

# Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

---

|              |                                |  |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--|
| ArticleTitle | Biocultural Diversity in Italy |  |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--|

---

|                   |  |  |
|-------------------|--|--|
| Article Sub-Title |  |  |
|-------------------|--|--|

---

|                   |   |  |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Article CopyRight | Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature<br>(This will be the copyright line in the final PDF) |  |
|-------------------|---|--|

---

|              |               |  |
|--------------|---------------|--|
| Journal Name | Human Ecology |  |
|--------------|---------------|--|

---

|                      |   |   |
|----------------------|---|---|
| Corresponding Author | FamilyName  | <b>Nazari</b>                               |
|                      | Particle  |   |
|                      | Given Name  | <b>Vazrick</b>                              |
|                      | Suffix  |   |
|                      | Division  | Department of Biology                       |
|                      | Organization  | University of Padova                        |
|                      | Address   | Via U. Bassi, n. 58/B, 35131, Padova, Italy |
|                      | Phone   |   |
|                      | Fax   |   |
|                      | Email   | vazrick.nazari@unipd.it                     |
| URL                  |   |   |
| ORCID                | <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9064-8959">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9064-8959</a> |   |

---

|        |   |   |
|--------|---|---|
| Author | FamilyName  | <b>Belardinelli</b>                         |
|        | Particle  |   |
|        | Given Name  | <b>Sofia</b>                                |
|        | Suffix  |   |
|        | Division  | Department of Biology                       |
|        | Organization  | University of Padova                        |
|        | Address   | Via U. Bassi, n. 58/B, 35131, Padova, Italy |
|        | Division  | Department of Humanities                    |
|        | Organization  | University of Naples Federico II            |
|        | Address   | Naples, Italy                               |
| Phone  |   |   |
| Fax    |   |   |
| Email  |   |   |
| URL    |   |   |
| ORCID  | <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1128-0082">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1128-0082</a> |   |

---

|        |   |                                    |
|--------|---|------------------------------------|
| Author | FamilyName  | <b>Pieroni</b>                     |
|        | Particle  |                                    |
|        | Given Name  | <b>Andrea</b>                      |
|        | Suffix  |                                    |
|        | Division  |                                    |
|        | Organization  | University of Gastronomic Sciences |
|        | Address   | Pollenzo, Italy                    |
|        | Phone   |                                    |
|        | Fax   |                                    |
|        | Email   |                                    |
| URL    |   |                                    |
| ORCID  | <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2302-6380">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2302-6380</a> |                                    |

---

|        |            |                 |
|--------|------------|-----------------|
| Author | FamilyName | <b>Motti</b>    |
|        | Particle   |                 |
|        | Given Name | <b>Riccardo</b> |

Suffix  
Division Department of Agriculture  
Organization University of Naples Federico II  
Address Naples, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email  
URL  
ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5170-2104>

---

Author  
FamilyName **Chiarucci**  
Particle  
Given Name **Alessandro**  
Suffix  
Division Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences  
Organization University of Bologna  
Address Bologna, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email  
URL  
ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1160-235X>

---

Author  
FamilyName **Bisol**  
Particle  
Given Name **Giovanni Destro**  
Suffix  
Division Department of Environmental Biology  
Organization University of Rome "La Sapienza"  
Address Rome, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email  
URL  
ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4232-9715>

---

Author  
FamilyName **Vacchiano**  
Particle  
Given Name **Giorgio**  
Suffix  
Division Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences  
Organization University of Milan  
Address Milan, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email  
URL  
ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8100-0659>

---

Author  
FamilyName **Bortolini**  
Particle  
Given Name **Eugenio**  
Suffix  
Division Department of Cultural Heritage  
Organization University of Bologna  
Address Bologna, Italy  
Phone  
Fax

Email  
URL  
ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6751-5680>

---

Author      FamilyName      **Mezzavilla**  
Particle  
Given Name      **Massimo**  
Suffix  
Division      Department of Biology  
Organization      University of Padova  
Address      Via U. Bassi, n. 58/B, 35131, Padova, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email  
URL  
ORCID      <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9000-4595>

---

Author      FamilyName      **Garaffa**  
Particle  
Given Name      **Luigi**  
Suffix  
Division      Department of Biology  
Organization      University of Padova  
Address      Via U. Bassi, n. 58/B, 35131, Padova, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email  
URL  
ORCID

---

Author      FamilyName      **Pievani**  
Particle  
Given Name      **Dietelmo**  
Suffix  
Division      Department of Biology  
Organization      University of Padova  
Address      Via U. Bassi, n. 58/B, 35131, Padova, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email  
URL  
ORCID      <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0269-1522>

---

Schedule      Received  
Revised  
Accepted      10 Nov 2023

---

Abstract      As an initial step in more extensive research into the links between biological and cultural diversity in present-day Italy, we reviewed Biocultural Diversity studies that explore the relationship between biological and cultural patterns of diversity to determine whether any direct causal relationships or common drivers could be inferred. We found no significant attempts to quantitatively measure biocultural diversity in the country as a whole. Italy shows a high number of mutual interactions, but common drivers and patterns between biological and cultural diversity were not evident. This could be either a problem of quantification due perhaps to an inherent incommensurability between the two dimensions, or different causative patterns that drive biological and cultural diversity.

---

Keywords (separated by '-')      Biocultural diversity - Linguistic diversity - Ethnic minorities - Land use - Traditional ecological knowledge - Italy

---

Footnote Information

---



## 2 Biocultural Diversity in Italy

3 Vazrick Nazari<sup>1</sup> · Sofia Belardinelli<sup>1,2</sup> · Andrea Pieroni<sup>3</sup> · Riccardo Motti<sup>4</sup> · Alessandro Chiarucci<sup>5</sup> ·  
4 Giovanni Destro Bisol<sup>6</sup> · Giorgio Vacchiano<sup>7</sup> · Eugenio Bortolini<sup>8</sup> · Massimo Mezzavilla<sup>1</sup> · Luigi Garaffa<sup>1</sup> ·  
5 Dietelmo Pievani<sup>1</sup>

6 Accepted: 10 November 2023  
7 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2023

### 8 Abstract

**AQ1** As an initial step in more extensive research into the links between biological and cultural diversity in present-day Italy, we reviewed Biocultural Diversity studies that explore the relationship between biological and cultural patterns of diversity to determine whether any direct causal relationships or common drivers could be inferred. We found no significant attempts to quantitatively measure biocultural diversity in the country as a whole. Italy shows a high number of mutual interactions, but common drivers and patterns between biological and cultural diversity were not evident. This could be either a problem of quantification due perhaps to an inherent incommensurability between the two dimensions, or different causative patterns that drive biological and cultural diversity.

16 **Keywords** Biocultural diversity · Linguistic diversity · Ethnic minorities · Land use · Traditional ecological knowledge · Italy

### 17 Introduction

**AQ2** Interactions between humans and their environment are multifaceted, and it can be argued that ecosystems and the human cultures inhabiting them influence and shape each other (Rozzi, 1999). It is well known that biodiversity “hot-spots,” such as the Amazon basin, Central Africa, or South-east Asia also demonstrate exceptionally high degrees of

cultural diversity (Gorenflo et al., 2012; Maffi, 2005). The deep interconnectedness of biological and cultural diversity and the notion of an “inextricable link” between the two has given rise to the concept of “Biocultural Diversity” (ISE, 1988; Posey, 1999).<sup>1</sup> Undoubtedly, an area’s climate, landscape, and natural environment can profoundly impact the cultural values, norms, livelihoods, knowledge, and languages of its inhabitants (Berkes, 2008; Milton, 1998). On the other hand, human activities over the past 12,000 years have had a wide range of opposing consequences on their environment, from creation of novel ecological niches and new ecosystems to mass extinctions and overall reduction in biodiversity (Ellis, 2021; Stephens et al., 2019).

The concept of Biocultural Diversity to promote the recognition of the relationship between human cultures and biodiversity and their simultaneous preservation has gained increasing popularity (Bridgewater & Rotherham, 2019). The core problem in biocultural diversity studies, however, remains that while biological diversity can be studied quantitatively, culture is largely qualitative and therefore not easily subject to measurements (Patsiurko et al., 2012; Posey,

A1 ✉ Vazrick Nazari  
A2 vazrick.nazari@unipd.it

A3 <sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, University of Padova, Via U. Bassi,  
A4 n. 58/B, 35131 Padova, Italy

A5 <sup>2</sup> Department of Humanities, University of Naples Federico II,  
A6 Naples, Italy

A7 <sup>3</sup> University of Gastronomic Sciences, Pollenzo, Italy

A8 <sup>4</sup> Department of Agriculture, University of Naples Federico II,  
A9 Naples, Italy

A10 <sup>5</sup> Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental  
A11 Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

A12 <sup>6</sup> Department of Environmental Biology, University of Rome  
A13 “La Sapienza”, Rome, Italy

A14 <sup>7</sup> Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences,  
A15 University of Milan, Milan, Italy

A16 <sup>8</sup> Department of Cultural Heritage, University of Bologna,  
A17 Bologna, Italy

<sup>1</sup> Literature pertaining to the alternative usage of the term “biocultural” in anthropology (see Bridgewater & Rotherham, 2019; Franco, 2022; Wiley & Cullin, 2016) and psychology (see Alexander, 1990; Massimini & Delle Fave, 2000; Riva et al., 2004) are excluded from this review.

IFL01  
IFL02  
IFL03  
IFL04  
IFL05

1999; Seele et al., 2019). Indices proposed to assess biocultural diversity take into account only a few cultural proxies that are relatively easy to quantify but are hardly related to the material aspects of cultural diversity (e.g., language, religion, or ethnicity; Loh & Harmon, 2005). Naturally, such indices perform best where these particular proxies are at their highest diversity, but fail in nations that are relatively homogenous in terms of language and/or religion and yet demonstrate remarkable biological and cultural diversity due to other drivers (e.g., history, geography, geomorphology, climate, etc.).

To date, majority of the studies on biocultural diversity around the world have been focused on Indigenous and local populations and their relationship with their local environments, which are usually situated in global biodiversity hotspots. To test whether parallels between biological and cultural diversity can also be empirically assessed in industrialized nations, where direct links between human communities and their local natural environment have weakened over the last few centuries, we investigated this phenomenon in Italy, a European country with high levels of biological diversity and human cultural manifestations (Anagnostou et al., 2022; CBD, 2023). We focused on the following questions:

1. How the interaction between biological and cultural dimensions develops in the modern era;
2. Does cultural diversity drive and enhance biological diversity, or vice versa, and is there any bi-directional interaction between the two?
3. Can the present state of biocultural diversity be formally assessed in Italy?
4. What are the features of current biocultural projects in Italy?

We conducted an exhaustive literature search using relevant online databases (e.g., Web of Science, Google Scholar, etc.) with subsequent bibliography mining. We retrieved existing literature from diverse disciplines focused either on biocultural diversity or on the intersection of biological and cultural diversity in Europe and/or Italy. We divided the literature in two broad categories: a) Cases where biodiversity is shown to enhance cultural diversity (the former as a driver of the latter); and b) Cases where human cultural activities have modified the selective pressures and shaped the local biodiversity and ecosystems.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Definitions of terms used in this study are given elsewhere; e.g., *Biodiversity* (CBD, 1992, 2016); *Culture* (Brey, 2007); *Cultural Diversity* (Pretty et al., 2009; UNESCO, 2001); *Biocultural diversity* (Bridgewater & Rotherham, 2019; Díaz et al., 2015; Maffi, 2007, 2018; Posey, 1999).

