

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized in SpringerLink

Book Title	Computational Intelligence and Mathematics for Tackling Complex Problems 3	
Series Title		
Chapter Title	Finite Model Property and Varieties of BL-Algebras	
Copyright Year	2021	
Copyright HolderName	The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG	
Author	Family Name	Aguzzoli
	Particle	
	Given Name	Stefano
	Prefix	
	Suffix	
	Role	
	Division	Department of Computer Science
	Organization	Università degli Studi di Milano
	Address	Via Celoria 18, 20133, Milano, Italy
	Email	aguzzoli@di.unimi.it
	ORCID	http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7588-5048
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Bianchi
	Particle	
	Given Name	Matteo
	Prefix	
	Suffix	
	Role	
	Division	
	Organization	Independent researcher
	Address	Milano, Italy
	Email	matteob@gmail.com
	ORCID	http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3896-7853
Abstract	In this paper we investigate the finite model property (FMP) for varieties of BL-algebras. In particular, we provide a full classification of the FMP for those varieties of BL-algebras which are generated by a finite class of chains with finitely-many components.	
Keywords (separated by '-')	BL-algebras - Hoops - Finite model property - Lattices of varieties	

Finite Model Property and Varieties of BL-Algebras



Stefano Aguzzoli and Matteo Bianchi

1 **Abstract** In this paper we investigate the finite model property (FMP) for varieties
 2 of BL-algebras. In particular, we provide a full classification of the FMP for those
 3 varieties of BL-algebras which are generated by a finite class of chains with finitely-
 4 many components.

5 **Keywords** BL-algebras · Hoops · Finite model property · Lattices of varieties

6 1 Introduction

7 BL-algebras have been introduced by P. Hájek in [12] as the algebraic semantics of
 8 Basic Logic BL, the logic of all continuous t-norms and their residua ([7]). BL and
 9 its axiomatic extensions are all algebraizable in the sense of Blok and Pigozzi [4].
 10 In [2] a full classification of the structure of BL-chains, in terms of ordinal sums of
 11 Wajsberg hoops, has been provided.

12 A variety \mathbb{L} of BL-algebras has the *finite model property* (FMP), whenever it is
 13 generated by its finite chains. Similarly, an axiomatic extension L of BL has the
 14 FMP whenever it is complete w.r.t. the class of finite L -chains: it is well known
 15 that if L has the FMP, then it is decidable [11]. So, the FMP plays a relevant role
 16 in the computational aspect of an axiomatic extension of BL. It is well known that
 17 the variety \mathbb{BL} of BL-algebras has the FMP. For subvarieties of \mathbb{BL} the situation is
 18 more complicated. Indeed, for the case of MV-algebras it is easy to check, using the
 19 Komori classification (see [6]), that the only varieties having the FMP are the ones
 generated by a finite set of finite MV-chains, and the variety of MV-algebras itself.

S. Aguzzoli

Department of Computer Science, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 18,
 20133 Milano, Italy

e-mail: aguzzoli@di.unimi.it

M. Bianchi (✉)

Independent researcher, Milano, Italy

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021
 I. Á. Harmati et al. (eds.), *Computational Intelligence and Mathematics for Tackling
 Complex Problems 3*, Studies in Computational Intelligence 959,
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-74970-5_4

1

20 However the lattice of varieties of BL-algebras is much larger and less understood: in
 21 particular there is no known analogous of the Komori classification for subvarieties
 22 of BL-algebras.

23 In this paper we provide a full classification of the FMP for those varieties of BL-
 24 algebras which are generated by a finite class of chains with finitely-many compo-
 25 nents. In Theorem 6 we provide a result concerning the general case, but completing
 26 the classification for the FMP remains an open problem.

27 The paper is structured as follows. After some basic background in Sects. 2, 3
 28 is devoted to the study of the FMP. Our main result is the complete classification
 29 of the FMP for those varieties of BL-algebras which are generated by a finite class
 30 of chains with finitely-many components. In Sect. 4 we discuss open problems and
 31 future works.

