

NON-VANISHING ELEMENTS OF FINITE GROUPS

SILVIO DOLFI, GABRIEL NAVARRO, EMANUELE PACIFICI, LUCIA SANUS,
AND PHAM HUU TIEP

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group, and let $\text{Irr}(G)$ denote the set of irreducible complex characters of G . An element x of G is **non-vanishing** if, for every χ in $\text{Irr}(G)$, we have $\chi(x) \neq 0$. We prove that, if x is a non-vanishing element of G and the order of x is coprime to 6, then x lies in the Fitting subgroup of G .

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of **non-vanishing element** of a finite group G was introduced by M. Isaacs, the second author and T. Wolf in [10]: an element $x \in G$ is non-vanishing if $\chi(x) \neq 0$ for every irreducible complex character χ of G . It is a classical theorem of W. Burnside that every non-linear $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ vanishes on some element of G . In other words, looking at the character table of G , the rows which do not contain the value 0 are precisely those corresponding to linear characters. Somehow violating the standard duality between characters and conjugacy classes, it is in general not true that the columns not containing the value 0 are precisely those corresponding to conjugacy classes of central elements, as there are finite groups having non-central non-vanishing elements. (See [10] for general hypotheses guaranteeing the existence of this type of elements.) In fact, a non-vanishing element of G can even fail to lie in an abelian normal subgroup of G (Theorem (5.1) in [10] provides a family of solvable examples). However, the main result of [10] was to prove that the non-vanishing odd order elements of a solvable group G all lie in a nilpotent normal subgroup of G , i.e. they lie in the Fitting subgroup $\mathbf{F}(G)$. (It remains an open problem to determine whether the odd order hypothesis is really necessary.) Although the authors in [10] were aware of the existence of many non-solvable groups G having non-vanishing elements outside $\mathbf{F}(G)$, now we realize, however, that all these are in fact $\{2, 3\}$ -elements.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C15.

Part of the paper was written while the fifth author participated in the Algebraic Lie Theory Program of the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences (Cambridge, 2009). It is a pleasure to thank the organizers and the Newton Institute for their generous hospitality and support.

The research of the first and third author is partially supported by the MIUR project “Teoria dei gruppi e applicazioni”. The research of the second and fourth author is partially supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia proyecto MTM2007-61161. The fifth author gratefully acknowledges the support of the NSF (grant DMS-0600967).

Theorem A. *Let G be a finite group, and let $x \in G$ be non-vanishing. If the order of x is coprime to 6, then $x \in \mathbf{F}(G)$.*

The groups $A_6, A_7, A_{11}, A_{13}, 2.A_{13}, M_{22}, 2.M_{22}$ all are examples of groups having non-vanishing elements (all of them of order dividing 6). It is tempting to think that a non-vanishing element $x \in G$ should lie in the generalized Fitting subgroup $\mathbf{F}^*(G)$. But this is not true (at least not for even order elements): the group $G = 2^{11} : M_{24}$ has non-vanishing elements of order 2 and 4 outside $\mathbf{F}^*(G) = \mathbf{F}(G)$.

2. THEOREM A

If $x \in G$ is non-vanishing and $N \triangleleft G$, it is then clear that $xN \in G/N$ is also non-vanishing. On the other hand, the hypothesis of being non-vanishing does not behave well when restricted to normal subgroups. This is compensated with the following elementary lemma which uses the character induction formula. Recall that $I_G(\psi)$ is the stabilizer of the character ψ of $M \triangleleft G$. In general, we use the notation of [9].