We first describe the literature on linguistic and genetic diversity in Italy (question 1) followed by the review of the biocultural landscapes in Italy in order to understand how Biodiversity could be a driver of cultural diversity or vice versa (question 2). We then combine all the data to address the possibility for a formal assessment of Biocultural Diversity in the country (question 3). Finally, we analyze the characteristics of biocultural projects in Italy (question 4).

## Human Linguistic and Genetic Diversity in Italy

Language is a key component of human culture (Honkola et al., 2018; Maffi, 2005; Skutnabb-Kangas & Harmon, 2017) not simply as a means of communication but as a historical repository for a people's relationship with the land, the living natural web, and for entire worldviews. It has been argued that languages are transmitted through processes similar to genes, and a positive correlation exists between major language and genetic groupings (Barbieri et al., 2022; Cavalli Sforza & Menozzi, 1994). Although the linguistic richness of European countries cannot be compared to certain areas of the planet recognised as cultural hotspots (see Skutnabb-Kangas & Harmon, 2017), the linguistic diversity observed in Italy has been enriched by influences from Eastern, Central, and Western Europe, so much so that it is greater than that of other continental countries with comparable population size and geographical extent, both in terms of languages spoken and probability of randomly extracting two individuals of different mother tongues (Anagnostou et al., 2022). This pattern, mirrored by significant differences in ancestry as a result of migration, admixture, and isolation have generated in Italy the largest degree of population structure detected to date in Europe (Anagnostou et al., 2022; Destro Bisol et al., 2008; Raveane et al., 2019; Sazzini et al., 2020). An important role is played in this regard by linguistic minorities of German, Occitan, Provençal and Slavic derivation in the north, Croatian in the centre, and Greek and Albanian in the south and on the islands, most of whom are safeguarded by the Italian Constitution.<sup>3</sup> Numerous studies have been conducted in these communities (e.g., Bellia & Pieroni, 2015; Di Tizio et al., 2012; Mattalia et al., 2013; Mattalia et al., 2020a, b; Nebel et al., 2006; Pieroni & Cattero, 2019; Pieroni & Quave, 2005; Sarno et al., 2017; 2021b). Among them are the Arbëreshë, descendants of Albanians who emigrated in several flows from the fifteenth to the eighteenth centuries to diverse central and southern

<sup>3</sup> "... la Repubblica tutela la lingua e la cultura delle popolazioni albanesi, catalane, germaniche, greche, slovene e croate e di quelle parlanti il francese, il franco-provenzale, il friulano, il ladino, l'occitano e il sardo" (Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana, 1999).

133 Italian inland areas (Dessart, 1982; Tagarelli et al., 2005).  
 134 Studies on the Arbëresh demonstrate the prolonged intercultural  
 135 exchange between a local culture (South Italian) and  
 136 an ‘imported’ one (Albanian). This exchange has involved  
 137 not only language, but also many other aspects of social life,  
 138 such as ethnobotanical knowledge (Pieroni, 2003; Pieroni  
 139 et al., 2002a, b).

140 Of particular interest are case studies investigating  
 141 the correlation between “linguistic islands” in Italy and  
 142 their genetic characteristics. Research in the eastern Alps  
 143 (Lessinia, Sauris, Sappada, and Timau) (Capocasa et al.,  
 144 2013), western Alps (Walser and Romance minorities in  
 145 the Upper Lys Valley) (Boattini et al., 2011), among the  
 146 Ladin- and Germanic speaking Cimbri in Trentino (Boattini  
 147 et al., 2021; Coia et al., 2012), and among the Alghero and  
 148 Carloforte in Sardinia (Maxia et al., 2007; Moral et al., 1994;  
 149 Robledo et al., 2012) all show remarkable genetic structures  
 150 shaped by a combination of a founder event and continued  
 151 isolation even from culturally-related neighbouring popula-  
 152 tions, with ethnicity playing an important role in increasing  
 153 endogamy and inbreeding rates related to consanguinity and  
 154 other cultural factors. In this respect, surnames are shown to  
 155 be clearly structured according to regional geographic pat-  
 156 terns particularly in southern Italy and Sicily (Boattini et al.,  
 157 2018), but not in Trentino (Coia et al., 2012).

158 A similar pattern of limited genetic diversity, high frequency  
 159 of specific haplogroups, and an outlier position within the Ital-  
 160 ian genetic space is reported among the Commons in northern  
 161 Italy. These are peculiar institutions of medieval origins whose  
 162 key feature is not a minority language, but a tight relationship  
 163 between population and territory, mediated by the collective  
 164 property of shared resources (Sarno et al., 2021a).

165 Some congruence has been noted between the geographic  
 166 ethno-linguistic repartition of human communities with  
 167 the genetic clusters of economically important plants (i.e.,  
 168 walnut and chestnut) across the range of these species in  
 169 Eurasia, suggesting that phenomena such as isolation by dis-  
 170 tance, landscape heterogeneity, and cultural boundaries may  
 171 simultaneously promote human language diversification and  
 172 differentiation of plant species across the same geographic  
 173 region (Pollegioni et al., 2020).

## 174 Biocultural Dynamics in Italy

175 The term *Biocultural Landscape* refers to a complex  
 176 set of cultural assets that represent the combined work  
 177 of nature and humans (Merola, 2021; UNESCO, 2019)  
 178 that is theoretically related to *Cultural Landscape*<sup>4</sup> and

*Biocultural Refugia*.<sup>5</sup> Some studies in Italy have focused  
 on specific biocultural landscapes and their importance in  
 preservation of environmental resources, agro-ecosystems  
 functionality, landscape diversity and traditional and  
 cultural memory; e.g., the traditional landscapes of fruit  
 trees and vines (Barbera & Biasi, 2011), olive trees in  
 Apulia (Mohamad et al., 2013), cork oaks in Sardinia  
 (Vogiatzakis et al., 2005), or the silvopastoral systems  
 with carob trees in Sicily (Venturi et al., 2021). A wider  
 assessment of Italian biocultural landscapes has been  
 carried out led by the institution of the National Register  
 of Historical Rural Landscapes<sup>6</sup> (Agnoletti, 2010, 2013).

A new direction in biocultural diversity studies that has  
 impacted research in Italy has been spearheaded by the inde-  
 pendent *Intergovernmental Platform on Biodiversity and*  
*Ecosystem Services* (IPBES) (<https://www.ipbes.org>), estab-  
 lished in 2010 with the aim to strengthen the science-policy  
 interface for the conservation of biodiversity and sustain-  
 able development. IPBES alone is responsible for popular-  
 izing terms such as *Ecosystem Services*,<sup>7</sup> which includes  
*provisioning services* (e.g., food and water), *regulating ser-*  
*VICES* (e.g., regulation of floods, drought, land degradation,  
 and disease), *supporting services* (e.g., soil formation and  
 nutrient cycling), and *cultural services*<sup>8</sup> (e.g., recreational,  
 spiritual, religious, and other non-material benefits) (MA,  
 2003). Another term coined by IPBES that is increasingly  
 gaining popularity is *Nature’s Contributions to People*  
 (NCP)<sup>9</sup> (Pascual et al., 2017; originally *Nature’s benefits to*  
*people*, Díaz et al., 2015). Studies on distribution of NCPs  
 have explored potential priority areas for conservation in  
 Europe, including in Italy, that will co-benefit both nature  
 and people (O’Conner et al., 2021) and have demonstrated  
 a substantial global overlap between areas that provide the  
 majority of NCPs (“critical natural assets”) with hotspots

Footnote 4 (continued)

ern the presence, distribution, and abundance of species assemblages  
 (Farina, 2000; Taylor & Lennon, 2011).

<sup>5</sup> Defined as the physical places that not only shelter farm biodiver-  
 sity, but also carry knowledge and experiences about practical man-  
 agement of how to produce food while stewarding biodiversity and  
 ecosystem services (Barthel et al., 2013).

<sup>6</sup> The National Register can be accessed online. <https://www.reterurale.it/flex/cm/pages/ServeBLOB.php/L/IT/IDPagina/17423>

<sup>7</sup> Defined as the benefits people obtain from ecosystems (MA, 2003).

<sup>8</sup> Defined as non-material benefits people obtain from ecosystems  
 through cultural diversity, spiritual and religious values, knowledge  
 systems, educational values, inspiration, aesthetic values, social rela-  
 tions, sense of place, cultural heritage values, recreation and ecotour-  
 ism (MA, 2005; Reyes-García et al., 2015).

<sup>9</sup> Ddefined as all the contributions, both positive and negative, of  
 living nature (diversity of organisms, ecosystems, and their associ-  
 ated ecological and evolutionary processes) to people’s quality of life  
 (Díaz et al., 2018).

<sup>4</sup> Defined as geographic areas in which the relationships between  
 human activity and the environment have created ecological, socio-  
 economic, and cultural patterns and feedback mechanisms that gov-

for biological and cultural diversity (Chaplin-Kramer et al., 2022). In addition to this approach, increasing attention is being paid to the mutual interactions that connect peoples and their environments, so that, while NCPs focus mainly on the benefits that humans can derive from natural elements, *People's Contributions to Nature* draws attention to the central role that indigenous peoples and local communities and their long-term, low-impact activities play in shaping the ecological and biological interactions of the local environment (Ojeda et al., 2022; Reyes-García et al., 2014).

## Biodiversity as a Driver of Cultural Diversity

The accumulated body of knowledge, practices, and beliefs of locals about their environment, variously termed *Traditional Ecological Knowledge* (TEK), *Local Environmental Knowledge* (LEK), *Indigenous knowledge* (IK), *ecoliteracy*, or simply *ecological knowledge*, is a key element in biocultural studies (Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2014; Nakashima et al., 2012; Pilgrim et al., 2008; von Glasenapp & Thornton, 2011; Zent & Zent, 2013). Among the main components of such ecological knowledge are ethnobotanical and ethnozoological aspects.

Ethnobotanical studies have highlighted the significant and crucial role of TEK, which encompasses a range of traditional land and resource management techniques (Anderson, 2005). The botanical biodiversity of a landscape is correlated with the richness of medicinal and culinary traditions of the local peoples and richness of their vocabulary. Italian local food products show an enormous amount of cultural diversity,<sup>10</sup> undoubtedly influenced by local elements of biodiversity (e.g., wild greens, mushrooms, berries, fish and other seafood etc). Another prominent example is cheese, where the organoleptic qualities and taste of milk for dairy products are heavily influenced by the species composition of grasses growing in local pastures (Carpino et al., 2004; Povolo et al., 2012).

The ethnobotanical literature in Italy is very rich; however, while the majority of studies investigate local traditions and practices in one or a few regions of Italy, nation-wide studies are rare. Summaries are given by Guarrera's encyclopedia of traditional and folk medicine in Italy (Guarrera, 2006), Ghirardini et al. (2007), who reported on wild food plant consumption in 21 local communities across the country, and Monari et al., (2022), who present a dataset of wild and cultivated plants traditionally used as medicinal remedies in Italy. Comparative studies between Italy and Bulgaria (Leporatti & Ivancheva, 2003) and Italy and Tunisia (Leporatti

& Ghedira, 2009) show considerable convergence in therapeutic uses of many species, signaling shared heritage between Italy and other nations. Noteworthy is the database for Italian wild edible plants of Paura et al., (2021), in which 1103 taxa are documented to be used as alimurgic species, a significant contribution to the understanding of the wealth of uses of edible vascular plants throughout Italy.