32 2 BL-Algebras and Ordinal Sums

33 We assume that the reader is acquainted with many-valued logics in Hájek's sense,
 34 and with their algebraic semantics. We refer to [9, 12] for any unexplained notion.
 35 We recall that BL is the logic, on the language $\{\&, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \perp, \top\}$, of all left-
 36 continuous t -norms and their residua, and that its associated algebraic semantics in
 37 the sense of Blok and Pigozzi [4] is the variety \mathbb{BL} of *BL-algebras*, that is, pre-
 38 linear, divisible, commutative, bounded, integral, residuated lattices [9]. Derived
 39 connectives are negation $\neg\varphi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varphi \rightarrow \perp$, top element $\top \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \neg\perp$, lattice disjunc-
 40 tion $\varphi \vee \psi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \psi) \wedge ((\psi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \varphi)$. In a BL-algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, *, \Rightarrow$
 41 $, \sqcap, \sqcup, \sim, 0, 1)$ the connectives $\&, \rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \underline{\vee}, \perp, \top$ are interpreted, respectively,
 42 by $*, \Rightarrow, \sqcap, \sqcup, \sim, \oplus, 0, 1$. Totally ordered BL-algebras are called BL-chains. In every
 43 chain $\sqcap = \min$ and $\sqcup = \max$.

44 A logic L is the extension of BL via a set of axioms $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if \mathbb{L} is the
 45 subvariety of BL-algebras satisfying $\{\bar{\varphi}_i = 1\}_{i \in I}$, where $\bar{\varphi}_i$ is obtained from φ_i by
 46 replacing the connectives with the corresponding operations, and every propositional
 47 variable in φ with an individual variable.

48 Given a BL-chain \mathcal{A} , and an equation $e = 1$, the notation $\mathcal{A} \models e = 1$ ($\mathcal{A} \not\models e = 1$)
 49 indicates that \mathcal{A} satisfies (does not satisfy) $e = 1$. The variety \mathbb{MV} of MV-algebras
 50 is axiomatized as \mathbb{BL} plus $x = \sim\sim x$.

51 We assume that the reader is acquainted with some basic notions of universal
 52 algebra, and we refer to [5] for more details. If K is a class of BL-chains, by
 53 $\mathbf{H}(K)$, $\mathbf{S}(K)$, $\mathbf{P}(K)$, $\mathbf{I}(K)$, $\mathbf{P}_u(K)$ we denote, respectively, the classes of all homo-
 54 morphic images, subalgebras, direct products, isomorphic algebras and ultraproducts
 55 of members of K . If \mathcal{A} is a BL-chain, by $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$ we denote the variety generated by
 56 \mathcal{A} , i.e. $\mathbf{HSP}(\mathcal{A})$ [5]. Similarly, if K is a class of BL-chains, then $\mathbf{V}(K)$ indicates
 57 the variety generated by them. For example $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{2}) = \mathbb{B}$, where $\mathbf{2}$ is the two-element
 58 Boolean algebra.

59 Given a variety \mathbb{L} of BL-algebras, by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L})$ we denote its lattice of subvarieties,
60 ordered by inclusion. If $\{\mathbb{L}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of varieties of BL-algebras, by $\bigvee_{i \in I} \mathbb{L}_i$
61 we denote the join, in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L})$, of all these varieties.

62 Given a variety \mathbb{L} of BL-algebras, by $Ch(\mathbb{L})$ we denote the class of all chains in
63 \mathbb{L} . Every variety of BL-algebras is generated by its chains, i.e. $\mathbb{L} = \mathbf{V}(Ch(\mathbb{L}))$. We
64 have the following result.

65 **Lemma 1** ([3]) *Let $\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{M} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{BL})$. Then $Ch(\mathbb{L} \vee \mathbb{M}) = Ch(\mathbb{L}) \cup Ch(\mathbb{M})$.*

66 We assume that the reader is familiar with Wajsberg hoops, and with the ordinal sum
67 construction. Here we recall only basic notions and some notation: for details we
68 refer the reader to [1, 2]. The variety \mathbf{WH} of Wajsberg hoops coincides with the 0-free
69 subreducts of MV-algebras. The variety \mathbf{CH} of cancellative hoops is axiomatized as
70 \mathbf{WH} plus $x \Rightarrow (x * y) = y$.

71 A bounded Wajsberg hoop is an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, *, \Rightarrow, 0, 1)$ such that $(A, *, \Rightarrow, 1)$
72 is a Wajsberg hoop, and $0 \leq x$ for all $x \in A$. An unbounded hoop is a hoop without
73 minimum.

