI integrated intensities over the LMC and SMC are ≃297 K km s^{-1} and ≃172 K km s^{-1}, which correspond to a brightnesses of 3.0 MJy sr^{-1} and 1.7 MJy sr^{-1} respectively at 857 GHz. This is of the same order as the average brightness of the galaxies at that frequency (see Table 2). However, most of the MW emission is canceled when subtracting a local background around the galaxies and the differential correction due to the spatial structure of the MW foreground then accounts for about 0.4% and 21% of the LMC and the SMC brightness respectively.

### 3. Integrated SEDs

The integrated SEDs of the LMC and SMC before and after CMB subtraction are shown in Fig. 5 (red and blue diamonds, respectively). They were computed by averaging values in the circular area around each galaxy defined in Sect. 2.3.3, and subtracting an estimate of the sky off the source taken in an annulus with radius 1°. They are compared to the data taken from Israel et al. (2010) and Bot et al. (2010b), which were integrated over the same region. It can be seen that the flux observed in the HFI and LFI bands is consistent with that obtained by previous studies in this wavelength range before subtraction of the CMB fluctuations. A model of thermal dust, free-free and synchrotron emission is fitted to the data as follows. As in Bot et al. (2010b), the thermal dust emission is adjusted according to the Draine & Li (2007) dust model. The free-free emission is deduced from the Hα integrated flux, using the expression from Hunt et al. (2004), assuming an electronic temperature T_e = 10^4 K, the ratio of ionised helium to hydrogen n_{\text{He}}/n_e = 0.087, and no extinction. The synchrotron emission was fitted to the radio data from the literature as in Israel et al. (2010). The combined dust, free-free and synchrotron emission is shown by the blue line in Fig. 5. It can be seen from Fig. 5 that the SED after subtraction of the CMB fluctuations is in fact compatible with no millimetre emission excess for the LMC, for this particular model. However, the CMB-subtracted SED still shows a significant excess for the SMC, with most data points above λ = 1 mm being in excess over the model by more than 5σ, leading to an overall significance of the excess of about 50σ.

The CMB subtraction removes part of the millimetre excess in both galaxies. This shows that CMB fluctuations behind the LMC and the SMC average out to a small but positive contribution when integrated over the extent of the galaxies. We note that some excess emission remains in the SMC regardless of the dust model used and the assumptions made on the free-free or synchrotron emission. The shape and intensity of the millimetre excess can change, but we could not find a solution where the SMC SED is explained purely by thermal dust emission, free-free and synchrotron radiation. We emphasise also that the dust model, used to reproduce the dust emission up to the submillimetre wavelength range, assumes components heated by a radiation field 10 times lower than the solar neighbourhood radiation field. Compared to other nearby galaxies, this result is rather extreme (Draine et al. 2007, Bot et al. 2010b).
The integrated SEDs of the LMC and SMC after CMB and foreground subtraction are compared in Fig. 6. These SEDs were computed in the same integration region as those in Fig. 5. The comparison in Fig. 6 shows that the SMC SED is flatter than the LMC SED in the submm, while when the SEDs are normalised in the FIR, they are similar above 10 mm, where the emission is presumably dominated by free-free and/or spinning dust (see Sect. 5).

The SEDs at various stages of the background and foreground subtraction are given in Table 2 for the LMC and SMC. The uncertainties given include the contribution from the data variance combined for the integration region, the data variance combined for the background region, and the absolute calibration uncertainties, using the values given in Table 1. They also include the noise resulting from the background (CMB) subtraction and from the foreground MW subtraction and the free-free removal. All uncertainty contributions were added quadratically.