Traditionally, ethnobotanical research has developed more in central-southern Italy than in the north (Guarrera, 2005; Guarrera & Lucia, 2007). Most regional ethnobotanical studies follow Guarrera (2006) in grouping traditional plant uses into discrete categories (e.g., medicinal, cosmetic, nutrition, religious, games, etc). In a series of relevant publications, Motti and colleagues have reviewed traditional herbal remedies across Italy used in pediatric health care (Motti et al., 2018), in women's health care (Motti et al., 2019), in managing anxiety and insomnia (Motti & deFalco, 2021), as herbs and spices (Motti, 2021), and in making alcoholic beverages (Motti et al., 2022).

Other examples of floristic diversity driving cultures include local economies based on the transformation or use of a specific tree or shrub found only in certain regions; e.g., broom makers in Tuscany and Abruzzo using *Erica scoparia* (Congedo, 2019) or *Sorghum* spp. (Serafini, 2011), wine barrel makers using *Abies alba* from (planted) forests in Casentino, Tuscany (Anonymous, 2021), *Ampelodesmos mauritanicus* and other fibre plants used in basket weaving in Mt. Aurunci Regional Park in Central Italy (Novellino, 2006), collection of resin from pine trees in Valvestino, Lombardy (GardaPost, 2021), or the historical "chestnut civilization" of the lower Alps and Apennines (Rao, 2013). In addition, diverse traditional plant nutraceuticals are used to improve animal health as well as the quality of milk and dairy products. Such ethnoveterinary practices have been documented in some regions of Italy, e.g., in central Lucania, Basilicata (Guarrera, 2006; Pieroni et al., 2004).

Zootherapy, the treatment of human ailments with remedies derived from animals or their products, is a neglected field of study compared to medicinal plant research despite its prevalence in traditional medical practices worldwide. One study identified 80 animal species used in a wide range of zootherapeutic remedies in Italy, Albania, Spain, and Nepal, representing four phyla (Annelida, Arthropoda, Chordata, Mollusca) (Quave et al., 2010).

It should be noted that these traditional preferences are prone to change over time. An example is the recent development of interest in wider consumption of edible mycorrhizal fungi in Sardinia, a society that has traditionally shunned using fungi as food, thanks to increasing contacts and influences from continental Italy, a strongly mycophilic country (Comandini et al., 2018; Pérez-Moreno et al., 2020).

<sup>10</sup> See, for example, *Presidi in Italia*: <https://www.fondazione-lowfood.com/it/nazioni-presidi/italia-it/>

313 **Culture as a Driver of Biological Diversity**

314 Human cultural activities in many cases have modified selec-  
 315 tive pressures and shaped local biodiversity and ecosystems.  
 316 Land use change is the main direct cause of biodiversity loss,  
 317 especially in large-scale agricultural and productive forestry  
 318 operations. This factor alone drives an estimated 30% of  
 319 biodiversity decline globally (IPBES, 2019; UN Environ-  
 AQ3 320 ment 2019). In addition, depopulation and abandonment of  
 321 traditional practices, especially in the mountainous areas,  
 322 affect the land use and land cover inducing the modifica-  
 323 tion of the landscape mosaic. The latter process facilitates  
 324 secondary forest expansion, modifying the structure, flor-  
 325 istic composition, stand density, and regeneration capacity  
 326 of forests, thus changing the ecosystems' functionality and  
 327 resilience (Chauchard et al., 2007; Vacchiano et al., 2017).  
 328 These processes have sometimes been considered a form of  
 329 "landscape degradation" (Marchetti et al., 2018; Palombo  
 330 et al., 2013), however using this term for the return to natu-  
 331 ral processes to areas managed for centuries by humans is  
 332 highly controversial.

333 Abandonment of arable land and pastures since the  
 334 1960s in Italy has resulted in an increase in forests and  
 335 artificial areas and a decrease in croplands and pastures  
 336 (Falcucci et al., 2007; Malandra et al., 2018). The loss of  
 337 open habitats in favour of afforestation processes has led to  
 338 decreased fragmentation and patchiness (Geri et al., 2010)  
 339 and consequently a decrease in species connected to cultural  
 340 landscapes (Amici et al., 2015) and an increase in species  
 341 linked to natural habitats in different parts of peninsular Italy  
 342 (Amici et al., 2013; Lelli et al., 2021). Changes in species  
 343 composition have also been noted in the fauna, with forest  
 344 birds, ungulates, and carnivores increasing, while typically  
 345 Mediterranean species are decreasing (Falcucci et al., 2007).  
 346 Studies in Italy have shown that cessation of traditional  
 347 farming in depopulated areas results in spontaneous refore-  
 348 station accompanied by simplification and homogenization  
 349 of the original mosaic, with no intermediate fragmentation  
 350 process (e.g., Bracchetti et al., 2012; Marchetti et al., 2018).

351 Various efforts have been undertaken to valorize  
 352 biocultural heritage and combat abandonment of traditional  
 353 landscapes, depopulation, and the consequent loss of  
 354 knowledge, practices, and landscape features, e.g., in the  
 355 area of Lake Trasimeno in Umbria (Marchesini & Parbuono,  
 356 2022), Garfagnana in northern Tuscany (Belletti et al., 2022),  
 357 or the Italian Inner Areas in Molise (Trivisonno, 2022).  
 358 These projects focus either on sustainable rural territorial  
 359 development, or on preservation of particular aspects of  
 360 rural lifestyles, e.g., craftsmanship of iron, terracotta, wood,  
 361 and textiles etc., by the process of "re-peasantization" with  
 362 the aim of recovering traditional knowledge from the past  
 363 and combining it with creative innovations to accommodate  
 364 new expectations and multifunctionality (Bindi, 2022a).

365 Studies on biocultural values of traditional agricultural  
 366 activities such as apiculture in Piedmont and Liguria (Hearn  
 367 & Dossche, 2016) or preservation of local breeds of sheep  
 368 in Basilicata (Sardaro & La Sala, 2021) also highlight the  
 369 importance of combination of such historical practices with  
 370 new innovative methods and allow further income to farmers  
 371 and preserve their heritage.

372 In the Alps, animal herding and grazing was historically  
 373 linked to larch forests since they have a light canopy and  
 374 allow for good grass growth in the understory. As a conse-  
 375 quence, these forests were also shaped to be better grazing  
 376 lands. Larch forests today remain a heavily modified eco-  
 377 system, a real cultural landscape, and a good example of  
 378 bidirectional influence or self-reinforcing feedback between  
 379 biological and cultural diversity (Garbarino et al., 2010;  
 380 Motta & Lingua, 2005; Schulze et al., 2007).

381 Itinerant pastoralism (transhumance) is a form of  
 382 extensive farming that is based on the continuous movement  
 383 of flocks following the availability of grasslands for pasture  
 384 along different and complementary ecosystems (Nori &  
 385 De Marchi, 2015). This ancient practice is deeply rooted  
 386 since the Roman Empire and has influenced settlements,  
 387 routes, local landscapes, and sociocultural structures in Italy.  
 388 Recent studies on transhumance in Southern Apennines  
 389 (Troiano et al., 2021), Collina Po protected area in Piemonte  
 390 (Genovese et al., 2022), Friuli (Lozej, 2022), and the Alms  
 391 in South Tyrol/Alto Adige (Colombino & Powers, 2022)  
 392 not only underline the importance of transhumance grazing  
 393 as a valuable management tool to maintain high biological  
 394 diversity in mountain pastures, but also highlight the latent  
 395 conflicts in areas where traditional farming activities coexist  
 396 with a renewed and multifunctional way of inhabiting  
 397 the land. The "heritagization" and "touristization"<sup>11</sup> of  
 398 transhumance in recent years, accompanied by controversial  
 399 uses of pastures and proliferation of illegal permits, pose  
 400 serious challenges to efforts to support pastoral activities, an  
 401 unresolved area in European or Italian agricultural policies  
 402 for this sector (Bindi, 2022b).

403 There is a growing recognition that Sacred Natural  
 404 Sites (SNS)<sup>12</sup> form hotspots of biocultural diversity and  
 405 significantly contribute to conservation in traditional non-  
 406 western societies. Ritual pilgrimage to these sites in south  
 407 Italy (e.g., Campania) is mostly linked to Christianity  
 408 (Francescato & Talamo, 2012), although it is likely that  
 409 many of the Italian SNS have been inherited by Catholicism

<sup>11</sup> E.g., "Ecomuseum of Pastoralism" in Ponteb Bernardo, Cuneo, and the  
 Ecomuseum Itinerari Frentani, Larino (Belligiano et al., 2021). See also  
 studies in Veneto and Lombardy (Chang et al., 2010; Iseppi et al., 2015),  
 in Amalfi coast (Merola, 2021), and in the area of Judicarie, Trento  
 (Povinelli et al., 2022).

<sup>12</sup> Defined as areas of land or water having special spiritual signifi-  
 cance for peoples and communities (Wild & McLeod, 2008).



410 from earlier forms of religion, perhaps with animistic  
411 features, whose vestiges have been preserved in popular  
412 beliefs and festivities. Interestingly, the practices of  
413 different monastic orders have had different effects on forest  
414 composition and structure: While Franciscans preserved  
415 (and used) the “native” forests as a form of respect for the  
416 creation, the Benektin and Camaldulose orders planted and  
417 managed evergreen *Abies* trees as a symbol of eternity and  
418 spiritual aspiration (Redazione Toscana Oggi, 2015). The  
419 Camaldulose monks created the first forest management  
420 “law” of the world (*Codice Forestale Camaldulose* of 1520)  
421 and their abbey at Vallombrosa is the birthplace of Italian  
422 Forest Science Universities (Romano, 2010).

423 In Central Italy (Tuscany, Marche, Umbria, Lazio,  
424 Abruzzi, and Molise), a high proportion of sacred Catholic  
425 sites are located in natural areas. These SNS harbor higher  
426 richness of plant and lichen species and a more valuable  
427 species pool, and are also important for conserving stands  
428 of large trees and habitat heterogeneity across different  
429 land-cover types (Frascaroli, 2013; Frascaroli et al., 2016;  
430 Nascimbene et al., 2019). These patterns are related not  
431 only to pre-existing features, but also to traditional man-  
432 agement. Ritual and processual interplays between humans  
433 and non-humans are shown to be essential for sustaining  
434 the resilience of these sites, and continuation of traditional  
435 management practices are crucial for conservation of SNS  
436 sites (Frascaroli, 2016; Frascaroli et al., 2016; Frascaroli &  
437 Verschuuren, 2016). In the Italian forest landscape where  
438 old-growth stands are practically absent, sacred forest  
439 sites may provide unique old-growth structures and buffer  
440 anthropogenic disturbances (Nascimbene et al., 2019).

### 441 **Can Present Biocultural Diversity Be Formally** 442 **Assessed in Italy?**

443 In order to empirically assess the biocultural diversity of any  
444 given area, a scientific approach requires accurate measure-  
445 ment of the variables involved. Efforts to quantify biological  
446 or cultural diversity both rely heavily on selected proxies.  
447 Biodiversity is usually extrapolated from the known rich-  
448 ness of one or a few groups of plants or animals in an area.  
449 This task however is much more complicated with respect  
450 to cultural diversity, as fewer quantifiable proxies exist in  
451 the cultural context.