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a normal subgroup of G and let x be a non-vanishing element of G . Then x fixes an element in every G -orbit on $\text{Irr}(M)$. In other words, for every $\psi \in \text{Irr}(M)$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $x \in I_G(\psi^g)$.*

Proof. This is Lemma (2.3) of [10]. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let G act faithfully and irreducibly on a finite vector space V . Let $x \in \mathbf{F}(G)$ fix an element in each orbit of G on V . Then $x^2 = 1$.*

Proof. This is Theorem (4.2) of [10]. □

To prove Theorem A we need a new result on almost simple groups whose proof we defer until the next section.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that $S \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(S)$, where S is a nonabelian simple group. Let x be an element of odd order of G fixing an element in every G -orbit on $\text{Irr}(S)$. Then $x \in S$.*

There are several remarks concerning this theorem. First of all, the hypothesis of $o(x)$ being odd is necessary: a counterexample is $S = \Omega_8^+(2)$ with $x \in \text{Aut}(S) \setminus S$ having order 2 in $\text{Out}(S)$. But, again breaking the symmetry between conjugacy classes and characters, we stress that the corresponding result holds when we replace the action of G on the set $\text{Irr}(S)$ with that on the set $\text{Cl}(S)$ of conjugacy classes of S . In fact, the proof of Theorem C of [4] can be adapted to prove that if $S \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ and $x \in G$ is such that for every $y \in S$ there is a $g \in G$ such that x fixes (setwise) the conjugacy class of y^g in S , then $x \in S$. Therefore, $S = \Omega_8^+(2)$ is an example where the actions of $\text{Aut}(S)$ on $\text{Irr}(S)$ and $\text{Cl}(S)$ are not permutation isomorphic.

Using Theorem 2.3 we can now prove Theorem A, which was stated in the Introduction.

Proof of Theorem A. Working by induction on $|G|$, we have that $xN \in \mathbf{F}(G/N)$ for every nontrivial normal subgroup N of G .

Assume that M_1, M_2 are minimal normal subgroups of G , with $M_1 \neq M_2$. Then $M_1 \cap M_2 = 1$ and the function $\varphi : G \rightarrow \hat{G} = G/M_1 \times G/M_2$, defined by $\varphi(g) = (gM_1, gM_2)$ for $g \in G$, is an injective homomorphism. Now,

$$\varphi(x) \in \mathbf{F}(G/M_1) \times \mathbf{F}(G/M_2) = \mathbf{F}(\hat{G})$$

and then $\varphi(x) \in \varphi(G) \cap \mathbf{F}(\hat{G}) \leq \mathbf{F}(\varphi(G))$. Since φ induces an isomorphism between G and $\varphi(G)$, we see that $x \in \mathbf{F}(G)$.

We can hence assume that G has a unique minimal normal subgroup M .

Assume first that M is abelian. Observe that we can also suppose that the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ of G is trivial, because $\mathbf{F}(G/\Phi(G)) = \mathbf{F}(G)/\Phi(G)$. So, by Lemma 4.4 of [8, III], the abelian normal subgroup M has a complement H in G . Observing that $\mathbf{C}_H(M)$ is normal in G , it hence follows $\mathbf{C}_H(M) = 1$ and then $\mathbf{C}_G(M) = M$.

Let now V be the group of the irreducible characters of M . Then V is a faithful and irreducible G/M -module. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1 the element xM fixes some element of each orbit of G/M on V . Recalling that $xM \in \mathbf{F}(G/M)$, by Theorem 2.2, it follows that $x^2 \in M$ and, as x is an element of odd order, we conclude that $x \in M \leq \mathbf{F}(G)$.

Let us consider the case when M is nonabelian. To finish the proof, we shall show that $x = 1$.

