METASTABILITY PHENOMENA IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL RECTANGULAR LATTICES WITH NEAREST-NEIGHBOUR INTERACTION

M. GALLONE[(†)] AND S. PASQUALI[(*)]

ABSTRACT. We study analytically the dynamics of two-dimensional rectangular lattices with periodic boundary conditions. We consider anisotropic initial data supported on one low-frequency Fourier mode. We show that, in the continuous approximation, the resonant normal form of the system is given by integrable PDEs. We exploit the normal form in order to prove the existence of metastability phenomena for the lattices. More precisely, we show that the energy spectrum of the normal modes attains a distribution in which the energy is shared among a packet of low-frequencies modes; such distribution remains unchanged up to the time-scale of validity of the continuous approximation.

Keywords: Continuous approximation, Metastability, Energy Localization
MSC2010: 37K10, 37K60, 70H08, 70K45

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we present an analytical study of the dynamics of two-dimensional rectangular lattices with nearest-neighbour interaction and periodic boundary conditions, for initial data with only one low-frequency Fourier mode initially excited. We give some rigorous results concerning the relaxation to a

metastable state, in which energy sharing takes place among low-frequency modes only.

The study of metastability phenomena for lattices started with the numerical result by Fermi, Pasta and Ulam (FPU) [FPU95], who investigated the dynamics of a one-dimensional chain of particles with nearest neighbour interaction. In the original simulations all the energy was initially given to a single low-frequency Fourier mode with the aim of measuring the time of relaxation of the system to the 'thermal equilibrium' by looking at the evolution of the Fourier spectrum. Classical statistical mechanics prescribes that the energy spectrum corresponding to the thermal equilibrium is a plateau (the so-called theorem of equipartition of energy). Despite the authors believed that the approach to such an equilibrium would have occurred in a short time-scale, the outcoming Fourier spectrum was far from being flat and they observed two features of the dynamics that were in contrast with their expectations: the lack of thermalization displayed by the energy spectrum and the recurrent behaviour of the dynamics.

Both from a physical and a mathematical point of view, the studies on FPU-like systems have a long and active history: a concise survey of this vast literature is discussed in the monograph [Gal07]. For a more recent account on analytic results on the 'FPU paradox' we refer to [BCMM15].

In particular, we mention the papers [BP06] and [Bam08], in which the authors used the techniques of canonical perturbation theory for PDEs in order to show that the FPU α model (respectively, β model) can be rigorously described by a system of two uncoupled KdV (resp. mKdV) equations, which are obtained as a resonant normal form of the continuous approximation of the FPU model; moreover, this result allowed to deduce a rigorous result about the energy sharing among the Fourier modes, up to the time-scales of validity of the approximation. If we denote by N the number of degrees of freedom for the lattice and by $\mu \sim \frac{1}{N} \ll 1$ the wave-number of the initially excited mode, if we assume that the specific energy $\epsilon \sim \mu^4$ (resp. $\epsilon \sim \mu^2$ for the FPU β model), then the dynamics of the KdV (resp. mKdV) equations approximates the solutions of the FPU model up to a time of order $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-3})$. However, the relation between the specific energy and the number of degrees of freedom implies that the result does not hold in the thermodynamic limit regime, namely for large N and for fixed specific energy ϵ (such a regime is the one which is relevant for statistical mechanics).

Unlike the extensive research concerning one-dimensional systems, it seems to the authors that the behaviour of the dynamics of two-dimensional lattices is far less clear; it is expected that the interplay between the geometry of the lattice and the specific energy regime could lead to different results.

Benettin and collaborators [BVT80] [Ben05] [BG08] studied numerically a two-dimensional FPU lattice with triangular cells and different boundary conditions in order to estimate the equipartition time-scale, and they found out that in the thermodynamic limit regime the equipartition is reached faster than in the one-dimensional case. The authors decided not to consider model with square cells in order to have a spectrum of linear frequencies which is different with respect to the one of the one-dimensional model; they also added (see [BG08], section B.(iii))

There is a good chance, however, that models with square lattice, and perhaps a different potential so as to avoid instability, behave differently from models with triangular lattice, and are instead more similar to one-dimensional models. This would correspond to an even stronger lack of universality in the two-dimensional FPU problem.

Up to the authors' knowledge, the only analytical results on the dynamics of two-dimensional lattices in this framework concern the existence of breathers [Wat94] [BW06] [BW07] [YWSC09] [WJ14] [BPP10].

In this paper we study two-dimensional rectangular lattices with $(2N_1 + 1) \times (2N_2 + 1)$ sites, square cell, nearest-neighbour interaction and periodic boundary conditions, and we show the existence of metastability phenomena as in [BP06]. More precisely, under some suitable assumptions on the ratio between the sides of the lattice and on the type of small-amplitude solution we want to describe, we obtain for a 2D Electrical Transmission lattice (ETL) either a system of two uncoupled KdV equations or a system of two uncoupled KP-II equations as a resonant normal form for the continuous approximation of the lattice, while for the 2D Klein-Gordon lattice with quartic defocusing nonlinearity we obtain a

one-dimensional cubic defocusing NLS equation. Since all the above PDEs are integrable, we can exploit integrability to deduce a mathematically rigorous result on the formation of the metastable packet.

Up to the authors' knowledge, this is the first analytical result about metastable phenomena in two-dimensional Hamiltonian lattices with periodic boundary conditions; in particular, this is the first rigorous result for two-dimensional lattices in which the dynamics of the lattice in a genuinely two-dimensional regime is described by a system of two-dimensional integrable PDEs.

Some comments are in order:

i. denoting by $\mu \ll 1$ the wave-number of the Fourier mode initially excited, we have that the time-scale of validity of our result is of order $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-3})$ for the 2D ETL lattice, and of order $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-2})$ for the 2D Klein-Gordon lattice;

ii. the ansatz about the small amplitude solutions gives a relation between the specific energy of the system ϵ and the wave-number $\mu \sim \frac{1}{N_1}$ of the Fourier mode initially excited. More precisely, we obtain $\epsilon \sim \mu^4$ for the 2D ETL lattice as in [BP06], and $\epsilon \sim \mu^2$ for the 2D Klein-Gordon lattice. This implies that the result does not hold in the thermodynamic limit regime;

iii. our result can be easily generalized to higher-dimensional lattices (see Remark 2.8 and Remark 2.9), such as the physical case of three-dimensional rectangular lattices with cubic cells.

To prove our results we follow the strategy of [BP06]. The first step consists in the approximation of the dynamics of the lattice with the dynamics of a continuous system. As a second step we perform a normal form canonical transformation and we obtain that the effective dynamics is given by a system of integrable PDEs (KdV, KP-II, NLS depending on the lattice and the relation between N_1 and N_2). Next, we exploit the dynamics of these integrable PDEs in order to construct approximate solutions of the original discrete lattices, and we estimate the error with repect to a true solution with the corresponding initial datum. Finally, we use the known results about the dynamics of the above mentioned integrable PDEs in order to estimate the specific energies for the approximate solutions of the original lattices.

The novelties of this work are: on the one side, a mathematically rigorous proof of the approximation of the dynamics of the ETL lattice by the dynamics of certain integrable PDEs (among these integrable PDEs, there is one which is *genuinely* two-dimensional, the KP-II equation) and of the dynamics of the two-dimensional KG lattice by the dynamics of the one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation; on the other side, there are two technical differences with respect to previous works, namely the normal form theorem (which is a variant of the technique used in [BCP02] [Bam05] [Pas19]) and the estimates for bounding the error between the approximate solution and the true solution of the lattice (which need a more careful study than the ones appearing in [SW00] [BP06] for the one-dimensional case).

2. Main Results

2.1. **The Electrical transmission lattice.** We describe a lossless periodic two-dimensional electrical transmission lattice (ETL), given by a rectangular configuration of repeating units, each made up of two linear inductors and a nonlinear capacitor; in the non-periodic setting, the model has been studied in [BW06]. We define lattice nodes by the locations of capacitors. We denote

$$(1) \qquad \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2} := \{(j_1, j_2) : j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, |j_1| \le N_1, |j_2| \le N_2\};$$

we also write $e_1 := (1, 0)$, $e_2 := (0, 1)$ and $\mathbb{Z}^2_N := \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N}$.

The variable $V_j(t)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}$, denotes the voltage across the j-th capacitor, $Q_j(t)$ denotes the charge stored on the j-th capacitor and $I_j(t)$ denotes the current through the j-th inductor along direction e_1. To derive the equations for the voltage V_j and the charge Q_j in the lattice one can proceed as follows. Considering a section of the lattice and applying Faraday's law and Lenz's law, the difference in shunt voltage at site j and site $j + e_1$ is given by

$$(2) \qquad V_{j+e_1} - V_j = -L\frac{\mathrm{d}I_j}{\mathrm{d}t},$$

where L is the inductance, which we assume to be constant. Assuming the capacitance C to be an analytic function of the voltage V we can expand it in Taylor series, obtaining for small voltages

$$(3) \qquad C_j(V) \sim C_0(1 + 2aV_j + 3bV_j^2),$$

where $C_0 := C_j(0)$, a and b are real constants determined by the physical realisation of the network. Using standard relations between electrical quantities we finally obtain a closed equation for the charge

$$(4) \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Q_j}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = \frac{1}{LC_0}(\Delta_1(Q + \alpha Q^2 + \beta Q^3))_j,$$

$$(5) \qquad (\Delta_1 Q)_j := (Q_{j+e_1} - 2Q_j + Q_{j-e_1}) + (Q_{j+e_2} - 2Q_j + Q_{j-e_2}).$$

where α, β are real parameters related to a and b. Up to a rescaling of time, we can set $LC_0 = 1$ without loss of generality. The Hamiltonian associated to (4) is given by

$$(6) \qquad H(Q,P) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}} -\frac{1}{2} P_j (\Delta_1 P)_j + (F(Q))_j,$$

$$(7) \qquad (F(Q))_j = \frac{Q_j^2}{2} + \alpha \frac{Q_j^3}{3} + \beta \frac{Q_j^4}{4}.$$

We refer to (6) as $\alpha + \beta$ model (respectively, β model) if $\alpha \neq 0$ (respectively $\alpha = 0$). With the above Hamiltonian formulation the equations of motion associated to (6) are given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Q}_j = -(\Delta_1 P)_j \\ \dot{P}_j = -(F'(Q))_j \end{cases} ;$$

$$(8) \qquad \ddot{Q}_j = (\Delta_1 F'(Q))_j.$$

We also introduce the Fourier coefficients of Q via the following standard relation,

$$(9) \qquad Q_j := \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2N_1+1)(2N_2+1)}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}} \hat{Q}_k e^{i\frac{j \cdot k\, 2\pi}{(2N_1+1)^{1/2}(2N_2+1)^{1/2}}}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2},$$

and similarly for P_j. We denote by

$$(10) \qquad E_k := \frac{\omega_k^2 |\hat{P}_k|^2 + |\hat{Q}_k|^2}{2},$$

$$(11) \qquad \omega_k^2 := 4\sin^2\left(\frac{k_1\, \pi}{2N_1+1}\right) + 4\sin^2\left(\frac{k_2\, \pi}{2N_2+1}\right),$$

the energy and the square of the frequency of the mode at site $k = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}$. For states described by real functions, one has $E_{(k_1,k_2)} = E_{(-k_1,k_2)}$ and $E_{(k_1,k_2)} = E_{(k_1,-k_2)}$ for all $k = (k_1, k_2)$, so we will consider only indexes in

$$\mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2,+} := \{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2} : k_1, k_2 \geq 0\}.$$

It is also convenient to introduce the following specific quantities,

$$(12) \qquad \kappa := \kappa(k) = \left(\frac{k_1}{N_1 + \frac{1}{2}}, \frac{k_2}{N_2 + \frac{1}{2}}\right),$$

$$(13) \qquad \mathcal{E}_\kappa := \frac{E_k}{\left(N_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(N_2 + \frac{1}{2}\right)},$$

where (13) is the specific energy of the normal mode with index κ.

We want to study the behaviour of small amplitude solutions of (8), with initial data in which only one low-frequency Fourier mode is excited.

We assume $N_1 \leq N_2$, and we introduce the quantities

$$\mu := \frac{2}{2N_1 + 1}, \tag{14}$$

$$\sigma := \log_{N_1 + \frac{1}{2}}\left(N_2 + \frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{15}$$

which play the role of parameters in our construction.

We study the $\alpha + \beta$ model of (8) in the following regimes:

(KdV) the *very weakly transverse regime*, where the effective dynamics is described by a system of two *uncoupled* Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equations. This corresponds to taking $\mu \ll 1$ and $2 < \sigma < 5$;

(KP) the *weakly transverse regime*, where the effective dynamics is a described by a system of two *uncoupled* Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation. This corresponds to taking $\mu \ll 1$ and $\sigma = 2$.

From now on, we denote by $\kappa_0 := \left(\frac{1}{N_1 + \frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{(N_1 + \frac{1}{2})^\sigma}\right) = (\mu, \mu^\sigma)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Consider (8) with $\alpha \neq 0$, $2 < \sigma < 5$.*

Fix $1 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{7-\sigma}{2}$ and two positive constants C_0 and T_0, then there exist positive constants μ_0, C_1 and C_2 (depending only on γ, C_0 and on T_0) such that the following holds. Consider an initial datum with

$$\mathcal{E}_{\kappa_0}(0) = C_0\mu^4, \qquad \mathcal{E}_\kappa(0) = 0, \qquad \forall \kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) \neq \kappa_0, \tag{16}$$

and assume that $\mu < \mu_0$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that along the corresponding solution one has

$$\mathcal{E}_\kappa(t) \leq C_1 \mu^4 e^{-\rho|(\kappa_1/\mu, \kappa_2/\mu^\sigma)|} + C_2 \mu^{4+\gamma}, \qquad |t| \leq \frac{T_0}{\mu^3} \tag{17}$$

for all κ. Moreover, for any n_2 with $0 \leq n_2 \leq N_2$ there exists a sequence of almost-periodic functions $(F_n)_{n=(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2,+}}$ such that, if we denote

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa_0} = \mu^4 F_n, \qquad \mathcal{F}_\kappa = 0 \qquad \forall \kappa \neq n\kappa_0 \tag{18}$$

then

$$|\mathcal{E}_\kappa(t) - \mathcal{F}_\kappa(t)| \leq C_2 \mu^{4+\gamma}, \qquad |t| \leq \frac{T_0}{\mu^3}. \tag{19}$$

Theorem 2.2. *Consider (8) with $\alpha \neq 0$, $\sigma = 2$.*

Fix $1 \leq \gamma < \frac{5}{2}$ and two positive constants C_0 and T_0, then there exist positive constants μ_0, C_1 and C_2 (depending only on γ, C_0 and on T_0) such that the following holds. Consider an initial datum with

$$\mathcal{E}_{\kappa_0}(0) = C_0\mu^4, \qquad \mathcal{E}_\kappa(0) = 0 \qquad \forall \kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) \neq \kappa_0, \tag{20}$$

and assume that $\mu < \mu_0$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that along the corresponding solution one has

$$\mathcal{E}_\kappa(t) \leq C_1 \mu^4 e^{-\rho|(\kappa_1/\mu, \kappa_2/\mu^\sigma)|} + C_2 \mu^{4+\gamma}, \qquad |t| \leq \frac{T}{\mu^3} \tag{21}$$

for all κ.

Remark 2.3. *In Theorem 2.2 we do not mention the existence of a sequence of almost-periodic functions approximating the specific energies of the modes. This is related to the construction of action-angle/Birkhoff coordinates for the KP equation, which is an open problem in the theory of integrable PDEs.*

2.2. The 2D Klein-Gordon lattice.
Among the lattices that have received a great amount of attention, we mention the class of Klein-Gordon (KG) lattices, which combine the nearest-neighbour potential with an on-site one. The Hamiltonian of the system with $2N + 1$ particles in the one-dimensional case is

$$H(r, s) = \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{(r_{j+1} - r_j)^2}{2} + U(r_j), \tag{22}$$

$$U(x) = m^2 \frac{x^2}{2} + \beta \frac{x^{2p+2}}{2p+2}, \qquad m > 0, \ p \geq 1. \tag{23}$$

We now pass to two-dimensional KG lattices: the scalar model

$$
(24) \qquad H(Q,P) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}} \frac{P_j^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2} \\ |j-k|=1}} \frac{(Q_j - Q_k)^2}{2} + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}} U(Q_j),
$$

$$
(25) \qquad U(x) = m^2 \frac{x^2}{2} + \beta \frac{x^{2p+2}}{2p+2}, \qquad m > 0, \qquad \beta > 0,\ p \geq 1,
$$

can be used to describe rigid rotating molecules in the lattice plane (Q being the angle of rotation), where each molecule interacts with its neighbors and with the periodic substrate potential U; alternatively, Q can represent the transverse motion of a planar lattice [Ros03].

Using the operator Δ_1 introduced in (5), the Hamiltonian (24) can be rewritten as

$$
(26) \qquad H(Q,P) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}} \frac{P_j^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}} Q_j\,(-\Delta_1 Q)_j + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}} U(Q_j),
$$

the associated equations of motion are

$$
(27) \qquad \ddot{Q}_j = (\Delta_1 Q)_j - m^2 Q_j - \beta Q_j^{2p+1}, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}.
$$

If we take $p=1$, we obtain a generalization of the one-dimensional ϕ^4 model.

We also introduce the Fourier coefficients of Q via the following relation,

$$
(28) \qquad Q_j := \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2N_1+1)(2N_2+1)}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{2N+1}} \hat{Q}_k e^{i\frac{j \cdot k\, 2\pi}{(2N_1+1)^{1/2}(2N_2+1)^{1/2}}}, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2},
$$

and similarly for P_j, and we denote by

$$
(29) \qquad E_k := \frac{|\hat{P}_k|^2 + \omega_k^2 |\hat{Q}_k|^2}{2},
$$

$$
(30) \qquad \omega_k^2 := m^2 + 4\sin^2\left(\frac{k_1\,\pi}{2N_1+1}\right) + 4\sin^2\left(\frac{k_2\,\pi}{2N_2+1}\right),
$$

the energy and the square of the frequency of the mode at site $k = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2}$. In the rest of the paper we will assume that $m=1$.

We study the two-dimensional KG lattice (24) in the following regime:

(1D NLS) the *very weakly transverse regime*, where the effective dynamics is described by a cubic one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation. This corresponds to taking $\mu \ll 1$ and $1 < \sigma < 7$.

Theorem 2.4. *Consider (24) with $\beta > 0$, $1 < \sigma < 7$.*

Fix $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{7-\sigma}{2}$ and two positive constants C_0 and T_0, then there exist positive constants μ_0, C_1 and C_2 (depending only on γ, C_0 and on T_0) such that the following holds. Consider an initial datum with

$$
(31) \qquad \mathcal{E}_{\kappa_0}(0) = C_0 \mu^2, \qquad \mathcal{E}_\kappa(0) = 0,\ \forall \kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) \neq \kappa_0,
$$

and assume that $\mu < \mu_0$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that along the corresponding solution one has

$$
(32) \qquad \mathcal{E}_\kappa(t) \leq C_1\, \mu^2 e^{-\rho|(\kappa_1/\mu,\, \kappa_2/\mu^\sigma)|} + C_2\, \mu^{2+\gamma}, \qquad |t| \leq \frac{T_0}{\mu^2}
$$

for all κ. Moreover, for any n_2 with $0 \leq n_2 \leq N_2$ there exists a sequence of almost-periodic functions $(F_n)_{n=(n_1,n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N_1,N_2,+}}$ such that, if we denote

$$
(33) \qquad \mathcal{F}_{\kappa_0} = \mu^2 F_n, \qquad \mathcal{F}_\kappa = 0 \qquad \forall \kappa \neq n\kappa_0
$$

then

$$
(34) \qquad |\mathcal{E}_\kappa(t) - \mathcal{F}_\kappa(t)| \leq C_2\, \mu^{2+\gamma}, \qquad |t| \leq \frac{T_0}{\mu^2}.
$$

2.3. Further remarks.

Remark 2.5. *The specific choice of the direction of longitudinal propagation in the regimes that we have considered is not relevant.*

Remark 2.6. *Using the definition of σ and μ in (15), (14) we can read Theorems 2.1, 2.2 using, as parameter, the total number of sites in the lattice N. The statement should read as follows:*

Consider (8) with $\alpha \neq 0$ and $2 \leq \sigma < 5$. Fix $1 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{7-\sigma}{2}$ and two positive constants C_0 and T_0, then there exists positive constants N_0, C_1 and C_2 (depending only on γ, C_0 and T_0) such that if we consider an initial datum with

$$(35) \qquad \mathcal{E}_{\kappa_0}(0) = \frac{C_0}{N^{\frac{4}{1+\sigma}}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_\kappa(0) = 0 \quad \forall \kappa \neq \kappa_0$$

with $N > N_0$. There exists $\rho > 0$ such that along the corresponding solution one has

$$(36) \qquad \mathcal{E}_\kappa(t) \leq \frac{C_1}{N^{\frac{4}{1+\sigma}}} e^{-\rho|(N_1\kappa_1, N_2\kappa_2)|} + \frac{C_2}{N^{\frac{4+\gamma}{1+\sigma}}}, \quad |t| \leq T_0 N^{\frac{3}{1+\sigma}} .$$

for all κ.

