

ON MEAN CURVATURE FLOW SOLITONS IN THE SPHERE

M. MAGLIARO, L. MARI, F. ROING, AND A. SAVAS-HALILAJ

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider soliton solutions of the mean curvature flow in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ moving along the integral curves of the Hopf unit vector field. While such solitons must necessarily be minimal if compact, we produce a non-minimal, complete example with topology $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. The example wraps around a Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ along each end, it has reflection and rotational symmetry and its mean curvature changes sign on each end. Indeed, we prove that a complete 2-dimensional soliton with non-negative mean curvature outside a compact set must be a covering of a Clifford torus. Concluding, we obtain a pinching theorem under suitable conditions on the second fundamental form.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M and N be Riemannian manifolds and let $f_t : M \rightarrow N$, $t \in (0, T)$, be a one parameter family of isometric immersions. Then $\{f_t\}_{t \in (0, T)}$ is called a solution of the *mean curvature flow* (MCF) if it satisfies the evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} = \mathbf{H}_{f_t}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathbf{H}_{f_t}$ is the unnormalized mean curvature vector field of the immersion f_t . We often say that the immersed submanifolds $f_t(M)$ move by mean curvature flow.

Interesting solutions to (1.1) include minimal submanifolds, which are stationary for the flow, and submanifolds moving along the integral curves of Killing vector fields. More precisely, assume that ξ is Killing on N with associated flow of isometries $\varphi : N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N$, and let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an isometric immersion satisfying

$$\mathbf{H} = \xi^\perp, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\{\cdot\}^\perp$ denotes the orthogonal projection on the normal bundle of M . Then, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the submanifolds $f_t(M) = \varphi(f(M), t)$ move by mean curvature flow, see for example [17, 18]. Solutions to (1.2) are called solitons for the MCF with respect to ξ , or just solitons. In particular, these are *eternal solutions* to the MCF. In the case where N is the Euclidean space and ξ is a constant vector field, then solutions to (1.2) are called *translating solitons* in direction ξ .

We focus on the case where N is the round unit sphere. There are several results concerning the convergence of the MCF for submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, see for example [1–4, 19, 20, 26, 31]. In

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C43, 58E20, 53C24, 53C40, 53C42, 57K35.

Key words and phrases. Mean curvature flow, solitons, Hopf vector fields.

A. Savas-Halilaj is supported by (HFRI) Grant No:14758. L. Mari is supported by the PRIN 20225J97H5 “Differential-geometric aspects of manifolds via Global Analysis”.

recent years there has been increasing interest in *compact* ancient and eternal solutions. In particular, we mention that:

- (a) Huisken & Sinestrari [21, Theorem 6.1] proved under suitable pinching conditions that ancient solutions to the MCF are shrinking spherical caps or equators.
- (b) Choi & Mantoulidis [11, Theorem 1.1] proved a gap phenomenon for ancient mean curvature flows of submanifolds: if the area of f_t is close enough to that of a totally geodesic great sphere as $t \rightarrow -\infty$, then the flow must be a steady or shrinking sphere. In [11, Corollary 1.5], for surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^3$ they obtained a stronger result recovering the case of a steady or shrinking Clifford torus, provided that the area of f_t does not exceed $2\pi^2 + \delta$ with δ small enough.
- (c) Chen & Gaspar [8, 9] constructed an eternal solution to the MCF (in Brakke's sense) in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ connecting an equatorial sphere to a Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.
- (d) Bryan & Louie [5] proved that the only embedded ancient solutions to the curve shortening flow on $\mathbb{S}^2$ are equators or shrinking circles, starting at an equator at time $t = -\infty$ and collapsing to the north pole at time $t = 0$. Bryan, Ivaki & Scheuer established in [4] analogous results for more general mean curvature type flows.

In this work we investigate solutions $f : M^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ to (1.2) in the case where ξ is a unit Killing vector field. Such fields only exist in odd dimensional spheres and arise from the complex structure of the corresponding ambient space. More precisely, ξ can be written in the form $J\nu$ where ν is the unit normal of $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and J a linear complex structure of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. The geometry of such vector fields was initiated by Hopf [15] and they are known in the literature as *Hopf vector fields*. For this reason we call *Hopf solitons* the solutions of (1.2) when ξ is the Hopf vector field. It turns out (see Proposition 2.1 below) that the scalar mean curvature H of a Hopf soliton satisfies the equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\xi^\top) = H^2.$$

Consequently, by the divergence theorem every compact boundaryless Hopf soliton must be a minimal hypersurface that is tangent to the Hopf fibration. Among them we underline the minimal Clifford tori

$$T_{a,b} = \mathbb{S}^a \left(\sqrt{\frac{a}{2n}} \right) \times \mathbb{S}^b \left(\sqrt{\frac{b}{2n}} \right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{a+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{b+1} = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}, \quad a + b = 2n, \quad (1.3)$$

appropriately rotated to make ξ tangent to them. In particular, one can prove that the Clifford torus $T_{1,1}$ is the only complete minimal surface of the 3-sphere which is tangent to the Hopf vector field; see for instance [30] or the more general Proposition 4.1 below. However, in higher dimensions, there exist plenty of other examples, which arise by lifting complete minimal hypersurfaces in the complex projective space via the Hopf fibration. To find non-minimal examples, we shall therefore consider complete, non-compact solitons. One way to construct examples is by rotating special curves. As our first main result, in Section 3, we construct the following example.

Theorem A. *For each $n \geq 1$, there exists a complete, non-minimal Hopf soliton $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ which is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and has the following properties:*

- (a) *$f(M)$ wraps around the same Clifford torus $T_{2n-1,1}$ along each end of M , and the mean curvature of M changes sign on each end;*

(b) *there exists an equator E containing a totally geodesic $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ focal to $T_{2n-1,1}$, such that $f(M)$ is symmetric with respect to the reflection in E .*

Remark 1.1. In the statement of item (a) of Theorem A, the assertion “ $f(M)$ wraps around the same Clifford torus $T_{2n-1,1}$ along each end of M ” is to be understood as follows: there exists $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus [-R, R]))$ can be written as a multigraph over a fixed Clifford torus $T_{2n-1,1}$ and the supremum of the C^1 -norms of the graph functions among all the leaves tends to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

The sign changing property of the mean curvature is not a coincidence, as our second main result points out. Similarly to the case of translators in the Euclidean space, we are able to characterize the mean convex complete examples in $\mathbb{S}^3$.

Theorem B. *Let $f : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ be a complete Hopf soliton without boundary. If there exists a compact set K such that H does not change sign on any component of $M \setminus K$, then M^2 is isometric to a covering of a minimal Clifford torus $T_{1,1}$.*

Theorem B is a consequence of the following more general statement:

Theorem C. *Let $f : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ be a complete Hopf soliton without boundary, and let $K \subset M$ be a compact set. If the mean curvature of a connected component E of $M \setminus K$ does not change sign and is not identically zero, then E is relatively compact.*

To prove Theorem C, we perform a conformal change of the metric of M^2 by an appropriate power of the mean curvature, and adapt a beautiful technique pioneered by Fischer-Colbrie [13] (see also Schoen & Yau [32], Shen & Ye [33], Shen & Zhu [34] and Catino, Mastrolia & Roncoroni [7]) to show that $\overline{E}$ is compact. Unfortunately, our method seems not sufficient to treat complete Hopf solitons in dimensions greater than two. It would be interesting to know if there are complete Hopf solitons on one side of the Clifford torus, or in a closed half-sphere. The latter would be an analogue of Nadirashvili’s construction [24].

The Clifford torus can also be characterized among Hopf solitons whose second fundamental form satisfies the bound $|A|^2 \leq 2n$, very much in the spirit of a classical result by Simons [35], Lawson [23] and Chern, do Carmo & Kobayashi [10].