452 The global *Index of Biocultural Diversity* (IBCD) pro-  
453 posed by Loh and Harmon (2005) is a measure of the aver-  
454 age of biological (BD) and cultural (CD) diversities in an  
455 area ( $IBCD = (BD + CD)/2$ ), where biological diversity is  
456 defined as the average of diversity of mammals and vas-  
457 cular plants ( $BD = (MD + PT)/2$ ) and cultural diversity as  
458 the average of linguistic, religious, and ethnic diversity  
459 ( $CD = (LD + RD + ED)/3$ ). Other indices attempt to quantify  
460 certain aspects of biocultural diversity, e.g., the *Cultural*

*Food Significance Index* (CFSI) which aims to evaluate the  
461 cultural significance of wild edibles (Pieroni, 2001), or the  
462 *Dietary Species Richness* (DSR) as a measure of food biodi-  
463 versity (Lachat et al., 2018). More sophisticated mathemati-  
464 cal indices for biocultural complexity have been proposed by  
465 Reyes-Valdés and Kantartzi (2020), who present an informa-  
466 tion theory approach to biocultural complexity, by Reyes-  
467 García et al., (2023), who utilize the “*Culturally Important*  
468 *Species*” concept (CIS) to assess the biocultural status of  
469 specific components of nature that matter to people, and by  
470 Zent and Maffi (2009), who introduce *Vitality Index of Tra-*  
471 *ditional Environmental Knowledge* (VITEK) as a measure  
472 for loss/retention of traditional environmental knowledge  
473 between generations (Zent & Maffi, 2009). Indices such as  
474 these generally do not take into account the fluid nature of  
475 culture and do not have the capacity to cater for historical  
476 change (Beinart, 2014). They rely on proxies (i.e., religion or  
477 languages) that favour zones of high indigenous and linguis-  
478 tic diversity and are not very informative in industrialized  
479 nations such as Italy, or are otherwise too data-demanding  
480 and time-consuming to calculate. Even though new studies  
481 often employ modern technologies and novel methodologi-  
482 cal approaches to collect and analyze data related to tra-  
483 ditional landscapes and historical ecology (e.g., Ferrara &  
484 Wästfelt, 2021 in Sicily, or De Pasquale & Livia, 2022 in  
485 Vallecorsa, Lazio), in our review of literature we did not  
486 find any significant and focused attempts to quantitatively  
487 measure biocultural diversity in Italy.  
488

### 489 **Biocultural Projects in Italy**

490 Over the past two decades, several national and international  
491 projects in Europe and Italy have explicitly or implicitly  
492 focused on biocultural diversity in Italy with various out-  
493 comes. Among these are: RUBIA (circum-Mediterranean  
494 ethno-botanical and ethnographic heritage in traditional  
495 technologies, tools, and uses of wild and neglected culti-  
496 vated plants for food, medicine, textiles, dyeing, and handi-  
497 crafts, 2003–2005) (Frank, 2011); the ECONET project  
498 “Sustainability using Ecological Networks” of the European  
499 Commission’s Life Environment Programme (1999–2004),  
500 with over 1500 people involved in three countries (Italy, the  
501 UK, and the Netherlands), which was successful in raising  
502 awareness on the concept of ecological networks, supported  
503 its integration into farming, forestry, and land regeneration,  
504 and its incorporation into regional and local land use and  
505 management policies to overcome the problems of habitat  
506 loss, fragmentation, and species isolation (Pungetti, 2013);  
507 The Green Pilgrimage Network, launched in 2011 at Assisi  
508 (Umbria) by the Alliance of Religions and Conservation  
509 (ARC, <https://www.arcworld.org>), attempting to build a net-  
510 work of Sacred Sites to protect a valuable patrimony of natu-  
511 ral, historical and architectural sites linked to Christianity

(Francescato & Talamo, 2012); and BIOESSaNS (Biodiversity and ecosystem services in sacred natural sites), implemented since 2010 to address the nexus between SNS and biocultural diversity in Central Italy, with three distinct phases: (1) identification, categorization, and mapping of the SNS; (2) floristic assessment and comparison of a sample of thirty representative SNS as well as control non-sacred sites; and (3) interviews and participant observations at the same sample SNS (Frascaroli, 2013; Frascaroli et al., 2019; Frascaroli & Verschuuren, 2016; Zannini et al., 2021, 2022).

The Atlante Bioculturale Italiano is an Istituto Italiano di Antropologia project concerning the genetic and genomic diversity of Italian populations in relation to their cultural diversity using a systematic analysis of mitochondrial DNA and Y chromosome diversity in a large set of communities, including those subject to geo-cultural isolation factors. Their results show that the magnitude of genetic diversity among them is greater than that observed throughout the rest of the European continent, largely driven by the multitude of geographic and linguistic isolates across the country (Anagnostou et al., 2022; Capocasa et al., 2014).

Another program, Globally Important Agricultural Heritage Systems (GIAHS) (2002-present), was established by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (<https://www.fao.org/giahs>) that included traditional lemon gardens and the terraced agricultural system on Amalfi coast, the olive groves of the slopes between Assisi and Spoleto, and Soave traditional vineyards (Pinheiro et al., 2022). The Italian Ministry of Agricultural, Food, and Forestry Policies conducted a survey in 2009–2010 that identified 123 areas across in Italy with an average size of 1300 ha in order to establish a national monitoring system for traditional rural landscapes, which led to the establishment of the Italian National Register of Historical Rural Landscapes that also serves as the Italian list for potential application to GIAHS. These landscapes are characterized by a long history of human occupation, the presence of traditional practices, typical foods, complex landscape mosaics and high biocultural diversity. The resilience of these systems was demonstrated when, despite climatic and socioeconomic pressures, a second survey five years later found no major changes between 2014 and 2019 (Agnoletti et al., 2019; Agnoletti & Santoro, 2022).

## Discussion and Conclusion

Italy shows a high number of mutual interactions between humans and their ecosystems, but to date no common drivers and patterns between biological and cultural diversity have been identified. Among the factors underlying the remarkable diversity in modern Italian human populations, Anagnostou et al. (2022) list migration, isolation, and natural selection generated by the interplay of geography,

environment, and culture. This maybe a good starting point; however, it only views Biocultural Diversity from the perspective of human genetics. Different causative patterns drive biological and cultural diversity, and the problem of quantification - due perhaps to an inherent incommensurability between the two dimensions - further impedes progress. Thus, a comprehensive analysis of biocultural diversity in Italy remains elusive.

To date, ethnobotanical studies in Italy have been the main sources of robust analysis of the interactions and links between plant biodiversity and cultural diversity. These studies show a remarkable diversity of biocultural links, most probably due to the diversity of Italian flora, but more specifically due to the cultural diversity that the country still hosts, possibly attributed to the interplay between geography and history. Proofs of these patterns can be found, for example, in the remarkable number of landraces of cultivated plants, or the huge diversities of local food products and cuisines, confirmed by over 200 ethnobotanical studies conducted on wild flora in the past 50 years. In particular, ethnobotanical studies specifically conducted among linguistic and religious communities in Italy have shown remarkable idiosyncratic and distinctive patterns of wild plant uses, although often mitigated by the usual phenomena (such as urbanization and globalization) that in the last decades have eroded TEK in industrialized nations and therefore possibly diluted biocultural differences. The erosion of TEK has been significant due to the lack of direct contact with nature while tending animals, agricultural fields, or home gardens, suggesting that there is a very urgent need for further in-depth studies on plant biocultural diversities in Italy and to document this knowledge before it is lost to future generations (Pyle, 1993; Quave et al., 2012; Soga & Gaston, 2016).

Given global urbanisation processes and the abandonment of many mountain and remote areas driven by contemporary socio-economic upheavals, the idea of preserving cultural landscapes as they were for many centuries seems impractical. In Italy and other industrialized nations, parts of traditional landscapes will inevitably return to nature in a process that is now defined as “rewilding” (Navarro & Pereira, 2012; Perino et al., 2019). This can be seen as a positive development in human-nature interactions, since it will contribute to biodiversity preservation (Genes et al., 2019; Nogués-Bravo et al., 2016). Nonetheless, there is an urgent need to develop a proper understanding of a potential new equilibrium in human-nature interactions, where the return of parts of the previously-traditional landscapes to nature leads to sustainability in nature-culture dynamics (Mikołajczak et al., 2022; Schulte to Bühne et al., 2022).

We also note that some areas of biocultural diversity seem to be severely under-studied in Italy, e.g., the impact of invasive, exotic, and alien species on biocultural diversity, or biocultural diversity with respect to marine environments.

615 Collecting and analyzing in a quantitative way these and  
616 other relevant data will be fundamental in understanding  
617 and creating an index of Biocultural Diversity that can be  
618 combined with other quantitative indices.

619 In addition, in this review, we did not investigate the his-  
620 torical and anthropological aspects of biocultural diversity  
621 in Italy. A separate review on the latter topic might be inter-  
622 esting from a methodological point of view, as certain case  
623 studies may be applied to a modern context where variability  
624 in material culture and traditional habits can be measured  
625 while comparing it against biological variability and indices  
626 of human mobility and interconnectedness.

627 **Author Contributions** All co-authors contributed equally to the devel-  
628 opment and completion of this manuscript.

629 **Funding** None.

630 **Data Availability** Not applicable.

## 631 Declarations

632 **Ethical Approval** Not applicable.

633 **Competing Interests** The authors have no competing Interests to declare.

## 634 References

- 635 Agnoletti, M. (ed). (2010). *Paesaggi rurali storici*. Per un catalogo nazi-  
636 onale. Editori Laterza, Bari.
- 637 Agnoletti, M. (2013). Valorising the European rural landscape: The  
638 case of the Italian National Register of Historical Rural Land-  
639 scapes. Chapter 5 in Rotherham ID (ed) *Cultural Severance and*  
640 *the Environment*. *Environmental History*, 2. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6159-9\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6159-9_5)
- 641 Agnoletti, M., Emanuelli, F., Corrieri, F., et al. (2019). Monitoring tra-  
642 ditional rural landscapes. The case of Italy. *Sustainability*, 11,  
643 6107. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11216107>
- 644 Agnoletti, M., & Santoro, A. (2022). The Italian National Register  
645 of historical rural landscapes. In Henrik et al. (Eds.), *Cultural*  
646 *heritage– Possibilities for land-centered societal development*  
647 (p. 390). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58092-6>
- 648 Alexander, R. D. (1990). Epigenetic rules and Darwinian algorithms:  
649 The adaptive study of learning and development. *Ethology*  
650 *and Sociobiology*, 11, 241–303. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0162-3095\(90\)90012-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0162-3095(90)90012-U)
- 651 Amici, V., Landi, S., Frascaroli, F., et al. (2015). Anthropogenic drivers  
652 of plant diversity: Perspective on land use change in a dynamic  
653 cultural landscape. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 24(13), 3185–  
654 3199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-015-0949-x>
- 655 Amici, V., Santi, E., Filibeck, G., et al. (2013). Influence of secondary  
656 forest succession on plant diversity patterns in a Mediterranean  
657 landscape. *Journal of Biogeography*, 40(12), 2335–2347. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12182>
- 658 Anagnostou, P., Montinaro, F., Sazzini, M., et al. (2022). From the Alps  
659 to the Mediterranean and beyond: Genetics, environment, culture  
660 and the “impossible beauty” of Italy. *Journal of Anthropological*  
661 *Sciences*, 100, 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.4436/jass10010>

Anderson, M. K. (2005). *Tending the wild: Native American knowledge*  
665 *and the management of California's natural resources*. Univer-  
666 sity of California Press.

