

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Industrial Crops & Products



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/indcrop

Investigating the phytotoxic potential of *Carlina acaulis* essential oil against the weed *Bidens pilosa* through a physiological and metabolomic approach

Sara Álvarez-Rodríguez^{a,d,1}, Eleonora Spinozzi^{b,1}, Adela M. Sánchez-Moreiras^{a,d}, David López-González^{a,d}, Marta Ferrati^b, Giorgio Lucchini^c, Filippo Maggi^b, Riccardo Petrelli^{b,*}, Fabrizio Araniti^{c,*}

^a Universidade de Vigo, Departamento de Bioloxía Vexetal e Ciencias do Solo, Facultade de Bioloxía, Campus Lagoas-Marcosende s/n, 36310, Vigo, Spain

^b Chemistry Interdisciplinary Project Research Center, School of Pharmacy, University of Camerino, 62032 Camerino, Italy

^c Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie e Ambientali - Produzione, Territorio, Agroenergia, Università Statale di Milano, Via Celoria n°2, 20133 Milano, Italy

^d Instituto de Agroecoloxía e Alimentación (IAA), Universidade de Vigo, Campus Auga, 32004 Ourense, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Carlina oxide Bio-herbicide Metabolomics Photosystem II Specialised metabolite

ABSTRACT

Essential oils (EOs) are widely studied as possible candidates for new eco-friendly herbicides for weed management due to their phytotoxicity. In this study we tested the phytotoxic potential of the EO obtained from the roots of *Carlina acaulis* L. (Apiaceae) against the weed *Bidens pilosa* L. This EO, containing 98% of the polyacetylene carlina oxide, showed strong phytotoxic effects on the plant metabolism, such as leaf necrosis, reduction of relative water content and total leaf area, and an increase in the dry weight/fresh weight ratio, suggesting a water status alteration. The EO also damaged the photosynthetic machinery, as evidenced by the significant reduction of the effective quantum yield of photosystem II (Φ_{II}) and the maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (F_v/F_m). In addition, the non-photochemical quenching (Φ_{NPQ}) significantly increased after spraying with *C. acaulis* EO. Damage to photosystem II was further demonstrated through the reduction of manganese and calcium concentrations, possibly due to an alteration in the correct functionality of the Mn₄Ca cluster of the PSII. Metabolomics analysis revealed an accumulation of branched-chain amino acids, such as isoleucine and valine, which is commonly related to osmotic alterations under drought stress situations and a general reduction in sugar content (fructose, glucose, mannose, among others), suggesting reduction of the photosynthetic efficiency too. Overall, these findings suggest *C. acaulis* EO as a promising natural product with phytotoxic potential against weeds that deserves further investigation.

1. Introduction

Plants actively and passively release several specialised metabolites with protective functions into the environment to ensure the necessary nourishment and take advantage of other competing species (Hierro and Callaway, 2021). These compounds spread into the soil, being able to inhibit or limit other species' germination and growth capacities (Xiao et al., 2020). In other words, plants can prevent the growth of potential competitors and gain an advantage in the surrounding environment by producing specialised metabolites harmful to other species. The molecules endowed with these properties are known as allelochemicals and can act by altering seed germination or damaging the interfering weed seedlings' establishment (Hierro and Callaway, 2021). Given their ecological role, these compounds could be considered promising molecules to be employed for the production of botanical herbicides or to be used as a backbone for developing new classes of natural-like herbicides for weed management (Araniti et al., 2015; Berestetskiy, 2023).

In the last decades, weeds have developed two main resistance mechanisms to herbicides: target-site resistance, involving gene mutations that affect herbicide protein targets, and non-target-site resistance, which involves complex interactions of genes from large gene families responsible for reduced herbicide absorption, translocation, degradation, and sequestration. These resistance mechanisms can combine at the individual level, demonstrating weeds' remarkable adaptability to selection pressures (Gaines et al., 2020).

Few new chemical classes of herbicides and molecules with new

* Corresponding authors.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2023.117149

Received 29 March 2023; Received in revised form 1 July 2023; Accepted 8 July 2023 Available online 17 July 2023



E-mail addresses: riccardo.petrelli@unicam.it (R. Petrelli), fabrizio.araniti@unimi.it (F. Araniti).

¹ These authors equally contributed to the manuscript

^{0926-6690/© 2023} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

molecular targets remain unchallenged by resistance (Qu et al., 2021). The European Union has implemented restrictions on synthetic substances commonly used in pesticides, resulting in a 60% reduction in available substances for European farmers since 1991 (Directive 91/414/EEC), without adequate replacements. The European Conservation Agriculture Federation promotes the adoption of conservation agriculture, which aims to preserve and optimize natural resources through integrated management of soil, water, biological agents, and external inputs. While herbicides are not entirely prohibited, their rational use and the incorporation of environmentally friendly products such as natural herbicides based on specialized metabolites, are encouraged (Directive 91/414/EEC).

Among different classes of specialized metabolites, polyacetylenes are allelopathic compounds with ecological importance. They are formed in the cytoplasm of plant cells by the polymerisation of malonyl units, leading to an acyl chain that acts as building block of these compounds (Minto and Blacklock, 2008; Negri, 2015). They are characterised by two or more triple bonds in their skeleton and are divided into four main classes according to the classification of Christensen and Brandt (2006). Polyacetylenes are mostly produced in Asteraceae's root system as defensive compounds protecting against microorganisms and parasites or deterring herbivores from feeding (Bohlman et al., 1973; Khanh et al., 2009; Konovalov, 2015). Several studies evidenced their efficacy in inhibiting the germination and growth of seedlings of different competing species (Nishidono and Tanaka, 2022; Stevens, 1986).

Many polyacetylenes are volatile compounds, that can be found as constituents of plant essential oils (EOs), playing several ecological roles (Kobayashi et al., 1980; Quintana et al., 2008). The roots of *Carlina acaulis* L. (Asteraceae) contain around 1% of EO, which is almost made up of the aromatic polyacetylene carlina oxide, reaching 90% or more of the total EO composition (Benelli et al., 2019; Pavela et al., 2021). This molecule is formed by one benzyl and one furan moieties linked to each other by a triple bond (Fig. 1).

This EO was recently reported to be one of the most active EOs ever tested against arthropod pests and vectors (Pavela et al., 2020; Benelli et al., 2021, 2022; Rizzo et al., 2021; Kavallieratos et al., 2022a; Spinozzi et al., 2023a) and phytophagous nematodes (Ntalli et al., 2023) of economic importance, being a promising candidate to be used in insecticidal and acaricidal formulations (Benelli et al., 2020; Pavela et al., 2021; Kavallieratos et al., 2022b).

Plant EOs are also known to be promising bio-herbicides due to their ability to inhibit seed germination and seedlings growth, causing oxidative stress and disrupting the photosynthetic machinery (Synowiec et al., 2019; Pouresmaeil et al., 2020, 2022; Verdeguer Sancho et al., 2020; Werrie et al., 2020). Indeed, many EOs and extracts belonging to different plant species have already been assayed against troublesome worldwide weeds such as Bidens pilosa L. with promising results regarding the possible development of new natural herbicides (Pergo et al., 2008; Abd El-Gawad, 2016). For example, extracts of Bacharis spp. have shown strong phytotoxic effect against B. pilosa diaspores, being suggested as candidates for the development of new herbicides against B. pilosa invasion in crop fields (Dias et al., 2017). Bidens pilosa (Asteraceae) is an annual dicot herbaceous species native to tropical America and is considered a widely problematic weed in many ecosystems due to its resistance to conventional herbicides, strong adaptability and high invasiveness (Takao et al., 2011; Chauhan et al., 2019). Since the lack of knowledge on the phytotoxicity of this natural product, in this work we have tested for the first time the phytotoxicity of C. acaulis EO on B. pilosa adult plants. Furthermore, the EO effects on the photosynthetic machinery and metabolomic profile were evaluated as well.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Carlina acaulis essential oil hydrodistillation

The dry roots of wild *C. acaulis* (batch no C-130320290420, produced in 2019) were derived from an Albanian accession and acquired from Minardi & Figli S.r.l. (Bagnacavallo, Ravenna, Italy; https://www. minardierbe.it). One kg of plant material was soaked overnight in 7 L of distilled water in a glass flask of 10 L of volume inserted into a mantle system (Falc Instruments, Treviglio, Italy). The day after it was subjected to hydrodistillation for 6 h and the EO was recovered through a Clevenger-type glass apparatus (Benelli et al., 2021; Rosato et al., 2021). The EO yield, in %, was estimated on a dry weight basis.

2.2. Chemical characterisation of Carlina acaulis essential oil

The EO was diluted 1:100 in *n*-hexane and then analysed with an Agilent 8890 gas chromatograph (GC) furnished with a single quadrupole 5977B mass spectrometer (Santa Clara, California, USA). The system had an autosampler PAL RTC120 (CTC Analytics AG, Zwingen,



Fig. 1. Chromatogram obtained from the Carlina acaulis essential oil GC-MS analysis.

Switzerland). For the separation, an HP-5 MS capillary column (30 m, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.1 µm film thickness; 5% phenylmethylpolysiloxane, Agilent) was used and programmed as follows: 60 °C for 5 min, then raised up to 220 °C at 4 °C/min, finally up to 280 °C at 11 °C/min and held for 15 min. The temperature of injector and detector was 280 °C. The mobile phase was He (99.9%) with a flow of 1 mL/min. After dilution in n-hexane, the EO sample was injected (2 µL) in split mode (1:50). The scan range for acquisition of peaks was 29-400 m/z using the electron impact (EI, 70 eV) mode. The MSD ChemStation software (Agilent, Version G1701DA D.01.00) and the NIST Mass Spectral Search Program for the NIST/EPA/NIH EI and NIST Tandem Mass Spectral Library v. 2.3 were used to analyze data. Carlina oxide was identified using the standard previously isolated in our laboratory (Benelli et al., 2021), whereas the other compounds by the interactive combination of the temperature-programmed retention indices (RIs) and mass spectra (MS) with respect to those of ADAMS, NIST 17, and FFNSC3 libraries. The RI was calculated using a mix of *n*-alkanes (C₈-C₃₀, Supelco, Bellefonte, CA, USA) according to the Van den Dool and Kratz (1963) formula. Relative area percentages were obtained by peak area normalization without using correction factors.

2.3. Phytotoxic experiments on Bidens pilosa

Bidens pilosa seeds were sown in pots containing professional commercial soil (orticole alveolo TecnoGrow, Tercomposti, Italy, Calvisano, BS) and grown in greenhouse conditions (25 °C \pm 5, 12/12 h) until they reached the phenological stage of 4 true leaves. Four plants per pot were grown, and five pots per treatment were used for the experiment. The EO emulsions were prepared with ethanol in the following concentrations: 4, 8, 12, and 20 µL/mL, adding the natural based adjuvant Osmosis® (Delbon, France) at commercial concentrations. The same amount of Osmosis® and ethanol concentrations were used for preparing the control concentration (0 µL/mL). These concentrations were estimated based on previously published papers about EO phytotoxicity on weeds (Verdeguer Sancho et al., 2020; Bellache et al., 2022). Plants were sprayed only once with 10 mL of the EO emulsion until the leaves were covered with tiny droplets before the point of runoff, and then different measurements were done at 0, 6, 24, and 48 h.

2.4. Chlorophyll a fluorescence measurements

The chlorophyll a fluorescence measurements were carried out before the EO spraying and after 6, 24, and 48 h of EO treatment to evaluate its early effects on B. pilosa plants. The measures were carried out in situ using the MultispeQ fluorimeter v2.0 (PhotosynQ, East Lansing, MI, USA). The rapid information dense experimental sequence (RIDES) protocol ("Photosynthesis RIDES 2.0"), available on the PhotosynQ platform [https://photosynq.org/, (Kuhlgert et al., 2016)] was run to measure the effective quantum yield of the photosystem II photochemical reactions (Φ_{II}), the regulated energy dissipation in the form of heat ($\Phi_{\rm NPO}$), and the non-regulated energy dissipation ($\Phi_{\rm NO}$, fluorescence emitted). The maximum quantum efficiency of photosystem II (F_v/F_m) was also estimated after leaving the plants in darkness for 20 min to have all the reaction centres opened. For this measure, we used the MultispeQ v2.0 to run the F_{ν}/F_m in the dark" protocol, also linked to the PhotosynQ platform [https://photosynq.org/]. We randomly selected five plants per treatment for all the chlorophyll a fluorescence measurements.

2.5. Post-harvest measurements: leaf area, plant biomass, relative water content (RWC)

After 48 h of EO treatment, the plant material was collected and prepared to take the following measures:

- Total leaf area was calculated with ImageJ, by selecting three plants per treatment and photographing every leaf. All data were expressed as a percentage of the control.
- Dry (DW) and fresh weights (FW) were estimated on the aerial parts of three plants, which were weighed immediately after collection to obtain the FW and then oven-dried at 60 °C for 72 h to obtain the DW.
- The relative water content (RWC) was evaluated on three plants per treatment, which were weighed and immersed in ultrapure water for 24 h under light. Then, turgid weight (TW) and DW were obtained by weighing plants again and over-drying them at 70 °C for 72 h. The following equation was used to calculate the RWC value:

$$RWC = [(FW - DW) / (TW - DW)] \times 100$$
(Pieczynski et al., 2013).