Write $M = S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_n$, where $S_i = S_1^{g_i}$ for suitable $g_i \in G$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ (set $g_1 = 1$), and S_1 is a nonabelian simple group. Let

$$K = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathbf{N}_G(S_i)$$

be the kernel of the permutation action of G on the set $\Omega = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$. So, $M \leq K \triangleleft G$. Let now L/K be the 2-complement of $\mathbf{F}(G/K)$. By induction, $xK \in L/K$. Recall that by [5] (or [13]), there exists a subset Δ of Ω such that the (setwise) stabilizer of Δ in L/K is trivial. We can assume that $\Delta = \{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$, for some $m < n$. Let θ_1 be a non-principal irreducible character of S_1 and let $\theta_i = \theta_1^{g_i}$ be the corresponding characters of S_i , $i = 2, \dots, m$ (recall that $\theta_1^{g_i}$ is defined by $\theta_1^{g_i}(s_1^{g_i}) = \theta_1(s_1)$, for all s_1 in S_1). Consider the irreducible character of M

$$\psi = \theta_1 \times \cdots \times \theta_m \times 1_{S_{m+1}} \times \cdots \times 1_{S_n}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exists some $g \in G$ such that $x \in I_G(\psi^g)$. So, $y = x^{g^{-1}} \in I_G(\psi)$ and then yK stabilizes the subset Δ . Since $yK \in L/K$ as $L/K \triangleleft G/K$, by the choice of Δ it follows that $y \in K$ and hence that $x \in K$.

We shall next prove that $x \in M$. As the first step, we show that x lies in $S_i \mathbf{C}_G(S_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Without loss of generality, we show this for $i = 1$. Let θ_1 be in

$\text{Irr}(S_1)$, and let $\psi = \theta_1 \times \cdots \times \theta_n$, where $\theta_i = \theta_1^{g_i}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have that x fixes ψ^g for some $g \in G$. Write

$$S_i^{g^{-1}} = S_{\sigma(i)} = S_1^{g_{\sigma(i)}}.$$

Hence

$$S_1^{g_{\sigma(i)}g} = S_i.$$

Then

$$\psi^g = \theta_1^{g_{\sigma(1)}g} \times \cdots \times \theta_1^{g_{\sigma(m)}g}.$$

(This is easily seen by evaluating both sides on an arbitrary element of S_i , for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.) Since $x \in K$ fixes ψ^g , we have that it fixes each of the factors of ψ^g . Hence

$$\theta_1^{g_{\sigma(1)}gx} = \theta_1^{g_{\sigma(1)}g}$$

and therefore $\theta_1^{u x u^{-1}} = \theta_1$, where $u = g_{\sigma(1)}g \in \mathbf{N}_G(S_1)$. We are now in a position to apply Theorem 2.3 with $\mathbf{N}_G(S_1)/\mathbf{C}_G(S_1)$ in place of G , and $S_1\mathbf{C}_G(S_1)/\mathbf{C}_G(S_1)$ in place of S , to conclude that x lies in $S_1\mathbf{C}_G(S_1)$.

Now, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, write $x = s_i c_i$ with $s_i \in S_i$ and $c_i \in \mathbf{C}_G(S_i)$. On the other hand, we can certainly write $x = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n \cdot y$ for some $y \in G$, and we work to show that $y = 1$. We get $s_1 c_1 = s_1 (s_2 \cdots s_n) \cdot y$, whence $y = c_1 (s_2 \cdots s_n)^{-1} \in \mathbf{C}_G(S_1)$. Similarly, we see that y lies in $\mathbf{C}_G(S_i)$ also for every $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, and therefore y is in $\mathbf{C}_G(M) = 1$.

We conclude that $x = s_1 \cdots s_n$ lies in M .

Assume now, working by contradiction, that $x \neq 1$. Since x is a $\{2, 3\}'$ -element, there exists a prime divisor $p \geq 5$ of the order of x . So, there exists a character $\theta_1 \in \text{Irr}(S_1)$ of p -defect zero (see [7, Corollary 1]). Let $\theta_i = \theta_1^{g_i} \in \text{Irr}(S_i)$, and consider $\psi = \theta_1 \times \cdots \times \theta_n \in \text{Irr}(M)$. Observe that ψ is a character of p -defect zero of M . Let now $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ be a constituent of the induced character ψ^G . By Frobenius reciprocity and Clifford's theorem, we see that χ_M is sum of characters $\psi_j = \psi^{y_j}$, for suitable elements $y_j \in G$. Since all the ψ_j are characters of p -defect zero of M and $x \in M$ is an element of order multiple of p , by a classical result of R. Brauer ([9, (8.17)]) we have that all the characters ψ_j vanish on x . We conclude that $\chi(x) = 0$, against the assumption on x . This final contradiction yields $x = 1$, and the proof is complete. \square

