

OPEN

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Temperature control after successful resuscitation from cardiac arrest in adults

A joint statement from the European Society for Emergency Medicine and the European Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care

Wilhelm Behringer*†, Bernd W. Böttiger*‡, Daniele G. Biasucci‡, Athanasios Chalkias‡, Jim Connolly†, Christoph Dodt†, Abdo Khoury†, Said Laribi†, Robert Leach† and Giuseppe Ristagno‡

Background

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is the third leading cause of death in Europe, and results in a high burden of disability for patients and their families. When the heart stops, the body and brain cells quickly deplete of oxygen. Without intervention, brain damage occurs rapidly, and death is inevitable. Unfortunately, the prognosis for OHCA remains poor, even when return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) is achieved. Only a few (less than 10%) OHCA patients can be discharged from the hospital, and only two thirds of these are discharged with a good neurological outcome to lead an independent life. I

Reperfusion injury starts immediately following ROSC. Multiple pathophysiological cascades lead to reactive astrogliosis and microglia activation, and neuronal death by necrosis and apoptosis. This is one of the key components of what has been described as 'post resuscitation syndrome'. Mild hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C was shown to mitigate these different pathophysiological cascades simultaneously, efficiently limiting brain cell damage. Numerous animal studies confirmed the beneficial effect of mild hypothermia. In 2002, two landmark randomised clinical trials (RCT) in patients after cardiac

arrest with shockable rhythm showed improved neurological outcomes following treatment with mild hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C compared with no temperature control. 5,6 As a result of these studies, in 2005, the European Resuscitation Council (ERC) guidelines recommended the use of mild hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C for 24 h in unconscious adults resuscitated following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with a shockable rhythm; for nonshockable rhythm and inhospital cardiac arrest, temperature control was suggested as a weak recommendation. 7

One criticism of the original trials was that the temperature of the control groups in the two landmark studies^{5,6} was not strictly normothermic but was slightly hyperthermic, around 37 to 38 °C. This prompted a prospective randomised trial comparing strict normothermic control at 36 °C with hypothermia at 33 °C for 24 h (the targeted temperature management TTM1 trial).⁸ This trial published in 2013 showed no difference in mortality and neurological outcome between the two study groups. Consequently, the ERC guidelines in 2015 and 2021 extended the recommended post resuscitation target temperature to the wider range between 32 to 36 °C. ^{9,10}

In 2019, a RCT in patients after cardiac arrest with nonshockable rhythm showed improved neurological

From the Department of Emergency Medicine, Medical University Vienna, Vienna General Hospital, Vienna, Austria (WB), Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Medical Faculty of Cologne, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Germany (BWB), Department of Clinical Science and Translational Medicine, 'Tor Vergata' University of Rome, Rome, Italy (DGB), Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA (AC), Outcomes Research Consortium, Cleveland, Ohio, USA (AC), Accident and Emergency, Great North Trauma and Emergency Care, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK (JC), Department of Emergency Medicine, München Klinik, Munich, Germany (CD), Department of Emergency Medicine and Critical Care, Besançon University Hospital, Besançon, France (AK), Department of Emergency Medicine, Tours University Hospital, Tours, France (SL), Department of Emergency Medicine, Centre Hospitalier de Wallonie Picarde, Tournai, Belgium (RL) and Department of Anaesthesia, Critical Care and Emergency, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy (GR)

Correspondence to Wilhelm Behringer, MD, MBA, MSc, Professor of Emergency Medicine, Chair Department of Emergency Medicine, Medical University Vienna, Vienna General Hospital, Waehringer Guertel 18-20, 1090 Vienna, Austria.

Tel: +43 1 40400 19540; e-mail: wilhelm.behringer@meduniwien.ac.at

0265-0215 Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the European Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care. DOI:10.1097/EJA.0000000000001948

^{*} W.B. and B.W.B. shared first authorship.

[†] European Society for Emergency Medicine.