Theorem D. *Let $f : M^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ be a complete Hopf soliton without boundary, whose second fundamental form A satisfies $|A|^2 \leq 2n$. Suppose that the norm of the traceless second fundamental form attains a local maximum. Then M^{2n} is isometric to a covering of a minimal Clifford torus $T_{a,b}$ for some a, b with $a + b = 2n$.*

2. HOPF SOLITONS

In this section we will derive and summarize the most relevant equations related to Hopf solitons. Before considering this case, let us observe a general fact:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f : M^n \rightarrow N^k$ satisfy the soliton equation*

$$H = \xi^\perp$$

for some Killing vector field on N . Then,

$$\operatorname{div}(\xi^\top) = H^2$$

holds on M^n . As a consequence, compact boundaryless MCF solitons with respect to Killing vector fields must be minimal.

Proof. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the Riemannian metric on N^k and let $\nabla, \bar{\nabla}$ be, respectively, the Levi-Civita connections of M^n and N^k . Fix a local Darboux frame $\{e_i; e_\alpha\}$ along f , with e_i tangent to M^n and e_α normal to M^n . From the identity

$$\xi^\top = \xi - \xi^\perp = \xi - \mathbf{H}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\xi^\top) &= \langle \nabla_{e_i} \xi^\top, e_i \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \xi^\top, e_i \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} (\xi - \mathbf{H}), e_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \xi, e_i \rangle + \langle \mathbf{H}, \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i \rangle = H^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last assertion follows by using the divergence theorem. $\square$

Hereafter, we consider

$$M^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \equiv \mathbb{C}^{n+1}.$$

We denote by D the Levi-Civita connection of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$, by $\bar{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ and by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of the induced metric on M^{2n} . To simplify the notation we often denote the Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$, $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ and M^{2n} by the same symbol $g \equiv \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Moreover, we denote by ν the unit normal of $M^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ and by

$$A = -\bar{\nabla}\nu$$

its corresponding shape operator. The mean curvature vector is defined by

$$\mathbf{H} = (\operatorname{tr} A)\nu.$$

2.1. Hopf vector fields. The space $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ supports many complex structures, i.e. linear isometries $J : \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ such that $J^2 = -I$. Suppose that J is a complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$. The vector field

$$\xi = -Jp, \tag{2.1}$$

where p is the position vector of $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$, is globally defined and tangent to the sphere. The vector field ξ is called the *Hopf vector field*. Denote by ω the 1-form associated to the vector field ξ , i.e.

$$\omega(X) = \langle X, \xi \rangle, \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}).$$

Then, for any vector field X on $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$, the decomposition in tangent and normal components determines a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field ϕ such that

$$JX = (JX)^{\top \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} + (JX)^{\perp \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} = \phi(X) + \omega(X)p. \tag{2.2}$$

One can readily check from (2.2) that ϕ and ω satisfy the following properties:

$$\phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \omega \circ \phi = 0, \quad \omega(\xi) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^2 = -I + \omega \otimes \xi.$$

Moreover, ϕ is skew-symmetric and it is an isometry on the horizontal bundle

$$\mathcal{H} = \{X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}) : \langle X, \xi \rangle = 0\}.$$

Using the Weingarten formula, we easily obtain that

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = D_X \xi + \langle X, \xi \rangle p = -JD_X p + \langle X, \xi \rangle p = -JX + \omega(X)p = -\phi(X), \quad (2.3)$$

for each $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1})$. Since $\phi(\xi) = 0$ it follows that ξ has totally geodesic integral curves. Moreover,

$$|\phi|^2 = |\bar{\nabla} \xi|^2 = 2n.$$

From (2.3) we deduce that ξ is a Killing vector field, i.e.

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_X \xi, Y \rangle + \langle X, \bar{\nabla}_Y \xi \rangle = 0,$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1})$. Any unit Killing vector field on $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ can be written in the form (2.1) for some complex structure of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$; see for example [14, Proposition 3.6].

2.2. Hopf solitons. Let $M^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ be an oriented hypersurface of the sphere. Let us denote by $(\cdot)^\top$ and $(\cdot)^\perp$ the orthogonal projections on the tangent and the normal bundle of M^{2n} , respectively. Assume that the mean curvature vector $\mathbf{H}$ of M^{2n} satisfies the elliptic equation

$$\mathbf{H} = \xi^\perp, \quad (\text{HS1})$$

where ξ is the Hopf vector field described in (2.1). Let

$$H = \langle \mathbf{H}, \nu \rangle$$

be the scalar mean curvature of the hypersurface. Then the equation (HS1) can be equivalently written in the form

$$H = \langle \nu, \xi \rangle. \quad (\text{HS2})$$

Denote now by $\Phi : \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ the flow generated by ξ . Then, for any fixed $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$, the map $\Phi_\theta : \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$, given by

$$\Phi_\theta(p) = \Phi(p, \theta), \quad p \in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1},$$

is an isometry. One can easily verify now that if M^{2n} is an oriented hypersurface satisfying (HS1), then the family

$$M_\theta^{2n} = \Phi_\theta(M^{2n}),$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$, moves up to tangential diffeomorphisms by the MCF in $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$. For this reason, hypersurfaces satisfying (HS1) are called *Hopf solitons*.

Following standard notation in the field, we call the operator

$$\Delta_{\xi^\top}(\cdot) = \Delta(\cdot) + \langle \xi^\top, \nabla(\cdot) \rangle.$$

the *drifted Laplacian* on M^{2n} . In the next lemma we give some important relations between the mean curvature H , the Weingarten operator A and the Hopf vector field ξ .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $M^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ be a Hopf soliton. Then the following formulas hold:*

(a) *The absolute value of H is at most 1. Moreover,*

$$|\xi^\top|^2 = 1 - H^2. \quad (2.4)$$

(b) *The gradient of the scalar mean curvature is given by*

$$\nabla H = (J\nu)^\top - A\xi^\top. \quad (2.5)$$

(c) The drifted Laplacian of the mean curvature is equal to

$$\Delta_{\xi^\top} H = -H|A|^2. \quad (2.6)$$

(d) The drifted Laplacian of the shape operator and of its squared norm are

$$\Delta_{\xi^\top} A = (2n - |A|^2)A - HI + [A, J], \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\xi^\top}|A|^2 = (2n - |A|^2)|A|^2 - H^2 + |\nabla A|^2. \quad (2.8)$$

where I is the identity on TM .

(e) The drifted Laplacian of the principal curvatures of M^{2n} (at points where a local smooth principal frame exists) is

$$\Delta_{\xi^\top} \lambda_i = (2n - |A|^2)\lambda_i - H. \quad (2.9)$$

(f) The drifted Laplacian of the squared norm of the traceless operator $\mathring{A} = A - (H/2n)I$ is

$$\Delta_{\xi^\top} |\mathring{A}|^2 = 2(2n - |A|^2)|\mathring{A}|^2 + 2|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ be a local orthonormal frame along the hypersurface M^{2n} such that

$$\nabla_{e_j} e_i(x_0) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2n\},$$

at a fixed point $x_0 \in M^{2n}$.

