

Observational study on application of a selective dry-cow therapy protocol based on individual somatic cell count thresholds.

Alfonso Zecconi^{a*}, Claudia Gusmara^b, Tiziana Di Giusto^c, Micaela Cipolla^d, Paolo Marconi^d, and Lucio Zanini^d

^a Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences – One Health Unit - Università degli Studi di Milano. Milan. Italy

^b Department of Veterinary Medicine - Università degli Studi di Milano. Milan. Italy

^c Specialisation School in Hygiene and Technology of Milk and Milk Products - Università degli Studi di Milano. Milan. Italy

^d Associazione Regionale Allevatori Lombardia. Crema. Italy

*Corresponding author

Alfonso Zecconi

Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences – One Health Unit

Via Pascal 36

20133 Milano

alfonso.zecconi@unimi.it

Observational study on application of a selective dry-cow therapy protocol based on individual somatic cell count thresholds.

Alfonso Zecconi^{a*}, Claudia Gusmara^b, Tiziana Di Giusto^c, Micaela Cipolla^d, Paolo Marconi^d, and Lucio Zanini^d

^a *Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences – One Health Unit - Università degli Studi di Milano. Milan. Italy*

^b *Department of Veterinary Medicine - Università degli Studi di Milano. Milan. Italy*

^c *Specialisation School in Hygiene and Technology of Milk and Milk Products - Università degli Studi di Milano. Milan. Italy*

^d *Associazione Regionale Allevatori Lombardia. Crema. Italy*

*Corresponding author

Alfonso Zecconi

Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences – One Health Unit

Via Pascal 36

20133 Milano

alfonso.zecconi@unimi.it

Observational study on application of a selective dry-cow therapy protocol based on individual somatic cell count thresholds.

Selective dry cow therapy (SDCT) is one measure suggested to reduce the use of antibiotics on dairy farms. This procedure may have a negative impact on dairy herds, affecting both milk yield and quality. The aim of this observational study was to evaluate the implementation of a SDCT protocol based on the treatment only of cows with SCC at last individual milk test before drying-off >100,000 cells/ml (primiparous cows) or >200,000 cells/ml (pluriparous cows) on udder infection status. We also assessed the association between cow and management factors with the likelihood of cure or of new IMI across the dry period. The study considered 516 dairy cows (2064 quarters), and only 53% of the cows were treated with antibiotics. Before drying-off, 999 quarters (49.1%) were bacteriological negative at both samples. After calving the negative quarters were 1004 (49.3%). The likelihood of a cure

across the dry period was significantly associated with parity, drying-off length and teat sealant application, while the likelihood of new IMI across the dry period was associated to all the risk factors considered (parity, dry period length, teat sealant application, infections status at drying-off and type of long-acting antibiotic applied). The application under field conditions of the proposed SDCT protocol showed to be feasible, and to largely reduce the use of antimicrobials at drying-off, with a relatively small impact on cow health.

Keywords: Herd health, mastitis, dry-cow therapy, antimicrobials, prudent use of antimicrobials

Highlights:

1. First observational study in of Italian dairy herds on the application of a selective dry-cow therapy protocol based on SCC.
2. The study showed as a reduction of about 50% in antimicrobial usage at drying off can be achieved.
3. Management and cow factors affect the likelihood of a cure of a new intramammary infection across the dry period.

Introduction

The problem of an increased frequency of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) affects both human and veterinary medicine, and should not be underestimated also in food-producing animals (Trevisi et al. 2014). In the dairy industry, the application of selective dry cow therapy (SDCT) is one of the measure suggested to reduce the use of antibiotics. This procedure may have a negative impact on dairy herds, very likely affecting both milk yield and quality. Several studies showed as SDCT will increase the new infection rate and reduce the cure rate across dry period (Halasa, Nielsen, et al. 2009; Halasa, Osteras, et al. 2009), even if other studies suggest that SDCT is cost

effective, despite an increase of intramammary infection (IMI) and clinical cases after calving (Scherpenzeel et al. 2016; Scherpenzeel et al. 2018). It should be also emphasized that these latter problems are not always observed (Cameron et al. 2015; Vasquez et al. 2018).

Furthermore, the definition of a consistent and approved procedure to identify cows to be treated at drying off is crucial for both the farmers, who need to reduce the health risk for cows after calving, and the health authorities having to verify the compliance of farmers and veterinarians with the regulations on antimicrobial use in dairy farms.

