

1 **Moonlighting SnRK2s stabilize a bZIP–bHLH switch in light-instructed plant**
2 **development**

3 Luca Rabagliati and Lucio Conti

4

5

6 **Abstract:** In this issue of *Developmental Cell*, Qin et al. identify three members of the
7 ABA-regulated kinase family SnRK2 as light quality-dependent regulators of hypocotyl
8 elongation. SnRK2s stabilize the transcription factors HY5 and PIF4 independently of
9 their kinase activity, revealing an abscisic acid-independent role for SnRK2s in post-
10 translational regulation.

11

12

13

14 **Contacts and Affiliation:**

15

16 Luca.Rabagliati@unimi.it

17 Lucio.Conti@unimi.it Corresponding Author

18 Department of Biosciences, University of Milan, Italy

19

20

21 Following germination light-exposed seedlings undergo photomorphogenesis. During
22 this developmental program, seedlings undergo complex morphological changes,
23 including a slowdown in hypocotyl elongation. These responses are controlled by
24 signaling networks that combine environmental and internal cues. Revealing how
25 these signals are integrated will help explain how plants adapt to both favorable and
26 stressful environments. In this context, Qin et al.¹ identify a non-canonical role for a
27 class of osmotic stress/abscisic acid (ABA)-regulated SNF1-related protein kinase 2s
28 (SnRK2s) during photomorphogenesis.

29 In Arabidopsis, the SnRK2 family comprises 10 members grouped into subclasses I,
30 II, and III². Subclass III proteins (SnRK2.2/SRK2D, SnRK2.3/SRK2I, and
31 SnRK2.6/SRK2E/OST1) show the strongest ABA-dependent activation. Far-red (FR)-
32 enriched environments, such as canopy shade, are photosynthetically unfavorable
33 and may act as stress signals. Under FR conditions *snrk2.2/2.3/2.6* triple mutants
34 displayed increased hypocotyl elongation compared with the wild type, despite no
35 osmotic or nutritional/energy stress - inducing agents were applied to the growth
36 media. No elongation defects were observed in darkness, while shorter hypocotyls
37 were observed under red (R), blue, white light, and moderate shade. These
38 phenotypes were specific to *snrk2.2/2.3/2.6*, as neither single, double mutant
39 combinations of *snrk2s*, nor complementation of *snrk2.2/2.3/2.6* with *SnRK2.6*
40 displayed the mutant phenotypes. Therefore, SnRK2s suppress hypocotyl elongation
41 under FR-rich conditions but promote elongation under other light environments.

42 Photoreceptors phytochromes (phy) A and B mediate FR and R - induced responses,
43 respectively. Light-activated phys interact with PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING
44 FACTORS (PIFs), encoding a group of basic helix loop helix transcription factors that
45 promote hypocotyl elongation and suppress photomorphogenesis³. Phys trigger rapid

46 phosphorylation and proteasome-mediated degradation of the PIFs, thereby relieving
47 their repression of photomorphogenesis. ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5), a bZIP
48 transcription factor, acts antagonistically to the PIFs by promoting
49 photomorphogenesis, thus inhibiting hypocotyl elongation⁴. Qin et al.¹ detected clear
50 reductions in HY5 protein accumulation in *snrk2.2/3/6* mutants under both FR and R
51 light. PIF4 accumulation was also reduced across all light conditions and in darkness.
52 The combination of *in vitro* and *in vivo* protein-protein interaction studies led to the
53 conclusion that the SnRK2s bind to and promote the accumulation of the two
54 transcription factors with opposite effects: low HY5 accumulation in *snrk2.2/3/6*
55 mutants may account for the increased hypocotyl elongation under FR, whereas
56 reduced PIF4 levels may explain their shorter hypocotyls under R. This idea was
57 further supported by mutant analyses. *hy5* mutants did not enhance the long-hypocotyl
58 phenotype of *snrk2.2/3/6* in FR. Similarly, introducing *pif4* in *snrk2.2/3/6* did not
59 aggravate the short hypocotyl phenotype of *snrk2.2/3/6* under R light. In agreement
60 with the different contribution of HY5 and PIF4 depending on light quality, *hy5 pif4*
61 double mutants resembled *hy5* under FR, indicating that loss of *PIF4* cannot rescue
62 the *hy5* long-hypocotyl phenotype. *hy5 pif4* were similar to the wild type under R,
63 indicating that loss of *PIF4* can largely rescue the *hy5* long-hypocotyl phenotype.