Remark 2.7. *We point out that the time of validity of Theorem 2.4 for the KG lattice is of order $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-2})$, which is different from the time of validity of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 for the FPU lattice. In the one-dimensional case it has been observed that, for a fixed value of specific energy ϵ and for long-wavelength modes initially excited, the ϕ^4 model reached equipartition faster than the FPU β model (see [LLPR07], sec. 2.1.8).*

Remark 2.8. *Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 can be generalized to higher dimensional lattices. Indeed, let $d \leq 4$, define*

$$(37) \qquad \mathbb{Z}^d_{N_1,\ldots,N_d} := \{(j_1,\ldots,j_d) : j_1,\ldots,j_d \in \mathbb{Z}, |j_1| \leq N_1, \ldots, |j_d| \leq N_d\},$$

and consider the d-dimensional ETL

$$(38) \qquad H(Q,P) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{N_1,\ldots,N_d}} -\frac{1}{2}P_j\,(\Delta_1 P)_j + (F(Q))_j,$$

$$(39) \qquad (F(Q))_j = \frac{Q_j^2}{2} + \alpha\frac{Q_j^3}{3} + \beta\frac{Q_j^4}{4}, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{N_1,\ldots,N_d}.$$

We assume $N_1 \leq N_2, \ldots, N_d$, and we introduce the quantities

$$(40) \qquad \mu := \frac{2}{2N_1 + 1},$$

$$(41) \qquad \sigma_i := \log_{N_1+\frac{1}{2}}\left(N_{i+1} + \frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad i = 1,\ldots,d-1.$$

Then we can describe the following regimes:

(KdV-d) *the $\alpha + \beta$ model, in the very weakly transverse regime with $\mu \ll 1$ and $2 < \sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{d-1} < 5$;*
(KP-d) *the $\alpha + \beta$ model, in the weakly transverse regime with $\mu \ll 1$ and $\sigma_1 = 2$, $2 < \sigma_2,\ldots,\sigma_{d-1} < 5$.*

Moreover, in order to obtain Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 we will have to assume that

$$(42) \qquad 2\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sigma_i < 7.$$

which, together with the fact that $\sigma_i > 2$ for all $i = 1,\ldots,d-1$, is consistent with the assumption $d \leq 4$.

Remark 2.9. *Theorem 2.4 can be generalized to higher dimensional lattices. Indeed, let $d \leq 6$, define $\mathbb{Z}^d_{N_1,\ldots,N_d}$ as in (37) and consider the d-dimensional NLKG lattice*

$$(43) \qquad H(Q,P) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{N_1,\ldots,N_d}} \frac{P_j^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{N_1,\ldots,N_d} \\ |j-k|=1}} \frac{(Q_j - Q_k)^2}{2} + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{N_1,\ldots,N_d}} U(Q_j),$$

$$(44) \qquad U(x) = m^2 \frac{x^2}{2} + \beta \frac{x^{2p+2}}{2p+2}, \qquad m > 0, \qquad \beta > 0, \ p \geq 1,$$

We assume $N_1 \leq N_2, \ldots, N_{d-1}$, and we introduce the quantities μ and σ_i ($1 \leq i \leq d-1$) as in (40) and (41).

Then we can describe the following regime:

(1DNLS-d) *the model (43) with $m = 1$ and $p = 1$ in the very weakly transverse regime, with $\mu \ll 1$, $1 < \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1} < 7$;*

Moreover, in order to obtain Theorem 2.4 we will have to assume that

$$(45) \qquad 2\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sigma_i < 7.$$

which, together with the fact that $\sigma_i > 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d-1$, is consistent with the assumption $d \leq 6$.

Remark 2.10. *There are other interesting regimes for (8) and (27) especially for their relation with the modified KdV equation and two-dimensional Non-Linear Schrödinger equation respectively. These will be discussed in Remark 4.7 and Remark 4.12 respectively.*

3. Galerkin Averaging

3.1. **An Averaging Theorem.** Following [Pas19] (see also [BP06] and [Bam05]) we use a Galerkin averaging method in order to approximate the solutions of the continuous approximation of the lattice with the solutions of the system in normal form.

To this end we first have to introduce a topology in the phase space. This is conveniently done in terms of Fourier coefficients.

Definition 3.1. *Fix two constants $\rho \geq 0$ and $s \geq 0$. We will denote by $\ell^2_{\rho,s}$ the Hilbert space of complex sequences $v = (v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}}$ with obvious vector space structure and with scalar product*

$$(46) \qquad \langle v, w \rangle_{\rho,s} := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \overline{v_n} w_n e^{2\rho|n|} |n|^{2s}.$$

and such that

$$(47) \qquad \|v\|^2_{\rho,s} := \langle v, v \rangle_{\rho,s} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |v_n|^2 e^{2\rho|n|} |n|^{2s}$$

is finite. We will denote by ℓ^2 the space $\ell^2_{0,0}$.

We will identify a 2-periodic function v with the sequence of its Fourier coefficients $\{\hat{v}_n\}_n$,

$$v(y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{v}_n e^{i\pi n \cdot y},$$

and we will say that $v \in \ell^2_{\rho,s}$ if the sequence of its Fourier coefficients belong to $\ell^2_{\rho,s}$.

Now fix $\rho \geq 0$ and $s \geq 1$, and consider the scale of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s} := \ell^2_{\rho,s} \times \ell^2_{\rho,s} \ni \zeta = (\xi, \eta)$, endowed with one of the following symplectic forms:

$$(48) \qquad \Omega_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Omega_2 := \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{x_1}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_{x_1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that $\Omega_\gamma : \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s} \to \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s+\gamma-1}$ ($\gamma = 1, 2$) is a well-defined operator. Moreover, Ω_2 is well-defined on the space of functions with zero-average with respect to the x_1-variable, i.e. on those functions $\zeta(x_1, x_2)$ such that for every x_2 we have $\int_{-1}^{1} \zeta(x_1, x_2) \, dx_1 = 0$.

If we fix $\gamma \in \{1, 2\}$, s and $U_s \subset \ell^2_{\rho,s}$ open, we define the gradient of $K \in C^\infty(U_s, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to $\xi \in \ell^2_{\rho,s}$ as the unique function s.t.

$$\langle \nabla_\xi K, h \rangle = \mathrm{d}_\xi K h, \qquad \forall h \in \ell^2_{\rho,s}.$$

Similarly, for an open set $\mathcal{U}_s \subset \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$ the Hamiltonian vector field of the Hamiltonian function $H \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U}_s, \mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$X_H(\zeta) = \Omega_\gamma^{-1} \nabla_\zeta H(\zeta).$$

The open ball of radius R and center 0 in $\ell^2_{\rho,s}$ will be denoted by $B_{\rho,s}(R)$; we write $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R) := B_{\rho,s}(R) \times B_{\rho,s}(R) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$.

Now, we introduce the Fourier projection operators $\hat{\pi}_j : \ell^2_{\rho,s} \to \ell^2_{\rho,s}$

$$(49) \qquad \hat{\pi}_j((v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}}) := \begin{cases} v_n & \text{if} \quad j-1 \leq |n| < j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad , \qquad j \geq 1,$$

the operators $\pi_j : \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s} \to \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$

$$(50) \qquad \pi_j((\zeta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}}) := \begin{cases} \zeta_n & \text{if} \quad j-1 \leq |n| < j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad , \qquad j \geq 1,$$

and the operators $\Pi_M : \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s} \to \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$

$$(51) \qquad \Pi_M((\zeta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}}) := \begin{cases} \zeta_n & \text{if} \quad |n| \leq M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad , \qquad M \geq 0.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The projection operators defined in (50) and (51) satisfy the following properties for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$:*

    i. for any $j \geq 0$

$$\zeta = \sum_{j \geq 0} \pi_j \zeta;$$

    ii. for any $M \geq 0$

$$\|\Pi_M \zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}};$$

    iii. the following equality holds

$$(52) \qquad \|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} = \left\| \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} j^{2s} |\pi_j \zeta|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}$$

    where $|\zeta|$, for $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$ is the element $|\zeta| \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$ whose n-th element is

$$|\zeta|_n := (|\xi_n|, |\eta_n|)$$

    and $(\zeta^\alpha)_n := (\xi_n^\alpha, \eta_n^\alpha)$.

Now we consider a Hamiltonian system of the form

$$(53) \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad H = h_0 + \delta F,$$

where we assume that

(PER) h_0 generates a linear periodic flow $\Phi_{h_0}^\tau$ with period T,

$$\Phi_{h_0}^{\tau+T} = \Phi_{h_0}^\tau \qquad \forall \tau,$$

    which is analytic as a map from $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$ into itself for any $s \geq 1$. Furthermore, the flow is an isometry for any $s \geq 1$.

(INV) for any $s \geq 1$, $\Phi_{h_0}^\tau$ leaves invariant the space $\Pi_j \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$ for any $j \geq 0$. Furthermore, for any $j \geq 0$

$$\pi_j \circ \Phi_{h_0}^\tau = \Phi_{h_0}^\tau \circ \pi_j.$$

Next, we assume that the vector field of F admits an asymptotic expansion in δ of the form

$$(54) \qquad\qquad F \sim \sum_{j \geq 1} \delta^{j-1} F_j,$$

$$(55) \qquad\qquad X_F \sim \sum_{j \geq 1} \delta^{j-1} X_{F_j},$$

and that the following property is satisfied

(HVF) There exists $R^* > 0$ such that for any $j \geq 1$
  $\cdot$ X_{F_j} is analytic from $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s+2j+\gamma}(R^*)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$.
  Moreover, for any $r \geq 1$ we have that
  $\cdot$ $X_{F-\sum_{j=1}^{r} \delta^{j-1} F_j}$ is analytic from $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s+2(r+1)+\gamma}(R^*)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Fix $R > 0$, $s_1 \gg 1$. Consider (53), and assume (PER), (INV) and (HVF). Then $\exists$ $s_0 > 0$ with the following properties: for any $s \geq s_1$ there exists $\delta_s \ll 1$ such that for any $\delta < \delta_s$ there exists $\mathcal{T}_\delta : \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R/2) \to \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ analytic canonical transformation such that*

$$(56) \qquad\qquad H_1 := H \circ \mathcal{T}_\delta = h_0 + \delta \mathcal{Z}_1 + \delta^2\, \mathcal{R}^{(1)},$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_1$ is in normal form, namely

$$(57) \qquad\qquad \{\mathcal{Z}_1, h_0\} = 0,$$

and there exists a positive constant C_s' such that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s+s_0}(R/2)} \|X_{\mathcal{Z}_1}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq C_s',$$

$$(58) \qquad\qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s+s_0}(R/2)} \|X_{\mathcal{R}^{(1)}}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq C_s',$$

$$(59) \qquad\qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R/2)} \|\mathcal{T}_\delta - id\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq C_s'\, \delta.$$

In particular,

$$(60) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{Z}_1(\zeta) = \langle F_1 \rangle(\zeta),$$

where $\langle F_1 \rangle(\zeta) := \int_0^T F_1 \circ \Phi_{h_0}^\tau(\zeta) \frac{d\tau}{T}$.

Remark 3.4. *By using the same arguments of [Bam05] and [Pas19] one can prove a more general version of Theorem 3.3, in which the Hamiltonian is put in normal form up to order r, for any $r \geq 1$. In this latter case, both δ_s and s_0 will also depend on r.*

3.2. **Proof of the Averaging Theorem.** The proof of Theorem 3.3 is actually an application of the techniques used in [Pas19] and [BP06]).

First notice that by assumption (INV) the Hamiltonian vector field of h_0 generates a continuous flow Φ^τ which leaves $\Pi_M \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$ invariant.

Now we set $H = H_{1,M} + \mathcal{R}_{1,M} + \mathcal{R}_1$, where

$$(61) \qquad\qquad H_{1,M} := h_0 + \delta\, F_{1,M},$$

$$(62) \qquad\qquad F_{1,M} := F_1 \circ \Pi_M,$$

and

$$(63) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{R}_{1,M} := h_0 + \delta F_1 - H_{1,M},$$

$$(64) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{R}_1 := \delta\,(F - F_1).$$

The system described by the Hamiltonian (61) is the one that we will put in normal form.
In the following we will use the notation $a \lesssim b$ to mean: there exists a positive constant K independent of M and R (but eventually on s), such that $a \leq Kb$. We exploit the following intermediate results:

Lemma 3.5. *For any $s \geq s_1$ there exists $R > 0$ such that $\forall \, \sigma > 0$, $M > 0$*

$$(65) \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s+\gamma+\sigma+2}(R)} \|X_{\mathcal{R}_{1,M}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \lesssim \frac{\delta}{(M+1)^\sigma},$$

$$(66) \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s+\gamma+4}(R)} \|X_{\mathcal{R}_1}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \lesssim \delta^2.$$

Proof. We recall that $\mathcal{R}_{1,M} = h_0 + \delta F_j - H_{1,M}$.
We first notice that $\|id - \Pi_M\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s+\sigma} \to \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} = (M+1)^{-\sigma}$: indeed, using (52) we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{j \geq M+1} \pi_j f \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} = \left\| \left[\sum_{j \geq M+1} |j^s \pi_j f|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}} \leq (M+1)^{-\sigma} \left\| \left[\sum_{j \geq M+1} |j^{s+\sigma} \pi_j f|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}$$
$$\leq (M+1)^{-\sigma} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s+\sigma}},$$

whereas the inequality $\|id - \Pi_M\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s+\sigma} \to \mathcal{H}^{\rho,\sigma}} \leq (M+1)^{-\sigma}$ is obtained with a function which has non zero components only for $|j| = M+1$, i.e. $f = \pi_{M+1} f$.

Inequality (65) follows from

$$\sup_{(\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s+\gamma+2+\sigma}(R)} \|X_{\mathcal{R}_{1,M}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$\lesssim \|dX_{\delta F_1}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s+2+\gamma}(R), \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s})} \|id - \Pi_M\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s+2+\gamma+\sigma}(R), \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s+2+\gamma}(R))}$$
$$\lesssim \delta \, (M+1)^{-\sigma},$$

while estimate (66) is an immediate consequence of (HVF). $\qquad\square$

Lemma 3.6. *For any $s \geq s_1$*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R^*)} \|X_{F_{1,M}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq K_{1,s}^{(F)} M^{2+\gamma},$$

where

$$K_{1,s}^{(F)} := \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R^*)} \|X_{F_1}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s-2-\gamma}} \; < \; +\infty.$$

Proof. Using (52) we have

$$(67) \qquad \sup_{(\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \left\| \sum_{h \leq M} \pi_h X_{F_{1,M}}(\zeta) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} = \sup_{(\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \left\| \left[\sum_{h \leq M} |h^s \pi_h X_{F_{1,M}}(\zeta)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}$$

$$(68) \qquad \leq M^{2+\gamma} \sup_{(\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \left\| \left[\sum_{h \leq M} |h^{s-2-\gamma} \pi_h X_{F_{1,M}}(\zeta)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}$$

$$(69) \qquad \leq M^{2+\gamma} \sup_{(\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_{F_{1,M}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s-2-\gamma}} = K_{1,s}^{(F)} \, M^{2+\gamma},$$

where the last quantity is finite for $R \leq R^*$ by property (HVF). $\qquad\square$

To normalize (61) we need a slight reformulation of Theorem 4.4 in [Bam99]. Here we report a statement of the result adapted to our context which is proved in Appendix A.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $s \geq s_1 + 2 + \gamma$, $R > 0$, and consider the system (61). Assume that $\delta < \frac{1}{30}$, and that*

$$(70) \qquad 12 \, T \, K_{1,s}^{(F)} M^{2+\gamma} \delta < R$$

where

$$K_{1,s}^{(F)} := \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_{F_1}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s-2-\gamma}}.$$

Then there exists an analytic canonical transformation $\mathcal{T}_{\delta,M}^{(0)} : \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R/2) \to \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ *such that*

$$\text{(71)} \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R/2)} \|\mathcal{T}_{\delta,M}^{(0)}(\zeta) - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \le 2T\, K_{1,s}^{(F)} M^{2+\gamma} \delta,$$

and that puts (61) *in normal form up to a small remainder,*

$$\text{(72)} \qquad H_{1,M} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\delta,M}^{(0)} = h_0 + \delta Z_M^{(1)} + \delta^2 \mathcal{R}_M^{(1)},$$

with $Z_M^{(1)}$ *in normal form, namely* $\{h_{0,M}, Z_M^{(1)}\} = 0$, *and*

$$\text{(73)} \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R/2)} \|X_{Z_M^{(1)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \le K_{1,s}^{(F)} M^{2+\gamma}$$

$$\text{(74)} \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R/2)} \|X_{\mathcal{R}_M^{(1)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \le 15 K_{1,s}^{(F)} M^{2+\gamma}$$

Now we conclude with the proof of the Theorem 3.3.

Proof. If we define $\delta_s := \min\{\frac{1}{30}, \frac{R}{12\,T\,K_{1,s}^{(F)} M^{2+\gamma}}\}$ and we choose

$$s_0 = \sigma + 2 + \gamma,$$
$$\sigma \ge 2,$$

then the transformation $\mathcal{T}_\delta := \mathcal{T}_{\delta,M}^{(0)}$ defined by Lemma 3.7 satisfies (56) because of (72).

Next, Eq. (57) follows from Lemma 3.7, Eq. (58) follows from (73) and (74), while (59) is precisely (71). Finally, (60) can be deduced by applying Lemma A.6 to $G = F_1$. $\square$

4. Applications to two-dimensional lattices

4.1. The KdV regime for the ETL lattice.
We want to study the behaviour of small amplitude solutions of (8), with initial data in which only one low-frequency Fourier mode is excited.

As a first step, we introduce an interpolating function $Q = Q(t, x)$ such that

(A1) $Q(t,j) = Q_j(t)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1,N_2}^2$;
(A2) Q is periodic with period $2N_1 + 1$ in the x_1-variable, and periodic with period $2N_2 + 1$ in the x_2-variable;
(A3) Q has zero average, $\int_{[-(N_1+\frac{1}{2}),N_1+\frac{1}{2}] \times [-(N_2+\frac{1}{2}),N_2+\frac{1}{2}]} Q(t,j) \mathrm{d}j = 0 \ \forall t$;
(A4) Q fulfills

$$\text{(75)} \qquad \ddot{Q} = \Delta_1(Q + \alpha Q^2 + \beta Q^3),$$

$$\text{(76)} \qquad \Delta_1 := 4\sinh^2\left(\frac{\partial_{x_1}}{2}\right) + 4\sinh^2\left(\frac{\partial_{x_2}}{2}\right).$$

It is easy to verify that (75) is Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function

$$\text{(77)} \qquad H(Q,P) = \int_{\left[-\frac{1}{\mu},\frac{1}{\mu}\right] \times \left[-\frac{1}{\mu^\sigma},\frac{1}{\mu^\sigma}\right]} \frac{-P\Delta_1 P + Q^2}{2} + \alpha\frac{Q^3}{3} + \beta\frac{Q^4}{4}\mathrm{d}x,$$

where P is a periodic function which has zero average and is canonically conjugated to Q.

First we consider (75), with $\alpha \ne 0$, and we look for small amplitude solutions of the form

$$\text{(78)} \qquad Q(t,x) = \mu^2 q(\mu t, \mu x_1, \mu^\sigma x_2),$$

where $q : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a periodic function and μ, σ are defined in (14)-(15). We introduce the rescaled variables $\tau = \mu t$, $y_1 = \mu x_1$, $y_2 = \mu^\sigma x_2$, and we denote

$$\text{(79)} \qquad I := [-1,1]^2.$$

Plugging (78) into (75), we get

(80)
$$q_{\tau\tau} = \frac{\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}}{\mu^2}\left(q + \mu^2\alpha q^2\right),$$

(81)
$$\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma} := 4\sinh^2\left(\frac{\mu\partial_{y_1}}{2}\right) + 4\sinh^2\left(\mu^\sigma\frac{\partial_{y_2}}{2}\right),$$

which is a Hamiltonian PDE corresponding to the Hamiltonian functional

(82)
$$K_1(q,p) = \int_I \frac{-p\,\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}p}{2\mu^2} + \frac{q^2}{2} + \alpha\mu^2\frac{q^3}{3}\mathrm{d}y,$$

and p is the variable canonically conjugated to q.

Now, observe that the the operator $\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}$ admits the following asymptotic expansion,

(83)
$$\frac{\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}}{\mu^2} \sim \partial_{y_1}^2 + \mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\partial_{y_2}^2 + \sum_{m\geq 1} c_m\left(\mu^{2m}\partial_{y_1}^{2(m+1)} + \mu^{2[(m+1)\sigma-1]}\partial_{y_2}^{2(m+1)}\right),$$

(84)
$$c_m := \frac{2}{(2m)!},$$

which, up to terms of order $\mathcal{O}(\mu^4)$, reads

(85)
$$\frac{\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}}{\mu^2} \sim \partial_{y_1}^2 + \frac{\mu^2}{12}\partial_{y_1}^4 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^4),$$

(recall that $\sigma > 2$). Therefore the Hamiltonian (82) admits the following asymptotic expansion

(86)
$$K_1(q,p) \sim \hat{h}_0(q,p) + \mu^2\hat{F}_1(q,p) + \mu^4\hat{\mathcal{R}}(q,p),$$

(87)
$$\hat{h}_0(q,p) = \int_I \frac{-p\,(\partial_{y_1}^2 p) + q^2}{2}\mathrm{d}y,$$

(88)
$$\hat{F}_1(q,p) = \int_I -\frac{p\,\partial_{y_1}^4 p}{24} + \alpha\frac{q^3}{3}\mathrm{d}y.$$

Note that the nonlinearity of degree 4 does not affect the Hamiltonian up to order $\mathcal{O}(\mu^4)$. Following the approach of [BP06], we can introduce the following non-canonical change of coordinates

(89)
$$\xi := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q + \partial_{y_1}p),$$

(90)
$$\eta := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q - \partial_{y_1}p).$$

Since the previous transformation is not canonical, the Poisson tensor in these new coordinates is

(91)
$$J = \partial_{y_1}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and Hamilton equations associated to a Hamiltonian K_1 are

$$\partial_\tau \xi = -\partial_{y_1}\frac{\delta K_1}{\delta\xi}$$

$$\partial_\tau \eta = \partial_{y_1}\frac{\delta K_1}{\delta\eta}.$$

Remark 4.1. *The explicit expression of the Poisson tensor* (91) *let us compute straightforwardly Casimir invariants associated to* J, *which are*

(92)
$$C(\xi,\eta) = A + B\int_{-1}^1 \xi(\tau,y_1,y_2)\mathrm{d}y_1 + C\int_{-1}^1 \eta(\tau,y_1,y_2)\mathrm{d}y_1,$$

where A, B *and* C *are arbitrary real constants.*

Since Casimir invariants are constants of motion, we can restrict our analysis on the subspace defined by

$$\text{(93)} \qquad \int_{-1}^{1} \xi(\tau, y_1, y_2) - \eta(\tau, y_1, y_2) \mathrm{d}y_1 = 0 \qquad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ |y_2| \leq 1.$$

However, by recalling (89)-(90) one sees that (93) implies

$$\text{(94)} \qquad \int_{-1}^{1} \partial_{y_1} p(\tau, y_1, y_2) \mathrm{d}y_1 = 0 \qquad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ |y_2| \leq 1,$$

which is true due to periodic boundary conditions.