To help in tackling these problems, we recently proposed a protocol for SDCT based on SCC at the last individual milk test before drying-off (Zecconi A. et al. 2018). Individual cow milk SCC measured at the last milk test day before drying-off were selected being the most convenient and consistent sampling procedure applied in Italian dairy herds. However, to verify the feasibility of this protocol, it was necessary to test it under field conditions.

We designed an observational study to evaluate the effects of implementing SDCT in five Italian dairy herds based on the protocol suggested (Zecconi A. et al. 2018). More precisely, quarter milk samples were collected at drying-off and after calving to assess the prevalence of intramammary infection (IMI). Based on these data, cure rate and new IMI rate were assessed comparing untreated and treated cows, and we considered in these latter ones also the effect of two different antimicrobial treatments. The associations of cow and management factors with the likelihood of cure or of new IMI across the dry period were also assessed, to gain information useful to increase the feasibility and efficacy of the protocol proposed.

Material and Methods

Study herds

Five herds, that volunteered to take part to the study, were involved. The herds are located in 4 Lombardy provinces (Cremona, Como, Pavia, Sondrio) and the herd sizes were in the range 80-485 lactating cows, milk yield were in the range 30-35 Kg/d and average herd somatic cell counts (SCC) were in the range 175-220.000 cells/ml. All the cows were housed in cubicle barns (including cows during drying-off period) and two of them have rotary parlours, while the other three have herringbone parlours. Milking procedure followed the current best practices (Tamburini et al. 2007). All five herds are members of the national milk quality improvement (NMQ) program managed locally by Associazione Regionale Allevatori Lombardia (ARAL) which supplied SCC values from individual milk test (IMT). All the samplings full complied with all relevant codes of experimentation and legislation.

Microbiological analyses

To assess infection status at drying off and after calving in these herds, quarter milk samples (QMS) were taken two times (7 d before and the day of drying-off) before drying-off and two times after calving (5-7d and 12-17 d). Sampling was scheduled weekly, and the samples were delivered refrigerated to the Dept. of Veterinary Medicine laboratories where bacteriological analyses were performed by streaking 0.01 ml of QMS on blood agar plate with 5% (v/v) bovine blood according to (Hogan et al. 1999). A selection of the isolates was furthermore identified by Vitek-2™ (bioMérieux, Lyon, F). This is a fully automated system that performs bacterial identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing (Barry et al. 2003; Meyer et al. 2008). The selection of isolates to be furthermore identified as follows: all the suspect major pathogens (*S. aureus*, *Str. agalactiae*, *Str. uberis*, *Str. dysgalactiae*, *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* spp) independently from the number of colonies and only pure cultures of CNS and other pathogens were selected. When these outcomes were observed

in more than one quarter of the same cow, we randomly selected a colony from a single quarter for further identification.

Selective dry-cow therapy protocol

The protocol applied was based on the results of the SCC from the last IMT prior to drying-off cow; primiparous cows were treated with antibiotics only when SCC was >100.000 cells/ml, whereas for pluriparous cows the threshold was 200.000 cells/ml. When cows should be treated with antibiotics two different products were applied (cefalonium 250 mg Cepravin™, MSD Italy treatment A, and cefalexin 504.7 mg Rilexine HL™, Virbac Italy- treatment B). The sensitivity to the antimicrobials was pre-emptively estimated by random quarter milk sampling of 24-36 cows and assessment of minimal inhibitory concentration on isolated bacteria by Vitek™ (bioMeriuex, Lyon, F). In this latter case the breakpoints automatically applied by the software were the most recent ones suggested by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing for bovine isolates. None of the isolates showed to be resistant to the two antimicrobials applied.

The antimicrobial treatment was applied to the four quarters and the application of treatments was sequential within each herd: the first cow to be treated received treatment A and the next one product B, and so on until the end of the study. All the data concerning cow characteristics, SCC and treatments were recorded in a data sheet delivered together with QMS weekly at the lab.

Cows below the thresholds previously described did not receive any treatment (antimicrobial or others) out of teat sealant application as described in the next section.

Teat sealant application

Teat sealant was applied in herds C, D and E on all cows and quarters before these herds were enrolled into the study as a management practice to prevent new IMI after calving. In the other

two herds, teat sealant was not used in any cow before and during the study- We avoid interfering with farmers decisions on this aspect, but we recorded if teat sealant was used or not.

Infection status definition

We collected all the data in a database; the assessment of infection status at quarter level, before drying-off and after calving, based on the interpretative scheme reported in supplementary table S1. The evaluation of the outcome of the three treatments applied (no therapy, treatment A, treatment B) were based on the interpretative scheme reported in supplementary table S2.

