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#### Abstract

Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) is deregulated in a wide variety of human tumors and triggers activation of protein kinase B ( $\mathrm{PKB} / \mathrm{Akt}$ ) and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR). Here we describe the preclinical characterization of compound 1 (PQR309, bimiralisib), a potent 4,6-dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazine-based pan-class I PI3K inhibitor, which targets mTOR kinase in a balanced fashion at 

Brain 

Tumor  higher concentrations. No off-target interactions were detected for 1 in a wide panel of protein kinase, enzyme, and receptor ligand assays. Moreover, 1 did not bind tubulin, which was observed for the structurally related 4 (BKM120, buparlisib). Compound 1 is orally available, crosses the blood-brain barrier, and displayed favorable pharmacokinetic parameters in mice, rats, and dogs. Compound 1 demonstrated efficiency in inhibiting proliferation in tumor cell lines and a rat xenograft model. This, together with the compound's safety profile, identifies 1 as a clinical candidate with a broad application range in oncology, including treatment of brain tumors or CNS metastasis. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ is currently in phase II clinical trials for advanced solid tumors and refractory lymphoma.


## - INTRODUCTION

Phosphoinositide 3-kinases (PI3Ks) are lipid kinases that play a central role in the control of cancer cell growth, proliferation, and metastasis. ${ }^{1-3}$ The PI3K family is divided into three classes according to sequence homology and substrate specificity: socalled class I PI3Ks are activated downstream of cell surface receptors, including receptor protein tyrosine kinases (RTKs), immunoglobulin receptors, and G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). Class IA PI3Ks consist of the catalytic subunits $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha, \mathrm{p} 110 \beta$, and $\mathrm{p} 110 \delta$. These interact with dedicated phosphorylated tyrosine motifs on growth factor receptors or their substrates via two src-homology 2 (SH2) domains present in their tightly associated p 85 regulatory subunits. The class IB PI3K $\gamma$ operates downstream of GPCRs and is composed of a catalytic subunit $\mathrm{p} 110 \gamma$ and an adapter subunit, which is either p84 or p101. ${ }^{4}$

Class I PI3Ks phosphorylate phosphatidylinositol $(4,5)$ bisphosphate $\left[\operatorname{PtdIn}(4,5) P_{2}\right.$ ] to yield phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate $\left[\operatorname{PtdIns}(3,4,5) P_{3}\right]$. PtdIns $(3,4,5) P_{3}$, produced at the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane, provides a docking site for effector proteins with pleckstrin-homology (PH) domains. The serine/threonine kinases phosphoinositide-
dependent kinase 1 (PDK-1) and protein kinase B (PKB/Akt) contain a PH domain and are thus activated by PI3K and relay the activation of the mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1). In tumor cells, hyperactivation of the PI3K/ mTOR pathway can occur on multiple levels, including mutation or overexpression of cell surface receptors such as HER2, ${ }^{5}$ oncogenes, the protein tyrosine phosphatase nonreceptor 12 (PTPN12), ${ }^{6}$ or the presence of activating hotspot mutations in PIK3CA (encoding the catalytic PI3K $\alpha$ subunit $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha) .{ }^{7}$ Other key regulators of the PI3K pathway include the lipid phosphatase PTEN, hydrolyzing PtdIns $(3,4,5) P_{3}$ back to $\operatorname{PtdIns}(4,5) P_{2},{ }^{2,8}$ and the inositol polyphosphate 4-phosphatase type II (INPP4B) ${ }^{9}$ degrading PtdIns $(3,4) P_{2}$. Loss of either lipid phosphatase activities or gain of function mutations at the level of PI3K, and aberrations up- and downstream in the pathway can thus lead to the constitutive activation of PI3K, PKB/Akt, and mTOR.

Besides promotion of tumor cell growth and angiogenesis, an overactivated PI3K/mTOR pathway has been associated with

[^0]Table 1. Affinity of Triazine and Pyrimidine Core-Containing Molecules to PI3K and PI3K-Related Kinases

|  | binding constant $K_{\mathrm{d}}[\mathrm{nM}]^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | PI3K $\alpha$ | PI3K $\beta$ | PI3K $\gamma$ | PI3K $\delta$ | mTOR | PI3KC2 $\beta$ | VPS34 | PI4KC $\beta$ | DNAPK | mTOR/PI3K $\alpha$ |
| 1 | 1.5 | 11 | 25 | 25 | 12 | 820 | 230 | 40000 | 1600 | 8.0 |
| 2 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 11 | 3.5 | 61 | 540 | 130 | 27000 | >30000 | 51 |
| 3 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 24 | 76 | 0.94 | 0.46 | 5100 | >30000 | >30000 | 0.94 |
| 4 | 3.5 | 36 | 130 | 260 | 19 | 2100 | 200 | 40000 | 1800 | 5.4 |
| 5 | 0.76 | 2.1 | 7.7 | 3.3 | 48 | 40 | nd | 40000 | 1300 | 63 |
| 6 | 0.62 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 580 | 250 | >30000 | 9800 | 5.3 |
| $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, kinase assay $[\mathrm{nM}]^{b}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| compd | PI3K $\alpha$ | PI3K $\beta$ | PI3K $\gamma$ | PI3K $\delta$ | mTOR | $\alpha 542 \mathrm{~K} /$ | K/1047R ${ }^{c}$ | VPS34 | DNAPK | mTOR/PI3K $\alpha$ |
| 1 | 33 | 661 | 708 | 451 | 89 |  | /36 | 8486 | 8567 | 2.6 |
| 4 | 45 | 607 | 778 | 110 | 94 |  | 6/87 | 2581 | 6917 | 13 |

${ }^{a}$ Dissociation constants $\left(K_{d}\right)$ were determined using ScanMax technology (DiscoveRx) ${ }^{26}$ with 11-point 3-fold serial dilutions of the indicated compounds. $K_{d}$ is the mean value from experiments performed in duplicate and calculated from standard dose-response curves using the Hill equation depicted in Experimental Section $(n \geq 2)$. A TreeSpot representation of a full kinase panel and kinase residual binding values are shown in Supporting Information Figure S1 and Table S2 for all above compounds except compound 2 . ${ }^{b}$ Prokinase activity assays of the indicated enzymes and PI3K $\alpha$ mutants. ${ }^{c}$ Inhibition of PI3K $\alpha$ E542K, E545K, and H2047R mutant activities are indicated. For these values, the wild type PI3K $\alpha$ reference $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were 122 nM for 1 and 142 nM for 4.
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Figure 1. Structure of triazine core PI3K inhibitors 1, reference compound 2, reported clinical candidate 3, and pyrimidine or fused pyrimidine core containing molecules 4,5 , and 6.
resistance to other cancer treatments, e.g., receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors. PI3K inactivating agents are currently in various clinical trials as monotherapy or in combination with other drugs. ${ }^{2,3,10}$

In our search for the development of a dual pan-PI3K/ mTOR inhibitor, we focused on dimorpholino substituted compounds with a triazine core, as their symmetry supports rapid access to a compound library with various aryl substituents. Furthermore, our goal was to develop brainpenetrable compounds to allow for the treatment of brain metastasis and brain tumors. Here we describe a SAR study around the aryl moiety at the C2-position of bismorpholinotriazines, which led to the identification of 1 (PQR309, bimiralisib), ${ }^{11}$ a clinical candidate and brain penetrable panPI3K/mTOR inhibitor.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compound 1 evolved as the lead compound of a series of dimorpholinotriazine based compounds and is currently tested in phase II clinical trials (clinicaltrials.gov). Initially inspired by

2-(2-difluoromethylbenzimidazol-1-yl)-4,6-dimorpholino-1,3,5triazine (ZSTK474), ${ }^{12}$ a pan PI3K inhibitor with a triazine core, development of $\mathbf{1}$ aimed to (i) maximize compound solubility and bioavailability, (ii) achieve blood-brain barrier penetration, (iii) avoid microtubule interactions as observed for 4 (BKM120, buparlisib), , ${ }^{11,13}$ and (iv) introduce moderate mTOR inhibition. The rationale for PI3K/mTOR dual activity was based on the observation that persistent mTOR signaling was reported to confer resistance to PI3K inhibition, ${ }^{14}$ while selective targeting of the mTOR complex 1 (TORC1) initiates positive feedback leading to PI3K hyperactivation. ${ }^{15}$ To avoid this, we selected for compounds with a higher potency for PI3K than mTOR kinase. Moreover, drugs targeting both PI3K and mTOR have been shown early on to be more effective in tumors with multiple pathway activation such as melanoma ${ }^{16,17}$ and to potentially support drug combinations. ${ }^{18}$

A number of clinically advanced PI3K inhibitors with a pyrimidine, triazine, or fused pyrimidine core contain at least one morpholine moiety. This morpholine was demonstrated to be a crucial feature for PI3K binding, as the morpholine oxygen

Scheme 1. Synthesis of C-2-Aryl-Substituted 4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazine Analogs ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) ArBpin, XPhosPdG2 (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}, 95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-16 \mathrm{~h}$, then $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}), 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-8 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) ArBpin , Pd (dppf) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, 1,2-dimethoxyethane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) ArBpin, XPhosPdG2 (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}, 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) AcCl , $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) HCl (conc), $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then $\mathrm{CuCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) isopentyl nitrite, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 5 \mathrm{~min}$, then $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SiBr}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (vii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b} \mathrm{Compound} 1$ was prepared according to the procedure recently reported. ${ }^{11}$ ArBpin: boronic acid pinacol ester (the amino group was protected in certain cases).
atom forms a hydrogen bond interaction with the hinge region backbone NH of the amino acid residue Val851 in PI3K $\alpha$ (Val882 in PI3K $\gamma$, and Val2240 in mTOR). Structurally related compounds, which display distinct PI3K and mTOR kinase inhibitory profiles (Table 1), are shown in Figure 1: 1, 4, ${ }^{19}$ and 6 (GDC-0980, apitolisib/RG7422) ${ }^{20}$ target PI3K and mTOR kinase with some preference for PI3K $\alpha$, while 3 (PIK-587, gedatolisib/PF-05212384) ${ }^{21}$ is a potent dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor and 5 (GDC-0941, pictilisib) ${ }^{22}$ targets PI3K.