2.6. ICP-MS ionomic analysis

For ionomic analysis, a pool of leave material was prepared for each EO concentration tested after 48 h of EO exposition and then dried at 70 °C for 72 h. One hundred mg per treatment in three replications were selected and transferred to Teflon tubes filled with 10 mL of 65% (v/v)HNO₃ to be digested by a microwave digester system (MULTIWAVE-ECO, Anton Paar Italia Sfl., Rivoli, Italy). Then, two steps of power ramp were applied (Step 1: at 500 W in 10 min maintained for 5 min; Step 2: at 1200 W in 10 min maintained for 15 min). Mineralised samples were transferred into polypropylene test tubes and diluted 1:20 in Milli-Q water after 20 min of cooling. The concentration of the considered elements (Na, Mg, Al, K, Ca, Cr, Mn, Fe, Zn, Se, Cd, and P) were measured by inductively couple plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS; Bruker AURORA M90 ICP-MS, Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany). An aliquot of a 2 mg/L internal standard solution (⁷²Ge, ⁸⁹Y, and ¹⁵⁹Tb) was added to both samples and multi- and single- (for As and P) element calibration standards to obtain a final concentration of 20 µg/L. A collision-reaction interface (CRI) with an H₂ flow of 70 mL/min was used to remove possible polyatomic interferences (Orasen et al., 2019). We compared the mineral contents between untreated and treated plants with 4, 8, 12, and 20 µL/mL of C. acaulis EO emulsion for 48 h.

2.7. GC-MS-driven untargeted metabolomics analysis

The untargeted metabolomics analysis was carried out on B. pilosa aerial parts using the protocol proposed by Lisec et al. (2006) and modified by Misra et al. (2020). After extraction and derivatisation, the samples spiked with ribitol as internal standard (0.2 mg/mL) were injected into an Agilent gas chromatograph apparatus (GC 7890 A) coupled to a single quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS 5975 C INERT XL MSD) and a CTC ANALYTICS PAL autosampler. A MEGA-5MS (30 m \times 0.25 mm \times 0.25 μm + 10 m of pre-column) column (MEGA s. r.l., Milan, Italy) was used for sample chromatography, and ultra-pure helium (6.0) with a flow rate of 1 mL/min was used as the gas carrier. The bioinformatics analysis of the chromatograms (sample alignment, deconvolution, peak extraction and annotation) were carried out using the open-source software MS-DIAL ver. 4.49. Peak intensities were extracted, and the metabolites were putatively annotated using an in-house library built using open-source, and publically available EI spectral libraries as previously described by Misra et al. (2012). Metabolites annotation was carried out at level 2 (identification based on the spectral database) and level 3 (only compound groups were known, e.g. specific ions and RT regions of metabolites) following the metabolomics standards initiative (MSI) guidelines for metabolite identification (Sansone et al., 2007).

2.8. Statistical Analysis

All experiments were carried out with a completely randomised design using different replications depending on the experiment. Data

were tested for normality and homogeneity or heterogeneity and then analysed through one-way ANOVA using the Tukey's test or Tamhane's T2 test as post-hoc, respectively ($p \le 0.05$). Those experiments with three replications were analysed through Kruskal-Wallis' test ($p \le 0.05$). Metabolomic data were analysed using the software Metaboanalyst 5.0. as reported by Wagner et al. (2022). Lowess normalisation was applied on MS-DIAL software using the internal standard Ribitol. Then, dataset was charged on Metaboanalyst 5.0 and 'Log $_{10}$ transformation' and 'Pareto-scale' were applied. Data were classified and discriminated through the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and the Orthogonal Partial Least-Discriminant Analysis (OPLS-DA). The univariate t-test ($p \le 0.05$) was used to analyse statistical differences among metabolites from control and EO-treated plants. The False Discovery Rate (FDR) was applied to the nominal *p*-values. Finally, an enrichment and pathway analysis was carried out using the Metaboanalyst 5.0 tools to identify the main altered pathways. All raw data are reported in Supplementary Material, Table S1.

3. Results

3.1. Carlina acaulis essential oil content and composition

The hydrodistillation of the *C. acaulis* roots produced a yellow EO with a yield of 0.73% (density of 1.063 g/mL). The GC-MS analysis was performed for the characterisation of the EO and the determination of its chemical composition. The chromatogram obtained from the analysis (Fig. 1) showed the presence of one major constituent accounting for 98.8% of the total composition, corresponding to the polyacetylene carlina oxide. This content is in accordance with data obtained in previous studies (Benelli et al., 2022; Kavallieratos et al., 2022a, 2022b; Spinozzi et al., 2023b). Moreover, benzaldehyde and *ar*-curcumene were minor components, accounting for 0.99 and 0.09% of the EO, respectively.



Fig. 2. Phenotypical effects induced on *Bidens pilosa* adult plants after 6 h, 24 h, and 48 h of *Carlina acaulis* essential oil exposition. The following concentrations were used: 4 µL/mL, 8 µL/mL, 12, µL/mL, and 20 µL/mL.

3.2. Phytotoxic potential of Carlina acaulis essential oil

Bidens pilosa adult plants were sprayed once with EO emulsion to test its phytotoxic potential. Visual and internal early effects caused by the EO were evaluated after 6, 24, and 48 h exposition.

Leaf damages were already observable 6 h after spraying (Fig. 2) and became more severe over time. Treated leaves experienced signs of dryness or were completely dried out and died at the highest concentrations tested (12 and 20 μ L/mL) after 24 h. Similar effects were also observed in plants treated with 8 μ L/mL concentrations after 48 h of treatment (Fig. 2).

The *C. acaulis* EO damaged the leaves and reduced the total leaf area after 48 h. Plants showed a significant downward trend for all concentrations tested (Fig. 3A). The lowest EO concentration assayed (4 μ L/mL) caused a 40% reduction compared with control plants, and this difference increased as EO concentration increased, reaching around 70% reduction for the highest concentrations tested (12 and 20 μ L/mL).

RWC significantly decreased for those *B. pilosa* plants sprayed with 8, 12, and 20 μ L/mL of *C. acaulis* EO, reaching reductions of 21%, 25%, and 20%, respectively, when compared with untreated plants (Fig. 3B).

The dry weight/fresh weight ratio (DW/FW) demonstrated that *B. pilosa* plants were dried out by *C. acaulis* EO because the ratio showed an upward trend compared with control as EO concentration increased. The DW/FW showed a 20, 40, 40, and 80% of increment for 4, 8, 12, and 20 μ L/mL, respectively, compared with *B. pilosa* untreated plants (Fig. 3C). Both DW and FW appeared reduced (Fig. 3D and E, respectively), reaching a 60% reduction of DW for 12 μ L/mL (Fig. 3D) and a 75% of reduction of FW for 20 μ L/mL(Fig. 3E).

After 48 h, plant material was collected from those plants exposed to the lowest EO concentration tested ($4 \mu g/mL$) to conduct the ionomics

analysis. Results revealed that *C. acaulis* EO significantly reduced Na, Mg, Ca, Al, Cd, and Mn levels. However, K, P, and Se significantly increased their levels compared with control plants (Table 1).

3.3. Effects of Carlina acaulis essential oil on PSII parameters

After monitoring the photosynthetic parameters at 0, 6, 24, and 48 h of treatment, we observed that Φ_{II} , Φ_{NPQ} , Φ_{NO} and F_{ν}/F_m showed similar behaviour for all concentrations tested. The efficiency of PSII (Φ_{II}) was progressively reduced as *C. acaulis* EO concentration increased. Significant differences with control plants were obtained after 6 h of EO exposition for 8, 12, and 20 µL/mL, whereas all concentrations tested showed significantly lower Φ_{II} than control after 48 h (Fig. 4A). Simultaneously, the maximum PSII efficiency in darkness (F_{ν}/F_m) showed a downtrend as time and EO concentration increased during the experiment. The F_{ν}/F_m value was significantly lower for 8, 12, and 20 µL/mL treated plants after 6 h of EO exposition, while all the concentrations showed damage on photosystem II' antenna complex after 24 and 48 h EO exposition (Fig. 4D).

The EO similarly affected the non regulated emission of energy in excess under the form of fluorescence (Φ_{NO}), which significantly decreased compared with control plants. Significant differences were found for 4, 12 and 20 µL/mL after 6 and 24 h, and for 12 and 20 µL/mL after 48 h of *C. acaulis* EO exposition (Fig. 4B).

On the contrary, the non-photochemical quenching ($\Phi_{\rm NPQ}$) was higher than control plants for all the concentrations tested after spraying with *C. acaulis* EO. This upward trend was consistent in time, showing significant differences for 8, 12, and 20 µL/mL after 6 h, and for 4, 12, and 20 µL/mL after 48 h EO exposition (Fig. 4C).



Fig. 3. (A) Total leaf area; (B) Relative water content (RWC); (C) Dry weight / Fresh weight ratio (DW/FW); (D) Dry weight (DW); and (E) Fresh weight (FW) of *Bidens pilosa* plants exposed to different *Carlina acaulis* essential oil concentrations (0, 4, 8, 12, and 20 μ L/mL) for 48 h. Data are expressed in percentage of the control. Asterisks indicate statistical differences from respect to the control after Kruskal-Wallis' test; * p < 0.05. N = 3.

Table 1

Mineral concentrations of *Bidens pilosa* adult plants after 48 h of treatment with 0, 4, 8, 12, and 20 μ g/mL of *Carlina acaulis* essential oil.

Element	Treatment with C. acaulis EO (4 µg/mL)					
(μg/ μgDW)	0	4	8	12	20	
К	28099.33	31842.43	31532.62	31709.27	30647.31	
	\pm 914.57	\pm 325.78 *	\pm 743.03 *	\pm 437.03 *	\pm 1004.6 *	
Р	7419.94	7881.90	8551.05	8176.64	9592.04	
	\pm 158.28	\pm 760.18	\pm 577.72 *	\pm 627.02	\pm 423.05 *	
Na	104.23	102.31	178.83	65.17	70.70	
	\pm 2.89	\pm 2.95	\pm 14.42 *	\pm 0.69 *	\pm 3.58 *	
Mg	3649.64	3624.42	3794.76	3500.44	3351.26	
	\pm 157.73	\pm 94.06	\pm 124.76	\pm 24.80	\pm 78.93 *	
Fe	169.97	174.51	197.93	173.27	159.07	
	\pm 13.72	± 6.82	\pm 7.91 *	\pm 7.09	±14.60	
Ca	20698.96	20169	20029.34	18908.18	17098.22	
	$\pm \ 1270.13$	\pm 618.64	\pm 818.87	\pm 584.33	\pm 395.33 *	
Al	24.68	8.57	12.27	8.05	9.56	
	\pm 2.33	\pm 3.06 *	\pm 4.12 *	\pm 2.30 *	\pm 2.68 *	
Cr	0.14	0.53	0.51	0.55	0.53	
	± 0.19	\pm 0.09 *	\pm 0.11 *	± 0.28	± 0.27	
Mn	252.97	229.74	190.06	198.99	202.96	
	\pm 15.57	\pm 5.27 *	\pm 14.62 *	\pm 8.61 *	\pm 5.73 *	
Se	$\textbf{0.06} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	0.47	0.95	0.65	0.34	
		± 0.29	\pm 0.16 *	\pm 0.23 *	± 0.22	
Cd	0.73	0.59	0.62	0.72	0.53	
	± 0.05	\pm 0.02 *	\pm 0.02 *	± 0.01	\pm 0.09 *	
Zn	66.47	75.51	75.62	76.49	59.29	
	\pm 4.67	\pm 2.99 *	\pm 2.35 *	\pm 2.03 *	\pm 0.5 *	

Data are expressed in μg ion / g dry weight of each ion (parts per million). Asterisks indicate significant differences after Kruskal-Wallis' test compared to untreated plants. * p < 0.05. N = 3.

3.4. Effects of Carlina acaulis essential oil on plant metabolome

To obtain more insights into the metabolomic changes caused by the *C. acaulis* EO on *B. pilosa* adult plants, a GC/MS-driven untargeted metabolomic analysis was carried out. The analysis compared plants treated with 4 μ L/mL of *C. acaulis* EO and untreated plants after 48 h of EO exposition. We have chosen this concentration and time of exposure focusing on the effects of the EO on photosynthetic parameters, particularly F_{ν}/F_m and Φ_{II} .

The analysis identified 444 compounds, of which 122 were putatively annotated, and 322 were considered unknown. However, after discarding false annotated metabolites, a total of 97 metabolites were putatively annotated. Then, the data were normalised, and these annotated metabolites were statistically analysed through Metaboanalyst 5.0.