Statistical analyses

Data description and χ^2 test were calculated by XLSTAT 2019 1.1 (Addinsoft, Boston, USA). A binary logistic analysis was performed by Procedure Logistic of SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Cary NC, USA) to identify the risk factors associated with the likelihood of new and cured IMI across the dry period by calculating odds ratio. The risk factors considered were parity, drying-off length, teat sealant application, therapy and infection status at drying-off. The final models were described in terms of odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals.

Results

Herd and cow characteristics

The study considered 516 dairy cows (2064 quarters), and the distribution of treatments in relation with herd and cow characteristics were reported in table 1.

Samples distribution among herds was relatively uniform, only herd A showed relative lower proportion of samples (10.5%). Over 50% of the cows were in the first lactation, and 71% of

them were treated with teat sealant at drying-off. On average, drying-off period was 61.1 ± 19.1 d long; 10% of cow had a drying-off <45 d and 25% >68 d. The length of drying-off period was significantly correlated to parities. Indeed, drying-off period was 58.2 ± 14.0 d in primiparous cows, 60.5 ± 16.3 d in secondiparous cows and 63.6 ± 16.3 d in pluriparous cows.

Bacteriological analyses

The results of bacteriological analyses showed as 999 quarters (49.1%) were bacteriological negative at samples taken before drying-off (table 2). Among the positive quarters, 442 (41.5%) were selected for a more detailed identification and the results were reported in supplementary table S3. Coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) represented the largest proportion of isolates (72.1%), environmental Streptococci 7.1%, *S. aureus* 5.1%, while coliforms represented only 2.1% of the isolates. In all the other cases we detected several unrelated bacteria species.

After calving the bacteriological results were shown in table 3. The negative quarters were 1004 (49.3%); 288 (30.0%) of bacteriological positive quarters were identified by Vitek™ (bioMérieux, Lyon, F) as reported in supplementary table S3. Overall, 59.9% of the isolates were CNS, 8.7% *Str.uberis* 2.4% other environmental *Streptococci*, 6.8% coliforms and only 2.7% *S.aureus*.

Infectious status across dry period

Following the interpretative scheme reported in supplementary table S1, 531 positive quarters (26.1%) harboured an IMI (TIMI), 506 (24.8%) a transient IMI (LIMI) and 28 (1.4%) were blind. Only 47 quarters were classified as having mixed infections and, therefore, they were

included in LIMI group (table 2). After calving the proportions were relatively similar with 23.5% of quarters with TIMI and 27.3% with LIMI, while 49.3% were negative (table 3).

The distribution of treatments among quarters at drying-off was reported in table 4. The cure rate was overall 68.5% in TIMI quarters and 67.5% in LIMI, while 35.4% of negative quarters at drying-off developed a new IMI after calving.

The differences in frequencies observed for cured, cured with new IMI and uncured quarters were not statistically significant among TIMI quarters, while significant differences in those frequencies were observed among LIMI quarters. Indeed, cured quarters had a higher frequency when compared both with quarters cured but having a new IMI due to a different bacteria species and with uncured ones.

When data were analysed by type of treatment (Table 5), we observed as among negative quarters, the new IMI rate was significantly higher in quarters treated with product A, when compared with untreated ones and quarters treated with product B. The presence of a treatment in negative quarters should not be surprising because the application of treatment was based only on SCC and it is well known that cows with low SCC may harbour IMI (Zecconi and Piccinini 2002; Zecconi et al. 2020).

In TIMI quarters, treatment A resulted in a significantly higher cure rate, while we observed no significant differences in all the other result categories. Similarly, in LIMI quarters a significant higher frequency of new IMI was observed for treatment A, and none in all the other result categories.

Risk factors affecting cure rates and new IMI rates

To assess the association among the different risk factors considered (parity, drying-off length, teat sealant application, infection status at drying-off and type of treatment) and the

frequency of IMI after calving, two logistic models were applied, one having cure as response variable (cured/ not cured) and a second one having new IMI (presence / absence) as response variable. The results were reported, respectively, in tables 6 and 7. Risk factors associated with the likelihood of new and cured IMI across the dry period were parity, dry period length and teat sealant, while the likelihood of new IMI rate was associated to all the risk factors considered.

Teat sealant application showed to significantly increase the chance of a cure, Odds Ratio (OR) was 3.1 (2.3-4.2) and to significantly decrease new IMI rate (OR 0.7; 0.6-0.9).