The above compounds are substituted with various aryl moieties (e.g., indazole, pyrimidine, pyridine, benzoimidazole, or aniline), which are situated in the PI3K's ATP-binding site toward the affinity binding pocket. The primary amine of $\mathbf{4}^{11,19}$ and $6^{20}$ interacts via hydrogen bonding with the carboxyl groups of the amino acid residues Asp841 and Asp836 in PI3K $\gamma$. For 3 it has been proposed that the urea-NH groups form hydrogen bonds with Asp810 and the urea oxygen engages the wortmannin-reactive Lys $802^{23,24}$ in PI3K $\alpha .^{25}$ Finally, 5 exploits its indazole $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{NH}$ atoms to form hydrogen bonds with Tyr867 and Asp841 in PI3K $\gamma$. As illustrated by the exchange of the aryl moiety from 4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2amine in 1 to 4 -(trifluoromethyl)pyrimidin-2-amine in compound 2, the aryl substitution can be used to fine-tune PI3K vs mTOR activity.

Chemistry. To achieve a balanced PI3K and mTOR inhibition and to adjust physicochemical properties, a library of 4,6-dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazines substituted with various C2 -aryl moieties was prepared from 4,4'-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine (7, Scheme 1). The chlorine was displaced by aniline or heteroaryl moieties using Suzuki cross-coupling reactions with boronic acid pinacol esters. The desired products were obtained in moderate to good yield (11$86 \%$ ) depending on the used boronic acid pinacol ester derivative. Moreover, compound $\mathbf{1}$ was transformed by acetylation and diazotization followed by bromination and Sandmeyer reaction in order to obtain derivatives bearing different substituents at the C-2 pyridine (17-21). All final
compounds were analyzed for purity by analytical HPLC (>95\%).
We have recently reported an optimized synthetic route to compound $1 .{ }^{11}$ This strategy allowed for rapid access to large quantities of $\mathbf{1}$ starting from cyanuric chloride ( $81 \%$ yield) for preclinical and clinical development.

Determination of Cellular Potency and PI3K vs mTOR Kinase Activities. In the following studies, the role of the aryl moiety was investigated systematically for enzymatic activity and selectivity for class IA PI3K versus mTOR (Table 2). A first series of compounds including variations of nitrogen atoms number and position was prepared to study the effect of the polarity of the aryl group (9-12). Interestingly, 10 and 11 substituted with 2 -aminopyridine and 2 -aminopyrimidine, respectively, were both found to be active in cellular and enzymatic assays. The calculated PSA (polar surface area) value for the pyridine-substituted 10 (PSA = 103) was slightly lower as compared to pyrimidine 11 (PSA = 115), predicting a better brain penetration for $\mathbf{1 0}$. Therefore, pyridines were substituted with lipophilic substituents such as methyl and trifluoromethyl at pyridine C3 and C4 positions to generate compounds 1315. Introduction of a C4-trifluoromethyl group significantly increased cellular potency and enzymatic targeting: compared with 13, 1 showed excellent cellular activities, good potency in vitro ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for PI3K $\alpha$ of 17 nM ), targeted mutated forms of the catalytic $\operatorname{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ subunit, and displayed the desired selectivity profile targeting PI3K $\alpha>\mathrm{mTOR}$ ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ ratio of mTOR/p110 $\alpha$ of $\sim 3.6$ [ $\sim 8.0$ from KINOMEscan; see Table 1]). On the contrary, introduction of a lipophilic substituent at the C3position (methyl in 14 and trifluoromethyl in 15) diminished binding to PI3K $\alpha$ as well as to mTOR.

While compound 11, bearing an unsubstituted 2 -aminopyrimidine, turned out to be a balanced inhibitor for $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ and for mTOR in vitro, $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{mTOR}) / K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha) \approx 2.3\right)$, a substitution at the pyrimidine C4-position with methyl (16) or trifluoromethyl (2) enhanced cellular and in vitro activity toward PI3K $\alpha$ and diminished affinity for mTOR, raising the $\mathrm{mTOR} / \mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha K_{\mathrm{i}}$ ratio to $\sim 16-25$. Modifications at the C2-

Table 2. Direction of PI3K/mTOR Selectivity with Aromatic Substituents for Dimorpholinotriazine

${ }^{a}$ Cellular phosphorylation of PKB/Akt on Ser473 and ribosomal S6 on Ser235/236 were analyzed in inhibitor treated A2058 melanoma cells using in-cell Western detection. Each experiment was performed in triplicate or as a multiple of $n=3 .{ }^{b} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of A2058 or SKOV3 cell proliferation were determined from 10 -point 2 -fold serial dilutions $(n=3) .{ }^{c}$ Compounds were tested in a time-resolved FRET (TR) assay (LanthaScreen), and inhibitor $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were calculated for PI3K $\alpha$ and mTOR as described in Experimental Section. The mTOR/PI3K $\alpha$ column depicts the ratio of compound-specific mTOR $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ over the $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for PI3K $\alpha$. Each experiment was performed at least twice or as a multiple of $n=2$. ${ }^{d}$ Marvin/JChem 16.10.17 was used for calculation of $\log P$ (partition coefficient) and PSA (polar surface area) values.
pyridine position of the most potent compound 1 were also investigated (17-21). These changes alter both the steric and the electronic properties of the amine group and are likely to affect hydrogen bond interactions with the aspartate carboxy
groups in the affinity binding pocket (Asp841 and Asp836 in PI3K $\gamma$ ). Hydroxylamine and amide moieties replacing primary amine functionality in 1 impaired binding to mTOR ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}>1$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and replacing the primary amine moiety by a hydroxyl-


Figure 2. Interactions of 1 with the ATP-binding site of PI3K $\gamma$ catalytic subunit p110 $\gamma$ and mTOR kinase. (A) Crystal structure of $\mathbf{1}$ in PI3K $\gamma$ with a resolution of $2.7 \AA$ (PDB code 5OQ4; Table S1). Compound 1 interacts with the hinge valine V882 in PI3K $\gamma$, and its heteroaryl amine function forms hydrogen bonds with the carboxyl groups of aspartates D836, D841, and D964. (B) Overlay of the compound $1 / \mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$ complex coordinates with the compound $4 / \mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$ data from PDB accession code 3 SD5. ${ }^{19}$ The pyrimidine core nitrogen atom positions are corrected for the orientation as proposed in ref 11 ( $*$ denotes the position of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ in compound 4); the two molecules are oriented exactly as in (A). (C) The coordinates of a mTOR kinase/PI103 complex resolved to $3.6 \AA$ (PDB code 4JT6; docked, yellow) and mTOR at a resolution of $3.2 \AA$ (PDB code 4JSN; relaxed, turquoise) were used to dock 1 into the ATP-binding site of mTOR kinase. The yellow structure represents the results of a manual predocking process (docked) where PI103 was replaced by 1 with subsequent energy minimization. The turquoise structure represents the best fit of dynamic docking procedures to 4JSN (relaxed starting conditions). Dotted lines indicate proposed H-bond interactions.


Figure 3. Action of indicated compounds on cell cycle and PI3K and mTOR signaling. Cell cycle distribution of A2058 (A) and SKOV3 (B) cells after 24 h exposure to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{A})$ or $2 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{B})$ of indicated drugs (1, green; 4, red) or DMSO. After drug exposure cells were collected, fixed, permeabilized and the DNA was stained using propidium iodide. Cell cycle profiles were acquired by fluorescence activated cell sorting. Left panels: Examples of cell cycle histograms. Right panels: Quantification of cells in cell cycle phases G1, S, G2/M, and sub-G1 (as \% of total, $n=9$, mean $\pm$ SD, one way ANOVA test with Dunnett's multiple comparison test for each cell cycle phase related to DMSO; ns, not significant, ( $* *$ ) $p>0.0021$, $(* * * *) p>0.0001$ ). (C, D) A2058 or SKOV3 cells were exposed to $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ drug (rapamycin 100 nM ) for 1 h , subsequently lysed, and the denatured lysates subjected to SDS-PAGE, Western blotting, and immune detection. (C) Detection of total and phosphorylated (pT308 and pS473) PKB/ Akt, and $\alpha$-tubulin (left, A2058; right, SKOV3). Top: Quantification of phospho-PKB/Akt levels related to DMSO control (blue, pT308; red, pS473; $n=3$, mean $\pm$ SEM). (D) Detection of total and phosphorylated (pS235/236) ribosomal protein S6 and of total and phosphorylated (S6K T389) S6 kinase (left, A2058; right, SKOV3). Top: Quantification of phospho-protein levels, related to DMSO control (blue, phospho S6; red, phospho S6K; $n=3$, mean $\pm$ SEM).
amine, amide, hydroxy, or halide decreased cellular potency considerably. Compound 17 retained its affinity for $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ in spite of an amide extension, likely due to a hydrogen bond of the amide carbonyl with Lys802 (Lys833 in PI3K $\gamma$ : in analogy to compound 26 in ref 27 [see also PDB code 3IBE]).