[‡] European Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care.



outcomes following treatment with hypothermia at 33 °C compared with normothermia at 37 °C. 11 In 2021, the further TTM2 randomised trial showed no difference in mortality and neurological outcome between hypothermia at 33 °C and normothermia with early treatment of fever (body temperature \geq 37.8 °C). ¹² In the same year, a meta-analysis was published, concluding that in adults following cardiac arrest, the use of TTM in the range of 32 to 34°C, when compared with normothermia, did not result in improved outcomes. 13 Consequently, the latest ERC guidelines in co-operation with the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM) recommended preventing fever in patients resuscitated from cardiac arrest, with an amended recommendation that there was insufficient evidence to recommend for or against temperature control at 32 to 36 °C but that some subgroups of patients may benefit from such temperature control.14

Critical appraisal of the current 2022 **European Resuscitation Council/European** Society of Intensive Care Medicine guidelines and new scientific evidence

There are a number of important limitations to the two large TTM studies^{8,12} that have greatly affected the guidelines over the last few years. Firstly, the rate of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation in all groups was 73 to 82%, which is considerably higher than the average rate in Europe of 58%. Observational data and comparative analysis show that patients with a short cardiac arrest time, as it is in the case of bystander CPR, presumably have less brain damage and so might not benefit from hypothermia, as the beneficial effect of hypothermia increases with a longer duration of cardiac arrest. 15,16 Secondly, both TTM studies allowed a delay of up to 3 to 4h between ROSC and randomisation, and the targeted temperature has taken up to 7h after cardiac arrest to achieve. Reperfusion injury, however, starts immediately following resuscitation from cardiac arrest, and all pathophysiology shows that earlier cooling is more effective. In previous randomised studies showing a benefit of hypothermia, cooling was initiated by the ambulance service⁶ or after a median delay of 105 min.⁵ Thirdly, both TTM studies included many centres from various countries, with each centre enrolling only a few patients. This creates potential for considerable heterogeneity in all other aspects of postresuscitation care. For this reason, a possible dose-response effect may not be detected at this level of heterogeneity.

The latest recommendations on temperature management from ERC/ESICM¹⁴ are predominantly based on the meta-analysis by Granfeld et al. 13 In this meta-analysis, 13 the selected studies were separated into two different analyses. One meta-analysis included only studies reporting outcome at discharge or 30 days, and the other included only studies reporting outcome at 3 or 6 months.

Both meta-analyses showed a risk ratio in favour of hypothermia at 32 to 34 °C compared with normothermia; however, the 95% confidence interval crossed 1, and so the results of these two group analyses were not considered statistically significant. Splitting the analysis in two different outcome evaluation time points reduced the number of eligible studies and subsequently reduced the overall power of the studies in the meta-analysis, limiting ability to demonstrate a positive effect. There was no meta-analysis summarising all available data on the underlying study question. Why the data was split into these underpowered groups is not clear. In addition, it was previously shown that the proportion of good/poor outcome does not change over time, 17 thus splitting the studies into different time points of outcome evaluation was not required and performing one analysis of all data may provide different results.

A number of retrospective studies demonstrated that a subgroup with suspected moderate brain damage benefited the most from therapy with hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C. These are specifically the patient groups with a lower rate of basic life support, 15 longer no-flow duration, ¹⁶ intermediate duration from cardiac arrest to ROSC, ¹⁸ higher lactate levels at arrival, ¹⁹ moderate damage risk classification, ^{20,21} and an EEG pattern suggesting moderate encephalopathy. ²² In total, these groups represent 40% and more of all included patients. All the results of these retrospective studies make pathophysiological sense, as a neuroprotective therapy may not be beneficial when the damage to the brain is too mild, or, on the other side of the range, too severe.

A Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis of temperature management after cardiac arrest in adults has recently been published.²³ Due to their strict methodology, standardisation and transparency, Cochrane metaanalyses are considered to provide the highest level of evidence and quality.²⁴ This Cochrane meta-analysis represents the most recent and complete scientific evidence on temperature management after cardiac arrest, and includes 12 randomised trials. The authors found, that conventional cooling methods to induce therapeutic hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34°C compared with normothermia or no temperature control is associated with improved neurological outcomes after cardiac arrest. 23 The effect of hypothermia seemed to be highest in the subgroup with nonwitnessed cardiac arrest, bystander CPR rates of less than 60%, no-flow times of more than 1 min, and when hypothermia was initiated within 2 h after ROSC.²³ One RCT in patients after in-hospital cardiac arrest, that showed no difference in neurological outcome between hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C and normothermia, was released after the Cochrane systematic review was submitted to the editorial process.²⁵ However, the authors of the Cochrane meta-analysis have stated that pending formal assessment, it seems that including the result of this study²⁶ would not have



changed the main conclusion.²³ Another recent metaanalysis confirms the beneficial overall effect of therapeutic hypothermia.²⁷

After publication of the very recent Cochrane review, there was another update of the review that served as basis of the 2022 ERC/ESICM guidelines. The authors concluded that the updated meta-analysis showed no benefit from temperature control at 32 to 34 °C compared with normothermia or 36 °C, although the 95% confidence intervals cannot rule out a potential beneficial effect. The Cochrane meta-analysis seems to be more complete, as it included four additional RCTs that were not included in the updated meta-analysis mentioned above.