Dry period length also had a consistent significant association with both cure and new IMI rates. When dry period length was shorter than the reference value of 46-60 d a significant decrease of cure rate was observed (OR 0.6; 0.4-0.9); we also observed a reduction of the odd of new IMI when dry period was <45 d or when it was longer than 75 d. Parity showed a similar pattern with a decreased chance of cure in 2nd lactation cows.

Infection status at drying-off was associated to an increased odds of new IMI with an OR of 4.1 (2.8-5.7) and 5.8 (4.1-8.3) respectively in LIMI and TIMI quarters.

When antimicrobial treatment was considered, we need to report that a shortage in the supplying product A caused a departure from the treatment sequence for a temporary period of time, which explain the difference in treatment frequencies, even if the distribution of the treatments among the overall samples is close (Table 1). During the period of product A shortage (three months) all the cows were treated with product B; when it became available again, the sequential treatment was re-applied. The statistical analysis showed as treatment A showed to be associated to an increased odds of a new IMI (OR 1.9; 1.4-2.7).

Discussion

The application of the SDCT protocol based on SCC of the last IMT prior to drying-off (Zecconi A. et al. 2018) showed to be feasible under field conditions and to give the expected results. Indeed, only 53% of the cows were treated with antibiotics.

The proportion of bacteriologically negative quarters was also close to 50% supporting the approach based on SCC, which has the advantage to be cheaper than microbiological analysis, being included in the annual fee paid by a farmer for the NMQ program, thus increasing its sustainability and promoting to the compliance by the farmers. The high proportion of negative quarters and, on the other side, the relatively low proportion of TIMI (26%) confirms the good level of herd hygiene observed in the enrolled herds and support the application of selective dry-cow therapy (Robert et al. 2008; Scherpenzeel, den Uijl, van Schaik, Olde Riekerink, et al. 2014).

Apparently, the application of the SDCT did not affect the frequency of IMI. Indeed, the proportion of negative, LIMI and TIMI was very similar at drying-off and after calving. However, when data were analysed based on bacteria recovered from quarter milk samples, the pattern was different. In both cases CNS represented the most frequently isolated bacteria species both at drying-off and after calving with, respectively, a frequency of 72% and 60%. Environmental *Streptococci* frequency grew from 7.1% at drying off to 11.1% after calving as well as coliforms, which grew from 2.1% at drying-off to 6.8% after calving. These changes represent a potential risk for the development of clinical and subclinical mastitis in the initial months of lactation (Smith et al. 1985). In the present study, for ethical reasons, farmers and veterinarians were informed of all the positive samples for contagious or environmental pathogens and the information was used to reduce such risk (i.e. treating infected quarter), resulting a very low number of reported clinical cases (data not shown). The changes in epidemiological pattern after calving, when SDCT is applied, suggest that cows should be carefully clinically monitored and/or milk samples should be

taken routinely about 15d after calving to decrease the risk of developing clinical or subclinical mastitis.

The results of the treatment protocol applied in relation to the infection status at drying-off gave very similar results. These data were not unexpected, and confirms that SDCT increases the risks for IMI after calving (Halasa, Nielsen, et al. 2009; Halasa, Osteras, et al. 2009; Scherpenzeel, den Uijl, van Schaik, Riekerink, et al. 2014). We should add that that 95% of uncured cases are due to CNS (data not shown), the number of species involved, their high prevalence in dairy herds (Zecconi and Piccinini 2002; Dufour et al. 2012; Vanderhaeghen et al. 2014) and their peculiar reservoir on teat skin (Zecconi A. et al. 2003; Zecconi and Hamann 2006) highly increases the chances to have IMI due to these bacteria in post-calving cows leading to an overestimation of uncured infections. Moreover, new IMI in negative quarters, in infected quarters and in uncured quarters had a frequency in the range 29-35%.

Since SDCT was applied based on SCC, we could compare the treatments, since we have IMI within untreated cows as well as we have bacteriologically negative quarters in treated cows. Significant differences were observed either when cows were treated or not and between treatments. The new IMI rate was significantly lower in negative untreated cows when compared with treated ones, and we also observed a similar pattern in LIMI. The pathogenesis of IMI can explain these results, apparently contradictory. Indeed, treated quarters, even if negative, came from a cow with relative high SCC, therefore with an active inflammatory process due to an IMI. These cows (and their quarters) showed to be more susceptible to have an infection when compared to healthy ones (Barkema et al. 1997; Zecconi and Piccinini 2002; Zecconi et al. 2004; Piccinini et al. 2005).