The SAR study depicted above led to the identification of two potent compounds 1 and 2, both substituted with a trifluoromethyl group on their aryl moiety. Both of them
showed excellent potency for $\operatorname{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$, cellular activity profiles, and a calculated $\log P$ value of $\sim 3$. Compound 1 was investigated in more detail, as it displayed a mTOR/PI3K $\alpha K_{\mathrm{i}}$ selectivity ratio in the range of $3-8$, while 2 had reduced impact on mTOR (Tables 1 and 2). An additional point in favor of compound 1 was a lower calculated PSA value of 102 as compared to 115 for 2 , predicting a better brain penetration for 1.

Binding Mode of Compound 1 to PI3K and mTOR Kinase. Binding of compound 1 to the $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$ catalytic subunit p110 ATP-binding site was confirmed by a $2.7 \AA$ complex crystal structure and identified key interactions of 1 with residues of $\mathrm{p} 110 \gamma$. As reported for other morpholinosubstituted PI3K inhibitors including compound 4, ${ }^{11,19}$ one morpholine of $\mathbf{1}$ forms a hydrogen bridge with the backbone amide of Val882, a well-known and crucial interaction. ${ }^{28}$ The compound $1 / \mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$ complex crystal structure (PDB code 5OQ4; Table S1 in Supporting Information) also showed that the amino group of the 2-amino-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine moiety forms H-bonds with Asp836, Asp841, and Asp964 (Figure 2A). Given the high homology of class I PI3K catalytic pockets and the nanomolar in vitro dissociation constants of 1 for all class I PI3Ks (Table 1), an identical binding mode for all PI3K isoforms can be assumed. Besides the high affinity for class I PI3K isoforms (highest affinity for PI3K $\alpha$ ), compound 1's affinity for mTOR kinase is comparable to $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \beta, \mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$, and PI3K $\delta$ (Table 1).

The current compound $1 / \mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$ X-ray crystal structure combined with our previous structural studies on 4 and an asymmetrically substituted triazine (PIKiN3, PDB code $5 \mathrm{JHB})^{11}$ underlines the importance of Asp836, Asp841, and Asp964 and associated structured water molecules for the binding of this compound class. Changes in the basicity of the amino-heteroaryl (2-amino-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine in $\mathbf{1}$ are therefore expected to affect the affinity for PI3K, as it was the case for 2 -aminopyrimidine 2 . The situation in the mTOR catalytic pocket is less well-defined: (i) modeling compound $\mathbf{1 /}$ mTOR interactions starting with a predocked inhibitor generate an interaction pattern similar to PI3K, where carboxyl groups of Asp2357, Glu2190, and Asp2195 are at a distance of 3.5-4 $\AA$ from the heteroaryl amino group and could execute hydrogen bonding; (ii) starting with less constraints, the inhibitor is slightly displaced by docking procedures, and the sole residue in hydrogen bonding distance remains Glu2190. Although less conventional, the latter structure offers some explanation for the differential effects of heteroaryl modifications for PI3K and mTOR affinity shown in Table 2.

On- and Off-Target Activities. In line with its activities against PI3K and mTOR, compound 1 induced a G1 cell cycle arrest in A2058 melanoma cells (mutated B-Raf, loss of PTEN) and SKOV3 cells (PI3K $\alpha$ mutated at H1047R), which have both constitutively activated PI3K/mTOR signaling pathways. In contrast, compound 4 triggered a mitotic arrest and cells accumulated in G2/M or subG1 (Figure 3), which is in agreement with the reported binding of 4 to the colchicinebinding site of tubulin. ${ }^{11}$ In spite of compound 4's off-target effect, the compound attenuated PI3K and mTOR signaling as determined by protein kinase B (PKB/Akt) phosphorylation on Ser473 and Thr308 and by S6 kinase (S6K, Thr389) and S6 phosphorylation on Ser235 and Ser236. Here, 4 closely matched the action of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{3}$, and $\mathbf{2}$, while rapamycin by its specific action on TORC1 triggered an upregulation of PI3K activity, which is in agreement with earlier observations. ${ }^{29}$

To validate the specificity of $\mathbf{1}$, the compound was tested in a KINOMEScan panel for interactions with a wide range of protein (>400) and lipid kinases in parallel with 4, 5, 6, and 3. At $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration $1,4,5$, and 3 did show negligible interference with protein kinase activities (Figure S1, Table S2), while the dual PI3K/mTOR kinase inhibitor 6 displayed multiple hits. Compound 1 reached excellent selectivity scores
of $S(35)=0.025, S(10)=0.018$, as calculated according to ref 30.

The impact of compound $\mathbf{1}$ on cell proliferation was analyzed in four independent human tumor cell line collections of different tissue origin (NCI60; ${ }^{31}$ NTRC, The Netherlands; Horizon Discoveries, U.K.; Clovis, U.K.; 135 different cell lines in total). Combined across the four cell panels the mean halfmaximal growth inhibition $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$ was reached at $\sim 0.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (median: $\sim 0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for $\mathbf{1}$ as depicted in Figure 4.


Figure 4. Cell proliferation in response to 1 represented as a waterfall blot. Concentrations of half-maximal growth inhibition $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$ for 1 were obtained from dose-response growth curves derived from 4 different tumor cell line panels (a total of 135 cell lines; if a cell line was contained in several panels, its $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ was averaged). Individual $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ of a cell line was related to the mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ of all cells lines, and cell lines were sorted by lowest to highest sensitivity for 1 from left to right. Individual cell lines and values for the four cell panels are given in Table S3.

We have previously compared $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$-independent parameters such as Hill slopes of proliferation inhibition curves and dosedependent induction of nuclear condensation and histone H3 phosphorylation in a 44 cell line panel treated with either $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}$, 5 , or 6 , which showed a coclustering of the PI3K inhibitors 1,5 , and 6 and grouped 4 with inhibitors of mitosis such as colchicine, nocodazole, and MTD147, a microtubule-binder derived from $4,{ }^{11}$ corroborating that $\mathbf{1}$ is free of the microtubule-binding off-target action of 4.

To evaluate other off-target effects, compound 1 was tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in a CEREP BioPrint panel, where no or only very weak competition with radioligands for cell surface and nuclear receptors, membrane channels, transporters (Table S4) and enzyme activities of kinases, proteases, and phosphodiesterases (Table S5) were detected. Altogether, 1 qualifies as a specific pan-class I PI3K inhibitor without predicted off-target effects.

Pharmacological Parameters of Compound 1. Before translation of the in vitro and cellular activities to in vivo tumor models, pharmacological properties and metabolic stability of 1 were assessed. Compound 1 showed little clearance when exposed to rat, dog, and human liver microsomes, with a quicker turnover of 1 in mouse liver microsomes, where $40 \%$ of the compound was eliminated within 30 min (Table 3). Stability in microsome assays was matched with clearance and half-live measurements in human, cynomolgus monkey, rat, dog, and mouse hepatocyte cultures, where 1 showed a low clearance in all species except for mouse. In the presence of human hepatocytes, the half-life of compound $\mathbf{1}$ was 9.4 h and $\sim 45 \mathrm{~min}$ in mouse hepatocytes (Table 4).

Subsequently, in vivo pharmacological parameters were determined in female CD-1 mice, female Sprague-Dawley rats, and male Beagle dogs to assess optimal dosing schedules

Table 3. Stability in Liver Microsomes of Compound 1

|  | \% remaining in liver microsomes ${ }^{a}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | human | rat | $\operatorname{dog}$ | mouse |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $84.6 \pm 2.2$ | $99.9 \pm 0.5$ | $92.4 \pm 1.6$ | $60.7 \pm 4.6$ |
| $7-$ EC $^{b}$ | $7.45 \pm 0.8$ | $28.5 \pm 3.1$ | $1.8 \pm 1.0$ | $1.5 \pm 0.03$ |
| propranolol $^{b}$ | $54.8 \pm 6.7$ | $2.9 \pm 0.1$ | $30.1 \pm 2.6$ | $6.8 \pm 0.9$ |

${ }^{a}$ Percentage of compound remaining after 30 min (mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}, n=$ 2). ${ }^{b}$ Assay reference compounds. 7-EC: 7-ethoxycoumarin.
for efficacy studies. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was delivered by either a single intravenous ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) bolus or a single oral application $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$. Drug levels in extracts of plasma, brain, and liver were determined by LC-MS/MS (Figure 5; PK parameters are summarized in Table 5). In female mice, plasma concentrations of 1 depended on the drug administration route, resulting in half-lives of approximately $13-36 \mathrm{~min}$ for po administration vs $9-10 \mathrm{~min}$ for iv administration. The fact that oral administration yielded similar concentrations of $\mathbf{1}$ in brain and plasma samples illustrates that compound 1 readily passes the blood-brain barrier. In mice, both po and iv application routes showed a rapid drop below $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}(\sim 0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, Figure 5A) of $\mathbf{1}$ within $<1 \mathrm{~h}$ (iv) to $<2 \mathrm{~h}$ (po) after administration, which reflects the time point when the drug reaches the median $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ determined in tumor cell lines.