(a) We have

$$0 \leq |\xi^\top|^2 = |\xi|^2 - |\xi^\perp|^2 = 1 - H^2.$$

(b) Differentiating the mean curvature with respect to e_i and estimating at x_0 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} e_i(H) &= e_i \langle \xi, \nu \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \xi, \nu \rangle + \langle \xi, \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \nu \rangle \\ &= \langle D_{e_i} \xi, \nu \rangle + \langle \xi, \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \nu \rangle = \langle -J e_i, \nu \rangle + \langle \xi^\top, \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \nu \rangle \\ &= \langle e_i, (J\nu)^\top \rangle - \langle \xi^\top, A e_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla H = (J\nu)^\top - A\xi^\top.$$

(c) Differentiating H again, using the Weingarten formulas, and estimating at x_0 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_j e_i(H) &= \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} e_i, (J\nu)^\top \rangle + \langle e_i, \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} (J\nu)^{\top \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_j} \xi^\top, A e_i \rangle - \langle \xi^\top, (\nabla_{e_j} A) e_i \rangle \\ &= \langle e_i, \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} (J\nu)^{\top \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}} \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_j} \xi^\top, A e_i \rangle - \langle \xi^\top, (\nabla_{e_j} A) e_i \rangle \\ &= \langle e_i, D_{e_j} J\nu \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_j} \xi^\top, A e_i \rangle - \langle \xi^\top, (\nabla_{e_j} A) e_i \rangle \\ &= \langle J e_i, A e_j \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_j} \xi^\top, A e_i \rangle - \langle \xi^\top, (\nabla_{e_j} A) e_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From the identity

$$\xi^\top = \xi - \langle \xi, \nu \rangle \nu = \xi - H\nu,$$

the Weingarten formula and (2.5), we deduce that at the point x_0 it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_j} \xi^\top &= \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} \xi^\top - \langle A e_j, \xi^\top \rangle \nu = \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} \xi - \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} (H\nu) - \langle A e_j, \xi^\top \rangle \nu \\ &= (\bar{\nabla}_{e_j} \xi)^\top + (\bar{\nabla}_{e_j} \xi)^\perp - e_j(H)\nu + H A e_j - \langle A e_j, \xi^\top \rangle \nu \\ &= (\bar{\nabla}_{e_j} \xi)^\top + (\bar{\nabla}_{e_j} \xi)^\perp - \langle J\nu, e_j \rangle \nu + H A e_j. \end{aligned}$$

Observe now that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{e_j}\xi)^\perp = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_j}\xi, \nu \rangle \nu = \langle -D_{e_j}Jp, \nu \rangle \nu = \langle -Je_j, \nu \rangle \nu = \langle e_j, J\nu \rangle \nu.$$

Hence, in view of the equation (2.3), we get that

$$\nabla_{e_j}\xi^\top = -(Je_j)^\top + HAe_j.$$

Combining the last two formulas, we see that at the point x_0 it holds

$$e_j e_i(H) = \langle Je_i, Ae_j \rangle + \langle Je_j, Ae_i \rangle - \langle \xi^\top, (\nabla_{e_j}A)e_i \rangle - H \langle Ae_i, Ae_j \rangle. \quad (2.11)$$

Because J is skew-symmetric and A symmetric, we arrive at

$$\Delta H = -\langle \nabla H, \xi^\perp \rangle - H|A|^2.$$

(d) The results follow from (2.11) and Simons' formula

$$\Delta A = -|A|^2 A + HA^{(2)} + \nabla^2 H + 2nA - HI,$$

see for instance [36, page 240].

(e) Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ be a local orthonormal frame along M^{2n} that diagonalizes A and let λ_i be such that $A(e_i, e_i) = \lambda_i$. Evaluating equation (2.7) on (e_i, e_i) one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\xi^\top} \lambda_i &= (2n - |A|^2) \lambda_i - H + 2 \langle Je_i, Ae_i \rangle \\ &= (2n - |A|^2) \lambda_i - H + 2 \lambda_i \langle Je_i, e_i \rangle \\ &= (2n - |A|^2) \lambda_i - H, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that

$$\langle Je_i, e_i \rangle = 0.$$

(f) Note that

$$\mathring{A}^{(2)} = A^{(2)} - \frac{H}{n}A + \frac{H^2}{4n^2}I,$$

whence we deduce that

$$|\mathring{A}|^2 = |A|^2 - \frac{H^2}{2n}.$$

Now recalling (2.6), (2.8) and (2.11) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\xi^\top} |\mathring{A}|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\xi^\top} |A|^2 - \frac{1}{2n} \Delta_{\xi^\top} H^2 \\ &= (2n - |A|^2) |A|^2 - H^2 + |\nabla A|^2 + \frac{H^2 |A|^2}{2n} - \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{2n} \\ &= (2n - |A|^2) \left(|\mathring{A}|^2 + \frac{H^2}{2n} \right) - \frac{H^2}{2n} (2n - |A|^2) + |\nabla A|^2 - \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{2n} \\ &= (2n - |A|^2) |\mathring{A}|^2 + |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that

$$|\nabla A|^2 = |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{2n}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

3. ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRIC HOPF SOLITONS

In this section we will investigate the existence of complete rotationally symmetric Hopf solitons in the unit sphere.

3.1. Derivation of the equation. Following [6], let us regard the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ consider the complex structure J given by

$$J(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}; x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = (-x_2, x_1, \dots, -x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}; -x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}).$$

We denote by $T_{2n-1,1}$ the Clifford torus defined, in the above coordinates, by

$$T_{2n-1,1} = \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2n-1}{2n}} \right) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2n}} \right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (3.1)$$

which is invariant under the action of J . Let $\delta > 0$ be a positive number, consider an immersed profile curve

$$\gamma = (u, y, z) : I = (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (3.2)$$

parametrized by arc-length, and define the map

$$f : M^{2n} \doteq \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$$

given by

$$f(p, s) = (u(s)p; y(s), z(s)). \quad (3.3)$$

It is clear that f is invariant under the group of isometries $\mathbb{O}(2n)$, where the action of $A \in \mathbb{O}(2n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined by

$$(p; q) \mapsto (Ap; q), \quad \text{for all } (p; q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Suppose that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$. Then,

$$df(\partial_s) = (u'p; y', z'), \quad df(\alpha_i) = (u\alpha_i; 0, 0), \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 2n-1\}.$$

The metric g induced by f on M satisfies

$$g_{ss} = 1, \quad g_{si} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_{ij} = u^2 \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2n-1\}, \quad (3.4)$$

with respect to the frame field $\{\partial_s, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}\}$. Observe that f is an immersion whenever $u(s) \neq 0$. Since the profile curve γ is parametrized by arc-length, we have that

$$u^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (u')^2 + (y')^2 + (z')^2 = 1. \quad (3.5)$$

This means that we can locally represent the components of γ in the form

$$u(s) = \cos r(s), \quad y(s) = \sin r(s) \sin \vartheta(s) \quad \text{and} \quad z(s) = \sin r(s) \cos \vartheta(s), \quad (3.6)$$

where $r \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and $\vartheta \in (0, 2\pi)$. From (3.5), we see that

$$(r')^2 + (\vartheta')^2 \sin^2 r = 1. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.7) it follows that we can represent ϑ in terms of r , whence we deduce that we can represent y and z in terms of u . More precisely, from (3.7) we have that, away from points where $u^2 = 1$, it holds

$$1 \geq |r'| = \frac{|u'|}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\vartheta'| = \frac{\sqrt{1-u^2-(u')^2}}{1-u^2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Hence, by continuity we deduce that $u^2 + (u')^2 \leq 1$. One can easily check that

$$\nu = ((y'z - yz')p; uz' - u'z, u'y - uy') \quad (3.9)$$

is unit and normal along the hypersurface. Moreover, using (3.6), we see that the principal curvatures of M in direction ν are

$$\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{2n-1} = -\frac{\sqrt{1 - u^2 - (u')^2}}{u} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{2n} = \frac{u'' + u}{\sqrt{1 - u^2 - (u')^2}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Using the identities

$$u^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad uu' + yy' + zz' = 0,$$

we deduce that

$$\langle \xi, \nu \rangle = -\langle Jf, \nu \rangle = -u'. \quad (3.11)$$

Consequently, $M^{2n} \subset \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ represents a Hopf soliton if and only if the function u satisfies the following ODE:

$$uu'' + (2n-1)(u')^2 + 2nu^2 - (2n-1) = -uu'\sqrt{1 - u^2 - (u')^2}; \quad (\text{SHS})$$

compare with [6, eq. (3.13)]. Note that (SHS) can be written, equivalently, in the form

$$uu'' - (2n-1)(1 - u^2 - (u')^2) + uu'\sqrt{1 - u^2 - (u')^2} + u^2 = 0.$$

The constant solution of (SHS) corresponds to the Clifford torus $T_{2n-1,1}$ in (3.1). The equation (SHS) is equivalent to the system of first order ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} u' = P(u, v) = v, \\ v' = Q(u, v) = (2n-1)u^{-1}(1 - u^2 - v^2) - v\sqrt{1 - u^2 - v^2} - u, \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

which we regard as defined in the domain

$$D = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u^2 + v^2 < 1 \text{ and } u > 0\}.$$

Note that D is the largest domain where the vector field

$$(u, v) \mapsto F_{(u,v)} = (P(u, v), Q(u, v))$$

is C^1 -smooth. Observe that a solution to (3.12) generates a soliton via the prescription f in (3.3) once the initial value for ϑ is prescribed. In what follows we shall always consider $\vartheta(0) = 0$ so that the map f is uniquely determined by $\varrho = (u, v)$. We call such f the *soliton associated to ϱ* .

