

1       **Association of Veterinary Hematology and Transfusion Medicine (AVHTM) Transfusion**

2       **Reaction Small Animal Consensus Statement (TRACS) Part Two: Prevention and Monitoring**

3  
4       Elizabeth B. Davidow, DVM DACVECC, Veterinary Clinical Sciences, Washington State University,  
5       Pullman, WA

6       Shauna Blois, BSc DVM DVSc DACVIM, Ontario Veterinary College, University of Guelph, Canada

7       Isabelle Goy-Thollot, MSc PhD DECVECC, University of Lyon, France

8       Lauren Harris, DVM DACVECC, BluePearl Veterinary Partners, Tampa, Florida

9       Karen Humm, MA VetMB MSc CertVA DACVECC DECVECC FHEA MRCVS, Department of Clinical  
10       Science and Services, The Royal Veterinary College, London, England

11       Sarah Musulin, DVM DACVECC, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University,  
12       Raleigh, NC

13       Katherine J Nash, BVSc MS DACVECC, VetMED Emergency and Specialty Veterinary Hospital,  
14       Phoenix, AZ

15       Adesola Odunayo, DVM MS DACVECC, Small Animal Clinical Sciences, University of Tennessee,  
16       Knoxville, TN

17       Claire R. Sharp, BSc BVMS MS DACVECC, School of Veterinary Medicine, Murdoch University,  
18       Perth, Australia

19       Eva Spada, DMV PhD, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Milan, Lodi, Italy

20       John Thomason, DVM MS DACVIM, Department of Clinical Sciences, Mississippi State University,  
21       Mississippi State, MS

22       Jenny Walton, BVM&S MRCVS, Bodevet, Rockville, MD

23       K. Jane Wardrop, DVM MS DACVP, Veterinary Clinical Sciences, Washington State University,  
24       Pullman, WA

25  
26       The authors have no conflicts of interest

27  
28       **Corresponding Author: Elizabeth B. Davidow, 6820 Phinney Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103,**  
29       [Elizabeth.davidow@wsu.edu](mailto:Elizabeth.davidow@wsu.edu)

30  
31       **Partial results were presented at an evening online webinar for AVHTM on October 8, 2020**

32 **Abstract**

33 **Objective:** To systematically review available evidence to develop guidelines for the prevention of  
34 transfusion reactions and monitoring of transfusion administration in dogs and cats.

35 **Design:** Evidence evaluation of the literature (identified through Medline searches through Pubmed and  
36 Google Scholar searches) was carried out for identified transfusion reaction types in dogs and cats.  
37 Evidence was evaluated using PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) questions  
38 generated for each reaction type. Evidence was categorized by level of evidence (LOE) and quality  
39 (Good, Fair, or Poor). Guidelines for prevention and monitoring were generated based on the synthesis  
40 of the evidence. Consensus on the final recommendations and a proposed transfusion administration  
41 monitoring form was achieved through Delphi-style surveys. Draft recommendations and the monitoring  
42 form were made available through veterinary specialty listservs and comments were incorporated.

43 **Results:** Twenty-nine guidelines and a transfusion administration monitoring form were formulated  
44 from the evidence review with a high degree of consensus

45 **Conclusions:** This systematic evidence evaluation process yielded recommended prevention and  
46 monitoring guidelines and a proposed transfusion administration form. However, significant knowledge  
47 gaps were identified, demonstrating the need for additional research in veterinary transfusion medicine.

48 **Keywords:** transfusion reactions, pre-medication, blood type, crossmatch

49 **Abbreviations:**

50 **AHTR** - Acute Hemolytic Transfusion Reaction

51 **ARDS** - Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome

52 **AVHTM** - Association of Veterinary Hematology and Transfusion Medicine

- 53 **CDC** - Centers for Disease Control
- 54 **DAT** - Direct Antiglobulin Test
- 55 **DEA** - Dog Erythrocyte Antigen
- 56 **DHTR** - Delayed Hemolytic Transfusion Reaction
- 57 **DIC** - Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation
- 58 **DSTR** - Delayed Serologic Transfusion Reaction
- 59 **FFP** – Fresh Frozen Plasma
- 60 **fHb** – Free Hemoglobin
- 61 **FNHTR** - Febrile Non-Hemolytic Transfusion Reactions
- 62 **Hb** – Hemoglobin
- 63 **IMHA** – Immune Mediated Hemolytic Anemia
- 64 **LR** - Leukoreduction
- 65 **NHSN** - National Healthcare Safety Network
- 66 **NT-proBNP** - N Terminal-pro – Brain Natriuretic Peptide
- 67 **pRBCs** – Packed Red Blood Cells
- 68 **PCR** – Polymerase Chain Reaction
- 69 **PTP** - Post-Transfusion Purpura
- 70 **SHOT** - Serious Hazards of Transfusion
- 71 **TACO** - Transfusion Associated Circulatory Overload

- 72 **TAD** - Transfusion Associated Dyspnea
- 73 **TA-GVHD** - Transfusion Associated Graft Versus Host Disease
- 74 **tHb** – Total Hemoglobin
- 75 **TRALI** - Transfusion Related Acute Lung Injury
- 76 **TTI** - Transfusion Transmitted Infection
- 77 **XM** – Crossmatch

## 78 **Introduction**

79           Prevalence of transfusion reactions and complications in veterinary studies varies from 0-38%,  
80 depending on the species, reaction definitions, and blood products used.<sup>1-7</sup> While we have consensus  
81 recommendations on blood donor screening for prevention of transfusion transmitted infectious  
82 disease,<sup>8</sup> there are no publications that systematically examine the evidence for other prevention  
83 strategies. In addition, there is limited information on best practices for monitoring transfusions.

## 84 **Methods**

85           The consensus project was initiated through the AVHTM in 2018, as described in part one of this  
86 series. The committee decided to limit the project to monitoring transfusions and prevention of  
87 reactions secondary to red blood cell, plasma, and platelet transfusions in canine and feline patients.  
88 Definitions of transfusion reactions are presented in part one of this series.

89           Specific PICO questions were developed by the group around prevention and monitoring  
90 strategies and assigned to reaction worksheet authors. Comprehensive database searches were  
91 performed including review of both the human and veterinary literature. Each PICO worksheet included  
92 search criteria, a review of the relevant veterinary and human literature, and proposed guidelines.

93 Literature was assessed using levels of evidence and quality of evidence as discussed in previous  
94 veterinary consensus projects.<sup>9-11</sup>

95 Guidelines were characterized as either strong or weak based on four factors:

- 96 1) The availability and quality of the evidence
- 97 2) Balance of expected beneficial and harmful effects
- 98 3) Cost versus benefit
- 99 4) Agreement level of the members.

100 Strong recommendations are written as “we recommend.” Weaker recommendations are  
101 written as “we suggest.” If we could not find evidence to answer the question, our guidelines start with  
102 “No evidence-based recommendation can be made regarding . . .” Additional recommendations are  
103 listed after this.

104 Guidelines were discussed as a committee for an initial round of changes and suggestions.  
105 Delphi style anonymous surveys were then used to tighten and refine the guidelines.<sup>12</sup> These draft  
106 guidelines were then presented to the AVHTM, ACVECC, and ACVIM discussion boards for comments  
107 and suggestions. Guidelines were further refined based on the input received. A transfusion monitoring  
108 form was also created from this process.

## 109 **Domain One: Prevention Strategies**

### 110 **Donor**

111 Recommendations for screening of blood donors to prevent infectious diseases have been  
112 previously published and our committee agrees with those recommendations.<sup>8,13</sup> Due to advances in  
113 testing and new publications we opted to look more closely at PCR testing.

114 **1.1** In dog and cat blood donors (P), is the use of PCR in addition to serological tests (I) compared to  
115 serological tests alone, (C) useful in preventing selected transfusion transmitted infections (TTI) (O)?

116 *Guideline:*

117 We recommend the use of PCR in addition to serological tests, compared to serological tests  
118 alone, for screening blood donors for selected TTI (based on geographic region), to reduce the  
119 possibility of transmission of selected TTI from blood donors to blood recipients and to identify  
120 serological positive blood donors that do not have active infection.

121 *Agreement: 13/13*

122 *Evidence Summary:*

123 Guidelines from both the US<sup>8</sup> on canine and feline blood donor selection and Europe<sup>13</sup> on feline  
124 blood donor selection recommend the use of PCR in addition to serological tests for screening blood  
125 donors for selected TTI. Four veterinary studies (LOE 3-5, good) demonstrated that PCR in addition to  
126 serological tests, or compared to serological tests alone, was useful in identifying selected blood-borne  
127 infections in canine and feline blood donors. Screening by PCR should be included in an integrated  
128 approach to evaluate potential blood donors for *Leishmania infantum*<sup>14</sup>, *Ehrlichia canis*, *Anaplasma*  
129 *platys*, and for *Babesia vogeli* in candidate canine blood donor in the absence of clinical symptoms.<sup>15</sup>  
130 PCR was the only test that could identify dogs infected by *Mycoplasma haemocanis*<sup>16</sup>, and cats infected  
131 by feline haemoplasmas *Mycoplasma haemofelis*, *M. haemominutum* and *M. turicensis*, as serologic  
132 assays are not currently commercially available and cytologic evaluation of blood smears has too low  
133 sensitivity for these pathogens.<sup>17</sup> PCR is the only test that identifies antigenic serological negative but  
134 provirus positive FeLV infected cats.<sup>17</sup> PCR is also useful to identify seroreactive blood donors without an  
135 active infection. A positive serological test does not necessarily indicate an active infection and may be a  
136 result of a previous exposure to the parasite, especially in endemic regions. In these regions,

137 identification of seronegative donors may be difficult. Therefore, use of seropositive but PCR negative  
138 dogs as donors is considered acceptable. This could be particular useful in areas endemic for *E. canis*, *A.*  
139 *platys*, *B. vogeli*<sup>15</sup> *L. infantum*, *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, *Babesia canis*, *Rickettsia conorii* and *R.*  
140 *rickettsii* infections.<sup>18</sup>

## 141 **Donation**

142 **1.2** In cats receiving a blood transfusion (P), does the use of a closed system to collect blood from the  
143 donor (I) compared to semi-closed or open feline collection systems (C) reduce the risk of TTI (O)?

### 144 *Guideline:*

- 145 a. There is insufficient evidence to make strong recommendations regarding the use of a  
146 closed instead of semi-closed or open feline collection systems in feline blood collection  
147 to reduce the risk of TTI.
- 148 b. We suggest that closed, semi-closed, and open systems can be used for feline blood  
149 collection, with appropriate aseptic collection and processing and careful storage to  
150 prevent blood contamination.

151 *Agreement: 13/13*

### 152 *Evidence Summary:*

153 Because of the small amount of blood collected, the impracticality of using human closed  
154 systems, and the limited availability of commercial closed collection systems for cats, feline blood is  
155 usually collected employing a semi-closed system (the collection system is already available in a sterile  
156 packaging and the operator only adds anticoagulant before blood is drawn) or an open system (the  
157 operator removes syringes from their sterile packaging, manually adds anticoagulant to each syringe,  
158 and attaches a butterfly catheter to the syringe).<sup>19–23</sup> This involves a multi-step manipulation of syringes

159 and other devices by several assistants and each manipulation provides an opportunity for  
160 contamination. Therefore, the risk of bacterial contamination of feline blood units might be greater than  
161 in standard canine units, precluding storage of these blood products. Veterinary studies<sup>19–22,24–27</sup> have  
162 only evaluated bacterial contamination of blood units and not the presence of TTI (sepsis due to  
163 bacterial contamination of the blood) in feline recipients. Only one study (LOE 3, good) directly  
164 compared closed versus open systems in feline blood collection in terms of bacterial contamination in  
165 the blood units.<sup>24</sup> This study did not observe any difference in bacterial contamination between the two  
166 collection systems.

167 Many papers (LOE 3, fair to good) reported bacterial contamination of feline blood units  
168 collected both with open, semi-closed, and closed systems. Most studies were not able to demonstrate  
169 the source of bacterial contamination.<sup>22,24–26</sup> Only one study found the source of contamination of feline  
170 whole blood (WB) units with *Serratia marcescens*, which was alcohol-soaked cotton balls used during  
171 skin preparation and a saline solution used during venipuncture of donor cats.<sup>27</sup> Another study  
172 evaluated a large number of feline pRBC units collected using a specific feline semi-closed system and no  
173 contamination was found. However bacterial cultures in this study were done only at 24 hours after  
174 collection, when the bacterial load might be too low to be revealed by culture.<sup>19</sup> Feline pRBC or WB units  
175 collected with open and semi-closed system have been negative for bacterial contamination at bacterial  
176 culture<sup>20,21,24</sup> and conversely some feline units collected with a closed system have still been  
177 contaminated, with *Serratia marcescens*<sup>25</sup>, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*<sup>26</sup>, *Staphylococcus* spp. and  
178 *Ralstonia* spp.<sup>24</sup>

179 Some hospitals use smaller dogs as donors and use semi-closed systems for donation and  
180 storage. Studies have not been done specifically looking at this practice. However, we believe this is  
181 likely safe with appropriate aseptic handling, processing, and storage, based on the feline data.

## 182 **Leukoreduction**

183 1.3 In dogs and cats requiring transfusion (P), does the administration of pre-storage leukoreduced  
184 blood (I) compared to non-leukoreduced blood (C) prevent or reduce the risk of any type of transfusion  
185 reaction (O)?

186 *Guideline:*

- 187 a. There is currently insufficient evidence to recommend for or against leukoreduction (LR) to  
188 prevent or reduce any type of transfusion reaction in veterinary medicine.
- 189 b. Due to evidence in human studies that LR decreases the rate of febrile non-hemolytic  
190 transfusion reactions (FNHTR), we suggest that it be considered.

191 *Agreement: 13/13*

192 *Evidence Summary:*

193 Leukoreduction can be performed pre- or post-storage. Pre-storage LR is preferred and was the  
194 only type included in this review as stored WBC can produce inflammatory cytokines.<sup>28</sup> Thirty five  
195 studies, 3 veterinary (LOE 1-3, good) and 32 human (LOE 6, poor to good), were identified. A single  
196 prospective clinical veterinary study compared reaction rates in 23 ill dogs receiving LR or non-LR blood  
197 products and found no difference in the reaction rates.<sup>29</sup> Similarly, there was no difference in clinical  
198 reaction rates in 2 studies, one with 20 healthy dog and another with 13 healthy dogs receiving LR or  
199 non LR blood.<sup>30,31</sup>

200 Many human studies have focused on whether LR, compared to nLR, decreases the incidence of  
201 post-operative infections, multi-organ failure, and mortality after surgery. The studies differ in their  
202 quality and in their findings and a Cochrane review concluded that there was not enough evidence to  
203 make a recommendation.<sup>32-44</sup> Studies have also examined the use of LR to reduce microchimerism as a  
204 risk for transfusion associated graft versus host disease (TA-GVHD), also with equivocal findings.<sup>45-47</sup> A

205 single before and after retrospective observational study found a decrease in TRALI and TACO with  
206 universal leukoreduction,<sup>48</sup> but a double-blind randomized study in trauma patients did not confirm this  
207 finding.<sup>49</sup> Studies have additionally looked at the role of LR in preventing TTIs. A laboratory study  
208 showed a decrease in culture positivity for *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in blood bags after LR but two  
209 case reports demonstrated transmission of *A. phagocytophilum* despite the use of LR.<sup>50-52</sup>

210 The most consistent finding in people has been a decrease in FNHTR with LR versus nLR platelets  
211 and pRBCs.<sup>48,53-58</sup> Six large studies found a significant decrease in the rate of FNHTR with LR while a  
212 seventh smaller study found a decrease that did not reach statistical significance.<sup>58</sup> Further research in  
213 larger scale studies is needed to see if LR decreases FNHTR and other reactions in veterinary patients.

#### 214 **Storage**

215 **1.4** In dogs and cats requiring transfusion (P), does the transfusion of stored (I) compared to fresh RBCs  
216 (C) influence the risk of any type of transfusion reaction (O)?