Summary of 2023 evidence

- (1) Animal studies with cardiac arrest models show a remarkable benefit from hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C on neuronal damage and neurological outcome when hypothermia is induced early after ROSC.
- (2) Some RCT show a statistically significant benefit from hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C compared with normothermia or no temperature control after cardiac arrest, though other randomised controlled trials do not confirm this beneficial effect. Which patients may benefit from lower (32 to 34 °C) or higher temperatures is still unknown.
- (3) Earlier and more recent meta-analyses of RCT show a statistically nonsignificant effect in favour of hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C compared to normothermia or no temperature control in patients after cardiac arrest. In the most recent and comprehensive Cochrane systematic review and meta-analyses including all RCT, the beneficial effect of hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C compared with normothermia or no temperature control was statistically significant.
- (4) Several retrospective clinical studies indicate a beneficial effect of hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C compared with normothermia, especially in subgroups with presumed moderate brain damage.
- (5) There is no animal or human study showing that hypothermia in the range 32 to 34 °C results in worse neurological or overall outcome compared with normothermia or no temperature control.

Recommendation 2023

Some uncertainty exists as to whether hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C compared with normothermia is beneficial in terms of improving neurological outcome in all patients after cardiac arrest. The current recommendations from the ERC and ESICM to merely prevent fever, in our view, neither take into account all current available evidence, nor consider the shortcomings of studies. Based on retrospective studies showing that a

large proportion of patients with presumed moderate brain damage significantly benefit from hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C, along with the most recent Cochrane systematic review and meta-analyses of RCT showing a statistically significant benefit of hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C, and based on the fact that no study has shown a deleterious effect of hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C on neurological or overall outcome, we suggest that international guidelines follow the current Cochrane analyses and in the interim period, clinicians should consider hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C in all adults after cardiac arrest as soon as feasible, and to maintain this temperature range for at least 24 h. Active normothermia (36.5 to 37.7 °C) should be ensured after rewarming before and during neurological assessment, to avoid fever.

Future randomised studies are needed to identify the patients who benefit most from hypothermia in the range of 32 to 34 °C, and to find the optimal time point of initiating and the optimal duration of hypothermia.

Acknowledgements relating to this article

Assistance with the letter: none.

Financial support and sponsorship: none.

Conflicts of interest: WB, speaker's honoraria from Zoll Medical Corporation and Becton Dickinson GmbH. BWB, speakers fees from Forum für medizinische Fortbildung (FomF), Baxalta Deutschland GmbH, ZOLL Medical Deutschland GmbH, C.R. Bard GmbH, GS Elektromedizinische Geräte G. Stemple GmbH, Novartis Pharma GmbH, Philips GmbH Market DACH, Bioscience Valuation BSV GmbH, Becton Dickinson GmbH, Fundacja Polski Instytut Evidence Based Medicine. DGB, honoraria from Vygon SAS for having written educational materials for their website. JC, educational honoraria from Sonosite; ultrasound machine placement from Terrason, Cannon, Echonous; Personal shares Smith & Nephew, GSK, Inovio, Linde, but does not have any COI specifically related to the topic of the manuscript. AK, speakers honoraria from Zoll Medical Corporation, Archeon Medical, Aguettant, Vygon, Baxter, Fisher Paykel, but does not have any COI specifically related to the topic of the manuscript. GR participated in the scientific advisory board of Philips healthcare but does not have any COI specifically related to the topic of the manuscript.

Presentation: none.

This manuscript was handled by Nicolas Bruder.

References

- 1 Grasner JT, Wnent J, Herlitz J, et al. Survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Europe - results of the EuReCa TWO study. Resuscitation 2020; 148:218–226.
- Negovsky VA. Postresuscitation disease. Crit Care Med 1988; 16:942– 946.
- 3 Yenari MA, Han HS. Neuroprotective mechanisms of hypothermia in brain ischaemia. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2012; **13**:267–278.
- 4 Arrich J, Herkner H, Müllner D, et al. Targeted temperature management after cardiac arrest. A systematic review and meta-analysis of animal studies. Resuscitation 2021; 162:47-55.
- 5 Hypothermia after Cardiac Arrest Study Group. Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med 2002; 346:549-556.