In female rats a single oral dose ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) achieved similar drug levels as a single intravenous injection ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) with regard to $C_{\text {max }}$ (see Table 5). The half-life of $5-8 \mathrm{~h}$ and an $\mathrm{AUC}_{0.25-12}$ of around $14000 \mathrm{~h} \cdot \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ contributed to an excellent oral bioavailability of $\mathbf{1}$ ( $>50 \%$ ). Twenty-four hours after po administration, plasma levels of 1 were still $>2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ( $800-1000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Moreover, after $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$ exposure to 1 , drug levels in rat brain samples were comparable to plasma levels (Figure 5B), confirming rapid access of 1 to the brain.

Male Beagle dogs, exposed to 1 at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po, showed maximal drug plasma concentrations $C_{\max }$ of $583 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ (approximately $1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) after $60-90 \mathrm{~min}$ and a half-life of $>7 \mathrm{~h}$, which results in drug levels of approximately $0.38 \mu \mathrm{M}(150 \mathrm{ng} /$ mL ) after 24 h . Intravenous delivery of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of $\mathbf{1}$ resulted in high immediate concentrations ( $>7000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ after 1 min ), and plasma levels decreased within 8 h to similar concentrations as observed for oral dosing (Figure 4C). The oral bioavailability in male Beagle dogs was estimated to be $23 \%$.

In vitro and in vivo toxicokinetic parameters were assessed and are summarized in Table S6. In rodents and Beagle dogs, compound 1 showed moderate but reversible target organ toxicity, which is expected for this drug class and mechanism of action. Altogether the PK studies in the three models show rapid absorption of $\mathbf{1}$ and good oral bioavailability. Except for mice with a rapid clearance of $\mathbf{1}$, the late phase concentrations
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Figure 5. PK/PD assessment of 1 in mice, rats, and dogs: time course of 1 abundance in vivo. Compound 1 was administered in all species as single dose either per os (po, $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) or via intravenous injection (iv, $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). At indicated time-points 1 was extracted from tissue and its abundance determined using HPLC/MS-MS. (A) Levels of $\mathbf{1}$ in CD1-mice tissue. Right graph: Zoomed view on early time points, indicated in left graph by dashed square ( $n=3$, mean $\pm$ SD). (B) Concentrations of 1 in tissues from Sprague-Dawly rats. Right graph: Zoomed view on early time points, indicated in left graph by dashed square $(n=3$, mean $\pm$ SD). (C) Plasma levels of 1 in male Beagle dogs. Panels on the right: zoomed in to dotted line boxes to visualize early time points $(n=3$, mean $\pm \mathrm{SD})$.
of 1 in rats and dogs are in the expected range of predicted efficacy, independent of the administration route, and are already reached after a single dose. The collected parameters are compatible with oral dosing in humans once daily (QD).

Altogether, compound $\mathbf{1}$ displays a superior solubility (Table S7) as compared with compound $\mathbf{2}$, is orally bioavailable and brain penetrable, and does not display a microtubule-binding off-target effect like compound 4. ${ }^{11}$

Efficacy Models. Due to the short half-live of compound 1 in mice, a PC3 xenograft model in nude rats was used to assess

Table 4. Stability of Compound 1 in Hepatocyte Cultures ${ }^{a}$

| compd | parameter | human | monkey | rat | dog | mouse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.5 \pm 0.4$ | $3.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $2.4 \pm 0.1$ | $30.3 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | $t_{1 / 2}$ [min] | $562.6 \pm 95.5$ | $396.4 \pm 16.0$ | $731.7 \pm 54.5$ | $577.6 \pm 0.1$ | $45.7 \pm 0.2$ |
| $7-\mathrm{EC}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $37.8 \pm 0.8$ | $61.1 \pm 3.5$ | $16.0 \pm 0.8$ | $151.4 \pm 2.8$ | $180.3 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | $t_{1 / 2}$ [min] | $36.7 \pm 0.8$ | $22.7 \pm 1.3$ | $86.8 \pm 4.6$ | $9.2 \pm 0.2$ | $7.7 \pm 0.1$ |
| 7-HC ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $98.2 \pm 5.1$ | $92.5 \pm 3.5$ | $192.8 \pm 14.4$ | $178.3 \pm 0.7$ | $188.1 \pm 8.6$ |
|  | $t_{1 / 2}$ [min] | $14.1 \pm 0.7$ | $15.0 \pm 0.6$ | $7.2 \pm 0.5$ | $7.8 \pm 0.1$ | $7.4 \pm 0.3$ |

${ }^{a}$ Results are expressed as mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}, n=2 .{ }^{b} \mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{int}}\left[(\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}) / 10^{6}\right.$ cells $] .{ }^{c}$ Assay reference compounds: 7-EC, 7-ethoxycoumarin; 7-HC, 7hydroxycoumarin.

Table 5. PK Analysis of 1 in Plasma of Mice, Rats, and Dogs ${ }^{a}$

| parameter | female CD-1 mouse |  | female Sprague-Dawley rats |  | male Beagle dog |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| route | iv | po | iv | po | iv | po |
| dose [mg/kg] | 5 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 10 |
| $C_{\text {max }}[\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}]$ | $3084 \pm 379$ | $1514 \pm 334$ | $3047 \pm 557$ | $4028 \pm 1179$ | 8760 | 583 |
| $T_{\text {max }}[\mathrm{min}]$ | 5 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 120-240 |
| $t_{1 / 2}$ [h] | 0.15-0.33 | 0.22-0.60 | 5.6-8.2 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 8.6 |
| AUC [ $\mathrm{h} \cdot \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ] | $1583 \mathrm{AUC}_{0-8 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 2151 AUC $_{0-8 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 13974 AUC $_{0.25-12 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $15313 \mathrm{AUC}_{0.25-12 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $12500 \mathrm{AUC}_{0.25-24 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 6395 AUC $_{0.017-24 \mathrm{~h}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}\left[\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{h}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}\right]$ | 3180 |  | 266 |  | 411 |  |
| BA [\%] |  | 68 |  | 55 |  | 23 |

$a_{\text {iv, intravenous }}(5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$; po, per os $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$; $C_{\text {max }}$ maximal concentration; $T_{\text {max }}$ time of maximal concentration in hours; $t_{1 / 2}$, half-life elimination in hours; AUC, area under curve; Cl, clearance; BA, bioavailability. $n=3$, mean $\pm$ SD for each time point, species, and route.
the antiproliferative effects of $\mathbf{1}$ in vivo. Rats were injected with $2 \times 10^{7}$ human PC3 prostate cancer cells into one flank and randomized after 16 days. From day 17 the control group received vehicle once daily. Compound 1 was orally administered at $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (both daily, QD), or 15 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ [ 5 consecutive days, 2 days off drug ( $\mathrm{QD} \times 5,2$ days off)] for 28 days to match the timelines of regulatory toxicology studies. A vinorelbine-treated group ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ iv weekly) was included as a "standard of care" control, with best treated-tocontrol ratios ( $T / C$ ) of $17 \%$.

Treatment with 1 led to significant tumor size reductions: tumor growth was inhibited dose-dependently (best $T / C$ of $31-12 \%$, Figure 6A). Compound 1 was best tolerated at $5 \mathrm{mg} /$ kg without significant body weight changes (Figure 6B). At 10 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 1$ caused a reduction of body weight, which accumulated to a reduction of $15 \%$ after 28 days of treatment. Similarly, $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of 1 led to body weight loss after 5 days of treatment, which was reversible during the recovery period. After 28 days of drug exposure (day 44 of the experiment), animals with body weight loss fully recovered within a treatment-free period (days 45-50) without overt signs of tumor cell proliferation. In a subsequent treatment period tumor growth remained inhibited and body weight loss was only observed in the $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ group.