- 217 a. There is evidence of increased in vivo hemolysis with transfusion of stored versus fresh RBCs in  
218 dogs.
- 219 b. We suggest the consideration of fresher RBC transfusion products in dogs with sepsis or  
220 hemolytic causes of anemia
- 221 c. Further investigation is warranted regarding the influence of RBC age on transfusion reactions in  
222 cats

223 *Agreement: 13/13*

224 *Evidence Summary:*

225 There were ten veterinary studies evaluating age of RBC transfusion product and association  
226 with transfusion reactions. Nine of these studies (LOE 3-5, fair to good) were restricted to the canine

227 species. A single retrospective study (LOE 5, fair) evaluated feline transfusions.<sup>5</sup> None of the clinical  
228 studies included illness severity scores.<sup>1,3,59,60</sup> This deficit in conjunction with small sample sizes, varied  
229 patient populations and inconsistency in how transfusion reactions were defined and monitored before  
230 and after transfusion all make conclusions challenging. In four of the eight canine veterinary studies,  
231 results showed that in vivo hemolysis post-transfusion was increased in dogs receiving older versus fresh  
232 RBCs.<sup>1,61-63</sup> Pre-transfusion in vitro hemolysis in the individual blood units was not assessed in these  
233 studies. In the clinical canine studies, no association has been found between RBC storage time and  
234 survival when considering all dogs regardless of the cause of anemia. In Hann *et al.*, an independent  
235 association was found between longer duration of pRBC storage (> 14 days) and decreased survival of  
236 dogs with hemolysis. In addition, longer pRBC storage time was associated with development of new or  
237 progressive coagulation failure and thromboembolic disease post-transfusion.<sup>59</sup> In the 2013 Solomon *et*  
238 *al* study utilizing a canine experimental model of pneumonia associated sepsis, the authors concluded  
239 that the increased in vivo hemolysis with transfusion of pRBC at their expiration date (42 days vs 7 days)  
240 resulted in “release of cell-free oxyhemoglobin over days, causing pulmonary hypertension and vascular  
241 damage at sites of injury, and gas exchange abnormalities, each contributing to the increased risk of  
242 death with older blood.”<sup>62</sup> In a follow up study using the same septic dog model, Wang *et al.* confirmed  
243 that in dogs with established infection, the in vivo hemolysis associated with 42 day old RBCs worsens  
244 outcome.<sup>64</sup> Solomon *et al*'s 2015 study investigating a canine lethal hemorrhage/reperfusion model,  
245 did not show that older blood (42 days vs 7 days) was harmful and in fact there was limited data that  
246 suggested that older blood may be beneficial in this population.<sup>61</sup>

247 A 2020 retrospective feline study (LOE 5, fair) exploring risk factors for non-survival and  
248 transfusion associated complications showed that older blood was identified as a possible risk factor for  
249 developing transfusion-associated complications and for non- survival.<sup>5</sup> Although these findings were  
250 statistically significant, the odds ratios were small and clinical significance warrants investigation.

251 **1.5** In dogs and cats requiring transfusion (P), is the use of fresh (I) rather stored blood products (C)  
252 useful in preventing hyperammonemia (O)?

253 *Guideline:*

254 a. There is insufficient evidence to make strong recommendations regarding the risk of a  
255 hyperammonemia transfusion reaction in dogs with stored blood products and no evidence-  
256 based recommendations can be made regarding the use of stored blood products in cats.

257 b. We suggest that stored blood products appear safe and do not cause hyperammonemia  
258 transfusion reactions in dog and cat blood recipients with normal liver function. In patients at  
259 increased risk of hyperammonemia, such as those with liver dysfunction or those requiring  
260 massive transfusions, we suggest the transfusion of fresh whole blood (< 24 hours) or packed  
261 red blood cells that are < 7 days when available rather than older stored blood or components.

262 *Agreement: 13/13*

263 *Evidence Summary:*

264 Five studies (LOE 3, good) showed that ammonia increases during storage of canine and feline  
265 WB and/or PRBC units and that ammonia concentrations were highly associated with storage duration  
266 and markedly increased over time, during standard storage conditions.<sup>21,65-68</sup> In most of these studies,  
267 the ammonia increase occurred early in the storage periods, with significant increases in concentrations  
268 of ammonia within the first 2 weeks of storage. Only one study (LOE 2, good)<sup>67</sup> directly addressed the  
269 question and measured ammonia in stored blood units and in canine blood recipients. Plasma ammonia  
270 concentration, measured in blood samples from 5 anemic dogs without primary liver disease  
271 immediately before and after transfusion with 5-10 ml/kg of stored pRBC, remained in the normal  
272 reference range. The pRBC units used for transfusion had been stored for 18 to 30 days. The lack of a

273 clinically significant rise in plasma ammonia concentration in the transfused anemic dogs in this study  
274 suggests that the ammonia load from a unit of pRBC does not result in hyperammonemia in this patient  
275 population. However, the real clinical significance of hyperammonemia in stored blood units transfused  
276 to patients with liver failure is yet to be determined and further *in vivo* studies are required to  
277 determine the clinical importance of hyperammonemia in stored blood units in these patients. A case  
278 report of hyperammonemia after transfusion of two stored pRBC units in human medicine was reported  
279 (LOE 6, poor) and highlighted the potential harm from ammonia in stored blood products in patient with  
280 liver failure.<sup>69</sup> No veterinary studies specifically address the relevant PICO question in patients at risk of  
281 hyperammonemia such as those with liver dysfunction or those requiring massive transfusions, i.e. a  
282 transfusion of a volume of blood products in excess of half the patient's blood volume in 3 hours or over  
283 a full blood volume in 24 hours.<sup>70</sup> There are no clinical reports of association between increases in  
284 concentration of ammonia in canine and feline stored blood products and transfusion reactions. Studies  
285 that describe the outcome of dogs and cats receiving massive blood transfusion have not reported  
286 hyperammonemia or signs related to hyperammonemia as transfusion complications.<sup>70-74</sup>

## 287 **Hemolysis**

288 **1.6** In dogs and cats receiving packed red blood cells (P), does administering packed red blood cell units  
289 with less than 1% hemolysis (I) compared to not checking hemolysis and administering based on  
290 expiration date (C) decrease the risk of any transfusion reaction (O)?

### 291 *Guideline:*

- 292 a. There is evidence in veterinary medicine that in vitro hemolysis can cause transfusion  
293 reactions in recipients.
- 294 b. Consistent with human guidelines, we recommend that red blood cell units be checked for  
295 hemolysis prior to administration and that those with > 1% not be used. Red blood cell

296 segments do not accurately reflect hemolysis levels within the unit so assessments should  
297 be done directly from the unit or from the administration line. Visual inspection is less  
298 accurate than measurement of free hemoglobin.

299 *Agreement: 13/13*

300 *Evidence Summary:*

301 Hemolysis in red blood cell products occurs secondary to rupture of the cells with release of  
302 hemoglobin. Cells can rupture and release hemoglobin due to issues in processing, issues with the  
303 donor's cells, age, bacterial contamination, or related to other storage conditions including type of  
304 additive solution, air flow, and temperature.<sup>75-79</sup> Free hemoglobin can cause damage to tissues when  
305 infused and can also be a marker of other potentially damaging storage byproducts. Free hemoglobin  
306 has specifically been implicated in damage to the proximal tubule of the kidney and redox injury of  
307 endothelium.<sup>77</sup> Due to these risks, both the United State and Europe have set standards that human red  
308 blood cell products in human should have a mean hemolysis less than 1% and 0.8%, respectively.<sup>77</sup>

309 While there are no studies that have determined an exact level of acceptable hemolysis, the  
310 committee felt that following the human guidelines at 1% is safest. There is a case series with severe  
311 clinical signs in dogs thought to be related to storage related hemolysis.<sup>75</sup> In addition, Wang's research  
312 on the impact of older blood on dogs with pneumonia suggested negative impacts of free hemoglobin  
313 and free iron on mortality.<sup>64</sup>

314 Four studies in dogs<sup>78-81</sup> (LOE 2-3, good) and three in cats<sup>19,20,25</sup> (LOE 3, good) demonstrate that  
315 hemolysis increases in blood products over time. Most of these studies found some units within the  
316 normal accepted shelf life with hemolysis over 1% and this was more common after 28 days.<sup>19,20,78,80,81</sup>

317 Studies in humans have shown that checking the segments for hemolysis is not accurate or  
318 reflective of changes in the bag itself. Therefore, the red blood cell unit must be checked directly by  
319 sampling from the bag or sampling after running through the administration filter.<sup>82</sup> Measurement of  
320 free hemoglobin (fHb) is more accurate than visual assessment in both veterinary and human  
321 medicine.<sup>83</sup> Free hemoglobin can be measured with portable devices.<sup>a</sup> The following formula can be  
322 used to calculate % hemolysis:

$$323 \quad \% \text{hemolysis} = (100 - \text{HCT}) \times (\text{plasma fHb [g/dL]} / \text{tHb [g/dL]})$$

### 324 **Typing and Crossing Matching – DOGS**

325 **1.7** In dogs requiring transfusion (P), does administering DEA 1 type matched blood (I) compared to non-  
326 type matched blood products (C) prevent or reduce AHTRs (O)?

- 327 a. No AHTR have been reported following a DEA 1 mismatched transfusion in a transfusion  
328 naïve recipient. However, a DEA 1 mismatched transfusion in an already immunized  
329 recipient against DEA 1 antigen can result in a severe AHTR.
- 330 b. In transfusion naïve dogs, we strongly recommend administering DEA 1 negative blood to  
331 DEA 1 negative typed recipients. DEA 1 negative RBCs can be administered to a DEA 1  
332 positive dog. However, we suggest administering DEA 1 positive blood to DEA 1 positive  
333 typed recipients to optimize inventory management.

334 *Agreement: 13/13*

335 *Evidence Summary:*

336 DEA 1 is considered the most clinically important blood group in dogs due to its strong  
337 antigenicity.<sup>84–86</sup> Dogs are either DEA 1 negative or weakly, moderately, or strongly DEA 1 positive.<sup>87,88</sup>

338 Naturally occurring alloantibodies against DEA 1 antigen have not been described and no AHTR have  
339 been reported following a DEA 1 mismatched transfusion in a transfusion naïve recipient. However,  
340 there are laboratory (LOE 3, fair) and clinical reports (LOE 5, good) of severe AHTR in previously  
341 immunized dogs who receive a further DEA 1 mismatched transfusion.<sup>89,90</sup> Acute hemolytic transfusion  
342 reactions (AHTR) are uncommonly reported in dogs, due to the recognition that DEA 1 is the main cause  
343 of this reaction in the dog and the widespread acceptance of compatibility testing.<sup>1-3,91,92</sup>

344 Typing both recipient and donor for DEA 1 before a first transfusion prevents further  
345 immunization against DEA 1 antigen. DEA 1 negative dogs should only receive DEA 1 negative  
346 blood.<sup>88,93,94</sup> DEA 1 positive recipients can receive either DEA 1 negative or positive blood. Because  
347 approximately half of dogs are DEA 1 positive,<sup>86,95,96</sup> the use of DEA 1 positive blood products for DEA 1  
348 positive recipient, is encouraged to make better use of blood resources.

349 **1.8** In dogs requiring transfusion (P), does administering major crossmatch (XM) compatible blood for  
350 the first transfusion (I) compared to not crossmatching (C) prevent or reduce the risk of any type of  
351 transfusion reaction (O)?

352 *Guideline:*

- 353 a. While no confirmed AHTR have been reported in dogs at the first transfusion event, firm  
354 conclusions concerning the presence and the clinical relevance of naturally occurring  
355 alloantibodies cannot be reached.
- 356 b. We suggest that major crossmatching may not be necessary in transfusion-naïve dogs. With  
357 growing knowledge of naturally occurring alloantibodies, decisions about the need for  
358 crossmatching in a clinical case should be balanced with the methodology and cost of  
359 crossmatching used, and urgency of the patient's clinical state.

360 c. Better standardization of pre- and post-transfusion immunohematology testing, including  
361 crossmatching, is needed to understand blood type mediated immunologic reactions.

362 *Agreement: 13/13*

363 *Evidence Summary:*

364 More than a dozen blood groups have been reported in dogs and some have been classified as  
365 Dog Erythrocyte Antigens (DEA).<sup>84–86,97</sup> Other systems and groups, including *Dal*, Kai 1 and Kai 2, have  
366 also been described.<sup>97,98</sup> At this time, only DEA 1 can be tested with a patient side test. Studies have  
367 conflicting results regarding the presence of naturally occurring alloantibodies against known (e.g., DEA  
368 7) or unknown blood type antigens. Some studies have found no incompatibility in crossmatches  
369 performed in naïve-transfusion dogs.<sup>85,93,94</sup> Others report incompatible XM results.<sup>92,99,100</sup> Most studies  
370 implicate anti-DEA 7 antibodies.<sup>101–104</sup> While naturally occurring alloantibodies could be present, their  
371 clinical relevance has not been clearly shown. Maglaras *et al* (2017) found that transfusion related  
372 complications (including hemolysis) were more frequent in transfusions that were not crossmatched  
373 prior to administration versus those that were.<sup>1</sup> However, the authors did not document in this study  
374 whether or not recipients had been previously transfused. Odunayo *et al* (2017) and Marshall *et al*  
375 (2020) showed that immunologic incompatibility can exist between first-time transfusion recipients and  
376 potential blood donor dogs.<sup>92,105</sup> Change in HCT after transfusion was significantly higher in dogs that  
377 were crossmatched versus dogs that did not undergo crossmatching.<sup>92</sup> This could be due to post-  
378 transfusion alloimmunization has been reported against DEA 1,<sup>90,94</sup> DEA 4<sup>106</sup> and a further common  
379 antigen.<sup>91</sup> Three recent studies have reported development of alloantibodies other than anti-DEA  
380 1.<sup>93,94,105</sup> It could be hypothesized that even naturally occurring alloantibodies that are of less  
381 importance and strength in transfusion-naïve dogs could gain further clinical significance, if enhanced in  
382 their expression after multiple incompatible transfusions. The immunogenicity of blood types other than  
383 DEA 1, the presence of naturally occurring antibodies against them, the type of antibodies they

384 generate, and their potential clinical relevance even after first time transfusion, remains largely  
385 unknown.

386 The lack of standardization of XM techniques complicates study comparison. Currently, the  
387 reference method of crossmatching, is the laboratory tube agglutination assay.<sup>107,108</sup> However, this  
388 technique is labor-intensive, has interobserver variation in interpretation, and requires technical  
389 expertise.<sup>109,110</sup> In addition, despite its standardization, this technique is not performed in a standardized  
390 way in many clinical situations. Other available methods include slide assay, saline gel column  
391 technique, immunochromatography technique, antiglobulin-enhanced gel column test, and commercial  
392 gel-tube assay.<sup>85,93,98-100</sup> Not all XM methods are interchangeable and it is difficult to compare results  
393 obtained from different techniques.

394 **1.9** In dogs requiring subsequent RBC transfusions (P), does crossmatching and administering major  
395 crossmatch compatible blood (I) compared to not crossmatching (C) prevent or reduce acute hemolytic  
396 transfusion reactions (O)?

397 *Guideline:*

398 a. We strongly recommend crossmatching in any dog that has been previously transfused more  
399 than 4 days prior, independent of initial DEA 1 typing and crossmatching results.

400 b. The use of the same compatible donor dog will not assure compatibility for a second  
401 transfusion even if the original testing was compatible

402 *Agreement: 13/13*

403 *Evidence Summary:*

404 There are laboratory studies (LOE 3, fair) and 3 clinical case reports (LOE 5, good) documenting  
405 immunologic AHTR occurring after further transfusions in dogs that had previously been transfused and

406 likely immunized to DEA 1, DEA 4, and an unknown common antigen.<sup>89-91,106</sup> It has been demonstrated in  
407 laboratory studies<sup>85,86,93,104</sup> (LOE 3, good) and clinical cases (LOE 5, good)<sup>94</sup> that sensitization to DEA 1, 7,  
408 and *Dal* can be recognized with XM techniques. In one of the laboratory studies, 2 *Dal* negative dogs  
409 were given *Dal* positive blood. Anti-*Dal* antibodies were identified as early as 4 days after the initial  
410 transfusion.<sup>85</sup> Crossmatch incompatibilities against other RBC antigens have also been reported in these  
411 and a newer (LOE 3, good) laboratory study.<sup>86,93,105</sup> A retrospective study (LOE 4, good) found that  
412 hemolysis was more frequently detected in recipients that that were not crossmatched prior to  
413 transfusion.<sup>1</sup> Sensitization can occur to the initial donor used and be recognized with XM techniques.<sup>98</sup>  
414 The duration of sensitization has not been fully studied but seems to last several years.<sup>85,90,94</sup>

415           As mentioned previously, variation in crossmatch technique complicates evaluation of the  
416 existence and clinical relevance of those alloantibodies in dogs.<sup>93,98-100,105</sup>

417 **1.10** In dogs requiring plasma transfusion (P), does administration of DEA 1 type specific plasma (I)  
418 versus non-type specific plasma (C) decrease the risk of any transfusion reaction (O)?