### 4. Dust temperature and emissivity

#### 4.1. Temperature determination

As shown by several previous studies (e.g. Reach et al. 1995; Finkbeiner et al. 1999; Paradis et al. 2009; Planck Collaboration 2011a, b), the dust emissivity spectrum in our Galaxy cannot be represented by a single dust emissivity index $\beta$ over the full FIR-submm domain. The data available indicate that $\beta$ is usually steeper in the FIR and flatter in the submm, with a transition around 500$\mu$m (see Paradis et al. 2009; Planck Collaboration 2011a). This is likely to be the case also for the LMC and the SMC. The dust temperature derived will depend on the assumptions made about $\beta$, since these two parameters are somewhat degenerate in $\chi^2$ space. Note that some of the past attempts at constraining the equilibrium temperature of the large grains in the LMC used the IRAS 60$\mu$m emission. Emission at 60$\mu$m is highly contaminated by out-of-equilibrium emission from very small grains (VSGs) and this is even more the case in the Magellanic Clouds, due to the presence of the 70$\mu$m excess (Bot et al. 2004; Bernard et al. 2008). Combining the IRAS 60$\mu$m and 100$\mu$m data therefore strongly over-estimates the temperature and accordingly under-estimates the abundances of all types of dust particles. A good sampling at frequencies dominated by big grain (BG) emission became possible using the combination of the IRAS and $\text{Spitzer}$ data (Leroy et al. 2007; Bolatto et al. 2007; Bernard et al. 2008; Sandstrom et al. 2010).

As the dust temperature is best derived from the FIR data, we limit the range of frequencies used in the determination to the FIR, which limits the impact of potential changes of the dust emissivity index $\beta$ with frequency. In the determination of the dust temperature ($T_D$), we used the IRAS–IRIS 100$\mu$m map and the two highest HFI frequencies at 857 and 545 GHz. Temperature maps were derived at the common resolution of the 3 bands used (5$^\prime$) and at lower resolution for further analysis. In each case, the emission was computed in the photometric channels of the instruments used (IRAS, Planck, and WMAP), including the colour corrections, using the actual transmission profiles for each instrument, and following the flux convention description given in the respective explanatory supplements.

In order to derive the thermal dust temperature, we use the same strategy as described in Planck Collaboration (2011a). To
minimise computation time, the predictions of the model were tabulated for a large set of parameters ($T_D$, $\beta$). For each map pixel, the $\chi^2$ was computed for each entry of the table and the shape of the $\chi^2$ distribution around the minimum value was used to derive the uncertainty on the free parameters. This includes the effect of the data variance $\sigma_{II}^2$ and the absolute uncertainties.

We explored several options for deriving maps of the apparent dust temperature, which are summarised in Table 3. We first fitted each pixel of the maps with a modified black body of the form $I_\nu \propto \nu^\beta B_\nu(T_D)$ in the above spectral range (method referred to as “free $\beta$” in Table 3). The median values of $T_D$ and $\beta$ derived using this method are given in the first line of Table 3. This led to median $\beta$ values of $\beta_{LMC} \approx 1.5$ and $\beta_{SMC} \approx 1.2$ for the LMC and SMC respectively. Note that the value for the LMC is consistent with that derived using a combination of the IRAS and Herschel data by Gordon et al. (2010). However, inspection of the corresponding maps show correlated variations of the two parameters which were also correlated with the noise level in the maps. This suggests that the spurious values probably originated from the correlation in parameter space and the presence of noise in the data, particularly in low brightness regions of the maps.

We then performed fits of the FIR emission using the fixed $\beta$ values, with the $\beta$ values given above for the two galaxies (method referred to as “fixed $\beta$” and marked with a $^\dagger$ in Table 3). Although the median reduced $\chi^2$ was slightly higher than for the “free $\beta$” method, the temperature maps showed fewer spurious values, in particular in low brightness regions. This resulted in a more coherent distribution of the temperature values than in the “free $\beta$” case. Since we later used the temperature maps to investigate the spectral distribution of the dust optical depth, and the dust temperature is a source of uncertainty, we adopted the “fixed $\beta$” maps in what follows. The corresponding temperature maps for the LMC and SMC are shown in Fig. 7.

The calculations were also carried out for $\beta = 1.8$, which is the average Galactic value (Planck Collaboration 2011a,t), in order to be able to derive dust emissivity values under the same assumption as in the MW. We also experimented using the “standard” $\beta = 2$ value.