3.2. First properties of the solutions. Observe that

$$p_n = (u_n, v_n) = (\sqrt{1 - 1/2n}, 0) \quad (3.13)$$

is the only equilibrium point of (3.12), and from (3.3) it corresponds to $T_{2n-1,1}$ in (3.1). Moreover, note that

$$dF_{(u_n, v_n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -4n & -1/\sqrt{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

with characteristic values

$$\sigma_{1,2} = \alpha \pm i\beta = \frac{-1 \pm i\sqrt{32n^2 - 1}}{2\sqrt{2n}}. \quad (3.14)$$

This means that $p_n = (u_n, v_n)$ is a stable equilibrium point; i.e. the integral curves of the vector field F are accumulating to p_n as a spiral; see [22, p. 111]. Consider the maximal unique solution

$$\varrho : I \doteq (s_1, s_2) \rightarrow D, \quad \varrho(s) = (u(s), v(s))$$

of the system (3.12) issuing from $\varrho(0) \neq p_n$. Define, along ϱ , the functions $g, \zeta : I \rightarrow D$ given by

$$g = \sqrt{1 - u^2 - v^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta = u^{2n-1}g.$$

From (3.12) and direct computation, we see that

$$g' + (2n-1)\frac{v}{u}g = v^2, \quad (3.15)$$

whence, by an integration, we infer the following monotonicity formula, which will be crucial for our investigation:

$$\zeta' = (u^{2n-1}g)' = u^{2n-1}v^2 \geq 0. \quad (3.16)$$

As a consequence, we easily obtain the behavior of ϱ for positive values of s .

Fact 1: *Any solution ϱ (regardless to the initial condition in D) is defined for all positive times and tends to p_n spiraling around it as $s \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof: A first consequence of (3.16) is that ϱ cannot be periodic, i.e. there are no periodic solutions of the dynamical system (3.12). Integrating (3.16), we see that

$$u^{2n-1}(s)g(s) \geq u(0)^{2n-1}g(0) \doteq c > 0, \quad \text{for } s > 0.$$

Hence, $\varrho([0, s_2))$ is contained in the compact set $\{\zeta \geq c\} \subset D$. Hence, $s_2 = \infty$ and by the classical Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem (see, for example, [22]), ϱ either converges to a cycle or tends to the equilibrium point p_n . Since there are no periodic solutions, the existence of a cycle is excluded. The behaviour as a spiral around p_n is guaranteed by the local behaviour around a stable equilibrium point with roots (3.14). $\ast$

3.3. Construction of solutions issuing from points of $\partial D \cap \{u > 0\}$. In this paragraph we construct solutions of (3.12) issuing from any fixed point $q \in \partial D \cap \{u > 0\}$. The key point is the validity of the following property.

Fact 2: *Let $q \in \partial D \cap \{u > 0\}$ and assume that there exist $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ and solutions $\varrho_j = (u_j, v_j) : [0, \delta] \rightarrow D$ to (3.12) satisfying:*

$$\varrho_j(0) \rightarrow q \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_j([0, \delta]) \subset \{u \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then, there exists $\hat{\varrho} : [0, \delta] \rightarrow \overline{D}$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\varrho_j \rightarrow \hat{\varrho}$ in $C^\alpha([0, \delta])$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, $\hat{\varrho} \in C^1([0, \delta])$ solves (3.12) on $[0, \delta]$ with $\hat{\varrho}(0) = q$. Furthermore, $\hat{\varrho}(s) \in D$ for $s > 0$, and it gives rise to a Hopf soliton.

Proof: Since the images of ϱ_j are contained in $\{u \geq \varepsilon\}$, then by (3.12) ϱ_j are uniformly bounded in $C^1([0, \delta])$. Thus, by Ascoli-Arzelà, they converge in $C^\alpha([0, \delta])$ up to subsequences (not relabelled) to some $\hat{\varrho} : [0, \delta] \rightarrow \overline{D}$ such that $\hat{\varrho}(0) = q$. Write $\varrho_j = (u_j, v_j)$ and $\hat{\varrho} = (u, v)$. As uniform limit of solutions to (3.12), $\hat{\varrho}$ solves (3.12), hence $\varrho \in C^1([0, \delta])$. To prove that $\hat{\varrho}(s) \in D$ for $s > 0$, and consequently that $\hat{\varrho}$ generates a Hopf soliton, we proceed as follows:

to each ϱ_j , we associate functions y_j and z_j , as explained in Section 3.1. Consider now the immersion $f_j : \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times [0, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ given by

$$f_j(p, s) = (u_j(s)p; y_j(s), z_j(s)).$$

By using (3.10), (3.12) and (3.5), and since $\varrho_j([0, \delta]) \subset \{u \geq \varepsilon\}$, the second fundamental form of f_j is uniformly bounded and so are u'_j, y'_j, z'_j, u''_j . It follows that, up to a subsequence, f_j converges in $C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\alpha}$ to a limit map $f_\infty : \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times (0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ given by

$$f_\infty(p, s) = (u_\infty(s)p; y_\infty(s), z_\infty(s)).$$

In particular, $u_\infty = u$ and we suppress the subscript ∞ for convenience. Since each f_j is a Hopf soliton, by elliptic regularity for solutions to the PDE describing (HS2), so is f . Assume by contradiction the existence of $\bar{s} > 0$ so that $\hat{\varrho}(\bar{s}) \in \partial D$. Define

$$\zeta_j = u_j^{2n-1} g_j \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta = u^{2n-1} g.$$

From (3.16) we deduce that each ζ_j is monotone, hence so is ζ . Therefore,

$$0 = \zeta(0) = \zeta(\bar{s})$$

imply that $\zeta \equiv 0$ on $[0, \bar{s}]$, thus $\hat{\varrho}([0, \bar{s}]) \subset \partial D$. By using (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), it turns out that for f it holds $r' \equiv 1$ and $\vartheta' \equiv 0$ on $[0, \bar{s}]$. Thus $f : \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times (0, \bar{s}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ is given by

$$f(p, s) = (p \cos(s + s_0), \sin(s + s_0) \sin \vartheta_0, \sin(s + s_0) \cos \vartheta_0),$$

for some constants s_0 and ϑ_0 . Therefore, the map f represents a piece of a totally geodesic sphere. However, by (3.11) it follows that for all $s \in (0, \bar{s})$ it holds

$$0 = H = -u' = \sin(s + s_0),$$

contradiction. Having shown that $\hat{\varrho}(s) \in D$ for $s > 0$, and that the limit map f is a Hopf soliton, the proof is complete. $\ast$

Fact 3: *For any fixed $q \in \partial D \cap \{u > 0\}$ there exists a solution $\varrho : (0, \infty) \rightarrow D$ to (3.12) that extends C^1 at $s = 0$ with $\varrho(0) = q$.*

Proof: Consider a sequence of points $q_j \in D$ with $q_j \rightarrow q$, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $q_j \in \{u \geq 2\varepsilon\}$ for each j . Let $\varrho_j : [0, \infty) \rightarrow D$ solve (3.12) with initial data $q_j = \varrho_j(0)$. Note that ϱ_j is defined on the entire half line by Fact 1. Moreover, from the bound on ϱ'_j guaranteed by (3.12) on $\{u \geq \varepsilon\}$ we infer the existence of $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $\varrho_j([0, \delta]) \subset \{u \geq \varepsilon\}$. Applying Fact 2, we construct a limit curve $\varrho : (0, \delta] \rightarrow D$ with the desired properties. Additionally, this limit curve can be extended to $(0, \infty)$ by Fact 1. $\ast$

We point out that the analysis of the solutions of (3.12) that we have performed so far is already enough to conclude the proof of Theorem A. Nonetheless, we now proceed to a detailed study of some of the features of the generic solution to (3.12), which we deem of independent interest. The proof of Theorem A shall be presented at the end of the next subsection.