419 *Guideline:*

420           There is insufficient evidence available to make recommendations regarding the use of DEA 1  
421 type specific versus non type specific plasma in dogs.

422 *Agreement: 13/13*

423 *Evidence Summary:*

424           There are no clinical or laboratory studies in dogs that specifically look at the administration of  
425 DEA 1 type and non-type specific plasma in dogs. None of the retrospective studies looking at plasma  
426 transfusions in dogs include information about blood type of the plasma used.<sup>2,111,112</sup> Risks of hemolytic  
427 reactions to plasma transfusion are based on either the presence of clinically significant antibodies

428 against red blood cells in the donor plasma or on contamination of the plasma with donor red blood  
429 cells for which the recipient has antibodies. ABO Type specific plasma is recommended in humans due to  
430 naturally occurring alloantibodies.<sup>113</sup> Many countries have set limits on RBC in FFP at less than  $6 \times 10^9$   
431 RBC/liter prior to freezing.<sup>114</sup> Red blood cell contamination is less of a risk when plasma is collected  
432 from donors via plasmapheresis. However, there are rare case reports in people of alloimmunization  
433 presumably due to red blood cell fragments.<sup>114,115</sup> Dogs do not appear to have clinically significant  
434 naturally occurring alloantibodies against DEA 1.<sup>93,97</sup> Thus, administration either DEA 1 positive or  
435 negative plasma to a recipient of either blood type should theoretically be safe. However, a study in  
436 Italy showed that 38% of DEA 7 negative dogs had naturally occurring alloantibodies against DEA 7 that  
437 could lead to delayed hemolytic reaction.<sup>103</sup> In addition, original studies on canine blood transfusions  
438 demonstrated that as little as 2-5ml of DEA 1 positive red blood cells could sensitize a recipient and lead  
439 to a AHTR if a second DEA 1 transfusion was administered.<sup>89</sup> Thus, if plasma was contaminated with red  
440 blood cells during processing, these cells could potentially sensitize a recipient. Research is needed in  
441 this area.

442 **1.11** In dogs requiring plasma transfusion (P), does administration of minor crossmatch compatible (I)  
443 versus non crossmatched plasma (C) decrease the risk of any transfusion reaction (O)?

444 *Guideline:*

445 There is insufficient evidence available to make recommendations for or against minor  
446 crossmatching prior to plasma transfusion to decrease the risk of transfusion reaction in dogs.

447 *Agreement: 13/13*

448 *Evidence Summary:*

449           There are no clinical or laboratory studies in dogs that specifically discuss crossmatching plasma  
450 prior to transfusion in dogs. None of the retrospective studies looking at plasma transfusions in dogs  
451 include information about type or XM.<sup>2,111,112</sup> Risks of acute or delayed hemolytic reactions to plasma  
452 transfusion are based on the presence of clinically significant antibodies from the donor against  
453 recipient red blood cells. Dogs do not appear to have clinically significant naturally occurring  
454 alloantibodies against DEA 1.<sup>93,97</sup> However, as mentioned above, DEA 7 negative dogs can have naturally  
455 occurring alloantibodies against DEA 7 that could lead to delayed hemolytic reaction and these  
456 antibodies can be identified with a minor XM.<sup>103</sup> Research is needed in this area.

#### 457 **Typing and Crossing Matching – CATS**

458 **1.12** In cats requiring transfusion (P), does giving AB type-matched blood (I) compared to non-AB type-  
459 matched blood (C) reduce the risk of an AHTR (O)?

460 *Guideline:*

- 461       a. We strongly recommend giving AB type-matched blood to reduce the risk of AHTRs.
- 462       b. Type AB cats can receive type A pRBCs if type AB is unavailable<sup>116</sup>.
- 463       c. We suggest that AB type-matching alone is insufficient to prevent HTRs in cats.

464 *Agreement: 13/13*

465 *Evidence Summary:*

466           There are 3 case reports of AB type-incompatible feline transfusions resulting in severe  
467 transfusion reactions including AHTR (LOE 5, good).<sup>117,118</sup> In two of these case reports, administration of  
468 non-typed and uncrossmatched blood led to rapid and severe AHTR, and later investigation confirmed a  
469 type A donor/type B recipient mismatch. In the third case, a cat originally thought to be type AB (but  
470 actually type A) and was given type B blood and had signs of reaction and an inadequate rise in PCV.<sup>116</sup>  
471 In another case report (LOE 5, poor), a cat died acutely after receiving only 4ml of untyped blood in what  
472 was also suspected to be an AB mismatch.<sup>119</sup> In a laboratory study (LOE 3, poor)<sup>120</sup>, cats were given  
473 type-compatible or type-incompatible feline blood. No reactions were seen in the type matched

474 transfusions, a few mild reactions were seen when A cats were given B blood. However, severe acute  
475 reactions were seen in 55% of first transfusions when type B cats were given type A blood.

476 Two prospective studies (LOE 1-5, fair)<sup>109,121</sup> and one retrospective study (LOE 4, good)<sup>122</sup>  
477 describe crossmatch incompatibilities and AHTRs between AB type-matched feline donors and  
478 recipients. In two of these studies, a suspect AHTR was noted in a cat receiving a unit that was  
479 crossmatch compatible.<sup>109,121</sup> Occurrence of a non-immunologic AHTR or previously undiagnosed  
480 concurrent hemolytic disease was not excluded.

481 In an investigative study (LOE 3, fair)<sup>123</sup>, an AHTR following a type-matched feline transfusion  
482 subsequently revealed a non-AB type incompatibility, leading to donor program compatibility testing  
483 involving 70 blood donors of different AB types. The recipient cat plasma was found to be compatible  
484 with erythrocytes from only 3 type A cats, suggesting the presence of a different RBC antigen in the rest  
485 of the cats. Presence of a previously undescribed but clinically important *Mik* erythrocyte antigen was  
486 proposed, with presence of naturally occurring alloantibodies in *Mik* negative cats.

487 Thus, while blood typing is mandatory prior to transfusion, typing alone is insufficient to prevent  
488 all AHTRs in cats. The presence of *Mik* antigen and potentially other unrecognized antigens remain a  
489 potential cause of severe transfusion reactions despite AB type-matching. While there are no confirmed  
490 reports of non-immunologic AHTR in cats, this also remains a potential in feline type-matched  
491 transfusions.

492 **1.13** In transfusion naïve cats (P), does administering major crossmatch compatible blood (I) compared  
493 with non-crossmatched blood (C) prevent or reduce the risk of any type of transfusion reaction,  
494 including AHTR (O)?

495 *Guideline:*

496 We suggest that major crossmatching be performed alongside type-matching prior to the first  
497 transfusion in cats and that crossmatch compatible product be administered to reduce the risk  
498 of transfusion reactions.

499 *Agreement: 13/13*

500 *Evidence summary:*

501           There are seven studies that evaluate the incidence of XM incompatibility and the incidence of  
502 acute transfusion reactions in transfusion-naïve cats (LOE 1-5, fair to good).<sup>4,5,109,121–124</sup> These studies  
503 paint a contradictory picture of the utility of XM in predicting rise of PCV after transfusion and predicting  
504 the likelihood of feline transfusion reactions.

505           There are four prospective studies (LOE 1-5, fair-good).<sup>4,109,121,124</sup> . In the first,<sup>4</sup> 101 cats were  
506 typed, crossmatched by two methodologies, and given type specific blood. Crossmatch results were not  
507 associated with rise in PCV at 12 hours post-transfusion. There were no statistical differences in the  
508 occurrence of reactions between XM compatible and incompatible transfusions. In a smaller study,<sup>109</sup>  
509 there was a 3.65% incidence of XM incompatibility and there were no statistically significant differences  
510 in incidence of transfusion reactions or mean PCV increase between transfusion-naïve cats receiving XM  
511 compatible versus non-crossmatched transfusions. Both studies may have been underpowered to detect  
512 a difference in reaction rates. In the third study,<sup>121</sup> major crossmatching was only incompatible in one  
513 transfusion-naïve cat. Two acute transfusion reactions (1 AHTR, 1 FNHTR) were seen and both occurred  
514 with XM compatible blood. This study highlights that major crossmatching could fail to be sensitive in  
515 predicting clinically important transfusion reactions. In the last study, no incompatible crossmatches  
516 were seen in transfusion-naïve cats and no acute transfusion reactions were observed.<sup>124</sup>

517           Two retrospective studies<sup>5,122</sup> (LOE 4-5, fair–good) support crossmatching in transfusion-naïve  
518 cats. In a study of 450 cats<sup>5</sup>, the incidence of acute transfusion reactions did not differ between  
519 crossmatched and non-crossmatched groups, but transfusion-naïve cats were not reported separately.  
520 However, while post-transfusion PCV increases did not differ between groups at 1-5 hours post-  
521 transfusion, PCV was significantly higher in the XM group at 24 hours. In the other retrospective  
522 study<sup>122</sup>, XM incompatibilities were seen in 23/149 (14.9%) transfusion-naïve cats and an AHTR was  
523 suspected in one cat that received a type-matched but not crossmatched transfusion. FNHTRs occurred  
524 more often in cats receiving non-crossmatched versus crossmatched transfusions.

525           The most compelling argument for crossmatching transfusion-naïve cats comes from our  
526 knowledge of naturally occurring anti-*Mik* alloantibodies in some cats.<sup>123</sup> Until commercial testing for  
527 *Mik* and other alloantibodies are available, major crossmatching remains the only viable means of  
528 determining such incompatibilities.

529 **1.14** In cats that have been transfused previously (P), does administering major XM compatible blood (I)  
530 compared with non-crossmatched blood (C) prevent or reduce the risk of any type of transfusion  
531 reaction (O)?

532 *Guideline:*

533           We suggest major crossmatching cats prior to every transfusion and strongly recommend major  
534 crossmatching if previously transfused more than 2 days prior, independent of initial AB blood  
535 typing.

536 *Agreement: 13/13*

537 *Evidence Summary:*

538           Three retrospective<sup>5,122,125</sup> (LOE 4-5, fair-good) and two prospective<sup>121,124</sup> (LOE 5, fair-good)  
539 describe the incidence of transfusion reactions in previously transfused cats receiving type- and  
540 crossmatched versus type- but non-crossmatched transfusions. In one retrospective study<sup>5</sup>, lack of  
541 crossmatching was not associated with increased risk of transfusion-associated complications in  
542 previously transfused cats. However, in another retrospective study<sup>122</sup>, the incidence of major XM  
543 incompatibilities was higher in the previously transfused group compared to the transfusion naïve  
544 group. In addition, FNHTR were more common in the cats that received non-crossmatched transfusions.  
545 In the third retrospective study<sup>125</sup>, post-transfusion PCV was significantly higher following XM  
546 compatible transfusions.

547           In a small prospective descriptive study<sup>121</sup> of type-compatible feline whole blood transfusions,  
548 major XM incompatibilities were seen uncommonly and largely in previously transfused cats.

549 Transfusions were given prior to receiving crossmatch results, and 2/8 cats had inadequate rise in HCT  
550 following transfusion. No other obvious transfusion reactions were noted for any crossmatch  
551 incompatible transfusion. In another small prospective study<sup>124</sup>, major and minor crossmatching was  
552 repeated every 2 days following administration of crossmatch compatible transfusions. New  
553 incompatibility identified by major crossmatch was seen as early as 2 days after the first whole blood  
554 transfusion.

555 As mentioned above, an AHTR was seen in a previously transfused cat following a type-matched  
556 but not crossmatched transfusion due to a *Mik* antigen-antibody reaction.<sup>123</sup> Crossmatching is needed  
557 to identify incompatibility due to anti-*Mik* and other new antigen induced alloantibodies.

558 **1.15** In cats requiring plasma transfusion (P), does administration of AB type specific plasma (I) versus  
559 non-AB type specific plasma (C) decrease the risk of any transfusion reaction (O)?

560 *Guideline:*

561 We recommend AB typing cats prior to plasma transfusion and administering AB type specific  
562 plasma. Cats with AB blood type can receive type A plasma if AB is unavailable. Type AB plasma  
563 can be given to all cats.

564 *Agreement: 13/13*

565 *Evidence Summary:*

566 There are 2 retrospective studies in cats that discuss plasma transfusion and include blood type  
567 information (LOE 4, fair-good) and two laboratory studies looking specifically at naturally occurring  
568 alloantibodies in cats (LOE 3, good).<sup>6,7,126,127</sup> In one of the retrospective studies, 2 AB cats were given A  
569 plasma with no reactions seen. One B cat was inadvertently given 1ml of type A plasma with no reaction  
570 seen. There were 9 cats with unknown blood type and it is unclear what type plasma was used.<sup>6</sup> In a

571 second retrospective, cats were either type A or B and received type specific plasma.<sup>7</sup> In a study of 312  
572 cats in Turkey, all B cats had anti-A antibodies. Most type A cats had low titer anti-B antibody with 12%  
573 having no antibody and 4.5% having high titer antibody.<sup>127</sup> The second laboratory study looked at the  
574 incidence of antibodies in 49 clinically healthy cats, of type A, B, and AB, using two crossmatch  
575 methodologies. The findings were similar. Twelve of 13 B cats had strong anti-A alloantibodies while  
576 plasma from the type A cats had weak or no anti-B alloantibodies. The 2 type AB cats had no naturally  
577 occurring alloantibodies. The RBC from one of the AB cats reacted to plasma from 7 B cats but none of  
578 the plasma from the A cats.<sup>126</sup>

579 **1.16** In cats requiring plasma transfusion (P), does administration of minor XM compatible (I) versus non  
580 crossmatched plasma (C) decrease the risk of any transfusion reaction (O)?

581 a. There is insufficient evidence available to make recommendations regarding the efficacy  
582 of minor crossmatching prior to plasma transfusion to decrease the risk of transfusion  
583 reaction in cats prior to plasma transfusion.

584 b. If AB typing is not available, we recommend minor XM prior to plasma transfusion to  
585 identify strong alloantibodies

586 *Agreement: 12/13 One panel member felt that minor crossmatch should be considered even if AB typed*  
587 *due to possibility of Mik antibodies*

588 *Evidence Summary:*

589 There are studies that look at the influence of major XM on the incidence of red blood cell  
590 transfusion reactions in cats.<sup>4,109,122</sup> However, there are no studies that look at the incidence of plasma  
591 transfusion reactions with and without minor crossmatch. There are 3 retrospective studies in cats that  
592 discuss plasma transfusion (LOE 4, fair-good) and four laboratory studies looking specifically at naturally  
593 occurring alloantibodies in cats (LOE 3, good).<sup>6,7,122,123,126-128</sup> None of the retrospectives discuss minor

594 crossmatching.<sup>6,7,128</sup> Laboratory studies have confirmed the existence of Anti-A and Anti-B naturally  
595 occurring alloantibodies that vary in strength and can be identified with XM.<sup>120,126,127</sup> Studies have also  
596 identified naturally occurring alloantibodies that exist outside the AB system.<sup>122,123</sup> However, it is  
597 unclear if the administration of these other alloantibodies in a plasma transfusion could lead to a  
598 clinically significant reaction. Further research is needed in this area.

### 599 **Additional Issues with Crossmatching**

600 **1.17** In dogs and cats requiring transfusion (P), does administration of completely XM compatible blood  
601 (I) compared to blood with a minor incompatibility on XM (a small amount of agglutination) (C) prevent  
602 or reduce the risk of a delayed or mild hemolytic transfusion reaction (O)?

#### 603 *Guideline:*

- 604 a. There is insufficient evidence to determine whether administration of completely compatible  
605 blood versus blood with mild macroagglutination on crossmatch decreases the risk of acute or  
606 delayed hemolytic reactions.
- 607 b. We suggest that if XM is performed, that fully compatible red blood cells be used when possible.