- 6 Bernard SA, Gray TW, Buist MD, et al. Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. N Engl J Med 2002: 346:557-563
- Nolan JP, Deakin CD, Soar J, et al., European Resuscitation Council. European Resuscitation Council guidelines for resuscitation 2005. Section 4. Adult advanced life support. Resuscitation 2005; 67 (Suppl 1):S39-
- Nielsen N, Wetterslev J, Cronberg T, et al. Targeted temperature management at 33 degrees C versus 36 degrees C after cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med 2013: 369:2197-2206.
- Soar J, Nolan JP, Böttiger BW, et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 3. Adult advanced life support. Resuscitation 2015; 95:100-147.
- Nolan JP, Sandroni C, Böttiger BW, et al. European Resuscitation Council and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Guidelines 2021: postresuscitation care. Resuscitation 2021: 161:220-269.
- Lascarrou JB, Merdji H, Le Gouge A, et al., CRICS-TRIGGERSEP Group. Targeted temperature management for cardiac arrest with nonshockable rhythm. New Engl J Med 2019; 381:2327-2337.
- Dankiewicz J, Cronberg T, Lilja G, et al., TTM2 Trial Investigators. Hypothermia versus normothermia after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med 2021: 384:2283-2294.
- 13 Granfeldt A, Holmberg MJ, Nolan JP, et al. Targeted temperature management in adult cardiac arrest: systematic review and meta-analysis. Resuscitation 2021; 167:160-172.
- Sandroni C, Nolan JP, Andersen LW, et al. ERC-ESICM guidelines on temperature control after cardiac arrest in adults. Intensive Care Med 2022; 48:261-269.
- Böttiger BW, Hellmich M, Wetsch WA. The effectiveness of targeted temperature management following cardiac arrest may depend on bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation rates. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2022; 39:401-402.
- Testori C, Sterz F, Holzer M, et al. The beneficial effect of mild therapeutic hypothermia depends on the time of complete circulatory standstill in patients with cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2012; 83:596-
- Arrich J, Zeiner A, Sterz F, et al. Factors associated with a change in functional outcome between one month and six months after cardiac arrest: a retrospective cohort study. Resuscitation 2009; 80:876-880.

- 18 Duan J, Zhai Q, Shi Y, et al. Optimal time of collapse to return of spontaneous circulation to apply targeted temperature management for cardiac arrest: a Bayesian network meta-analysis. Front Cardiovasc Med 2021: 8:784917.
- Okazaki T, Hifumi T, Kawakita K, et al., Japanese Association for Acute Medicine out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (JAAM-OHCA) registry. Targeted temperature management guided by the severity of hyperlactatemia for outof-hospital cardiac arrest patients: a post hoc analysis of a nationwide, multicenter prospective registry. Ann Intensive Care 2019; 9:127.
- Nishikimi M, Ogura T, Nishida K, et al. Outcome related to level of targeted temperature management in postcardiac arrest syndrome of low, moderate, and high severities: a nationwide multicenter prospective registry. Crit Care Med 2021; 49:e741-e750.
- 21 Callaway CW, Coppler PJ, Faro J, et al. Association of initial illness severity and outcomes after cardiac arrest with targeted temperature management at 36°C or 33°C. JAMA Network Open 2020: 3:e208215.
- 22 Nutma S, Tjepkema-Cloostermans MC, Ruijter BJ, et al. Effects of targeted temperature management at 33°C vs. 36°C on comatose patients after cardiac arrest stratified by the severity of encephalopathy. Resuscitation 2022; 173:147-153.
- 23 Arrich J, Schütz N, Oppenauer J, et al. Hypothermia for neuroprotection in adults after cardiac arrest. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2023; 5: CD004128.
- Delaney A, Bagshaw SM, Ferland A, et al. The quality of reports of critical care meta-analyses in the Cochrane database of systematic reviews: an independent appraisal. Crit Care Med 2007; 35:589-594.
- Wolfrum S, Roedl K, Hanebutte A, et al., Hypothermia After In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest Study Group. Temperature control after in-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomized clinical trial. Circulation 2022; 146:1357-1366.
- Roedl K, Wolfrum S, Michels G, et al. Temperature control in adults after cardiac arrest: a survey of current clinical practice in Germany. Crit Care 2023: 27:35
- 27 Duhan S, Keisham B, Siqngh S, et al. Meta-analysis comparing hypothermia versus normothermia in patients after a cardiac arrest. Am J Cardiol 2023;
- Granfeldt A, Holmberg MJ, Nolan JP, et al., International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation ILCOR Advanced Life Support Task Force. Temperature control after adult cardiac arrest: an updated systematic review and metaanalysis. Resuscitation 2023; 191:109928.