3. ALMOST SIMPLE GROUPS

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 2.3:

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We will assume that $x \notin S$ and aim to produce a G -orbit \mathcal{O} on $\text{Irr}(S)$ such that x **moves** every character in \mathcal{O} . Consider the subgroup $J := \langle xS \rangle$ in $A := G/S \leq \text{Out}(S)$.

1) First we show that the theorem holds in the case $J \triangleleft A$. Indeed, by Theorem C of [4], we have that in the action of J on the conjugacy classes of S there is some

orbit of length > 1 . Since J is cyclic, this action of J is permutation isomorphic to its action on $\text{Irr}(S)$. In particular, J has an orbit \mathcal{O}_1 of length > 1 on $\text{Irr}(S)$. Now let \mathcal{O} be the G -orbit on $\text{Irr}(S)$ that contains \mathcal{O}_1 . Since $J \triangleleft A$, J acts semi-transitively on \mathcal{O} , i.e. all J -orbits on \mathcal{O} have the same length. Hence we are done as $|\mathcal{O}_1| > 1$.

2) The structure of the outer automorphism group $\text{Out}(S)$ is described for instance in [6]. By the result of 1), we are done if $\text{Out}(S)$ is abelian. Thus we are left with the cases, where $S = PSL_n^\epsilon(q)$ with $n \geq 3$, $P\Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ with odd q and $n \geq 4$, or $E_6^\epsilon(q)$. Here, $q = p^f$, and $\epsilon = +$ in the untwisted case and $\epsilon = -$ in the twisted case.

Next we consider the case where, modulo the inner-diagonal and field automorphisms of S , x induces a graph automorphism of order $t > 1$. Since $o(x)$ is odd, this implies that $t = 3$ and $S = P\Omega_8^+(q)$. In this case, [12, Theorem 2.5] explicitly describes two subsets of $\text{Irr}(S)$, each containing three irreducible unipotent characters of S such that they are permuted cyclically by graph automorphisms of order 3 of S , but every diagonal or field automorphism of S acts trivially on each of these two sets. Now we can just choose \mathcal{O} to be any of these two sets.

3) Here we consider the case where x induces an inner-diagonal automorphism of S : $xS \in I := \text{Outdiag}(S)$ in the notation of [6]. Thus x belongs to $\mathbf{O}_{2'}(I)$. Notice that I is either cyclic, or elementary abelian of order 4; in particular, $\mathbf{O}_{2'}(I)$ is cyclic. It follows that $J \text{ char } \mathbf{O}_{2'}(I) \text{ char } I \triangleleft \text{Out}(S)$ and so we are done again.

4) Now we may assume that, modulo $\text{Inndiag}(S)$, x induces a field automorphism σ of prime order $t > 2$. We can find a simple, simply connected, algebraic group \mathcal{G} in characteristic p and a Frobenius endomorphism F on \mathcal{G} such that $S = L/\mathbf{Z}(L)$ for $L := \mathcal{G}^F$. We will also consider the pair (\mathcal{G}^*, F^*) dual to (\mathcal{G}, F) and the dual group $H := (\mathcal{G}^*)^{F^*}$, cf. [1]. We will use the Deligne-Lusztig theory (cf. [11], [1], [3]) and aim to find a semisimple element $s \in H$ such that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s)$ is connected, $s \in [H, H]$, but the conjugacy class s^H of s in H is not σ -invariant. The first two conditions imply that the semisimple character $\chi = \chi_s$ of L is irreducible and trivial at $\mathbf{Z}(L)$, hence can be viewed as an irreducible character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(S)$. Notice that, in the cases under consideration, the inner-diagonal automorphisms of S are induced by conjugation using elements in H (when we embed S in H), and so they preserve s^H ; also, we may write $H = \text{Inndiag}(S)$. As a result, I fixes χ , cf. [15, §2]. Since σ moves s^H , [15, Corollary 2.4] and the disjointness of Lusztig series imply that $\chi^\sigma \neq \chi$ and so $\chi^x \neq \chi$.