Risk factors and cure rate

Drying-off, including dry-cow therapy, is a peculiar and very critical period for dairy cows. The application of SDCT introduces new challenges in an already complex process. The evaluation of the entire process is not easy, and it should also include aspects related to nutrition, welfare and hygiene which are out of the scope of this paper. We focused our analyses on the relationship among five risk factors related to the cows (parity, drying-off length, teat sealant application, therapy and infection status at drying-off), and the infection status after calving.

Two of these risk factors were associated to the cure rate: application of teat sealant, and dry-period duration. The application of teat sealant showed to be one with the highest OR value, supporting its use to improve both self-cure rates, in untreated cows and cure rate in treated cows (Huxley et al. 2002; Winder et al. 2019). On the contrary with dry period <45d showed to have a decrease in cure rate, respectively, of 29% and 41%. The debate on the effect of shortening dry period has been wide in recent years, and our data are consistent with the evidences that shortening drying-off period impairs udder health in the next lactation (Zecconi A. et al. 2003; Church et al. 2008).

Risk factors and new infection rate

When new IMI rate was considered all the five risk factors showed to be statistically associated to it. Teat sealant application showed, as for cure rate, a positive effect decreasing the odds of about 28. On the opposite, infected cows at drying-off have a higher risk for new infections, because of the probable higher susceptibility as previously discussed. Dry period length shorter or longer than the reference interval of 46-60 d showed to be associated to a decrease in new IMI risk. Since the largest proportion of IMI was due to CNS, these results may be explained hypothesising the presence of an udder environment which would not support the development of new IMI, for the higher concentration of natural antimicrobial substances in the case of a short dry period (Piccinini

et al. 2007; Zecconi A. et al. 2018), or the absence of milking that reduce the risk of infection mainly due to CNS (Rajala-Schultz et al. 2009; Frey et al. 2013). Similarly, we observed a significant reduction of the odds for a new IMI in older cows. This result may be explained by the higher frequency of subclinical IMI at drying off in these group of cows when compared to younger cows (respectively 43% and 25%).

We observed a statistical difference in the new infection rate between the two antimicrobial treatments, which was unexpected, since the active principle is in the same class (1st generation cephalosporins). The difference observed may be explained by the different composition of the products which in the case of product A led to a longer persistence in milk at a concentration below minimal inhibitory one, (product A: withdrawal time 168 h post-calving; while it is 12 h for product B) suggesting a potential selection of bacteria leading to the development of new IMI mainly due to CNS (Rajala-Schultz et al. 2009; Frey et al. 2013).

Conclusions

The result of this observational study on the application under field conditions of the proposed SDCT protocol showed to be feasible from a practical point of view, to largely reduce the use of antimicrobials at drying-off, and to have a relatively small impact on cow health. These results strictly depend on a rigorous compliance with the protocol, and to a careful monitoring of udder health after calving, including microbiological and/or cytological assays. The application of a teat sealant at drying-off seems to improve the likelihood of a cure and to decrease the likelihood of new IMI during the dry period. The management of the whole drying-off process is not easy, and it should also include aspects related to nutrition, welfare and herd hygiene that should be implemented together with a rational and sustainable SDCT protocol.

Disclosure statement

The authors reported no potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The Authors are grateful to Virbac Italia Srl for the partial financial support supplied.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the study's design; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the manuscript's writing, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Barkema HW, Schukken YH, Lam T, Galligan DT, Beiboer ML, Brand A. 1997. Estimation of interdependence among quarters of the bovine udder with subclinical mastitis and implications for analysis. *J Dairy Sci.* 80(8):1592-1599. English.
- Barry J, Brown A, Ensor V, Lakhani U, Petts D, Warren C, Winstanley T. 2003. Comparative evaluation of the VITEK 2 Advanced Expert System (AES) in five UK hospitals. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 51(5):1191-1202. English.
- Cameron M, Keefe GP, Roy JP, Stryhn H, Dohoo IR, McKenna SL. 2015. Evaluation of selective dry cow treatment following on-farm culture: Milk yield and somatic cell count in the subsequent lactation. *J Dairy Sci.* 98(4):2427-2436.
- Church GT, Fox LK, Gaskins CT, Hancock DD, Gay JM. 2008. The Effect of a Shortened Dry Period on Intramammary Infections During the Subsequent Lactation. *J Dairy Sci.* 91(11):4219-4225. English.
- Dufour S, Dohoo IR, Barkema HW, DesCoteaux L, DeVries TJ, Reyher KK, Roy JP, Scholl DT. 2012. Epidemiology of coagulase-negative staphylococci intramammary infection in dairy cattle and the effect of bacteriological culture misclassification. *J Dairy Sci.* 95(6):3110-3124. English.
- Frey Y, Rodriguez JP, Thomann A, Schwendener S, Perreten V. 2013. Genetic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in coagulase-negative staphylococci from bovine mastitis milk. *J Dairy Sci.* 96(4):2247-2257. English.
- Halasa T, Nielsen M, Whist AC, Osteras O. 2009. Meta-analysis of dry cow management for dairy cattle. Part 2. Cure of existing intramammary infections. *J Dairy Sci.* 92(7):3150-3157. English.
- Halasa T, Osteras O, Hogeveen H, van Werven T, Nielsen M. 2009. Meta-analysis of dry cow management for dairy cattle. Part 1. Protection against new intramammary infections. *J Dairy Sci.* 92(7):3134-3149. English.
- Hogan JS, Gonzales RN, Harmon RJ, Nickerson SC, Oliver SP, Pankey JW, Smith KL. 1999. *Laboratory handbook on bovine mastitis*. Revised ed. Madison WI: National Mastitis Council Inc.
- Huxley JN, Green MJ, Green LE, Bradley AJ. 2002. Evaluation of the efficacy of an internal teat sealer during the dry period. *J Dairy Sci.* 85(3):551-561. English.