3.4. Further properties of solutions to (3.12). In this paragraph we shall prove that every solution of (3.12) issues from a point of $\{u^2 + v^2 = 1\}$ at some finite time. Hereafter, let $\varrho : (s_1, \infty) \rightarrow D$ be a solution to (3.12) with initial condition $\varrho(0) = q \in D \setminus \{p_n\}$, defined on a maximal time interval. Observe that ϱ does not correspond to the Clifford torus.

Fact 4: *The curve ϱ escapes every compact set of D as $s \rightarrow s_1$, and $\lim_{s \rightarrow s_1} \zeta = 0$. In other words, ϱ approaches in Hausdorff distance $\partial D = \{\zeta = 0\}$ as $s \rightarrow s_1$.*

Proof: If $s_1 > -\infty$ the claim follows by general ODE theory. Assume that $s_1 = -\infty$ and to the contrary that

$$\overline{\varrho((-\infty, 0))} \subset D.$$

By the Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem, and since there are no limit cycles, it follows that $\varrho \rightarrow p_n$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. However, in this case

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \zeta = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \zeta,$$

hence ζ is constant because of its monotonicity. From $0 = \zeta' = u^{2n-1}v^2$, we deduce that $v \equiv 0$. By (3.12), ϱ coincides with p_n contradicting our assumption. Again by monotonicity ζ must tend to zero as $s \rightarrow s_1$, since otherwise the curve ϱ stays within a compact set, something we already excluded. $\circledast$

In the sequel we will exclude the possibility that ϱ wraps around the boundary of D . To this end, consider polar coordinates centered at p_n , i.e.

$$u = u_n + r \cos \theta \quad \text{and} \quad v = r \sin \theta.$$

A direct computation gives

$$\theta' = -1 + (2n-1) \frac{g^2 \cos \theta}{u} - g \sin \theta \cos \theta - u_n \frac{\cos \theta}{r}. \quad (3.17)$$

Fact 5: *There exists $s_0 > s_1$ such that $\theta' < -1/3$ on (s_1, s_0) .*

Proof: By Fact 4, for fixed ε there exists s_ε such that

$$\rho((s_1, s_\varepsilon)) \subset \{g < \varepsilon\} \cup \{u < \varepsilon^3\}.$$

On $\{g < \varepsilon\}$, we have

$$1 - \varepsilon^2 < (u_n + r \cos \theta)^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta = r^2 + u_n^2 + 2ru_n \cos \theta,$$

and thus

$$\frac{u_n \cos \theta}{r} > \frac{1 - 2n\varepsilon^2}{4nr^2} - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.18)$$

On the subset $\{g < \varepsilon, u \geq u_n\}$, inequalities

$$0 \leq \frac{\cos \theta}{r} < \frac{1}{1 - u_n - \varepsilon},$$

hold, and thus from (3.17) we deduce

$$\theta' < -1 + (2n-1) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{u_n} \frac{1}{1 - u_n - \varepsilon} + \varepsilon < -\frac{1}{3},$$

if ε is small enough. On the subset $\{g < \varepsilon, u < u_n\}$, we have $\cos \theta < 0$, hence we discard the term with g^2/u and use (3.18) to deduce that for small enough ε , we have

$$\theta' < -1 + \varepsilon - \frac{1 - 2n\varepsilon^2}{4nr^2} + \frac{1}{2} < -\frac{1}{3}.$$

Eventually, on $\{u < \varepsilon^3, g \geq \varepsilon\}$ and for small enough ε we have

$$(2n-1)\frac{g^2}{u} - u_n \geq (2n-1)\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^3} - u_n > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r \cos \theta < \varepsilon - u_n < 0,$$

and thus from $|g \cos \theta \sin \theta| < 1/2$ we deduce

$$\theta' < -1 + \frac{1}{2} < -\frac{1}{3}.$$

This concludes the proof. *

Set

$$\theta^* = \lim_{s \rightarrow s_1} \theta.$$

Fact 6: $\theta^* < \infty$.

Proof: Assume to the contrary that the converse is true. Then, from Facts 4 and 5, the curve ϱ spirals towards ∂D as $s \rightarrow s_1$, with limit set the entire ∂D . Moreover, observe that for each $c > 0$ the derivative θ' is bounded on sets of the form $\{g < \varepsilon\} \cap \{u > c\}$. Therefore, if $\theta^* = \infty$ then necessarily $s_1 = -\infty$. Let $p = (1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2})$, and pick a sequence $s_j \rightarrow -\infty$ such that $\varrho(s_j) \rightarrow p$. Fix a small $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the region

$$V = \{g < \varepsilon\} \cap \{|\psi - \pi/4| < \varepsilon\},$$

where here ψ is the angle measured from the origin of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Since $|\theta'|$ is bounded on $\varrho^{-1}(V)$, then there exists δ such that, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the interval $[s_j, s_j + \delta]$ is mapped by ϱ to V . Defining $\varrho_j : [0, \delta] \rightarrow D$ by $\varrho_j(s) = \varrho(s - s_j)$, we can apply Fact 2 to deduce that $\varrho_j \rightarrow \hat{\varrho}$ uniformly on $[0, \delta]$, where $\hat{\varrho}(s) \in D$ for $s \neq 0$. The image of $\hat{\varrho}$ would therefore be part of the limit set of ϱ , contradicting Fact 4. *

Having proved that $\theta^* < \infty$, by Fact 5 necessarily $s_1 > -\infty$. We continue with the following:

Fact 7: *The curve ϱ converges to some point $(u_1, v_1) \in \partial D$ as $s \rightarrow s_1$.*

Proof: The conclusion follows since θ has a finite limit θ^* as $s \rightarrow s_1$, ∂D is star-shaped with respect to p_n and ϱ is approaching ∂D by Fact 4. *

Fact 8: *It holds $u_1^2 + v_1^2 = 1$.*

Proof: Assume, to the contrary, that $u_1^2 + v_1^2 < 1$. From $(u_1, v_1) \in \partial D$ we deduce $u_1 = 0$, $|v_1| < 1$. By Fact 5, we have that $\theta' < 0$ on (s_1, s_0) . Thus $v > 0$ in a neighbourhood $(s_1, s_*) \subset (s_1, 0)$, where we choose s_* to be the first time after s_1 where $v = 0$. Hence, $v_1 \geq 0$. We differentiate $\log u$ twice to get

$$(\log u)'' + g(\log u)' = \frac{2ng^2 - 1}{u^2}.$$

Integrating on (s, s_*) and using $v(s_*) = 0$, we get that for $s \in (s_1, s_*)$ it holds

$$0 \leq (\log u)' = \exp \left(\int_s^{s_*} g(t) dt \right) \int_s^{s_*} \frac{1 - 2ng^2(t)}{u^2(t)} \exp \left(- \int_t^{s_*} g(\tau) d\tau \right) dt. \quad (3.19)$$