74 It is well known that bounded Wajsberg hoops are term-equivalent to MV-algebras.
75 The class of totally ordered cancellative hoops coincide with the class of totally
76 ordered unbounded Wajsberg hoops.

77 Let (I, \leq) be a linearly ordered set with minimum 0, and let $\{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in I\}$ be a
78 family of totally ordered Wajsberg hoops. By $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ we denote the ordinal sum
79 of this family of Wajsberg hoops, which are called components of the ordinal sum.
80 Every BL-chain is canonically representable as an ordinal sum of hoops.

81 **Theorem 1** ([2]) *For every BL-chain \mathcal{A} there are a unique totally ordered set (I, \leq)
82 and a unique class $\{\mathcal{A}_i \mid i \in I\}$ of non-singleton totally ordered Wajsberg hoops
83 whose first component \mathcal{A}_0 is bounded, such that $\mathcal{A} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$.*

84 The radical of a totally ordered Wajsberg hoop (resp. MV-chain) \mathcal{A} , is the intersection
85 of all maximal filters of \mathcal{A} , and will be denoted by $Rad(\mathcal{A})$. Let \mathcal{A} be an MV-
86 chain (resp. a totally ordered Wajsberg hoop). We say that \mathcal{A} has a finite rank if
87 $\mathcal{A}/Rad(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathbf{L}_k$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}/Rad(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathbf{L}'_k$, where \mathbf{L}'_k is the 0-free reduct of \mathbf{L}_k), for
88 some k , and we write $rank(\mathcal{A}) = k$. \mathcal{A} has infinite rank if $\mathcal{A}/Rad(\mathcal{A})$ is an infinite
89 MV-chain (resp. infinite totally ordered Wajsberg hoop with minimum).¹

90 Let \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Q} be additive lattice-ordered abelian groups over, respectively, real, rational
91 and integer numbers. Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of all integers. For $k \geq 2$, let \mathbf{Q}_k be the lattice
92 ordered abelian subgroup of \mathbf{Q} , with carrier $\{\frac{a}{k-1} : a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

93 We define the following MV-chains, via Mundici's functor Γ : see [6] for details.
94 $[0, 1]_{\mathbf{L}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(\mathbf{R}, 1)$, $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{L}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(\mathbf{Q}, 1)$ and, for $n \geq 2$, $\mathbf{L}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(\mathbf{Q}_n, 1)$.

95 Given an MV-chain of finite rank \mathcal{A} we define $d(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{z : \mathbf{L}_z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}\}$.

96 Given a Wajsberg hoop or a BL-algebra \mathcal{A} , we define $Si(\mathcal{A})$ as the class of subdi-
97 rectly irreducible algebras of $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$. As every variety of BL-algebras is congruence
98 distributive, by Jónsson Lemma we have $Si(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathbf{HSP}_u(\mathcal{A})$.

¹Note that every non-trivial totally ordered cancellative hoop \mathcal{A} does not have rank, since $\mathcal{A}/Rad(\mathcal{A})$ is an infinite cancellative hoop.

Proposition 1 ([2, 3]) *Let \mathcal{A}_0 be an MV-chain, and let $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k$ be a family of totally ordered Wajsberg hoops. Then $Si(\bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathcal{A}_i)$ is equal to:*

$$Si(\mathcal{A}_0) \cup (\mathbf{ISP}_u(\mathcal{A}_0) \oplus Si(\mathcal{A}_1)) \cup \dots \cup \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{ISP}_u(\mathcal{A}_i) \oplus Si(\mathcal{A}_k) \right).$$

99 Let \mathcal{A} be an MV-chain with infinite rank (a totally ordered Wajsberg hoop with infinite
100 rank). We define $F(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{n : L_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}\}$, and by $SF(\mathcal{A})$ we denote the subalgebra
101 of $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{L}}$ generated by $\{L_n : n \in F(\mathcal{A})\}$ (if \mathcal{A} is a totally ordered Wajsberg hoop with
102 infinite rank, then we modify the definitions by taking the 0-free reducts of $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{L}}$ and
103 L_n).