608 *Agreement: 13/13*

#### 609 *Evidence Summary:*

610 There are no prospective veterinary studies specifically addressing transfusion of blood with  
611 mild (1+) macroagglutination on XM. However, there is a laboratory study in dogs documenting  
612 transfusion of incompatible blood (LOE 3, fair), as well as two canine case reports (LOE 5, fair) and 3  
613 feline studies (LOE 5, fair) that report transfusion of mild to moderately (trace-3+ agglutination)  
614 incompatible blood. The laboratory study looked at blood types and transfusion compatibility in  
615 research dogs. The study recognized agglutination on XM with some antigens (termed B, C, D, and F)

616 but with varying capacity for in vivo red cell destruction. When type B, C, D, and F incompatible cells  
617 were transfused into sensitized recipients, no acute hemolytic reactions were seen. However, in vivo  
618 sequestration of transfused red blood cells was suspected. The authors concluded that studies are  
619 needed with biomarked incompatible cells to understand the in vivo characteristics of these  
620 mismatched transfusion.<sup>89</sup> A 1995 case study involved the transfusion of two units of XM incompatible  
621 DEA 1- type matched blood to a previously transfused recipient (XM incompatibility grade was not  
622 recorded). An acute hemolytic transfusion reaction, and poor response to transfusion (no increase in  
623 PCV) was seen to both transfusions that could not be isolated to any known blood type but was  
624 considered to be due to the recipient being negative and subsequently sensitized to a common  
625 antigen.<sup>129</sup> Another case report involved the transfusion of two units of blood to a recipient that were  
626 trace and 1+ macroagglutination XM incompatible. An AHTR was seen to both transfusions and the  
627 reaction was discovered to be due to DEA 4 incompatibility.<sup>106</sup>

628 In a feline retrospective study, 6 cats received transfusion of the least incompatible blood units  
629 on XM. Five cats had 1+ major XM incompatibility and 1 cat had 1+ minor XM incompatibility. No AHTR  
630 or febrile reactions were seen and PCV change was similar to the rest of the study cats.<sup>122</sup> In another  
631 study, 7 cats were transfused with mildly incompatible blood, 5 cases with 2-3+ macroscopic  
632 agglutination reaction, and 2 cases with 1-2+ microscopic agglutination. They also reported no obvious  
633 transfusion reactions. The PCV increased as expected in 5 but not in the other 2.<sup>121</sup>

#### 634 **Crossmatch Options**

635 There are many XM techniques available. The saline gel column technique has been proven to  
636 be highly accurate in human medicine<sup>130</sup> with a sensitivity between 97.58 and 100% and a specificity  
637 close to 100%.<sup>131</sup> Studies in dogs<sup>85,86</sup> and cats<sup>94,97,123</sup> showed this technique to be easy to standardize,  
638 simple to perform and easy to interpret with a non-operator dependent grading. In dogs, recent studies

639 reported conflicting results with respect to the agreement of the reference laboratory tube method  
640 compared to the gel-based techniques<sup>99,100</sup>. Two studies compared XM results of tube and saline gel  
641 column methods for detecting naturally occurring alloantibodies in a limited number of cats and found  
642 overall agreement between both methods.<sup>122,123</sup> However, a prospective observational study of 101  
643 transfusion-naïve cats showed marked difference in the proportion of XM incompatibility between the  
644 laboratory tube method (27%) and a commercial gel tube test (4%).<sup>4</sup> In cases of IMHA, gel techniques  
645 may be preferred as persistent autoagglutination can lead to false incompatible results in tube  
646 agglutination assays.<sup>99</sup> On the other hand, gel methods are reliant on the proper centrifuge.<sup>100</sup>

647         Human studies show that the use of XM with specific antiglobulin improves the test's sensitivity  
648 for detecting potentially clinically important alloantibodies coating RBCs but may decrease the  
649 specificity for identifying clinically significant antibody formation.<sup>132,133</sup> A study in dogs<sup>93</sup> aimed to  
650 examine naturally occurring alloantibodies against RBCs and alloimmunization by transfusion using 2  
651 antiglobulin-enhanced XM tests (immunochromatographic strip XM and laboratory gel column  
652 techniques). The two XM methods gave entirely concordant results. In cats, a study compared a saline  
653 gel column test and an antiglobulin-enhanced gel column test in 446 plasma to RBC pairings; both  
654 methods showed the same compatibility results for all pairings, except for 15 pairings for which  
655 incompatibility was only detected with the antiglobulin-enhanced gel column test (including 14  
656 incompatibilities outside the expected AB mismatches).<sup>126</sup> These incompatibilities may demonstrate  
657 nonsignificant alloantibody formation due to the enhancement of the test platform. In addition, a recent  
658 study in dogs compared a laboratory canine-specific antiglobulin enhanced tube method, a gel tube  
659 point-of care test, and a canine-specific immunochromatographic antiglobulin enhanced point-of-care  
660 test. Compared to the laboratory method, the gel method and the immunochromatographic tests lacked  
661 sensitivity for detecting incompatibilities.<sup>105</sup>

662 Thus, not all XM methods are interchangeable and it remains difficult to compare results  
663 obtained from different techniques. Gel column and tube techniques appear to be highly accurate  
664 especially when they are antiglobulin enhanced. They require an appropriate centrifugation procedure,  
665 standardization of antiglobulin, and standardization of grade allocation. However, performing a XM  
666 remains the most reliable means of avoiding acute and delayed hemolytic transfusion reactions.  
667 Crossmatch techniques should be standardized at each clinical site and performed in a validated,  
668 repeatable manner by trained personnel to provide reliable results to predict the possibility of  
669 transfusion reaction secondary to erythrocyte antigen incompatibilities.

## 670 **Xenotransfusion**

671 **1.18** PICO Question: In a transfusion naive cat that requires an emergency transfusion (P), does the use  
672 of a canine blood product (I) compared to a feline blood product with a different or unknown AB blood  
673 type (C) improve any outcome (O)?

### 674 *Guideline:*

- 675 a. Administration of type A blood to type B cats can cause a fatal reaction.
- 676 b. Canine red blood cells transfused to cats have a short lifespan and severe hemolytic  
677 reactions can be seen.
- 678 c. Before the use of a feline blood product with a different blood type, we strongly recommend  
679 crossmatching the donor and recipient, and exhausting all possible means to obtain a  
680 compatible feline blood product
- 681 d. Canine blood should only be used in cats as a last option and with informed owner consent.

682 *Agreement: 11/13, 2 disagreed with c.*

683 *Evidence Summary:*

684  
685           There are 10 veterinary studies addressing xenotransfusion, 4 laboratory (LOE 3, poor to good),  
686 1 prospective (LOE 2, fair) and 5 case series (LOE 5, poor to fair). These studies have shown that  
687 xenotransfusion can be lifesaving in an emergency but can cause severe transfusion reactions, including  
688 death (LOE 3-5, fair to good).<sup>134-138</sup> In a prospective study of 49 cats that received xenotransfusion, 6  
689 cats had FNHTR and 25 cats had a DHTR with icterus or hemolyzed serum noted at a median of 2  
690 days.<sup>136</sup> A previous laboratory study documented a similar average lifespan of canine erythrocytes in cats  
691 of approximately 3 days (LOE 3, fair) and also demonstrated a significant risk of severe anaphylactoid  
692 transfusion reaction and death if additional canine blood is administered more than 6 days after the  
693 initial xenotransfusion (LOE 5, fair).<sup>139</sup>

694           Xenotransfusion has been most often considered when no feline blood is available,<sup>140</sup> in a type B  
695 cat when type B blood is unavailable, or in an emergency situation when blood typing is not possible.<sup>138</sup>  
696 The administration of type A blood to a type B cat can cause an acute life-threatening reaction.<sup>141</sup>  
697 Although the transfusion of a different AB blood type can cause an AHTR, plasma from some type A cats  
698 have either no or weak anti-B antibodies, suggesting that, with a compatible XM, type B blood could be  
699 given to a type A in a true emergency situation.<sup>126</sup> Even with a pre-transfusion compatible crossmatch  
700 with canine blood, cats can develop a DHTR following the initial transfusion.<sup>134,136,142</sup>

701           Prior to the transfusion of canine blood, owners should be educated about the risks associated  
702 with the transfusion of canine blood and that referral for compatible feline blood products may be more  
703 appropriate. If canine blood is given to a cat, informed owner consent should be documented, and the  
704 medical record should be prominently marked that canine blood has been administered to the cat and  
705 additional transfusions with canine blood cannot be performed.

706 **Pre-Medication**

707 **1.19** In dogs and cats requiring transfusion (P), does pre-treatment with an antihistamine (I) versus no  
708 pre-treatment (C) prevent or reduce any type of transfusion reaction (O)?

709 *Guideline:*

710 We do not recommend pre-treatment with antihistamine prior to transfusion to decrease the  
711 risk of allergic transfusion reaction in dogs and cats due to evidence of lack of efficacy in  
712 humans.

713 *Agreement: 13/13*

714 *Evidence Summary:*

715 There are conflicts in human and veterinary literature regarding premedication with  
716 antihistamine medication prior to blood product transfusion. Repeated large prospective and  
717 retrospective human trials have not shown a significantly decreased incidence of allergic transfusion  
718 reactions with the use of antihistamine pre-treatment and two metanalyses found no benefit to using  
719 diphenhydramine.<sup>143,144</sup> However, the only clinical veterinary study (a large canine retrospective study,  
720 LOE 4, good ) specifically investigating the use of premedication prior to transfusion, found a decreased  
721 rate of allergic cutaneous reactions in patients administered pre-transfusion diphenhydramine.<sup>2</sup> Also, a  
722 laboratory study on experimentally induced anaphylaxis in dogs also found that pre-treatment with  
723 chlorphenamine decreased the cardiovascular depression caused by anaphylaxis.<sup>145</sup>

724 The difference between human and veterinary research findings may be due to more robust  
725 study methods for human trials, differing standards in human and canine transfusion medicine practice,  
726 or due to inherent differences between the species. In humans, cats, and dogs, allergic transfusion  
727 reactions are fairly uncommon, with cutaneous allergic reactions noted in only 13/935 transfusions in  
728 the Bruce et al (2015) study (1.7% cases transfused with plasma and 1.3% cases transfused with PRBCs).<sup>2</sup>

729 As anti-histamines can have adverse effects on memory, psychomotor skills and mood, and as the  
730 cumulative cost of pre-treatment for every patient would be large, it has been argued that routine pre-  
731 treatment should be avoided in humans.<sup>146</sup> However, the distress and discomfort of these reactions  
732 should also be considered for those patients in which they are seen. Prospective veterinary studies in  
733 this area are needed.

734 **1.20** In dogs and cats with a previous allergic transfusion reaction (P), does pre-treatment with an  
735 antihistamine (I) versus no pre-treatment (C) prevent or reduce a further allergic reaction (O)?

736 *Guideline:*

- 737 a. There is insufficient evidence in dogs and cats with prior allergic transfusion reactions,  
738 and evidence of lack of efficacy in humans regarding the benefits of pre-treatment with  
739 antihistamines.
- 740 b. We do not recommend pre-treatment with an antihistamine prior to transfusion to  
741 decrease the risk of allergic transfusion reaction in dogs and cats that have had a  
742 previous allergic transfusion reaction.

743 *Agreement: 13/13*

744 *Evidence Summary:*

745 There was only one study (LOE 6, fair) considered for this topic; a large retrospective human  
746 study which found that premedication did not decrease the risk of allergic transfusion reaction in  
747 patients that had previously had an allergic or FNHTR.<sup>146</sup> It should be noted that the study did not  
748 separate out the population that had solely previous allergic transfusion reaction when analyzing the  
749 likelihood of further allergic reaction. The study also found that a previous allergic transfusion reaction  
750 was not associated with an increased risk of an allergic transfusion reaction on repeated transfusion and

751 that the likelihood of allergic transfusion reaction actually decreased with increasing number of  
752 transfusions, which has also been reported in another study.<sup>147</sup>

753           Given the decreasing likelihood of allergic transfusion reactions with repeated transfusions and  
754 the lack of evidence of efficacy for antihistamine use in decreasing the risk of allergic transfusion  
755 reactions in humans that have had a previous allergic transfusion reaction, the practice is unlikely to be  
756 useful in dogs and cats.

757 **1.21** In dogs and cats requiring transfusion (P), does pre-treatment with antipyretics (I) versus no pre-  
758 treatment (C) prevent or reduce incidence of FNHTRs (O)?

759 *Guideline:*

- 760       a. There is no evidence regarding whether pretreatment of dogs and cats requiring transfusion with  
761       antipyretics prevents or reduces FNHTR.
- 762       b. We suggest that pretreatment with antipyretics to prevent FNHTR is not indicated in dogs  
763       and cats based on the lack of benefit in human studies.
- 764       c. Acetaminophen should never be given to cats based on evidence of exquisite sensitivity to  
765       its hepatotoxic effects, as well as occurrence of methemoglobinemia and Heinz body  
766       hemolytic anemia.

767 *Agreement: 13/13*

768 *Evidence Summary:*

769           There is no evidence from peer reviewed original research in veterinary medicine addressing the  
770 PICO question. In human medicine, there are 6 relevant studies, 2 of which support pre-treatment with  
771 antipyretics and 4 of which do not.

772           A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (LOE 6, good) evaluated the

773 efficacy of a combination of acetaminophen and diphenhydramine 30 minutes prior to transfusion, for  
774 the prevention of non-hemolytic transfusion reactions in hematology/oncology patients. All patients  
775 received post-storage leukoreduced RBCs or single-donor apheresis platelet transfusions. In multivariate  
776 analysis, the treatment group was associated with a decreased risk of febrile reactions after adjusting  
777 for other covariates. However, this was a small trial, and only 21 patients (7 in the active drug group,  
778 and 14 in the placebo group) experienced febrile events.<sup>148</sup> The other study supporting the PICO  
779 question was a single-center retrospective case series with no control group (LOE 6, poor).<sup>149</sup>

780 Two randomized placebo controlled trials oppose the PICO question (LOE 6, good), although are  
781 confounded by the concurrent use of an antihistamine with an antipyretic in the premedication  
782 arms.<sup>150,151</sup> A single center study included 55 hematology/oncology patients, that received 98  
783 leukoreduced, irradiated, single-donor apheresis platelet transfusions.<sup>150</sup> There was no difference in the  
784 incidence of NHTRs in the group premedicated with acetaminophen and diphenhydramine (8/52,  
785 15.4%), compared to the placebo group (7/46, 15.2%).<sup>150</sup> A randomized, double-blind placebo controlled  
786 clinical trial conducted in children and adolescents also showed no difference between pre-treatment  
787 (using acetaminophen and chlorpheniramine) and placebo groups in the development of fever during  
788 the first 24 hours after RBC transfusion<sup>151</sup>

789 Two LOE 6 poor studies also oppose the PICO question. In a prospective observational study of  
790 platelet transfusions (LOE 6, poor), institution of a premedication protocol did not decrease the rate of  
791 febrile complications.<sup>152</sup> A retrospective case series in pediatric patients receiving pre-storage  
792 leukoreduced RBCs and single-donor apheresis platelets (LOE 6, poor) analyzed 7,900 transfusions  
793 administered to 385 patients.<sup>153</sup> No premedication was administered prior to 2,521 transfusions (32%),  
794 acetaminophen alone prior to 1064 transfusions (13%), diphenhydramine alone prior to 1,271  
795 transfusions (16%), and both prior to 3,044 transfusions (38%). Premedication with acetaminophen or  
796 diphenhydramine failed to decrease the risk of febrile or allergic transfusion reactions regardless of

797 whether patients had a history of reactions.<sup>153</sup>

798 **1.22** In dogs and cats with a previous FNHTR (P), does pre-treatment with anti-pyretics (I) versus no pre-  
799 treatment (C) prevent or reduce any type of transfusion reaction (O)?

800 *Guideline:*

801 a. In dogs and cats with a previous FNHTR, there is no evidence regarding whether or not pre-  
802 treatment with antipyretics reduces or prevents any type of transfusion reaction.

803 b. Based on lack of evidence of benefit in humans we suggest that pretreatment with antipyretics  
804 is not indicated in dogs or cats with previous FNHTR.