- Meyer E, Lunke C, Kist M, Schwab F, Frank U. 2008. Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from food, animals and humans in Germany. *Infection*. 36(1):59-61. English.
- Piccinini R, Binda E, Belotti M, Casirani G, Zeconi A. 2005. Comparison of blood and milk non-specific immune parameters in heifers after calving in relation to udder health. *Vet Res*. 36:747-757.
- Piccinini R, Binda E, Belotti M, Dapra V, Zeconi A. 2007. Evaluation of milk components during whole lactation in healthy quarters. *Journal of Dairy Research*. 74(2):226-232.
- Rajala-Schultz PJ, Torres AH, DeGraves FJ, Gebreyes WA, Patchanee P. 2009. Antimicrobial resistance and genotypic characterization of coagulase-negative staphylococci over the dry period. *Vet Microbiol*. 134(1-2):55-64. English.
- Robert A, Rouse P, Bareille N, Ribaud D, Serieys F, Heuche V, Seegers H. 2008. Risk factors for new intramammary infections during the dry period in untreated dairy cows from herds using selective dry cow therapy. *Animal*. 2(2):247-254. English.
- Scherpenzeel CGM, den Uijl IEM, van Schaik G, Riekerink R, Hogeveen H, Lam T. 2016. Effect of different scenarios for selective dry-cow therapy on udder health, antimicrobial usage, and economics. *J Dairy Sci*. 99(5):3753-3764. English.
- Scherpenzeel CGM, den Uijl IEM, van Schaik G, Olde Riekerink RGM, Keurentjes JM, Lam TJGM. 2014. Evaluation of the use of dry cow antibiotics in low somatic cell count cows. *J Dairy Sci*. 97(6):3606-3614.
- Scherpenzeel CGM, den Uijl IEM, van Schaik G, Riekerink R, Lam T. 2014. Evaluation of the use of dry cow antibiotics in low somatic count cows. *J Dairy Sci*. 97(6):3606-3614. English.
- Scherpenzeel CGM, Hogeveen H, Maas L, Lam T. 2018. Economic optimization of selective dry cow treatment. *J Dairy Sci*. 101(2):1530-1539.
- Smith KL, Todhunter DA, Schoenberger PS. 1985. Environmental mastitis: cause, prevalence, prevention. *J Dairy Sci*. 68:1531-1553.
- Tamburini A, Sandrucci A, Nicoletti C, Zanini L. 2007. Milking procedures and milk ejection in Italian Brown cows. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*. 6:478-480.
- Trevisi E, Zeconi A, Cogrossi S, Razzuoli E, Grossi P, Amadori M. 2014. Strategies for reduced antibiotic usage in dairy cattle farms. *Research in Veterinary Science*. 96:229-233.
- Vanderhaeghen W, Piepers S, Leroy F, Van Coillie E, Haesebrouck F, De Vliegher S. 2014. Invited review: Effect, persistence, and virulence of coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* species associated with ruminant udder health. *J Dairy Sci*. 97(9):5275-5293. English.
- Vasquez AK, Nydam DV, Foditsch C, Wieland M, Lynch R, Eicker S, Virkler PD. 2018. Use of a culture-independent on-farm algorithm to guide the use of selective dry-cow antibiotic therapy. *J Dairy Sci*. 101(6):5345-5361. English.
- Winder CB, Sargeant JM, Hu D, Wang C, Kelton DF, Leblanc SJ, Duffield TF, Glanville J, Wood H, Churchill KJ et al. 2019. Comparative efficacy of teat sealants given prepartum for prevention of intramammary infections and clinical mastitis: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Anim Health Res Rev*. 20(2):182-198. English.
- Zeconi A, Albonico F, Gelain ME, Piccinini R, Cipolla M, Mortarino M. 2018. Effects of herd and physiological status on variation of 16 immunological and inflammatory parameters during drying off and transition cow period *Journal of Dairy Research*. 85:167-173.
- Zeconi A, Dell'Orco F, Vairani D, Rizzi N, Cipolla M, Zanini L. 2020. Differential cell count as a marker for changes of milk composition in cows very low somatic cell counts *Animals*. 10(604): 1-14.
- Zeconi A, Hamann J. 2006. Interpretation of machine effects on bovine teat tissues defence mechanisms. *Milchwissenschaft*. 61(4):356-359.