Data were then analysed through unsupervised (principal component analysis - PCA) and supervised (ortho partial least square discriminant analysis - OPLS-DA) multivariate analysis. In particular, PCA was used for exploratory data analysis and dimensionality reduction, identifying and underlying structure of the data by finding the linear combinations of variables (principal components) that explain the most variation in the data without considering any class of information. On the other hand, OPLS-DA is a supervised method used to classify samples into different groups based on their measured variables.

The Unsupervised Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed by comparing untreated and EO-treated plants. The PCA score plot, built on the first (PC1) and second component (PC2), explained a total variance of 83.1% and revealed a clear separation between sample groups (Fig. 5A). PC1 explained 64.2%, whereas PC2 explained 18.9% of the total variance.

Successively, data were analysed through the supervised Orthogonal Partial Least-Discriminant Analysis (OPLS-DA) and a complete separation between groups (control and treatment plants) was achieved, explaining a total variance of 73% (Fig. 5B). The PLS-DA model was characterised by Q^2 and R^2 accuracy were higher than 0.9 and a *p* value \leq 0.05 (Table S1).

OPLS-DA derived (VIP) scores (built on the metabolites with a VIP score higher than 1.2) pointed that isohexonic acid, fumaric acid, allose, D-(-)-ribose, quinic acid, shikimic acid, tagatose, D-(+)-mannose, D-(-)-fructose and glucose, among others, were those characterised by the highest VIP scores (Fig. 5C). In particular, isohexonic acid, citric acid, *n*-acetyl-serine, *cis*-aconitic acid, isoleucine, and 2-coumaric acid were significantly accumulated in treated plants. In contrast, all the other metabolites identified by a high VIP score (fumaric acid, allose, ribose, quinic acid, shikimic acid etc.) were significantly reduced (Fig. 5C).

A *t*-test analysis was also performed, revealing 62 significantly altered metabolites among untreated and EO-treated plants (the complete list of these metabolites is available in Table S1). These significantly affected metabolites mainly belong to the class of amino acids (alanine, GABA, isoleucine, and valine, among others), amines (tyramine, ethanolamine, hydroxylamine, and methylethanolamine), sugars (fructose, glucose, mannose, and ribose, among others), sugar acids, amino sugars, sugar alcohols (ribonic acid, acetylmannosamine, hexitol and iditol, among others), organic acids (citric acid, fumaric acid, glutaric acid, and malic acid, among others), etc. (Table 2).

Except for alanine and arginine, the rest of the amino acids increased their content after *C. acaulis* EO treatment. On the contrary, except for xylose and galactonic acid, all sugars, sugar acids, amino sugars and sugar alcohols were inhibited by the *C. acaulis* EO treatment. Regarding organic acids, aconitic acid, citric acid, glutaric acid, and threonic acid were stimulated whereas the rest significantly reduced their concentration compared with untreated plants after *C. acaulis* EO exposition.

KEGG-based pathway analysis was also carried out using the "MetPa" module of Metaboanalyst to analyse *C. acaulis* EO effects on pathways and networks. The analysis showed that the treatment significantly altered 41 pathways. However, only 16 showed an impact higher than 0.1 (Table 3). Among them, alanine aspartate and glutamate metabolism, glycine serine and threonine metabolism, starch and sucrose metabolism, and TCA cycle were the most impacted by the *C. acaulis* EO treatment, pointing out an impact higher than 0.3 (Table 3).

4. Discussion

It is well known that the biological activities of *C. acaulis* EO are mainly linked to the presence of carlina oxide as the main constituent (Benelli et al., 2022; Spinozzi et al., 2023a). This compound belongs to the chemical class of polyacetylenes, which have already been reported to be phytotoxic agents. For instance, lachnophylum ester, matricaria ester (Kobayashi et al., 1974), *cis*-dehydromatricariaester (Kawazu et al., 1969), dehydromatricaria lactone (Ichihara et al., 1978), and α -terthienyl (Campbell et al., 1982) are just some of the numerous polyacetylenes showing phytotoxic activity (Quintana et al., 2008). Despite the large number of polyacetylenes reported, the chemical moieties needed to display this biological activity are still not understood, as the mechanism of action of the phytotoxicity too (Quintana et al., 2008). Probably, their biological activity could be strongly linked to their sensitivity to sunlight or UV wavelengths (Campbell et al., 1982), but this aspect should be further investigated.

This work tested the effects of *C. acaulis* EO on *B. pilosa* wellestablished seedlings to evaluate the phytotoxic potential of this EO against weeds. *Carlina acaulis* EO, as also confirmed by the increase in DW/FW, showed strong effects, highlighting its capacity to induce dehydration or cause leaf necrosis. This DW/FW increment was also observed by Araniti et al. (2018) after *Origanum vulgare* L. EO treatment on *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. seedlings, and by Díaz-Tielas et al. (2014) after *trans*-chalcone treatment, suggesting water loss. Therefore, the significant reduction in RWC after *C. acaulis* EO exposure corroborates that plants could experience an alteration of their water status as a consequence of the treatment.

The chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters were monitored during EO treatment to check possible alterations in the photosynthetic machinery. After EO exposition, treated plants experienced a significant



 \leftarrow Control - 4 μ L/mL \rightarrow 8 μ L/mL \rightarrow 12 μ L/mL - 20 μ L/mL



Φ _{NO}						
Hour	4 μL/mL	$8 \mu L/mL$	$12 \ \mu L/mL$	$20\;\mu L/mL$		
0						
6						
24	-		-	-		
48						



$oldsymbol{\phi}_{\scriptscriptstyle NPQ}$						
Hour	4 μL/mL	8 μL/mL	$12 \ \mu L/mL$	$20 \; \mu L/mL$		
0						
6		+	+	+++		
24			+++			
48	+		+++	+		

 $0H \qquad 6H \qquad 24H \qquad 48H$ $\longrightarrow Control \qquad --4 \ \mu L/mL \qquad --8 \ \mu L/mL \qquad \rightarrow-12 \ \mu L/mL \qquad --820 \ \mu L/mL$



Fig. 4. Mean values of (A) φ_{II} (effective quantum yield of photosystem II); (B) φ_{NO} (non-regulated energy dissipation; fluorescence emission); (C) φ_{NPQ} (regulated energy dissipation in the form of heat), and (D) F_{ν}/F_m (maximum quantum yield of dark-adapted photosystem II) in *Bidens pilosa* plants exposed to different *Carlina acaulis* essential oil concentrations (0, 4, 8, 12, and 20 µL/Ml) for 0, 6, 24, and 48 h. Tables in the right show the statistical significance differences compared with to untreated plants (+, positive difference; -, negative difference; + or -, p < 0.05, ++ or -, p < 0.01, +++ or —, p < 0.001). Mean values of φ_{II} , φ_{NO} and φ_{NPQ} are expressed in arbitrary units (A.U.). N = 5.



Fig. 5. Graphical representation of (A) Principal component analysis (PCA) and (B) Orthogonal Partial Least-Discriminant Analysis (OPLS-DA) of the metabolomic changes on *Bidens pilosa* after 48 h treatment with 4 μ L/mL *Carlina acaulis* essential oil. Separation between groups metabolome is represented through colours. (C) Variable importance of projection (VIP) features for control and treated plants after Ortho-PLS-DA analysis. C (control plants; red colour) and T (EO-treated plants; green colour). N = 4.

reduction of the effective quantum yield of photosystem II (Φ_{II}) and maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (F_v/F_m), suggesting possible physical damage at the PSII' antennae complex and causing a decrease of the photosynthetic efficiency (Sánchez-Moreiras et al., 2020). Indeed, similar results were observed recently by Álvarez-Rodríguez et al. (2023) after harmaline treatment on *A. thaliana* adult plants, suggesting physical damage to the PSII. Sánchez-Moreiras et al. (2011) demonstrated that the reduction of Φ_{II} and F_v/F_m could be related to oxidative stress and necrotic processes, a finding that would be in accordance with the effects caused by *C. acaulis* EO, since *B. pilosa* leaves showed necrotic areas already at the lowest concentration tested (Fig. S1). In addition, a strong significant increment of regulated energy dissipation as heat (Φ_{NPQ}) and a significant reduction of non-regulated energy dissipation (Φ_{NPQ}) was also observed after *C. acaulis* EO treatment. Since photosynthetic measures were taken on alive-leaves without many necrotic symptoms, increased levels of $\Phi_{\rm NPQ}$ could be interpreted as a protection mechanism against stress, dissipating the excess energy in a regulated harmless way to overprotect the undamaged or less damaged leaves. In fact, Flores-Bavestrello et al. (2016) found that $\Phi_{\rm NO}$ decreased under light-intensity situations when $\Phi_{\rm NPQ}$ was effectively protecting the plant through the xanthophyll cycle.

Damages on the photosystem II can also be demonstrated through ionomic results after 48 h of EO exposition. *Bidens pilosa* plants experienced a significant reduction on the content of Mn, which is considered a crucial component of the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) of PSII (Umena et al., 2011). The Mn₄Ca cluster of the PSII not only facilitates the oxidation of water molecules, providing the electrons necessary to drive the photosynthetic electron transport chain, but also protects the

S. Álvarez-Rodríguez et al.

Table 2

Metabolites statistically altered after *Carlina acaulis* essential oil treatment. Data were analysed through the Student's *t*-test ($p \le 0.05$). A False Discovery Rate (FDR) was applied to the nominal *p*-values. Negative values of the *t*-stat value indicate a significant increase in the specific metabolite, and positive values indicate a significant reduction of the specific metabolite. N = 4.