Moreover, if we write K_1 in (ξ, η) coordinates we have

$$\text{(95)} \qquad K_1(\xi, \eta) \sim h_0(\xi, \eta) + \mu^2 F_1(\xi, \eta) + \mu^4 \mathcal{R}(\xi, \eta),$$

$$\text{(96)} \qquad h_0(\xi, \eta) = \int_I \frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{2} \mathrm{d}y,$$

$$\text{(97)} \qquad F_1(\xi, \eta) = \int_I -\frac{[\partial_{y_1}(\xi - \eta)]^2}{48} + \alpha \frac{(\xi + \eta)^3}{3 \cdot 2^{3/2}} \mathrm{d}y.$$

Now we apply the averaging Theorem 3.3 to the Hamiltonian (95), with $\delta = \mu^2$: observe that the equations of motion of h_0 have the following simple form:

$$\text{(98)} \qquad \begin{cases} \xi_\tau &= -\partial_{y_1}\xi \\ \eta_\tau &= \partial_{y_1}\eta \end{cases} ; \qquad \begin{cases} \xi(\tau, y) &= \xi_0(y_1 - \tau, y_2) \\ \eta(\tau, y) &= \eta_0(y_1 + \tau, y_2) \end{cases}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *The average of F_1 in (95) with respect to the flow of h_0 in (96) is given by*

$$\text{(99)} \qquad \langle F_1 \rangle (\xi, \eta) = -\int_I \frac{(\partial_{y_1}\xi)^2 + (\partial_{y_1}\eta)^2}{48} \mathrm{d}y + \frac{\alpha}{3 \cdot 2^{3/2}}([\xi^3] + [\eta^3]),$$

where we denote by $[f^j]$ the average $\int_I f^j(y) \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{4}$.

The proof of this proposition is a straightforward application of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Given two functions $u, v \in L^2([-1, 1])$*

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}y \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \, u(y \pm s) v(y \mp s) = \int_{-1}^{1} u(y) \mathrm{d}y \int_{-1}^{1} v(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Proof. Denoting with $\{\hat{u}_k\}_k$ and $\{\hat{v}_k\}_k$ the Fourier series of u and v respectively and using Plancherel theorem one obtains

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}y \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \, u(y \pm s) v(y \mp s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}y \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \sum_{k,k' \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_k \hat{v}_{k'} e^{\pi i k(y \pm s)} e^{\pi i k'(y \mp s)} = \hat{u}_0 \hat{v}_0$$

and thus Lemma is proved. $\qquad \square$

Lemma 4.4. *Given a function $u \in L^1([-1, 1])$ then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}y \, u(y \pm s) = \int_{-1}^{1} u(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Proof. The thesis follows by a simple change of coordinates $x := y \pm s$. $\qquad \square$

Proof of Proposition 4.2. For the computation of $\langle F_1 \rangle(\xi, \eta)$ one can exchange the order of the integrations and apply Lemma 4.3 and 4.4. $\qquad \square$

Corollary 4.5. *The equations of motion associated to $h_0(\xi, \eta) + \mu^2 \langle F_1 \rangle (\xi, \eta)$ are given by*

$$\text{(100)} \qquad \begin{cases} \xi_\tau &= -\partial_{y_1}\xi - \frac{\mu^2}{24}\partial_{y_1}^3\xi - \frac{\mu^2\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}\partial_{y_1}(\xi^2) \\ \eta_\tau &= \partial_{y_1}\eta + \frac{\mu^2}{24}\partial_{y_1}^3\eta + \frac{\mu^2\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}\partial_{y_1}(\eta^2) \end{cases}.$$

The latter is a system of two uncoupled KdV equations in translating frames with respect to the y_1-direction, for each fixed value of the coordinate y_2.

Remark 4.6. *If one considers a square lattice, namely*

$$(101) \qquad H(Q,P) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^2} -\frac{1}{2} P_j \left(\Delta_1 P\right)_j + \left(F(Q)\right)_j,$$

with $F(Q)$ as in (7), with its continuous approximation

$$(102) \qquad H(Q,P) = \int_{\left[-\frac{1}{\mu},\frac{1}{\mu}\right]^2} \frac{-P \Delta_1 P + Q^2}{2} + \alpha \frac{Q^3}{3} + \beta \frac{Q^4}{4} \mathrm{d}x,$$

and makes the ansatz (78) about the solution, one gets the rescaled Hamiltonian

$$(103) \qquad K_1(q,p) = \int_{I_{\mu,\sigma}} \frac{-p \Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma} p}{2\mu^2} + \frac{q^2}{2} + \alpha \mu^2 \frac{q^3}{3} + \beta \mu^4 \frac{q^4}{4} \mathrm{d}y,$$

$$(104) \qquad \Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma} := 4 \sinh^2 \left(\frac{\mu \partial_{y_1}}{2}\right) + 4 \sinh^2 \left(\mu^\sigma \frac{\partial_{y_2}}{2}\right),$$

$$(105) \qquad I_{\mu,\sigma} := [-1,1] \times [-\mu^{\sigma-1}, \mu^{\sigma-1}],$$

which, combined with the fact that

$$(106) \qquad \int_{-1}^1 \xi(\tau, y_1, y_2) - \eta(\tau, y_1, y_2) \, \mathrm{d}y_1 = 0 \qquad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ |y_2| \le \mu^{\sigma-1},$$

leads to the system (100) of two uncoupled KdV equations in translating frames with respect to the y_1-direction.

Remark 4.7. *One can also study the β model (namely, (75) with $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \ne 0$) in the following regime,*

(mKdV) *the β model in the very weakly transverse regime,*

$$(107) \qquad Q(t,x) = \mu \, q(\mu t, \mu x_1, \mu^\sigma x_2),$$

> *where $\mu \ll 1$, $2 < \sigma$.*

Let us introduce again the rescaled variables $\tau = \mu t$, $y_1 = \mu x_1$, $y_2 = \mu^\sigma x_2$, and the domain I as in (79); plugging (107) into (75), we get

$$(108) \qquad q_{\tau\tau} = \frac{\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}}{\mu^2} \left(q + \mu^2 \beta q^3\right),$$

where $\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}$ is the operator introduced in (81). Eq. (108) is a Hamiltonian PDE with the following corresponding Hamiltonian,

$$(109) \qquad K_2(q,p) = \int_I \frac{-p \Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma} p}{2\mu^2} + \frac{q^2}{2} + \beta \mu^2 \frac{q^4}{4} \mathrm{d}y,$$

where p is the variable canonically conjugated to q.

Recalling that (93) holds true, we exploit again the non-canonical change of coordinates (89)-(90) and the Poisson tensor (91), obtaining that

$$(110) \qquad K_2(\xi,\eta) \sim h_0(\xi,\eta) + \mu^2 F_1(\xi,\eta) + \mu^4 \mathcal{R}(\xi,\eta),$$

where h_0 is the same as in (96), while

$$(111) \qquad F_1(\xi,\eta) = \int_I -\frac{[\partial_{y_1}(\xi-\eta)]^2}{48} + \beta \frac{(\xi+\eta)^4}{2^4} \mathrm{d}y.$$

Applying Theorem 3.3 to the Hamiltonian (110) with $\delta = \mu^2$, we get that the equations of motion associated to $h_0(\xi,\eta) + \mu^2 \langle F_1 \rangle (\xi,\eta)$ are given by

$$(112) \qquad \begin{cases} \xi_\tau &= -\left(1 + \frac{3}{4}[\eta^2]\right) \partial_{y_1} \xi - \frac{\mu^2}{24} \partial_{y_1}^3 \xi - \frac{\mu^2 \beta}{4} \partial_{y_1}(\xi^3) \\ \eta_\tau &= \left(1 + \frac{3}{4}[\xi^2]\right) \partial_{y_1} \eta + \frac{\mu^2}{24} \partial_{y_1}^3 \eta + \frac{\mu^2 \beta}{4} \partial_{y_1}(\eta^3) \end{cases}.$$

which is a system of two uncoupled mKdV equations in translating frames with respect to the y_1-direction. The integrability properties of the mKdV equation and the existence of Birkhoff coordinates for this model have been proved in [KST08].

4.2. **The KP regime for the ETL lattice.** For this regime we consider (75), with $\alpha \neq 0$, and we look for small amplitude solutions of the form

$$(113) \qquad Q(t,x) = \mu^2 q(\mu t, \mu x_1, \mu^2 x_2),$$

with μ as in (14). We introduce the rescaled variables $\tau = \mu t$, $y_1 = \mu x_1$, $y_2 = \mu^2 x_2$.

Plugging (113) into (75), leads to

$$(114) \qquad q_{\tau\tau} = \frac{\Delta_{\mu,y_1}}{\mu^2} \left(q + \mu^2 \alpha q^2 \right),$$

$$(115) \qquad \Delta_{\mu,y_1} := 4 \sinh^2\left(\frac{\mu \partial_{y_1}}{2}\right) + 4 \sinh^2\left(\mu^2 \frac{\partial_{y_2}}{2}\right),$$

which is a Hamiltonian PDE corresponding to the Hamiltonian functional,

$$(116) \qquad K_3(q,p) = \int_I \frac{-p \, \Delta_{\mu,y_1} p}{2\mu^2} + \frac{q^2}{2} + \alpha \mu^2 \frac{q^3}{3} + \beta \mu^4 \frac{q^4}{4} \mathrm{d}y,$$

where I is as in (79), and p is the variable canonically conjugated to q.

Now, observe that the the operator Δ_{μ,y_1} admits the following asymptotic expansion up to terms of order $\mathcal{O}(\mu^4)$,

$$(117) \qquad \frac{\Delta_{\mu,y_1}}{\mu^2} \sim \partial_{y_1}^2 + \mu^2 \partial_{y_2}^2 + \frac{\mu^2}{12} \partial_{y_1}^4 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^4),$$

Therefore the Hamiltonian (116) admits the following asymptotic expansion

$$(118) \qquad K_3(q,p) \sim \hat{h}_0(q,p) + \mu^2 \hat{F}_1(q,p) + \mu^4 \hat{\mathcal{R}}(q,p),$$

$$(119) \qquad \hat{h}_0(q,p) = \int_I \frac{-p \, (\partial_{y_1}^2 p) + q^2}{2} \mathrm{d}y,$$

$$(120) \qquad \hat{F}_1(q,p) = \int_I -\frac{p \, \partial_{y_1}^4 p}{24} - \frac{p \, \partial_{y_2}^2 p}{2} + \alpha \frac{q^3}{3} \mathrm{d}y.$$

By exploiting again the non-canonical change of coordinates $(q,p) \mapsto (\xi, \eta)$ introduced in (89)-(90) and the Poisson tensor (91), and

$$(121) \qquad \int_{-1}^{1} \xi(\tau, y_1, y_2) - \eta(\tau, y_1, y_2) \mathrm{d}y_1 = 0 \qquad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ |y_2| \leq 1,$$

we obtain

$$(122) \qquad K_3(\xi, \eta) \sim h_0(\xi, \eta) + \mu^2 F_1(\xi, \eta) + \mu^4 \mathcal{R}(\xi, \eta),$$

$$(123) \qquad h_0(\xi, \eta) = \int_I \frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{2} \mathrm{d}y,$$

$$(124) \qquad F_1(\xi, \eta) = \int_I -\frac{[\partial_{y_1}(\xi - \eta)]^2}{48} + \frac{[\partial_{y_2}\partial_{y_1}^{-1}(\xi - \eta)]^2}{4} + \alpha \frac{(\xi + \eta)^3}{3 \cdot 2^{3/2}} \mathrm{d}y,$$

where (124) is well defined because of (121).

Now we apply the averaging Theorem 3.3 to the Hamiltonian (122), with $\delta = \mu^2$.

Proposition 4.8. *The average of F_1 in (122) with respect to the flow of h_0 in (122) is given by*

$$(125) \qquad \langle F_1 \rangle (\xi, \eta) = \int_I -\frac{(\partial_{y_1}\xi)^2 + (\partial_{y_1}\eta)^2}{48} + \frac{(\partial_{y_2}\partial_{y_1}^{-1}\xi)^2 + (\partial_{y_2}\partial_{y_1}^{-1}\eta)^2}{4} \mathrm{d}y + \frac{\alpha}{3 \cdot 2^{3/2}}([\xi^3] + [\eta^3])$$

where we denote by $[f^j]$ the average $\int_I f^j(y) \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{4}$.

Corollary 4.9. *The equations of motion associated to $h_0(\xi,\eta) + \mu^2 \langle F_1\rangle (\xi,\eta)$ are given by*

$$
(126) \qquad
\begin{cases}
\xi_\tau &= -\partial_{y_1}\xi - \frac{\mu^2}{24}\partial_{y_1}^3\xi - \frac{\mu^2}{2}\partial_{y_1}^{-1}\partial_{y_2}^2\xi - \frac{\alpha\mu^2}{2\sqrt{2}}\,\partial_{y_1}(\xi^2) \\
\eta_\tau &= \partial_{y_1}\eta + \frac{\mu^2}{2}\partial_{y_1}^{-1}\partial_{y_2}^2\eta + \frac{\mu^2}{24}\partial_{y_1}^3\eta + \frac{\alpha\mu^2}{2\sqrt{2}}\,\partial_{y_1}(\eta^2)
\end{cases} .
$$

More explicitly, we observe that (126) is a system of two uncoupled KP equations on a two-dimensional torus in translating frames.

4.3. **The one-dimensional NLS regime for the KG Lattice.** We want to study small amplitude solutions of (27) , with initial data in which only one low-frequency Fourier mode is excited.

Analogously to the procedure of the previous sections, the first step is to introduce an interpolating function $Q = Q(t,x)$ such that

(B1) $Q(t,j) = Q_j(t)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1,N_2}^2$;
(B2) Q is periodic with period $2N_1 + 1$ in the x_1-variable, and periodic with period $2N_2 + 1$ in the x_2-variable;
(B3) Q fulfills

$$
(127) \qquad \ddot{Q} = \Delta_1 Q - Q - \beta Q^{2p+1},
$$

where Δ_1 is the operator defined in (76) (recall that we also assumed $m = 1$ in (25)).

It is easy to verify that (127) is Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function

$$
(128) \qquad H(Q,P) = \int_{[-\frac{1}{\mu},\frac{1}{\mu}]\times[-\frac{1}{\mu^\sigma},\frac{1}{\mu^\sigma}]} \frac{P^2}{2} + \frac{Q^2}{2} - \frac{Q\,\Delta_1 Q}{2} + \beta\frac{Q^{2p+2}}{2p+2}\mathrm{d}x,
$$

where P is a periodic function and is canonically conjugated to Q.

Starting from the Hamiltonian (24), where $p = 1$, we look for small amplitude solutions of the form

$$
(129) \qquad Q(t,x) = \mu\, q(\mu^2 t, \mu x_1, \mu^\sigma x_2).
$$

where $q : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a periodic function and σ, μ are defined respectively in (15)-(14).

We introduce the rescaled variable $y_1 = \mu x_1$ and $y_2 = \mu^\sigma x_2$, and we define I as in (79). The Hamiltonian (24) in the rescaled variable is given by

$$
(130) \qquad K_4(q,p) = \int_I \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{q^2}{2} - \frac{q\,\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}q}{2} + \beta\mu^2\frac{q^4}{4}\mathrm{d}y,
$$

with the operator $\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}$ as in (81), and p is the variable canonically conjugated to q. The corresponding equation of motion is given by

$$
(131) \qquad q_{tt} = -q + \Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}q - \beta\mu^2 q^3.
$$

Recall that

$$
\frac{\Delta_{\mu,y_1,\sigma}}{\mu^2} \sim \partial_{y_1}^2 + \mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\partial_{y_2}^2 + \frac{\mu^2}{12}\partial_{y_1}^4 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{2(2\sigma-1)}),
$$

hence the Hamiltonian (130) admits the following asymptotic expansion

$$
(132) \qquad K_4(q,p) \sim \hat{h}_0(q,p) + \mu^2\hat{F}_1(q,p) + \mu^{2(2\sigma-1)}\hat{\mathcal{R}}(q,p),
$$

$$
(133) \qquad \hat{h}_0(q,p) = \int_I \frac{p^2+q^2}{2}\mathrm{d}y,
$$

$$
(134) \qquad \hat{F}_1(q,p) = \int_I -\frac{q\,\partial_{y_1}^2 q}{2} + \beta\frac{q^4}{4}\mathrm{d}y,
$$

and the equation of motion associated to $h_0 + F_1$ is given by the following cubic one-dimensional nonlinear Klein-Gordon (NLKG) equation,

$$
(135) \qquad q_{tt} = -(q - \mu^2\partial_{y_1}^2 q) - \mu^2\beta q^3.
$$

We now exploit the change of coordinates $(q,p) \mapsto (\psi, \bar{\psi})$ given by

$$
(136) \qquad \psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q - ip),
$$

therefore the inverse change of coordinates is given by

$$(137) \qquad q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi + \bar{\psi}),$$

$$(138) \qquad p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i(\psi - \bar{\psi}),$$

while the symplectic form is given by $-i\mathrm{d}\psi \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{\psi}$. With this change of variables the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$(139) \qquad K_4(\psi, \bar{\psi}) \sim h_0(\psi, \bar{\psi}) + \mu^2 F_1(\psi, \bar{\psi}) + \mu^{2(2\sigma-1)}\mathcal{R}(\psi, \bar{\psi}),$$

$$(140) \qquad h_0(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \int_I \psi \bar{\psi}\mathrm{d}y,$$

$$(141) \qquad F_1(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \int_I -\frac{(\psi + \bar{\psi})\left[-\partial_{y_1}^2(\psi + \bar{\psi})\right]}{4} + \beta\frac{(\psi + \bar{\psi})^4}{16}\mathrm{d}y.$$

Now we apply the averaging Theorem 3.3 to the Hamiltonian (139), with $\delta = \mu^2$. Observe that h_0 generates a periodic flow,

$$-i\partial_t \psi = \psi;$$

$$(142) \qquad \psi(t, y) = e^{it}\psi_0(y).$$

Proposition 4.10. *The average of F_1 in (139) with respect to the flow of h_0 (133) is given by*

$$(143) \qquad \langle F_1 \rangle (\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \int_I \frac{\bar{\psi}\left(-\partial_{y_1}^2 \psi\right)}{2}\mathrm{d}y + \frac{3\beta}{8}\int_I |\psi|^4\mathrm{d}y.$$

Corollary 4.11. *The equations of motion associated to $h_0(\psi, \bar{\psi}) + \mu^2 \langle F_1 \rangle (\psi, \bar{\psi})$ are given by a cubic one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation for each fixed value of y_2,*

$$(144) \qquad -i\psi_t = \psi - \mu^2\,\partial_{y_1}^2 \psi + \mu^2\frac{3\beta}{4}|\psi|^2\psi.$$

Remark 4.12. *Let us consider the Hamiltonian (24) in the following regime,*

(2-D NLS) *the scalar model (24) with $m = 1$, $p = 1$ and*

$$(145) \qquad Q(t, x) = \mu\,q(\mu^2 t, \mu x),$$

  where $\mu \ll 1$ and $\sigma = 1$.

  If we introduce the rescaled variable $y = \mu x$ and we define I as in (79), we have that the Hamiltonian takes the following form (we denote by p the variable canonically conjugated to q)

$$(146) \qquad K_5(q, p) = \int_I \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{q^2}{2} - \frac{q\,\Delta_\mu q}{2} + \beta\mu^2\frac{q^4}{4}\mathrm{d}y,$$

$$(147) \qquad \Delta_\mu := 4\sinh^2\left(\frac{\mu\partial_{y_1}}{2}\right) + 4\sinh^2\left(\mu\frac{\partial_{y_2}}{2}\right).$$

By expanding the operator Δ_μ and by exploiting the change of variable (136), we get

$$(148) \qquad K_5(\psi, \bar{\psi}) \sim h_0(\psi, \bar{\psi}) + \mu^2 F_1(\psi, \bar{\psi}) + \mu^4\mathcal{R}(\psi, \bar{\psi}),$$

$$(149) \qquad h_0(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \int_I \psi \bar{\psi}\mathrm{d}y,$$

$$(150) \qquad F_1(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \int_I -\frac{(\psi + \bar{\psi})\left[-\Delta(\psi + \bar{\psi})\right]}{4} + \beta\frac{(\psi + \bar{\psi})^4}{16}\mathrm{d}y.$$

By applying Theorem 3.3 to the Hamiltonian (139), with $\delta = \mu^2$, we obtain that the equation of motion associated to $h_0(\psi, \bar{\psi}) + \mu^2 \langle F_1 \rangle (\psi, \bar{\psi})$ is given by the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$(151) \qquad -i\psi_t = \psi - \mu^2\,\Delta\psi + \mu^2\frac{3\beta}{4}|\psi|^2\psi.$$

  The local well-posedness of the NLS equation (151) in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $s > 0$, has been discussed by Bourgain in [Bou93a]; along with the conservation laws, this implies the global existence in

the defocusing case ($\beta > 0$), and the global existence for small solutions in the focusing case ($\beta < 0$). The long time dynamics of the NLS equation has also been studied, in relation with the transfer of energy among Fourier modes and with the growth of Sobolev norms [CKS$^+$10] [CF12] [Han14] [GK15] [GHP16].

5. Dynamics of the normal form equation

5.1. **The KdV equation.** In this section we recall some known facts on the dynamics of the KdV equation with periodic boundary conditions. The interested reader can find more detailed explanations and proofs in [KP03].

Consider the KdV equation

$$(152) \qquad \xi_\tau = -\frac{1}{24}\partial_{y_1}^3 \xi - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}\partial_{y_1}(\xi^2), \qquad y_1 \in [0,2].$$

Through the Lax pair formulation of the evolution problem (152) one get that the periodic eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the Sturm-Liouville operator

$$(153) \qquad L_\xi := -\partial_{y_1}^2 + 6\sqrt{2}\xi(\tau, y_1)$$

are conserved quantities under the evolution of the KdV equation (152). Moreover, if we define the gaps of the spectrum $\gamma_m := \lambda_{2m} - \lambda_{2m-1}$ ($m \geq 1$), it is well known that the squared spectral gaps $(\gamma_m^2)_{m\geq 1}$ form a complete set of constants of motion for (152).