Now let \mathcal{O} be the G -orbit of χ . Observe that, in our cases, $\text{Out}(S)/I$ is either abelian, or $C_f \times \mathbf{S}_3$, where the latter case occurs only when $S = P\Omega_8^+(q)$ (and $q = p^f$). In either case, since x induces the field automorphism σ modulo H , we see that $\langle xH \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Out}(S)/I$, and so $\langle x(G \cap H) \rangle \triangleleft G/(G \cap H)$. Recall that $G \cap H$ fixes χ . Now arguing as in 1), we see that x moves every character in \mathcal{O} , and so we are done.

The rest of the proof is to construct the desired element s . This construction will follow some arguments given in [14]. In what follows, once the prime ℓ is chosen, we will fix $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ of order ℓ .

5) Let $S = PSL_n(q)$ with $n \geq 3$. Then $H = PGL_n(q)$. We may assume $q > 2$ as otherwise $\text{Out}(S)$ is abelian and we are done. Hence, by [16] there is a **primitive prime divisor** (p.p.d. for short) ℓ of $p^{nf} - 1$, that is, a prime divisor of $p^{nf} - 1$ which does not divide $\prod_{j=1}^{nf-1} (p^j - 1)$. Next, choose $s \in GL_n(q)$ represented by the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Abusing the notation, we will denote the image of s in H also by s (and we will do the same in subsequent parts of the proof). Notice that $\ell \geq nf + 1$, and so $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s)$ is connected and $s \in [H, H]$ (as $o(s)$ is coprime to $|\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{G})|$ and $|H/[H, H]|$). It remains to show that s and s^σ are not conjugate in H . We may assume that s^σ is represented by the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\alpha^r, \alpha^{qr}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}r})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, with $r := p^{f/t}$. Hence it suffices to show that there is no $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ and $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ such that $\alpha^r = \lambda \alpha^{q^j}$. Assume the contrary. Since $o(\alpha) = \ell$ is coprime to $q - 1$, we must have $\lambda = 1$. Next, if $j = 0$, then ℓ divides $p^{f/t} - 1$, and if $j > 0$, then ℓ divides $p^{j(f-f/t)} - 1$. In either case we get a contradiction, as ℓ is a p.p.d. of $p^{nf} - 1$.

6) Consider the case $S = PSU_n(q)$ and $n \geq 3$, whence $H = PGU_n(q)$.

First assume that n is odd. Since $(n, q) \neq (3, 2)$, there is a p.p.d. ℓ of $p^{2nf} - 1$. Next, choose $s \in GU_n(q)$ represented by the matrix $\text{diag}(\alpha, \alpha^{-q}, \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Notice that $\ell \geq 2nf + 1$, and so $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s)$ is connected and $s \in [H, H]$. It remains to show that s and s^σ are not conjugate in H . We may assume that s^σ is represented by the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\alpha^r, \alpha^{-qr}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}r})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, with $r := p^{2f/t}$. Hence it suffices to show that there is no $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ and $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ such that $\alpha^r = \lambda \alpha^{(-q)^i}$; equivalently, $\alpha^r = \lambda \alpha^{q^{2j}}$ for some $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$. As above, this however is impossible as ℓ is a p.p.d. of $p^{2nf} - 1$.