Anonymous. (2021). Antichi mestieri in Toscana: il bigonai. Online  
667 blog post [https://blog-agricoltura.regione.toscana.it/-/antichi-  
668 mestieri-in-toscana-il-bigonai](https://blog-agricoltura.regione.toscana.it/-/antichi-mestieri-in-toscana-il-bigonai). Accessed March 2023. **AQ4** 669

Barbera, G., & Biasi, R. (2011). I paesaggi agrari tradizionali  
670 dell'albero: il significato moderno di forme d'uso del suolo del  
671 passato. *Review n. 14. Italus Hortus*, 18(2), 21–38. 672

Barbieri, C., Blasi, D. E., Arango-Isaza, E., et al. (2022). A global  
673 analysis of matches and mismatches between human genetic and  
674 linguistic histories. *PNAS*, 119(47), e2122084119. [https://doi.  
675 org/10.1073/pnas.2122084119](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2122084119) 676

Barthel, S., Crumley, C. L., & Svedin, U. (2013). Biocultural refugia:  
677 Combating the erosion of diversity in landscapes of food pro-  
678 duction. *Ecology and Society*, 18(4), 71. [https://doi.org/10.5751/  
679 ES-06207-180471](https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-06207-180471) 680

Beinart, W. (2014). Bio-invasions, biodiversity, and biocultural diversity:  
681 Some problems with these concepts for historians. In C. Mauch & L.  
682 Robin (Eds.), *The edges of environmental history: Honouring Jane*  
683 *Carruthers* (RCC perspectives) (Vol. 1, pp. 75–80). 684

Belletti, G., Ranaboldo, C., Scarpellini, P., et al. (2022). Redes y  
685 dinamización territorial, factores clave para la valorización sos-  
686 tenible e inclusiva del patrimonio biocultural rural: un análisis  
687 desde el territorio de Garfagnana (Italia). Chapter 4 in Bindi L  
688 (ed) *Bio-cultural Heritage and Communities of Practice*. Participatory  
689 Processes in Territorial Development as a multidisciplinary  
690 Fieldwork. Perspectives on Rural Development n. 6, Univer-  
691 sità del Salento. 311 p. <https://doi.org/10.1285/i26113775n6>  
692 693

Bellia, G., & Pieroni, A. (2015). Isolated, but transnational: The glo-  
694 cal nature of Waldensian ethnobotany, Western Alps, NW Italy.  
695 *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 11, 37. [https://doi.  
696 org/10.1186/s13002-015-0027-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-015-0027-1) 697

Belligiano, A., Bindi, L., & Ievoli, C. (2021). Walking along the Sheep-  
698 track . . . Rural tourism, Ecomuseums, and bio-cultural heritage.  
699 *Sustainability*, 13, 8870. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13168870> 700

Berkes, F. (2008). *Sacred ecology* (2nd ed.). Routledge. 701

Bindi, L. (2022a). Bio-cultural Heritage and Communities of Practice.  
702 Participatory Processes in Territorial Development as a Multi-  
703 disciplinary Fieldwork. pp. 15–31 in L. Bindi (Ed.), *Bio-cultural*  
704 *Heritage and Communities of Practice*. Participatory Processes  
705 in Territorial Development as a multidisciplinary Fieldwork. 706

Perspectives on Rural Development n. 6, Università del Salento. 707

Bindi, L. (2022b). Transhumance Is the New Black: Fragile Rangelands  
708 and Local Regeneration. Chapter 7 in L. Bindi (Ed.), *Grazing*  
709 *Communities: Pastoralism on the Move and Biocultural Heritage*  
710 *Frictions*. Environmental Anthropology and Ethnobiology Vol 29.  
711 Berghahn books, 314 p. <https://doi.org/10.3167/9781800734753> 712

Boattini, A., Bortolini, E., Bauer, R., et al. (2021). The surname struc-  
713 ture of Trentino (Italy) and its relationship with dialects and  
714 genes. *Annals of Human Biology*, 48(3), 260–269. [https://doi.  
715 org/10.1080/03014460.2021.1936635](https://doi.org/10.1080/03014460.2021.1936635) 716

Boattini, A., Griso, C., & Pettener, D. (2011). Are ethnic minori-  
717 ties synonymous for genetic isolates? Comparing Walser and  
718 romance populations in the upper Lys Valley (Western Alps).  
719 *Journal of Anthropological Sciences*, 89, 161–173. [https://doi.  
720 org/10.4436/jass.89014](https://doi.org/10.4436/jass.89014) 721

Boattini, A., Sarno, S., Fiorani, O., et al. (2018). Ripples on the sur-  
722 face. Surnames and genes in Sicily and southern Italy. *Annals of*  
723 *Human Biology*, 45, 57–65. [https://doi.org/10.1080/03014460.  
724 2017.1411525](https://doi.org/10.1080/03014460.2017.1411525) 725

Bracchetti, L., Carotenuto, L., & Catorci, A. (2012). Land-cover  
726 changes in a remote area of central Apennines (Italy) and  
727 728

- 729 management directions. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 104,  
730 157–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2011.09.005>
- 731 Brey, P. (2007). Theorizing the cultural quality of new media. *Technè*  
732 11(1). <https://scholar.lib.vt.edu/ejournals/SPT/v11n1/brey.html>. Accessed December 2022.
- 733 Bridgewater, P., & Rotherham, I. D. (2019). A critical perspective  
734 on the concept of biocultural diversity and its emerging role  
735 in nature and heritage conservation. *People and Nature*, 1,  
736 291–304. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10040>
- 737 Capocasa, M., Anagnostou, P., Bachis, V., et al. (2014). Linguis-  
738 tic, geographic and genetic isolation: A collaborative study of  
739 Italian populations. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences*, 92,  
740 201–231. <https://doi.org/10.4436/JASS.92001>
- 741 Capocasa, M., Battaglia, C., Anagnostou, P., et al. (2013). Detecting  
742 genetic isolation in human populations: A study of European  
743 language minorities. *PLoS One*, 8(2), e56371. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056371>
- 744 Carpinio, S., Mallila, S., La Terra, S., et al. (2004). Composition  
745 and aroma compounds of Ragusano cheese: Native pasture and  
746 Total mixed rations. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 87, 816–830.  
747 [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(04\)73226-9](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(04)73226-9)
- 748 Cavalli Sforza, L., & Menozzi, P. (1994). *The history and geography*  
749 *of human Genes*. Princeton University Press.
- 750 CBD. (1992). The Convention on Biological Diversity. Secretariat  
751 of the Convention on Biological Diversity. <https://www.cbd.int/convention>. Accessed December 2022.
- 752 CBD. (2016). Text of the Convention on Biological Diversity. Secre-  
753 tariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity. <https://www.cbd.int/convention/text>. Accessed December 2022.
- 754 CBD. (2023). Country profiles: Italy. <https://www.cbd.int/countries/profile/?country=it>. Accessed March 2023.
- 755 Chang, T. F. M., Iseppi, L., & Piccinini, L. C. (2010). Robust compar-  
756 ison between biocultural fingerprints in the Alpine-Adriatic  
757 area. *Agribusiness Paesaggio & Ambiente*, 13(1), 15–28.
- 758 Chaplin-Kramer, R., Neugarten, R. A., Sharp, R. P., et al. (2022).  
759 Mapping the planet's critical natural assets. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 7, 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01934-5>
- 760 Chauchard, S., Carcaillet, C., & Guibal, F. (2007). Patterns of land-  
761 use abandonment control tree-recruitment and forest dynamics  
762 in Mediterranean mountains. *Ecosystems*, 10, 936–948. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-007-9065-4>
- 763 Coia, V., Boschi, I., Trombetta, F., et al. (2012). Evidence of high  
764 genetic variation among linguistically diverse populations on a  
765 micro-geographic scale: A case study of the Italian Alps. *Journal of Human Genetics*, 57, 254–260. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jhg.2012.14>
- 766 Colombino, A., & Powers, J. J. (2022). Revisiting Transhumance from  
767 Stilfs, South Tyrol, Italy – The Everyday Diverse Economy of a  
768 Forgotten Alternative Food Network. Chapter 6 in Bindi L (ed)  
769 Grazing Communities: Pastoralism on the Move and Biocultural  
770 Heritage Frictions. Environmental Anthropology and Ethnobiology vol 29. Berghahn Books, 314 p. <https://doi.org/10.3167/9781800734753>
- 771 Comandini, O., Paulis, S., & Rinaldi, A. C. (2018). Sardinia: Mycovi-  
772 sions from a charming land. *Current Research in Environmental and Applied Mycology*, 8, 474–491. <https://doi.org/10.5943/cream/8/5/1>
- 773 Congedo, G. (2019). Nelle brughiere del Pratomagno rinascerà la  
774 lavorazione delle scope. Toscana Chianti Ambiente, online arti-  
775 cle: <https://www.toscanachiantiambiente.it/nelle-brughiere-del-pratomagno-rinascera-la-lavorazione-delle-scope/>. Accessed March 2023.
- 776 De Pasquale, G., & Livia, S. (2022). Biocultural diversity in the tradi-  
777 tional landscape of Vallecorsa. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 31, 2373–2396. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-022-02400-1>
- 778 Dessart, F. (1982). The Albanian ethnic groups in the world: An his-  
779 torical and cultural essay on the Albanian colonies in Italy. *East European Quarterly*, 4, 469–484.
- 780 Destro Bisol, G., Anagnostou, P., Batini, C., et al. (2008). Italian iso-  
781 lates today: Geographic and linguistic factors shaping human  
782 biodiversity. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences*, 86, 179–188.
- 783 Di Tizio, A., Łuczaj, Ł., Quave, C. L., Redžić, S., & Pieroni, A. (2012).  
784 Traditional food and herbal uses of wild plants in the ancient  
785 south-Slavic diaspora of Mundimitar/Montemitro (southern  
786 Italy). *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 8, 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-8-21>
- 787 Díaz, S., Demissew, S., Carabias, J., et al. (2015). The IPBES con-  
788 ceptual framework — Connecting nature and people. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 14, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2014.11.002>
- 789 Díaz, S., Pascual, U., Stenseke, M., et al. (2018). Assessing nature's  
790 contributions to people: Recognizing culture, and diverse sources  
791 of knowledge, can improve assessments. *Science*, 359(6373),  
792 270–273. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap8826>
- 793 Ellis, E. C. (2021). Land use and ecological change: A 12,000-year  
794 history. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 46, 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-012220-010822>
- 795 Faluccci, A., Maiorano, L., & Boitani, L. (2007). Changes in land-use/  
796 land-cover patterns in Italy and their implications for biodiversity  
797 conservation. *Landscape Ecology*, 22, 617–631. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-006-9056-4>
- 798 Farina, A. (2000). The cultural landscape as a model for the integration  
799 of ecology and economics. *BioScience*, 50(4), 313–320. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2000\)050\[0313:TCLAAM\]2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[0313:TCLAAM]2.3.CO;2)
- 800 Ferrara, V., & Wästfelt, A. (2021). Unpacking layers of space–time  
801 complexity in land–use dynamics. A case study from the olive  
802 Agrosystems of Sicily (Italy). *GI Forum*, 2, 108–121. [https://doi.org/10.1553/giscience2021\\_02\\_s108](https://doi.org/10.1553/giscience2021_02_s108)
- 803 Francescato, G., & Talamo, D. (2012). The Roman goddess care: A  
804 therapy for the planet. Chapter 12. In G. Pungetti, G. Oviedo, &  
805 D. Hooke (Eds.), *Sacred species and sites: Advances in biocultural conservation* (pp. 178–191). Cambridge University Press.
- 806 <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139030717.018>
- 807 Franco, F. M. (2022). Ecocultural or biocultural? Towards appropriate  
808 terminologies in biocultural diversity. *Biology*, 11, 207. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11020207>
- 809 Frank, B. (2011). *Biocultural diversity in Europe: A literature review of selected projects* (p. 68). Masterarbeit, Universität für Bodenkultur Wien, Department für Nachhaltige Agrarsysteme, Institut für ökologischen Landbau.
- 810 Frascaroli, F. (2013). Catholicism and conservation: The potential  
811 of sacred natural sites for biodiversity management in Central  
812 Italy. *Human Ecology*, 41, 587–601. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-013-9598-4>
- 813 Frascaroli, F. (2016). Shepherds, rituals, and the sacred. *Worldviews*, 20(3), 272–285. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685357-02003005>
- 814 Frascaroli, F., Bhagwat, S., Guarino, R., et al. (2016). Shrines in Cen-  
815 tral Italy conserve plant diversity and large trees. *Ambio*, 45,  
816 468–479. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-015-0738-5>
- 817 Frascaroli, F., & Verschuuren, B. (2016). Linking biocultural diversity  
818 and sacred sites: Evidence and recommendations in the European  
819 framework. pp. 389–417 in M. Agnoletti, & F. Emanuelli (Eds.),  
820 *Biocultural Diversity in Europe*. Springer, 537 p. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26315-1>
- 821 Frascaroli, F., Zanninia, P., Acosta, A. T. R., et al. (2019). Sacred  
822 natural sites in Italy have landscape characteristics complemen-  
823 tary to protected areas: Implications for policy and planning. *Applied Geography*, 113, 102100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2019.102100>
- 824 Garbarino, M., Lingua, E., Subirà, M. M., & Motta, R. (2010).  
825 The larch wood pasture: Structure and dynamics of a cultural  
826 landscape