As shown in Figure 6C, $C_{\text {max }}$ of compound $\mathbf{1}$ is reached 15 min after a po single dose in PC3-tumor bearing nude rats and triggered a rapid and dose-dependent rise in plasma insulin and glucose levels (Figure 6D-F), which are reliable markers for the on-target action of drugs, as reported for other PI3K inhibitors targeting the PI3K $\alpha$ isoform. ${ }^{32}$

To further corroborate on-target activity of compound $\mathbf{1}$ in vivo, phosphorylation of $\mathrm{pPKB} / \mathrm{AKT}$ was measured in tumorderived cell lysates. A single dose of $\mathbf{1}(15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po or $10 \mathrm{mg} /$ kg iv) rapidly reduced $\mathrm{pPKB} / \mathrm{AKT}$ levels as compared to untreated control mice up to 12 h (Figure 6G), which matches high levels of 1 in the xenograft tumors at early time points (Figure 6C). After 24 h, PI3K signaling fully recovered, correlating with a decrease of compound 1's concentration in tissue and tumors. Pharmacokinetics in plasma, brain, and tumor samples were very similar, suggesting that 1 distributes rapidly and targets PI3K and mTOR in tumor and tissues. Proportionally elevated levels of 1 were detected in the liver, without signs of liver toxicity. The fast reversibility of PI3K inhibition might be of advantage in patients, where mechanismbased adverse effects are expected to resolve with falling drug levels. Moreover, it has been observed that tumor cells reduce cell size in response to PI3K inhibitors, while normal hepatocytes do not show mass reduction. ${ }^{17}$ This, and the observation that interruption of drug administration (see 15
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ schedule above) leads to body weight recovery but not tumor regrowth, suggests that PI3K inhibition triggers latency in tumor growth that allows for the development of intermittent scheduling protocols. To validate this treatment scheme, PC3 tumor-bearing nude rats were treated every second, third, and fourth day with $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po, which yielded a comparable efficacy but substantially alleviated the loss of body weight (Figure S2).

## CONCLUSION

The preclinical results presented here document that compound $\mathbf{1}$ is a highly selective pan-PI3K inhibitor with a balanced targeting of mTOR kinase. Compound 1's potent antiproliferative action in vitro and antitumor activity in vivo, paired with mild and reversible, mostly mechanism-based toxicities, have validated the molecule as a clinical candidate. Compound 1 passed phase I studies and is now in phase II studies in relapsed and refractory lymphoma and advanced solid tumors (clinicaltrials.gov).

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Reagents were purchased at the highest commercial quality from Acros, Sigma-Aldrich, or Fluorochem and used without further purification. Solvents were purchased from Acros Organics in AcroSeal bottles over molecular sieves. Column chromatographic purifications were performed on Merck KGaA silica gel (pore size $60 \AA, 230-400$ mesh particle size). Cross-coupling reactions were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere in anhydrous solvents, and glassware was oven-dried prior to use. TLC plates were obtained from Merck KGaA (Polygram SIL/UV254, 0.2 mm silica with fluorescence indicator), and UV light ( 254 nm ) was used to visualize the respective compounds. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer. NMR spectra were obtained in deuterated solvents such as $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$. The chemical shifts ( $\delta$ values) are reported in ppm and corrected to the signal of the deuterated solvents ( $7.26 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR) and 77.16 $\mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR) for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and 2.50 ppm ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ ) and 39.52 ppm $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR ) for $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were calibrated relative to $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}(\delta=0 \mathrm{ppm})$ as external standard. When peak multiplicities are reported, the following abbreviations are used: $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), dd (doublet of doublets), m (multiplet), br (broadened). Coupling constants, when given, are reported in hertz (Hz). High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL (ESI-MS) spectrometer. MALDI-ToF mass spectra were obtained on a Voyager-De Pro measured in $m / z$. The chromatographic purities of the final compounds were determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses on an Ultimate 3000SD system from ThermoFisher with LPG-3400SD pump system, ACC-3000 autosampler and column oven, and DAD-3000 diode array detector. An Acclaim-120 C18 reversed-phase column from ThermoFisher was used as stationary. Gradient elution (5:95 for $0.2 \mathrm{~min}, 5: 95 \rightarrow$ 100:0 over $10 \mathrm{~min}, 100: 0$


Figure 6. Efficacy model for antitumor activity of 1 in PC3 xenografts in nude rats. (A) Tumor volume: Indicated amounts of $\mathbf{1}$ (po, daily) or vinorelbine (iv, weekly) were given from day 17 to day 44 and from day 51 to day 58 after tumor inoculation (see Experimental Section; $n$ $=4-8$, mean $\pm$ SEM). (B) Body weight changes in nude rats (treated as in (A), $n=4-8$, mean $\pm$ SEM). (C) Time course of 1 abundance in nude rats. Compound 1 was administered as single dose per os (po, 15 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). At indicated time points 1 was extracted from tissue and its abundance determined using HPLC/MS-MS ( $n=3$, mean $\pm$ SD). (D) Time course of blood glucose and insulin levels tumor bearing rats after a single dose of $1(15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po; $n=3$, mean $\pm$ SEM; except for time points with values above detection limit). (E) Plasma insulin and (F) plasma glucose concentrations on day 57 of the efficacy model, 4 h after the last administration of $\mathbf{1}$ at indicated doses ( $n=6-$ 7 , mean $\pm$ SEM; for insulin levels below detection level, values were set constant to 0.04 [lowest measured value]; for glucose levels above quantification limit, levels were set 20 [upper quantification limit of kit]). (G) Time course of phosphorylation of Ser473 in PKB/Akt in PC3 tumor xenograft lysates after a single dose of $1(15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po or $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ iv). Lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Phosphorylated Ser473 levels were corrected for total PKB/ Akt levels and compared to vehicle-treated xenografts ( $n=3$, mean $\pm$ SEM).
for 3 min ) of the mobile phase consisting of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ :$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(10: 90)}$ was used at a flow rate of $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The purity of all final compounds was $>95 \%$.

General Procedure 1. 4,4'-(6-Chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine ( $7,1.0$ equiv), the respective boronic acid pinacol ester ( $1.0-1.5$ equiv), potassium phosphate tribasic ( 3.0 equiv), and chloro(2-dicyclohexylphosphino- $2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-triisopropyl-1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl)[2-(2'-amino-1,1'-biphenyl)]palladium(II) (XPhos Pd G2, 0.05-0.10 equiv) were charged in a flask. Under nitrogen atmosphere, 1,4dioxane (approximately $1 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (approximately $1 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the resulting
mixture was placed into an oil bath preheated to $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for $2-16 \mathrm{~h}$. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature before addition of a 3 M aqueous HCl solution and then heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-8 \mathrm{~h}$. Then the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, the pH was adjusted to $8-9$ by addition of a 2 M aqueous NaOH solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times)$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel.

General Procedure 2. To a solution of the respective boronic acid pinacol ester ( 4.0 equiv), [ $1,1^{\prime}$-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene]dichloropalladium(II) ( 0.05 equiv) and $4,4^{\prime}$-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine ( $7,1.0$ equiv) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (approximately $1 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under nitrogen atmosphere, a 2 M aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 3.0 equiv) was added. The resulting reaction mixture was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Then the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, poured onto an aqueous saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times)$. The combined organic layers were washed with deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel.

General Procedure 3. N,N-Dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal ( 1.5 equiv) and the respective amine ( 1.0 equiv) were dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (approximately $1 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was triturated with acetonitrile $(3 \times x)$ before it was dried under vacuum.

5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)-pyridin-2-amine (1). 1 was prepared according to the reported procedure in ref 11.

5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)-pyrimidin-2-amine (2). 2 was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4,4'-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine ( $7,300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 5 -(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyrimidin-2-amine ( 455 mg , $1.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $2: 3 \rightarrow 3: 2$ ) gave product 2 as a colorless solid ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 546 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 52 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-$ $3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(376 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta-63.8(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ F). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 168.5$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 164.7 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2$ C), 163.1 ( s, 1 C), 162.7 ( s, 1 C), $154.6\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, F}=35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 121.1$ (s, 1 C ), $120.8\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{C, F}=276 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 66.9$ (s, 4 C$), 43.7$ (s, 4 C$)$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 413.1656; found, 413.1662 . HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.39 \mathrm{~min}(>99.9 \%$ purity).

4,4'-(6-Chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine (7). 7 was prepared according to ref 11 .

4,4'-(6-lodo-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyldimorpholine (8). To an aqueous hydriodic acid solution ( $57 \mathrm{wt} \%, 2.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, excess) diluted with deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.00 \mathrm{~mL}), 4,4^{\prime}$-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine ( $7,500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight and then poured onto a 1 M aqueous NaOH solution. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times)$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ ethyl acetate 1:0 $\rightarrow 1: 1$ ) to afford product 8 as a colorless solid (409 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.87-3.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $16 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 162.1(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 140.6(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 C ), 66.8 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{C}$ ), 44.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{C}$ ). MALDI-MS: $m / z=378.1$ ([M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

4-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)aniline (9). 9 was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4,4'-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine ( $7,150 \mathrm{mg}, 525 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) and tert-butyl (4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (22, $250 \mathrm{mg}, 783 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 0 \rightarrow 3: 2$ )
gave product 9 as a colorless solid ( $80.9 \mathrm{mg}, 236 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 45 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{H, H}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), 6.69 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{H, H}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.99-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 170.3$ (s, 1 C$), 165.3$ (s, 2 C$)$, 149.7 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 130.1 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 127.5 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 114.2 ( s, 2 C ), 67.0 ( s, 4 C), 43.7 (br s, 4 C). MALDI-MS: $m / z=343.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=7.27 \mathrm{~min}(>99.9 \%$ purity). The spectroscopic data are consistent with previous literature reports. ${ }^{34}$

5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)pyridin-2-amine (10). 10 was prepared according to general procedure 2 from 4,4'-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine ( $7,200 \mathrm{mg}, 702 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 2-aminopyridine-5-boronic acid pinacol ester ( $616 \mathrm{mg}, 2.80 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.0 equiv). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (dichloromethane/methanol 9:1) gave compound 10 as a colorless solid ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 483 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 9.07$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} J_{H, H}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.37\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{H, H}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{H, H}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.50\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.71(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-$ $3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C})$, 165.1 ( s, 2 C), 160.4 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 150.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 137.9 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 123.6 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ C), 107.5 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 67.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{C}$ ), 43.7 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{C})$. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 344.1829$; found, 344.1832. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 8.59 min ( $96.2 \%$ purity).