The following relation between the sequence of the spectral gaps and the regularity of the corresponding solution to the KdV equation holds (see Theorem 9, Theorem 10 and Theorem 11 in [KP08]; see also [Pös11])

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $\xi \in L^2$, then $\xi \in \ell_{0,s}^2$ if and only if its spectral gaps satisfy*

$$\sum_{m\geq 1} m^{2s}|\gamma_m|^2 < +\infty.$$

Moreover if $\xi \in \ell_{\rho,s}^2$, then

$$(154) \qquad \sum_{m\geq 1} m^{2s}e^{2\rho m}|\gamma_m|^2 < +\infty;$$

conversely, if (154) holds, then $\xi \in \ell_{\rho',0}^2$ for some $\rho' > 0$.

Kappeler and Pöschel constructed the following global Birkhoff coordinates (see Theorem 1.1 in [KP03])

Theorem 5.2. *There exists a diffeomorphism $\Omega : L^2 \to \ell_{0,1/2}^2 \times \ell_{0,1/2}^2$ such that:*

- *Ω is bijective, bianalytic and canonical;*
- *for each $s \geq 0$, the restriction of Ω to $\ell_{0,s}^2$, namely the map*

$$\Omega : \ell_{0,s}^2 \to \ell_{0,s+1/2}^2 \times \ell_{0,s+1/2}^2$$

  is bijective, bianalytic and canonical;
- *the coordinates $(x,y) \in \ell_{0,3/2}^2 \times \ell_{0,3/2}^2$ are Birkhoff coordinates for the KdV equation, namely they form a set of canonically conjugated coordinates in which the Hamiltonian of the KdV equation (152) depends only on the action $I_m := \frac{x_m^2 + y_m^2}{2}$ ($m \geq 1$).*

The dynamics of the KdV equation (152) in terms of the variables (x,y) is trivial: it can be immediately seen that any solution is periodic, quasiperiodic or almost periodic, depending on the number of spectral gaps (equivalently, depending on the number of actions) initially different from zero.

5.2. **The KP equation.** In this section we recall some known facts on the dynamics of the KP equation on the two-dimensional torus

$$(155) \qquad \xi_\tau = -\frac{1}{24}\partial_{y_1}^3\xi - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{y_1}^{-1}\partial_{y_2}^2\xi - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}\,\partial_{y_1}(\xi^2),\ \alpha = \pm 1,\ y \in \mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

The KP equation has been introduced in order to describe weakly-transverse solutions of the water waves equations; it has been considered as a two-dimensional analogue of the KdV equation, since also the KP equation admits an infinite number of constants of motions [LC82] [CLL83] [CL87]. It is customary to refer to (155) as KP-I equation when $\alpha = -1$, and as KP-II equation when $\alpha = 1$.

The global-well posedness for the KP-II equation on the two-dimensional torus has been discussed by Bourgain in [Bou93b]. The main point of the result by Bourgain consists in extending the local well-posedness result to a global one, even though the L^2-norm is the only constant of motion for the KP-II equation that allows an a-priori bound for the solution (see Theorem 8.10 and Theorem 8.12 in [Bou93b]).

Theorem 5.3. *Consider* (155) *with* $\alpha = 1$.
Let $\rho \geq 0$ *and* $s \geq 0$, *and assume that the initial datum* $\xi(0,\cdot,\cdot) = \xi_0 \in \ell^2_{\rho,s}$. *Then* (155) *is globally well-posed in* $\ell^2_{\rho,s}$. *Moreover, the* ℓ^2-*norm of the solution is conserved,*

$$(156) \qquad \|\xi(t)\|_{\ell^2} = \|\xi_0\|_{\ell^2},$$

while

$$(157) \qquad \|\xi(t)\|_{\ell^2_{0,s}} \leq e^{C|t|}\|\xi_0\|_{\ell^2_{0,s}},$$

where C *depends on* s.

Remark 5.4. *As pointed out by Bourgain in Sec. 10.2 of* [Bou93b], *a global well-posedness result for sufficiently smooth solution of the KP-I equation (namely,* (155) *with* $\alpha = -1$) *on the two-dimensional torus can be obtained by generalizing the argument in* [SJ87] *for small data and by using the a-priori bounds given by the constants of motion for the KP-I equation.*

For the KP equation the construction of action-angle/Birkhoff coordinates is still an open problem.

5.3. **The one-dimensional cubic NLS equation.** In this section we recall some known facts on the dynamics of the one-dimensional cubic defocusing NLS equation with periodic boundary conditions. The interested reader can find more detailed explanations and proofs in [GKK14] [Mol14].

Consider the cubic defocusing NLS equation

$$(158) \qquad i\psi_\tau = -\partial_{y_1}^2\psi + 2|\psi|^2\psi, \qquad y_1 \in \mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}).$$

Eq. (158) is a PDE admitting a Hamiltonian structure: indeed, we can set $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s} = \ell^2_{\rho,s} \times \ell^2_{\rho,s}$ as the phase space with elements denoted by $\phi = (\phi_1,\phi_2)$, while the associated Poisson bracket and the Hamiltonian are given by

$$(159) \qquad \{F,G\} := -i\int_{\mathbb{T}}(\partial_{\phi_1}F\,\partial_{\phi_2}G - \partial_{\phi_1}G\,\partial_{\phi_2}F)\,\mathrm{d}y_1,$$

$$(160) \qquad H_{NLS}(\phi_1,\phi_2) := \int_{\mathbb{T}}\partial_{y_1}\phi_1\,\partial_{y_1}\phi_2 + \phi_1^2\phi_2^2\,\mathrm{d}y_1.$$

The defocusing NLS equation (158) is obtained by restricting (160) to the invariant subspace of states of real type,

$$(161) \qquad \mathcal{H}_r^{\rho,s} := \{\phi \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s} : \phi_2 = \bar{\phi}_1\}.$$

The above Hamiltonian (160) is well-defined on $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$ with $s \geq 1$ and $\rho \geq 0$, while the initial value problem for the NLS equation (158) is well-posed on $\mathcal{H}^{0,0} = \ell^2 \times \ell^2$.

It is well known from the work by Zakharov and Shabat that the NLS equation (158) has a Lax pair, and that it admits infinitely many constants of motion in involution. More precisely, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^{0,0}$ consider the Zakharov-Shabat operator

$$(162) \qquad L(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}\partial_{y_1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we call ϕ the potential of the operator $L(\phi)$. The spectrum of $L(\phi)$ on the interval $[0,2]$ with periodic boundary conditions is pure point, and it consists of the following sequence of periodic eigenvalues

$$(163) \qquad \cdots < \lambda_{-1}^- \le \lambda_{-1}^+ < \lambda_0^- \le \lambda_0^+ < \lambda_1^- \le \lambda_1^+ < \cdots,$$

where the quantities $\gamma_m := \lambda_m^+ - \lambda_m^-$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ are called gap lengths. It has been proved that the squared spectral lengths $(\gamma_m^2)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ form a complete set of analytic constants of motion for (158).

Grébert, Kappeler and Mityagin proved the following relation between the sequence of the squared spectral gaps and the regularity of the corresponding potential (see Theorem in [GKM98]).

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\rho \ge 0$ and $s > 0$, then for any bounded subset $\mathcal{B} \subset \ell_{\rho,s}^2 \times \ell_{\rho,s}^2$ there exists $n_0 \ge 1$ and $M \ge 1$ such that for any $|k| \ge n_0$ and any $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \mathcal{B}$, the following estimate holds*

$$(164) \qquad \sum_{|k| \ge n_0} (1 + |k|)^{2s} e^{2\rho|k|} |\gamma_m|^2 \le M.$$

Moreover, Grébert and Kappeler constructed the following global Birkhoff coordinates (see Theorem 20.1 - Theorem 20.3 in [GKK14])

Theorem 5.6. *There exists a diffeomorphism $\Omega : L_r^2 \to \mathcal{H}_r^{0,0}$ such that:*

- *Ω is bianalytic and canonical;*
- *for each $s \ge 0$, the restriction of Ω to $\mathcal{H}_r^{0,s}$, namely the map*

$$\Omega : \mathcal{H}_r^{0,s} \to \mathcal{H}_r^{0,s}$$

  is again bianalytic and canonical;
- *the coordinates $(x,y) \in \mathcal{H}_r^{0,1}$ are Birkhoff coordinates for the NLS equation, namely they form a set of canonically conjugated coordinates in which the Hamiltonian of the NLS equation (158) depends only on the action $I_m := \frac{x_m^2 + y_m^2}{2}$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$.*

The dynamics of the NLS equation (158) in terms of the variables (x,y) is trivial: it can be immediately seen that any solution is periodic, quasiperiodic or almost periodic, depending on the number of spectral gaps (equivalently, depending on the number of actions) initially different from zero.

6. Approximation results

In this section we show how to use the normal form equations in order to construct approximate solutions of (8) and (27), and we estimate the difference with respect to the true solutions with corresponding initial data.

The approach is the same for all the regimes (78), (113) and (129). First, we have to point out a relation between the energy of normal mode E_k (defined in (10) for (8), and in (10) for (27)), $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{2N+1}^2$, and the Fourier coefficients of the solutions of the normal form equations. Then we have to prove that the approximate solutions approximate the energy of the true normal mode E_k up to the time-scale in which the continuous approximation is valid, and finally we can deduce the result about the dynamics of the lattice.

6.1. **The KdV regime.** Let $I = [-1,1]^2$ be as in (79), we define the Fourier coefficients of the function $q : I \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(165) \qquad \hat{q}(j) := \frac{1}{2} \int_I q(y_1, y_2) e^{-i\pi(j_1 y_1 + j_2 y_2)} \mathrm{d}y_1\, \mathrm{d}y_2,$$

and similarly for the Fourier coefficients of the function p.

Lemma 6.1. *Consider the lattice (6) in the regime (KdV) and with interpolanting function (78). Then for a state corresponding to (q,p) one has*

$$(166) \qquad \mathcal{E}_\kappa = \frac{\mu^4}{2} \sum_{L=(L_1,L_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \mu L_1, \mu^\sigma L_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2 + \omega_k^2 \left| \frac{\hat{p}_{K+L}}{\mu} \right|^2, \qquad \forall k : \kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$$

(where the ω_k are defined as in (11) and the $\mathcal{E}_\kappa$ in (13)), and $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. First we introduce a $(2N_1 + 1)(2N_2 + 1)$-periodic interpolating function for Q_j, namely a smooth function $Q : (t, x) \mapsto Q(t, x)$ such that

$$Q_j(t) = Q(t, j), \qquad \forall t, j,$$
$$Q(t, x_1, x_2 + 2N_2 + 1) = Q(t, x), \qquad \forall t, x,$$
$$Q(t, x_1 + 2N_1 + 1, x_2) = Q(t, x), \qquad \forall t, x,$$

and similarly for P_j. We denote by

(167)
$$\hat{Q}(j) := \frac{1}{(2N_1 + 1)^{1/2}(2N_2 + 1)^{1/2}} \int_{\left[-\left(N_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right), \left(N_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \times \left[-\left(N_2 + \frac{1}{2}\right), \left(N_2 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]} Q(x) e^{-i \frac{j \cdot x \, 2\pi}{(2N_1 + 1)^{1/2}(2N_2 + 1)^{1/2}}} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

so that by the interpolation property we obtain

$$Q_j(t) = Q(t, j) = \frac{1}{(2N_1 + 1)^{1/2}(2N_2 + 1)^{1/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{Q}(j) e^{i \frac{j \cdot k \, 2\pi}{(2N_1 + 1)^{1/2}(2N_2 + 1)^{1/2}}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2N_1 + 1)^{1/2}(2N_2 + 1)^{1/2}}$$

$$\times \sum_{k = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2N+1}^2} \left[\sum_{h = (h_1, h_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{Q}(k_1 + (2N_1 + 1)h_1, k_2 + (2N_2 + 1)h_2) \right] e^{i \frac{j \cdot k \, 2\pi}{(2N_1 + 1)^{1/2}(2N_2 + 1)^{1/2}}},$$

hence

(168)
$$\hat{Q}_k = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{Q}(k_1 + (2N_1 + 1)h_1, k_2 + (2N_2 + 1)h_2).$$

The relation between $\hat{Q}(k)$ and $\hat{q}_k$ can be deduced from (78),

$$Q(j) = \mu^2 q(\mu j_1, \mu^\sigma j_2);$$

$$\hat{Q}_k = \frac{1}{2} \mu^{(\sigma+1)/2} \int_{\left[-\frac{1}{\mu}, \frac{1}{\mu}\right] \times \left[-\frac{1}{\mu^\sigma}, \frac{1}{\mu^\sigma}\right]} Q(x_1, x_2) e^{-i\pi(k_1 x_1 \mu + k_2 x_2 \mu^\sigma)} \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \mathrm{d}x_2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \mu^{(\sigma+1)/2} \int_{\left[-\frac{1}{\mu}, \frac{1}{\mu}\right] \times \left[-\frac{1}{\mu^\sigma}, \frac{1}{\mu^\sigma}\right]} \mu^2 \, q\left(\mu x_1, \mu^\sigma x_2\right) e^{-i\pi(k_1 x_1 \mu + k_2 x_2 \mu^\sigma)} \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \mathrm{d}x_2$$

$$\stackrel{(78)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \mu^{(3-\sigma)/2} \int_I q(y) e^{-i\pi(k_1 y_1 + k_2 y_2)} \mathrm{d}y$$

(169)
$$= \mu^{(3-\sigma)/2} \hat{q}_k,$$

and similarly

(170)
$$\hat{P}_k = \mu^{(1-\sigma)/2} \hat{p}_k.$$

By using (10), (13) and (168)-(170) we have

$$\mathcal{E}_\kappa \stackrel{(13)}{=} \mu^{\sigma+1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L = (L_1, L_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \mu L_1, \mu^\sigma L_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{Q}_{K+L}|^2 + \omega_k^2 |\hat{P}_{K+L}|^2$$

$$\stackrel{(169),(169)}{=} \mu^{\sigma+1} \mu^{3-\sigma} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L = (L_1, L_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \mu L_1, \mu^\sigma L_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2 + \omega_k^2 \left| \frac{\hat{p}_{K+L}}{\mu} \right|^2$$

for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$, and this leads to (166). $\qquad \square$

Proposition 6.2. *Fix $\rho > 0$ and $0 < \delta \ll 1$. Consider the normal form system (100), and define the Fourier coefficients of (ξ, η) through the following formula*

$$(171) \qquad \xi(y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{\xi}_h e^{ih \cdot y\pi},$$

$$(172) \qquad \eta(y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{\eta}_h e^{ih \cdot y\pi}, .$$

Consider $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}$, and denote by $\mathcal{E}_\kappa$ the specific energy of the normal mode with index κ as defined in (12)-(13). Then for any positive μ sufficiently small

$$(173) \qquad \left| \mathcal{E}_\kappa - \mu^4 \frac{|\hat{\xi}_K|^2 + |\hat{\eta}_K|^2}{2} \right| \leq C \mu^{4 + \frac{6}{5}} \|(\xi, \eta)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}$$

for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1| + |K_2| \leq \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$. Moreover,

$$(174) \qquad |\mathcal{E}_\kappa| \leq C \mu^8 \|(\xi, \eta)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}$$

for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1| + |K_2| > \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$, and $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = 0$ otherwise.

We defer the proof of the above Proposition to Appendix B.

Now, consider the following system of uncoupled KdV equations

$$(175) \qquad \xi_\tau = -\frac{1}{24}\partial^3_{y_1}\xi - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}\partial_{y_1}(\xi^2),$$

$$(176) \qquad \eta_\tau = \frac{1}{24}\partial^3_{y_1}\eta + \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}\partial_{y_1}(\eta^2),$$

and consider a solution $(\tau, y) \mapsto (\widetilde{\xi}_a(\tau, y), \widetilde{\eta}_a(\tau, y))$ such that it belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,n}$, for some $n \geq 1$.

We consider the approximate solutions (Q_a, P_a) of the FPU model (75)

$$(177) \qquad Q_a(\tau, y) := \frac{\mu^2}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\widetilde{\xi}_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 - \tau, y_2) + \widetilde{\eta}_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 + \tau, y_2) \right]$$

$$(178) \qquad \partial_{y_1} P_a(\tau, y) := \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\widetilde{\xi}_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 - \tau, y_2) - \widetilde{\eta}_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 + \tau, y_2) \right],$$

We need to compare the difference between the approximate solution (177)-(178) and the true solution of (8). Let consider an initial datum (Q_0, P_0) with corresponding Fourier coefficients $(\hat{Q}_{0,k}, \hat{P}_{0,k})$ given by (9), where

$$(179) \qquad Q_{0,k} \neq 0 \quad \text{only if} \quad \kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2).$$

We also assume that there exist $C, \rho > 0$ such that

$$(180) \qquad \frac{|\hat{Q}_{0,k}|^2 + \omega_k^2 |\hat{P}_{0,k}|^2}{(2N_1 + 1)(2N_2 + 1)} \leq C e^{-2\rho|(\kappa_1(k)/\mu, \kappa_2(k)/\mu^\sigma)|}.$$

Moreover, we define an interpolating function for the initial datum (Q_0, P_0) by

$$Q_0(y) = \frac{1}{(2N_1 + 1)(2N_2 + 1)} \sum_{K:\left(\mu^2|K_1|^2 + \mu^{2\sigma}|K_2|^2\right)^{1/2} = |\kappa(k)| \leq 1} \hat{Q}_{0,k} e^{i\pi(\mu K_1 y_1 + \mu^\sigma K_2 y_2)},$$

and similarly for $y \mapsto P_0(y)$.

Proposition 6.3. *Consider (8) with $\sigma > 2$ and $\gamma \geq 1$ such that $\sigma + 2\gamma < 7$. Let us assume that the initial datum satisfies (179)-(180), and denote by $(Q(t), P(t))$ the corresponding solution. Consider the approximate solution $(\widetilde{\xi}_a(t, x), \widetilde{\eta}_a(t, x))$ with the corresponding initial datum. Assume that $(\widetilde{\xi}_a, \widetilde{\eta}_a) \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,n}$ for some $\rho > 0$ and for some $n \geq 1$ for all times, and fix $T_0 > 0$ and $0 < \delta \ll 1$.*

Then there exists $\mu_0 = \mu_0(T_0, \|(\widetilde{\xi_a}(0), \widetilde{\eta_a}(0))\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,n}})$ such that, if $\mu < \mu_0$, we have that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(181) \qquad \sup_j |Q_j(t) - Q_a(t, j)| + |P_j(t) - P_a(t, j)| \leq C\mu^\gamma, \;\; |t| \leq \frac{T_0}{\mu^3},$$

where (Q_a, P_a) are given by (177)-(178). Moreover,

$$(182) \qquad \left| \mathcal{E}_\kappa - \mu^4 \frac{|\hat{\xi}_K|^2 + |\hat{\eta}_K|^2}{2} \right| \leq C\mu^{4+\gamma}$$

for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1| + |K_2| \leq \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$. Moreover,

$$(183) \qquad |\mathcal{E}_\kappa| \leq \mu^{4+\gamma}$$

for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1| + |K_2| > \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$, and $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. The argument follows along the lines of Appendix C in [BP06].

Exploiting the canonical transformation found in Theorem 3.3, we also define

$$(184) \qquad \zeta_a := (\xi_a, \eta_a) = \mathcal{T}_{\mu^2}(\widetilde{\xi_a}, \widetilde{\eta_a}) = \tilde{\zeta}_a + \psi_a(\tilde{\zeta}_a),$$

where $\psi_a(\tilde{\zeta}_a) := (\psi_\xi(\tilde{\zeta}_a), \psi_\eta(\tilde{\zeta}_a))$; by (59) we have

$$(185) \qquad \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,n}(R)} \|\psi_a(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,m}} \leq C'_n \mu^2 R.$$

For convenience we define

$$(186) \qquad q_a(\tau, y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\xi_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 - \tau, y_2) + \eta_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 + \tau, y_2) \right]$$

$$(187) \qquad \partial_{y_1} p_a(\tau, y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\xi_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 - \tau, y_2) - \eta_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 + \tau, y_2) \right],$$

We observe that the pair (q_a, p_a) satisfies

$$(188) \qquad \mu^2 (q_a)_t = -\Delta_1 \mu p_a + \mu^6 \mathcal{R}_q$$

$$(189) \qquad \mu (p_a)_t = -\mu^2 q_a - \mu^4 \alpha \pi_0 q_a^2 + \mu^5 \mathcal{R}_p,$$

where the operator Δ_1 acts on the variable x, π_0 is the projector on the space of the functions with zero average, and the remainders are functions of the rescaled variables τ and y which satisfy

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,n}(R)} \|\mathcal{R}_q\|_{\ell^2_{\rho,0}} \leq C,$$

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,n}(R)} \|\mathcal{R}_p\|_{\ell^2_{\rho,1}} \leq C.$$

We now restrict the space variables to integer values; keeping in mind that q_a and p_a are periodic, we assume that $j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}$.

For a finite sequence $Q = (Q_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}}$ we define the norm

$$(190) \qquad \|Q\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |Q_j|^2.$$

Now we consider the discrete model (8): we rewrite in the following form,

$$(191) \qquad \dot{Q}_j = -(\Delta_1 P)_j$$

$$(192) \qquad \dot{P}_j = -Q_j - \alpha \pi_0 Q_j^2$$

and we want to show that there exist two sequences $E = (E_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}}$ and $F = (F_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}}$ such that

$$Q = \mu^2 q_a + \mu^{2+\gamma} E, \; P = \mu p_a + \mu^{2+\gamma} F$$

fulfills (191)-(192), where $\gamma > 0$ is a parameter we will fix later in the proof. Therefore, we have that

$$(193) \qquad \dot{E} = -\Delta_1 F - \mu^{6-2-\gamma} \mathcal{R}_q$$

$$(194) \qquad \dot{F} = -E - \alpha\pi_0 \left(\mu^2 \, 2q_a E + \mu^{2+\gamma} E^2\right) - \mu^{5-2-\gamma} \mathcal{R}_p,$$

where we impose initial conditions on (E, F) such that $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$ has initial conditions corresponding to the ones of the true initial datum,

$$\mu^2 q_a(0, \mu j_1, \mu^\sigma j_2) + \mu^{2+\gamma} E_{0,j} = Q_{0,j},$$
$$\mu p_a(0, \mu j_1, \mu^\sigma j_2) + \mu^{2+\gamma} F_{0,j} = P_{0,j}.$$

We now define the operator ∂_i, $i = 1, 2$, by $(\partial_i f)_j := f_j - f_{j-e_i}$ for each $f \in \ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}$.