64 A key finding of Qin et al.¹ is that the regulation of SnRK2s on HY5 and PIF4 is
65 independent of their ABA-induced kinase activity. R or FR could not stimulate SnRK2s
66 kinase activity. Importantly, a SnRK2 protein defective in ABA-stimulated
67 autophosphorylation was still able to rescue the hypocotyl phenotypes of *snrk2.2/3/6*
68 in both R and FR. Treatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 revealed increased
69 ubiquitination of HY5 in *snrk2.2/3/6* mutants compared with the wild type.
70 CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1 (COP1), an E3 ubiquitin ligase and

71 repressor of photomorphogenesis, targets HY5 for degradation⁴. Using transient
72 expression assays and *in vitro* interaction studies, the authors showed that SnRK2.6
73 could interfere with the COP1-HY5 interaction and reduce COP1-mediated HY5
74 degradation. Notably, kinase-dead SnRK2.6 proteins retained this function.
75 Conversely, COP1 could stabilize PIF4 under FR and R light¹. Although the molecular
76 basis of this role remains unresolved, COP1 could bind to the active phytochrome B-
77 binding motif of PIF4, the same motif that enables PIFs association with R - activated
78 phyB. *In vitro* and transient assays data indicated that, independent of their kinase
79 activity, SnRK2.6 could facilitate the formation of the COP1-PIF4 nuclear complex and
80 promote PIF4 stabilization.

81 Qin et al.¹ reveal that SnRK2s can either cooperate with or antagonize COP1,
82 independent of their role as ABA-regulated kinases during plant development⁵ (Figure
83 1). The kinase domains contain specialized binding sites with scaffolding function for
84 target-specific phosphorylation. However, non-catalytic functions of kinases have
85 been described, including those acting as adaptors for ubiquitin ligases⁶. Homologues
86 of subclass III SnRK2 are found in algae⁷, suggesting a possible coevolution with light
87 signaling components such as COP1, HY5, and PIFs before terrestrial colonization
88 and recruitment into the ABA pathway. This might thus represent an ancient function
89 of the SnRK2s. However, how does ABA-regulated SnRK2s signaling coexist with their
90 non-catalytic function in land plants? Recent studies indicate that FR increases ABA
91 levels, and that ABA represses hypocotyl elongation⁸. Moreover, the inhibitory effects
92 of ABA on hypocotyl elongation are strictly light dependent⁹. Thus, non-kinase
93 functions of SnRK2s might either reinforce (in FR) or antagonize (in R) their role in
94 ABA signaling. SnRK2s were also shown to promote seedlings growth by sequestering
95 another kinase, SnRK1, thereby activating Target of Rapamycin (TOR) signaling¹⁰.

96 Formation of the SnRK2-SnRK1 complex requires type 2C phosphatases (PP2Cs) but
97 not SnRK2 kinase activity. Under high ABA conditions, sequestration of PP2Cs by the
98 ABA receptors causes dissociation of the SnRK2-SnRK1 complex and SnRK2s -
99 mediated growth inhibition. SnRK2s thus emerge as key nodes mediating between
100 promoting/inhibiting growth and relaying stress responses depending on ABA levels,
101 light conditions, and protein-protein interactions. Further investigation is needed to
102 assess the scaffolding role of SnRK2s for other kinases (or phosphatases) that could
103 modulate HY5/PIF4 phosphorylation. In this context, PP2Cs binding to the C terminus
104 of SnRK2s may overlap with the binding sites for HY5 and PIF4. Do PP2Cs regulate
105 HY5 and PIF4 binding under non stress conditions? Does HY5/PIF4 binding affect
106 SnRK2 kinase activity? Future studies will reveal the structural basis of the SnRK2-
107 HY5/PIF4 complexes and their interface with COP1 and PP2Cs, allowing a more
108 precise understanding of ABA dependent and independent roles of SnRK2 in plant
109 development.

110

111 **Figure 1** Graphic summary of SnRK2 functions in distinct signaling pathways and their
112 effect on hypocotyl growth. Structures illustrate AlphaFold predictions modified with
113 ChimeraX, based on PDB 3UJG and 8YB4.