- Zecconi A, Piccinini R. 2002. Intramammary infections: epidemiology and diagnosis. Hannover (XXII World Buiatric Congress - Recent developments and perspectives in bovine medicine.
- Zecconi A, Piccinini R, Casirani G, Binda E, Migliorati L. 2003. Effects of automatic milking system on teat tissues, intramammary infections and somatic cell counts. *Ital J Anim Sci.* 2(4): 275-282.
- Zecconi A, Piccinini R, Fox KL. 2004. Epidemiological study of non-contagious intramammary infections in nine commercial dairy herds following a *Staphylococcus aureus* control programme. *JVetMed B.* 51:333-336.
- Zecconi A, Piccinini R, Stefanon B, Testoni S, Gabai S. 2003. Relationship between blood metabolic and endocrine parameters and blood and milk immune parameters. *Milchwissenschaft.* 58(5/6):242-245.
- Zecconi A, Sesana G, Vairani D, Cipolla M, Rizzi N, Zanini L. 2018. Somatic Cell Count as a Decision Tool for Selective Dry Cow Therapy in Italy. *Ital J Anim Sci.* 17(4):1-6.

Table 1: Description of cow population characteristics included into the study

Factor	Class	N (%)	Frequency (%)		
			No treatment	Treatment A ¹	Treatment B ²
Herd	A	216 (10.5)	79.6	11.1	9.3
	B	384 (18.6)	27.1	51.0	21.9
	C	496 (24.0)	49.2	20.2	30.6
	D	536 (26.0)	44.8	17.2	38.1
	E	432 (20.9)	49.1	20.4	30.6
Parity (n)	1	1,072 (51.9)	54.5	17.2	28.3
	2	652 (31.6)	44.2	26.4	29.4
	≥3	340 (16.5)	29.4	42.4	23.2
Dry period length (d)	1-45	266 (12.9)	54.5	19.9	25.6
	46-60	966 (46.7)	51.2	17.4	31.4
	61-75	592 (28.7)	40.4	29.2	30.4
	≥75	240 (11.6)	38.8	44.2	17.1
Teat sealant	Yes	1,643 (70.9)	47.5	19.1	33.4
Total		2,064	47.1	24.2	28.7

¹ Treatment A: cefalonium 250 mg. Cepravin™, MSD Italy

² Treatment B: cefalexin 504.7 mg Rilexine HL™, Virbac Italy

Table 2: Distribution of quarters by their infection status and treatment at drying-off

Drying off status	N (%)	Frequency (%)	
		No treatment	Treatment

Drying-off status ¹	N (%)	Frequency (%)		
		No treatment	Treatment A	Treatment B
Negative	999 (49.1)	54.9 ^{a,2}	19.3 ^b	25.8 ^b
IMI ⁴	531 (26.0)	20.6 ^a	31.4 ^b	29.4 ^b
Transient IMI	506 (24.8)	41.5 ^a	27.7 ^b	30.8 ^{a,b}
Total	2,036³	47.1	24.2	28.7

¹ Infection status defined as reported in Table S1 (supplementary data)

² Column means with different letters are statistically different at χ^2 test ($\alpha < 0.05$)

³ 28 blind quarters were not reported in the table

⁴ Intramammary infection

Table 3: Distribution of quarters by their infection status and treatment after calving