104 **Proposition 2** ([3]) *Let \mathcal{A} be an MV-chain with infinite rank. Then:*

- 105 – $F(\mathcal{A})$ is infinite if and only if $SF(\mathcal{A})$ is infinite.
- 106 – If $F(\mathcal{A})$ is infinite, then $\mathbf{ISP}_u(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{ISP}_u(SF(\mathcal{A}))$.

107 3 FMP for Varieties of BL-Algebras

108 In this section we classify the FMP for those varieties of BL-algebras generated by
109 a finite set of BL-chains with finitely-many components.

110 **Definition 1** A variety \mathbb{L} of BL-algebras has the *finite model property*, FMP, when-
111 ever \mathbb{L} is generated by its finite chains.

112 We begin with the case of varieties generated by one BL-chain with finitely many
113 components.

114 **Theorem 2** *Let \mathbb{L} be a variety of BL-algebras generated by a BL-chain $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \simeq$
115 $\bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathcal{A}_i$ such that:*

- 116 – if $i < k$, then \mathcal{A}_i is a finite totally ordered Wajsberg-hoop or a totally ordered
117 Wajsberg hoop with infinite rank, such that $F(\mathcal{A}_i)$ is infinite.
- 118 – \mathcal{A}_k is a finite totally ordered Wajsberg-hoop or a totally ordered Wajsberg hoop
119 with infinite rank.

120 *Then \mathbb{L} has the FMP.*

121 **Proof** Let \mathbb{L} be a variety of BL-algebras satisfying the theorem hypothesis. If no \mathcal{A}_i
122 is infinite, then \mathcal{A} is finite, and by [8, Proposition 4.18] \mathbb{L} has the FMP.

123 Assume now that there is at least one infinite \mathcal{A}_i . We construct the BL-chain
124 $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathcal{B}_i$ as follows:

- 125 – For every $i < k$, $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{A}_i$ if \mathcal{A}_i is finite, otherwise $\mathcal{B}_i = SF(\mathcal{A}_i)$.
- 126 – $\mathcal{B}_k = \mathcal{A}_k$ if \mathcal{A}_k is finite, otherwise $\mathcal{B}_k = \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{L}}$.

127 By [2, Theorem 7.9], Propositions 1, 2 and a direct inspection we have $Si(\mathcal{A}) =$
 128 $Si(\mathcal{B})$, and hence $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{L}$. Suppose that an equation $e(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$
 129 fails in \mathcal{B} . Then there are $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $e(a_1, \dots, a_n) < 1$. As every \mathcal{B}_i is
 130 a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{L}}$, the subalgebra of \mathcal{B} generated by a_1, \dots, a_n is a finite BL-chain
 131 \mathcal{C} , and clearly $e(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$ fails also in \mathcal{C} . Whence \mathbb{L} has the FMP.

132 **Theorem 3** Let \mathbb{L} be a variety of BL-algebras generated by a BL-chain $\mathcal{A} \simeq$
 133 $\bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathcal{A}_i$ having at least one \mathcal{A}_i such that:

- 134 (1) \mathcal{A}_i is a cancellative hoop or
 135 (2) $i < k$, and \mathcal{A}_i is a totally ordered Wajsberg hoop with infinite rank such that
 136 $F(\mathcal{A}_i)$ is finite or
 137 (3) \mathcal{A}_i is a non-simple totally ordered Wajsberg hoop with finite rank.

138 Then \mathbb{L} does not have the FMP.

139 **Proof** Let \mathbb{L} be a variety of BL-algebras generated by a BL-chain $\mathcal{A} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathcal{A}_i$.

140 (1) Assume first that there is an \mathcal{A}_i being a cancellative hoop. Then we must have
 141 $i > 0$. By [2, Theorem 7.9] every subdirectly irreducible algebra in \mathbb{L} , with $k + 1$
 142 components, is such that one of them is an infinite cancellative hoop. Since every
 143 finite chain is subdirectly irreducible, it follows that every finite chain in \mathbb{L} must
 144 have at most k components. By [2, Lemma 4.2] the FMP fails to hold, for \mathbb{L} .