805 c. Acetaminophen should never be given to cats based on evidence of exquisite sensitivity to its  
806 hepatotoxic effects as well as occurrence of methemoglobinemia and Heinz body hemolytic  
807 anemia.

808 *Agreement: 13/13*

809 *Evidence Summary:*

810 There is no evidence from peer reviewed original research in veterinary medicine addressing the  
811 PICO question. In human medicine, there are 3 relevant studies, 1 of which is neutral (LOE 6, poor), and  
812 2 of which oppose the PICO question (LOE 6, poor to good).

813 An observational study followed 81 patients with hemoglobinopathies over a 7-year period  
814 during which they received a total of 20,668 RBC units. Clinicians were directed to only premedicate  
815 patients with acetaminophen if they had at least two episodes of mild or moderate FNHTR within a 24-  
816 month period. Twenty-eight FNHTRs were noted in 10 patients during the study period. Five patients

817 were just observed and had no further reactions. The other five received pre-medication after  
818 subsequent reactions and then did not have further FNHTR.<sup>154</sup>

819 In a prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial,<sup>150</sup> premedication with  
820 acetaminophen (650mg PO) and diphenhydramine (25mg IV) was administered prior to 52 transfusions  
821 and placebo was given prior to 46. Of patients with a history of FNHTR, 14 received premedication prior  
822 to future transfusions while 13 received placebo. There was no difference in the rate of future NHTRs in  
823 the premedication group (4/14), vs the placebo group (3/13).<sup>150</sup> This study was small and specifically  
824 looked at platelet transfusions so it is difficult to know if it would extrapolate to other patient  
825 populations.<sup>150</sup>

826 A retrospective case series analyzed 7900 pre-storage leukoreduced RBCs and single-donor  
827 apheresis platelets administered to 385 patients.<sup>153</sup> Premedication with acetaminophen or  
828 diphenhydramine failed to decrease the risk of febrile or allergic transfusion reactions regardless of  
829 whether patients had a history of reactions. Specifically, in those with one previous reaction, 1/134  
830 (0.75%) premedicated with acetaminophen and 1/295 (0.34%) that were not premedicated, had a  
831 further NHTR. In patients with two or more previous reactions, 0/82 in the acetaminophen group, and  
832 0/86 in the no premedication group had future reactions.<sup>153</sup>

### 833 **Transfusion Administration**

834 **1.23** In dogs and cats requiring transfusion (P), does starting the transfusion slowly and then increasing  
835 the rate if no reaction is seen (I) compared to administration at a set rate for the duration of the  
836 transfusion (C) improve any outcome (earlier detection of a reaction or reduced risk or severity of a  
837 transfusion reaction) (O)?

838 *Guideline:*

839 a. There is insufficient evidence to make strong recommendations that an initial slow infusion  
840 followed by an increasing rate, versus a set infusion rate, results in increasing safety of  
841 transfusion.

842 b. Based on human medical guidelines and when patient condition permits, we suggest a slow rate  
843 of transfusion for the first 15 minutes of transfusion with subsequent increase in rate of  
844 administration if no adverse effects are noted.

845 *Agreement: 13/13*

846 *Evidence Summary:*

847 There are no studies examining the recommended rate of initial blood transfusion in cats, dogs,  
848 and humans. Human guidelines from several countries recommend red blood cell transfusions be  
849 started slowly for the first 15 minutes, when clinically appropriate, and that the rate is increased after 15  
850 minutes to ensure completion within a 4-hour window.<sup>155–157</sup>

851 **1.24** In dogs and cats requiring transfusion (P), does any specific rate of transfusion administration (I)  
852 compared to standard administration (over 4 hours) (C) prevent or reduce the risk of TACO (O)?

853 a. No evidence-based recommendations can be made regarding an appropriate transfusion rate to  
854 mitigate TACO in dogs and cats.

855 b. We suggest that transfusion rates are selected while considering individual patient signalment,  
856 disease process, and comorbidities. We suggest that slower transfusion rates be considered in  
857 patients that are euvolemic requiring transfusion, or in those cases with concurrent and  
858 significant renal or cardiac disease.

859 *Agreement: 13/13*

860 *Evidence Summary:*

861 No veterinary studies to date provide an evidence-based recommendation for optimal  
862 transfusion administration rates in minimizing TACO. There are three human studies (LOE 6, fair) that  
863 suggest faster blood product infusion rates are a risk factor for TACO.<sup>158–160</sup> Only one, a prospective  
864 cohort study, showed a significant difference in ml/hr. rate between transfused patients who developed  
865 TACO and those that did not.<sup>160</sup> The optimal transfusion rate of blood components and the efficacy of  
866 limiting transfusion rates in the mitigation of TACO in human medicine is currently unknown.

867 **1.25** In cats receiving red blood cell transfusion (P), is administration of the transfusion with a syringe  
868 pump and an 18-micron microaggregate filter<sup>b</sup> (I) compared to other administration strategies (C) more  
869 efficacious for red blood cell survival in vivo?

870 *Guideline:*

- 871 a. There is limited evidence available on red blood cell survival using different infusion pumps and  
872 blood filters in cats.
- 873 b. We suggest that administration of red blood cells to cats using a syringe pump and an 18-micron  
874 microaggregate filter leads to acceptable red blood cell survival in vivo.

875 *Agreement: 13/13*

876 *Evidence Summary:*

877 There is only one study in cats looking at administration technique (LOE 3, good).<sup>161</sup> In this study,  
878 blood was drawn from healthy blood donors, biotinylated, reinfused with either gravity flow and an in-  
879 line filter or via a syringe pump and an 18-micron microaggregate filter, and red blood cell life span was  
880 tracked. There was no difference in RBC survival at 12 hours or at 6 weeks between the administration  
881 methods. This study was performed using whole blood stored for less than 24 hours. Further studies  
882 using stored blood would be helpful. Other transfusion studies in cats looking at red blood cell survival

883 have not listed the administration method.<sup>4,5,122,128</sup> Studies in humans and in dogs have shown increased  
884 hemolysis and decreased in vivo survival of red blood cells using certain types of infusion pumps. Rotary  
885 and peristaltic infusion pumps appear to be more damaging, especially to stored red blood cells, than  
886 other types of infusion pumps.<sup>162,163</sup> Including administration method in future transfusion studies would  
887 be helpful for comparison.

888 **1.26** In dogs receiving red blood cell transfusion (P), is administration of the transfusion using an in-line  
889 filter and no pump (I) compared to other administration strategies (C) more efficacious for red blood cell  
890 survival in vivo (O)?

891 *Guideline:*

- 892 a. Studies in dogs indicate that use of specific infusion pumps can lead to increased hemolysis,  
893 especially with stored red blood cells, and decreased in vivo red blood cell survival.
- 894 b. We suggest that red blood cell transfusions in dogs be administered using a standard 170-260  
895 micron in-line blood administration set with gravity flow or using a previously evaluated piston  
896 pump<sup>c</sup>. Peristaltic and rotary infusion pumps should be avoided.

897 *Agreement: 13/13*

898 *Evidence Summary:*

899 Four studies in dogs (LOE 3, good) have looked at red blood cell viability using different infusion  
900 methods.<sup>163-166</sup> Only one (LOE 3, Good) looked at in vivo red blood cell survival. In that study,  
901 biotinylated red blood cells were administered by different infusion methods. Red blood cell survival  
902 was markedly decreased with pump infusion, either peristaltic with an in-line filter or with a syringe  
903 pump and 18-micron microaggregate filter compared to gravity flow.<sup>164</sup> Another study looked at  
904 hemolysis after infusion of both fresh and stored red blood cells using in line filters with different pumps

905 (LOE 3, Good). Significant hemolysis was seen with the use of peristaltic rotary pumps which was worse  
906 with stored red blood cells.<sup>165</sup> Subsequent studies in dogs on blood administration with a syringe pump  
907 and microaggregate filter have not demonstrated increased hemolysis (LOE 3, good)<sup>163</sup> and also did not  
908 find evidence of filter clogging or changes in red blood cell osmotic fragility (LOE 3, good).<sup>166</sup> Previous  
909 human research studies (LOE 3, good) also showed increased hemolysis with peristaltic pumps but one  
910 study showed acceptable survival using a newer piston pump.<sup>162,167</sup> A recent canine study also showed  
911 minimal hemolysis using the same piston pump.<sup>163</sup> Further studies looking at red blood cell in vivo  
912 survival of different age products with different pumps are needed. In addition, including administration  
913 method in future transfusion studies in dogs looking at improvement in PCV after transfusion would be  
914 useful.

#### 915 **Irradiation of Blood Products**

916 **1.27** In dogs undergoing transplantation (e.g. bone marrow) and requiring blood transfusion (P) should  
917 the use of irradiated red blood cell products (I) rather than non-irradiated red blood cell products (C) be  
918 considered to prevent transfusion associated graft versus host disease (O)?

#### 919 *Guideline:*

- 920 a. Irradiation of blood products prior to transfusion in dogs undergoing transplantation (e.g. bone  
921 marrow) might aid in the prevention of TAGVHD.
- 922 b. Although controlled studies are lacking, we suggest that irradiated red blood cell products  
923 appear safe and can be considered when available for transfusion of canine bone marrow  
924 transplant patients.

925 *Agreement: 13/13*

926 *Evidence Summary:*

927 Transfusion associated graft versus host disease has been recognized since the 1960s. Shortly  
928 after identification of this reaction it was found that reduction of the lymphocyte proliferative capacity  
929 in donor blood could avoid TAGVHD. Lymphocyte proliferative capacity is reduced using a variety of  
930 mechanisms, most commonly irradiation. Outside of laboratory studies, TAGVHD is not reported in dogs  
931 or cats.

932 There are two canine studies (LOE 3, poor-good) of blood product irradiation. In one, leukocytes  
933 were repeatedly transfused to dogs after the dogs underwent total body irradiation and autologous  
934 bone marrow transplantation. All dogs administered non-irradiated leukocytes developed signs  
935 consistent with TAGVHD. Two parallel groups were transfused on a similar schedule with leukocytes  
936 irradiated at low or high doses prior to transfusion. Most dogs receiving low-dose irradiated leukocytes  
937 developed TAGVHD while those receiving high-dose irradiated leukocytes did not. The study revealed  
938 that certain doses of irradiation prevented the development of TAGVHD.<sup>168</sup> A second study showed that  
939 irradiation of canine red cells did not cause significant morphological or biochemical alterations in the  
940 blood products.<sup>169</sup>

941 There are no reported risk factors for TAGVHD in veterinary patients. Press et al speculated that  
942 TAGVHD could become a concern with increasing frequency of stem cell transplantation in animals.<sup>169</sup>  
943

## 944 **Domain Two: Monitoring Transfusions**

945 **2.1** Do you agree that this monitoring form (Figure One) is suitable for early detection of the most  
946 common types of transfusion reactions

947 *Agreement: 13/13*

948 *Summary:*

949           Effective transfusion monitoring is essential to allow for the earliest possible detection of a  
950 transfusion reaction. Early detection allows early action, hopefully decreasing the impact of any  
951 reaction on the recipient. There have been no previous attempts to standardize transfusion monitoring  
952 in veterinary medicine. The production of this monitoring sheet was an iterative process, with feedback  
953 from all members of the committee, with several aims which are detailed below.

954           Our first goal was to produce a simple design suitable for use by all veterinary practices, that  
955 could help veterinarians and technicians to track and monitor patient vital parameters. We chose those  
956 parameters that are most likely to assist the clinician in determining whether a transfusion reaction is  
957 occurring. Blood pressure was included as hypotension has been documented in hypotensive  
958 transfusion reactions, anaphylaxis, and septic and hemolytic transfusion reactions.<sup>170-173</sup> Although vital  
959 parameter evaluations are hourly after the first hour, it is recommended that recipients are visually  
960 monitored much more frequently, and they ideally should not be left unmonitored throughout the  
961 transfusion period. The use of a multiparameter monitor with ECG, temperature probe, and  
962 oscillometric blood pressure functions can minimize repeated handling. Any patient abnormalities (e.g.  
963 hypersalivation, nystagmus, etc.) noted during the monitoring period that do not have a specific column  
964 can be numerically listed in the 'other concerns' column with further details described in the comments  
965 box. Monitoring is continued after the end of the transfusion period to emphasize that acute  
966 transfusion reactions can occur up to 24 hours after transfusion.

967           It is important to note that a new sheet should be used for each new blood product  
968 administered. This allows for the necessary monitoring to be performed prior to each transfusion and  
969 also will provide clarity about when each transfusion starts. The monitoring sheet may need to be  
970 tailored to an individual case (with more frequent evaluations in a patient receiving a blood product as a  
971 bolus or less frequent recording of blood pressure in a fractious or aggressive patient).

972            Secondly, the form incorporates safety checks that are standard in human medicine to ensure  
973 the correct blood product is administered to the correct patient. Human transfusion monitoring  
974 guidelines suggest that recipient identification and blood type are confirmed, and blood product blood  
975 type and expiry date checked at the patient bedside immediately prior to transfusion.<sup>174</sup> These safety  
976 checks, with double signature (as veterinary patients cannot confirm their own identity), have been  
977 incorporated into the monitoring sheet.

978            Third, the form will allow practices to gather information on their transfusion practices.  
979 Monitoring transfusion reaction frequency is standard practice in human medicine and is a part of good  
980 veterinary clinical governance. The form will also be used to allow standardized data recording between  
981 institutions to further multi-center veterinary research in transfusion medicine.

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

**TRANSFUSION MONITORING SHEET**

**RECIPIENT DETAILS**

**PRODUCT DETAILS**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Blood product ID: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signalment: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of collection: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Case no: \_\_\_\_\_ Expiry date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Blood type: \_\_\_\_\_ Blood type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 PCV/TS: \_\_\_\_\_ Serum/plasma colour: \_\_\_\_\_ PCV/TS: \_\_\_\_\_ Serum/plasma colour: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Body weight: \_\_\_\_\_ Unit volume: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Previous transfusions: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Reason for transfusion: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Clinician: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

(Please circle)	PRBC Canine Feline	FFP Canine Feline	FWB Canine Feline	Other (specify): _____ Canine Feline
-----------------	-----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------------------

Administration plan (volume & rate): \_\_\_\_\_  
 Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Cross-matched?    Compatible    Incompatible    Not evaluated    Method of admin:    Gravity    Syringe driver    Fluid pump

Correct patient (initialled by 2): \_\_\_\_\_ Correct unit (initialled by 2): \_\_\_\_\_ IV catheter checked: \_\_\_\_\_

Start time: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Person starting transfusion: \_\_\_\_\_

	Time	Infusion rate ml/hr	Resp. rate	Pulse rate	MM Colour & CRT	Temp °C	Mentation	S/D/M blood pressure	Serum/plasma/urine colour	Angiodema/Erythema/Pruritis (Y/N)	Vomit or diarrhea (Y/N)	Other concerns
Pre-transfusion												
5 mins												
15 mins.												
30 mins.												
60 mins.												
2 hours												
3 hours												
4 hours												
15 mins post transfusion												
1 hour post transfusion												
24 hour post transfusion												

Finish time: \_\_\_\_\_ Volume infused: \_\_\_\_\_ Post-transfusion PCV & time: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**FOLLOW TRANSFUSION REACTION GUIDELINES IF YOU SUSPECT A REACTION**

994 **2.2** In dogs and cats receiving red blood cell transfusion (P), does checking the post transfusion PCV at 2  
995 hours (I) compared to other time points (C) better reflect the efficacy of transfusion (O)?

996 We suggest that PCV or HCT can be checked immediately post-transfusion in dogs and cats to  
997 determine efficacy.

998 *Agreement: 13/13*

999 *Evidence Summary:*

1000 In the past, equilibration of hemoglobin concentration after transfusion was expected to take  
1001 several hours to up to 24 hours.<sup>175</sup> However, studies have been performed in human adults, neonates,  
1002 and in dogs (LOE 2-6, fair to good) specifically comparing the HCT or PCV at different time points after  
1003 transfusion.<sup>175-177</sup> These prospective case series have all shown equivalence between initial  
1004 measurement of PCV and PCV checked several hours later. The studies in human adults and neonates  
1005 excluded any patient with potential continued bleeding.<sup>175,176</sup> However, the study in dogs showed  
1006 equivalent values between the PCV measured immediately after transfusion and at 4 hours irrespective  
1007 of the underlying reason for anemia.<sup>177</sup> There has not been a specific study in cats designed to answer  
1008 this question. However, a prospective randomized study looking at PCV change after either crossmatch  
1009 or non-crossmatched blood in cats (LOE 2, good) showed no difference in PCV change immediately, at  
1010 one hour or at twelve hours post transfusion.<sup>109</sup>

1011 **2.3** In dogs and cats receiving massive transfusion (P), does monitoring ionized calcium (I) compared to  
1012 not monitoring (C) improve any outcome (prevent signs of reaction or improve hospital survival) (O)?