- 861 landscape. *European Journal of Forest Research*, 130, 491–  
862 502. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-010-0437-5>
- 863 Gardapost. (2021). I pini di Armo: la storia incisa nella corteccia.  
864 Online article: [https://www.gardapost.it/2015/11/02/i-pini-di-  
865 armo-la-storia-incisa-nella-corteccia](https://www.gardapost.it/2015/11/02/i-pini-di-armo-la-storia-incisa-nella-corteccia). Accessed March 2023.
- 866 Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana. (1999). *Legge 15 Dicembre*  
867 *1999, n. 482. Norme in Maniera di Tutela della Minoranze Linguistiche*. Ufficio Poligrafico della Zecca.
- 868 Genes, L., Svenning, J., Pires, A. S., & Fernandez, F. A. S. (2019).  
869 Why we should let rewilding be wild and biodiverse. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 28(5), 1285–1289. [https://doi.org/  
870 10.1007/s10531-019-01707-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-019-01707-w)
- 871 Genovese, D., Ostellino, I., & Battaglini, L. M. (2022). The Conflict of  
872 Itinerant Pastoralism in the Piedmont Po Plain (Collina Po  
873 Biosphere Reserve, Italy). Chapter 2 in Bindi L (ed) *Grazing  
874 Communities: Pastoralism on the Move and Biocultural Heritage  
875 Frictions*. Environmental Anthropology and Ethnobiology vol 29.  
876 Berghahn Books, p. 314. <https://doi.org/10.3167/9781800734753>
- 877 Geri, F., Rocchini, D., & Chiarucci, A. (2010). Landscape metrics  
878 and topographical determinants of large-scale forest dynamics  
879 in a Mediterranean landscape. *Landscape and Urban Planning*,  
880 95(1/2), 46–53. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.  
881 landurbplan.2009.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2009.12.001)
- 882 Ghirardini, M. P., Carli, M., del Vecchio, N., et al. (2007). The  
883 importance of a taste. A comparative study on wild food plant  
884 consumption in twenty-one local communities in Italy. *Journal  
885 of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 3, 22. [https://doi.org/10.  
886 1186/1746-4269-3-22](https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-3-22)
- 887 AQS Giusti, M. E., Nebel, S., & Pieroni, A. (2002). Erbe e percezione del  
888 sapore tra gli Arbëreshë del Vulture in Lucania. *La Ricerca  
889 Folklorica*, 45, 29–41.
- 890 Gorenflo, L. J., Romaine, S., Mittermeier, R. A., et al. (2012). Co-  
891 occurrence of linguistic and biological diversity in biodiversity  
892 hotspots and high biodiversity wilderness areas. *Proceedings of  
893 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,  
894 109, 8032–8037. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117511109>
- 895 Guarrera, P. M. (2005). Traditional phytotherapy in Central Italy  
896 (Marche, Abruzzo, and Latium). *Fitoterapia*, 76(1), 1–25. [https://  
897 doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2004.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2004.09.006)
- 898 Guarrera, P. M. (2006). Usi e tradizioni della flora italiana. Medicina  
899 popolare e etnobotanica. Aracne Editrice S.r.l., Rome. p. 433.
- 900 Guarrera, P. M., & Lucia, L. M. (2007). Ethnobotanical remarks on  
901 central and southern Italy. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethno-  
902 medicine*, 3(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-3-23>
- 903 Hearn, R., & Dossche, R. (2016). Apicultural Spaces as Biocultural Places:  
904 A Comparative Temporal and Spatial Examination of Beekeeping  
905 Practices and Their Contextual Landscapes in the Northwest Apen-  
906 nines. pp. 123–139 in M. Agnoletti, & F. Emanuelli (Eds.), *Biocul-  
907 tural Diversity in Europe*. Springer, p. 537. [https://doi.org/10.1007/  
908 978-3-319-26315-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26315-1)
- 909 Hernández-Morcillo, M., Hoberg, J., Oteros-Rozas, E., et al. (2014).  
910 Traditional ecological knowledge in Europe: Status quo and  
911 insights for the environmental policy Agend. *Environment:  
912 Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, 56(1), 3–17.  
913 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00139157.2014.861673>
- 914 Honkola, T., Ruokolainen, K., Syrjänen, K. J. J., et al. (2018). Evolution  
915 within a language: Environmental differences contribute to  
916 divergence of dialect groups. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 18,  
917 132. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-018-1238-6>
- 918 IPBES. (2019). Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem  
919 services of the intergovernmental science-policy platform on  
920 biodiversity and ecosystem services. *Zenodo*. [https://doi.org/  
921 10.5281/zenodo.6417333](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6417333)
- 922 ISE (International Society of Ethnobiology). (1988). Declaration of  
923 Belém. [http://www.ethnobiology.net/what-we-do/core-programs/  
924 global-coalition-2/declaration-of-belem](http://www.ethnobiology.net/what-we-do/core-programs/global-coalition-2/declaration-of-belem). Accessed March 2023.
- Iseppi, L., Chang, T. F. M., & Droli, M. (2015). Lombardy and Veneto  
925 biocultural fingerprint: A driving force for tourism and residen-  
926 tial attraction. *Proceeding of the International Scientific Con-  
927 ference*, 2, 353–364.
- Lachat, C., Raneri, J. E., Walker, K., et al. (2018). Dietary species  
928 richness as a measure of food biodiversity and nutritional quality  
929 of diets. *PNAS*, 115(1), 127–132. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.  
930 1709194115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1709194115)
- Lelli, C., Nascimbene, J., Alberti, D., et al. (2021). Long-term changes  
931 in Italian mountain forests detected by resurvey of historical  
932 vegetation data. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 32(1), e12939.  
933 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12939>
- Leporatti, M. L., & Ghedira, K. (2009). Comparative analysis of medic-  
934 inal plants used in traditional medicine in Italy and Tunisia. *Journal  
935 of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 5(1), 1–8. [https://doi.  
936 org/10.1186/1746-4269-5-31](https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-5-31)
- Leporatti, M. L., & Ivancheva, S. (2003). Preliminary comparative  
937 analysis of medicinal plants used in the traditional medicine of  
938 Bulgaria and Italy. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 87, 123–142.  
939 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-8741\(03\)00047-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-8741(03)00047-3)
- Loh, J., & Harmon, D. (2005). A global index of biocultural diversity.  
940 *Ecological Indicators*, 5, 231–241. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.  
941 ecolind.2005.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2005.02.005)
- Lozej, S. L. (2022). Mountain pasture in Friuli (Italy): Past and pre-  
942 sent. Ch. 10 in L. Bindi (Ed.), *Grazing Communities: Pastoralism  
943 on the Move and Biocultural Heritage Frictions*. Environmental  
944 Anthropology and Ethnobiology vol 29. Berghahn Books, p. 314.  
945 <https://doi.org/10.3167/9781800734753>
- MA [Millennium Ecosystem Assessment]. (2003). *Ecosystems and  
946 human well-being: A framework for assessment*. Island Press.
- MA [Millennium Ecosystem Assessment]. (2005). *Ecosystems and  
947 human well-being: Synthesis*. Island Press.
- Maffi, L. (2005). Linguistic, cultural, and biological diversity. *Annual  
948 Review of Anthropology*, 34(1), 599–617. [https://doi.org/10.  
949 1146/annurev.anthro.34.081804.120437](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.34.081804.120437)
- Maffi, L. (2007). Biocultural Diversity and Sustainability. Chapter 18,  
950 pages 267–277. In: J. Pretty et al. (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of  
951 Environment and Society*. Sage Publications, 2007.
- Maffi, L. (2018). Biocultural diversity. In H. Callan (Ed.), *The interna-  
952 tional encyclopedia of anthropology*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd..  
953 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118924396.wbiea1797>
- Malandra, F., Vitali, A., Urbinati, C., & Garbarino, M. (2018). 70 years  
954 of land use land cover changes in the Apennines (Italy): A Meta-  
955 analysis. *Forests*, 9, 551. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f9090551>
- Marchesini, C., & Parbuono, D. (2022). TrasiMemo. Banca della  
956 memoria del Trasimeno. pp. 67–89 in Bindi L (ed) *Bio-cultural  
957 Heritage and Communities of Practice. Participatory Processes  
958 in Territorial Development as a multidisciplinary Fieldwork. Per-  
959 spectives on Rural Development n. 6*. Università del Salento. p.  
960 311. <https://doi.org/10.1285/i26113775n6>
- Marchetti, M., Vizzarri, M., Sallustio, L., et al. (2018). Behind forest  
961 cover changes is natural regrowth supporting landscape resto-  
962 ration findings from Central Italy. *Plant Biosystems*, 152(3),  
963 524–535. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2018.1435585>
- Massimini, F., & Delle Fave, A. (2000). Individual development in a  
964 bio-cultural perspective. *American Psychologist*, 55, 24–33.  
965 <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.24>
- Mattalia, G., Quave, C. L., & Pieroni, A. (2013). Traditional uses  
966 of wild food and medicinal plants among Brigasc, Kyé, and  
967 Provençal communities on the Western Italian Alps. *Genetic  
968 Resources and Crop Evolution*, 60, 587–603. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-012-9859-x>
- Mattalia, G., Soukand, R., Corvo, P., & Pieroni, A. (2020a). Dissym-  
969 metry at the border: Wild food and medicinal ethnobotany of  
970 Slovenes and Friulians in NE Italy. *Economic Botany*, 74, 1–14.  
971 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12231-020-09488-y>