Since ϱ has a limit, it follows that

$$g(s) \rightarrow g_1 = \sqrt{1 - v_1^2}, \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow s_1.$$

Assume that $1 - 2ng_1^2 < 0$. Then, because $|u'(s)| \leq 1$, the right-hand side of the equation (3.19) diverges to $-\infty$ as $s \rightarrow s_1$, a contradiction. Hence,

$$1 - 2ng_1^2 \geq 0$$

and, in particular $v_1 > 0$. By L'Hôpital's Rule and since $u(s) \sim v_1(s - s_1)$, as $s \rightarrow s_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^{s^*} \frac{1 - 2ng(t)^2}{u(t)^2} \exp\left(-\int_t^{s^*} g(\tau)d\tau\right) dt &= o\left(\frac{1}{s - s_1}\right) && \text{if } 1 = 2ng_1^2; \\ \int_s^{s^*} \frac{1 - 2ng(t)^2}{u(t)^2} \exp\left(-\int_t^{s^*} g(\tau)d\tau\right) dt &\sim \frac{1 - 2ng_1^2}{v_1^2(s - s_1)} \exp\left(-\int_{s_1}^{s^*} g(\tau)d\tau\right) && \text{if } 1 > 2ng_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(\log u)' = v/u \sim 1/(s - s_1), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow s_1.$$

Plugging into (3.19), we arrive at the conclusion that

$$1 - 2ng_1^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = \frac{1 - 2ng_1^2}{v_1^2}.$$

Therefore $v_1 = 1$, which leads to a contradiction. $\otimes$

Proof of Theorem A. Consider the solution ϱ in Fact 3 issuing from the point $(1, 0)$ and let $f : \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ be the corresponding unique rotationally symmetric Hopf soliton given as in Section 3.1. From Fact 1, the map f tends to the Clifford torus $T_{2n-1,1}$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, and since

$$H = -u' = -v$$

the behaviour of ϱ as a spiral guarantees that H changes sign in each end. Also, f satisfies

$$f(p, 0) = (p; 0, 0),$$

so the boundary of M is the round, totally geodesic $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ which is focal to $T_{2n-1,1}$. From Fact 3, the function u extends C^2 up to $s = 0$, and from (3.10), (3.12) the principal curvatures of f are zero along ∂M . Let us locally represent M in a region around ∂M as a graph over an equator of $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$. Then by direct computations we see that the gradient and the Hessian of the height function are controlled by the metric, the unit normal and the second fundamental form of f . Therefore, the map f is C^2 -smooth up to the boundary and ∂M is totally geodesic in M , too. Up to a rotation τ in the plane (y, z) , which commutes with J and thus preserves Hopf solitons, we can assume that γ in (3.2) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(0) &= (u(0), y(0), z(0)) = (1, 0, 0), \\ \gamma'(0) &= (u'(0), y'(0), z'(0)) = (0, 1, 0), \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

so that $\nu(p, 0) = (0; 0, -1)$. Consider the reflection R of $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ given by

$$R(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}; x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}; -x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}),$$

which fixed ∂M and $\nu(p, 0)$. By a direct computation we see that $R \circ f$ is also a rotationally symmetric solution to (3.11). Observe that the rotationally symmetric hypersurfaces given by f and $R \circ f$ meet along the unit sphere

$$\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \cap \{x_{n+1} = 0 = x_{n+2}\}.$$

From (3.20), (3.9), (3.12), and (3.10), we see that the map $\hat{f} : \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$, given by

$$\hat{f}(p, s) = \begin{cases} f(p, s), & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ R \circ f(p, -s), & \text{if } s \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

is a C^2 -smooth hypersurface which solves (3.11). Elliptic regularity implies that $\hat{f}$ is even C^∞ -smooth. Fact 1 and (3.12) guarantee that $u(s) \rightarrow u_n$ and $u'(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Since $T_{2n-1,1}$ is invariant by R and by the rotation τ , the map $\hat{f}$ therefore satisfies the properties in Remark 1.1, i.e. it wraps around $T_{2n-1,1}$ both as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. Concluding, by (3.4) the metric induced by $\hat{f}$ is

$$g = ds^2 + \hat{u}(s)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}},$$

with $g_{\mathbb{S}}$ the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ and $\hat{u}$ a positive smooth function globally defined on $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, by [25, Lemma 40, p. 209], the metric g is complete.

4. RIGIDITY THEOREMS

In this section we give the proofs of our main rigidity results.

4.1. Proof of Theorem C. Without loss of generality we may assume that $H \geq 0$ on E . By (2.6) and the strong maximum principle, either $H \equiv 0$ on E or $H > 0$ everywhere. The first case does not occur by assumption. Up to removing a compact neighbourhood of ∂E with smooth boundary, we can therefore assume that ∂E is smooth and that $H > 0$ on $\overline{E}$.

Recall that the Gauss curvature K of M^2 is given by the formula

$$K = 1 - \frac{|A|^2}{2} + \frac{H^2}{2}.$$

The idea of the proof is inspired by [13]. Consider the metric

$$\bar{g} = H^{2\beta} g,$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a constant to be determined. We shall prove that $\overline{E}$ is compact. Assume the converse. Then, by a direct adaptation of [28, Lemma 2.2] to manifolds with a compact boundary (simply replace the chosen origin o there by ∂E) we can construct a “shortest ray” $\gamma : [0, T) \rightarrow \overline{E}$ with $\gamma(0) \in \partial E$ and the following properties:

- (i) γ is a divergent curve parametrized by $\bar{g}$ -unit speed, and $\gamma((0, T)) \subset E$;
- (ii) γ is $\bar{g}$ -minimizing between any pair of its points;
- (iii) $(M, \bar{g})$ is complete if and only if $T = \infty$.

We will reach a contradiction by showing that, for some β , $(\overline{E}, \bar{g})$ is complete and its sectional curvature is bounded below by a positive constant c^2 . Indeed, by Bonnet-Myers’ argument, in this case the diameter of $(\overline{E}, \bar{g})$ does not exceed π/c , hence $\overline{E}$ should be compact against our assumption.

Keeping in mind (2.6), we see that the Gauss curvature of the $\bar{g}$ -metric is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{K} &= H^{-2\beta} (K - \Delta \log H^\beta) \\ &= H^{-2\beta} \left\{ 1 + \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2} \right) |A|^2 + \frac{H^2}{2} + \beta \frac{\langle \xi^\top, \nabla H \rangle}{H} + \beta \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^2} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Using formula (2.4) and Young's inequality, we get

$$\beta \frac{\langle \xi^\top, \nabla H \rangle}{H} \geq -\frac{\beta}{2} \frac{2|\xi^\top| |\nabla H|}{H} \geq -\frac{\beta(1-H^2)}{2\delta} - \frac{\beta\delta}{2} \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^2},$$

where δ is a positive constant such that

$$1/4 \leq \beta/2 < \delta \leq 2. \quad (4.1)$$

Furthermore, note that

$$|H|^{-2\beta} \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |A|^2 \geq H^2/2.$$

From the above estimates and the choice of the constants in (4.1) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{K} &\geq \frac{1}{H^{2\beta}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\beta}{2\delta} + \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\beta}{2\delta} \right) H^2 + \beta \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^2} \right\} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\beta}{2\delta} + \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\beta}{2\delta} \right) H^2 + \beta \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^2}. \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\beta}{2\delta} \\ &> 0.\end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Denote by s and $\bar{s}$, respectively, the g - and $\bar{g}$ -arclengths of γ . Since γ is divergent and $(\bar{E}, g)$ is complete, in g -arclength the curve γ is parametrized for $s \in [0, \infty)$. By property (iii), to check that $(\bar{E}, \bar{g})$ is complete it is enough to show that

$$T = \int_0^\infty H^\beta ds = \infty.$$

Since γ is a minimizing $\bar{g}$ -geodesic, the second variation gives that

$$\int_0^T \{ (\varphi_{\bar{s}})^2 - \bar{K} \varphi^2 \} d\bar{s} \geq 0, \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_0^\infty([0, T]), \quad (4.3)$$

where $C_0^\infty([0, T]) = \{ \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T]) : \varphi(0) = 0 \}$. Observe that

$$\partial_{\bar{s}} = H^{-\beta} \partial_s, \quad d\bar{s} = H^\beta ds, \quad \varphi_{\bar{s}} = H^{-\beta} \varphi_s.$$