9-Qamine3.9920.0071530.01374Mamine addsP-Amine3.3930.00751230.01431VGAA3.9130.00761330.01431VGAA3.9130.0076130.0055829VI-Agaragine3.11560.00218770.0055829VI-Agaragine3.1550.0109470.003219VI-Agaragine3.5750.0109470.003219MI-Mandanine3.52860.0102440.003218VI-Matheman3.52860.0102440.003218VYumane3.52860.0057400.006212VAphalactore1.74632.387.062.966.61VVartore1.74632.387.062.966.61VAphalactore1.01622.987.06VVAnono1.746.52.387.062.986.61VManoa1.746.52.387.062.986.61VStapase1.916.051.916.05VVManoa1.916.051.916.05VVStapase1.916.051.916.05VVStapase1.916.051.916.05VVStapase1.916.051.916.05VVStapase1.916.051.916.05VVStapase1.916.051.916.05VVStapase1.916.051.916.05VVStapase1.916.051.916.05VVStapase1.916.051.916.05 <th>Metabolites</th> <th>t-stat</th> <th><i>p</i>-value</th> <th>FDR</th> <th>Class</th>	Metabolites	t-stat	<i>p</i> -value	FDR	Class
pAlmine3.3930.0156250.062796CABA3.941310.000751330.0103331Ladguine3.10560.0005829	5-Oxoproline	-3.9952	0.0071578	0.013743	Amino acids
CAAA3.94130.00701330.014331-Lokacina9.00809.0082890.0055289L-Agaragine5.11560.00218770.003219L-Agaragine5.85730.0010940.003219L'Aline5.85730.0109470.030328L'Aline3.27550.0169170.030328Pytanine3.19980.0180440.020328Tyranine3.53560.00527430.003281Alpha-Lacros4.5310.00527420.0062812SagarsAlpha-Lacros4.5320.00527420.0062812SagarsAlpha-Lacros2.46282.958-071.418-05SagarsAlpha-Lacros1.6553.106-062.558-05SagarsRusse1.012-061.312-062.558-05SagarsRusse1.012-061.316-062.558-05SagarsRusse1.012-061.316-062.558-05SagarsRusse1.012-061.316-062.558-05SagarsRusse1.020-061.916-050.003137SagarsRusse1.020-061.916-050.003137SagarsRusse1.320-061.916-050.0031245SagarsRusse1.42560.0001245SagarsSagarsRusse1.42560.0001245SagarsSagarsRusse1.42560.0001245SagarsSagarsRusse1.42560.0001245SagarsSagarsRusse1.42560.0001245SagarsSagars <td>β-Alanine</td> <td>3.3393</td> <td>0.015625</td> <td>0.026786</td> <td></td>	β-Alanine	3.3393	0.015625	0.026786	
Iselection9,9089,904,00000005829I-Argaine6,11560,00021870,003289I-Againe6,36730,010940,02329Ethandamine3,2750,010940,023493MaineHydroxylamize3,2980,010940,02318MaineHydroxylamize3,2360,010410,02312MaineMethylethanbanine4,2310,0053730,01042MaineAlbac6,24632,956471,416,05MaineAlbac1,74642,528,062,986,07MaineFurces17,4631,916,062,986,07MaineFurces1,6351,916,062,986,07MaineStores1,916,062,986,07MaineMaineStores1,916,061,916,062,986,07MaineStores1,916,061,916,062,986,07MaineStores1,916,061,916,070,903,17MaineStores1,916,061,916,070,903,12MaineStores1,916,071,916,070,903,12MaineTrababe2,346,051,916,070,903,12MaineTheotic acid1,916,070,903,12MaineMaineNecepilamosamine6,2240,903,12MaineMaineNecepilamosamine1,2350,903,12MaineMaineNecepilamosamine1,2450,903,12MaineMaineNecepilamosamine1,2450,903,12MaineMaineNecepi	GABA	-3.9413	0.0076133	0.014331	
I-Aganagime5.11560.0021870.005289AnaleI-Aganagime5.85730.0010940.0032819I-ValorI-Valor5.85730.0169470.0302819MaineBydroxylamine3.12850.0186440.03028I-ValorI-Yamine3.52360.0186440.030281I-ValorI-Materian4.2510.0052730.011042JaganaAlpha-Lacros4.43440.00261720.062812SegarsAlpha-Lacros1.6453.108-062.986-05I-ValorAlbos1.6453.108-062.986-05I-ValorGlaccos1.6453.108-062.986-05I-ValorRamose1.6453.108-062.986-05I-ValorRamose1.9869.078-071.916-05I-ValorRator1.916.050.003187I-ValorI-ValorTrebalses2.348-050.001514I-ValorTrebalses3.13290.0023740.0056788I-ValorRabos3.13290.0023740.001345I-ValorAcadita caid3.13290.0023140.001345I-ValorArboressamine4.0440.0033670.0003145I-ValorAcadita caid1.02510.00023140.0002314I-ValorAcadita caid1.02520.0002314I-ValorI-ValorAcadita caid1.02520.0002314I-ValorI-ValorAcadita caid1.02540.00023160.0002764I-ValorAcadita caid </td <td>Isoleucine</td> <td>-9.1008</td> <td>9.89E-05</td> <td>0.00055829</td> <td></td>	Isoleucine	-9.1008	9.89E-05	0.00055829	
I-Aging I-Valine-4.0910.0062920.012329I-Valine5.85730.0010940.002819Ethandamine3.2550.016040.022158I-Yarmine3.5360.0124640.022158I-Mathylethandamine4.2510.00057430.00028120.002812Alpha-Latose4.9340.00251720.0002812SagarsAlpha-Latose1.4210.00251720.0002812SagarsAlbose2.958-071.18-05SagarsSagarsAlbose1.65.53.08-062.58E-05SatarsManose1.06.53.08-062.58E-05SatarsAlbose1.96.53.08-062.58E-05SatarsSatarse1.916.053.08-062.58E-05SatarsNarose1.916.953.08-060.0033187SatarsTagatose1.916.953.486-05SatarsSatarsTagatose3.13290.0202470.0033187SatarsTagatose3.13290.0023740.0003367SatarsN-Acetyl-D-bexamine6.3240.000731640.0002374SatarsN-Acetyl-D-bexamine1.2821.782630.0003285SatarsN-Acetyl-D-bexamine1.2821.946-050.0003285SatarsN-Acetyl-D-bexamine1.3280.0002316SatarsSatarsN-Acetyl-D-bexamine1.3280.00023710.0002704SatarsN-Acetyl-D-bexamine1.3280.00023710.0002704SatarsN-Acetyl-D-bexam	L-Arginine	5.1156	0.0021877	0.0055268	
I-våine [*] 588730.0019470.002819Handomine3.27550.0169470.03038AnineHydroylamine3.1980.0186440.03038MarineHydroylamine3.53360.0184440.030378MarineNathylipelnolomine4.2510.0053730.011042SugarsAlbab.actor2.46282.958-071.418-05SugarsAlbas2.46282.958-062.986-051.418-05Glucose16.553.08-062.986-051.418-05Robone1.018-051.918-052.986-051.918-05Bubas2.0589.978-071.918-051.918-05Bubas2.0589.978-070.9051541.918-05Bubas2.036.051.918-050.00031671.918-05Sucrore1.918-050.0023200.00557881.918-05Paptos3.0180.0183070.00857881.918-05Sucrore3.12800.0023070.00031621.918-05Paptos3.0180.0023070.00031621.918-05Calcate add3.1290.0023070.00031621.918-05Paptos3.0180.00033670.00031621.918-05Calcate add0.12950.00031621.918-051.918-05Paptos0.00031620.00032141.918-051.918-05Calcate add1.2250.90031621.918-051.918-05Paptos0.00021750.00031621.918-05Paptos0.00021750.	L-Asparagine	-4.1091	0.0062929	0.012329	
Induction3.37950.0169740.028493AmineHydroxymine3.39840.0184640.020332Yamine3.23640.00537430.003314Abdryberhandmine4.2510.00537430.006812SugarsAlbac2.46280.00521720.006812SugarsAlbac15.553.106.062.986.05Partose17.4632.526.062.986.05Albane1.05.653.106.062.986.05Rubac1.016.053.108.062.986.05Rubac2.008.019.978.071.916.05Rubac2.008.019.978.071.916.05Rubac2.008.019.978.073.986.01Rubac3.1920.202070.005154Sucrose3.1920.0020490.0011345Kabetin aid3.1920.0020340.001345Nacetylamosamine6.3240.00033670.001342Accetylamosamine6.3240.400.530.0001342Accetylamosamine1.2850.400.530.0001342Actific aid1.0261.798.050.000234Glucaria aid1.2849.446.050.0001342Actific aid1.2849.446.050.0003142Actific aid1.2849.446.050.0003425Actific aid1.2849.446.050.0003425Actific aid1.1649.806.05	L-Valine	-5.8573	0.001094	0.0032819	
lydgesylamine3,1980,0180440,00328Tyramine3,52360,0124640,0022158Ndethylehanolamine4,2510,00537340,01042Albas Lactor4,03490,00261720,0026172SugarsAlbas Actor2,528.062,528.062,668.051Functose17,1482,528.062,988.061Glucose17,6453,108.062,988.051Babas2,028.089,978.071,918.051Namose1,918.052,348.052,348.051Sucrose1,918.050,003.1870,003.1871Tagatos1,918.050,003.0870,003.0871Gabactoria exid3,1390,020490,003.0870,001.1245Threncia caid3,1390,000.316711Threncia exid1,2350,000.31670,001.12451Cardita exid1,2361,718.050,000.12451Cardita exid1,0970,000.31670,001.12451Cardita exid1,0281,748.050,000.12451Cardita exid1,0281,748.050,000.12451Cardita exid1,0281,748.050,000.12451Cardita exid1,0281,748.050,000.12451Cardita exid1,0281,748.050,000.12651Actoryl David1,0281,748.050,000.12651Actoryl David1,0281,748.050,000.12651C	Ethanolamine	3.2755	0.016917	0.028493	Amine
Tymmén3.52360.0124440.02218JestAbdriverbanolmine4.2510.00537430.0062812SugarsAlbac0.0052740.0062812SugarsAlbac2.46280.00251720.0062812SugarsAlbac2.46282.958.062.968.05JestGlucose16.553.108.062.988.05JestAnonose10.7.4542.138.062.988.05JestRubac2.0.0889.978.071.918.05SucroseSucrose1.018.050.003.187JestJestTenhalose2.348.050.0003.187JestJestCalactonic acid3.19820.0020490.0015154JestNecetylanosamine4.6140.003363670.0011342Amino sugarNecetylanosamine6.32240.00021490.0002314Organic acidNecetylanosamine6.32240.0002314Oug2058JestNecetylanosamine4.1440.00033670.00013425JestNecetylanosamine4.1440.0002314Oug2049JestNecetylanosamine4.948.050.00013425JestNecetylanosamine1.2.561.998.050.0002314JestOlycolacid1.0260.001345JestJestNecetylanosamine4.948.050.0003867JestJestOlycolacid0.95940.00024JestJestOlycolacid0.95040.0002406JestJestOlycolacid0.0001893 <td>Hvdroxvlamine</td> <td>3.1998</td> <td>0.018604</td> <td>0.030328</td> <td></td>	Hvdroxvlamine	3.1998	0.018604	0.030328	
NetworkA2510.00537430.01042Alpha-Lacose4.93490.000517420.005281SugarsAlbac2.958 071.418 059.978Pructose1.71482.528 062.988 051.988 05Manose1.6553.108 062.988 051.988 05Manose2.056 079.978 079.918 052.988 05Sucrose0.0058 099.978 079.918 050.0318 07Treladose1.879 001.466 060.0318 071.918 05Treladose3.840 050.0023 00.0055 780.0013 05Galaconic acid3.13930.0186 390.00313 059.978 07Theorie acid3.13930.0023 00.0031450.0023 071.918 05Necetyl-Dacosamine6.3240.00031640.0023 059.978 07Necetyl-Dacosamine6.3240.00031640.00031459.978 07Necetyl-Dacosamine6.3240.00031640.00032 059.978 07Necetyl-Dacosamine1.0253.406 050.00032 059.978 07Necetyl-Dacosamine1.0261.748 071.798 059.978 07Necetyl-Dacosamine6.18310.00023310.0002349.978 07Necetyl-Dacosamine1.0254.946 050.000368 079.978 07Nachifa acid0.10571.998 050.000368 079.978 07Nachifa acid9.9460.0013080.000589 079.978 07Stacin acid9.9450.0013080.0020661.946 07Albanci acid	Tyramine	3.5236	0.012464	0.022158	
Apha-factose939490.00261720.0052812SugarsAllose24.6282.95E 071.41E 05Practose17.0482.52E 662.96E 05Glucose16.553.10E 062.98E 03Rannose17.6452.13E 062.98E 03Ribose20.0589.97E 071.91E 05Storrose1.91E 051.91E 050.0033187Tagatose1.91E 052.34E 050.0033187Tophalos2.34E 050.0033187Storose0.06160.0023070.0056788Galactonic acid3.19390.0186370.018154Naccyl D-bexosamine4.61400.0033670.0031867Theonic acid3.19390.0202490.03031867Naccyl D-bexosamine6.32240.000731640.00023051Aconitic acid1.02551.71E 050.0013245Citric acid1.02521.79E 050.0003162Citric acid1.02521.79E 050.0003165Citric acid1.02541.79E 050.0003265Citric acid1.02541.79E 050.0003274Glucaric acid1.02540.000210610.0005629Glucaric acid1.02540.00013930.02724Glucaric acid1.79640.00020310.0005689Succinic acid0.91460.000201610.0005689Succinic acid1.79640.000201610.0005689Succinic acid0.76430.000201610.0005679Adenosine6.53110.	N-Methylethanolamine	-4.251	0.0053743	0.011042	
Allos 24628 295E-07 1.41E-05 Nature Practose 17,48 252E-06 2.66E-05 1 Mannose 16.55 3.10E-06 2.98E-05 1 Mannose 17.645 2.13E-06 2.98E-05 1 Sucrose 1.91E-05 0.0033187 1 1 Sucrose 1.87.97 1.46E-06 2.34E-05 0.005788 Trebalose 2.34E-05 0.0015154 Sugra acids 1 Kylose 5.0616 0.002307 0.0007386 Ugar acids Galactonic acid 3.1983 0.018639 0.00013245 Amino sugars N-Acetyl-D-becosamine 4.6144 0.0036367 0.00013245 Amino sugars N-Acetyl-D-becosamine 4.614 0.0036367 0.00013245 Amino sugars N-Acetyl-D-becosamine 4.614 0.0036367 0.00013245 Amino sugars N-Acetyl-D-becosamine 4.614 0.0002764 0.0002764 Amino sugars N-Acetyl-D-becosamine 4.614 0.000	Alpha-Lactose	4,9349	0.0026172	0.0062812	Sugars
Frectose 17,48 252E.06 268E.05 Glucose 16,55 3.108.06 2.98E.05 Kubose 2.0058 9.97E.07 1.91E.05 Ribose 2.0058 9.97E.07 1.91E.05 Tagatose 1.91E.05 0.0033187 Trebalose 2.34E.05 0.0015154 Xylose 5.0616 0.002307 0.0056788 Galactonic acid 3.1329 0.020249 0.031867 Threonic acid 3.1329 0.020249 0.00031245 N-Acctyl-Dhexosamine 4.6144 0.003567 0.0002334 Organic acid N-Acctyl-Dhexosamine 4.6144 0.003567 0.0002334 Organic acid N-Acctyl-Dhexosamine 4.6144 0.0002085 Outo25085 Outo25085 N-Acctyl-Dhexosamine 4.6144 0.0002314 Organic acid Chric acid 1.0252 4.94E.05 0.0001245 Chric acid 1.0262 4.94E.05 0.0001525 Fumaric acid 1.0282 4.94E.05 0.0005685	Allose	24.628	2.95E-07	1.41E-05	
Glucose 16.55 3.108-06 2.986-05 Mannose 17.645 2.138-06 2.581-05 Sucrose 2.0058 9.97E-07 1.91E-05 Sucrose 1.91E-05 0.0033187 Trehalose 2.348-05 2.346-05 2.346-05 Trehalose 2.346-05 0.002307 0.0065788 Galacioni acid 3.1983 0.018639 0.0331867 Threonic acid 1.2.356 1.71E-05 0.00013245 N-Acetyl-b-hocosamine 4.6144 0.0030367 0.0002314 Organic acids A-Acetylanosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.002334 Organic acids A-derylanosomine 6.3234 9.406-05 0.00013245 Unicatid Chrici acid 1.0.282 4.946-05 0.00013245 Unicatids Pumaric acid 1.0.282 4.946-05 0.00031625 Unicatids Glucia acid 1.0.282 4.946-05 0.00031625 Unicatids Glycolic acid 7.9504 0.00020461 0.0020461 U	Fructose	17 148	2.52E-06	2.69E-05	
Mannese 17.445 2.13E.06 2.55E.05 Nibose 20.058 9.97E.07 1.91E.05 Sucrose 1.01E.05 1.01E.05 0.0033187 Tagatose 18.799 1.46E.06 2.34E.05 0.0015154 Tybase 5.0616 0.002307 0.0056788 Sugar acids Galactonic acid 3.1329 0.020249 0.031867 Amino sugar acids NAcetyl-D-bexosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.002308 Amino sugar acids NAcetyl-D-bexosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.002308 Organic acid Aconitic acid 1.0975 3.40E.05 0.0003314 Organic acids Ciftric adi 1.0282 1.79E.05 0.00031625 Furginic acids Ciftric adi 1.0282 1.94E.05 0.00031625 Furginic acids Giftric adi 0.0027024 Furginic acids Social acids Furginic acids Furginic acid	Glucose	16.55	3 10E-06	2.98E-05	
Nikose 20.058 9.97E.07 1.91E.05 Surrose 1.91E.05 0.0033187 1 Tegatose 1.8799 1.46E.06 2.34E.05 1 Trehalose 2.34E.05 0.0015154 1 1 Trehalose 2.34E.05 0.0015788 1 1 Galactonia erid 3.1983 0.018639 0.030328 Sugar acids Threnoia erid 3.1329 0.020249 0.031867 1 N-Acetylananosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.0020565 1 Aconitic adid 1.0.975 3.40E.05 0.0003245 Gragina erids Citric adid 1.0.282 4.94E.05 0.00031245 Gragina erids Dehydroascorbic acid 1.0.282 4.94E.05 0.0003245 Gragina erids Gitria cid 1.0.282 4.94E.05 0.0003245 Gragina erids Gitria cid 1.0.282 4.94E.05 0.0005829 Gradia erids 1.97E.05 Gitria cid 2.055 0.00055829 0.00055829	Mannose	17 645	2.13E-06	2.55E-05	
Sucrose 1.91E-05 1.91E-05 0.0033187 Tagatose 18.799 1.46E-06 2.34E-05 Trehalose 2.34E-05 0.0015154 Xylose -5.0616 0.002307 0.003028 Sugra calds 3.1329 0.020249 0.031867 Threonic acid 1.2356 1.71E-05 0.00013245 N-Acetyl-D-hexosamine 6.3224 0.0003667 0.00013245 N-Acetyl-D-hexosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.00023014 Organic acids Aconitic acid -10.975 3.46E-05 0.00013245 Organic acids Citric acid -10.282 4.94E-05 0.00031625 Pirmaric acid 0.027024 Gilutaric acid 1.058 7.47E-07 1.79E-05 Gilutaric acid 0.0005829 Maloric acid 3.6667 0.00013231 0.0005829 Maloric acid 3.6677 Maloric acid 3.6677 0.0005833 0.0005898 Shikinic acid 1.79E-05 Sucricinic acid 7.7664 0.0001303 0.0005877	Bibose	20.058	9 97F-07	1 91F-05	
Jactob 17.16-00 17.16-00 0.000-000 Tagatose 18.799 1.46-06 2.048-05 0.0015154 Trehalose 2.348-05 0.00056788 0.00056788 Sugar acids Galaconic acid 3.1983 0.018639 0.030328 Sugar acids Ribonic acid 3.1329 0.0202494 0.0301345 Monescons N-Acetyl-Dhexosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.0002307 Oco25085 acontic acid Acontic acid 10.975 3.40E-05 0.00013245 acontic acid Organic acids Citric acid 10.282 4.94E-05 0.00013245 acontic acid Gitaric acid 0.0002104 Gitaric acid 0.0002104 Gitaric acid 0.0002104 0.00005809 acontic acid Acontinacid Sitaric acid 0.00005809 acontic acid Adots acid 0.00005809 acontic acid Adots acid 0.00004674 Adots acid Ad	Sucrose	1.91E-05	1 91F-05	0.0033187	
Inspace 167.97 1.402-00 2.5000 Trehalose 2.34E-05 0.0015154 Xylose -5.0616 0.002307 0.0056788 Galactonic acid 3.1329 0.02049 0.030328 Sugar acids Nibonic acid 3.1329 0.02049 0.0013167 T N-Acetyl-D-hexosamine 4.6144 0.0036367 0.002314 Organic acids N-Acetyl-D-hexosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.00023314 Organic acids Chric acid 10.975 3.40E-05 0.00013245 - Fumaric acid 1.2.6 1.79E-05 0.00013245 - Fumaric acid 1.0282 494E-05 0.0003265 - Glutaric acid 7.9504 0.00021061 0.0005829 - Glycolic acid 7.9504 0.0001375 0.0035877 Maleciacia J-4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 4.657 0.001375 0.00358098 Subkimic acid 7.97643 0.00024061 - - J-4-Henzenedicarboxylic acid </td <td>Tagatose</td> <td>18 700</td> <td>1.91E-05</td> <td>2 34E 05</td> <td></td>	Tagatose	18 700	1.91E-05	2 34E 05	
Intension 2.04.0.0 2.04.0.0 0.001104 Xylose 5.0616 0.002307 0.0056788 Galactonic acid 3.1983 0.020249 0.031867 Threonic acid 1.2.356 1.71E-05 0.0013245 N-Acetyl-D-hexosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.002308 Organic acids Aconitic acid 10.975 3.40E-05 0.00013245 Organic acids Chric acid 10.226 1.79E-05 0.00013245 Organic acids Dehydroascorbic acid 10.282 4.94E-05 0.0001325 Interside Gilutaric acid 0.1825 0.00023314 Organic acids Gilutaric acid 1.0282 4.94E-05 0.00021625 Gilutaric acid 1.0282 0.0002331 0.0002704 Malic acid 9.146 9.80E-05 0.00035899 Interside Malic acid 9.9456 0.001375 0.023345 Interside Succinic acid 5.6591 0.001383 0.00058088 Interside Autoryburybic acid	Trebalose	2 34E 05	2.34E.05	0.0015154	
Aylose -3.0010 0.002307 0.0030785 Galactonic acid 3.1329 0.02149 0.031867 Interonic acid 1.2356 1.71E-05 0.00013245 N-Acetyl-D-hexosamine 4.6144 0.0036367 0.0081192 Amino sugars N-Acetyl-D-hexosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.0025085 Organic acids Acontic acid -10.975 3.40E-05 0.00013245 Organic acids Chric acid -12.26 1.79E-05 0.00013245 Organic acids Dehydroascorbic acid 10.282 4.94E-05 0.00027024 Glatacia acid Glycolic acid 7.9504 0.00021061 0.0002679 Halia acid 9.1146 9.80E-05 0.0005829 Malia acid 9.1146 9.80E-05 0.00058098 Halia acid 1.996 1.9966 2.58E-05 1.49802 Malocala acid 9.4657 0.002345 Malocala acid 3.4657 0.001308 0.00058098 Halia acid 1.4996 2.58E-05 1.41960 1.41960 1.41960 1.41960 1.41960	Vuloco	E 0616	0.002207	0.0013134	