- Claim 1: Let $\sigma > 2$ and $\gamma > 0$, we have

$$\|E_0\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \leq C' \mu^{(3-2\gamma-\sigma)/2},$$
$$\|\partial_1 F_0\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \leq C' \mu^{(3-2\gamma-\sigma)/2},$$
$$\|\partial_2 F_0\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \leq C' \mu^{(1-2\gamma+\sigma)/2}.$$

To prove Claim 1 we observe that

$$E_0 = \mu^2 \frac{\xi_a + \eta_a - (\widetilde{\xi}_a + \widetilde{\eta}_a)}{\sqrt{2}\mu^{2+\gamma}} = \mu^{-\gamma} \frac{\psi_\xi + \psi_\eta}{\sqrt{2}},$$
$$F_0 = \mu \frac{\partial_{y_1}^{-1}[\xi_a - \eta_a - (\widetilde{\xi}_a - \widetilde{\eta}_a)]}{\sqrt{2}\mu^{2+\gamma}} = \mu^{-1-\gamma} \frac{\partial_{y_1}^{-1}(\psi_\xi - \psi_\eta)}{\sqrt{2}},$$

from which we can deduce

$$\|E_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |E_{0,j}|^2 \leq C \, 4N^{\sigma+1} \, (\mu^{2-\gamma})^2 = C \, \mu^{3-2\gamma-\sigma},$$

$$\|\partial_1 F_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |\partial_1 F_{0,j}|^2 \leq C \, 4N^{\sigma+1} \, (\mu^{2-\gamma})^2 \leq C \, \mu^{3-2\gamma-\sigma}$$

$$\|\partial_2 F_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |\partial_2 F_{0,j}|^2 \leq C \, 4N^{\sigma+1} \, (\mu^{1+\sigma-\gamma})^2 = C \, \mu^{1-2\gamma+\sigma}$$

and this leads to the thesis.

- Claim 2: Fix $n \geq 1$, $T_0 > 0$ and $K_* > 0$, then for any $\mu < \mu_s$ and for any $\sigma > 2$ and $\gamma \geq 1$ such that $\sigma + 2\gamma < 7$ we have

$$(195) \qquad \|E\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} + \|\partial_1 F\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} + \|\partial_2 F\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \leq K_*, \quad |t| < \frac{T_0}{\mu^3}.$$

To prove the claim, we define

$$(196) \qquad \mathcal{F}(E, F) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \frac{E_j^2 + F_j(-\Delta_1 F)_j}{2} + \frac{2\mu^2 \alpha q_{a,j} E_j^2}{2},$$

and we remark that, using the boundedness of $q_{a,j}$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}(E, F) \leq \|E\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} + \|\partial_1 F_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} + \|\partial_2 F_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \leq 4\mathcal{F}(E, F).$$

Now we compute the time derivative of $\mathcal{F}$. Exploiting (193)-(194)

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_j E_j \left[-(\Delta_1 F)_j - \mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j \right] \tag{197}$$

$$+ \sum_j (-\Delta_1 F)_j \left[-E_j - \alpha(\mu^2 2 q_{a,j} E_j + \mu^{2+\gamma} E_j^2) - \mu^{3-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_p)_j \right] \tag{198}$$

$$+ \sum_j 2\mu^2 \alpha \, q_{a,j} E_j \left[-(\Delta_1 F)_j - \mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j \right] \tag{199}$$

$$+ \sum_j \mu^2 \alpha E_j^2 \, \mu \, \frac{\partial q_{a,j}}{\partial \tau} \tag{200}$$

$$= \sum_j -E_j \, \mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j + \sum_j (-\Delta_1 F)_j \left[-\alpha \, \mu^{2+\gamma} E_j^2 - \mu^{3-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_p)_j \right] \tag{201}$$

$$- \sum_j 2\mu^2 \alpha \, q_{a,j} E_j \, \mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j + \sum_j \mu^2 \alpha E_j^2 \, \mu \, \frac{\partial q_{a,j}}{\partial \tau} \tag{202}$$

In order to estimate (201)-(202), we notice that

$$\sup_j |(\Delta_1 F)_j| \le 2 \sup_j |(\partial_1 F)_j| + |(\partial_2 F)_j| \le 4\sqrt{\mathcal{F}},$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_q\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}}^2 \le \sum_j |(\mathcal{R}_q)_j|^2 \le 4N^{\sigma+1} \sup_y |\mathcal{R}_q(y)|^2 \le C\mu^{-1-\sigma},$$

and that $|(\partial_i \mathcal{R}_p)_j| \le \mu \sup_y \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_p}{\partial y}(y) \right|$, which implies

$$\|\partial_i \mathcal{R}_p\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}}^2 \le C\mu^{1-\sigma}.$$

Now, the first sum in (201) is estimated by $C\mathcal{F}^{1/2}\mu^{(7-2\gamma-\sigma)/2}$; the second sum in (201) can be bounded by

$$C(\mu^{2+\gamma}\mathcal{F}^{3/2} + \mu^{(7-2\gamma-\sigma)/2}\mathcal{F}^{1/2}).$$

Recalling that $q_{a,j}$ is bounded, the first sum in (202) can be bounded by $C\mathcal{F}^{1/2}\mu^{(11-2\gamma-\sigma)/2}$, while the second one is estimated by $C\mu^3\mathcal{F}$. Hence, as long as $\mathcal{F} < 2K_*$ we have

$$\left| \dot{\mathcal{F}} \right| \le C \left| \mathcal{F}^{1/2}\mu^{(7-2\gamma-\sigma)/2} + \mu^{2+\gamma}\mathcal{F}^{3/2} + \mu^{(7-2\gamma-\sigma)/2}\mathcal{F}^{1/2} + \mathcal{F}^{1/2}\mu^{(11-2\gamma-\sigma)/2} + \mu^3\mathcal{F} \right|$$

$$\le C(\mu^{2+\gamma}\sqrt{2}\,K_*^{1/2} + \mu^3)\mathcal{F} + C(2\mu^{(7-2\gamma-\sigma)/2} + \mu^{(11-2\gamma-\sigma)/2})\sqrt{2}\,K_*^{1/2}, \tag{203}$$

$$\overset{\gamma \ge 1}{\le} C\,\mu^3\,2\sqrt{2}\,K_*^{1/2}\mathcal{F} + C\,3\mu^{(7-2\gamma-\sigma)/2}\,\sqrt{2}\,K_*^{1/2}, \tag{204}$$

and by applying Gronwall's lemma we get

$$\mathcal{F}(t) \le \mathcal{F}(0)e^{C\,2\sqrt{2}\,K_*^{1/2}\,\mu^3 t} + e^{C\,2\sqrt{2}\,K_*^{1/2}\,\mu^3 t}\,C\,2\sqrt{2}\,K_*^{1/2}\,\mu^3 t\,C\,3\mu^{(7-2\gamma-\sigma)/2}\,\sqrt{2}\,K_*^{1/2}, \tag{205}$$

from which we can deduce the thesis. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First we prove (17).

We consider an initial datum as in (16); when passing to the continuous approximation (75), this initial datum corresponds to an initial data $(\xi_0, \eta_0) \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho_0,n}$ for some $\rho_0 > 0$ and $n \ge 1$. By Theorem 5.1 the corresponding sequence of gaps belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\rho_0,n}$, and that the solution $(\xi(\tau), \eta(\tau))$ is analytic in a complex strip of width $\rho(t)$. Taking the minimum of such quantities one gets the coefficient ρ appearing in the statement of Theorem 2.1. Applying Proposition 6.3, we can deduce the corresponding result for the discrete model (8) and the specific quantities (13).

Next, we prove (19). In order to do so, we exploit the Birkhoff coordinates (x, y) introduced in Theorem 5.2; indeed, by rewriting the normal form system (100) in Birkhoff coordinates we get that

every solution is almost-periodic in time. Now, let us introduce the quantities

$$E_K^{(1)} := \left|\hat{\xi}_K\right|^2,$$
$$E_K^{(2)} := |\hat{\eta}_K|^2,$$

then $\tau \mapsto E_K^{(1)}(x(\tau), y(\tau))$ and $\tau \mapsto E_K^{(2)}(x(\tau), y(\tau))$ are almost-periodic. If we set $E_K := \frac{1}{2}\left(E_K^{(1)} + E_K^{(2)}\right)$, we can exploit (182) of Proposition 6.3 to translate the results in terms of the specific quantities $\mathcal{E}_\kappa$, and we get the thesis. $\qquad\square$

6.2. **The KP regime.** Similarly to Lemma 6.1, Proposition 6.2 we can prove the following results

Lemma 6.4. *Consider the lattice* (6) *in the regime (KP) and with interpolanting function* (113). *Then for a state corresponding to* (q, p) *one has*

$$(206) \qquad \mathcal{E}_\kappa = \frac{\mu^4}{2} \sum_{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 : \mu L_1, \mu^2 L_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2 + \omega_k^2 \left|\frac{\hat{p}_{K+L}}{\mu}\right|^2, \quad \forall k : \kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^2 K_2)$$

(where the ω_k *are defined as in* (11)*), and* $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = 0$ *otherwise.*

Proposition 6.5. *Fix* $\rho > 0$ *and* $0 < \delta \ll 1$. *Consider the normal form system* (126), *and define the Fourier coefficients of* (ξ, η) *through the following formula*

$$(207) \qquad\qquad\qquad \xi(y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{\xi}_h e^{ih\cdot y\pi},$$

$$(208) \qquad\qquad\qquad \eta(y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{\eta}_h e^{ih\cdot y\pi},.$$

Consider $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}$, *and denote by* $\mathcal{E}_\kappa$ *the specific energy of the normal mode with index* κ *as defined in* (12)-(13). *Then for any positive* μ *sufficiently small*

$$(209) \qquad\qquad \left|\mathcal{E}_\kappa - \mu^4 \frac{|\hat{\xi}_K|^2 + |\hat{\eta}_K|^2}{2}\right| \leq C\mu^{4+\frac{6}{5}}\|(\xi,\eta)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}$$

for all k *such that* $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^2 K_2)$ *and* $|K_1| + |K_2| \leq \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$. *Moreover,*

$$(210) \qquad\qquad\qquad |\mathcal{E}_\kappa| \leq C\,\mu^8 \|(\xi,\eta)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}$$

for all k *such that* $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^2 K_2)$ *and* $|K_1^2 + K_2^2|^{1/2} > \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$, *and* $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = 0$ *otherwise.*

Now, consider the following systems of uncoupled KP equations

$$(211) \qquad\qquad \xi_\tau = -\frac{1}{24}\partial_{y_1}^3\xi - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{y_1}^{-1}\partial_{y_2}^2\xi - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}\,\partial_{y_1}(\xi^2),$$

$$(212) \qquad\qquad \eta_\tau = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{y_1}^{-1}\partial_{y_2}^2\eta + \frac{1}{24}\partial_{y_1}^3\eta + \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}}\,\partial_{y_1}(\eta^2).$$

and consider a solution $(\tau, y) \mapsto (\widetilde{\xi}_a(\tau, y), \widetilde{\eta}_a(\tau, y))$ such that it belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,n}$, for some $n \geq 1$.

We consider the approximate solutions (Q_a, P_a) of the FPU model (75)

$$(213) \qquad\qquad Q_a(\tau, y) := \frac{\mu^2}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\widetilde{\xi}_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 - \tau, y_2) + \widetilde{\eta}_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 + \tau, y_2)\right]$$

$$(214) \qquad\qquad \partial_{y_1}P_a(\tau, y) := \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\widetilde{\xi}_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 - \tau, y_2) - \widetilde{\eta}_a(\mu^2\tau, y_1 + \tau, y_2)\right].$$

We need to compare the difference between the approximate solution (213)-(214) and the true solution of (8). Let us consider an initial datum (Q_0, P_0) with corresponding Fourier coefficients $(\hat{Q}_{0,k}, \hat{P}_{0,k})$ given by (9), where

$$(215) \qquad\qquad\qquad Q_{0,k} \neq 0 \ \text{ only if } \ \kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^2 K_2).$$

We also assume that there exist C, $\rho > 0$ such that

$$(216) \qquad \frac{|\hat{Q}_{0,k}|^2 + \omega_k^2 |\hat{P}_{0,k}|^2}{N} \leq C e^{-2\rho|(\kappa_1(k)/\mu, \kappa_2(k)/\mu^2)|}.$$

Moreover, we define an interpolating function for the initial datum (Q_0, P_0) by

$$Q_0(y) = \frac{1}{(2N_1 + 1)(2N_2 + 1)} \sum_{K:\left(\mu^2|K_1|^2 + \mu^4|K_2|^2\right)^{1/2} = |\kappa(k)| \leq 1} \hat{Q}_{0,k} e^{i\pi(\mu K_1 y_1 + \mu^2 K_2 y_2)},$$

and similarly for $y \mapsto P_0(y)$.

Arguing as for Proposition 6.3, we obtain

Proposition 6.6. *Consider* (8) *with* $\sigma = 2$, *and fix* $1 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{5}{2}$. *Let us assume that the initial datum for* (8) *satisfying* (215)-(216), *and denote by* $(Q(t), P(t))$ *the corresponding solution. Consider the approximate solution* $(\widetilde{\xi}_a, \widetilde{\eta}_a)$ *with the corresponding initial datum. Assume that* $(\widetilde{\xi}_a, \widetilde{\eta}_a) \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,n}$ *for some* $\rho > 0$ *and for some* $n \geq 1$ *for all times, and fix* $T_0 > 0$ *and* $0 < \delta \ll 1$.

Then there exists $\mu_0 = \mu_0(T_0, \|(\widetilde{\xi}_a(0), \widetilde{\eta}_a(0))\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,n}})$ *such that, if* $\mu < \mu_0$, *we have that there exists* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$(217) \qquad \sup_j |Q_j(t) - Q_a(t,j)| + |P_j(t) - P_a(t,j)| \leq C\mu^\gamma, \quad |t| \leq \frac{T_0}{\mu^3},$$

where (Q_a, P_a) *are given by* (177)-(178). *Moreover,*

$$(218) \qquad \left| \mathcal{E}_\kappa - \mu^4 \frac{|\hat{\xi}_K|^2 + |\hat{\eta}_K|^2}{2} \right| \leq C\mu^{4+\gamma}$$

for all k *such that* $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^2 K_2)$ *and* $|K_1| + |K_2| \leq \frac{(2+\delta)|\log \mu|}{\rho}$. *Moreover,*

$$(219) \qquad |\mathcal{E}_\kappa| \leq \mu^{4+\gamma}$$

for all k *such that* $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^2 K_2)$ *and* $|K_1| + |K_2| > \frac{(2+\delta)|\log \mu|}{\rho}$, *and* $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = 0$ *otherwise.*

Proof of Theorem 2.2. First we prove (21).

We consider an initial datum as in (20); when passing to the continuous approximation (75), this initial datum corresponds to an initial data $(\xi_0, \eta_0) \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho_0, n}$ for some $\rho_0 > 0$ and $n \geq 1$. By Theorem 5.3 the corresponding solution $(\xi(\tau), \eta(\tau))$ is analytic in a complex strip of width $\rho(t)$. Taking the minimum of such quantities one gets the coefficient ρ appearing in the statement of Theorem 2.2. Applying Proposition 6.6, we can deduce the corresponding result for the discrete model (8) and the specific quantities (13). $\qquad\square$

6.3. **The one-dimensional NLS regime.** Let $\beta > 0$ and let I be as in (79), we define the Fourier coefficients of the function $q : I \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(220) \qquad \hat{q}(j) := \frac{1}{2} \int_I q(y_1, y_2) e^{-i\pi(j_1 y_1 + j_2 y_2)} \mathrm{d}y_1 \, \mathrm{d}y_2,$$

and similarly for the Fourier coefficients of the function p.

Lemma 6.7. *Consider the lattice* (24) *in the regime* (1D NLS) *and with interpolanting function* (129). *Then for a state corresponding to* (q, p) *one has*

$$(221) \qquad \mathcal{E}_\kappa = \frac{\mu^2}{2} \sum_{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 : \mu L_1, \mu^\sigma L_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{p}_{K+L}|^2 + \omega_k^2 |\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2, \quad \forall k : \kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$$

(where the ω_k *are defined as in* (30)*), and* $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = 0$ *otherwise.*

Proof. First we introduce a $(2N_1+1)(2N_2+1)$-periodic interpolating function for Q_j, namely a smooth function $Q:(t,x)\mapsto Q(t,x)$ such that

$$Q_j(t)=Q(t,j), \quad \forall t,j,$$
$$Q(t,x_1+2N_1+1,x_2+2N_2+1)=Q(t,x), \quad \forall t,x,$$

and similarly for P_j. We denote by

(222)
$$\hat{Q}(j):=\frac{1}{(2N_1+1)^{1/2}(2N_2+1)^{1/2}}\int_{\left[-\left(N_1+\frac{1}{2}\right),\left(N_1+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]\times\left[-\left(N_2+\frac{1}{2}\right),\left(N_2+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]}Q(x)e^{-i\frac{j\cdot x\,2\pi}{(2N_1+1)^{1/2}(2N_2+1)^{1/2}}}\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

so that by the interpolation property we obtain

$$Q_j(t)=Q(t,j)=\frac{1}{(2N_1+1)^{1/2}(2N_2+1)^{1/2}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\hat{Q}(j)e^{i\frac{j\cdot k\,2\pi}{(2N_1+1)^{1/2}(2N_2+1)^{1/2}}}$$

$$=\frac{1}{(2N_1+1)^{1/2}(2N_2+1)^{1/2}}$$

$$\times\sum_{k=(k_1,k_2)\in\mathbb{Z}_{2N+1}^2}\left[\sum_{h=(h_1,h_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\hat{Q}(k_1+(2N_1+1)h_1,k_2+(2N_2+1)h_2)\right]e^{i\frac{j\cdot k\,2\pi}{(2N_1+1)^{1/2}(2N_2+1)^{1/2}}},$$

hence

(223)
$$\hat{Q}_k=\sum_{h\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\hat{Q}(k_1+(2N_1+1)h_1,k_2+(2N_2+1)h_2).$$

The relation between $\hat{Q}(k)$ and $\hat{q}_k$ can be deduced from (129),

$$Q(j)=\mu q(\mu j_1,\mu^\sigma j_2);$$

$$\hat{Q}_k=\frac{1}{2}\mu^{(\sigma+1)/2}\int_{\left[-\frac{1}{\mu},\frac{1}{\mu}\right]\times\left[-\frac{1}{\mu^\sigma},\frac{1}{\mu^\sigma}\right]}Q(x_1,x_2)e^{-i\pi(k_1x_1\mu+k_2x_2\mu^\sigma)}\mathrm{d}x_1\,\mathrm{d}x_2$$

$$\overset{(129)}{=}\frac{1}{2}\mu^{(\sigma+1)/2}\int_{\left[-\frac{1}{\mu},\frac{1}{\mu}\right]\times\left[-\frac{1}{\mu^\sigma},\frac{1}{\mu^\sigma}\right]}\mu\,q\left(\mu x_1,\mu^\sigma x_2\right)e^{-i\pi(k_1x_1\mu+k_2x_2\mu^\sigma)}\mathrm{d}x_1\,\mathrm{d}x_2$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\mu^{(1-\sigma)/2}\int_I q(y)e^{-i\pi(k_1y_1+k_2y_2)}\mathrm{d}y$$

(224)
$$=\mu^{(1-\sigma)/2}\hat{q}_k,$$

and similarly

(225)
$$\hat{P}_k=\mu^{(1-\sigma)/2}\hat{p}_k.$$

By using (29), (13) and (223)-(225) we have

$$\mathcal{E}_\kappa\overset{(13)}{=}\mu^{\sigma+1}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}|\hat{P}_{K+L}|^2+\omega_k^2|\hat{Q}_{K+L}|^2$$

$$\overset{(224),(224)}{=}\mu^{\sigma+1}\mu^{1-\sigma}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}|\hat{p}_{K+L}|^2+\omega_k^2|\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2$$

for all k such that $\kappa(k)=(\mu K_1,\mu^\sigma K_2)$, and this leads to (221). $\square$

Proposition 6.8. *Fix $\rho>0$ and $0<\delta\ll 1$. Consider the normal form equation (144), and define the Fourier coefficients of $(\psi,\bar{\psi})$ through the following formula*

(226)
$$\psi(y)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{h\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\hat{\psi}_h e^{ih\cdot y\pi}.$$

Consider $(\psi, \bar\psi) \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}$, and denote by $\mathcal{E}_\kappa$ the specific energy of the normal mode with index κ as defined in (12)-(13). Then for any positive μ sufficiently small

$$\tag{227} \left| \mathcal{E}_\kappa - \mu^2 \frac{|\hat\psi_K|^2}{2} \right| \le C \mu^{2+\frac{6}{5}} \|(\psi, \bar\psi)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}$$

for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1| + |K_2| \le \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$. Moreover,

$$\tag{228} |\mathcal{E}_\kappa| \le C \mu^8 \|(\psi, \bar\psi)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,1}}$$

for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1| + |K_2| > \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$, and $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = 0$ otherwise.

We defer the proof of the above Proposition to Appendix C.

Now, consider the normal form equation, namely the following cubic defocusing one-dimensional NLS

$$\tag{229} -i\psi_t = -\partial^2_{y_1}\psi + \frac{3\beta}{4}|\psi|^2\psi.$$

and consider a solution $(\widetilde\psi_a, \bar{\widetilde\psi}_a)$ such that it belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,n}$, for some $n > 0$.