Now assume that $n \geq 4$ is even. Since $\text{Out}(PSU_4(2))$ is abelian, we may assume that $(n, q) \neq (4, 2)$, whence there exists a p.p.d. ℓ of $p^{2(n-1)f} - 1$. Next, choose $s \in GU_n(q)$ represented by the matrix $\text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^{-q}, \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-2}})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Then $\ell \geq (n + 3)f + 1$, and so $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s)$ is connected and $s \in [H, H]$. Arguing as above, we see that s and s^σ are not conjugate in H .

7) Assume $S = P\Omega_{2n}^-(q)$ and $n \geq 4$. Here we choose ℓ to be a p.p.d. of $p^{2nf} - 1$. Next, choose $s \in GO_{2n}^-(q)$ represented by the matrix $\text{diag}(\alpha, \alpha^q, \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{2n-1}})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Since ℓ is odd, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s)$ is connected and $s \in [H, H]$. It remains to show that s and s^σ are not conjugate in H . Here, if q is odd, we can choose γ to be a nonzero non-square element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{1/t}}$ (whence γ is also a non-square in \mathbb{F}_q as t is odd), and define $GO_{2n}^-(q)$ as the group of linear transformations of \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} that preserve the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i^2 - y_i^2) + (x_n^2 - \gamma y_n^2)$. If $2|q$, we choose $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{1/t}}$ such that the

polynomial $v^2 + v + \gamma$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{1/t}}[v]$ (and so in $\mathbb{F}_q[v]$ as t is odd), and define $GO_{2n}^-(q)$ as the group of linear transformations of \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} that preserve the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i + (x_n^2 + \gamma y_n^2)$. Then we can define σ as induced by the field automorphism $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^r$, and so s^σ is represented by the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\alpha^r, \alpha^{qr}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{2n-1}r})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, with $r := p^{f/t}$. Hence it suffices to show that there is no $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$ such that $\alpha^r = \lambda \alpha^{q^i}$. As above, this is impossible as ℓ is a p.p.d. of $p^{2nf} - 1$.

Now assume that $S = P\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ with $n \geq 4$. Since $\text{Out}(\Omega_8^+(2)) \cong \mathbf{S}_3$ consists only of graph automorphisms, we may assume that $(n, q) \neq (4, 2)$. Hence there exists a p.p.d. ℓ of $p^{2(n-1)f} - 1$. Next, choose $s \in GO_{2n}^+(q)$ represented by the matrix $\text{diag}(1, 1, \alpha, \alpha^q, \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{2n-3}})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Again, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s)$ is connected and $s \in [H, H]$. Arguing as above, we see that s and s^σ are not conjugate in H .

8) Finally, we consider the case $S = E_6^\epsilon(q)$. It is easy to see that $J \triangleleft A$ in the cases where $o(x)$ is coprime to 3 or $(3, q - \epsilon) = 1$, so we are done in these cases. Assume $3|(q - \epsilon)$. In the notation of [1], we have $H = E_6^\epsilon(q)_{ad}$ and $S = [H, H]$. Next, the proof of [4, Theorem 3.1] yields a maximal torus T of H (of order $(q^4 - q^2 + 1)(q^2 + \epsilon q + 1)$), such that $T \cap S = \langle s \rangle$ is cyclic and $\mathbf{C}_H(s) = T = \mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}(S)}(s)$. Now assume that s^σ is conjugate to s in H : $s^\sigma = s^h$ for some $h \in H$. Then $h^{-1}\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}(S)}(s) = T < H$ and so $\sigma \in H$, a contradiction.