### 4.2. Angular distribution of dust temperature

#### 4.2.1. LMC

The temperature map derived here for the LMC shows a similar distribution to the one derived from IRAS and Spitzer data by Bernard et al. (2008). The highest temperatures are observed toward 30-Dor and are of the order of 24 K. The large scale distribution shows the existence of an inner warm arm, which follows the distribution of massive star formation as traced by known H II regions. Figure 8 shows that the warm dust at $T_D > 20$ K in the LMC is reasonably well correlated with H II emission, indicating that it is heated by the increased radiation field induced by massive star formation. Two regions departing from the correlation are visible to the SW of the 30-Dor at $\alpha_{2000} = 05^h40^m, \delta_{2000} = -70\,\degree30\,\arcmin$ and $\alpha_{2000} = 05^h40^m, \delta_{2000} = -72\,\degree30\,\arcmin$. The first region was already identified in Bernard et al. (2008) who showed that this low column density region was unexpectedly warm given that no star formation is taking place in this area. They proposed that this could be an artefact of the IRAS—IRIS 100 $\mu$m used in the analysis, possibly due to IRAS gain variations across the bright 30-Dor source. However, the analysis carried out here and the comparison between temperature maps obtained with and without the MW background subtraction suggest that the temperature measurement has been affected by the low surface brightness. This emphasises the necessity of correcting for temperature bias at low brightness. The second region is close to the edge of the map and is probably also affected by low surface brightness.

The Planck data however reveals cold areas in the outer regions of the LMC, which were not seen using the Spitzer data. This is essentially due to the large coverage of the Planck data with respect to the limited region which was covered by the Spitzer data. The south of the LMC exhibits a string of cold regions with $T_D < 20$ K. These regions correspond without exception to known molecular clouds when they fall in the region covered by the CO survey. They also correspond to peaks of the dust optical depth as derived in the following sections. Similarly, a set of cold regions exist at the northwest periphery of the LMC. The comparison with the distribution of CO clouds is shown in Fig. 8. As already noticed in Bernard et al. (2008), there is no systematic correlation between cold dust and the presence of molecular material, at least in the inner regions of the LMC. This was confirmed by the statistical characteristics of the IR properties of LMC molecular clouds established by Paradis et al. (2010), which showed no systematic trend for molecular regions to be

### Table 3. Dust temperature, $\beta$ values and fit reduced $\chi^2$ for various methods experimented to derive the temperature maps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$T_D \pm \Delta T_D$ [K]</th>
<th>$\beta \pm \Delta \beta$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LMC:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>free $\beta$</td>
<td>21.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fixed $\beta$</td>
<td>20.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fixed $\beta$</td>
<td>19.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fixed $\beta$</td>
<td>18.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dustem</td>
<td>17.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>free $\beta$</td>
<td>22.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.27</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fixed $\beta$</td>
<td>21.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fixed $\beta$</td>
<td>18.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fixed $\beta$</td>
<td>17.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dustem</td>
<td>17.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The values listed are median values in the SED integration region for each galaxy. (1) Fixed $\beta$ model used in this paper.

---

**Fig. 6.** Integrated SEDs of the LMC (solid) and SMC (dashed) after CMB and galactic foreground subtraction, including data from Planck-HFI (red), Planck-LFI (green), IRAS–IRIS (light blue) and WMAP (dark blue). The uncertainties shown are ±3σ. The SMC SED was scaled by a factor 4 providing normalization at 100 $\mu$m.
colder than their surrounding neutral material. However, toward
the outer regions of the LMC, molecular clouds systematically
appear to show a decrease in the dust temperature. This dif-
ference may be due to the absence of star formation activity in the
outer regions and/or to less mixing along the line of sight.