Galationic actu -5.1963 0.01893 0.030326 bugar actus Ribonic acid 31329 0.020249 0.031867 Threonic acid -12.356 1.71E-05 0.00013245 N-Acetylmannosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.0022385 Aconitic acid -10.975 3.40E-05 0.00031625 Citric acid -10.282 4.94E-05 0.00031625 Fumaric acid 0.10284 7.47E-07 1.79E-05 Glutaric acid 0.10283 0.00082331 0.0007204 Glycolic acid 7.9504 0.00018235 0.002345 Glycolic acid 9.9504 0.00021061 0.00096279 Malic acid 3.4657 0.00013935 0.002345 Succinic acid 8.9456 0.00015829 Malanic acid 17.9966 0.0035877 Miscellaneous 3-Minioisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0005898 Shikimic acid 7.7643 0.0002322 0.0006674 Adhenosine 6.7311 0.00054085 0.00	Aylose Calastania agid	-3.0010	0.002307	0.0030788	Curren eside
Nnonic adul 3.1329 0.02149 0.031807 Threonic acid 1.2366 1.71E-05 0.00013245 N-Acetyl-D-hexosamine 4.6144 0.0035637 0.00023085 N-Acetyl-D-hexosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.0023085 Aconitic acid 10.975 3.40E-05 0.00013245 Citric acid 10.282 4.94E-05 0.000131625 Fumaric acid 21.058 7.47E-07 1.79E-05 Glutaric acid 0.082301 0.0007024 1.79E-05 Glutaric acid 9.504 0.00031625 1.79E-05 Glutaric acid 9.504 0.00021061 0.00066279 1.79E-05 Malonic acid 3.4657 0.0013075 0.023345 1.79E-05 Malonic acid 3.4657 0.0013075 0.023345 1.79E-05 Malonic acid 3.4657 0.0013083 0.00058098 1.71E-05 Malonic acid 3.4657 0.0013083 0.0005807 Miscellaneous Shikimir acid 1.7996 1.89E-06 2.55E-05 </td <td>Galactonic acid</td> <td>-3.1983</td> <td>0.018039</td> <td>0.030328</td> <td>Sugar acius</td>	Galactonic acid	-3.1983	0.018039	0.030328	Sugar acius
Intendic acid 12.530 17.15/3 0.0001345 N-Acetyl-hexosamine 4.6144 0.0036367 0.0081192 Amino sugars N-Acetyl-hexosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.00013245 Citric acid 10.275 3.40E/05 0.00013245 Dehydroascorbic acid 10.282 4.94E/05 0.0001625 Fumaric acid 6.1831 0.00022061 0.00095279 Malic acid 3.4657 0.013375 0.00035829 Malonic acid 3.4657 0.013375 0.00058098 Shikimic acid 3.4657 0.0001893 0.00058098 Shikimic acid 3.4657 0.001308 0.0005807 J.4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0005807 J.4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.0002401 0.0010477 J-Adenosine 6.7311 0.0002401 0.0010477 J-Adenosine 6.7334 0.0002401 0.010477 I sobrexonic acid 7.7643 0.0020967 0.00241 Gluccose-phosphate <	Three rid	3.1329	1 715 05	0.0012245	
N-AcetyIn-Ditextosamine 4.0144 0.008367 0.0081192 Natho sugars N-AcetyIn-Ditextosamine 6.3224 0.00073164 0.0025085 Organic acids Aconitic acid -10.975 3.40E-05 0.00031625 Organic acids Cltric acid -12.26 4.94E-05 0.00031625 Organic acids Fumaric acid 21.058 7.47E-07 1.79E-05 Ou0096279 Glutaric acid -6.1831 0.00021061 0.00096279 Malic acid 9.1146 9.80E-05 0.00058089 Succinic acid 3.4657 0.10375 0.023345 Succinic acid 3.4657 0.0010893 0.00058089 Shikimic acid 17.996 1.89E-06 2.55E-05 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 4.4331 0.004085 0.0006474 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid -7.643 0.00027024 Ou00477 I sobexonic acid -2.5311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Cutrac acid -2.5311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 <td>N Append D housesmine</td> <td>-12.350</td> <td>1./1E-05</td> <td>0.00013245</td> <td>A</td>	N Append D housesmine	-12.350	1./1E-05	0.00013245	A
M-Acey imanosamine 6.324 0.000/3164 0.002305 Aconitic acid -10.975 3.400-55 0.00013245 Citric acid 12.26 1.798-05 0.00031625 Pehydroascorbic acid 0.282 4.940-05 0.00031625 Glutaric acid 0.1082 7.476-07 1.798-05 Glutaric acid 6.1831 0.0002331 0.002704 Glutaric acid 0.1146 9.806-05 0.0035829 Malic acid 3.4657 0.013375 0.023345 Succinic acid 7.996-06 1.898-06 2.558-05 J.4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 4.4331 0.001308 0.0036898 Shikinic acid 7.996-06 1.898-06 2.558-05 J.4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 4.4331 0.004005 0.00096185 Al-Hydroxybenzoic acid 4.4331 0.0029082 0.0006474 Al-Hydroxybenzoic acid 6.7311 0.002401 0.0010477 Fructose 6-phosphate 4.8322 0.0045933 0.0010477 Glucose 6-phosphate 4.8312	N-Acetyl-D-nexosanine	4.0144	0.0030307	0.0081192	Amino sugars
Aconitic acid -10.975 3.40E-05 0.0002314 Organic acids Citric acid -12.26 1.79E-05 0.00031625 Pumari acid 21.058 7.47E-07 7.9E-05 Glutaria caid -6.1831 0.0008231 0.00027024 Glycolic acid 7.9504 0.00021061 0.00025829 Malic acid 9.1146 9.80E-05 0.00035829 Malonic acid 8.4456 0.00010893 0.00058098 Succinic acid 8.9456 0.00010893 0.00058098 Shikimic acid 7.996 1.89E-06 2.5E-05 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.00058098 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.002096 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid 4.8307 0.002204 0.0010477 Isohexonic acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.0104477 Isohexonic acid -5.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.3812 0.002404 0.0027024 Glucconalctone 4.3952	N-Acetyimannosamine	6.3224	0.000/3164	0.0025085	o · · · 1
Citra ecid -1.2.26 1.79E-05 0.00013245 Dehydrosscobie ecid 10.282 4.94E-05 0.00031625 Fumarie ecid 21.058 7.47E-07 1.79E-05 Glutarie ecid -6.1831 0.00082331 0.0007024 Glycolic acid 7.9504 0.00021061 0.00095829 Malic acid 9.1146 9.80E-05 0.0005809 Malonic acid 3.4657 0.013375 0.023345 Succinic acid 17.996 1.89E-06 2.55E-05 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.001308 0.00058098 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0066474 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid 6.7311 0.0002302 0.0020966 Coumaric acid -7.7643 0.00044051 0.0027024 Furctose 6-phosphate 4.2437 0.0084581 0.01142 Glycorol-3-Galactoside 6.1534 0.00024067 1.41E-05 Furctose 6-phosphate 4.2457 0.0064585 0.01142 Glycorol-3-Galactoside 6.1534	Aconitic acid	-10.975	3.40E-05	0.00023314	Organic acids
Dehydroascorbic acid 10.282 4.94E-05 0.00031625 Fumaric acid 21.058 7.47E-07 1.79E-05 Glutaric acid -6.1831 0.00082331 0.0027024 Glycolic acid 9.9504 0.00021061 0.00095829 Malic acid 3.4657 0.013375 0.023345 Succinic acid 8.9456 0.0001308 0.00058098 Shikmic acid 7.9504 0.0001308 0.0035877 Miscellaneous 1.4-Benzendicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.001308 0.0002063 0.0002096 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.00020096 1.84E-0 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid 4.337 0.0002082 0.00020096 1.41E-05 Coumaric acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.00027024 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -6.1534 0.00084451 0.0027024 1.41E-05 Glycorola-Cidactoride -6.1534 0.00029067 0.0066474 1.41E-05 Gluconalctone -6.1534 0.00029067 0.0066474 1.41E-	Citric acid	-12.26	1.79E-05	0.00013245	
Funaric acid 21.058 7.474-07 1.798-05 Glutaric acid -6.1831 0.00082331 0.0027024 Glycolic acid 7.9504 0.00021061 0.0005829 Malic acid 9.1146 9.80E-05 0.0023345 Succinic acid 8.465 0.0010893 0.00058098 Shikimic acid 17.996 1.89E-06 2.55E-05 1,4Benzenedicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.001308 0.0035877 Miscellaneous 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0006474 4.494 Adenosine 6.7311 0.0002401 0.0010477 4.41E-05 Furctose 6-phosphate 4.2457 0.002401 0.010477 4.41E-05 Furctose 6-phosphate 4.2457 0.0054058 0.011042 4.41E-05 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0048451 0.0027024 4.41E-05 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0048451 0.0027024 4.41E-05 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0048923 0.009797 4.41E-05	Dehydroascorbic acid	10.282	4.94E-05	0.00031625	
Glitaria carid -6.1831 0.00082331 0.0027024 Glycolic acid 7.9504 0.00021061 0.00096279 Malic acid 9.1146 9.80E-05 0.0023345 Succinic acid 8.9456 0.0010893 0.0028098 Shikimic acid 17.996 1.89E-06 2.55E-05 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.001308 0.0038877 Miscellaneous 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0020066474 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid 6.7311 0.002032 0.0020096 Coumaric acid -7.7643 0.0002041 0.0010477 Isohexonic acid -2.5311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0054058 0.011042 Glycerol-3-Galactoside -6.1534 0.0029067 0.006474 Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.006474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol	Fumaric acid	21.058	7.47E-07	1.79E-05	
Giycolic acid 7,9504 0.00021061 0.000962/9 Malic acid 9,1146 9,80E-05 0.00055829 Malonic acid 3,4657 0.013375 0.023345 Succinic acid 8,9456 0.00010893 0.00058098 Shikmic acid 17.996 1.89E-06 2.55E-05 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.001308 0.00096185 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0020961 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid -4.8307 0.0029822 0.0066474 Adenosine 6.7311 0.002401 0.0010477 Isobexonic acid -25.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.002401 0.001477 Isobexonic acid -51.54 0.002401 0.0027024 Glyccorol-3-Galactoside -6.1534 0.002463 0.009707 Gluccose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0	Glutaric acid	-6.1831	0.00082331	0.0027024	
Maic acid 9.1146 9.80E-05 0.00055829 Malonic acid 3.4657 0.013375 0.023345 Succinic acid 8.9456 0.0010893 0.0058098 Shikimic acid 17.996 1.89E-06 2.55E-05 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.001308 0.0035877 Miscellaneous 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0096185 44 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid 4.8307 0.0029082 0.0066474 44 Adenosine 6.7311 0.00052332 0.0020096 41 Isobexonic acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.001477 41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0045923 0.00142 41E-05 Gluccolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.009707 41E-05 Gluccolactone 4.312 0.0029067 0.006474 Gluccose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0045923 0.009707 Gluccose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.0066474 Quinic acid	Glycolic acid	7.9504	0.00021061	0.00096279	
Maloric acid 3.4657 0.013375 0.023345 Succinic acid 8.9456 0.00010893 0.00058098 Shikimic acid 17.996 1.89E-06 2.5E-05 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.001308 0.0035877 Miscellaneous 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.002096 1.49E 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid -4.8307 0.0022082 0.0066474 - Adenosine 6.7311 0.0002401 0.0010477 - Cournaric acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.0010477 - Isohexonic acid -25.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 - - Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0054058 0.011042 - - Glucconel cone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.0066474 - - - Gluccose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.00204523 0.009797 - - - Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.002067 0.0066474 - -	Malic acid	9.1146	9.80E-05	0.00055829	
Succinic acid 8,4456 0.00010893 0.00058098 Shikinic acid 17.996 1.89E-06 2.55E-05 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.001308 0.0035877 Miscellaneous 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0096185 4490 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid -4.8307 0.0029082 0.0066474 Adenosine 6.7311 0.00052332 0.0020096 Coumaric acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.001477 Isohexonic acid -25.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0024058 0.011042 Glyccorl-3-Galactoside -6.1534 0.0024058 0.011042 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.009797 Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols	Malonic acid	3.4657	0.013375	0.023345	
Shikimic acid 17.996 1.89E-06 2.55E-05 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.001308 0.0035877 Miscellaneous 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0096185 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid -4.8307 0.0029082 0.00666474 Adenosine 6.7311 0.0002401 0.0010477 Coumaric acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.0010477 Isobexonic acid -25.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0034451 0.0027024 Gluconolactone 6.3952 0.0045923 0.009797 Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.1082 0.019599 More 10599	Succinic acid	8.9456	0.00010893	0.00058098	
1.4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid 5.6591 0.001308 0.0035877 Miscellaneous 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0096185 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid -4.8307 0.0029082 0.0066474 Adenosine 6.7311 0.00052332 0.0020096 Coumaric acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.0010477 Isohexonic acid -25.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0054058 0.010427 Glycerol-3-Galactoside -6.1534 0.00084451 0.0027024 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 0.001452 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.01082 0.019599	Shikimic acid	17.996	1.89E-06	2.55E-05	
3-Aminoisobutyric acid 4.4331 0.0044085 0.0096185 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid -4.8307 0.0029082 0.0066474 Adenosine 6.7311 0.00052332 0.002096 Coumaric acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.0010477 Isohexonic acid -25.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0084451 0.0027024 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.009797 Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.01082 0.019599	1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic acid	5.6591	0.001308	0.0035877	Miscellaneous
4-Hydroxybenzoic acid -4.8307 0.0029082 0.0066474 Adenosine 6.7311 0.0002332 0.0020966 Coumaric acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.0010477 Isohexonic acid -25.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0054058 0.011042 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.009797 Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.01082 0.019599 500055268 Sugar alcohols	3-Aminoisobutyric acid	4.4331	0.0044085	0.0096185	
Adenosine 6.7311 0.00052332 0.0020096 Coumaric acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.0010477 Isohexonic acid -25.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0054058 0.011042 Glycerol-3-Galactoside -6.1534 0.0084451 0.0027024 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.1082 0.015599 0.0025085	4-Hydroxybenzoic acid	-4.8307	0.0029082	0.0066474	
Coumaric acid -7.7643 0.0002401 0.0010477 Isohexonic acid -25.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0054058 0.011042 Glyccol-3-Galactoside -6.1534 0.00084451 0.0027024 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -1.3971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Idito 3.6411 0.1082 0.0025085 5.0025085	Adenosine	6.7311	0.00052332	0.0020096	
Isohexonic acid -25.311 2.51E-07 1.41E-05 Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0054058 0.011042 Glycerol-3-Galactoside -6.1534 0.00084451 0.0027024 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.0009797 Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.01082 0.019599 0.0025085	Coumaric acid	-7.7643	0.0002401	0.0010477	
Fructose 6-phosphate -4.2457 0.0054058 0.011042 Glycerol-3-Galactoside -6.1534 0.00084451 0.0027024 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.009797 Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine 13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.01082 0.019599 0.0025085	Isohexonic acid	-25.311	2.51E-07	1.41E-05	
Glycerol-3-Galactoside -6.1534 0.00084451 0.0027024 Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.009797 Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.002067 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.00070655 0.0025085 Sugar alcohols	Fructose 6-phosphate	-4.2457	0.0054058	0.011042	
Gluconolactone 4.3952 0.0045923 0.009797 Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.0066474 Quinc acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.0007655 0.0025085 Sugar alcohols	Glycerol-3-Galactoside	-6.1534	0.00084451	0.0027024	
Glucose 6-phosphate -4.8312 0.0029067 0.0066474 Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.1082 0.012595 0.0025085	Gluconolactone	4.3952	0.0045923	0.009797	
Quinic acid 21.107 7.37E-07 1.79E-05 N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.01082 0.019599 Myo-inositol 6.364 0.00070655 0.0025085	Glucose 6-phosphate	-4.8312	0.0029067	0.0066474	
N-Acetyl-DL-serine -13.971 8.38E-06 7.32E-05 Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.01082 0.019599 0.0025085 Myo-inositol 6.364 0.00070655 0.0025085	Quinic acid	21.107	7.37E-07	1.79E-05	
Hexitol 5.1312 0.0021545 0.0055268 Sugar alcohols Iditol 3.6411 0.01082 0.019599 Myo-inositol 6.364 0.00070655 0.0025085	N-Acetyl-DL-serine	-13.971	8.38E-06	7.32E-05	
Iditol 3.6411 0.01082 0.019599 Myo-inositol 6.364 0.00070655 0.0025085	Hexitol	5.1312	0.0021545	0.0055268	Sugar alcohols
Myo-inositol 6.364 0.00070655 0.0025085	Iditol	3.6411	0.01082	0.019599	
	Myo-inositol	6.364	0.00070655	0.0025085	