- 993 Mattalia, G., Sōukand, R., Corvo, P., & Pieroni, A. (2020b). Blended  
994 divergences: Local food and medicinal plant uses among  
995 Arbëreshë, Occitans, and autochthonous Calabrians living in  
996 Calabria, southern Italy. *Plant Biosystems*, 154, 615–626. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2019.1651786>
- 997  
998 Maxia, A., Lancioni, M. C., Balia, A. N., et al. (2007). Medical ethno-  
999 botany of the Tabarkins, a northern Italian (Ligurian) minority in  
1000 South-Western Sardinia. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*,  
1001 55, 911–924. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-007-9296-4>
- 1002 Merola, M. (2021). The value of the technological footprint on the  
1003 territory in bio-cultural landscapes: The terraces of the Amalfi  
1004 coast. *Sustainable Mediterranean Construction*, 6, 110–125.
- 1005 Mikołajczak, K. M., Jones, N., Sandom, C. J., et al. (2022).  
1006 Rewilding—The farmers’ perspective. Perceptions and attitudinal  
1007 support for rewilding among the English farming community.  
1008 *People and Nature*, 4(6), 1435–1449. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10376>
- 1009  
1010 Milton, K. (1998). Nature and the environment in indigenous and tradi-  
1011 tional cultures. In D. E. Cooper & J. A. Palmer (Eds.), *Spirit*  
1012 *of the environment: Religion, value and environmental concern*  
1013 (pp. 86–99). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203696262>
- 1014 Mohamad, R. S., Bteich, M. R., Cardone, G., & Marchini, A. (2013).  
1015 Economic analysis in organic olive farms: The case of the ancient  
1016 olive trees in the rural parkland in Apulia. *New Medit*, 4, 55–61.
- 1017 Monari, S., Ferri, M., Salinitro, M., & Tassoni, A. (2022). Ethnobotani-  
1018 cal review and dataset compiling on Wild and cultivated plants  
1019 traditionally used as medicinal remedies in Italy. *Plants*, 11,  
1020 2041. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11152041>
- 1021 Moral, P., Moragna, G., Salis, M., Succa, V., & Vona, G. (1994).  
1022 Genetic data on Alghero population (Sardinia): Contrast  
1023 between biological and cultural evidence. *American Journal of*  
1024 *Physical Anthropology*, 93, 441–453. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330930405>
- 1025  
1026 Motta, R., & Lingua, E. (2005). Human impact on size, age, and spa-  
1027 tial structure in a mixed European larch and Swiss stone pine  
1028 forest in the Western Italian Alps. *Canadian Journal of Forest*  
1029 *Research*, 35, 1809–1820. <https://doi.org/10.1139/X05-107>
- 1030 Motti, R. (2021). Wild plants used as herbs and spices in Italy: An  
1031 ethnobotanical review. *Plants*, 10, 563. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10030563>
- 1032  
1033 Motti, R., Bonanomi, G., & de Falco, B. (2022). Wild and cultivated  
1034 plants used in traditional alcoholic beverages in Italy: An eth-  
1035 nobotanical review. *European Food Research and Technology*,  
1036 248, 1089–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-021-03948-y>
- 1037 Motti, R., Bonanomi, G., Emrick, S., & Lanzotti, V. (2019). Tradi-  
1038 tional herbal remedies used in women’s health Care in Italy: A  
1039 review. *Human Ecology*, 47, 941–972. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-019-00125-4>
- 1040  
1041 Motti, R., & deFalco, B. (2021). Traditional herbal remedies used for  
1042 managing anxiety and insomnia in Italy: An Ethnopharmacolo-  
1043 gical overview. *Horticulturae*, 7, 523. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7120523>
- 1044  
1045 Motti, R., Ippolito, F., & Bonanomi, G. (2018). Folk Phytotherapy  
1046 in Paediatric health Care in Central and Southern Italy: A  
1047 review. *Human Ecology*, 46, 573–585. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-018-0005-z>
- 1048  
1049 Nakashima, D. J., Galloway McLean, K., et al. (2012). *Weathering*  
1050 *uncertainty: Traditional knowledge for climate change assess-*  
1051 *ment and adaptation*. UNESCO and Darwin, UNU.
- 1052 Nascimbene, J., Di Cecco, V., Di Martino, L., et al. (2019). Epiphytic  
1053 lichens of the sacred natural site “Bosco di Sant’Antonio”  
1054 (Majella National Park – Abruzzo). *Italian Botanist*, 7, 149–156.  
1055 <https://doi.org/10.3897/italianbotanist.7.34639>
- 1056  
1057 Navarro, L. M., & Pereira, H. M. (2012). Rewilding abandoned land-  
1058 scapes in Europe. *Ecosystems*, 15(6), 900–912. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-012-9558-7>
- Nebel, S., Pieroni, A., & Heinrich, M. (2006). Ta chòrta: Wild  
edible greens used in the Graecanic area in Calabria, southern  
Italy. *Appetite*, 47, 333–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2006.05.010>
- Nogués-Bravo, D., Simberloff, D., Rahbek, C., & Sanders, N. J.  
(2016). Rewilding is the new Pandora’s box in conservation.  
*Current Biology*, 26(3), R87–R91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.044>
- Nori, M., & De Marchi, V. (2015). Pastorizia, biodiversità e la sfida  
dell’immigrazione: il caso del Triveneto. *Culture Della Sosteni-*  
*bilità*, 8(15), 78–101. <https://doi.org/10.7402/CdS.15.073>
- Novellino, D. (2006). An account of basket weaving and the use of  
fibre plants in the Mount Aurunci Regional Park (Central Italy).  
Proceedings of the IVth International Congress of Ethnobotany  
(ICEB 2005): 1–10.
- O’Conner, L. M. J., Pollock, L. J., Renaud, J., et al. (2021). Balanc-  
ing conservation priorities for nature and for people in Europe.  
*Science*, 372, 856–860. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc4896>
- Redazione Toscana Oggi. (2015). Le foreste dei monaci e dei frati.  
Online article: <https://www.toscanaoggi.it/Cultura-Societa/Le-foreste-dei-monaci-e-dei-frati>. Accessed March 2023.
- Ojeda, J., Salomon, A. K., Rowe, J. K., & Ban, N. C. (2022). Recip-  
rocal contributions between people and nature: A conceptual  
intervention. *BioScience*, 72, 952–962. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biac053>
- Palombo, C., Chirici, G., Marchetti, M., & Tognetti, R. (2013). Is  
land abandonment affecting forest dynamics at high elevation  
in Mediterranean mountains more than climate change? *Plant*  
*Biosystems*, 147(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2013.772081>
- Pascual, U., Balvanera, P., Díaz, S., et al. (2017). Valuing nature’s  
contributions to people: The IPBES approach. *Current Opinion*  
*in Environmental Sustainability*, 26–27, 7–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2016.12.006>
- Patsiurko, N., Campbell, J. L., & Hall, J. A. (2012). Measuring cultural  
diversity: Ethnic, linguistic and religious fractionalization in the  
OECD. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 35(2), 195–217. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2011.579136>
- Paura, B., Di Marzio, P., Salerno, G., Brugiapaglia, E., & Bufano,  
A. (2021). Design a database of Italian vascular alimurgic flora  
(AlimurgITA): Preliminary results. *Plants*, 10, 743. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10040743>
- Pérez-Moreno, J., Guerin-Laguette, A., Rinaldi, A. C., et al. (2020).  
Edible mycorrhizal fungi of the world: What is their role in forest  
sustainability, food security, biocultural conservation and climate  
change? *Plants People Planet*, 3, 471–490. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10199>
- Perino, A., Pereira, H. M., Navarro, L. M., et al. (2019). Rewilding  
complex ecosystems. *Science*, 364(6438), eaav5570. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav5570>
- Pieroni, A. (2001). Evaluation of the cultural significance of wild food  
botanicals traditionally consumed in northwestern Tuscany, Italy.  
*Journal of Ethnobiology*, 21(1), 89–104.
- Pieroni, A. (2003). Wild food plants and Arbëresh women in Lucania,  
southern Italy. In P. L. Howard (Ed.), *Women and plants: Gen-*  
*der relations in biodiversity management and conservation* (pp.  
66–82). The University of Chicago Press.
- Pieroni, A., & Cattero, V. (2019). Wild vegetables do not lie: Com-  
parative gastronomic ethnobotany and ethno-linguistics on the  
Greek traces of the Mediterranean diet of southeastern Italy. *Acta*  
*Botanica Brasilica*, 33, 198–211. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-33062018abb0323>
- Pieroni, A., Howard, P., Volpato, G., & Santoro, R. F. (2004). Natural  
remedies and nutraceuticals used in Ethnoveterinary practices  
in inland southern Italy. *Veterinary Research Communications*,  
28, 55–80.