Gordon et al. (2010) derived a dust temperature map from
the Herschel data (HERITAGE program) for a fraction of the
LMC observed during the science demonstration phase (SDP).
They used the 100 μm to 350 μm IRAS and Herschel bands to
constrain the temperature. They found that the temperatures
derived this way only differ from those derived by Bernard et al.
(2008) by up to 10%. The SDP field covered an elongated re-
gion across the LMC roughly oriented north–south. This strip
crossed the warm inner arm, which is also clearly seen in their
map. However, we stress that, in their study, a gradient was re-
moved along the strip based on the values at the edges. The fact
that we detect significantly colder than average dust in the outer
cold arm of the LMC underlines the need to take those varia-
tions into account when subtracting background emission in the
Herschel data.

4.2.2. SMC

The temperature map derived for the SMC shows more mod-
erate temperature variations than those observed in the LMC
but peaks corresponding to well known HII regions are clearly
identified, and the overall dust temperature correlates with
Hα emission, as shown in Fig. 9. In particular, the mas-
size star forming region SMC-N66 (Henize 1956) (α2000 =
00h59m27.40s, δ2000 = −72°10′11″) corresponds to the high-
est temperature (~20 K) in the SMC. Other well known star
forming regions like SMC-N83/84 (01h14m21.0′, −73°17′12″),
N 81 (01h09m13.6′, −73°11′41″), N88/89/90 (in the wing:
01h24m08.1′, −73°08′55″) and DEM S54 (at the centre of
the main bar: 00h50m25.9s, −72°53′10″) appear as temperature peaks compared to the surroundings. In contrast, the infrared-bright star forming region N 76 (located at the northeast of N 66: 01h04m01.2s, −72°01′52″) and southwest star-forming complex do not stand out. This could be due to the presence of an extended warm component that we observe in the main bar and that seems spatially related to the diffuse Hα emission.

4.3. Optical depth determination

The optical depth is derived from:

$$\tau(\nu) = \frac{I_\nu}{B_\nu(T_d)},$$

where $B_\nu$ is the Planck function. We used resolution-matched maps of $T_D$ and $I_\nu$ and derived $\tau$ maps at the various resolutions of the data used here. The uncertainty on $\tau$ ($\Delta \tau$) is computed as:

$$\Delta \tau = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta I_\nu}{I_\nu} \frac{\Delta T_D}{B_\nu(T_D)} + \frac{\delta B_\nu(T_D)}{B_\nu(T_D)} \frac{\Delta T_D}{B_\nu(T_D)}\right)^2}.\quad (2)$$

The optical depth and optical depth uncertainty maps derived at 857 GHz are shown in Figs. 10 and 11 for the LMC and the SMC respectively.

5. Discussion

5.1. The millimetre excess

Bot et al. (2010b) (see Fig. 5) found that the integrated SED of the LMC and more noticeably of the SMC showed excess millimetre emission with respect to a dust and free-free/synchrotron model based respectively on the FIR and the radio data. They investigated several causes for this excess. They noticed that the excess had precisely the colours of CMB fluctuations. They performed simulations by placing the LMC and SMC structure at various positions over a CMB simulated sky, and concluded that a CMB origin was unlikely, but not excluded. Other proposed origins for the excess included the presence of very cold dust, spinning dust or large modifications of the optical properties of thermal dust.
Very cold dust ($T_D \approx 5$–$7$ K) has been advocated to explain the flattening of the millimetre emission observed in more distant low metallicity galaxies (e.g. Galliano et al. 2005). However, this has usually led to very large masses, and the existence of such very cold dust remains controversial and difficult to understand in low metallicity systems where the stronger star formation rate and the lower dust abundances prevent efficient screening from UV photons.

Bot et al. (2010b) applied spinning dust models to fit the SMC and LMC SEDs and found a plausible match. However, since the observed excess peaked at a significantly higher frequency than observed for spinning dust in other regions (e.g. Planck Collaboration 2011p), their fit required extreme density and excitation conditions for the small dust particles.

Using the two level system (TLS) model by Meny et al. (2007) for the long wavelength emission of amorphous solids also proved plausible for the LMC, but a convincing fit could not be found for the SMC, essentially because the model could not reproduce the shape of the excess.

The study carried out here, which takes advantage of the Planck measurements to constrain the CMB foreground fluctuations towards the two galaxies, shows that part of the excess observed toward the SMC cannot be accounted for by the fluctuations of the background CMB, as discussed in Sect. 2.3.2, but the intensity of the excess has been greatly reduced compared to that found by Bot et al. (2010b).