1013 *Guideline:*

1014 We suggest that dogs and cats that receive massive transfusion or apheresis should have their  
1015 ionized calcium concentrations monitored regularly.

1016 *Agreement: 13/13*

1017 *Evidence Summary:*

1018           In dogs and cats, the development of citrate toxicity usually occurs during massive transfusion or  
1019 apheresis. Massive transfusion has been defined in veterinary medicine as transfusion of a volume of  
1020 blood products in excess of half the patient’s blood volume in 3 hours or over a full blood volume in 24  
1021 hours.<sup>70</sup> There are 10 case series and retrospective studies<sup>70,72,178–185</sup> (LOE 4-5, poor to good) in dogs and  
1022 cats that discuss the use of specific treatments (massive transfusion, CRRT, therapeutic plasma exchange  
1023 (TPE), etc.) and report hypocalcemia as a complication due to suspected citrate toxicity. However,  
1024 plasma citrate levels were not measured. Several complications with citrate toxicity have been reported,  
1025 including electrolyte abnormalities, acid-base disturbances, ECG changes, vomiting, nausea, and  
1026 tremors.<sup>186–188</sup>

## 1027 **Conclusions**

1028           The use of consistent, evidence-based guidelines in planning, administering, and monitoring  
1029 transfusions in dogs and cats can improve the safety of these treatments. Many knowledge gaps were  
1030 identified, and these guidelines will need to be updated as research is performed. The members of the  
1031 consensus panel believe that identification of these knowledge gaps will help inform future studies. In  
1032 addition, the members believe that use of a standardized monitoring form will help in collection of data  
1033 for future transfusion research.

## 1034 **Footnotes:**

- 1035 a. HemoCue Plasma/Low Hb system, HemoCue, Brea, CA.
- 1036 b. Hemo-Nate 18-micron blood filter, Utah Medical Products, Inc, Midvale, UT.
- 1037 c. Hospira Plum A+ infusion pump, Hospira Inc, Lake Forest, IL

## 1038 **References:**

- 1039 1. Maglaras CH, Koenig A, Bedard DL, Brainard BM. Retrospective evaluation of the effect of red

- 1040 blood cell product age on occurrence of acute transfusion-related complications in dogs: 210  
1041 cases (2010–2012). *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2017;27(1):108-120.
- 1042 2. Bruce JA, Kriese-Anderson L, Bruce AM, Pittman JR. Effect of premedication and other factors on  
1043 the occurrence of acute transfusion reactions in dogs. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2015;25(5):620-630.
- 1044 3. Holowaychuk MK, Leader JL, Monteith G. Risk factors for transfusion-associated complications  
1045 and nonsurvival in dogs receiving packed red blood cell transfusions: 211 cases (2008–2011)  
1046 Marie. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2014;244(4):431-437.
- 1047 4. Humm KR, Chan DL. Prospective evaluation of the utility of cross-matching prior to first  
1048 transfusion in cats: 101 cases. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2020;61(5):285-291.
- 1049 5. Martinez-Sogues L, Blois SL, Manzanilla EG, Abrams-Ogg AO, Cosentino P. Exploration of risk  
1050 factors for non-survival and for transfusion-associated complications in cats receiving red cell  
1051 transfusions: 450 cases (2009 to 2017). *J Small Anim Pract.* 2020;61(3):177-184.
- 1052 6. Lane WG, Sinnott-Stutzman VB. Retrospective evaluation of fresh frozen plasma use in 121 cats:  
1053 2009–2016. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2020;30(5):558-566.
- 1054 7. Mansi ET, Waldrop JE, Davidow EB. Retrospective evaluation of the indications, safety and effects  
1055 of fresh frozen plasma transfusions in 36 cats (2014–2018). *J Feline Med Surg.* 2020;22(8):696-  
1056 704.
- 1057 8. Wardrop KJ, Birkenheuer A, Blais MC, et al. Update on Canine and Feline Blood Donor Screening  
1058 for Blood-Borne Pathogens. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2016;30(1):15-35.
- 1059 9. Goggs R, Blais MC, Brainard BM, et al. American College of Veterinary Emergency and Critical  
1060 Care (ACVECC) Consensus on the Rational Use of Antithrombotics in Veterinary Critical Care  
1061 (CURATIVE) guidelines: Small animal. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care.* 2019;29(1):12-36.

- 1062 10. Boller M, Fletcher DJ, Brainard BM, et al. Utstein-style guidelines on uniform reporting of in-  
1063 hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation in dogs and cats. A RECOVER statement. *J Vet Emerg Crit*  
1064 *Care*. 2016;26(1):11-34.
- 1065 11. Polzin DJ, Cowgill LD. Development of Clinical Guidelines for Management of Glomerular Disease  
1066 in Dogs. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2013;27(S1):S2-S4.
- 1067 12. Loblaw DA, Prestrud AA, Somerfield MR, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical  
1068 Practice Guidelines: formal systematic review-based consensus methodology. *J Clin Oncol*.  
1069 2012;30(25):3136-3140.
- 1070 13. Pennisi MG, Hartmann K, Addie DD, et al. Blood transfusion in cats: ABCD guidelines for  
1071 minimising risks of infectious iatrogenic complications. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2015;17(7):588-593.
- 1072 14. Tabar MD, Roura X, Francinoy O, Altety L, De Gopegui RR. Detection of *Leishmania infantum* by  
1073 real-time PCR in a canine blood bank. *J Small Anim Pract*. 2008;49(7):325-328.
- 1074 15. Da Cruz FACS, Otsubo AAF, Trevisan YPA, et al. Occurrence of *Leishmania chagasi*, *Trypanosoma*  
1075 *cruzi*, *Babesia canis vogeli*, *Anaplasma platys*, and *Ehrlichia canis* in canine blood donors. *Semin*  
1076 *Agrar*. 2017;38(1):295-299.
- 1077 16. Balakrishnan N, Musulin S, Varanat M, Bradley JM, Breitschwerdt EB. Serological and molecular  
1078 prevalence of selected canine vector borne pathogens in blood donor candidates, clinically  
1079 healthy volunteers, and stray dogs in North Carolina. *Parasites and Vectors*. 2014;7(1).
- 1080 17. Marenzoni ML, Lauzi S, Miglio A, et al. Comparison of three blood transfusion guidelines applied  
1081 to 31 feline donors to minimise the risk of transfusion-transmissible infections. *J Feline Med Surg*.  
1082 2018;20(8):663-673.
- 1083 18. Vascellari M, Ravagnan S, Carminato A, et al. Exposure to vector-borne pathogens in candidate

- 1084 blood donor and free-roaming dogs of northeast Italy. *Parasites and Vectors*. 2016;9(1):1-10.
- 1085 19. Blasi Brugué C, Ferreira RRF, Mesa Sanchez I, et al. In vitro quality control analysis after  
1086 processing and during storage of feline packed red blood cells units. *BMC Vet Res*. 2018;14:141.
- 1087 20. Spada E, Perego R, Baggiani L, Martino PA, Proverbio D. Hematological, biochemical and  
1088 microbiological evaluation of feline whole blood units collected using an open system and stored  
1089 for 35 days. *Vet J*. 2019;254:105396.
- 1090 21. Spada E, Proverbio D, Martino PA, Baggiani L, Roggero N. Ammonia concentration and bacterial  
1091 evaluation of feline whole blood and packed red blood cell units stored for transfusion. *Int J Heal*  
1092 *Anim Sci Food Saf*. 2014;1(2):15-23.
- 1093 22. Stefanetti V, Miglio A, Cappelli K, et al. Detection of bacterial contamination and DNA  
1094 quantification in stored blood units in 2 veterinary hospital blood banks. *Vet Clin Pathol*.  
1095 2016;45(3):406-410.
- 1096 23. Yagi K, Holowaychuk M, eds. *Manual of Veterinary Transfusion Medicine and Blood Banking*. 1st  
1097 ed. Ames, IA, IA: Wiley-Blackwell; 2016.
- 1098 24. Binvel M, Fairbrother J, Lévesque V, Blais M-C. Comparison of a closed system and an open  
1099 system for blood collection in feline donors. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2020;22(12):1121-1128.
- 1100 25. Crestani C, Stefani A, Carminato A, et al. In vitro assessment of quality of citrate-phosphate-  
1101 dextrose-adenine-1 preserved feline blood collected by a commercial closed system. *J Vet Intern*  
1102 *Med*. 2018;32(3):1051-1059.
- 1103 26. Kessler RJ, Rankin S, Young S, et al. Pseudomonas fluorescens contamination of a feline packed  
1104 red blood cell unit and studies of canine units. *Vet Clin Pathol*. 2010;39(1):29-38.

- 1105 27. Hohenhaus AE, Drusin LM, Garvey MS. *Serratia marcescens* contamination of feline whole blood  
1106 in a hospital blood bank. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 1997;210(6):794-798.
- 1107 28. Lin JS, Tzeng CH, Hao TC, et al. Cytokine release in febrile non-haemolytic red cell transfusion  
1108 reactions. *Vox Sang.* 2002;82(3):156-160.
- 1109 29. Bosch Lozano L, Blois SL, Wood RD, et al. A pilot study evaluating the effects of prestorage  
1110 leukoreduction on markers of inflammation in critically ill dogs receiving a blood transfusion. *J*  
1111 *Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2019;29(4):385-390.
- 1112 30. McMichael MA, Smith SA, Galligan A, Swanson KS, Fan TM. Effect of leukoreduction on  
1113 transfusion-induced inflammation in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2010;24(5):1131-1137.
- 1114 31. Callan MB, Patel RT, Rux AH, et al. Transfusion of 28-day-old leucoreduced or non-leucoreduced  
1115 stored red blood cells induces an inflammatory response in healthy dogs. *Vox Sang.*  
1116 2013;105(4):319-327.
- 1117 32. Wallis JP, Chapman CE, Orr KE, Clark SC, Forty JR. Effect of WBC reduction of transfused RBCs on  
1118 postoperative infection rates in cardiac surgery. *Transfusion* 2002;42(9):1127-1134.
- 1119 33. Van Hilten JA, Van De Watering LMG, Van Bockel JH, et al. Effects of transfusion with red cells  
1120 filtered to remove leucocytes: Randomised controlled trial in patients undergoing major surgery.  
1121 *Br Med J.* 2004;328(7451):1281-1284.
- 1122 34. van de Watering LM, Hermans J, Houbiers JG, et al. Beneficial effects of leukocyte depletion of  
1123 transfused blood on postoperative complications in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a  
1124 randomized clinical trial. *Circulation* 1998;97(6):562-568.
- 1125 35. Jensen LS, Kissmeyer-Nielsen P, Wolff B, Qvist N. Randomised comparison of leucocyte-depleted  
1126 versus buffy-coat-poor blood transfusion and complications after colorectal surgery. *Lancet.*

- 1127 1996;348(9031):841-845.
- 1128 36. Phelan HA, Eastman AL, Aldy K, et al. Prestorage leukoreduction abrogates the detrimental effect  
1129 of aging on packed red cells transfused after trauma: A prospective cohort study. *Am J Surg.*  
1130 2012;203(2):198-204.
- 1131 37. Tartter PI, Mohandas K, Azar P, Endres J, Kaplan J, Spivack M. Randomized trial comparing packed  
1132 red cell blood transfusion with and without leukocyte depletion for gastrointestinal surgery. In:  
1133 *Am J Surg.* 1998;176(5):462-466.
- 1134 38. Titlestad I, Ebbesen LS, Ainsworth AP, Lillevang ST, Qvist N, Georgsen J. Leukocyte-depletion of  
1135 blood components does not significantly reduce the risk of infectious complications. Results of a  
1136 double-blinded, randomized study. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2001;16(3):147-153.
- 1137 39. Hébert PC, Fergusson D, Blajchman MA, et al. Clinical Outcomes Following Institution of the  
1138 Canadian Universal Leukoreduction Program for Red Blood Cell Transfusions. *J Am Med Assoc.*  
1139 2003;289(15):1941-1949.
- 1140 40. Phelan HA, Gonzalez RP, Patel HD, et al. Prestorage leukoreduction ameliorates the effects of  
1141 aging on banked blood. *J Trauma - Inj Infect Crit Care.* 2010;69(2):330-335.
- 1142 41. Llewelyn CA, Taylor RS, Todd AAM, Stevens W, Murphy MF, Williamson LM. The effect of  
1143 universal leukoreduction on postoperative infections and length of hospital stay in elective  
1144 orthopedic and cardiac surgery. *Transfusion* 2004;44(4):489-500.
- 1145 42. Nathens AB, Nester TA, Rubenfeld GD, Nirula R, Gernsheimer TB. The effects of leukoreduced  
1146 blood transfusion on infection risk following injury: A randomized controlled trial. *Shock*  
1147 2006;26(4):342-347.
- 1148 43. Frietsch T, Karger R, Schöler M, et al. Leukodepletion of autologous whole blood has no impact

- 1149 on perioperative infection rate and length of hospital stay. *Transfusion* 2008;48(10):2133-2142.
- 1150 44. Simancas-Racines D, Osorio D, Martí-Carvajal AJ, Arevalo-Rodriguez I. Leukoreduction for the  
1151 prevention of adverse reactions from allogeneic blood transfusion. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.*  
1152 2015;2015(12).
- 1153 45. Jackman RP, Utter GH, Lee T, et al. Lack of persistent microchimerism in contemporary  
1154 transfused trauma patients. *Transfusion.* 2019;59(11):3329-3336.
- 1155 46. Utter GH, Nathens AB, Lee TH, et al. Leukoreduction of blood transfusions does not diminish  
1156 transfusion- associated microchimerism in trauma patients. *Transfusion.* 2006;46(11):1863-1869.
- 1157 47. Lee TH, Paglieroni T, Utter GH, et al. High-level long-term white blood cell microchimerism after  
1158 transfusion of leukoreduced blood components to patients resuscitated after severe traumatic  
1159 injury. *Transfusion* 2005;45(8):1280-1290.
- 1160 48. Blumberg N, Heal JM, Gettings K, et al. An association between decreased cardiopulmonary  
1161 complications (TRALI and TACO) and implementation of universal leukoreduction of blood  
1162 transfusions. *Transfusion* 2010;50(12):2738-2744.
- 1163 49. Watkins TR, Rubenfeld GD, Martin TR, et al. Effects of leukoreduced blood on acute lung injury  
1164 after trauma: A randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care Med.* 2008;36(5):1493-1499.
- 1165 50. Proctor M, Leiby D. Do leukoreduction filters passively reduce the transmission risk of human  
1166 granulocytic anaplasmosis? *Transfusion* 2015;55:1242-1248.
- 1167 51. Alhumaidan H, Westley B, Esteva C, Berardi V, Young C, Sweeney J. Transfusion-transmitted  
1168 anaplasmosis from leukoreduced red blood cells. *Transfusion* 2013;53(1):181-186.
- 1169 52. Fine AB, Sweeney JD, Nixon CP, Knoll BM. Transfusion-transmitted anaplasmosis from a