To complete the proof, we need to show that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s)$ is connected. Under our hypotheses, $Z := \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \langle z \rangle$ has order 3, $\mathcal{G}^* = \mathcal{G}/Z$, and F acts trivially on Z . Abusing the notation, we will identify s with an inverse image of it in $L = \mathcal{G}^F$. Furthermore, we can find an F -stable maximal torus $\mathcal{T} \ni s$ of \mathcal{G} such that $T = (\mathcal{T}/Z)^F$. Then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(s) \geq \mathcal{T}$. Since \mathcal{G} is simply connected, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(s)$ is connected. Moreover, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(s)^F/Z \leq T \cap S$ consists only of semisimple elements. It follows that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(s) = \mathcal{T}$. Assume that there is some $x \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $xsx^{-1} = zs$. Then

$$xsx^{-1} = zs = F(zs) = F(xsx^{-1}) = F(x)sF(x)^{-1}$$

and so $x^{-1}F(x) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(s)$ is connected and F -stable, by the Lang-Steinberg Theorem, there is some $c \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(s)$ such that $x^{-1}F(x) = c^{-1}F(c)$. Setting $y := xc^{-1}$, we see that $ysy^{-1} = xsx^{-1} = zs$ and $F(y) = y$. Thus $yZ \in \mathbf{C}_{L/Z}(s) = \mathbf{C}_S(s) = T \cap S = \langle s \rangle$ and so $y \in \langle s, z \rangle$. But both s and z centralize s , so we obtain $ysy^{-1} = s$, a contradiction. We have shown that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}/Z}(s) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(s)/Z = \mathcal{T}/Z$, whence $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s)$ is connected, as stated. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Carter, *Finite Groups of Lie type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*, Wiley, Chichester, 1985.
- [2] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker, and R.A. Wilson, *An ATLAS of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.

- [3] F. Digne and J. Michel, *Representations of Finite Groups of Lie Type*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts 21, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [4] W. Feit and G.M. Seitz, *On finite rational groups and related topics*, Illinois J. Math. **33** (1989), 103–131.
- [5] D. Gluck, *Trivial set stabilizers in finite permutation groups*, Canad. J. Math. **35** (1983), 59–76.
- [6] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups*, Number 3, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994.
- [7] A. Granville, K. Ono, *Defect zero p -blocks for finite simple groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 331–347.
- [8] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [9] I.M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Dover, New York, 1976.
- [10] I.M. Isaacs, G. Navarro, T.R. Wolf, *Finite group elements where no irreducible character vanishes*, J. Algebra **222** (1999), 413 – 423.
- [11] G. Lusztig, *Characters of Reductive Groups over a Finite Field*, Annals of Math. Studies **107**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1984.
- [12] G. Malle, *Extensions of unipotent characters and the inductive McKay condition*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 2963 – 2980.
- [13] H. Matsuyama, *Another proof of Gluck’s theorem*, J. Algebra **274** (2002), 703 – 706.
- [14] A. Moretó, Pham Huu Tiep, *Prime divisors of character degrees*, J. Group Theory **11** (2008), 341 – 356.
- [15] G. Navarro, Pham Huu Tiep, A. Turull, *Brauer characters with cyclotomic field of values*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), 628 – 635.
- [16] K. Zsigmondy, *Zur Theorie der Potenzreste*, Monath. Math. Phys. **3** (1892), 265 – 284.

SILVIO DOLFI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA U. DINI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI FIRENZE, VIALE MORGAGNI 67/A, 50134 FIRENZE, ITALY.

E-mail address: `dolfi@math.unifi.it`

GABRIEL NAVARRO, DEPARTAMENT D’ÀLGEBRA, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, 46100 BURJASSOT, VALÈNCIA, SPAIN

E-mail address: `gabriel@uv.es`

EMANUELE PACIFICI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA F. ENRIQUES, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, VIA SALDINI 50, 20133 MILANO, ITALY.

E-mail address: `emanuele.pacifici@unimi.it`

LUCIA SANUS, DEPARTAMENT D’ÀLGEBRA, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, 46100 BURJASSOT, VALÈNCIA, SPAIN

E-mail address: `lucia.sanus@uv.es`

PHAM HUU TIEP, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON, AZ 85721, USA

E-mail address: `tiep@math.arizona.edu`