To give more insight into this excess, we built a map to trace the spatial distribution of the excess emission in the SMC. To do this, we applied the fitting procedure performed for the integrated SEDs of the Magellanic Cloud, to each point of the SMC at the angular resolution of the LFI lowest frequency channel. The SEDs were built at each point using the CMB and foreground–subtracted data. To model the SEDs, we assumed that, at each point, the SEDs are dominated by free-free and dust emission up to the longest wavelengths covered by Planck, following what is observed for the integrated emission of the SMC (see Fig. 5). The free-free component at each wavelength was extrapolated from the H$_\alpha$ emission (as in Sect. 3), assuming that
the extinction in the SMC is negligible. The thermal dust emission was then fitted to the data points at $\lambda_{\text{dust}} < 550 \mu m$, using the Draine et al. (2007) dust model. The millimetre excess was then defined as the difference between the data and the dust-and-free-free model. The resulting spatial distribution of the excess emission at 100 GHz (3 mm) is shown in Fig. 12. This shows that the peak of the excess is located at the southwest tip of the bar. This region also corresponds to the maximum of the optical depth derived from the FIR and shown in Fig. 11, and the overall excess spatial distribution is consistent with being proportional to the dust column density.

5.2. FIR dust emissivity

The wavelength dependence of the average dust optical depth in the LMC and SMC is shown in Fig. 13. The spectral index of the emissivity in the FIR is consistent with $\beta = 1.5$ and $\beta = 1.2$ for the LMC and the SMC respectively. This value for the LMC is consistent with findings by Gordon et al. (2010) using the Herschel data. We see no hint of a change of the spectral index with wavelength for the LMC. In contrast, the SMC SED clearly flattens at $\lambda$ with wavelength for the LMC. In this section, we attempt to fit the SED of the SMC using various models which have been proposed to explain excess submm emission, in addition to a single dust emissivity power-law for thermal dust. Figure 14 shows several fits to the FIR-Submm SED of the SMC.

The first fit uses the Finkbeiner et al. (1999) model $\#7$ (see their Table 3), which was designed to explain the flatter-than-expected emission spectrum of our Galaxy as observed by FIRAS. Here we use this model to assess the possibility that the millimetre excess is due to very cold dust. The model was fitted using the same $\beta$ values as in Finkbeiner et al. (1999) for the two dust components ($\beta_{\text{warm}} = 2.6, \beta_{\text{cold}} = 1.5$). It also assumes the same type of relationship between the cold and warm dust temperatures, which in the model reflects the fact that both dust species are subjected to the same radiation field. However, we allow the IR/optical opacity ratio ($q_{\text{cold}}/q_{\text{warm}}$) to vary, so that the ratio between the warm and cold dust temperatures is free to vary. We also leave the cold dust component abundance ($f_{\text{cold}}$) and the warm dust temperature ($T_{\text{warm}}$) as free parameters. The best fit values are found for $T_{\text{warm}} = 16.4 \, K$, $q_{\text{cold}}/q_{\text{warm}} = 168.2$, $f_{\text{cold}} = 8.7 \times 10^{-3}$. For these parameters, the temperature of the cold component is $T_{\text{cold}} = 5.9 \, K$. This cold temperature is needed to reproduce part of the millimetre excess but is much colder than that obtained by Finkbeiner et al. (1999) for the Galaxy ($T_{\text{cold}} = 9.6 \, K$). It is obtained at the expense of strongly increasing the IR/optical opacity ratio for the cold component ($q_{\text{cold}}/q_{\text{warm}}$ increased by a factor 15), which controls the $T_{\text{cold}}/T_{\text{warm}}$ ratio. The mass fraction of the cold component derived here, $f_{\text{cold}}$, is about 4 times lower than that derived for the MW in Finkbeiner et al. (1999), which compensates for the increased $q_{\text{cold}}/q_{\text{warm}}$. It is apparent that, despite invoking a much larger IR/optical opacity ratio for the cold particles, such a model has difficulties producing the submm excess above about $\lambda = 2 \, mm$.