5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)pyrimidin-2-amine (11). 11 was prepared according to general procedure 2 from $4,4^{\prime}$-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine ( $7,50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 170 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 2-aminopyrimidine-5-boronic acid pinacol ester ( 155 mg , $700 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4.0$ equiv). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/methanol 1:0 $\rightarrow 20: 1$ ) gave compound 11 as a colorless solid ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 29.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 17 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 9.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 167.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ C), 164.8 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 164.2 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 158.6 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 118.5 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 66.0$ (s, 4 C ), 43.2 (br s, 4 C ). ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 345.1782$; found, 345.1784 . HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.06 \mathrm{~min}(95.4 \%$ purity).

5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)pyrazin-2-amine (12). 4,4'-(6-Iodo-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine (8, 200 mg , 530 $\mu$ mol, 1.0 equiv), 5-aminopyrazin-2-ylboronic acid pinacol ester (350 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), potassium phosphate tribasic ( 339 mg , $1.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and chloro(2-dicyclohexylphosphino- $2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ -triisopropyl-1,1'-biphenyl)[2-( $2^{\prime}$-amino-1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl) $]$ palladium(II) $(41.7 \mathrm{mg}, 53.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) were charged in a flask. Under nitrogen atmosphere, 1,4-dioxane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1.5 mL ) were added, and the resulting mixture was placed into an oil bath preheated and allowed to stir for 2 h at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. Dichloromethane and a 2 M aqueous NaOH solution were added. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times)$. The combined organic layers were then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (dichloromethane/methanol 1:0 $\rightarrow 9: 1$ ) to obtain compound 12 as a colorless solid ( $20.1 \mathrm{mg}, 58.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 11 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 9.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-$ $3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.8$ (s, 1 C$), 165.2(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 155.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 144.5$ (s, 1 C ), 140.1 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 131.6 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 67.0 (s, 4 C$), 43.8$ (br s, 4 C$).$ ESIHRMS $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 345.1782$; found, 345.1780. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.37 \mathrm{~min}$ ( $95.2 \%$ purity).

5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylpyridin-2amine (13). 13 was prepared according to general procedure 2 from 4,4'-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine (7, $40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 140$ $\mu$ mol, 1.0 equiv) and 2 -amino-4-methylpyridine-5-boronic acid pinacol ester ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 560 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4.0$ equiv). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/methanol 1:0 $\rightarrow$ 10:1) gave product 13 as a colorless solid ( $13.1 \mathrm{mg}, 36.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 26 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2$ H), 3.90-3.80(m, 8 H), 3.77-3.72(m, 8 H), $2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 171.4$ (s, 1 C), 165.0 (s, 2 C ), 159.3 (s, 1 C), 151.4 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 149.4 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 124.1 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 109.8$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 66.8$
(s, 4 C ), 43.7 (br s, 4 C ), 22.3 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C})$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 358.1986$; found, 358.1983. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.57$ $\min$ ( $98.5 \%$ purity).

5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-3-methylpyridin-2amine (14). 14 was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4,4'-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine ( $7,150 \mathrm{mg}, 525$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.0$ equiv) and boronic acid pinacol ester $23(180 \mathrm{mg}, 622 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.2 equiv). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 0 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) gave product 14 as a colorless solid ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 32.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 62 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.89$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.22(\mathrm{br}$ s, 1 H$), 5.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.68$ $(\mathrm{m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 168.9$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 165.0$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 158.9$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 145.2$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 138.1$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C})$, 123.5 (s, 1 C), 116.3 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 67.0 (s, 4 C$), 43.7$ (br s, 4 C ), 17.0 (s, 1 C). ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 358.1986$; found, 358.1981 . HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.91 \mathrm{~min}$ ( $99.3 \%$ purity).

5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-pyridin-2-amine (15). 15 was prepared according to general procedure 1 from 4,4'-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine ( $7,83.3 \mathrm{mg}, 292 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) and boronic acid pinacol ester 24 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 292 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:0 $\rightarrow 1: 1$ ) gave product 15 as a colorless solid $(89.5 \mathrm{mg}, 218 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 75 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 9.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.24(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.01-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}(376 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta-63.1(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 167.3$ (s, 1 C), 164.2 (s, 2 C ), 157.3 ( s, 1 C$), 153.1$ (s, 1 C$), 134.5$ (br s, 1 C ), $124.1\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, F}=271 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 119.9$ (s, 1 C$), 105.1$ ( q, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{C, F}=31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 66.0(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{C}), 43.2$ (br s, 4 C$)$. ESI-HRMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 412.1703$; found, 412.1703. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.40 \mathrm{~min}$ ( $>99.9 \%$ purity) .

5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylpyrimidin-2-amine (16). 16 was prepared according to general procedure 2 from 4,4'-(6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine $(7,40.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $140 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 4-methyl-5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyrimidin-2-amine ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 560 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4.0$ equiv). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate $100 \%)$ gave product 16 as a colorless solid ( $17.6 \mathrm{mg}, 49.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.81-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.1$ (s, 1 C$), 168.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 164.6$ (s, 2 C), 162.6 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 160.9 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 121.3 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 66.9$ (s, 4 C$), 43.7$ (br s, 4 C), 25.2 (s, 1 C). ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 359.1938$; found, 359.1939 . HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.22 \mathrm{~min}(97.3 \%$ purity).

N-(5-(4, 6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)acetamide (17). 5-(4,6-Dimorpholi-no-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-amine (1, 200 mg , $480 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(134 \mathrm{mg}, 972 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were mixed in dichloromethane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature for 5 min . Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and acetyl chloride ( $41.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 583 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3.5 h and allowed to warm up to room temperature. Then, deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times)$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 1 \rightarrow 1: 3$ ) to afford compound 17 ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 265 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.85 (br s, 8 H ), 3.78-3.67 (m, 8 H$), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) : $\delta-59.5$ (s, 3 F ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 169.3$ (s, 1 C), 168.7 (s, 1 C), 164.5 ( s, 2 C ), 152.6 ( s, 1 C), 151.0 (s, 1 C), $138.8\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{C, F}=33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 128.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 122.5(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{C, F}=275 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 110.5\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, F}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 66.7(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{C}), 43.5$ (br s, 4 C), 24.7 (s, 1 C). ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 454.1809; found, 454.1797. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.94 \mathrm{~min}$ ( $>99.9 \%$ purity).

5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)-pyridin-2-ol (18) and 4,4'-(6-(6-Chloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)-pyridin-3-yl)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine (19). To a conc HCl solution $(36 \%, 5.12 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 5 -(4,6-dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-amine ( $\mathbf{1}, 500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.21$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise followed by $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(1.70 \mathrm{~g}$, $24.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv). The resulting mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature over 1 h , and $\mathrm{CuCl}(4.10 \mathrm{~g}, 41.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 34$ equiv) was added. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with a 2 M aqueous NaOH solution and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The products were separated by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:0 $\rightarrow 1: 1$ ). Compound $19(247 \mathrm{mg}, 573$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 47 \%$ ) and compound 18 ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 403 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 33 \%$ ) were obtained in two separate fractions and isolated as colorless solids.

Compound 19. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66$ (s, 1 H ), 3.89-3.78 (m, 8 H ), 3.78-3.68 (m, 8 H ). ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-59.7$ (s, 3 F ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.0$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 164.6 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 153.2 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 153.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), $154.1\left(q^{2},{ }^{2} J_{C, F}=34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 131.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 122.1\left(\mathrm{q}^{1}{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, F}=275 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\right.$ C), $121.4\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 66.9$ (s, 4 C$), 43.8$ (br s, 4 C ). ESIHRMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 431.1205$; found, 431.1199. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.91 \mathrm{~min}(>99.9 \%$ purity $)$.

Compound 18. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $\delta 12.6(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ): $\delta-59.4$ (s, 3 F ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 168.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 164.0(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 161.2$ (s, 1 C ), 141.4 (br s, 1 C$), 138.9\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}{ }^{2} J_{C, F}=32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 122.3\left(\mathrm{q}^{1}{ }^{1} J_{C, F}\right.$ $=275 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 119.2$ (br s, 1 C$), 113.3$ (br s, 1 C$), 65.9$ (s, 4 C ), 43.2 (s, 4 C ). ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 413.1543; found, 413.1535 . HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.79 \mathrm{~min}(99.6 \%$ purity).