We consider the approximate solutions (Q_a, P_a) of the KG lattice (24) (in the following $\tau = \mu^2 t$)

$$\tag{230} Q_a(\tau, y) := \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \left[e^{i\tau}\widetilde\psi_a(\tau, y_1, y_2) + e^{-i\tau}\bar{\widetilde\psi}_a(\tau, y_1, y_2) \right]$$

$$\tag{231} P_a(\tau, y) := \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}i} \left[e^{i\tau}\widetilde\psi_a(\tau, y_1, y_2) + e^{-i\tau}\bar{\widetilde\psi}_a(\tau, y_1, y_2) \right]$$

$$\tag{232}$$

We need to compare the difference between the approximate solution (177)-(178) and the true solution of (24). Let consider an initial datum (Q_0, P_0) with corresponding Fourier coefficients $(\hat Q_{0,k}, \hat P_{0,k})$ given by (9), where

$$\tag{233} Q_{0,k} \ne 0 \text{ only if } \kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2).$$

We also assume that there exist $C, \rho > 0$ such that

$$\tag{234} \frac{|\hat P_{0,k}|^2 + \omega_k^2 |\hat Q_{0,k}|^2}{N} \le C e^{-2\rho|(\kappa_1(k)/\mu, \kappa_2(k)/\mu^\sigma)|}.$$

Moreover, we define an interpolating function for the initial datum (Q_0, P_0) by

$$Q_0(y) = \frac{1}{(2N_1 + 1)(2N_2 + 1)} \sum_{K:\left(\mu^2|K_1|^2 + \mu^{2\sigma}|K_2|^2\right)^{1/2} = |\kappa(k)| \le 1} \hat Q_{0,k} e^{i\pi(\mu K_1 y_1 + \mu^\sigma K_2 y_2)},$$

and similarly for $y \mapsto P_0(y)$.

Proposition 6.9. *Consider* (24) *with* $\sigma > 1$ *and* $\gamma > 0$ *such that* $\sigma + 2\gamma < 7$. *Let us assume that the initial datum satisfies* (233)-(234), *and denote by* $(Q(t), P(t))$ *the corresponding solution. Consider the approximate solution* $(\widetilde\psi_a(t,x), \bar{\widetilde\psi}_a(t,x))$ *with the corresponding initial datum. Assume that* $(\widetilde\psi_a, \bar{\widetilde\psi}_a) \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,n}$ *for some* $\rho > 0$ *and for some* $n \ge 0$ *for all times, and fix* $T_0 > 0$ *and* $0 < \delta \ll 1$.

Then there exists $\mu_0 = \mu_0(T_0, \|(\widetilde\psi_a(0), \bar{\widetilde\psi}_a(0))\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,n}})$ *such that, if* $\mu < \mu_0$, *we have that there exists* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\tag{235} \sup_j |Q_j(t) - Q_a(t,j)| + |P_j(t) - P_a(t,j)| \le C\mu^\gamma, \quad |t| \le \frac{T_0}{\mu^2},$$

where (Q_a, P_a) *are given by* (230)-(231). *Moreover,*

$$\tag{236} \left| \mathcal{E}_\kappa - \mu^2 \frac{|\hat\psi_K|^2}{2} \right| \le C\mu^{2+\gamma}$$

for all k *such that* $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ *and* $|K_1| + |K_2| \le \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$. *Moreover,*

$$\tag{237} |\mathcal{E}_\kappa| \le \mu^{2+\gamma}$$

for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1| + |K_2| > \frac{(2+\delta)|\log \mu|}{\rho}$, and $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. The argument follows along the lines of Appendix C in [BP06].

Exploiting the canonical transformation found in Theorem 3.3, we also define

$$\tag{238} \zeta_a := (\psi_a, \bar\psi_a) = \mathcal{T}_{\mu^2}(\widetilde{\psi_a}, \widetilde{\bar\psi_a}) = \tilde\zeta_a + \phi_a(\tilde\zeta_a),$$

where $\phi_a(\tilde\zeta_a) := (\phi_\xi(\tilde\zeta_a), \phi_\eta(\tilde\zeta_a))$; by (59) we have

$$\tag{239} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,n}(R)} \|\phi_a(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,n}} \le C'_n \mu^2 R.$$

For convenience we define

$$\tag{240} q_a(\tau, y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[e^{i\tau} \widetilde{\psi_a}(\tau, y_1, y_2) + e^{-i\tau} \widetilde{\bar\psi_a}(\tau, y_1, y_2) \right]$$

$$\tag{241} p_a(\tau, y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i} \left[e^{i\tau} \widetilde{\psi_a}(\tau, y_1, y_2) - e^{-i\tau} \widetilde{\bar\psi_a}(\tau, y_1, y_2) \right],$$

We observe that the pair (q_a, p_a) satisfies

$$\tag{242} \mu(q_a)_t = \mu p_a + \mu^5 \mathcal{R}_q$$

$$\tag{243} \mu(p_a)_t = -\mu q_a + \mu \Delta_1 q_a - \mu^3 \beta \pi_0 q_a^3 + \mu^5 \mathcal{R}_p,$$

where the operator Δ_1 acts on the variable x, π_0 is the projector on the space of the functions with zero average, and the remainders are functions of the rescaled variables τ and y which satisfy

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,n}(R)} \|\mathcal{R}_q\|_{\ell^2_{\rho,0}} \le C,$$

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,n}(R)} \|\mathcal{R}_p\|_{\ell^2_{\rho,1}} \le C.$$

We now restrict the space variables to integer values; keeping in mind that q_a and p_a are periodic, we assume that $j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}$.

For a finite sequence $Q = (Q_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}}$ we define the norm

$$\tag{244} \|Q\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |Q_j|^2.$$

Now we consider the discrete model (8): we rewrite in the following form,

$$\tag{245} \dot{Q}_j = P_j$$

$$\tag{246} \dot{P}_j = -Q_j + (\Delta_1 Q)_j - \beta \pi_0 Q_j^3$$

and we want to show that there exist two sequences $E = (E_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}}$ and $F = (F_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}}$ such that

$$Q = \mu q_a + \mu^{1+\gamma} E, \ P = \mu p_a + \mu^{1+\gamma} F$$

fulfills (245)-(246), where $\gamma > 0$ is a parameter we will fix later in the proof. Therefore, we have that

$$\tag{247} \dot{E} = F - \mu^{5-1-\gamma} \mathcal{R}_q$$

$$\tag{248} \dot{F} = -E + \Delta_1 E - \beta \pi_0 \left(3\mu^{3+\gamma-1-\gamma} q_a^2 E + 3\mu^{1+2+2\gamma-1-\gamma} q_a E^2 + \mu^{3+3\gamma-1-\gamma} E^3 \right) - \mu^{5-1-\gamma} \mathcal{R}_p,$$

where we impose initial conditions on (E, F) such that $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$ has initial conditions corresponding to the ones of the true initial datum,

$$\mu q_a(0, \mu j_1, \mu^\sigma j_2) + \mu^{1+\gamma} E_{0,j} = Q_{0,j},$$

$$\mu p_a(0, \mu j_1, \mu^\sigma j_2) + \mu^{1+\gamma} F_{0,j} = P_{0,j}.$$

We now define the operator ∂_i, $i = 1, 2$, by $(\partial_i f)_j := f_j - f_{j-e_i}$ for each $f \in \ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}$.

- Claim 1: Let $\sigma > 1$ and $\gamma > 0$, we have

$$\|E_0\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le C' \mu^{(3-2\gamma-\sigma)/2},$$

$$\|F_0\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le C' \mu^{(3-2\gamma-\sigma)/2},$$

$$\|\partial_1 E_0\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le C' \mu^{(5-2\gamma-\sigma)/2},$$

$$\|\partial_2 E_0\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le C' \mu^{(3-2\gamma+\sigma)/2},$$

$$\|\partial_1 F_0\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le C' \mu^{(5-2\gamma-\sigma)/2},$$

$$\|\partial_2 F_0\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le C' \mu^{(3-2\gamma+\sigma)/2}.$$

To prove Claim 1 we observe that

$$E_0 = \mu \frac{\psi_a + \bar{\psi}_a - (\widetilde{\psi}_a + \bar{\widetilde{\psi}}_a)}{\sqrt{2}\mu^{1+\gamma}} = \mu^{-\gamma}\frac{\phi_\xi + \phi_\eta}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$F_0 = \mu \frac{\psi_a - \bar{\psi}_a - (\widetilde{\psi}_a - \bar{\widetilde{\psi}}_a)]}{\sqrt{2}i\,\mu^{1+\gamma}} = \mu^{-\gamma}\frac{\phi_\xi - \phi_\eta}{\sqrt{2}i},$$

from which we can deduce

$$\|E_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |E_{0,j}|^2 \le C\,4N^{\sigma+1}\,(\mu^{2-\gamma})^2 = C\,\mu^{3-2\gamma-\sigma},$$

$$\|F_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |F_{0,j}|^2 \le C\,4N^{\sigma+1}\,(\mu^{2-\gamma})^2 = C\,\mu^{3-2\gamma-\sigma},$$

$$\|\partial_1 E_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |\partial_1 E_{0,j}|^2 \le C\,4N^{\sigma+1}\,(\mu^{2+1-\gamma})^2 \le C\,\mu^{5-2\gamma-\sigma},$$

$$\|\partial_2 E_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |\partial_2 E_{0,j}|^2 \le C\,4N^{\sigma+1}\,(\mu^{2+\sigma-\gamma})^2 = C\,\mu^{3-2\gamma+\sigma},$$

$$\|\partial_1 F_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |\partial_1 F_{0,j}|^2 \le C\,4N^{\sigma+1}\,(\mu^{2+1-\gamma})^2 \le C\,\mu^{5-2\gamma-\sigma},$$

$$\|\partial_2 F_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} |\partial_2 F_{0,j}|^2 \le C\,4N^{\sigma+1}\,(\mu^{2+\sigma-\gamma})^2 = C\,\mu^{3-2\gamma+\sigma},$$

and this leads to the thesis.

- Claim 2: Fix $n \ge 0$, $T_0 > 0$ and $K_* > 0$, then for any $\mu < \mu_s$ and for any $\sigma > 1$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $\sigma + 2\gamma < 7$ we have

$$(249) \qquad \|E\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} + \|F\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} + \|\partial_1 E_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} + \|\partial_2 E_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le K_*, \quad |t| < \frac{T_0}{\mu^2}.$$

To prove the claim, we define

$$(250) \qquad \mathcal{F}(E,F) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \frac{F_j^2 + E_j^2 + E_j(-\Delta_1 E)_j}{2} + \frac{3\mu^2 \beta q_a^2 E_j^2 + 3\mu^{2+\gamma}\beta q_a E_j^3}{2},$$

and we remark that

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}(E,F) \le \|E\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} + \|\partial_1 F_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} + \|\partial_2 F_0\|^2_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}} \le 2\mathcal{F}(E,F).$$

Now we compute the time derivative of $\mathcal{F}$. Exploiting (193)-(194)

$$(251) \qquad \dot{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_j F_j \left[-E_j + (\Delta_1 E)_j - \beta\pi_0 \left(3\mu^2 q_a^2 E_j + 3\mu^{2+\gamma} q_a E_j^2 + \mu^{2+2\gamma} E_j^3 \right) - \mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_p)_j \right]$$

$$(252) \qquad + \sum_j (E_j - (\Delta_1 E)_j) \left[F_j - \mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j \right]$$

$$(253) \qquad + \sum_j 3\mu^2\,\beta\, q_a^2 E_j \left[F_j - \mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j \right] + 3\mu^2\beta E_j^2 q_a\,\mu\frac{\partial q_a}{\partial\tau}$$

$$(254) \qquad + \sum_j \frac{9}{2}\mu^{2+\gamma}\beta E_j^2 \left[F_j - \mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j \right] + \frac{3}{2}\mu^{2+\gamma}\beta E_j^3\,\mu\frac{\partial q_a}{\partial\tau}$$

$$(255) \qquad = \sum_j F_j \left[-\beta\pi_0 \left(3\mu^{2+\gamma} q_a E_j^2 + \mu^{2+2\gamma} E_j^3 \right) - \mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_p)_j \right]$$

$$(256) \qquad + \sum_j E_j \left[-\mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j \right] - (\Delta_1 E)_j \left[-\mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j \right]$$

$$(257) \qquad + \sum_j 3\mu^2\,\beta\, q_a^2 E_j \left[-\mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j \right] + 3\mu^2\beta E_j^2 q_a\,\mu\frac{\partial q_a}{\partial\tau}$$

$$(258) \qquad + \sum_j \frac{9}{2}\mu^{2+\gamma}\beta E_j^2 \left[F_j - \mu^{4-\gamma}(\mathcal{R}_q)_j \right] + \frac{3}{2}\mu^{2+\gamma}\beta E_j^3\,\mu\frac{\partial q_a}{\partial\tau}$$

In order to estimate (255)-(258), we notice that

$$\sup_j |(\Delta_1 E)_j| \leq 2\sup_j |(\partial_1 E)_j| + |(\partial_2 E)_j| \leq 4\sqrt{\mathcal{F}},$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_q\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}}^2 \leq \sum_j |(\mathcal{R}_q)_j|^2 \leq 4N^{\sigma+1}\sup_y |\mathcal{R}_q(y)|^2 \leq C\mu^{-1-\sigma},$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_p\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}}^2 \leq C\mu^{-1-\sigma},$$

and that $|(\partial_i \mathcal{R}_q)_j| \leq \mu \sup_y \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_q}{\partial y}(y) \right|$, which implies

$$\|\partial_i \mathcal{R}_q\|_{\ell^2_{N,N^\sigma}}^2 \leq C\mu^{1-\sigma}.$$

Now, we can estimate (255) by

$$(259) \qquad C\left(\mu^{2+\gamma}\mathcal{F}^{3/2} + \mu^{2+2\gamma}\mathcal{F}^2 + \mu^{4-\gamma}\,\mu^{-(1+\sigma)/2}\mathcal{F}^{1/2} \right).$$

Then, (256) can be bounded by

$$(260) \qquad C\left(\mu^{4-\gamma-(1+\sigma)/2}\,\mathcal{F}^{1/2} + \mu^{4-\gamma+(1-\sigma)/2}\,\mathcal{F}^{1/2} \right);$$

next, we can estimate (257) by

$$(261) \qquad C\left(\mu^{6-\gamma-(1+\sigma)/2}\mathcal{F}^{1/2} + \mu^3\mathcal{F} \right),$$

while (258) can be bounded by

$$(262) \qquad C\left(\mu^{2+\gamma}\mathcal{F}^{3/2} + \mu^{6-(1+\sigma)/2}\mathcal{F} + \mu^{2+\gamma}\mathcal{F}^{3/2} \right).$$

Hence, as long as $\mathcal{F} < 2K_*$ we have

$$(263) \qquad \left| \dot{\mathcal{F}} \right| \leq C\left[\mu^{2+\gamma} K_*^{1/2} + \mu^{2+2\gamma} K_* + \mu^3 + \mu^{2+\gamma} K_*^{1/2} + \mu^{6-(1+\sigma)/2} + \mu^{2+\gamma} K_*^{1/2} \right]\mathcal{F}$$

$$(264) \qquad + C\left[\mu^{4-\gamma}\,\mu^{-(1+\sigma)/2} + \mu^{4-\gamma-(1+\sigma)/2} + \mu^{4-\gamma+(1-\sigma)/2} + \mu^{6-\gamma-(1+\sigma)/2} \right] K_*^{1/2}$$

$$(265) \qquad \overset{\sigma+2\gamma<7}{\leq} C\,\mu^2\,(1+K_*^{1/2})\,\mathcal{F} + C\,\mu^{(7-2\gamma-\sigma)/2}\,K_*^{1/2}$$

and by applying Gronwall's lemma we get

$$(266) \qquad \mathcal{F}(t) \leq \mathcal{F}(0)e^{C\,(1+K_*^{1/2})\,\mu^2 t} + e^{C\,(1+K_*^{1/2})\,\mu^2 t}\, C\,(1+K_*^{1/2})\,\mu^2 t\, C\,\mu^{(7-2\gamma-\sigma)/2}\,K_*^{1/2},$$

from which we can deduce the thesis. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. First we prove (32).

We consider an initial datum as in (31); when passing to the continuous approximation (128), this initial datum corresponds to an initial datum $(\psi_0, \bar{\psi}_0) \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho_0, n}$. By Theorem 5.5 the corresponding sequence of gaps belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\rho_0, n}$, and that the solution $(\psi(\tau), \bar{\psi}(\tau))$ is analytic in a complex strip of width $\rho(t)$. Taking the minimum of such quantities one gets the coefficient ρ appearing in the statement of Theorem 2.4. Applying Proposition 6.9, we can deduce the corresponding result for the discrete model (27) and the specific quantities (13).

Next, we prove (34). In order to do so, we exploit the Birkhoff coordinates (x, y) introduced in Theorem 5.6; indeed, by rewriting the normal form system (144) in Birkhoff coordinates we get that every solution is almost-periodic in time. Now, let us introduce the quantity

$$E_K := \frac{1}{2}\left|\hat{\psi}_K\right|^2,$$

then $\tau \mapsto E_K(x(\tau), y(\tau))$ is almost-periodic. Hence we can exploit (236) of Proposition 6.9 to translate the results in terms of the specific quantities $\mathcal{E}_\kappa$, and we get the thesis. $\qquad\square$

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 3.7

This appendix is devoted to the proof of the Lemma 3.7, which is a key step in order to normalize the system (61). This result is an adaptation of Theorem 4.4 in [Bam99] and its proof is based on the method of Lie transforms, briefly recalled in the following. Throughout this Section, we consider $s \geq s_1$ and $\rho \geq 0$ to be fixed quantities.

Given an auxiliary function χ analytic on $\mathcal{H}^{\rho, s}$, we consider the auxiliary differential equation

$$(267) \qquad\qquad \dot{\zeta} = X_\chi(\zeta)$$

and denote by Φ_χ^t its flow at time t.

Lemma A.1. *Let χ and its vector field be analytic in $\mathcal{B}_{\rho, s}(R)$. Fix $\delta < R$, and assume that*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho, s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho, s}} \leq \delta.$$

Then, if we consider the time-t flow Φ_χ^t of X_χ we have that for $|t| \leq 1$

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho, s}(R-\delta)} \|\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta) - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho, s}} \leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho, s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho, s}}.$$

Definition A.2. *The map $\Phi_\chi := \Phi_\chi^1$ is called the* Lie transform *generated by χ.*

Given G analytic on $\mathcal{H}^{\rho, s}$, let us consider the differential equation

$$(268) \qquad\qquad \dot{\zeta} = X_G(\zeta),$$

where by X_G we denote the vector field of G. Now define

$$\Phi_\chi^* G(\tilde{\zeta}) := G \circ \Phi_\chi(\tilde{\zeta}).$$

By exploiting the fact that Φ_χ is a canonical transformation, we have that in the new variable $\tilde{\zeta}$ defined by $\zeta = \Phi_\chi(\tilde{\zeta})$ equation (268) is equivalent to

$$(269) \qquad\qquad \dot{\tilde{\zeta}} = X_{\Phi_\chi^* G}(\tilde{\zeta}).$$

Using the relation

$$(270) \qquad\qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Phi_\chi^* G = \Phi_\chi^* \{\chi, G\},$$

and the Poisson bracket formalism $\{G_1, G_2\}(\zeta) := dG_1(\zeta)[X_{G_2}(\zeta)]$ we formally get

$$\Phi_\chi^* G = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty G_\ell,$$

(271)
$$G_0 := G,$$

$$G_\ell := \frac{1}{\ell}\{\chi, G_{\ell-1}\}, \quad \ell \geq 1.$$

In order to estimate the vector field of the terms appearing in (271), we exploit the following results

Lemma A.3. *Let $R > 0$, and assume that χ, G are analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ as well as their vector fields. Then, for any $d \in (0, R)$ we have that $\{\chi, G\}$ is analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)$, and*

(272)
$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_{\{\chi,G\}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \frac{2}{d} \left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right) \left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right).$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\|X_{\{\chi,G\}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} = \|dX_\chi(\zeta)\, X_G(\zeta) - dX_G(\zeta)\, X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$\leq \|dX_\chi(\zeta)\, X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} + \|dX_G(\zeta)\, X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}},$$

and since for any $d \in (0, R)$ Cauchy inequality gives

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|dX_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}\to\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \frac{1}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}},$$

we finally get

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|dX_\chi(\zeta)\, X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \frac{1}{d} \left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right) \left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right).$$

With a similar estimate for the other term we obtain the thesis. $\qquad\square$

Lemma A.4. *Let $R > 0$, and assume that χ, G are analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ as well as their vector fields. Let $\ell \geq 1$, and consider G_ℓ as defined in (271); for any $d \in (0, R)$, G_ℓ is analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)$ as well as it vector field, and*

(273)
$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_{G_\ell}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \left(\frac{2e}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right)^\ell \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}.$$

Proof. Fix ℓ, and denote $\delta := d/\ell$. We look for a sequence $C_m^{(\ell)}$ such that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-m\delta)} \|X_{G_m}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq C_m^{(\ell)}, \quad \forall m \leq \ell.$$

Lemma A.3 ensures that the following sequence satisfies this property.

$$C_0^{(\ell)} := \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}},$$

$$C_m^{(\ell)} = \frac{2}{\delta m} C_{m-1}^{(\ell)} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$= \frac{2\ell}{dm} C_{m-1}^{(\ell)} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}.$$

One has

$$C_\ell^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{\ell!} \left(\frac{2\ell}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right)^\ell \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}},$$

and by using the inequality $\ell^\ell < \ell! e^\ell$ one obtains the estimate (273). $\qquad\square$

Before stating the next Lemma, we point out that the Poisson tensor Ω_2^{-1}, obtained by inversion from the associated symplectic form Ω_2 in (48), is not a bounded operator on $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$. We thus have to weaken the hypothesis of Theorem 4.4 in [Bam99]; indeed, we just assume that

$$\|\Omega^{-1}f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s+1}}.$$

This property is satisfied by both Ω_1^{-1} and Ω_2^{-1}.