5.3. Possible interpretation of the excess

In this section, we attempt to fit the SED of the SMC using various models which have been proposed to explain excess submm emission, in addition to a single dust emissivity power-law for thermal dust. Figure 14 shows several fits to the FIR-Submm SED of the SMC.

The first fit uses the Finkbeiner et al. (1999) model $\#7$ (see their Table 3), which was designed to explain the flatter-than-expected emission spectrum of our Galaxy as observed by FIRAS. Here we use this model to assess the possibility that the millimetre excess is due to very cold dust. The model was fitted using the same $\beta$ values as in Finkbeiner et al. (1999) for the two dust components ($\beta_{\text{warm}} = 2.6, \beta_{\text{cold}} = 1.5$). It also assumes the same type of relationship between the cold and warm dust temperatures, which in the model reflects the fact that both dust species are subjected to the same radiation field. However, we allow the IR/optical opacity ratio ($q_{\text{cold}}/q_{\text{warm}}$) to vary, so that the ratio between the warm and cold dust temperatures is free to vary. We also leave the cold dust component abundance ($f_{\text{cold}}$) and the warm dust temperature ($T_{\text{warm}}$) as free parameters. The best fit values are found for $T_{\text{warm}} = 16.4 \, K$, $q_{\text{cold}}/q_{\text{warm}} = 168.2$, $f_{\text{cold}} = 8.7 \times 10^{-3}$. For these parameters, the temperature of the cold component is $T_{\text{cold}} = 5.9 \, K$. This cold temperature is needed to reproduce part of the millimetre excess but is much colder than that obtained by Finkbeiner et al. (1999) for the Galaxy ($T_{\text{cold}} = 9.6 \, K$). It is obtained at the expense of strongly increasing the IR/optical opacity ratio for the cold component ($q_{\text{cold}}/q_{\text{warm}}$ increased by a factor 15), which controls the $T_{\text{cold}}/T_{\text{warm}}$ ratio. The mass fraction of the cold component derived here, $f_{\text{cold}}$, is about 4 times lower than that derived for the MW in Finkbeiner et al. (1999), which compensates for the increased $q_{\text{cold}}/q_{\text{warm}}$. It is apparent that, despite invoking a much larger IR/optical opacity ratio for the cold particles, such a model has difficulties producing the submm excess above about $\lambda = 2 \, mm$.  

Fig. 13. Average dust optical depth of the LMC (black) and SMC (blue) obtained from the CMB, foreground and free-free subtracted SEDs. The average is taken in the same regions as Fig. 5. The SMC SED was normalised (multiplied by 6.31) to that of the LMC at 100 $\mu m$. The square symbol (green) shows the reference value for the solar neighbourhood by Boulanger et al. (1996). The dashed lines show $\tau \propto \nu^{1.5}$ and $\tau \propto \nu^{2}$ normalised at 100 $\mu m$. Error bars are $\pm 3$-$\sigma$. 

Fig. 12. Map of the millimetre excess in the SMC at 3 mm computed at the resolution of the LFI–28.5 GHz channel, after free-free subtraction. The contours show the $N_\text{H}$ distribution.
The second fit employs the TLS model developed by Meny et al. (2007). The fit was obtained by minimizing $\chi^2$ in the range $100 \, \mu m < \lambda < 5 \, mm$ against the following 3 parameters: $T_D$ the dust temperature, $l_{cor}$ the correlation length of defects in the material and $\Lambda$ the density of TLS sites in the material composing the grains. The values derived for these parameters are $T_D = 18.9 \, K$, $l_{cor} = 12.85 \, nm$ and $\Lambda = 7.678$. The reduced $\chi^2$ for these parameters is $\chi^2 = 2.56$. Compared to the best values found from Paradis (2007) for the MW ($T_D = 17.9 \, K$, $l_{cor} = 12.85 \, nm$, $\Lambda = 2.42$), this indicates dust material with more TLS sites (more mechanical defects) but a similar defect correlation length compared with the dust dominating the MW emission. Note that a grey-body fit of the same SED over the same frequency range leads to $T_D = 18.9 \, K$ and $\beta = 0.74$ and a reduced $\chi^2 = 6.12$, showing that the TLS model reproduces the data better than a single grey-body fit.