Setting $\varphi' = d\varphi/ds$, the inequality (4.3) becomes

$$\int_0^\infty \{ (\varphi')^2 H^{-\beta} - \bar{K} H^\beta \varphi^2 \} ds \geq 0, \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_0^\infty([0, \infty)). \quad (4.4)$$

Set now $\varphi = H^\beta \psi$, where ψ is a test-function to be determined later. Then

$$\varphi' = \beta H^{\beta-1} H' \psi + H^\beta \psi'.$$

From (4.4) and (4.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \{H^\beta(\psi')^2 + \beta^2 H^{\beta-2}(H')^2 \psi^2 + 2\beta H^{\beta-1} H' \psi \psi'\} ds \\ & \geq \int_0^\infty H^\beta \psi^2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{\beta}{2\delta} + \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\beta}{2\delta} \right) H^2 + \beta \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \frac{(H')^2}{H^2} \right\} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Choosing

$$\delta = 2 - 2\beta, \quad \delta > 2/5 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta < 4/5, \quad (4.6)$$

all the conditions given in (4.1) are satisfied. Moreover, the inequality (4.5) becomes

$$\int_0^\infty \{H^\beta(\psi')^2 + 2\beta H^{\beta-1} H' \psi \psi'\} ds \geq \frac{4-5\beta}{2\delta} \int_0^\infty H^\beta \psi^2 ds. \quad (4.7)$$

Fix a constant $r > 1$ and choose now a test-function ψ of the form

$$\psi(s) = s\varrho(s), \quad s \in [0, \infty),$$

where $\varrho \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty))$ is a decreasing positive function which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \varrho(s) = 1 & \text{for } s \in [0, r], \\ \varrho(s) = 0 & \text{for } s \in [2r, \infty), \\ |\varrho'(s)| \leq c/r & \text{for } s \in [r, 2r], \\ |\varrho''(s)| \leq c/r^2 & \text{for } s \in [r, 2r]. \end{cases}$$

for some constant $c > 0$ independent of r . Then

$$\psi' = \varrho + s\varrho' \quad \text{and} \quad \psi'' = 2\varrho' + s\varrho''.$$

From equation (4.7) and integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4-5\beta}{2\delta} \int_1^r H^\beta ds & \leq \frac{4-5\beta}{2\delta} \int_1^r H^\beta s^2 \varrho^2 ds \\ & \leq \frac{4-5\beta}{2\delta} \int_0^{2r} H^\beta s^2 \varrho^2 ds = \frac{4-5\beta}{2\delta} \int_0^{2r} H^\beta \psi^2 ds \\ & \leq \int_0^{2r} \{H^\beta(\psi')^2 + (H^\beta)'(\psi^2)'\} ds = \int_0^{2r} H^\beta \{(\psi')^2 - (\psi^2)''\} ds \\ & = \int_0^{2r} H^\beta \{-2\psi\psi'' - (\psi')^2\} ds \leq - \int_0^{2r} 2H^\beta \psi\psi'' ds \\ & \leq \int_r^{2r} H^\beta |2s\varrho\varrho' + 2s^2\varrho\varrho''| ds \\ & \leq 4c \int_r^{2r} H^\beta ds. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{4-5\beta}{2\delta} \int_1^r H^\beta \psi^2 ds \leq 4c \int_r^{2r} H^\beta ds \leq 4c \int_r^\infty H^\beta ds.$$

If the right-hand side is finite, then by letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ we reach a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\int_1^\infty H^\beta ds = \infty,$$

hence $\bar{g}$ is complete, and this completes the proof.

4.2. Proof of Theorem B. We begin with the following:

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that $f : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ is a complete Hopf soliton without boundary. If there exists an open subset $U \subset M$ so that H does not change sign and vanishes somewhere on U , then f is a covering of a Clifford torus $T_{1,1}$.*

Proof. By (2.6) and Hopf's strong maximum principle, we get that $H \equiv 0$ on U , hence on the entire M by unique continuation. This implies that ξ is everywhere tangent to M^2 and, in fact, it gives rise to a Killing vector field on M^2 . According to [29, Theorem 8.2.2] the Ricci curvature of M^2 in the direction of ξ is given by

$$\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi) = |\nabla \xi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\xi|^2.$$

This implies that the Gauss curvature K of the surface satisfies

$$K = |\nabla \xi|^2 \geq 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Consequently, the manifold M^2 is parabolic, see [16]. On the other hand, from the Gauss equation we have that

$$2K = 2 - |A|^2. \quad (4.9)$$

Combining (4.8) and (4.9), we conclude M is a complete minimal parabolic surface with

$$|A|^2 \leq 2.$$

In this case Simons' equation becomes

$$\Delta |A|^2 = 2(2 - |A|^2)|A|^2 + 2|\nabla A|^2 \geq 0.$$

From parabolicity we conclude that either $|A|^2 \equiv 0$ or $|A|^2 \equiv 2$. If $|A| \equiv 0$, then $f(M^2)$ is a great sphere of $\mathbb{S}^3$. However this possibility cannot occur, because ξ cannot be everywhere tangent to $\mathbb{S}^2$. If $|A|^2 \equiv 2$, Lawson and Chern-Do Carmo-Kobayashi's rigidity theorem [23, Theorem 1], [10] imply that $f(M^2)$ is a Clifford torus $T_{1,1}$. As f is a local isometry and M is complete, by Ambrose's theorem f is onto and a Riemannian covering. $\square$

We now conclude the proof of Theorem B. Let K be the compact set in the statement. If M itself is compact, by Proposition 2.1 it follows that M is minimal. Applying Proposition 4.1 we get that M covers $T_{1,1}$. If M is not compact, let E be an end with respect to K , that is, a connected component of $M \setminus K$ with noncompact closure. In our assumption, H does not change sign on E . By Theorem C, H should vanish identically on E and we can apply again Proposition 4.1 to conclude that M covers $T_{1,1}$.

4.3. Proof of Theorem D. Suppose that there exists a point $x_0 \in M$ such that $|\mathring{A}|^2(x_0)$ is a maximum for $|\mathring{A}|^2$. According to (2.10) and our hypothesis, we get that

$$\Delta_{\xi^\top} |\mathring{A}|^2 = 2(2n - |A|^2)|\mathring{A}|^2 + 2|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 \geq 0,$$

which implies, using the maximum principle, that $|\mathring{A}|^2$ must be constant. Back to the above equation we get that the left hand side should be zero, which implies that either $|\mathring{A}|^2 \equiv 0$, or $|A|^2 = 2n$ and $|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 \equiv 0$. The first case corresponds to a totally umbilic sphere, which by Proposition 2.1 must be totally geodesic. However, as ξ should be tangent to M , totally

geodesic spheres of even dimension cannot be Hopf solitons. It remains to consider the second case. From equation (2.7) we get that

$$0 \equiv \Delta_{\xi^\top} |A|^2 = -H^2 + |\nabla A|^2 = -H^2 + |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{2n} = -H^2 + \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{2n},$$