Post-calving status ¹	N (%)	Frequency (%)		
		No treatment	Treatment A	Treatment B
Negative	1004 (49.3)	53.4 ^{a,2}	20.2 ^b	26.4 ^b
IMI ⁴	477 (23.5)	37.9 ^a	30.6 ^b	31.4 ^b
Transient IMI	553 (27.3)	25.9 ^a	31.4 ^a	30.9 ^a
Total	2,034³	47.1	24.2	28.7

¹ Infection status defined as reported in Table S1 (supplementary data)

² Column means with different letters are statistically different at χ^2 test ($\alpha < 0.05$)

³ 30 blind quarters were not reported in the table

⁴ Intramammary infection

Table 4: Distribution of quarters by their infection status and treatment after calving

Drying-off status ¹	Outcome ² (%)				
	Negative	Cured	Cured/New IMI	NO cure	New IMI

Negative	64.6 ^{a,3}	-	-	-	35.4 ^b
IMI ⁴	-	35.0 ^a	33.5 ^a	31.5 ^a	
Transient IMI	-	38.9 ^a	28.6 ^b	32.5 ^b	

¹ Infection status defined as reported in Table S1 (supplementary data)

² Outcome defined as reported in Table S2 (supplementary data)

³ Column means with different letters are statistically different at χ^2 test ($\alpha < 0.05$)

⁴ Intramammary infection

Table 5: Distribution of quarters by their infection status and treatment after calving

Drying-off status ¹	Treatment	Outcome ² (%)				
		Negative	Cured	Cured/New IMI	NO cure	New IMI
Negative	No	69.7 ^{a,3}	-	-	-	28.3 ^a
	A	46.6 ^b				53.4 ^b
	B	62.0 ^a				38.0 ^a
IMI ⁴	No	-	32.6 ^a	34.2 ^a	33.2 ^a	
	A	-	45.2 ^b	29.3 ^a	25.5 ^a	
	B	-	28.7 ^a	36.2 ^a	35.1 ^a	
Transient IMI	No	-	43.3 ^a	29.3 ^a	27.4 ^a	
	A	-	28.8 ^b	34.7 ^a	36.5 ^a	
	B	-	36.3 ^{a,b}	37.6 ^a	26.1 ^a	

¹ Infection status defined as reported in Table S1 (supplementary data)

² Outcome defined as reported in Table S2x (supplementary data)

³ Row means with different letters are statistically different at χ^2 test ($\alpha < 0.05$)

⁴ Intramammary infection

Table 6. Logistic regression analysis: factors associated to the odds of a cure across the dry period

Factor (P for overall effects)	Levels	P=	OR	95%	95%
				Lower limit	Upper limit
Parity (reference 1)	2	0.03	0.72	0.53	0.97

(P=0.089)	≥3	0.36	0.85	0.59	1.21
Drying-off lenght	1-45	0.01	0.59	0.41	0.86
(reference 46-60 d)	61-75	0.21	0.81	0.58	1.13
(P=0.027)	≥75	0.11	0.71	0.46	1.08
Teat sealant (reference =		<0.0			
NO)	YES	1	3.12	2.35	4.19
Infection status (reference=					
transient IMI ¹)	IMI	0.32	1.15	0.88	1.50
Therapy (reference=NO)	Product A ²	0.83	1.04	0.74	1.47
(P=0.102)	Product B ³	0.07	0.74	0.54	1.02

¹ Intramammary infection

² Product A: cefalonium 250 mg. Cepravin™, MSD Italy

³ Product B: cefalexin 504.7 mg Rilexine HL™, Virbac Italy

Table 7. Logistic regression analysis: factors associated to the odds of a new infection across the dry period

Factor	Levels	P=	OR	95% Lower limit	95% Upper limit
(P for overall effects)					

Parity (reference 1)	2	0.75	0.96	0.73	1.25
(<0.01)	≥3	<0.01	0.49	0.34	0.72
Dry period length	1-45	<0.01	0.45	0.32	0.66
(reference 46-60 d)	61-75	0.27	0.85	0.64	1.13
(P<0.01)	≥75	<0.01	0.24	0.16	0.38
Teat sealant (reference = NO)	YES	0.02	0.72	0.55	0.94
Infection status (reference= Healthy)	transient IMI ¹	<0.01	4.09	2.85	5.86
(P<0.01)	IMI	<0.01	5.85	4.13	8.29
Therapy (reference=NO)	Product A ²	<0.01	1.95	1.40	2.70
(P<0.01)	Product B ³	0.18	1.23	0.91	1.63

¹ Intramammary infection

² Product A: cefalonium 250 mg. Cepravin™, MSD Italy

³ Product B: cefalexin 504.7 mg Rilexine HL™, Virbac Italy