145 (2) Suppose that $i < k$, and \mathcal{A}_i is a totally ordered Wajsberg hoop with infinite
 146 rank such that $F(\mathcal{A}_i)$ is finite. For every $a \in F(\mathcal{A}_i)$, let \mathcal{D}_a be the BL-chain
 147 obtained from \mathcal{A} by replacing \mathcal{A}_i with \mathbf{L}_a . By Lemma 1 we have that the class of
 148 chains in $\bigvee_{a \in F(\mathcal{A}_i)} \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}_a)$ coincides with the class of chains in $\bigcup_{a \in F(\mathcal{A}_i)} \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}_a)$.
 149 By [2, Theorem 7.9] a direct inspection shows that the class of finite chains in
 150 $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$ (which are all subdirectly irreducible) coincides with the class of finite
 151 chains in $\bigcup_{a \in F(\mathcal{A}_i)} \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}_a)$, and hence with the ones in $\bigvee_{a \in F(\mathcal{A}_i)} \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}_a)$. So, if
 152 $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$ has the FMP, then $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigvee_{a \in F(\mathcal{A}_i)} \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}_a)$: we now show that this is
 153 not possible. Let \mathcal{E} be the chain obtained from \mathcal{A} by replacing \mathcal{A}_i with a totally
 154 ordered infinite cancellative hoop, and \mathcal{A}_k with a (non-trivial) chain in $Si(\mathcal{A}_k)$.
 155 Clearly \mathcal{E} is subdirectly irreducible, and by [2, Theorem 7.9], $\mathcal{E} \in Si(\mathcal{A}) \subsetneq$
 156 $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$. However $\mathcal{E} \notin Si(\bigvee_{a \in F(\mathcal{A}_i)} \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}_a))$. Indeed, by [2, Theorem 7.9] every
 157 chain $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{F}_k \in Si(\bigvee_{a \in F(\mathcal{A}_i)} \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}_a))$ is such that \mathcal{F}_i is a finite chain.
 158 Then we conclude that $\mathbb{L} = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \bigvee_{a \in F(\mathcal{A}_i)} \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}_a)$, and hence \mathbb{L} cannot have
 159 the FMP.

160 (3) Suppose that \mathcal{A}_i is a non-simple totally ordered Wajsberg hoop with finite rank,
 161 say n . We have two cases. If $i < k$, then the proof strategy is almost identical to
 162 the case 2), *mutatis mutandis*, since the set $\{n : \mathbf{L}'_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_i\}$ ($\{n : \mathbf{L}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_i\}$, if
 163 $i = 0$) is finite.

164 Assume $i = k$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{A}_i \oplus \mathbf{L}_n$, and $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{A}_i \oplus \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D} is a
 165 subdirectly irreducible totally ordered cancellative hoop. Then \mathcal{C} is subdirectly
 166 irreducible. Since \mathcal{A}_i has rank n , by [2, Theorem 7.9] we have that the class
 167 of finite chains (which are all subdirectly irreducible) in $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$ coincides with
 168 the one in $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{B})$. So, if $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$ has the FMP, then $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$. However this is

not possible, since by [2, Theorem 7.9] the chain $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$, whilst $\mathcal{C} \notin \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{B})$.
Indeed, by [2, Theorem 7.9] every chain $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}_k \in Si(\mathcal{B})$ is such
that \mathcal{F}_k is a finite chain.

Whence $\mathbb{L} = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$ does not have the FMP. The proof is settled.

Theorem 4 *Let $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathcal{A}_i$ be a BL-chain. Then $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$ has the FMP if and only if \mathcal{A} satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.*

Proof Immediate by Theorems 2 and 3.

Proposition 3 *Let $\mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_k$ be a family of single-chain generated varieties of BL-algebras such that $\mathbb{L}_i \not\subseteq \mathbb{L}_j$, for every $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$. Then $\bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbb{L}_i$ has the FMP if and only if \mathbb{L}_i has the FMP, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.*

Proof Let $\mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_k$ be a family of single-chain generated varieties of BL-algebras such that $\mathbb{L}_i \not\subseteq \mathbb{L}_j$, for every $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$. If every \mathbb{L}_i has the FMP, by Lemma 1 we conclude that $\bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbb{L}_i$ has the FMP. Suppose now that for some $h \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, \mathbb{L}_h does not have the FMP. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let us call \mathbb{F}_i the variety generated by all the finite chains of \mathbb{L}_i . By Lemma 1 we have that the variety generated by the finite chains of $\bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbb{L}_i$ is $\bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbb{F}_i$, and clearly $\bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbb{F}_i \subseteq \bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbb{L}_i$. By hypothesis there is a chain \mathcal{A} such that $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{L}_h$. As $\mathbb{F}_h \subsetneq \mathbb{L}_h$, we have $\mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{F}_h$, and since $\mathbb{L}_h \not\subseteq \mathbb{L}_j$, for every $h \neq j$, we have that $\mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{F}_j$. Then by Lemma 1 $\mathcal{A} \notin \bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbb{F}_i$.