Lemma A.5. *Let χ and F be analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ as well as their vector fields. Fix $d \in (0,R)$, and assume also that*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq d/3.$$

Then for $|t| \leq 1$

$$(274) \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_{(\Phi_\chi^t)^*F-F}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \frac{9}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}.$$

Proof. Since the bound on the norm of X_χ implies that $\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ when $\zeta \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d/3)$, using Cauchy inequality and Lemma A.1

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|d\Phi_\chi^{-t}(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - id\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}\to\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-2d/3)} \|d\Phi_\chi^{-t}(\zeta) - id\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}\to\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$\leq \frac{3}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d/3)} \|\Phi_\chi^{-t}(\zeta) - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$\leq \frac{3}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

Since Φ_χ^t is a canonical transformation, a direct computation shows

$$\Omega^{-1}d(F \circ \Phi_\chi^t)(\zeta) = (d\Phi_\chi^{-t}(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - id)\Omega^{-1}dF(\Phi_\chi^t) + \Omega^{-1}dF(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta))$$

whence

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_{(\Phi_\chi^t)^*F-F}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} = \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|\Omega^{-1}d(F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - F(\zeta))\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$\leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|(d\Phi_\chi^{-t}(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - id)\Omega^{-1}dF(\Phi_\chi^t) + \Omega^{-1}d(F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - F(\zeta))\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$\leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|d\Phi_\chi^{-t}(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - id\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}\to\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta))\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$+ \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$\leq \frac{3}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$+ \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|\int_0^t [X_\chi, X_F](\Phi_\chi^s(\zeta))ds\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

To estimate the last term we use Cauchy inequality

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|\int_0^t [X_\chi, X_F](\Phi_\chi^s(\zeta))ds\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq 2 \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-2d/3)} \|[X_\chi, X_F](\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$\leq \frac{6}{2d}2 \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$
$$\leq \frac{6}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}.$$

Then the thesis follows.   □

Lemma A.6. *Assume that G is analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ as well as its vector field, and that h_0 satisfies (PER). Then there exists χ analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ and Z analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ with Z in normal form, namely $\{h_0, Z\} = 0$, such that*

$$\{\chi, h_0\} \, + \, G \, = \, Z. \tag{275}$$

Such Z and χ are given explicitly by

$$Z(\zeta) \, = \, \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T G(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) \, \mathrm{d}t \,, \tag{276}$$

$$\chi(\zeta) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T t \, \left[Z(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) - G(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) \right] \mathrm{d}t \,. \tag{277}$$

Furthermore, we have that the vector fields of χ and Z are analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$, and satisfy

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_Z(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}},$$

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq 2T \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}. \tag{278}$$

Proof. We check directly that the solution of (275) is (277). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\chi, h_0\}(\zeta) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}_{\,|s=0} \chi(\Phi_{h_0}^s(\zeta)) \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T t \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}_{\,|s=0} \left[Z(\Phi_{h_0}^{t+s}(\zeta)) - G(\Phi_{h_0}^{t+s}(\zeta)) \right] \mathrm{d}t \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T t \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left[Z(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) - G(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) \right] \mathrm{d}t \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \left[tZ(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) - tG(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) \right]_{t=0}^T - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left[Z(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) - G(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) \right] \mathrm{d}t \\
&= Z(\zeta) - G(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used the explicit expression of Z provided in (276). Finally, the first estimate in (278) follows from the explicit expression of Z in (276) while for the second estimate we write explicitly the vector field X_χ:

$$X_\chi(\zeta) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T t \, D\Phi_{h_0}^{-t}(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) \circ X_{Z-G}(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) \, \mathrm{d}t \,.$$

Assumption (PER) ensures that $\Phi_{h_0}^t$ as well as its derivatives and the inverses are uniformly bounded as operators from $\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}$ into itself. Moreover, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $\zeta \mapsto \Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)$ is a diffeomorphism of $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ into itself. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} &\leq T \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \left(\|(D\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s} \to \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right) \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}} \left(\|X_Z(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} + \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right) \\
&\leq 2T \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \left(\|(D\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s} \to \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right) \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the first inequality in (278). Since by assumption (PER) $\Phi_{h_0}^t$ is an isometry, $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \left(\|(D\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s} \to \mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right) = 1$ and the thesis follows. $\qquad\square$

Lemma A.7. *Assume that G and its vector fields are analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$, and that h_0 satisfies (PER). Let χ and its vector field be analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$, and assume that χ solves (275). For any $\ell \geq 1$ denote by $h_{0,\ell}$ the functions defined recursively as in (271) from h_0. Then for any $d \in (0, R)$ one has that $h_{0,\ell}$ and its vector field are analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R - d)$, and*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_{h_{0,\ell}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq 2 \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \left(\frac{9}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \right)^\ell. \tag{279}$$

Proof. By using (275) one gets that $h_{0,1} = Z - G$ is analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$. Then by exploiting (274) one gets the result. $\square$

Lemma A.8. *Assume that G and its vector field are analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$, and that h_0 satisfies* (PER). *Let χ be the solution of* (275), *denote by Φ_χ^t the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field associated to χ and by Φ_χ the corresponding time-one map. Moreover, denote by*

$$\mathcal{F}(\zeta) := h_0(\Phi_\chi(\zeta)) - h_0(\zeta) - \{\chi, h_0\}(\zeta).$$

Let $d < R$, and assume that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq d/3.$$

Then we have that $\mathcal{F}$ and its vector field are analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)$, and

$$(280) \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_\mathcal{F}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \frac{18}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}.$$

Proof. Since

$$h_0(\Phi_\chi(\zeta)) - h_0(\zeta) = \int_0^1 \{\chi, h_0\} \circ \Phi_\chi^t(\zeta) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

$$\stackrel{(275)}{=} \int_0^1 Z(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - G(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) \, \mathrm{d}t,$$

if we define $F(\zeta) := Z(\zeta) - G(\zeta)$, we get

$$\mathcal{F}(\zeta) = \int_0^1 F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - F(\zeta)\mathrm{d}t.$$

Now, we have

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_\mathcal{F}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$= \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|\Omega^{-1}d\left(\int_0^1 F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - F(\zeta)\mathrm{d}t\right)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$\leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|\int_0^1 (d\Phi_\chi^{-t}(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - id)\Omega^{-1}dF(\Phi_\chi^t) + \Omega^{-1}d(F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - F(\zeta)) \, \mathrm{d}t\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$\leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|\int_0^1 (d\Phi_\chi^{-t}(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - id)\Omega^{-1}dF(\Phi_\chi^t) \, \mathrm{d}t\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$+ \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|\int_0^1 X_F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - X_F(\zeta) \, \mathrm{d}t\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

and by dominated convergence we can bound the last quantity by

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|d\Phi_\chi^{-t}(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - id\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}\to\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta))\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$+ \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|X_F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|d\Phi_\chi^{-t}(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - id\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}\to\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta))\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$+ \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_F(\Phi_\chi^t(\zeta)) - X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} + \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|\int_0^t [X_\chi, X_F](\Phi_\chi^s(\zeta))\mathrm{d}s\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}},$$

where we can estimate the last term by Cauchy inequality

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \| \int_0^t [X_\chi, X_F](\Phi_\chi^s(\zeta)) \mathrm{d}s \|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \le 2 \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-2d/3)} \| [X_\chi, X_F](\zeta) \|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$\le \frac{6}{2d} 2 \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$\le \frac{6}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} .$$

By the above computations and (278) we obtain

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R-d)} \|X_\mathcal{F}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \le \frac{9}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_F(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$\overset{(278)}{\le} \frac{18}{d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_\chi(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} .$$

$\square$

Lemma A.9. *Let $s \ge s_1 \gg 1$, $R > 0$, $m \ge 0$, and consider the Hamiltonian*

$$(281) \qquad H^{(m)}(\zeta) = h_0(\zeta) + \delta Z^{(m)}(\zeta) + \delta^{m+1} F^{(m)}(\zeta).$$

Assume that h_0 satisfies (PER) *and* (INV)*, and that*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)} \|X_{F^{(0)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \le F.$$

Fix $d < \frac{R}{m+1}$, and set $R_m := R - md$ ($m \ge 1$).
Assume also that $Z^{(m)}$ is analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)$, and that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{Z^{(0)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} = 0,$$

$$(282) \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{Z^{(m)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \le F \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta^i K_0^i, \quad m \ge 1,$$

$$(283) \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{F^{(m)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \le F K_0^m, \quad m \ge 1,$$

with $K_0 \ge 15$ and $d > 3T\delta F$.
Then, if $\delta K_0 < 1/2$ there exists a canonical transformation $\mathcal{T}_\delta^{(m)}$ analytic on $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1})$ such that

$$(284) \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1})} \|\mathcal{T}_\delta^{(m)}(\zeta) - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \le 2T\delta^{m+1} K_0^m F,$$

$H^{(m+1)} := H^{(m)} \circ \mathcal{T}^{(m)}$ *has the form* (281) *and satisfies* (283) *with m replaced by $m+1$.*

Proof. The key point of the proof is to look for $\mathcal{T}_\delta^{(m)}$ as the time-one map of the Hamiltonian vector field of an analytic function $\delta^{m+1}\chi_m$. Hence, consider the differential equation

$$(285) \qquad \dot{\zeta} = X_{\delta^{m+1}\chi_m}(\zeta).$$

By standard theory we have that, if $\|X_{\delta^{m+1}\chi_m}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)}$ is small enough (e.g. $\|X_{\delta^{m+1}\chi_m}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \le \frac{md}{m+1}$) and $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1})$, then the solution of (285) exists for $|t| \le 1$.

Therefore we can define $\mathcal{T}_{m,\delta}^t : \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1}) \to \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)$, and in particular the corresponding time-one map $\mathcal{T}_{\delta}^{(m)} := \mathcal{T}_{m,\delta}^1$, which is an analytic canonical transformation, δ^{m+1}-close to the identity. We have

$$(\mathcal{T}_{\delta}^{(m)})^* \left(h_0 + \delta Z^{(m)} + \delta^{m+1} F^{(m)} \right) = h_0 + \delta Z^{(m)}$$

$$+ \delta^{m+1} \left[\{\chi_m, h_0\} + F^{(m)} \right] +$$

(286) $$+ \left(h_0 \circ \mathcal{T}_{\delta}^{(m)} - h_0 - \delta^{m+1} \{\chi_m, h_0\} \right) + \delta \left(Z^{(m)} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\delta}^{(m)} - Z^{(m)} \right)$$

(287) $$+ \delta^{m+1} \left(F^{(m)} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\delta}^{(m)} - F^{(m)} \right).$$

It is easy to see that the first two terms are already normalized, that the term in the second line is the non-normalized part of order $m+1$ that can be normalized through the choice of a suitable χ_m, and that (286)-(287) contain all the terms of order higher than $m+1$.

In order to normalize the terms in the second line we solve the homological equation

$$\{\chi_m, h_0\} + F^{(m)} = Z_{m+1},$$

with Z_{m+1} in normal form. Lemma A.6 ensures the existence of χ_m and Z_{m+1} as well as their explicit expressions:

$$Z_{m+1}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T F^{(m)}(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) \, \mathrm{d}t,$$

$$\chi_m(\zeta) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T t [F^{(m)}(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta)) - Z_{m+1}(\Phi_{h_0}^t(\zeta))] \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

The explicit expression of X_{χ_m} can be computed following the argument of Lemma A.6. Using this explicit expression, the analyticity of the flow $\Phi_{h_0}^t$ ensured by (PER) and (278) one has

(*) $$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{\chi_m}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,\sigma}} \leq 2T \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{F^{(m)}}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,\sigma}} \leq 2T K_0^m F.$$

Straightforwardly, from the explicit expression of $Z_{m+1}(\zeta)$ and (283) one has

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{Z_{m+1}}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq K_0^m F$$

Now define $Z^{(m+1)} := Z^{(m)} + \delta^m Z_{m+1}$ and notice that as a consequence of the latter estimate and (282) we have

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1})} \|X_{Z^{(m+1)}}(\zeta)\| \leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1})} \|X_{Z^{(m)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} + \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1})} \|X_{\delta^m Z_{m+1}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$\leq F \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \delta^j K_0^j + \delta^m K_0^m \right)$$

Defining now $\mathcal{T}_{\delta}^{(m)}(\zeta) := \Phi_{\delta^{m+1}\chi_m}^1(\zeta)$ we can apply Lemma A.1 and (*) to obtain

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1})} \|\mathcal{T}_{\delta}^{(m)}(\zeta) - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} = \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1})} \|\Phi_{\delta^{m+1}\chi_m}^1(\zeta) - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$\leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{\delta^{m+1}\chi_m}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq 2T\delta^{m+1} K_0^m F.$$

Let us set now $\delta^{m+2} F^{(m+1)} := (286) + (287)$. Using Lemma A.5 one can estimate separately the three pieces. We notice that $\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{\delta^{m+1}\chi_m}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq 2T\delta^{m+1} K_0^m F$ and since $\delta K_0 < \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{\delta^{m+1}\chi_m}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} < T\delta F < \frac{d}{3} \leq \frac{(m+1)d}{3}$. We can thus apply Lemma A.5 and Lemma A.8 to

get

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}(R_{m+1})} \|X_{Z^{(m)} \circ \mathcal{T}_\delta^{(m)} - Z^{(m)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \frac{27\,\delta^{m+1}}{(m+1)d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{\chi_m}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{Z^{(m)}}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}},$$

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}(R_{m+1})} \|X_{F^{(m)} \circ \mathcal{T}_\delta^{(m)} - F^{(m)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \frac{27\,\delta^{m+1}}{(m+1)d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{\chi_m}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{F^{(m)}}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}},$$

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}(R_{m+1})} \|X_{h_0 \circ \mathcal{T}_\delta^{(m)} - h_0 - \delta^{m+1}\{\chi_m, h_0\}}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \frac{18\,\delta^{2m+2}}{(m+1)d} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{\chi_m}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{F^{(m)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}.$$

By means of these inequalities, with the additional information $\|X_{\delta^{m+1}\chi_m}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \frac{(m+1)d}{3}$ and the hypotheses (282) and (283), we can estimate

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1})} \|X_{\delta^{m+2}F^{(m+1)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq 9\delta^{m+2} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{Z^{(m)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} + 9\,\delta^{2m+2} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{F^{(m)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$+ 6\,\delta^{2m+2} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_m)} \|X_{F^{(m)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}}$$

$$\leq 9\,\delta^{m+2}F \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta^i K_0^i + 9\,\delta^{2m+2}F\,K_0^m + 6\,\delta^{2m+2}F\,K_0^m$$

$$= \delta^{m+2}\left(9F \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta^i K_0^i + 9\delta^m F\,K_0^m + 6\,\delta^m F\,K_0^m\right)$$

If $m = 0$ the first term is not present and then

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_1)} \|X_{\delta^2 F^{(1)}}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \delta^2(9F + 6F).$$

If $m \geq 1$ we exploit the smallness condition $\delta K_0 < \frac{1}{2}$ to get $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta^i K_0^i < 2$ and

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R_{m+1})} \|X_{\delta^{m+2}F^{(m+1)}}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq \delta^{m+2}\left(6F + 9\frac{F}{2^m} + 6\frac{F}{2^m}\right) \leq 15\,\delta^{m+2}F.$$

$\square$

Proof of Lemma 3.7. The Hamiltonian (61) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma A.9 with $m = 0$, $F_{1,M}$ in place of $F^{(0)}$, $F = K_{1,s}^{(F)}M^{2+\gamma}$. So we apply Lemma A.9 with $d = R/4$, provided that

$$\delta < \frac{R}{12\,T\,F} = \frac{R}{12\,T\,K_{1,s}^{(F)}M^{2+\gamma}}$$

which is true due to (70). Hence there exists an analytic canonical transformation $\mathcal{T}_{\delta,M}^{(0)} : \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(3R/4) \to \mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(R)$ with

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(3R/4)} \|\mathcal{T}_{\delta,M}^{(0)}(\zeta) - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq 2T\,F\,\delta,$$

such that

(288) $$H_{1,M} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\delta,M}^{(0)} = h_0 + \delta Z_M^{(1)} + \delta^2 \mathcal{R}_M^{(1)},$$

(289) $$Z_M^{(1)} := \langle F_{1,M} \rangle,$$

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{R}_M^{(1)} := \delta^2 F^{(1)}$$

(290) $$= \left(h_0 \circ \mathcal{T}_{\delta,M}^{(0)} - h_0 - \delta\{\chi_1, h_0\}\right) + \delta\left(Z_M^{(1)} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\delta,M}^{(0)} - Z_M^{(1)}\right) + \delta^2\left(F_{1,M} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\delta,M}^{(0)} - F_{1,M}\right),$$

(291) $$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(3R/4)} \|X_{Z_M^{(1)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq F,$$

(292) $$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho,s}(3R/4)} \|X_{\mathcal{R}_N^{(1)}}(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,s}} \leq 15F.$$

and $K_0 = 15$, whence $\delta < \frac{1}{30}$. $\square$

Appendix B. Proof of Proposition 6.2

In order to prove Proposition 6.2 we first discuss the specific energies associated to the high modes, and then the ones associated to the low modes.

First we remark that for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ we have

$$\left|\frac{\omega_k^2}{\mu^2}\right| \overset{(11)}{=} \frac{4}{\mu^2}\left[\sin^2\left(\frac{k_1\pi}{2N+1}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{k_2\pi}{2N+1}\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{\mu^2}\left[\sin^2\left(\frac{\mu K_1\pi}{2}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\mu^\sigma K_2\pi}{2}\right)\right]$$

$$(293) \qquad \leq \pi^2(K_1^2 + \mu^{2(\sigma-1)}K_2^2);$$

moreover, for $K_1 \neq 0$

$$\frac{|\hat{q}_K|^2 + \pi^2(K_1^2 + \mu^{2(\sigma-1)}K_2^2)|\hat{p}_K|^2}{2} \leq \pi^2\, e^{-2\rho|K|}\, \frac{|\hat{q}_K|^2 + (K_1^2 + \mu^{2(\sigma-1)}K_2^2)|\hat{p}_K|^2}{2}e^{2\rho|K|}$$

$$(294) \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \leq \pi^2\, e^{-2\rho|K|}\, \left(1 + \mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\frac{K_2^2}{K_1^2}\right)\, \|(\xi,\eta)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}},$$

while for $|K_2| \leq |K_1|$

$$(295) \qquad \frac{|\hat{q}_K|^2 + \pi^2(K_1^2 + \mu^{2(\sigma-1)}K_2^2)|\hat{p}_K|^2}{2} \overset{|K_2|\leq|K_1|}{\leq} 2\pi^2\, e^{-2\rho|K|}\, \|(\xi,\eta)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}.$$

Hence, by (166) we obtain that for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1| + |K_2| > \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_\kappa}{\mu^4}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|\leq|K_1+L_1|}} \left(|\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2 + \omega_k^2\left|\frac{\hat{p}_{K+L}}{\mu}\right|^2\right) + \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|>|K_1+L_1|}} \left(|\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2 + \omega_k^2\left|\frac{\hat{p}_{K+L}}{\mu}\right|^2\right)$$

$$\overset{(293),(295),(93)}{\leq} \pi^2\, \|(\xi,\eta)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}\, 2 \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|\leq|K_1+L_1|}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}$$

$$+ \pi^2\, \|(\xi,\eta)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}} \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|>|K_1+L_1| \\ K_1+L_1\neq 0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}\, \left(1 + \mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\frac{(K_2+L_2)^2}{(K_1+L_1)^2}\right)$$

$$+ \pi^2\, \|(\xi,\eta)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}} \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|>|K_1+L_1| \\ K_1+L_1=0}} e^{-2\rho|K_2+L_2|}.$$

Now,

(296)
$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}$$

$$\leq e^{-2\rho|K|} + \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1=0,L_2\neq0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|} + \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1\neq0,L_2=0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}$$

(297)
$$+ \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1,L_2\neq0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}.$$

We now estimate the last sum in (297); we point out that for $L_1,L_2\neq0$ we have

$$|L| \geq \frac{2}{\mu} + \frac{2}{\mu^\sigma},$$

hence

(298)
$$2|K| \leq |L|.$$

Therefore, for any k such that $\kappa(k)=(\mu K_1,\mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1|+|K_2|\geq\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$

$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1,L_2\neq0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|} \leq \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1,L_2\neq0}} e^{-2\rho\,||K|-|L||}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1,L_2\neq0}} e^{2\rho|K|}e^{-2\rho|L|}$$

$$\leq e^{2\rho|K|}\,2\pi\int_{2|K|}^{+\infty} R e^{-2\rho R}\mathrm{d}R$$

$$= 2\pi\,e^{2\rho|K|}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\rho}\left[\int_{2|K|}^{+\infty} e^{-2\rho R}\mathrm{d}R\right]$$

$$= -\pi\,e^{2\rho|K|}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\rho}\left(\frac{e^{-4\rho|K|}}{2\rho}\right)$$

$$= -\pi e^{2\rho|K|}\left(-\frac{1}{2\rho^2}e^{-4\rho|K|} - 2|K|\,e^{-4\rho|K|}\right)$$

(299)
$$= \frac{\pi}{2\rho}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}+4\right)e^{-2\rho|K|}$$

Next we estimate the second sum in (297); we have

(300)
$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1\neq0,L_2=0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|} \leq e^{-2\rho\,(|K_1|+|K_2|)}\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} e^{-4\rho|\ell|/\mu},$$

which is exponentially small with respect to μ. Similarly,

(301)
$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1=0,L_2\neq0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|} \leq e^{-2\rho\,(|K_1|+|K_2|)}\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} e^{-4\rho|\ell|/\mu^\sigma}.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|>|K_1+L_1| \\ K_1+L_1\neq 0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}\,\frac{(K_2+L_2)^2}{(K_1+L_1)^2}$$

$$\leq e^{-2\rho|K|}\left(\frac{K_2}{K_1}\right)^2$$

$$+\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|>|K_1+L_1| \\ K_1+L_1\neq 0 \\ L_1\neq 0,L_2=0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}\,\frac{(K_2+L_2)^2}{(K_1+L_1)^2}+\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|>|K_1+L_1| \\ K_1+L_1\neq 0 \\ L_1=0,L_2\neq 0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}\,\frac{(K_2+L_2)^2}{(K_1+L_1)^2}$$

(302)

$$+\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|>|K_1+L_1| \\ K_1+L_1\neq 0 \\ L_1,L_2\neq 0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}\,\frac{(K_2+L_2)^2}{(K_1+L_1)^2}.$$

First we estimate the last term in (302): we have that $|L+K|\geq |K|$, hence

$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|>|K_1+L_1| \\ K_1+L_1\neq 0 \\ L_1,L_2\neq 0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}\,\frac{(K_2+L_2)^2}{(K_1+L_1)^2}$$

$$=\int_{|K|}^{+\infty}\int_0^{\pi/4} e^{-2\rho\,\xi}\,\xi\tan^2\phi\,\mathrm{d}\phi\,\mathrm{d}\xi$$

$$=\left(1-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)e^{-2\rho|K|}\,\frac{1+2\rho|K|}{4\rho^2}$$

$$\leq\left(1-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\mu^4\,e^{-2\rho\left[|K|-\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}-\frac{1}{2\rho}\log(2\rho|K|)\right]}$$

(303)
$$\overset{\delta<1-1/e}{\leq}\left(1-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\mu^4\,e^{-2\rho\left[\delta|K|-\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\right]}$$

(304)
$$=\left(1-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\mu^8 e^{-2\rho\delta|K|}$$

Now we bound the other two nontrivial terms in (302); on the one hand, we notice that

$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ |K_2+L_2|>|K_1+L_1| \\ K_1+L_1\neq 0 \\ L_1\neq 0,L_2=0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}L_2^2$$

(305)

vanishes, while on the other hand

$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\ |K_2+L_2|>|K_1+L_1|\\ K_1+L_1\neq 0\\ L_1=0,L_2\neq 0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}L_2^2 \leq e^{-2\rho|K|}\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}e^{-4\rho|\ell|/\mu^\sigma}\frac{\ell^2}{\mu^{2\sigma}}$$

$$\tag{306} \leq 2e^{-2\rho|K|}\int_1^{+\infty}e^{-4\rho|\ell|/\mu^\sigma}\frac{\ell^2}{\mu^{2\sigma}}\,\mathrm{d}\ell,$$

where the last integral is exponentially small with respect to μ.