PSII complex from oxidative damage (Schmidt et al., 2016). For this reason, Mn deficiency alters water photolysis in PSII complexes, leading to a decreased number of electrons traversing the photosystem, which would induce a diminished photosynthetic efficiency. Consequently, Mn deficiency is directly correlated to the F_{ν}/F_m ratio , which is reduced due to stress, as occurs after *C. acaulis* EO exposition. In addition, *Hordeum vulgare* L. leaves showed necrotic brown spots as a consequence of Mn deficiency (Schmidt et al., 2016), symptom also obtained on *B. pilosa* leaves after *C. acaulis* EO treatment (Fig. S1). The ionomic assay has also reported a reduced level of Ca after *C. acaulis* EO treatment. Since Ca is part of the Mn₄Ca cluster, Ca deficiency negatively affects the photosynthetic efficiency, owing to detrimental damages to the photosynthetic machinery of PSII.

Furthermore, significant high levels of K and low levels of Na were found after *C. acaulis* EO treatment. Potassium is well known to be the major solute involved in osmotic adjustment in some plant species (Ma et al., 2004; Moinuddin et al., 2005), helping to enhance the plant tolerance in drought-stress situations. It has been reported that under drought stress conditions, plant capacity to uptake K from the soil is reduced as water content decreases, suggesting that K accumulation in young leaves under drought stress is related to K internal translocation to improve the osmotic adjustment (Damon et al., 2011). Since our measurements were done on leaves that were not entirely wilted by EO treatment, the K accumulation on *B. pilosa* leaves could mean that the plants are trying to cope with water status alteration by increasing the osmotic adjustment through K mobilisation (Mahdid et al., 2021).