So we have $\bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbb{F}_i \subsetneq \bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbb{L}_i$. This implies that $\bigvee_{i=1}^k \mathbb{L}_i$ does not have the FMP, and the proof is settled.

We can now state our main result.

Theorem 5 *Let \mathbb{L} be a variety of BL-algebras. If $\mathbb{L} = \mathbf{V}(S)$, where S is a finite set of BL-chains with finitely-many components, then \mathbb{L} has the FMP if and only if every chain in S satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.*

Proof Immediate by Proposition 3 and Theorem 4.

The classification of the FMP for general case remains an open problem. Nevertheless, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6 *Let \mathbb{L} be a variety of BL-algebras. Then \mathbb{L} has the FMP if and only if there exists $C \subseteq Ch(\mathbb{L})$ such that:*

- (1) $\mathbf{V}(C) = \mathbb{L}$,
- (2) For every $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i \in C$, and every finite subset $\{0\} \subseteq J \subseteq I$, $\bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{A}_j$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.

Proof \Leftarrow Let \mathbb{L} be a variety of BL-algebras such that there exists $C \subseteq Ch(\mathbb{L})$ satisfying (1) and (2). To prove the FMP we show that if a formula φ fails in C , then it fails in some finite chain in \mathbb{L} . Suppose that $C \not\models \varphi$, and let x_1, \dots, x_k be the variables of φ . Then there is a chain $\mathcal{A} \in C$ and an \mathcal{A} -evaluation v such that $v(\varphi) < 1$. Let \mathcal{B} be the subalgebra of \mathcal{A} generated by $A_{\sigma(1)} \cup \cdots \cup A_{\sigma(k)}$, where

206 $\sigma : \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow I$ is such that $\sigma(i) = j$ if and only if $v(x_i) \in A_j$. Clearly \mathcal{B} has
 207 at most $k + 1$ components, and by 2) \mathcal{B} satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.
 208 Then $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{B})$ has the FMP. Clearly $\mathcal{B} \not\models \varphi$, and hence we can find a finite BL-chain
 209 $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ such that $\mathcal{D} \not\models \varphi$. The proof is settled.
 210 \Rightarrow Let \mathbb{L} be a variety of BL-algebras having the FMP, and let F be the class of all
 211 the finite chains in \mathbb{L} . By the FMP $\mathbf{V}(F) = \mathbb{L}$, and an easy check shows that each
 212 member of F satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2. So $F \subseteq Ch(\mathbb{L})$ satisfies (1)
 213 and (2), and the proof is settled.

214 4 Conclusions

215 In this paper we provided an analysis of the FMP for the varieties generated by a
 216 finite set of BL-chains with finitely-many components.

217 The general case is way more complicated, and remains an open problem. One of
 218 the issues is the lacking of a general description for the structure of the subdirectly
 219 irreducible members, for those varieties generated by BL-chains with infinitely-many
 220 components.

221 An analogous investigation of the structure of BL-chains in terms of their ordinal
 222 sum decomposition may throw new light on the study of the amalgamation property
 223 (AP) for varieties of BL-algebras.

224 Both the FMP and the AP are formulated in algebraic terms, for varieties of BL-
 225 algebras, but they are also related with logical properties. Specifically, whereas the
 226 FMP for a variety \mathbb{L} of BL-algebras implies the decidability of the logic L , the AP
 227 for \mathbb{L} is equivalent to the deductive interpolation property for L . For the case of
 228 MV-algebras there is a complete classification for the AP, provided by di Nola and
 229 Lettieri [10]. A variety of MV-algebras has the AP if and only if it is generated by
 230 one MV-chain. This is not true for the case of BL-algebras: the variety generated by
 231 the four element Gödel chain is a counterexample. At the moment we have a partial
 232 classification of the AP, for the varieties of BL-algebras which are generated by one
 233 BL-chain with finitely-many components. Future work will be devoted to this topic.