where we used that

$$|\nabla A|^2 = |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{2n}.$$

The above equation implies that on M it holds

$$H^2 \equiv \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{2n}.$$

Using equation (2.6) we compute

$$\Delta_{\xi^\top} H^2 = -2H^2 |A|^2 + 2|\nabla H|^2$$

and replacing $H^2 \equiv |\nabla H|^2/(2n)$ and $|A|^2 \equiv 2n$, above we obtain that $\Delta_{\xi^\top} H^2 \equiv 0$, therefore H^2 is a harmonic function on M . Since in this case $|A|^2 \equiv 2n$ and

$$|\mathring{A}|^2 = |A|^2 - \frac{H^2}{2n}$$

attains a maximum, it means that H^2 attains a minimum, and being H^2 a harmonic function, it means that H^2 must be constant, and so is H . Again from (2.6) we have that

$$\Delta_{\xi^\top} H = -H |A|^2,$$

which implies that $H \equiv 0$. Since $|A|^2 \equiv 2n$, the rigidity results in Lawson [23] and Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi [10] assure that locally M coincides with a Clifford torus $T_{a,b}$ for some a, b with $a + b = 2n$. Since $T_{a,b}$ is the zero set of a suitable real analytic function on $F : \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see for instance [27, Example 3, p.194]), and a minimal immersion in the sphere is real analytic as well, the restriction of F to M must vanish identically. Hence, the image of M is contained in a single $T_{a,b}$. As $f : M^{2n} \rightarrow T_{a,b}$ is a local isometry and M is complete, Ambrose's Theorem guarantees that f is onto and a Riemannian covering, which proves our claim.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andrews, B.: Positively curved surfaces in the three-sphere. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002)*, 221–230, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing.
- [2] Andrews, B. and Baker, C.: Mean curvature flow of pinched submanifolds to spheres, *J. Differential Geom.* **85** (2010), no. 3, 357–395.
- [3] Baker, C. and Nguyen, H. T.: Evolving pinched submanifolds of the sphere by mean curvature flow, *Math. Z.* **303** (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 50, 24 pp.
- [4] Bryan, P., Ivaki, M. N. and Scheuer, J.: On the classification of ancient solutions to curvature flows on the sphere, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **25** (2024), no. 1, 53–76.
- [5] Bryan, P. and Louie, J.: Classification of convex ancient solutions to curve shortening flow on the sphere, *J. Geom. Anal.* **26** (2016), no. 2, 858–872.
- [6] do Carmo, M. P. and Dajczer, M.: Rotation hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **277** (1983), no. 2, 685–709.
- [7] Catino, G., Mastrolia, P. and Roncoroni, A.: Two rigidity results for stable minimal hypersurfaces, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **34** (2024), no. 1, 1–18.
- [8] Chen, J.: Symmetric mean curvature flow on the n -sphere, *Nonlinear Anal.* **239** (2024), Paper No. 113437, 13 pp.

- [9] Chen, J. and Gaspar, P.: Mean curvature flow and low energy solutions of the parabolic Allen-Cahn equation on the three-sphere, *J. Geom. Anal.* **33** (2023), no. 9, Paper No. 283, 30 pp.
- [10] Chern, S. S., do Carmo, M. P. and Kobayashi, S.: Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, in *Functional Analysis and Related Fields (Proc. Conf. for M. Stone, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1968)*, pp. 59–75, Springer, New York-Berlin.
- [11] Choi, K. and Mantoulidis, C.: Ancient gradient flows of elliptic functionals and Morse index, *Amer. J. Math.* **144** (2022), no. 2, 541–573.
- [12] Elbert, M. F., Nelli, B. and Rosenberg, H. W.: Stable constant mean curvature hypersurfaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), no. 10, 3359–3366.
- [13] Fischer-Colbrie, D.: On complete minimal surfaces with finite Morse index in three-manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **82** (1985), no. 1, 121–132.
- [14] Perrone, D.: On the volume of unit vector fields on Riemannian three-manifolds, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **30** (2008), no. 1, 11–21.
- [15] Hopf, H.: Über die Abbildungen der dreidimensionalen Sphäre auf die Kugelfläche, *Math. Ann.* **104** (1931), no. 1, 637–665.
- [16] Huber, A.: On subharmonic functions and differential geometry in the large, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **32** (1957), 13–72.
- [17] Hungerbühler, N. and Roost, B.: Mean curvature flow solitons, in *Analytic aspects of problems in Riemannian geometry: elliptic PDEs, solitons and computer imaging*, 129–158, Sémin. Congr., 22, Soc. Math. France, Paris.
- [18] Hungerbühler, N. and Smoczyk, K. Soliton solutions for the mean curvature flow, *Differential Integral Equations* **13** (2000), no. 10-12, 1321–1345.
- [19] Huisken, G.: Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres, *J. Differential Geom.* **20** (1984), no. 1, 237–266.
- [20] Huisken, G.: Deforming hypersurfaces of the sphere by their mean curvature, *Math. Z.* **195** (1987), no. 2, 205–219.
- [21] Huisken, G. and Sinestrari, C.: Convex ancient solutions of the mean curvature flow, *J. Differential Geom.* **101** (2015), no. 2, 267–287.
- [22] Hurewicz, W.: *Lectures on ordinary differential equations*, reprint of the 1964 edition, Dover, New York, 1990.
- [23] Lawson Jr., H. B.: Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **89** (1969), 187–197.
- [24] Nadirashvili, N. S.: Hadamard’s and Calabi-Yau’s conjectures on negatively curved and minimal surfaces, *Invent. Math.* **126** (1996), no. 3, 457–465.
- [25] O’Neill, B.: *Semi-Riemannian geometry: With applications to relativity*, Pure Appl. Math., Academic Press, 1983.
- [26] Nguyen, H. T.: Convexity and cylindrical estimates for mean curvature flow in the sphere, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), no. 7, 4517–4536.
- [27] Nomizu, K.: Élie Cartan’s work on isoparametric families of hypersurfaces, in *Differential geometry (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVII, Part 1, Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1973)*, pp. 191–200,
- [28] Magliaro, M., Mari, L., Roing, F. and Savas-Halilaj, A.: Sharp pinching theorems for complete submanifolds in the sphere, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **814** (2024), 117–134.
- [29] Petersen P.: *Riemannian geometry*, third edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 171, Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [30] Pinkall, U.: Hopf tori in S^3 , *Invent. Math.* **81** (1985), no. 2, 379–386.
- [31] Pipoli, G.: Mean curvature flow and Riemannian submersions, *Geom. Dedicata* **184** (2016), 67–81.
- [32] Schoen, R. M. and Yau, S.-T.: The existence of a black hole due to condensation of matter, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **90** (1983), no. 4, 575–579.
- [33] Shen, Y. and Ye, R.: On stable minimal surfaces in manifolds of positive bi-Ricci curvatures, *Duke Math. J.* **85** (1996), no. 1, 109–116.
- [34] Shen, Y. and Zhu, S.-H.: Rigidity of stable minimal hypersurfaces, *Math. Ann.* **309** (1997), no. 1, 107–116.
- [35] Simons, J. H.: Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **88** (1968), 62–105.

- [36] Smoczyk, K.: Mean curvature flow in higher codimension: introduction and survey, in *Global differential geometry*, 231–274, Springer Proc. Math., 17, Springer, Heidelberg.

MARCO MAGLIARO

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZA E ALTA TECNOLOGIA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DELL'INSUBRIA, 22100
COMO, ITALY

e-mail: **marco.magliaro@uninsubria.it**

LUCIANO MARI

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “FEDERIGO ENRIQUES”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, 20133
MILANO, ITALY

e-mail: **luciano.mari@unimi.it**

FERNANDA ROING

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “GIUSEPPE PEANO”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO, 10123
TORINO, ITALY

e-mail: **fernanda.roing@unito.it**

ANDREAS SAVAS-HALILAJ

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SECTION OF ALGEBRA & GEOMETRY, UNIVERSITY OF IOANNINA, 45110
IOANNINA, GREECE

E-mail: **ansavas@uoi.gr**