On the other hand, for any k such that $\kappa(k)=(\mu K_1,\mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1|+|K_2|\leq\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{E}_\kappa}{\mu^4}-\frac{|\hat{\xi}_K|^2+|\hat{\eta}_K|^2}{2}\right|$$

$$\leq\left|\frac{\omega_k^2-\mu^2\pi^2K_1^2}{2\mu^2}\right||\hat{p}_K|^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}|\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2+\omega_k^2\left|\frac{\hat{p}_{K+L}}{\mu}\right|^2,$$

$$\overset{(293)}{\leq}(\mu^2\pi^4K_1^4+\pi^2\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}K_2^2)|\hat{p}_K|^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}|\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2+\pi^2[(K_1+L_1)^2+\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}(K_2+L_2)^2]|\hat{p}_{K+L}|^2,$$

$$\leq\left(\pi^4\mu^2K_1^4+\pi^2\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\frac{9|\log\mu|^2}{\rho^2}\right)|\hat{p}_K|^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}|\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2+\pi^2[(K_1+L_1)^2+\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}(K_2+L_2)^2]|\hat{p}_{K+L}|^2,$$

$$\tag{307}\leq\left(\pi^4\mu^2+\pi^2\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\right)\frac{9|\log\mu|^2}{\rho^2}2\|(\xi,\eta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2$$

$$\tag{308}+\frac{\pi^2}{2}\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}e^{2\rho|K+L|}(|\hat{\xi}_{K+L}|^2+|\hat{\eta}_{K+L}|^2)\left(1+2\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\frac{K_2^2+L_2^2}{(K_1+L_1)^2}\right)e^{-2\rho|K+L|},$$

and we can conclude by estimating (307) by exploiting the fact that $|\log\mu|\leq\mu^{-2/5}$, while we can estimate (308) by

$$\frac{\pi^2}{2}\|(\xi,\eta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}\left(1+2\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\frac{K_2^2+L_2^2}{(K_1+L_1)^2}\right)e^{-2\rho|K+L|}$$

$$\leq\frac{\pi^2}{2}\|(\xi,\eta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}\left(1+2\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}K_2^2+2\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}L_2^2\right)e^{-2\rho|K+L|}$$

$$\leq\frac{\pi^2}{2}\|(\xi,\eta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2\left[(1+2\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}K_2^2)2\pi\int_{2/\mu}^{+\infty}e^{-2\rho\ell}\ell\mathrm{d}\ell+4\pi\int_{2/\mu}^{+\infty}e^{-2\rho\ell}\ell^3\mathrm{d}\ell\right]$$

$$=\frac{\pi^2}{2}\|(\xi,\eta)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2\times$$

$$\tag{309}\left[2\pi\left(1+2\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\frac{9|\log\mu|^2}{\rho^2}\right)e^{-4\rho/\mu}\frac{\mu+4\rho}{4\mu\rho^2}+4\pi e^{-4\rho/\mu}\frac{3\mu^3+12\rho\mu^2+24\rho^2\mu+32\rho^3}{8\mu^3\rho^4}\right].$$

APPENDIX C. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6.8

We argue as in the proof of Proposition (6.2).

First we remark that for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\omega_k^2| &\overset{(30)}{=} 1 + 4\left[\sin^2\left(\frac{k_1\pi}{2N+1}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{k_2\pi}{2N+1}\right)\right] \\
&= 1 + 4\left[\sin^2\left(\frac{\mu K_1\pi}{2}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\mu^\sigma K_2\pi}{2}\right)\right] \\
&\leq 1 + \pi^2(\mu^2 K_1^2 + \mu^{2\sigma} K_2^2), \\
&\leq \pi^2(1 + \mu^2 K_1^2 + \mu^{2\sigma} K_2^2),
\end{aligned}
$$
(310)

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{|\hat{p}_K|^2 + \pi^2(1 + \mu^2 K_1^2 + \mu^{2\sigma} K_2^2)|\hat{q}_K|^2}{2} &\leq \pi^2\, e^{-2\rho|K|}\,\frac{|\hat{p}_K|^2 + (1 + \mu^2 K_1^2 + \mu^{2\sigma} K_2^2)|\hat{q}_K|^2}{2} e^{2\rho|K|} \\
&\leq \pi^2\, e^{-2\rho|K|}\,\left(1 + \mu^2 K_1^2 + \mu^{2\sigma} K_2^2\right)\,\|(\psi, \bar{\psi})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2.
\end{aligned}
$$
(311)

Hence, by (221) we obtain that for all k such that $\kappa(k) = (\mu K_1, \mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1| + |K_2| > \frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathcal{E}_\kappa}{\mu^2} \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}}} \left(|\hat{p}_{K+L}|^2 + \omega_k^2\,|\hat{q}_{K+L}|^2\right) \\
&\overset{(310),(311)}{\leq} \pi^2\,\|(\psi,\bar{\psi})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2\, 2 \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}\left[1 + \mu^2\,(K_1+L_1)^2 + \mu^{2\sigma}\,(K_2+L_2)^2\right],
\end{aligned}
$$
(312)

where the sum in (312) can be rewritten as follows,

$$
\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}
$$
(313)

$$
+ \mu^2 \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}(K_1+L_1)^2
$$
(314)

$$
+ \mu^{2\sigma} \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}(K_2+L_2)^2.
$$
(315)

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|} \\
&\leq e^{-2\rho|K|} + \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ L_1=0,L_2\neq 0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|} + \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ L_1\neq 0,L_2=0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho} \\ L_1,L_2\neq 0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|},
\end{aligned}
$$
(316)

and we can estimate the above terms as for (297) in Proposition 6.2; indeed, by (299), (300) and (301) we have that (316) is bounded by

$$e^{-2\rho|K|} + \pi\left(\frac{1}{2\rho^2} + 2|K|\right)e^{-2\rho|K|} + e^{-2\rho(|K_1|+|K_2|)}\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}e^{-4\rho|\ell|/\mu}$$

$$(317) \qquad + e^{-2\rho(|K_1|+|K_2|)}\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}e^{-4\rho|\ell|/\mu^\sigma}.$$

Now we estimate (314). We have

$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}(K_1+L_1)^2$$

$$\leq e^{-2\rho|K|}K_1^2$$

$$+\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1\neq 0,L_2=0}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}(K_1+L_1)^2 + \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1=0,L_2\neq 0}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}K_1^2$$

$$(318)\qquad +\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1,L_2\neq 0}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}(K_1+L_1)^2.$$

First we estimate the last term in (318): we have that $|L+K|\geq|K|$, hence

$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1,L_2\neq 0}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}(K_1+L_1)^2$$

$$=\int_{|K|}^{+\infty}\int_0^{2\pi}e^{-2\rho\xi}\xi\cos^2\phi\,\mathrm{d}\phi\,\mathrm{d}\xi$$

$$=\pi\,e^{-2\rho|K|}\frac{1+2\rho|K|}{4\rho^2}$$

$$\leq\pi\,\mu^4\,e^{-2\rho\left[|K|-\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}-\frac{1}{2\rho}\log(2\rho|K|)\right]}$$

$$(319)\qquad \overset{\delta<1-1/e}{\leq}\pi\,\mu^4\,e^{-2\rho\left[\delta|K|-\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\right]}$$

Now we bound the other two nontrivial terms in (318); on the one hand, we notice that

$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1=0,L_2\neq 0}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}(K_1+L_1)^2$$

$$\leq 2\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1=0,L_2\neq 0}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}K_1^2$$

$$(320)\qquad +2\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\|K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\L_1=0,L_2\neq 0}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}L_1^2,$$

where the first sum can be bounded as the second term in (316), while

$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\ L_1=0,L_2\neq 0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}L_1^2 \leq e^{-2\rho|K|}\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}e^{-4\rho|\ell|/\mu}\frac{\ell^2}{\mu^2}$$

$$(321) \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \leq 2e^{-2\rho|K|}\int_1^{+\infty}e^{-4\rho|\ell|/\mu}\frac{\ell^2}{\mu^2}\,\mathrm{d}l,$$

where the last integral is exponentially small with respect to μ.

Similarly,

$$\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}\\ |K_1|+|K_2|>\frac{2|\log\mu|}{\rho}\\ L_1=0,L_2\neq 0}} e^{-2\rho|K+L|}L_2^2 \leq e^{-2\rho|K|}\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}e^{-4\rho|\ell|/\mu^\sigma}\frac{\ell^2}{\mu^{2\sigma}}$$

$$(322) \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \leq 2e^{-2\rho|K|}\int_1^{+\infty}e^{-4\rho|\ell|/\mu^\sigma}\frac{\ell^2}{\mu^{2\sigma}}\,\mathrm{d}\ell,$$

where the last integral is exponentially small with respect to μ.

On the other hand, for any k such that $\kappa(k)=(\mu K_1,\mu^\sigma K_2)$ and $|K_1|+|K_2|\leq\frac{(2+\delta)|\log\mu|}{\rho}$

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{E}_\kappa}{\mu^2}-\frac{|\hat\psi_K|^2}{2}\right|$$

$$\leq\left|\omega_k^2-1\right||\hat q_K|^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}|\hat p_{K+L}|^2+\omega_k^2|\hat q_{K+L}|^2,$$

$$\overset{(310)}{\leq}(\mu^2\pi^2 K_1^2+\pi^2\mu^{2\sigma}K_2^2)|\hat p_K|^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}|\hat p_{K+L}|^2+|\hat q_{K+L}|^2+\pi^2[\mu^2(K_1+L_1)^2+\mu^{2\sigma}(K_2+L_2)^2]|\hat q_{K+L}|^2,$$

$$\leq\left(\pi^2\mu^2 K_1^2+\pi^2\mu^{2\sigma}K_2^2\right)|\hat p_K|^2$$

$$+\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}[1+\pi^2\mu^2(K_1+L_1)^2+\pi^2\mu^{2\sigma}(K_2+L_2)^2]$$

$$(323)\qquad \leq\pi^2\mu^2\left(1+\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\right)\frac{9|\log\mu|^2}{\rho^2}\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2$$

$$(324)\qquad +\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}$$

$$(325)\qquad +\pi^2\mu^2\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}(K_1+L_1)^2$$

$$(326)\qquad +\pi^2\mu^{2\sigma}\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}^2\sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}\\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}}e^{-2\rho|K+L|}(K_2+L_2)^2$$

and we can conclude by estimating (323) by exploiting the fact that $|\log\mu| \leq \mu^{-2/5}$, while we can bound (324)-(325) by

$$\frac{\pi^2}{2}\,\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}} \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\} \\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}} [1+\mu^2(K_1+L_1)^2]\,e^{-2\rho|K+L|}$$

$$\frac{\pi^2}{2}\,\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}} \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\} \\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}} (1+2\mu^2\,K_1^2+2\mu^2\,L_1^2)\,e^{-2\rho|K+L|}$$

$$\leq \frac{\pi^2}{2}\,\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}} \left[(1+2\mu^2\,K_1^2)\,2\pi\int_{2/\mu}^{+\infty} e^{-2\rho\ell}\,\ell\mathrm{d}\ell + 4\pi\,\mu^2\int_{2/\mu}^{+\infty} e^{-2\rho\ell}\,\ell^3\mathrm{d}\ell\right]$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2}{2}\,\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}\,\times$$

$$(327)\qquad \left[2\pi\left(1+2\mu^2\frac{9|\log\mu|^2}{\rho^2}\right)e^{-4\rho/\mu}\frac{\mu+4\rho}{4\mu\rho^2} + 4\pi\,\mu^2 e^{-4\rho/\mu}\frac{3\mu^3+12\rho\mu^2+24\rho^2\mu+32\rho^3}{8\mu^3\rho^4}\right],$$

and we can estimate (326) by

$$\frac{\pi^2}{2}\,\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}\,\mu^{2(\sigma-1)} \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\} \\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}} (K_2+L_2)^2\,e^{-2\rho|K+L|}$$

$$\frac{\pi^2}{2}\,\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}\,\mu^{2(\sigma-1)} \sum_{\substack{L=(L_1,L_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\} \\ \mu L_1,\mu^\sigma L_2\in 2\mathbb{Z}}} (2K_2^2+2L_2^2)\,e^{-2\rho|K+L|}$$

$$\leq \frac{\pi^2}{2}\,\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}\,\mu^{2(\sigma-1)} \left[2K_1^2\,2\pi\int_{2/\mu^\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-2\rho\ell}\,\ell\mathrm{d}\ell + 4\pi\int_{2/\mu^\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-2\rho\ell}\,\ell^3\mathrm{d}\ell\right]$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2}{2}\,\|(\psi,\bar\psi)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\rho,0}}\,\mu^{2(\sigma-1)}\times$$

$$(328)\qquad \left[2\pi\,2\frac{9|\log\mu|^2}{\rho^2}\,e^{-4\rho/\mu^\sigma}\frac{\mu^\sigma+4\rho}{4\mu^\sigma\rho^2} + 4\pi\,e^{-4\rho/\mu^\sigma}\frac{3\mu^{3\sigma}+12\rho\mu^{2\sigma}+24\rho^2\mu^\sigma+32\rho^3}{8\mu^{3\sigma}\rho^4}\right].$$

References

[Bam99]   Dario Bambusi. Nekhoroshev theorem for small amplitude solutions in nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 230(2):345–387, 1999.

[Bam05]   Dario Bambusi. Galerkin averaging method and Poincaré normal form for some quasilinear PDEs. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze*, 4(4):669–702, 2005.

[Bam08]   Bambusi, Dario and Ponno, Antonio. *Resonance, Metastability and Blow up in FPU*, pages 191–205. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.

[BCMM15]   Dario Bambusi, Andrea Carati, Alberto Maiocchi, and Alberto Maspero. Some analytic results on the FPU paradox. In *Hamiltonian partial differential equations and applications*, pages 235–254. Springer, 2015.

[BCP02]   Dario Bambusi, Andrea Carati, and Antonio Ponno. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation as a resonant normal form. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B*, 2(1):109–128, 2002.

[Ben05]   Giancarlo Benettin. Time scale for energy equipartition in a two-dimensional fpu model. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 15(1):015108, 2005.

[BG08]   Giancarlo Benettin and Giacomo Gradenigo. A study of the fermi–pasta–ulam problem in dimension two. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 18(1):013112, 2008.

[Bou93a]   Jean Bourgain. Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations - Part I: Schrödinger Equations. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 3(2):107–156, 1993.

[Bou93b]   Jean Bourgain. On the Cauchy problem for the Kadomstev-Petviashvili equation. *Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA*, 3(4):315–341, 1993.

[BP06]   Dario Bambusi and Antonio Ponno. On metastability in FPU. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 264(2):539–561, 2006.

[BPP10]   Dario Bambusi, Simone Paleari, and Tiziano Penati. Existence and continuous approximation of small amplitude breathers in 1D and 2D Klein-Gordon lattices. *Applicable Analysis*, 89(9):1313–1334, 2010.

[BVT80]   Giancarlo Benettin, Guido Lo Vecchio, and Alexander Tenenbaum. Stochastic transition in two-dimensional lennard-jones systems. *Physical Review A*, 22(4):1709, 1980.

[BW06]   Imran A Butt and Jonathan AD Wattis. Discrete breathers in a two-dimensional Fermi–Pasta–Ulam lattice. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 39(18):4955, 2006.

[BW07]   Imran A Butt and Jonathan AD Wattis. Discrete breathers in a two-dimensional hexagonal Fermi–Pasta–Ulam lattice. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 40(6):1239, 2007.

[CF12]   Rémi Carles and Erwan Faou. Energy cascades for NLS on the torus. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - A*, 32:2063, 2012.

[CKS⁺10]   James Colliander, Markus Keel, Gigiola Staffilani, Hideo Takaoka, and Terence Tao. Transfer of energy to high frequencies in the cubic defocusing nonlinear schrödinger equation. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 181(1):39–113, 2010.

[CL87]   HH Chen and JE Lin. On the infinite hierarchies of symmetries and constants of motion for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 26(1-3):171–180, 1987.

[CLL83]   HH Chen, YC Lee, and Jeng-Eng Lin. On a new hierarchy of symmetries for the kadomtsev-petviashvili equation. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 9(3):439–445, 1983.

[FPU95]   Enrico Fermi, John Pasta, and Stanislaw Ulam. Studies of non linear problems Los Alamos Rpt. LA-1940 Fermi E, Pasta J and Ulam S 1965 Collected Papers of Enrico Fermi vol II, 1995.

[Gal07]   Giovanni Gallavotti. *The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem: a status report*, volume 728. Springer, 2007.

[GHP16]   Marcel Guardia, Emanuele Haus, and Michela Procesi. Growth of Sobolev norms for the analytic NLS on T^2. *Advances in Mathematics*, 301:615–692, 2016.

[GK15]   Marcel Guardia and Vadim Kaloshin. Growth of Sobolev norms in the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 17(1):71–149, 2015.

[GKK14]   Benoît Grébert, Thomas Kappeler, and Thomas Kappeler. *The defocusing NLS equation and its normal form*. EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, 2014.

[GKM98]   B Grébert, T Kappeler, and B Mityagin. Gap estimates of the spectrum of the Zakharov-Shabat system. *Applied mathematics letters*, 11(4):95–97, 1998.

[Han14]   Zaher Hani. Long-time instability and unbounded Sobolev orbits for some periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 211(3):929–964, 2014.

[KP03]   Thomas Kappeler and Jürgen Pöschel. *Kdv & Kam*, volume 45. Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.

[KP08]   Thomas Kappeler and Jürgen Pöschel. On the well-posedness of the periodic KdV equation in high regularity classes. In *Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems and Applications*, pages 431–441. Springer, 2008.

[KST08]   T Kappeler, B Schaad, and P Topalov. mKdV and its Birkhoff coordinates. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 237(10-12):1655–1662, 2008.

[LC82]   Jeng-Eng Lin and HH Chen. Constraints and conserved quantities of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations. *Physics Letters A*, 89(4):163–167, 1982.

[LLPR07]   Allan J Lichtenberg, Roberto Livi, Marco Pettini, and Stefano Ruffo. Dynamics of oscillator chains. In *The fermi-pasta-ulam problem*, pages 21–121. Springer, 2007.

[Mol14]   Jan-Cornelius Molnar. New estimates of the nonlinear Fourier transform for the defocusing NLS equation. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2015(17):8309–8352, 2014.

[Pas19]   Stefano Pasquali. Dynamics of the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation in the nonrelativistic limit. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (1923 -)*, 198(3):903–972, 2019.

[Pös11]   Jürgen Pöschel. Hill's potentials in weighted Sobolev spaces and their spectral gaps. *Mathematische Annalen*, 349(2):433–458, 2011.

[Ros03]   Philip Rosenau. Hamiltonian dynamics of dense chains and lattices: or how to correct the continuum. *Physics Letters A*, 311(1):39–52, 2003.

[SJ87]   Martin Schwarz Jr. Periodic solutions of Kadomtsev-Petviashvili. *Advances in Mathematics*, 66(3):217–233, 1987.

[SW00]   Guido Schneider and C Eugene Wayne. Counter-propagating waves on fluid surfaces and the continuum limit of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam model. In *Equadiff 99: (In 2 Volumes)*, pages 390–404. World Scientific, 2000.

[Wat94]   Jonathan AD Wattis. Solitary waves on a two-dimensional lattice. *Physica Scripta*, 50(3):238, 1994.

[WJ14]   Jonathan AD Wattis and Lauren M James. Discrete breathers in honeycomb Fermi–Pasta–Ulam lattices. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 47(34):345101, 2014.

[YWSC09]   Xiang Yi, Jonathan AD Wattis, Hadi Susanto, and Linda J Cummings. Discrete breathers in a two-dimensional spring-mass lattice. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(35):355207, 2009.

(†) SISSA, via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste.
E-mail address, †: mgallone@sissa.it

(∗) Barcelona Graduate School of Mathematics, Departament de Matemátiques, Universitat Politécnica de Catalunya, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.
E-mail address, ∗: stefano.pasquali@upc.edu