- 1125 Pieroni, A., Nebel, S., Quave, C. L., et al. (2002a). Ethnopharmacology  
1126 of *liakra*: Traditional weedy vegetables of the Arbëreshë of the  
1127 culture area in southern Italy. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*,  
1128 *81*(2), 165–185. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-8741\(02\)00052-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-8741(02)00052-1)
- 1129 Pieroni, A., & Quave, C. L. (2005). Traditional pharmacopoeias and  
1130 medicines among Albanians and Italians in southern Italy: A  
1131 comparison. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, *101*, 258–270.  
1132 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2005.04.028>
- 1133 Pieroni, A., Quave, C. L., Nebel, S., & Heinrich, M. (2002b). Ethnop-  
1134 harmacy of the ethnic Albanians (Arbëreshë) of northern Basili-  
1135 cata, Italy. *Fitoterapia*, *73*(3), 217–241. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0367-326x\(02\)00063-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0367-326x(02)00063-1)
- 1136 Pilgrim, S. E., Cullen, L. C., Smith, D. J., & Pretty, J. (2008). Ecologi-  
1137 cal knowledge is lost in wealthier communities and countries.  
1138 *Environmental Science & Technology*, *42*(4), 1004–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es070837v>
- 1139 Pinheiro, R. O., de Paula, L. F. A., & Giardino, M. (2022). Agricul-  
1140 tural heritage: Contrasting national and international programs  
1141 in Brazil and Italy. *Sustainability*, *14*, 6401. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14116401>
- 1142 Pollegioni, P., Del Lungo, S., Müller, R., et al. (2020). Biocultural  
1143 diversity of common walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) and sweet chest-  
1144 nut (*Castanea sativa* mill.) across Eurasia. *Ecology and Evolu-  
1145 tion*, *10*, 11192–11216. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6761>
- 1146 Posey, D. A. (1999). *Cultural and spiritual values of biodiversity* (p.  
1147 731). United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).
- 1148 Povinelli, I., Dallapè, C., Baratter, L., & Raffaetà, R. (2022). Alla  
1149 ricerca della cultura: valorizzare la cultura come fattore di svi-  
1150 luppo delle comunità rurali del Trentino. pp. 233–251 in L. Bindi  
1151 (Ed.), *Bio-cultural Heritage and Communities of Practice. Partic-  
1152 ipatory Processes in Territorial Development as a multidisciplinary  
1153 Fieldwork. Perspectives on Rural Development n. 6*, Università del  
1154 Salento. 311 p. <https://doi.org/10.1285/i26113775n6>
- 1155 Povolò, M., Pelizzola, V., Lombardi, G., et al. (2012). Hydrocarbon  
1156 and fatty acid composition of cheese as affected by the pasture  
1157 vegetation type. *Journal of Agricultural Food and Chemistry*, *60*,  
1158 299–308. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf203802y>
- 1159 Pretty, J., Adams, B., Berkes, F., et al. (2009). The intersections of  
1160 biological diversity and cultural diversity: Towards integration.  
1161 *Conservation and Society*, *7*(2), 100–112. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-4923.58642>
- 1162 Pungetti, G. (2013). Biocultural diversity for sustainable ecological,  
1163 cultural and sacred landscapes: The Biocultural Landscape  
1164 Approach. Chapter 4 (pp. 55–76) In B. Lu, K. B. Jones (Eds.),  
1165 *Landscape Ecology for Sustainable Environment and Culture*.  
1166 Springer, p. 368.
- 1167 Pyle, R. M. (1993). *The thunder tree. Lessons from an urban wildland*.  
1168 Oregon State University Press.
- 1169 Quave, C. L., Lohani, U., Verde, A., et al. (2010). A comparative  
1170 assessment of zootherapeutic remedies from selected areas in  
1171 Albania, Italy, Spain and Nepal. *Journal of Ethnobiology*, *30*(1),  
1172 92–125. <https://doi.org/10.2993/0278-0771-30.1.92>
- 1173 Quave, C. L., Pardo-de-Santayana, M., & Pieroni, A. (2012). Medi-  
1174 cal ethnobotany in Europe: From field ethnography to a more  
1175 culturally sensitive evidence-based CAM? *Evidence-based Com-  
1176 plementary and Alternative Medicine*, *2012*, 156846. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/156846>
- 1177 Rao, R. (2013). Una civiltà del castagno: uomini e boschi  
1178 nell'Appennino ligure-piemontese durante l'apogeo del medio-  
1179 evo (secoli XII - metà XIV). *Archivio Storico Italiano*, *171*(2),  
1180 207–228. <https://doi.org/10.2307/26226196>
- 1181 Raveane, A., Aneli, S., Montinaro, F., et al. (2019). Population structure  
1182 of modern-day Italians reveals patterns of ancient and  
1183 archaic ancestries in southern Europe. *Science Advances*, *5*,  
1184 eaaw3492. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw3492>
- 1185 Reyes-García, V., Aceituno-Mata, L., Calvet-Mir, L., et al. (2014).  
1186 Resilience of traditional knowledge systems: The case of agri-  
1187 cultural knowledge in home gardens of the Iberian Peninsula.  
1188 *Global Environmental Change*, *24*, 223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.11.022>
- 1189 Reyes-García, V., Cámara-Leret, R., Halpern, B. S., et al. (2023).  
1190 Biocultural vulnerability exposes threats of culturally important  
1191 species. *PNAS*, *120*(2), e2217303120. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2217303120>
- 1192 Reyes-García, V., Menendez-Baceta, G., Aceituno-Mata, L., et al.  
1193 (2015). From famine foods to delicatessen: Interpreting trends  
1194 in the use of wild edible plants through cultural ecosystem ser-  
1195 vices. *Ecological Economics*, *120*, 303–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.11.003>
- 1196 Reyes-Valdés, M. H., & Kantartzis, S. K. (2020). An information theory  
1197 approach to biocultural complexity. *Scientific Reports*, *10*, 7203.  
1198 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64260-5>
- 1199 Riva, G., Waterworth, J. A., & Waterworth, E. L. (2004). The layers of  
1200 presence: A bio-cultural approach to understanding presence in  
1201 natural and mediated environments. *Cyberpsychology & Behav-  
1202 ior*, *7*(4), 405–419. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cpb.2004.7.402>
- 1203 Robledo, R., Corrias, L., Bachis, V., et al. (2012). Analysis of a genetic  
1204 isolate: The case of Carloforte (Italy). *Human Biology*, *84*(6),  
1205 735–754. <https://doi.org/10.3378/027.084.0602>
- 1206 Romano, R. (2010). Il Codice forestale camaldolese: le radici della  
1207 sostenibilità. *Agriregionieuropa*, *6*, 21.
- 1208 Rozzi, R. (1999). The reciprocal links between evolutionary-ecological  
1209 sciences and environment ethics. *BioScience*, *49*(11), 911–921.  
1210 <https://doi.org/10.2307/1313650>
- 1211 Sardaro, R., & La Sala, P. (2021). New value to wool: Innovative gar-  
1212 ments for preservation of sheep landraces in Italy. *Animals*, *11*,  
1213 731. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11030731>
- 1214 Sarno, S., Agostini, R. B., De Fanti, S., et al. (2021a). Y-chromosome  
1215 variability and genetic history of commons from northern Italy.  
1216 *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, *175*, 665–679.  
1217 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.24302>
- 1218 Sarno, S., Boattini, A., Pagai, L., et al. (2017). Ancient and recent  
1219 admixture layers in Sicily and southern Italy trace multiple  
1220 migration routes along the Mediterranean. *Scientific Reports*, *7*,  
1221 1984. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01802-4>
- 1222 Sarno, S., Petrilli, R., Abondio, P., et al. (2021b). Genetic history of  
1223 Calabrian Greeks reveals ancient events and long-term isolation  
1224 in the Aspromonte area of southern Italy. *Scientific Reports*, *11*,  
1225 3045. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82591-9>
- 1226 Sazzini, M., Abondio, P., Sarno, S., et al. (2020). Genomic history of  
1227 the Italian population recapitulates key evolutionary dynamics  
1228 of both continental and southern Europeans. *BMC Biology*, *18*,  
1229 51. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-020-00778-4>
- 1230 Schulte to Bühne, H., Pettorelli, N., & Hoffmann, M. (2022). The pol-  
1231 icy consequences of defining rewilding. *Ambio*, *51*(1), 93–102.  
1232 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-021-01560-8>
- 1233 Schulze, E. D., Mischi, G., Asche, G., & Börner, A. (2007). Land-use  
1234 history and succession of *Larix decidua* in the southern Alps of  
1235 Italy—an essay based on a cultural history study of *Roswitha* Asche.  
1236 *Flora*, *202*, 705–713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2007.05.003>
- 1237 Seele, B. C., Esler, K. J., & Cunningham, A. B. (2019). Biocultural  
1238 diversity: A Mongolian case study. *Ecology and Society*, *24*(4),  
1239 27. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-11207-240427>
- 1240 Serafini, I. (2011). Riscoprire gli antichi mestieri. La fabbricazione tradizio-  
1241 nale di scope in saggina. Online article, <http://www.altovastese.it/cultura/riscoprire-gli-antichi-mestieri-la-fabbricazione-tradizionale-di-scope-in-saggina/>. Accessed March 2023.
- 1242 Skutnabb-Kangas, T., & Harmon, D. (2017). Biological diversity and  
1243 language diversity. *Routledge Handbooks Online*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315687391.ch1>

- 1255 Soga, M., & Gaston, K. J. (2016). Extinction of experience: The loss  
1256 of human–nature interactions. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Envi-*  
1257 *ronment*, 14(2), 94–101. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1225>  
1258 Stephens, L., Fuller, D., Boivin, N., et al. (2019). Archaeological  
1259 assessment reveals Earth’s early transformation through land use.  
1260 *Science*, 365(6456), 897–902. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax1192>  
1261 Tagarelli, A., Piro, A., Tagarelli, G., et al. (2005). Genetic charac-  
1262 terization of the historical Albanian ethnic minority of Calabria  
1263 (southern Italy). *Human Biology*, 77(1), 45–60 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41466304>  
1264 Taylor, K., & Lennon, J. (2011). Cultural landscapes: A bridge between  
1265 culture and nature? *International Journal of Heritage Studies*,  
1266 17(6), 537–554. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13527258.2011.618246>  
1267 Trivisonno. (2022). Voci del Molise. Anthropological perspectives for  
1268 participatory development. pp. 191–207 in Bindi L (ed) *Bio-*  
1269 *cultural Heritage and Communities of Practice. Participatory*  
1270 *Processes in Territorial Development as a multidisciplinary*  
1271 *Fieldwork. Perspectives on Rural Development* n. 6, Università  
1272 del Salento. 311 p. <https://doi.org/10.1285/i26113775n6>  
1273 Troiano, C., Buglione, M., Petrelli, S., et al. (2021). Traditional free-  
1274 ranging livestock farming as a management strategy for biological  
1275 and cultural landscape diversity – A case from the southern  
1276 Apennines. *Land*, 10, 957. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land10090957>  
1277 UNESCO. (2001). Universal Declaration on Cultural Diversity. Available  
1278 Online: <https://en.unesco.org/about-us/legal-affairs/unesco-universal-declaration-cultural-diversity>. Accessed December 2022.  
1279 UNESCO. (2019). Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of  
1280 the World Heritage Convention. UNESCO World Heritage Centre.  
1281 Paris. Available Online: <https://whc.unesco.org/en/guidelines>.  
1282 Accessed December 2022.  
1283 Vacchiano, G., Garbarino, M., Lingua, E., & Motta, R. (2017). For-  
1284 est dynamics and disturbance regimes in the Italian Apennines.  
1285 *Forest Ecology and Management*, 388, 57–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.10.033>  
1286 Venturi, M., Piras, F., Corrieri, F., et al. (2021). The multifunctional  
1287 role of linear features in traditional silvopastoral systems: The  
1288 sabana de morro in Dolores (El Salvador) and the pastures with  
1289 carob trees in Ragusa (Italy). *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 31,  
1290 2315–2327. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-021-02220-9>  
1291 Vogiatzakis, I. N., Griffiths, G. H., & Bacchetta, G. (2005). Human  
1292 impacts on *Quercus suber* habitats in Sardinia: Past and present.  
1293 *Botanika Chronika*, 18(1), 293–300.  
1294  
1295 von Glasenapp, M., & Thornton, T. F. (2011). Traditional ecological  
1296 knowledge of Swiss Alpine farmers and their resilience to socio-  
1297 ecological change. *Human Ecology*, 39(6), 769–781. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-011-9427-6>  
1298 Wild, R., & McLeod, C. (2008). IUCN world commission on pro-  
1299 tected areas task force on cultural and spiritual values of  
1300 protected areas and UNESCO Programme on man and the  
1301 biosphere. In *Sacred natural sites: Guidelines for protected*  
1302 *area managers*. IUCN, UNESCO.  
1303 Wiley, A. S., & Cullin, J. M. (2016). What do anthropologists mean  
1304 when they use the term biocultural? *American Anthropologist*,  
1305 118(3), 554–569. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aman.12608>  
1306 Zannini, P., Frascaroli, F., Nascimbene, J., et al. (2021). Sacred natural  
1307 sites and biodiversity conservation: A systematic review. *Bio-*  
1308 *diversity and Conservation*, 30, 3747–3762. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-021-02296-3>  
1309 Zannini, P., Frascaroli, F., Nascimbene, J., et al. (2022). Investigating  
1310 sacred natural sites and protected areas for forest area changes in  
1311 Italy. *Conservation Science and Practice*, 2022, e12695. <https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.12695>  
1312 Zent, S., & Maffi, L. (2009). Final report on Indicator no. 2: Methodol-  
1313 ogy for developing a vitality index of traditional environmental  
1314 knowledge (VITEK) for the project “global indicators of the status  
1315 and trends of linguistic diversity and traditional knowledge”.  
1316 *Terralingua*, 1–112.  
1317 Zent, S., & Zent, E. (2013). Processual perspectives on traditional  
1318 environmental knowledge continuity, Erosion, transformation,  
1319 innovation. In R. Ellen, S. J. Lycett, & S. E. Johns (Eds.), *Under-*  
1320 *standing cultural transmission in anthropology: A critical syn-*  
1321 *thesis*. Berghahn Books.  
1322  
1323 **Publisher’s Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to  
1324 jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.  
1325  
1326 Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds  
1327 exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the  
1328 author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted  
1329 manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of  
1330 such publishing agreement and applicable law.  
1331  
1332  
1333  
1334



|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| Journal: | <b>10745</b> |
| Article: | <b>455</b>   |

## Author Query Form

**Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections**

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

| Query               | Details Required   | Author's Response |
|---------------------|--|-------------------|
| <a href="#">AQ1</a> | Please check if the Affiliations "1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8" are presented correctly.   |                   |
| <a href="#">AQ2</a> | Please check if the section headings are assigned to appropriate levels.   |                   |
| <a href="#">AQ3</a> | Reference [UN Environment 2019] was mentioned in the manuscript; however, this was not included in the reference list. As a rule, all mentioned references should be present in the reference list. Please provide the reference details to be inserted in the reference list. |                   |
| <a href="#">AQ4</a> | Please provide complete bibliographic details of Reference Anonymous (2021).   |                   |
| <a href="#">AQ5</a> | Reference [Giusti et al., 2002] was provided in the reference list; however, this was not mentioned or cited in the manuscript. As a rule, all references given in the list of references should be cited in the main body. Please provide its citation in the body text.      |                   |