N-(5-(4,6-Dimorpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)-O-methylhydroxylamine (20). 4,4'-(6-(6-Chloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-3-yl)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4diyl)dimorpholine ( $19,200 \mathrm{mg}, 464 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv), methoxyamine hydrochloride ( $77.5 \mathrm{mg}, 928 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.0$ equiv), and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 79.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 940 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.0$ equiv) were mixed in dimethyl sulfoxide ( 3.0 mL ). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The solvent was partially evaporated under reduced pressure. Then, deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dichloromethane were added. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 1 \rightarrow 0: 1$ ) to afford compound 20 ( $30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 34.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $11 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $-59.6(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.6(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C})$, 164.8 (s, 2 C), 156.0 (s, 1 C), 151.8 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 138.6 ( $\mathrm{q},{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{C, F}=274 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{C}), 127.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 122.6\left(\mathfrak{q},{ }^{1} J_{C, F}=275 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 110.1\left(\mathrm{q}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, F}=\right.$ $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 66.9 (s, 4 C ), 63.1 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 43.8 (br s, 4 C ). ESI-HRMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 442.1809; found, 442.1799. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.33 \mathrm{~min}(98.9 \%$ purity $)$.

4,4'-(6-(6-Bromo-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-3-yl)-1,3,5-tria-zine-2,4-diyl)dimorpholine (21). Compound $\mathbf{1}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.43 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in dibromomethane ( 10 mL ), and isopentyl nitrite ( $392 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added. After 5 min , a solution of bromotrimethylsilane ( $401 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) in dibromomethane ( 1.5 mL ) was added and the resulting reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h . After this time, an aqueous saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times)$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:0 $\rightarrow 1: 1$ ) gave compound 21 ( 909 mg , $1.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ yield) as colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.68$
(m, 8 H ). ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-59.6$ (s, 3 F ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 164.6$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 153.2 (s, 1 C ), 143.7 ( s, 1 C ), 138.5 ( $\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, F}=34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), $131.8-$ $131.7(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{C}), 125.1\left(\mathrm{q}^{3}{ }^{3}{ }_{C, F}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 122.0\left(\mathrm{q}^{1}{ }^{1} J_{C, F}=275 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, 1 C ), 66.8 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{C}$ ), 43.7 (br s, 4 C ). ESI-HRMS ( $m / z$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 475.0699$; found, 475.0710. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ $10.11 \mathrm{~min}(97.8 \%$ purity $)$.
tert-Butyl (4-(4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (22). 22 was prepared according to the literature. ${ }^{33}$
$N, N$-Dimethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(3-methyl-5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-di-oxaborolan-2-yl)pyridin-2-yl)formimidamide (23). 23 was prepared according to general procedure 3 from 3-methyl-5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridin-2-amine ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 854$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.0$ equiv). The desired product 23 was obtained in quantitative yield as a brownish solid and was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ (s, 12 H ).
$N, N$-Dimethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaboro-Ian-2-yl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)formimidamide (24). 24 was prepared according to general procedure 3 from $5-(4,4,5,5-$ tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2amine ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The desired product 24 was obtained in quantitative yield as a yellowish solid and was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 376 MHz , $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ): $\delta-61.6$ (s, 3 F ).

Protein Production and Purification. The $\triangle \mathrm{ABDp} 110 \gamma$ was expressed in Sf9 cells using a baculovirus expression system as has been described previously. ${ }^{24,35}$ Briefly, human $\triangle \mathrm{ABDp} 110 \gamma$ was expressed with a C -terminal $\mathrm{His}_{6}$ tag and purified by immobilized metal-affinity chromatography, heparin chromatography, and gel filtration. The protein in gel filtration buffer ( 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 0.5$ $\mathrm{mM}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $1 \%$ ethylene glycol, and $0.02 \%$ CHAPS) was concentrated to $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Crystallization of PI3K $\gamma$. Soaking with Compound 1. Drops containing $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\triangle \mathrm{ABDp110} \mathrm{\gamma}$ protein at $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of crystallization buffer ( $16 \%$ PEG4K, $250 \mathrm{mM}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ Tris$\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$, at rt) were hair seeded with crushed $\triangle \mathrm{ABDp} 110 \gamma$ crystals and incubated at $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crystals reached their maximum size ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in about 10 days. The inhibitor 1 was prepared in DMSO and diluted in cryoprotectant containing $25 \%$ PEG $4000,15 \%$ glycerol, $250 \mathrm{mM}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and 100 mM Tris, pH 7.5 , to a final concentration of 1 mM . The inhibitor in cryoprotectant solution was added to the drop containing the crystals in a stepwise manner. The crystals were soaked in the final 1 mM inhibitor solution for 4 h . Crystals were then transferred to fresh inhibitor in cryoprotectant solution for 30 s and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Data Collection and Structure Determination. Diffraction data sets were collected at the ESRF beamline ID23-1 on an ADSC Quantum Q315r detector, using $1.00720 \AA$ wavelength radiation. Images were processed using Mosflm ${ }^{36}$ or $\mathrm{XDS}^{37}$ and scaled with SCALA. ${ }^{38}$ The crystal structure of the $\triangle$ ABDp110 $\gamma /$ compound 1 complex was solved by molecular replacement using Phaser, ${ }^{39}$ with the previously published human $\triangle \mathrm{ABDp} 110 \gamma$ as the search model (PDB entry 1 E 8 Y ). Coot was used to manually build inhibitors in the unaccounted $F_{o}-F_{\mathrm{c}}$ difference electron density within the active site of the map. ${ }^{40}$ Initial models of the inhibitors were generated from SMILES strings using the ELBOW module of PHENIX. ${ }^{41}$ Refmac refinement ${ }^{42}$ was iterated with manual rebuilding using Coot until the structure converged. In the final model for the $\triangle A B D p 110 \gamma /$ compound 1 complex, $89.2 \%$ of residues were in the core regions of the Ramachandran plot, with one residue in a disallowed region.

Cellular PI3K and mTOR Signaling. Downstream signals of PI3K and mTOR were determined in in-cell Western assays detecting phosphorylation of Ser473 of PKB/Akt (pPKB/Akt) and Ser235/236 on the ribosomal protein S6 (pS6), respectively. Briefly, $2 \times 10^{4}$

A2058 cells/well in 96-well plates (Cell Carrier, PerkinElmer) were grown for 24 h , before inhibitors or DMSO was added ( $1 \mathrm{~h} ; 37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). Cells were subsequently fixed (4\% PFA in PBS for 30 min at rt ) and then blocked ( $1 \%$ BSA/0.1\% Triton X-100/5\% goat serum in PBS for $30 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{rt})$. pPKB/Akt was detected with a rabbit polyclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, no. 4058), and pS6 with a rabbit monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, no. 4856). Tubulin staining (mouse anti- $\alpha$-tubulin, Sigma no. T9026) was assessed as internal standard. As readout, IRDye680-conjugated goat anti-mouse and IRDye800-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibodies (LICOR no. 926-68070 and no. 926-32211) were used, and fluorescence was measured on an Odyssey CLx infrared imaging scanner (LICOR). Percentage of remaining phospho-substrate signals were calculated in relation to cellular tubulin. Further details and calculations are explained in ref 11 .

Determination of Inhibitor Dissociation Constants. Dissociation constants of compounds $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$ and mTOR were determined by LanthaScreen technology (Life Technologies) as described in detail in ref 11. Briefly, the AlexaFluor647-labeled kinase tracer 314 (no. PV6087) was used for $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$ with a determined $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ of 2.2 nM at 20 nM , and for mTOR with a $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ of 19 nM used at a final concentration of 10 nM . While recombinant $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$ was N -terminally (His) ${ }_{6}$-tagged and combined with a biotinylated anti-(His) $)_{6}$-tag antibody ( 2 nM , no. PV6089) and LanthaScreen Eu-streptavidin (2 nM, no. PV5899), truncated mTOR (amino acids 1360-2549, no. PR8683B) fused to the C-terminus of GST was detected with a LanthaScreen Eu-labeled anti-GST antibody ( 2 nM , no. PV5594). The $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$ assay buffer was composed of 50 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,10 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EGTA, and $0.01 \%$ (v/v) Brij-35, and the mTOR assay buffer contained 50 mM HEPES, $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EGTA, $0.01 \%$ Pluronic F-127. Further details and calculations are described in ref 11.

Cell Cycle Analysis. A2058 cells ( $(1-2) \times 10^{5}$ cells $\left./ \mathrm{mL}\right)$ or SKOV3 cells $\left((0.25-0.5) \times 10^{5}\right.$ cells $\left./ \mathrm{mL}\right)$ were seeded in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ heat-inactivated FCS, $1 \%$ L-glutamine, and $1 \%$ penicillin-streptomycin ( 2 mL /well of six-well plates). The day after, inhibitors ( $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for A2058, $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for SKOV3) were added for 24 h . Subsequently nonadherent cells were collected by centrifugation, adherent cells were detached, fixed, and permeabilized (combined with the previously nonadherent cell) in PBS supplemented with $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde/1\% bovine serum albumin/0.1\% TritonX-100 for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then washed with $1 \%$ bovine serum albumin/ $0.1 \%$ TritonX-100 in PBS followed by DNA-staining with $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ propidium iodide in $0.1 \%$ TritonX-100/0.1\% sodium citrate solution ( pH 7.4 ) containing $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ DNase-free RNase ( $>1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$, in the dark). Cell cycle profiles were acquired by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACSCanto II, Becton Dickinson) and analyzed with FlowJo (Treestar) software.