- 1170 leukoreduced platelet pool. *Transfusion* 2016;56(3):699-704.
- 1171 53. Yazer MH, Podlosky L, Clarke G, Nahirniak SM. The effect of prestorage WBC reduction on the  
1172 rates of febrile nonhemolytic transfusion reactions to platelet concentrates and RBC. *Transfusion*  
1173 2004;44(1):10-15.
- 1174 54. Rajesh K, Harsh S, Amarjit K. Effects of prestorage leukoreduction on the rate of febrile  
1175 nonhemolytic transfusion reactions to red blood cells in a tertiary care hospital. *Ann Med Health*  
1176 *Sci Res.* 2015;5(3):185.
- 1177 55. King KE, Shirey RS, Thoman SK, Bensen-Kennedy D, Tanz WS, Ness PM. Universal leukoreduction  
1178 decreases the incidence of febrile nonhemolytic transfusion reactions to RBCs. *Transfusion*  
1179 2004;44(1):25-29.
- 1180 56. Paglino JC, Pomper GJ, Fisch GS, Champion MH, Snyder EL. Reduction of febrile but not allergic  
1181 reactions to RBCs and platelets after conversion to universal prestorage leukoreduction.  
1182 *Transfusion* 2004;44(1):16-24.
- 1183 57. Chang CC, Lee TC, Su MJ, et al. Transfusion-associated adverse reactions (TAARs) and cytokine  
1184 accumulations in the stored blood components: The impact of prestorage versus poststorage  
1185 leukoreduction. *Oncotarget.* 2018;9(4):4385-4394.
- 1186 58. Dzik WH, Anderson JK, O'Neill EM, Assmann SF, Kalish LA, Stowell CP. A prospective, randomized  
1187 clinical trial of universal WBC reduction. *Transfusion.* 2002;42(9):1114-1122.
- 1188 59. Hann L, Brown DC, King LG, Callan MB. Effect of Duration of Packed Red Blood Cell Storage on  
1189 Morbidity and Mortality in Dogs After Transfusion: 3,095 cases (2001-2010). *J Vet Intern Med*  
1190 2014;28(6):1830-1837.
- 1191 60. Yakymchuk I, Makarin A, Yakymchuk O, et al. Effectiveness of transfusion of packed red blood

- 1192 cells and whole blood with various terms of storage to dogs. *Rev Rom Med Vet.* 2020;20(2):86-92.
- 1193 61. Solomon SB, Cortés-Puch I, Sun J, et al. Transfused older stored red blood cells improve the  
1194 clinical course and outcome in a canine lethal hemorrhage and reperfusion model. *Transfusion*  
1195 2015;55(11):2552-2563.
- 1196 62. Solomon SB, Sun J, Kaniyas T, et al. Mortality increases after massive exchange transfusion with  
1197 older stored blood in canines with experimental pneumonia. *Blood.* 2013;121(9):1663-1672.
- 1198 63. Wurlod VA, Smith SA, McMichael MA, O'Brien M, Herring J, Swanson KS. Iron metabolism  
1199 following intravenous transfusion with stored versus fresh autologous erythrocyte concentrate in  
1200 healthy dogs. *Am J Vet Res.* 2015;76(11):996-1004.
- 1201 64. Wang D, Cortés-Puch I, Sun J, et al. Transfusion of older stored blood worsens outcomes in  
1202 canines depending on the presence and severity of pneumonia. *Transfusion* 2014;54(7):1712-  
1203 1724.
- 1204 65. Cummings KA, Abelson AL, Rozanski EA, Sharp CR. The effect of storage on ammonia, cytokine,  
1205 and chemokine concentrations in feline whole blood. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2016;26(5):639-645.
- 1206 66. Heinz JA, Pashmakova MB, Wilson CR, et al. Biochemical evaluation of the effects of storage on  
1207 feline erythrocytes. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2016;57(11):637-643.
- 1208 67. Waddell LS, Holt DE, Hughes D, Giger U. The effect of storage on ammonia concentration in  
1209 canine pRBCs. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2001;11(1):23-26.
- 1210 68. Wilson CR, Pashmakova MB, Heinz JA, et al. Biochemical evaluation of storage lesion in canine  
1211 packed erythrocytes. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2017;58(12):678-684.
- 1212 69. Rossfeld ZM, Wright NR. Hyperammonemia after blood transfusion. *Ann Intern Med.*

- 1213 2017;167(2):143-144.
- 1214 70. Jutkowitz LA, Rozanski EA, Moreau JA, Rush JE. Massive transfusion in dogs: 15 cases (1997-  
1215 2001). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2002;220(11):1664-1669.
- 1216 71. Roux FA, Deschamps JY, Blais MC, Welsh DM, Delaforcade-Buress AM, Rozanski EA. Multiple red  
1217 cell transfusions in 27 cats (2003-2006): indications, complications and outcomes. *J Feline Med*  
1218 *Surg.* 2008;10(3):213-218.
- 1219 72. Buckley GJ, Breed MW, Aktay SA, Rozanski EA. Massive transfusion and surgical management of  
1220 iatrogenic aortic laceration associated with cystocentesis in a dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.*  
1221 2009;235(3):288-291.
- 1222 73. Pfaff AW, Rozanski EA, Lynch AM. Massive haemorrhage associated with inadvertent incision of a  
1223 suspected carotid artery pseudoaneurysm in a cat. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2015;56(12):720-722.
- 1224 74. Ghosal RDK, Bos A. Successful management of catastrophic peripheral vascular hemorrhage using  
1225 massive autotransfusion and damage control surgery in a dog. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care*  
1226 2019;29(4):439-443.
- 1227 75. Patterson J, Rousseau A, Kessler RJ, Giger U. In vitro lysis and acute transfusion reactions with  
1228 hemolysis caused by inappropriate storage of canine red blood cell products. *J Vet Intern Med.*  
1229 2011;25(4):927-933.
- 1230 76. Sowemimo-Coker SO. *Red Blood Cell Hemolysis During Processing.* *Transfusion Medicine Reviews*  
1231 2002;16(1):46-60.
- 1232 77. Hess JR, Sparrow RL, Van Der Meer PF, Acker JP, Cardigan RA, Devine D V. Blood Components:  
1233 Red blood cell hemolysis during blood bank storage: using national quality management data to  
1234 answer basic scientific questions. *Transfusion* 2009;49(12):2599-2603.

- 1235 78. Lacerda LA, Hlavac NRC, Terra SR, Back FP, Jane Wardrop K, González FHD. Effects of four  
1236 additive solutions on canine leukoreduced red cell concentrate quality during storage. *Vet Clin*  
1237 *Pathol.* 2014;43(3):362-370.
- 1238 79. Wardrop KJ, Tucker RL, Mugnai K. Evaluation of Canine Red Blood Cells Stored in a Saline,  
1239 Adenine, and Glucose Solution for 35 Days. *J Vet Intern Med.* 1997;11(1):5-8.
- 1240 80. Ferreira RRF, Graça RMC, Cardoso IM, Gopegui RR, de Matos AJF. In vitro hemolysis of stored  
1241 units of canine packed red blood cells. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care.* 2018;28(6):512-517.
- 1242 81. Rodrigues RR, Kayano CY, dos Santos VP, Moroz LR, Fantoni DT, Ambrósio AM. Evaluation of  
1243 hematologic, biochemical, and blood gas variables in stored canine packed red blood cells, and  
1244 the impact of storage time on blood recipients. *Vet Clin Pathol.* 2020;49(2):198-206.
- 1245 82. Janatpour KA, Paglieroni TG, Crocker VL, DuBois DJ, Holland P V. Visual assessment of hemolysis  
1246 in red blood cell units and segments can be deceptive. *Transfusion* 2004;44(7):984-989.
- 1247 83. Jaeger B, Reems M. Visual inspection of stored Canine blood for hemolysis compared with  
1248 measured plasma-free hemoglobin to assess suitability for transfusion. *Can Vet J.*  
1249 2018;59(11):1171-1174.
- 1250 84. Seth M, Jackson K V., Winzelberg S, Giger U. Comparison of gel column, card, and cartridge  
1251 techniques for dog erythrocyte antigen 1.1 blood typing. *Am J Vet Res.* 2012;73(2):213-219.
- 1252 85. Goulet S, Blais MC. Characterization of Anti-Dal Alloantibodies Following Sensitization of Two Dal-  
1253 Negative Dogs. *Vet Pathol.* 2018;55(1):108-115.
- 1254 86. Kessler RJ, Reese J, Chang D, Seth M, Hale AS, Giger U. ORIGINAL RESEARCH: Dog erythrocyte  
1255 antigens 1.1, 1.2, 3, 4, 7, and Dal blood typing and cross-matching by gel column technique. *Vet*  
1256 *Clin Pathol.* 2010;39(3):306-316.

- 1257 87. Polak K, Acierno MM, Raj K, Mizukami K, Siegel DL, Giger U. Dog erythrocyte antigen 1: mode of  
1258 inheritance and initial characterization. *Vet Clin Pathol.* 2015;44(3):369-379.
- 1259 88. Acierno MM, Raj K, Giger U. DEA 1 expression on dog erythrocytes analyzed by  
1260 immunochromatographic and flow cytometric techniques. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2014;28(2):592-598.
- 1261 89. Swisher S, Young L, N T. In vitro and in vivo studies of the behavior of canine erythrocyte-  
1262 isoantibody systems. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* 1962;97:15-25.
- 1263 90. Giger U, Gelens CJ, Callan MB, Oakley DA. An Acute Hemolytic Transfusion Reaction Caused by  
1264 Dog Erythrocyte Antigen 1.1 Incompatibility in a Previously Sensitized Dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.*  
1265 1995;206(9):1358-1362.
- 1266 91. Callan MB, Jones LT, Giger U. Hemolytic Transfusion Reactions in a Dog With an Alloantibody to a  
1267 Common Antigen. *J Vet Intern Med.* 1995;9(4):277-279.
- 1268 92. Odunayo A, Garraway K, Rohrbach BW, Rainey A, Stokes J. Incidence of incompatible crossmatch  
1269 results in dogs admitted to a veterinary teaching hospital with no history of prior red blood cell  
1270 transfusion. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2017;250(3):303-308.
- 1271 93. Goy-Thollot I, Giger U, Boisvineau C, et al. Pre- and Post-Transfusion Alloimmunization in Dogs  
1272 Characterized by 2 Antiglobulin-Enhanced Cross-match Tests. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2017;31(5):1420-  
1273 1429.
- 1274 94. Guidetti M, Goy-Thollot I, Boisvineau C, Giger U. Alloimmunization of a dog erythrocyte antigen  
1275 1- dog transfused with weakly dog erythrocyte antigen 1+ blood. *J Vet Intern Med.*  
1276 2019;33(5):2037-2045.
- 1277 95. Ebelt AK, Fuchs S, Weber C, Müller E, Giger U. Survey of Blood Groups DEA 1, DEA 4, DEA 5, Dal,  
1278 and Kai 1/Kai 2 in Different Canine Breeds From a Diagnostic Laboratory in Germany. *Front Vet*

- 1279            *Sci.* 2020;7.
- 1280    96.    Proverbio D, Lubas G, Spada E, et al. Prevalence of Dal blood type and dog erythrocyte antigens  
1281            (DEA) 1, 4, and 7 in canine blood donors in Italy and Spain. *BMC Vet Res.* 2020;16(1).
- 1282    97.    Euler CC, Lee JH, Kim HY, Raj K, Mizukami K, Giger U. Survey of Two New (Kai 1 and Kai 2) and  
1283            Other Blood Groups in Dogs of North America. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2016;30(5):1642-1647.
- 1284    98.    Blais M-CC, Berman L, Oakley DA, Giger U. Canine Dal blood type: A red cell antigen lacking in  
1285            some Dalmatians. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2007;21(2):281-286.
- 1286    99.    Guzman LR, Streeter E, Malandra A. Comparison of a commercial blood cross-matching kit to the  
1287            standard laboratory method for establishing blood transfusion compatibility in dogs. *J Vet Emerg  
1288            Crit Care* 2016;26(2):262-268.
- 1289    100.   Villarnovo D, Burton SA, Horney BS, MacKenzie AL, Vanderstichel R. Preliminary evaluation of a  
1290            gel tube agglutination major cross-match method in dogs. *Vet Clin Pathol.* 2016;45(3):411-416.
- 1291    101.   Blais M, Rozanski EA, Hale AS, Shaw SP, Cotter SM. Lack of evidence of pregnancy-induced  
1292            alloantibodies in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2009;23(3):462-465.
- 1293    102.   Spada E, Proverbio D, Baggiani L, Canzi I, Perego R. Activity, specificity, and titer of naturally  
1294            occurring canine anti-DEA 7 antibodies. *J Vet Diagnostic Investig.* 2016;28(6):705-708.
- 1295    103.   Spada E, Proverbio D, Viñals Flórez LM, et al. Prevalence of naturally occurring antibodies against  
1296            dog erythrocyte antigen 7 in a population of dog erythrocyte antigen 7-negative dogs from Spain  
1297            and Italy. *Am J Vet Res.* 2016;77(8):877-881.
- 1298    104.   Spada E, Perego R, Viñals Flórez LM, et al. Comparison of cross-matching method for detection of  
1299            DEA 7 blood incompatibility. *J Vet Diagnostic Investig.* 2018;30(6):911-916.

- 1300 105. Marshall H, Blois SL, Abrams-Ogg ACG, Bersenas AM, Ruotsalo K, Monteith G. Accuracy of  
1301 point-of-care crossmatching methods and crossmatch incompatibility in critically ill dogs. *J Vet*  
1302 *Intern Med.* December 2020;jvim.15983.
- 1303 106. Melzer KJ, Wardrop KJ, Hale AS, Wong VM. A Hemolytic Transfusion Reaction due to DEA 4  
1304 Alloantibodies in a Dog. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2003;17(6):931-933.
- 1305 107. Davidow B. Transfusion medicine in small animals. *Vet Clin North Am - Small Anim Pract.*  
1306 2013;43(4):735-756.
- 1307 108. Zaremba R, Brooks A, Thomovsky E. Transfusion Medicine: An Update on Antigens, Antibodies  
1308 and Serologic Testing in Dogs and Cats. *Top Companion Anim Med.* 2019;34:36-46.
- 1309 109. Sylvane B, Prittie J, Hohenhaus AE, Tozier E. Effect of cross-match on packed cell volume after  
1310 transfusion of packed red blood cells in transfusion-naïve anemic cats. *J Vet Intern Med.*  
1311 2018;32(3):1077-1083.
- 1312 110. Tocci LJ, Ewing PJ. Increasing patient safety in veterinary transfusion medicine: an overview of  
1313 pretransfusion testing. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care.* 2009;19(1):66-73.
- 1314 111. Logan JC, Callan MB, Drew K, Marryott K, Oakley DA. Clinical indications for use of fresh frozen  
1315 plasma in dogs: 74 dogs (October through December 1999). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.*  
1316 2001;218(9):1449-1455.
- 1317 112. Snow SJ, Ari Jutkowitz L, Brown AJ. Trends in plasma transfusion at a veterinary teaching hospital:  
1318 308 patients (1996-1998 and 2006-2008). *J Vet Emerg Crit Care.* 2010;20(4):441-445.
- 1319 113. O'Shaughnessy DF, Atterbury C, Bolton Maggs P, et al. Guidelines for the use of fresh-frozen  
1320 plasma, cryoprecipitate and cryosupernatant. *Br J Haematol.* 2004;126(1):11-28.

- 1321 114. Liu F, Zhou FY, Hu LH. RBC alloimmunization is an important complication of FFP transfusion: A  
1322 case report of immune anti-D induced by apheresis fresh frozen plasma. *Transfus Clin Biol.*  
1323 2009;16(4):400-403.
- 1324 115. Ching EP, Poon MC, Neurath D, Ruether BA. Red blood cell alloimmunization complicating plasma  
1325 transfusion. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1991;96(2):201-202.
- 1326 116. Niggemeier A, Haberstroh HF, Nelson VE, Giger U. An Accidental Transfusion of a Type A Kitten  
1327 with Type B Blood Causes a Transient Switch from Blood Type A to B. *J Vet Intern Med.*  
1328 2000;14(2):214-216.
- 1329 117. Giger U, Akol KG. Acute Hemolytic Transfusion Reaction in an Abyssinian Cat With Blood Type B. *J*  
1330 *Vet Intern Med.* 1990;4(6):315-316.
- 1331 118. Koenig A, Maglaras CH, Giger U. Acute hemolytic reaction due to A-B mismatched transfusion in a  
1332 cat with transient AB blood type. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2020;30(3):325-330.
- 1333 119. Auer L, Bell K, Coates S. Blood transfusion reactions in the cat. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.*  
1334 1982;180(7):729-730.
- 1335 120. Auer L, Bell K. Transfusion reactions in cats due to AB blood group incompatibility. *Res Vet Sci.*  
1336 1983;35(2):145-152.
- 1337 121. Weingart C, Giger U, Kohn B. Whole blood transfusions in 91 cats: A clinical evaluation. *J Feline*  
1338 *Med Surg.* 2004;6(3):139-148.
- 1339 122. McClosky ME, Cimino Brown D, Weinstein NM, et al. Prevalence of naturally occurring non-AB  
1340 blood type incompatibilities in cats and influence of crossmatch on transfusion outcomes. *J Vet*  
1341 *Intern Med.* 2018;32(6):1934-1942.