The untargeted metabolomic analysis pointed out that *C. acaulis* EO caused a significant generalised increment on the content of amino acid with osmoprotectants ability (i.e. alanine, GABA, isoleucine or valine, among others). Amino acid metabolism in plants plays an important role under abiotic stress conditions. Accumulation of different amino acids, considered osmoprotectants in plants, is well documented to be involved in stress tolerance. Similar effects were recently found by López-González et al. (2023) after citral and farnesene treatments,

Table 3

Result from ingenuity pathway analysis carried out with 'MetPa' on *Bidens pilosa* adult plants sprayed with *Carlina acaulis* essential oil for 48 h.

Pathway analysis	Total Cmpd	Hits	Raw p	FDR	Impact
Alanine aspartate and glutamate metabolism	22	8	0.000834	0.0022386	0.84892
Glycine serine and threonine metabolism	33	4	0.0065658	0.011547	0.39836
Starch and sucrose metabolism	22	5	9.38E-05	0.00044027	0.3404
Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)	20	5	1.11E-07	5.65E-06	0.29335
Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism	29	7	0.00072169	0.0020448	0.23247
Arginine and proline metabolism	34	3	0.0026869	0.0052704	0.18619
Arginine biosynthesis	18	6	0.0025712	0.0052453	0.16991
Tyrosine metabolism	16	2	2.19E-05	0.00013988	0.16892
Butanoate metabolism	17	4	1.98E-05	0.00013988	0.13636
Glutathione metabolism	26	4	3.92E-06	3.33E-05	0.13362
Sulfur metabolism	15	2	0.00026114	0.00083239	0.10774

Total Cmpd: the total number of compounds in the pathway; Hits: the matched number from the uploaded data; Raw p: the original p value calculated from the enrichment analysis; FDR: false discovery rate. Only the pathways with impact higher than 0.1 were reported. The full list is available in Supplementary Table S1.

suggesting that plants were defending themselves from stress through increasing osmoprotectants production. High accumulation of amino acids, particularly the branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs), such as isoleucine and valine, have already been reported to be related to osmotic stress responses, mainly due to drought stress conditions (Joshi et al., 2010). High levels of BCAAs have been observed after *C. acaulis* EO exposition, corroborating that plants could be under drought stress. Huang and Jander (2017) also obtained BCAAs' accumulation in response to osmotic stress after 1 h of drought-stress exposition. They demonstrated that BCAA accumulation in response to stress occurs through abscissic acid-regulated protein degradation since this is a key regulator of osmotic stress responses. Our results could suggest that *C. acaulis* EO exposition causes an alteration of plant water status, inducing osmotic alterations and, as a consequence, accumulation of branched-chain amino acids.

Related to the reduced photosynthetic efficiency in EO-treated plants, it is also necessary to highlight the generalised decrease in sugar content observed after 48 h of EO treatment (sucrose, glucose, fructose, ribose, among others). Although soluble sugars usually accumulate under stress conditions inducing stress response signals to increase stress tolerance (Rosa et al., 2009), under several stress conditions, the sugar content can also decrease (Khan et al., 2020). Indeed, low sugar levels can be considered a plant response to abiotic stress conditions, such as drought, high temperature or salinity. Reduction in sugar content has already been observed in another study, in fact López-González et al. (2023) obtained a reduction in sucrose content after farnesene and citral treatments on A. thaliana seedlings, suggesting a relation with the reduction in photosynthetic efficiency, since it is the main photosynthetic product. This situation would be in accordance with our results since C. acaulis EO altered several chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters, suggesting damage in the photosynthetic machinery of B. pilosa plants, reducing the photosynthetic efficiency. Indeed, one of the most impacted pathways after C. acaulis EO treatment was the starch and sucrose metabolism.

5. Conclusions

The *C. acaulis* EO, composed mainly of the polyacetylene carlina oxide, has shown strong phytotoxic potential against the dicot weed *B. pilosa*, causing leaf necrosis, reduction in RWC and increment of DW/FW ratio, suggesting water status alteration. On the other hand, plants tried to counteract this stress through ion remobilisation and activation of the biosynthesis of primary metabolites with osmoprotectants activity. The effects caused by this EO suggest the induction of physical damage to the photosynthetic machinery since both $\Phi_{\rm II}$ and $F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m}$ chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters decreased, and $\Phi_{\rm NPQ}$ increased as a defence mechanism after EO treatment. The reduction in sugar, Mn, and Ca levels further confirmed those potential damages, suggesting an alteration in the correct functionality of the Mn₄Ca cluster of PSII. The findings of this work suggest that *C. acaulis* EO could be considered a promising phytotoxic product for weed management.

Funding

This work is supported by the 'Xunta de Galicia' entity; Competitive Reference Group (ED431C 2019/20). In addition, this research was cofunded by the 'Xunta de Galicia PhD Mobility Grant for Research Staff' (ED481A 2021/328).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Sara Álvarez Rodríguez: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Visualisation. Eleonora Spinozzi: Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Visualisation. Adela M. Sánchez-Moreiras: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Resources, Writing - review & editing, Visualisation. David Lopez-Gonzalez: Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation. Marta Ferrati: Investigation, Data curation, Writing - review & editing, Visualisation. Giorgio Lucchini: Formal analysis, Validation, Data curation. Filippo Maggi: Conceptualization, Investigation, Data curation, Writing - review & editing, Visualisation. Riccardo Petrelli: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing, Visualization, Supervision. Fabrizio Araniti: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing, Visualization, Supervision.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data Availability

Data will be made available on request.

Investigating the phytotoxic potential of Carlina acaulis essential oil against the weed Bidens pilosa through a physiological and metabolomic approach (Original data) (Mendeley Data)

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2023.117149.

References

- Abd El-Gawad, A.M., 2016. Chemical constituents, antioxidant and potential allelopathic effect of the essential oil from the aerial parts of *Cullen plicata*. Ind. Crops Prod. 80, 36–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.10.054.
- Álvarez-Rodríguez, S., Alvite, C.M., Reigosa, M.J., Sánchez-Moreiras, A.M., Araniti, F., 2023. Application of Indole-Alkaloid Harmaline induces physical damage to photosystem II antenna complexes in adult plants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. J. Agric. Food Chem. 71 (15), 6073–6086.
- Araniti, F., Mancuso, R., Lupini, A., Giofrè, S.V., Sunseri, F., Gabriele, B., Abenavoli, M. R., 2015. Phytotoxic potential and biological activity of three synthetic coumarin derivatives as new natural-like herbicides. Molecules 20 (10), 17883–17902. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules201017883.
- Araniti, F., Landi, M., Lupini, A., Sunseri, F., Guidi, L., Abenavoli, M.R., 2018. Origanum vulgare essential oils inhibit glutamate and aspartate metabolism altering the photorespiratory pathway in Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings. J. Plant Physiol. 231, 297–309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2018.10.006.Bellache, M., Torres-Pagan, N., Verdeguer, M., Benfekih, L.A., Vicente, O., Sestras, R.E.,
- Bellache, M., Torres-Pagan, N., Verdeguer, M., Benfekih, L.A., Vicente, O., Sestras, R.E., Sestras, A.F., Boscaiu, M., 2022. Essential oils of three aromatic plant species as natural herbicides for environmentally friendly agriculture. Sustainability 14 (6), 3596. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063596.
- Benelli, G., Pavela, R., Petrelli, R., Nzekoue, F.K., Cappellacci, L., Lupidi, G., Quassinti, L., Bramucci, M., Sut, S., Dall'Acqua, S., Canale, A., Maggi, F., 2019. Carlina oxide from *Carlina acaulis* root essential oil acts as a potent mosquito larvicide. Ind. Crops Prod. 137, 356–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. indcrop.2019.05.037.
- Benelli, G., Pavoni, L., Zeni, V., Ricciardi, R., Cosci, F., Cacopardo, G., Gendusa, S., Spinozzi, E., Petrelli, R., Cappellacci, L., Maggi, F., Pavela, R., Bonacucina, G., Lucchi, A., 2020. Developing a highly stable *Carlina acaulis* essential oil nanoemulsion for managing *Lobesia botrana*. Nanomaterials 10 (9), 1867.
- Benelli, G., Rizzo, R., Zeni, V., Govigli, A., Samková, A., Sinacori, M., Lo Verde, G., Pavela, G., Cappellacci, L., Petrelli, R., Spinozzi, E., Morshedloo, M.R., Maggi, F., Canale, A., 2021. Carlina acaulis and Trachyspermum ammi essential oils formulated in protein baits are highly toxic and reduce aggressiveness in the medfly, Ceratitis capitata. Ind. Crops Prod. 161, 113191 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. indcrop.2020.113191.
- Benelli, G., Ceccarelli, C., Zeni, V., Rizzo, R., Verde, G.L., Sinacori, M., Boukouvala, M.C., Kavallieratos, N.G., Ubaldi, M., Tomassoni, D., Benvenuti, F., Proshanta, R., Petrelli, R., Cappellacci, L., Spinozzi, E., Maggi, F., Canale, A., 2022. Lethal and behavioural effects of a green insecticide against an invasive polyphagous fruit fly pest and its safety to mammals. Chemosphere 287, 132089. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132089.
- Berestetskiy, A., 2023. Modern approaches for the development of new herbicides based on natural compounds. Plants 12 (2), 234. https://doi.org/10.3390/ plants/2020234
- Bohlman, F., Burkhardt, T. Zdero, C., 1973. Naturally Occurring Acetylenes. Academic Press, London. pp. 547.
- Campbell, G., Lambert, J.D., Arnason, T., Towers, G.H., 1982. Allelopathic properties of α-terthienyl and phenylheptatriyne, naturally occurring compounds from species of Asteraceae. J. Chem. Ecol. 8 (6), 961–972. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00987662.
- Chauhan, B.S., Ali, H.H., Florentine, S., 2019. Seed germination ecology of *Bidens pilosa* and its implications for weed management. Sci. Rep. 9 (1), 1–9. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-019-52620-9.
- Christensen, L.P., Brandt, K., 2006. Bioactive polyacetylenes in food plants of the Apiaceae family: occurrence, bioactivity and analysis. J. Pharm. Biomed. 41, 683–693. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2006.01.057.
- Damon, P.M., Ma, Q.F., Rengel, Z., 2011. Wheat genotypes differ in potassium accumulation and osmotic adjustment under drought stress. Crop. Pasture Sci. 62 (7), 550–555. https://doi.org/10.1071/CP11071.
- Dias, M.P., Nozari, R.M., Santarém, E.R., 2017. Herbicidal activity of natural compounds from Baccharis spp. on the germination and seedlings growth of *Lactuca sativa* and *Bidens pilosa*, 42-1 Allelopath. J. 42 (21–36). https://doi.org/10.26651/2017-42-1-1103.
- Díaz-Tielas, C., Sotelo, T., Graña, E., Reigosa, M.J., Sánchez-Moreiras, A.M., 2014. Phytotoxic potential of *trans*-chalcone on crop plants and model species. J. Plant Growth Regul. 33, 181–194. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-013-9360-6.
- Flores-Bavestrello, A., Król, M., Ivanov, A.G., Hüner, N.P., García-Plazaola, J.I., Corcuera, L.J., Bravo, L.A., 2016. Two Hymenophyllaceae species from contrasting natural environments exhibit a homoiochlorophyllous strategy in response to desiccation stress. J. Plant Physiol. 191, 82–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jplph.2015.12.003.
- Gaines, T.A., Duke, S.O., Morran, S., Rigon, C.A., Tranel, P.J., Küpper, A., Dayan, F.E., 2020. Mechanisms of evolved herbicide resistance. J. Biol. Chem. 295 (30), 10307–10330.
- Hierro, J.L., Callaway, R.M., 2021. The ecological importance of allelopathy. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 52, 25–45. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-051120-030619.
- Huang, T., Jander, G., 2017. Abscisic acid-regulated protein degradation causes osmotic stress-induced accumulation of branched-chain amino acids in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Planta 246, 737–747. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-017-2727-3.
- Ichihara, K.I., Kawai, T., Noda, M., 1978. Polyacetylenes of Solidago altissima L. Agric. Biol. Chem. 42 (2), 427–431. https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1978.10862991.
- Joshi, V., Joung, J.G., Fei, Z., Jander, G., 2010. Interdependence of threonine, methionine and isoleucine metabolism in plants: accumulation and transcriptional regulation under abiotic stress. Amino Acids 39, 933–947. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00726-010-0505-7.

