

## **Total body water measurement in childhood**

Gregorio P. Milani,<sup>1</sup> Fabio Paglialonga,<sup>2</sup> Silvia Consolo,<sup>2</sup> Michiel J.S. Oosterveld<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pediatrics, University of Milan, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Pediatrics, Emma Children's Hospital/Academic Medical Center, The Netherlands

All authors equally contributed to this letter

Correspondence: Gregorio P Milani, Pediatric unit, Foundation IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, via della Commenda 9, 20122 Milan, Italy. Tel: 0039(0)255038727, Fax: 0039(0)255032918, email: [milani.gregoriop@gmail.com](mailto:milani.gregoriop@gmail.com)

Key words: body fluids, deuterium, dry weight, hemodialysis, bioimpedance

Sir:

Assessment of body fluid volumes is essential in the day-to-day care for children, especially those admitted to the ICU or on dialysis [1]. Bioimpedance spectroscopy has been claimed to be a noninvasive technology able to reliably measure total body water.

In the article "Validating the use of bioimpedance spectroscopy for assessment of fluid status in children" Dasgupta I et al. [2] evaluated the reliability in children of the Fresenius Medical Care Body Composition Monitor (BCM), a bioimpedance spectroscopy tool for the assessment of fluid status. They found that in healthy children, bioimpedance spectroscopy overestimates total body water by 0.6 L, when compared to the deuterium dilution technique. The authors present both the agreement between the two measurement techniques using a regression analysis (Fig. 1) and the Bland-Altman plot (Supplemental Fig. S1). The latter points out that the 95% limits of agreement between the two techniques ranged between -2.0 to +3.2 L. As a consequence, in a child with a

total body water content of 20 L, BCM measurements of total body water may vary between 18.0 and 23.2 L. Authors found similar results also for overhydration measurements by BCM and urea kinetic modelling in children on hemodialysis. These data hardly support the statement by the authors that bioimpedance spectroscopy "can be applied in children". The lack of sufficient accuracy for application in children is similar to that found in a recent study of BCM in children on chronic dialysis [3]

We can only conclude that the BCM is not currently applicable in children for body fluids assessment and that new efforts should be addressed to improve the precision of bioimpedance spectroscopy measurements in childhood.

1. Peruzzo M, Milani GP, Garzoni L, Longoni L, Simonetti GD, Bettinelli A, Fossali EF, Bianchetti MG (2010) Body fluids and salt metabolism - part II. Ital J Pediatr 36:78.
2. Dasgupta I, Keane D, Lindley E, Shaheen I, Tyerman K, Schaefer F, Wühl E, Müller MJ, Bosy-Westphal A, Fors H, Dahlgren J, Chamney P, Wabel P, Moissl U (2018) Validating the use of bioimpedance spectroscopy for assessment of fluid status in children. Pediatr Nephrol [Epub ahead of print]
3. Milani GP, Groothoff JW, Vianello FA, Fossali EF, Paglialonga F, Edefonti A, Agostoni C, Consonni D, van Harskamp D, van Goudoever JB, Schierbeek H, Oosterveld MJ (2017) Bioimpedance and Fluid Status in Children and Adolescents Treated With Dialysis. Am J Kidney Dis 69:428-435

**Founding:** none.

**Conflict of Interest:** the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