- 1342 123. Weinstein NM, Blais M-C, Harris K, et al. A Newly Recognized Blood Group in Domestic Shorthair  
1343 Cats: The Mik Red Cell Antigen. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2007;21(2):287-292.
- 1344 124. Hourani L, Weingart C, Kohn B. Alloimmunisation in transfused patients: serial cross-matching in  
1345 a population of hospitalised cats. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2017;19(12):1231-1237.
- 1346 125. Weltman JG, Fletcher DJ, Rogers C. Influence of cross-match on posttransfusion packed cell  
1347 volume in feline packed red blood cell transfusion. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2014;24(4):429-436.
- 1348 126. Goy-Thollot I, Nectoux A, Guidetti M, et al. Detection of naturally occurring alloantibody by an in-  
1349 clinic antiglobulin-enhanced and standard crossmatch gel column test in non-transfused  
1350 domestic shorthair cats. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2019;33(2):588-595.
- 1351 127. Gurkan M, Arikan Ş, Ozaytekin E, Dodurka T. Titres of alloantibodies against A and B blood types  
1352 in non-pedigree domestic cats in Turkey: Assessing the transfusion reaction risk. *J Feline Med*  
1353 *Surg.* 2005;7(5):301-305.
- 1354 128. Castellanos I, Couto CG, Gray TL. Clinical use of blood products in cats: a retrospective study  
1355 (1997-2000). *J Vet Intern Med.* 2004;18(4):529-532.
- 1356 129. Callan MB, Jones LT, Giger U. Hemolytic Transfusion Reactions in a Dog with an Alloantibody to a  
1357 Common Antigen. *J Vet Intern Med.* 1995;9(4):277-279.
- 1358 130. Drot C. The gel test: a new way to detect red cell antigen-antibody reactions. *Transfusion*  
1359 1990;30(2):109-113.
- 1360 131. Cid J, Nogués N, Montero R, Hurtado M, Briega A, Parra R. Comparison of three microtube  
1361 column agglutination systems for antibody screening: DG Gel, DiaMed-ID and Ortho BioVue.  
1362 *Transfus Med.* 2006;16(2):131-136.

- 1363 132. Novaretti MCZ, Jens E, Pagliarini T, Bonifacio SL, Dorlhiac-Llacer PE, Chamone DAF. Comparison of  
1364 conventional tube test technique and gel microcolumn assay for direct antiglobulin test: A large  
1365 study. *J Clin Lab Anal.* 2004;18(5):255-258.
- 1366 133. Novaretti MC, Silveira EJ, Filho EC, et al. Comparison of tube and gel techniques for antibody  
1367 identification. *Immunohematology.* 2000;16(4):138-141.
- 1368 134. Hessler J, Davis L, Dale H. The effects of repeated transfusions of dog blood to cats. *Small Anim*  
1369 *Clin.* 1962;2:684-687.
- 1370 135. Priolo V, Masucci M, Spada E, Proverbio D, Pennisi MG. Naturally occurring antibodies in cats  
1371 against dog erythrocyte antigens and vice versa. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2018;20(8):690-695.
- 1372 136. Le Gal A, Thomas EK, Humm KR. Xenotransfusion of canine blood to cats: a review of 49 cases  
1373 and their outcome. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2019;61(3):156-162.
- 1374 137. Euler CC, Raj K, Mizukami K, et al. Xenotransfusion of anemic cats with blood compatibility issues:  
1375 pre- and posttransfusion laboratory diagnostic and crossmatching studies. *Vet Clin Pathol.*  
1376 2016;45(2):244-253.
- 1377 138. Oron L, Bruchim Y, Klainbart S, Kelmer E. Ultrasound-guided intracardiac xenotransfusion of  
1378 canine packed red blood cells and epinephrine to the left ventricle of a severely anemic cat  
1379 during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2017;27(2):218-223.
- 1380 139. Clark C, Kiesel G. Longevity of red blood cells in interspecies transfusion. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.*  
1381 1963;143:400-401.
- 1382 140. Dupont J, Serteyn D, Sandersen C. Life-Threatening Hemorrhage During Patent Ductus Arteriosus  
1383 Ligation in a Cat: Xenotransfusion With Canine Blood. *Front Vet Sci.* 2020;7.

- 1384 141. Giger U, Bücheler J. *Transfusion to Type-A and Type-B Blood to Cats*. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1991;  
1385 198(3):411-418.
- 1386 142. Bovens C, Gruffydd-Jones T. Xenotransfusion with canine blood in the feline species: Review of  
1387 the literature. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2013;15(2):62-67.
- 1388 143. Martí-Carvajal AJ, Solà I, González LE, Leon de Gonzalez G, Rodriguez-Malagon N.  
1389 Pharmacological interventions for the prevention of allergic and febrile non-haemolytic  
1390 transfusion reactions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2010;2017(12).
- 1391 144. Ning S, Solh Z, Arnold DM, Morin P. Premedication for the prevention of nonhemolytic  
1392 transfusion reactions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transfusion*. 2019;59(12):3609-  
1393 3616.
- 1394 145. Mink S, Becker A, Sharma S, Unruh H, Duke K, Kepron W. *Role of Autacoids in Cardiovascular*  
1395 *Collapse in Anaphylactic Shock in Anesthetized Dogs*. Cardiovascular Research 1999;43(1):173-  
1396 182.
- 1397 146. Sanders RP, Maddirala SD, Geiger TL, et al. Premedication with acetaminophen or  
1398 diphenhydramine for transfusion with leucoreduced blood products in children. *Br J Haematol*.  
1399 2005;130(5):781-787.
- 1400 147. Savage WJ, Hamilton RG, Tobian AAR, et al. Defining risk factors and presentations of allergic  
1401 reactions to platelet transfusion. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2014;133(6):1772-1775.
- 1402 148. Kennedy LAD, Case LD, Hurd DD, Cruz JM, Pomper GJ. A prospective, randomized, double-blind  
1403 controlled trial of acetaminophen and diphenhydramine pretransfusion medication versus  
1404 placebo for the prevention of transfusion reactions. *Transfusion*. 2008;48(11):2285-2291.
- 1405 149. Ezidiegwu CN, Lauenstein KJ, Rosales LC, Kelly KC, Henry JB. Febrile nonhemolytic transfusion

- 1406 reactions: Management by premedication and cost implications in adult patients. *Arch Pathol Lab*  
1407 *Med.* 2004;128(9):991-995.
- 1408 150. Wang SE, Lara PN, Lee-Ow A, et al. Acetaminophen and diphenhydramine as premedication for  
1409 platelet transfusions: A prospective randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Am J*  
1410 *Hematol.* 2002;70(3):191-194.
- 1411 151. Rujkijyanont P, Monsereenusorn C, Manoonphol P, Traivaree C. Efficacy of Oral Acetaminophen  
1412 and Intravenous Chlorpheniramine Maleate versus Placebo to Prevent Red Cell Transfusion  
1413 Reactions in Children and Adolescent with Thalassemia: A Prospective, Randomized, Double-  
1414 Blind Controlled Trial. *Anemia.* 2018;2018:9492303.
- 1415 152. Patterson, Freedman, Blanchette, et al. Effect of premedication guidelines and leukoreduction on  
1416 the rate of febrile nonhaemolytic platelet transfusion reactions. *Transfus Med.* 2000;10(3):199-  
1417 206.
- 1418 153. Sanders RP, Maddirala SD, Geiger TL, et al. Premedication with acetaminophen or  
1419 diphenhydramine for transfusion with leucoreduced blood products in children. *Br J Haematol.*  
1420 2005;130(5):781-787.
- 1421 154. Bennardello F, Fidone C, Spadola V, et al. The prevention of adverse reactions to transfusions in  
1422 patients with haemoglobinopathies: A proposed algorithm. *Blood Transfus.* 2013;11(3):377-384.
- 1423 155. Maynard K. Administration of Blood Components. In: Fung MK, Grossman BJ, Hillyer CD,  
1424 Westhoff CM, eds. *AABB Technical Manual.* Bethesda, Maryland: AABB; 2014:545-559.
- 1425 156. O'Reilly C. Clinical Guide to Transfusion. In: Charge S, ed. *Canadian Blood Services' Clinical Guide*  
1426 *to Transfusion.* Clarke, Gw. Canadian Blood Services; 2020. Available at:  
1427 [https://professionaleducation.blood.ca/en/transfusion/clinical-guide/blood-administration.](https://professionaleducation.blood.ca/en/transfusion/clinical-guide/blood-administration)

- 1428 Accessed: December 28, 2020.
- 1429 157. Australian Red Cross Lifeblood. *Blood Book : Australian Blood Administration Handbook*. 1st ed.  
1430 Adelaide: Australian Red Cross Lifeblood; 2020.
- 1431 158. Murphy EL, Kwaan N, Looney MR, et al. Risk factors and outcomes in transfusion-associated  
1432 circulatory overload. *Am J Med*. 2013;126(4): P357.E29-E38.
- 1433 159. Lieberman L, Maskens C, Cserti-Gazdewich C, et al. A retrospective review of patient factors,  
1434 transfusion practices, and outcomes in patients with transfusion-associated circulatory overload.  
1435 *Transfus Med Rev*. 2013;27(4):206-212.
- 1436 160. Li G, Rachmale S, Kojicic M, et al. Incidence and transfusion risk factors for transfusion-associated  
1437 circulatory overload among medical intensive care unit patients. *Transfusion* 2011;51(2):338-343.
- 1438 161. Heikes BW, Ruaux CG. Effect of syringe and aggregate filter administration on survival of  
1439 transfused autologous fresh feline red blood cells. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care*. 2014;24(2):162-167.
- 1440 162. Poder TG, Boileau J-C, Lafrenière R, et al. Quantitative assessment of haemolysis secondary to  
1441 modern infusion pumps. *Vox Sang*. 2017;112(3):201-209.
- 1442 163. Weeks JM, Motsinger-Reif AAM, Reems MM. In vitro iatrogenic hemolysis of canine packed red  
1443 blood cells during various rapid transfusion techniques. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2020;(December):1-  
1444 7.
- 1445 164. McDevitt RI, Ruaux CG, Baltzer WI. Influence of transfusion technique on survival of autologous  
1446 red blood cells in the dog. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2011;21(3):209-216.
- 1447 165. Stiles J, Raffe MR. Hemolysis of Canine Fresh and Stored Blood Associated With Peristaltic Pump  
1448 Infusion. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 1991;1(2):50-53.

- 1449 166. Cooley-Lock KM, Williams JP, Williams ML, et al. Assessment of erythrocyte damage and in-line  
1450 pressure changes associated with simulated transfusion of canine blood through microaggregate  
1451 filters. *Am J Vet Res.* 2019;80(9):852-861.
- 1452 167. Frey B, Eber S, Weiss M. Changes in red blood cell integrity related to infusion pumps: a  
1453 comparison of three different pump mechanisms. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2003;4:465-470.
- 1454 168. Deeg HJ, Graham TC, Gerhard-Miller L, Appelbaum FR, Schuening F, Storb R. Prevention of  
1455 Transfusion-Induced Graft-Versus-Host Disease in Dogs by Ultraviolet Irradiation. *Blood.*  
1456 1989;74(7):2592-2595.
- 1457 169. Press SA, Cooper ES, Stull JW. Electrolyte, acid–base, and hemoglobin oxygen affinity alterations  
1458 following irradiation and storage of canine packed red blood cells. *Vet Clin Pathol.*  
1459 2017;46(4):580-588.
- 1460 170. Metcalf RA, Bakhtary S, Goodnough LT, Andrews J. Clinical Pattern in Hypotensive Transfusion  
1461 Reactions. *Anesth Analg.* 2016;123(2):268-273.
- 1462 171. Smith RM, Wurlod VA, Ralph AG, Daniels ER, Mitchell M. Mortality rate and prognostic factors for  
1463 dogs with severe anaphylaxis: 67 cases (2016-2018). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2020;256(10):1137-  
1464 1144.
- 1465 172. Delaney M, Wendel S, Bercovitz RS, et al. Transfusion reactions: prevention, diagnosis, and  
1466 treatment. *Lancet.* 2016;388(10061):2825-2836.
- 1467 173. Hendrickson JE, Roubinian NH, Chowdhury D, et al. Incidence of transfusion reactions: a  
1468 multicenter study utilizing systematic active surveillance and expert adjudication. *Transfusion*  
1469 2016;56(10):2587-2596.
- 1470 174. Robinson S, Harris A, Atkinson S, et al. The administration of blood components: a British Society

- 1471 for Haematology Guideline. *Transfus Med.* 2018;28(1):3-21.
- 1472 175. Elizalde J, Clemente J, Marin J, et al. Early changes in hemoglobin and hematocrit levels after  
1473 packed red cell transfusion in patients with acute anemia. *Transfusion* 1997;37(6):573-576.
- 1474 176. Glatstein M, Oron T, Barak M, Mimouni FB, Dollberg S. Posttransfusion equilibration of  
1475 hematocrit in hemodynamically stable neonates. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2005;6(6):707-708.
- 1476 177. Morris JL, Bloch CP, Brabson TL. The effect of time on packed cell volume following packed red  
1477 blood cell transfusion in anemic dogs. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* October 2020:vec.13027.
- 1478 178. Crump KL, Seshadri R. Use of therapeutic plasmapheresis in a case of canine immune-mediated  
1479 hemolytic anemia. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care.* 2009;19(4):375-380.
- 1480 179. Coady M, Fletcher DJ, Goggs R. Severity of Ionized Hypercalcemia and Hypocalcemia Is  
1481 Associated With Etiology in Dogs and Cats. *Front Vet Sci.* 2019;6:276.
- 1482 180. Posner LP, Willcox JL, Suter SE. Apheresis in three dogs weighing <math>\leq 14</math> kg. *Vet Anaesth Analg.*  
1483 2013;40(4):403-409.
- 1484 181. Skulberg R, Cortellini S, Chan DL, Stanzani G, Jepson RE. Description of the Use of Plasma  
1485 Exchange in Dogs With Cutaneous and Renal Glomerular Vasculopathy. *Front Vet Sci.* 2018;5:161.
- 1486 182. Langston CE, Cowgill LD, Spano JA. Applications and outcome of hemodialysis in cats: a review of  
1487 29 cases. *J Vet Intern Med.* 1997;11(6):348-355.
- 1488 183. Segev G, Nivy R, Kass PH, Cowgill LD. A Retrospective Study of Acute Kidney Injury in Cats and  
1489 Development of a Novel Clinical Scoring System for Predicting Outcome for Cats Managed by  
1490 Hemodialysis. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2013;27(4):830-839.
- 1491 184. Stanzani G, Jepson RE, Chan DL. Management of acute kidney injury with continuous venovenous

- 1492 haemodiafiltration in a cat. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2015;17(6):551-556.
- 1493 185. Lamb JL, Mankin KMT, Levine GJ, Thompson J. Electrolyte and acid/base changes in dogs  
1494 undergoing autologous blood transfusion via a cell salvage device. *Can Vet J*. 2015;56(9):947-952.
- 1495 186. Fukuda T, Toyoshima S, Nakashima Y, Koshitani O, Kawaguchi Y, Momii A. Tolerable infusion rate  
1496 of citrate based on clinical signs and the electrocardiogram in conscious dogs. *Clin Nutr*.  
1497 2006;25(6):984-993.
- 1498 187. Callan MB, Appleman EH, Shofer FS, Mason NJ, Brainard BM, Groman RP. Clinical and  
1499 clinicopathologic effects of plateletpheresis on healthy donor dogs. *Transfusion*  
1500 2008;48(10):2214-2221.
- 1501 188. Corbascio A, Smith N. Hemodynamic effects of experimental hypercitremia. *Anesthesiology*.  
1502 1967;28(3):510-516.
- 1503
- 1504
- 1505