- Kavallieratos, N.G., Nika, E.P., Skourti, A., Spinozzi, E., Ferrati, M., Petrelli, R., Maggi, F., Benelli, G., 2022a. *Carlina acaulis* essential oil: a candidate product for agrochemical industry due to its pesticidal capacity. Ind. Crops Prod. 188, 115572 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/i.indcrop.2022.115572.
- Kavallieratos, N.G., Nika, E.P., Skourti, A., Boukouvala, M.C., Ntalaka, C.T., Maggi, F., Spinozzi, E., Petrelli, R., Perinelli, D.R., Benelli, G., Canale, A., Bonacucina, G., 2022b. *Carlina acaulis* essential oil nanoemulsion as a new grain protectant against different developmental stages of three stored-product beetles. Pest Manag. Sci. 78 (6), 2434–2442. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6877.
- Kawazu, K., Nakamura, A., Nishino, S., Koshimizu, H., Mitsui, T., 1969. Plant growth regulator in Solidago altissima. Annu. Meet. Agric. Chem. Soc. Jpn. (Eds.) Abstr. 130.
- Khan, N., Ali, S., Zandi, P., Mehmood, A., Ullah, S., Ikram, M., Ismail, Sahid, M.A., Babar, M.A., 2020. Role of sugars, amino acids and organic acids in improving plant abiotic stress tolerance. Pak. J. Bot. 52 (2), 355–363. https://doi.org/10.30848/ PJB2020-2(24).
- Khanh, T.D., Cong, L.C., Xuan, T.D., Uezato, Y., Deba, F., Toyama, T., Tawata, S., 2009. Allelopathic plants: 20. Hairy beggarticks (*Bidens pilosa* L.). Allelopath. J. 24 (1), 243–259.
- Kobayashi, A., Morimoto, S., Shibata, S., 1974. Allelopathic substance in Compositae weeds. Chem. Regul. Plants 9, 95–100.
- Kobayashi, A., Morimoto, S., Shibata, Y., Yamashita, K., Numata, M., 1980. C 10polyacetylenes as allelopathic substances in dominants in early stages of secondary succession. J. Chem. Ecol. 6, 119–131. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00987532.
- Konovalov, D.A., 2015. Natural polyacetylene compounds. Pharm. Pharmacol. 2 (4), 23-47.
- Kuhlgert, S., Austic, G., Zegarac, R., Osei-Bonsu, I., Hoh, D., Chilvers, M.I., Roth, M.G., Bi, K., TerAvest, D., Weebadde, P., Kramer, D.M., 2016. MultispeQ Beta: a tool for large-scale plant phenotyping connected to the open PhotosynQ network. R. Soc. Open Sci. 3 (10), 160592 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160592.
- Lisec, J., Schauer, N., Kopka, J., Willmitzer, L., Fernie, A.R., 2006. Gas chromatography mass spectrometry-based metabolite profiling in plants. Nat. Protoc. 1, 387–396. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.59.
- López-González, D., Graña, E., Teijeira, M., Verdeguer, M., Reigosa, M.J., Sánchez-Moreiras, A.M., Araniti, F., 2023. Similarities on the mode of action of the terpenoids citral and famesene in *Arabidopsis* seedlings involve interactions with DNA binding proteins. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 196, 507–519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plaphy.2023.02.004.
- Ma, Q.F., Turner, D.W., Levy, D., Cowling, W.A., 2004. Solute accumulation and osmotic adjustment in leaves of *Brassica* oilseeds in response to soil water deficit. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 55, 939–945. https://doi.org/10.1071/AR03183.
- Mahdid, M., Kameli, A., Simonneau, T., 2021. Rapid osmotic adjustment in leaf elongation zone during polyethylene glycol application: Evaluation of the imbalance between assimilation and utilisation of carbohydrates. Jordan. J. Biol. Sci. 14 (1).
- Minto, R.E., Blacklock, B.J., 2008. Biosynthesis and function of polyacetylenes and allied natural products. Prog. Lipid Res. 47, 233–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plipres.2008.02.002.
- Misra, B.B., Das, V., Landi, M., Abenavoli, M.R., Araniti, F., 2020. Short-term effects of the allelochemical umbelliferone on *Triticum durum* L. metabolism through GC–MS based untargeted metabolomics. Plant Sci. 298, 110548 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plantsci.2020.110548.
- Moinuddin, Fischer, R.A, Sayre, K.D., Reynolds, M.P., 2005. Osmotic adjustment in wheat in relation to grain yield under water deficit environments. Agron. J. 97, 1062–1071, 10.2134/agronj2004.0152.
- Negri, R., 2015. Polyacetylenes from terrestrial plants and fungi: recent phytochemical and biological advances. Fitoterapia 106, 92–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. fitote 2015.08.011
- Nishidono, Y., Tanaka, K., 2022. Comprehensive characterisation of polyacetylenes and diterpenes from the underground parts of *Solidago altissima* L. and their contribution to the overall allelopathic activity. Phytochemistry 193, 112986. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.phytochem.2021.112986.
- Ntalli, N., Zochios, G., Nikolaou, P., Winkiel, M., Petrelli, R., Bonacucina, G., Perinelli, D. R., Spinozzi, E., Maggi, F., Benelli, G., 2023. *Carlina acaulis* essential oil nanoemulsion for managing *Meloidogyne incognita*. Ind. Crops Prod. 193, 116180 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.116180.
- Orasen, G., De Nisi, P., Lucchini, G., Abruzzese, A., Pesenti, M., Maghrebi, M., Kumar, A., Nocito, F.F., Baldoni, E., Morgutti, S., Negrini, N., Valè, G., Sacchi, G.A., 2019. Continuous flooding or alternate wetting and drying differently affect the accumulation of health-promoting phytochemicals and minerals in rice brown grain. Agron 10, 628.
- Pavela, R., Maggi, F., Petrelli, R., Cappellacci, L., Buccioni, M., Palmieri, A., Canale, A., Benelli, G., 2020. Outstanding insecticidal activity and sublethal effects of *Carlina acaulis* root essential oil on the housefly, *Musca domestica*, with insights on its toxicity on human cells. Food Chem. Toxicol. 136, 111037.
- Pavela, R., Pavoni, L., Bonacucina, G., Cespi, M., Cappellacci, L., Petrelli, R., Spinozzi, E., Aguzzi, C., Zeppa, L., Ubaldi, M., Desneux, N., Canale, A., Maggi, F., Benelli, G., 2021. Encapsulation of *Carlina acaulis* essential oil and carlina oxide to develop longlasting mosquito larvicides: Microemulsions versus nanoemulsions. J. Pest Sci. 94 (3), 899–915. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-020-01327-2.
- Pergo, É.M., Abrahim, D., Soares da Silva, P.C., Kern, K.A., Da Silva, L.J., Voll, E., Ishii-Iwamoto, E.L., 2008. *Bidens pilosa* L. exhibits high sensitivity to coumarin in comparison with three other weed species. J. Chem. Ecol. 34, 499–507. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10886-008-9449-8.
- Pieczynski, M., Marczewski, W., Hennig, J., Dolata, J., Bielewicz, D., Piontek, P., Wyrzykowska, A., Krusiewicz, D., Strzelczyk-Zyta, D., Konopka-Postupolska, D., Krzesłowska, M., Jarmolowski, A., Szweykowska-Kulinska, Z., 2013. Down-

S. Álvarez-Rodríguez et al.

regulation of CBP80 gene expression as a strategy to engineer a drought-tolerant potato. Plant Biotechnol. J. 11, 459–469. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12032.

- Pouresmaeil, M., Nojadeh, M.S., Movafeghi, A., Maggi, F., 2020. Exploring the biocontrol efficacy of Artemisia fragrans essential oil on the perennial weed Convolvulus arvensis: Inhibitory effects on the photosynthetic machinery and induction of oxidative stress. Ind. Crops Prod. 155, 112785 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. indcrop.2020.112785.
- Pouresmaeil, M., Sabzi-Nojadeh, M., Movafeghi, A., Aghbash, B.N., Kosari-Nasab, M., Zengin, G., Maggi, F., 2022. Phytotoxic activity of Moldavian dragonhead (*Dracocephalum moldavica* L.) essential oil and its possible use as bio-herbicide. Process Biochem 114, 86–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2022.01.018.
- Qu, R.Y., He, B., Yang, J.F., Lin, H.Y., Yang, W.C., Wu, Q.Y., Yang, G.F., 2021. Where are the new herbicides? Pest Manag. Sci. 77 (6), 2620–2625.
- Quintana, N., Weir, T.L., Du, J., Broeckling, C.D., Rieder, J.P., Stermitz, F.R., Paschke, M. W., Vivanco, J.M., 2008. Phytotoxic polyacetylenes from roots of Russian knapweed (*Acroptilon repens* (L.) DC.). Phytochemistry 69 (14), 2572–2578. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.phytochem.2008.07.015.
- Rizzo, R., Pistillo, M., Germinara, G.S., Lo Verde, G., Sinacori, M., Maggi, F., Petrelli, R., Spinozzi, E., Cappellacci, L., Zeni, V., Canale, A., Benelli, G., 2021. Bioactivity of *Carlina acaulis* essential oil and its main component towards the olive fruit fly, *Bactrocera oleae*: Ingestion toxicity, electrophysiological and behavioral insights. Insects 12 (10), 880. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12100880.
- Rosa, M., Prado, C., Podazza, G., Interdonato, R., González, J.A., Hilal, M., Prado, F.E., 2009. Soluble sugars: Metabolism, sensing and abiotic stress: a complex network in the life of plants. Plant Signal. Behav. 4 (5), 388–393. https://doi.org/10.4161/ psb.4.5.8294.
- Rosato, A., Barbarossa, A., Mustafa, A.M., Bonacucina, G., Perinelli, D.R., Petrelli, R., Maggi, F., Spinozzi, E., 2021. Comprehensive evaluation of the antibacterial and antifungal activities of *Carlina acaulis* L. essential oil and its nanoemulsion. Antibiotics 10 (12), 1451. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10121451.
- Sánchez-Moreiras, A.M., Martínez-Peñalver, A., Reigosa, M.J., 2011. Early senescence induced by 2–3 H-benzoxazolinone (BOA) in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Plant Physiol. 863–870.
- Sánchez-Moreiras, A.M., Graña, E., Reigosa, M.J., Araniti, F., 2020. Imaging of chlorophyll a fluorescence in natural compound-induced stress detection. Front. Plant Sci. 11, 583590 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.583590.
- Sansone, S.A., Schober, D., Atherton, H.J., Fiehn, O., Jenkins, H., Rocca-Serra, P., Rubtsov, D.V., Spasic, I., Soldatova, L., Taylor, C., Tseng, A., Viant, M.R., Ontology Working Group Members., 2007. Metabolomics standards initiative: ontology working group work in progress. Metabolomics 3, 249–256. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11306-007-0069-z.

- Schmidt, S.B., Jensen, P.E., Husted, S., 2016. Manganese deficiency in plants: the impact on photosystem II. Trends Plant Sci. 21 (7), 622–632. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tplants.2016.03.001.
- Spinozzi, E., Ferrati, M., Cappellacci, L., Caselli, A., Perinelli, D.R., Bonacucina, G., Maggi, F., Strzemski, M., Petrelli, R., Pavela, R., Desneux, N., Benelli, G., 2023a. *Carlina acaulis* L. (Asteraceae): biology, phytochemistry, and application as a promising source of effective green insecticides and acaricides. Ind. Crops Prod. 192, 116076 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.116076.
- Spinozzi, E., Ferrati, M., Lo Giudice, D., Felicioni, E., Petrelli, R., Benelli, G., Maggi, F., Cespi, M., 2023b. Microwave-assisted hydrodistillation of the insecticidal essential oil from *Carlina acaulis*: a fractional factorial design optimisation study. Plants 12, 622. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12030622.

Stevens, K.L., 1986. Allelopathic polyacetylenes from *Centaurea repens* (Russian knapweed). J. Chem. Ecol. 12, 1205–1211.

- Synowiec, A., Możdżeń, K., Krajewska, A., Landi, M., Araniti, F., 2019. Carum carvi L. essential oil: a promising candidate for botanical herbicide against Echinochloa crusgalli (L.) P. Beauv. in maise cultivation. Ind. Crops Prod. 140, 111652 https://doi. org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111652.
- Takao, L.K., Ribeiro, J.P.N., Lima, M.I.S., 2011. Allelopathic effects of *Ipomoea cairica* (L.) sweet on crop weeds. Acta Bot. Bras. 25, 858–864. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-33062011000400012.
- Umena, Y., Kawakami, K., Shen, J.R., Kamiya, N., 2011. Crystal structure of oxygenevolving photosystem II at a resolution of 1.9 Å. Nature 473 (7345), 55–60. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature09913.
- Van den Dool, H., Kratz, P.D., 1963. A generalization of the retention index system including linear temperature programmed gas—liquid partition chromatography. J. Chromatogr. A 11, 463–471.
- Verdeguer Sancho, M.M., Sánchez-Moreiras, A.M., Araniti, F., 2020. Phytotoxic effects and mechanism of action of essential oils and terpenoids. Plants 9 (11), 1–52. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9111571.
- Wagner, A.L.S., Araniti, F., Ishii–Iwamoto, E.L., Abenavoli, M.R., 2022. Resveratrol exerts beneficial effects on the growth and metabolism of *Lactuca sativa* L. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 171, 26–37.
- Werrie, P.Y., Durenne, B., Delaplace, P., Fauconnier, M.L., 2020. Phytotoxicity of essential oils: opportunities and constraints for the development of biopesticides. A review. Foods 9 (9), 1291. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9091291.
- Xiao, Z., Zou, T., Lu, S., Xu, Z., 2020. Soil microorganisms interacting with residuederived allelochemicals effects on seed germination. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 27 (4), 1057–1065. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.01.013.