Western Blotting. Cells were lysed in NP40-based lysis buffer (20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8,138 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2.7 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 5 \%$ glycerol, $1 \%$ NP-40) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors, cleared by centrifugation, and denatured by adding $5 \times$ sample buffer and boiling at $96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 min . Equal amount of proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to Immobilon FL membranes (Millipore). Primary antibodies to pSer473-PKB/Akt (no. 4058L), pThr308-PKB/Akt (no. 4056L), PKB/AKT (no. 2929S), pT389-S6K1 (no. 9206S), pS235/236 ribosomal protein S6 (no. 4856S), S6K1 (no. 9202S), and ribosomal protein S6 (no. 2317S) were from Cell Signaling Technology, and primary antibody to $\alpha$ tubulin (no. T9026) was from Sigma. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (Millipore) on a Fusion FX (Vilber Lourmat) imaging system. Levels of phosphorylated proteins were quantified using ImageJ and normalized to their respective nonphosphorylated proteins.

Kinome Profiling. The inhibitory capacity and selectivity of compound were determined using the ScanMax platform provided by DiscoverX. ${ }^{26}$ In short, binding of immobilized ligand to DNA-tagged kinases was competed with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound. The amount of kinase bound to the immobilized ligand was measured by quantitative PCR of the respective DNA tags and is given as percentage of control. Binding constants of compounds for kinases of interest were determined by
competing the immobilized ligand kinase interactions with an 11-point 3-fold serial dilution of compound starting from $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and subsequent quantitative PCR of DNA tags. Binding constants were calculated by a standard dose-response curve using the Hill equation: response $=$ background $+($ signal - background $) /(1+$ $10^{\left[\log K_{\mathrm{d}}-\log \operatorname{dose}\right](\text { Hill slope })}$ ), with Hill slope set to -1 .

Cell Proliferation Assay Panels. NCl60. Detailed procedures on compound and cell handling are available from https://dtp.cancer. gov/discovery_development/nci-60/methodology.htm. Briefly, human tumor cell lines were seeded into 96 -well microtiter plates and exposed to five $(1 / 2 \log$ serial) drug dilutions plus control, followed by 48 h (except for two controls of each cell line which were fixed with TCA (cell population at $t=0 \mathrm{~h}[\mathrm{Tz}]$ ). The assay was terminated by fixation with TCA ( $10 \%$ final). Cell density was determined using a sulforhodamine $B$ staining protocol and the absorbance measured at 515 nm . Using seven absorbance measurements [ Tz ; control growth $(C)$; growth with drugs added $(\mathrm{Ti})$ ], the percentage growth was calculated at each of the drug concentrations levels. Percentage growth inhibition is calculated as

$$
[(\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Tz}) /(C-\mathrm{Tz})] \times 100
$$

for concentrations for which $\mathrm{Ti} \geq \mathrm{Tz}$, and

$$
[(\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Tz}) / \mathrm{Tz}] \times 100
$$

for concentrations for which $\mathrm{Ti}<\mathrm{Tz}$.
The NTRC Oncolines 44 cell lines were exposed for 72 h to 9-point 3 -fold serial dilutions of $\mathbf{1}$ as described in ref 11 . The concentration of $50 \%$ growth inhibition is associated with the signal ((luminescen$\mathrm{ce}_{\text {untreated }, t=72 \mathrm{~h}}$ - luminescence $\left.{ }_{t=0}\right) / 2$ ) + luminescence ${ }_{t=0}$. The data set integrated here was used for $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ calculations in ref 11 .
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of A2058 or SKOV3 cell proliferation given in Table 2 were determined and calculated as described in ref 11 for the indicated compounds.

PC3 Xenograft Model in Nude Rats. Compound Preparation and Dosing. Compound 1 was dissolved in DMSO to $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. This solution was diluted with $20 \%$ HPBCD [(2-hydroxypropyl)- $\beta$ cyclodextrin] in water for injection (Lavoisier, batch no. 2F274 5) in order to reach a final concentration of 1 of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. Successive dilutions in vehicle (DMSO/20\% HPBCD solution, 10/90, v/v) were performed to reach 1,2 , and $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ final concentrations. Vinorelbine was diluted in $0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ solution to $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. Treatment doses for 1 were 5,10 , and $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, and it was $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for vinorelbine. Rats were given compound 1 by oral gavage (po) and given vinorelbine intravenously (iv, as bolus) into the tail vain. The po administration volume and the iv injection volume were $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$.

Animals and Animal Handling. Healthy male nude NIH rats (Crl:NIH-Foxn1 rnu, Charles River, Germany) bread in a specific pathogen-free (SPF) animal care unit were fed sterile, irradiated food granules (V1246-703, Soest, Germany). Animal procedures were reviewed by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Pharmacy and Medicine University of Dijon or Oncodesign's ethical committee.

Cell Culture. PC-3 prostatic adenocarcinoma tumor cells (ATCC, CRL-1435) were grown as monolayer in complete RPMI1640 medium, supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS ( $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). Tumor cells were detached with trypsin-Versene (Lonza; 5 min in in Hanks' medium without $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ) and then resuspended in complete RPMI1640.

Tumor Model. $2 \times 10^{7}$ PC-3 cells were injected subcutaneously at day 0 (D0) in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RPMI1640 into the right flank of male nude rats, 24 h after a whole-body irradiation with a $\gamma$-source ( $5 \mathrm{~Gy},{ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ ). Tumor-bearing rats were randomized on day 16 (mean volume of 330 $\pm 70 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ according to their individual tumor volume into five groups of each eight animals using Vivo manager software (Bio-systemes, Couternon, France). Analysis of variance was performed to test for homogeneity between groups.

Treatment Schedule. Daily administration on D17-D44 and from D51 to D57: group 1, vehicle; group 2, compound 1 at $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$; group 3, 1 at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Group 4: 1 at $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ from D17 to D21, from D24 to D28, from D34 to D38, from D41 to D4, and from D51
to D56. Group 5: one iv injection of vinorelbine at $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ on D17, D24, D31, and D38. Final termination of rats was performed on D87.

Body weight was measured at least twice a week. Length and width of tumors were measured and recorded twice a week with calipers, and the tumor volume was estimated by the formula

$$
\text { tumor volume }=\frac{1}{2} \times \text { length } \times(\text { width })^{2}
$$

Glucose and Insulin Levels Determination. Glucose was measured using kit CBA086 from Calbiochem and insulin was determined using kit EZRMI-13K from EMD Millipore, according to the manufacturers' instructions.

PK/PD Studies in Nude Rat PC3 Xenograft Model. Pharmacokinetic Study of Compound 1 in PC-3 Tumor Bearing Rats. Induction of PC-3 subcutaneous tumors is described above. Animals were randomized on D21 when the tumors reached $575 \pm$ $267 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ (D20). Twenty-seven animals were randomized according to their individual tumor volume into 3 groups (one group of 3 rats and two groups of 12 rats) using Vivo manager software (Biosystemes, Couternon, France).

Treatment Q1D $\times 1$. Group 1. Three rats single iv injection of vehicle. Group 2: 12 and 3 satellite rats single iv injection of 1 (10.3 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Group 3: 12 and 3 satellite rats single po administration of compound 1 ( $16.55 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). On selected time points blood, brain, liver, and tumor from 3 rats from each group were collected to determine levels of $\mathbf{1}$, glucose, and insulin or $\mathrm{pPKB} /$ Akt was analyzed in tissues.

Solubility Assays. Stock solutions of compounds were prepared in DMSO at 20 mM . From these, $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions were made up by a direct dilution of the stock solution with a phosphate buffer (PBS, pH 7.4) or citrate buffer ( pH 4.0 ). Reference solutions were made up in $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$. Solutions in buffer ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were incubated and agitated at room temperature for 1 h and then centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 10 min . A volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the supernatants was diluted with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{PBS} /$ citrate $8: 1: 1$ and analyzed by LC/MS.

Structure Modeling of Compound $1 / \mathrm{mTOR}$ Kinase Complexes. The coordinates of mTOR kinase 4JT6 (3.6 $\AA$ ) and 4JSN (3.2 $\AA$ ) were used as starting points to dock 1 into the ATP-binding site of mTOR kinase. Docking of the ligand $\mathbf{1}$ to mTOR was performed using SwissDock (swissdock.ch), and energy minimization was performed using YASARA's default settings. Alternatively, the PI103 ligand in 4JT6 was manually replaced by 1 docking one of its morpholino groups to Val2240 before energy minimizations were performed. The docking procedures were validated by redocking 1 into the apoprotein of the $1 / \mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$ complex (PDB code 5OQ4).
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