114

115 **Acknowledgments:**

116 LC lab is supported by a Fondazione Veronesi grant, the National Recovery and
117 Resilience Plan (NRRP) funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU – PRIN
118 PNNR Project P20228HKHM. LR is supported by a fellowship from the Italian Ministry
119 of Research.

120 **Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the manuscript**
121 **preparation process**

122 During the preparation of this work the author(s) used Copilot in order to improve clarity
123 and refine grammar. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited
124 the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the published
125 article.

126 **References**

- 127 1. Qin, X., Yu, T., Yan, Y., Li, H., Hou, S., Zhou, Z., Tang, Z., Duan, J., Peng, J.,
128 Han, R., et al. (2025). Light-quality-directed plant growth strategy controlled by
129 SnRK2s. *Dev Cell*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2025.10.004>.
- 130 2. Hauser, F., Waadt, R., and Schroeder, J.I. (2011). Evolution of Abscisic Acid
131 Synthesis and Signaling Mechanisms. *Current Biology* *21*, R346–R355.
132 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.03.015>.
- 133 3. Leivar, P., Monte, E., Oka, Y., Liu, T., Carle, C., Castillon, A., Huq, E., and Quail,
134 P.H. (2008). Multiple Phytochrome-Interacting bHLH Transcription Factors
135 Repress Premature Seedling Photomorphogenesis in Darkness. *Current*
136 *Biology* *18*, 1815–1823. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.10.058>.
- 137 4. Ang, L.-H., Chattopadhyay, S., Wei, N., Oyama, T., Okada, K., Batschauer, A.,
138 and Deng, X.-W. (1998). Molecular Interaction between COP1 and HY5 Defines
139 a Regulatory Switch for Light Control of Arabidopsis Development. *Mol Cell* *1*,
140 213–222. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765\(00\)80022-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(00)80022-2).
- 141 5. Wang, P., Xue, L., Batelli, G., Lee, S., Hou, Y.-J., Van Oosten, M.J., Zhang, H.,
142 Tao, W.A., and Zhu, J.-K. (2013). Quantitative phosphoproteomics identifies
143 SnRK2 protein kinase substrates and reveals the effectors of abscisic acid
144 action. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* *110*, 11205–11210.
145 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1308974110>.
- 146 6. Kung, J.E., and Jura, N. (2016). Structural Basis for the Non-catalytic Functions
147 of Protein Kinases. *Structure* *24*, 7–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2015.10.020>.
- 148 7. Shinozawa, A., Otake, R., Takezawa, D., Umezawa, T., Komatsu, K., Tanaka,
149 K., Amagai, A., Ishikawa, S., Hara, Y., Kamisugi, Y., et al. (2019). SnRK2 protein
150 kinases represent an ancient system in plants for adaptation to a terrestrial
151 environment. *Commun Biol* *2*, 30. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0281-1>.
- 152 8. Ortiz-Alcaide, M., Llamas, E., Gomez-Cadenas, A., Nagatani, A., Martínez-
153 García, J.F., and Rodríguez-Concepción, M. (2019). Chloroplasts Modulate
154 Elongation Responses to Canopy Shade by Retrograde Pathways Involving

- 155 HY5 and Abscisic Acid. *Plant Cell* 31, 384–398.
156 <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00617>.
- 157 9. Cañibano, E., Rodríguez-Sánchez, N., Gómez-Soto, D., El Kendi, F.Z., Lozano-
158 Juste, J., Kinoshita, T., Oliveros, J.C., Bourbonousse, C., and Fonseca, S. (2025).
159 A PIF-SAUR module safeguards hypocotyl elongation from ABA inhibition in the
160 dark. *Sci Adv* 11. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adv0895>.
- 161 10. Belda-Palazón, B., Adamo, M., Valerio, C., Ferreira, L.J., Confraria, A., Reis-
162 Barata, D., Rodrigues, A., Meyer, C., Rodriguez, P.L., and Baena-González, E.
163 (2020). A dual function of SnRK2 kinases in the regulation of SnRK1 and plant
164 growth. *Nat Plants* 6, 1345–1353. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-00778-w>.
- 165