234 References

- 235 1. Aglianò, P., Ferreirim, I., Montagna, F.: Basic hoops: an algebraic study of continuous t -norms.
 236 *Studia Logica* **87**, 73–98 (2007)
- 237 2. Aglianò, P., Montagna, F.: Varieties of BL-algebras I: general properties. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*
 238 **181**(2–3), 105–129 (2003)
- 239 3. Aguzzoli, S., Bianchi, M.: Strictly join irreducible varieties of BL-algebras: the missing pieces
 240 (2020), submitted for publication
- 241 4. Blok, W., Pigozzi, D.: Algebraizable Logics, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*,
 242 vol. 77. American Mathematical Society (1989)
- 243 5. Burris, S., Sankappanavar, H.: A Course in Universal Algebra. *Graduated Texts in Mathematics*,
 244 vol. 78. Springer (1981)

- 245 6. Cignoli, R., D'Ottaviano, I., Mundici, D.: Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning,
 246 Trends in Logic, vol. 7. Kluwer Academic Publishers (1999)
- 247 7. Cignoli, R., Esteva, F., Godo, L., Torrens, A.: Basic Fuzzy Logic is the logic of continuous
 248 t-norms and their residua. *Soft Comput.* **4**(2), 106–112 (2000)
- 249 8. Cintula, P., Esteva, F., Gispert, J., Godo, L., Montagna, F., Noguera, C.: Distinguished algebraic
 250 semantics for t-norm based fuzzy logics: methods and algebraic equivalencies. *Ann. Pure Appl.*
 251 *Log.* **160**(1), 53–81 (2009)
- 252 9. Cintula, P., Hájek, P., Noguera, C.: Handbook of Mathematical Fuzzy Logic, vol. 1 and 2.
 253 College Publications (2011)
- 254 10. Di Nola, A., Lettieri, A.: One chain generated varieties of MV-algebras. *J. Alg.* **225**(2), 667–697
 255 (2000)
- 256 11. Galatos, N., Jipsen, P., Kowalski, T., Ono, H.: Residuated Lattices: An Algebraic Glimpse at
 257 Substructural Logics. Studies in Logic and The Foundations of Mathematics, vol. 151. Elsevier
 258 (2007)
- 259 12. Hájek, P.: Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic, Trends in Logic, vol. 4. Kluwer Academic Pub-
 260 lishers(paperback edn.) (1998)

MARKED PROOF

Please correct and return this set

Please use the proof correction marks shown below for all alterations and corrections. If you wish to return your proof by fax you should ensure that all amendments are written clearly in dark ink and are made well within the page margins.

<i>Instruction to printer</i>	<i>Textual mark</i>	<i>Marginal mark</i>
Leave unchanged	... under matter to remain	Ⓟ
Insert in text the matter indicated in the margin	∧	New matter followed by ∧ or ∧ [Ⓢ]
Delete	/ through single character, rule or underline or ┌───┐ through all characters to be deleted	Ⓞ or Ⓞ [Ⓢ]
Substitute character or substitute part of one or more word(s)	/ through letter or ┌───┐ through characters	new character / or new characters /
Change to italics	— under matter to be changed	↙
Change to capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	≡
Change to small capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	≡
Change to bold type	~ under matter to be changed	~
Change to bold italic	≈ under matter to be changed	≈
Change to lower case	Encircle matter to be changed	≡
Change italic to upright type	(As above)	⊕
Change bold to non-bold type	(As above)	⊖
Insert 'superior' character	/ through character or ∧ where required	Υ or Υ under character e.g. Υ or Υ
Insert 'inferior' character	(As above)	∧ over character e.g. ∧
Insert full stop	(As above)	⊙
Insert comma	(As above)	,
Insert single quotation marks	(As above)	ʹ or ʸ and/or ʹ or ʸ
Insert double quotation marks	(As above)	“ or ” and/or ” or ”
Insert hyphen	(As above)	⊥
Start new paragraph	┌	┌
No new paragraph	┐	┐
Transpose	└┐	└┐
Close up	linking ○ characters	Ⓞ
Insert or substitute space between characters or words	/ through character or ∧ where required	Υ
Reduce space between characters or words		↑