The third fit uses spinning dust. In this case, the thermal dust emission was reproduced using the Draine & Li (2007) dust model. The remaining excess was then fitted with the spinning dust model described in Silsbee et al. (2011). Spinning dust emission has been proved to be sensitive to the neutral and ionised gas densities and to the size distribution of dust grains. The radiation field and the size distributions were taken to be the same as for the Draine & Li (2007) model. The relevant gas parameters were computed with CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 1998): we took the parameters from the optically thin zone of isochoric simulations. In both cases, we took into account the lower metallicity and dust grains abundance with respect to the MW. The electric dipole moment distribution of grains was taken as in Draine & Lazarian (1998b). The fit was obtained by adding two components according to the PDR fraction inferred from the thermal emission model: a diffuse medium with $n_H = 30 \, cm^{-3}$ and 100% of the PAH mass expected from the IR modelling, and a denser medium with $n_H = 5000 \, cm^{-3}$ and 82% of the expected PAH mass.

The fourth fit is a combination of the TLS model and the spinning dust model presented above.

It is clear from Fig. 14 that the model combining TLS and spinning dust is the only one of the proposed models giving a satisfactory fit to the millimetre excess observed in the SMC over the whole spectral range. In addition, it alleviates the need for using more PAH than allowed by the NIR emission to produce the required level of spinning dust emission observed. Alternative models, however, cannot be excluded. For instance, very large grains ($a > 30 \, \mu m$) that would be efficient radiators (Rowan-Robinson 1992) could create emission in the millimetre wavelengths without too much mass. Exploring this possibility would require specific modelling. This is beyond the scope of the current article but should be explored in future studies.

---

Fig. 14. Fit of the SMC SED using the Finkbeiner model (upper left), the TLS model (upper right), the spinning dust model (lower left) and a combination of TLS and spinning dust models (lower right). In the lower panels, the squares represent the flux in each band predicted by the best model (the blue line).
6. Conclusions

We assessed the existence and investigated the origin of the millimetre excess emission in the LMC and the SMC using the Planck data. In the framework of this preliminary analysis, we focused our interest on the thermal dust emission from the galaxies, which we isolated by subtracting other unrelated components such as galactic foreground, CMB fluctuations and free-free emission. More detailed studies in the future will involve full multi-component analysis or the use of more sophisticated component separation algorithms exploiting all spatial and spectral information available in the data.

The integrated SED of the two galaxies before subtraction of the foreground (Milky Way) and background (CMB fluctuations) emission are in good agreement with previous determinations.

The background CMB contribution was first subtracted using an ILC method performed locally around the two galaxies. The uncertainty of this contribution was measured through a detailed Monte-Carlo simulation. We subtracted the foreground emission from the Milky Way using a Galactic H\textsubscript{i} template and the proper dust emissivity derived in a region surrounding the two galaxies and dominated by MW emission. We also subtracted the free-free contribution from ionised gas in the galaxies, us-

galaxies and dominated by MW emission. We also subtracted proper dust emissivity derived in a region surrounding the two galaxies. The LMC temperature map shows the presence of a warm inner arm already found with the Planck Collaboration template and the

\(\lambda<\) 500 \(\mu m\) is found to be consistent with \(\beta=3\) mm.

The spatial distribution of the mm excess in the SMC appears to follow the general pattern of the gas distribution. It therefore appears unlikely that the excess could originate from very cold dust. Indeed, this is confirmed by attempts to fit the SMC emission SED with models with two dust components, which led to poor fits. Alternative models, such as emission excess due to the amorphous nature of large grains, are likely to provide a natural explanation for the observed SEDs, although spinning dust is needed to explain the SED above \(\lambda = 3\) mm.
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