Accepted Manuscript

Knowledge Translation: the bridging function of Cochrane Rehabilitation

Stefano Negrini, MD, Francesca Gimigliano, PhD, Chiara Arienti, MSc, Carlotte Kiekens, MD

PII: S0003-9993(17)31396-5

DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.11.002

Reference: YAPMR 57081

To appear in: ARCHIVES OF PHYSICAL MEDICINE AND REHABILITATION

- Received Date: 5 September 2017
- Revised Date: 31 October 2017
- Accepted Date: 2 November 2017

Please cite this article as: Negrini S, Gimigliano F, Arienti C, Kiekens C, Knowledge Translation: the bridging function of Cochrane Rehabilitation, *ARCHIVES OF PHYSICAL MEDICINE AND REHABILITATION* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.11.002.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Knowledge Translation: the bridging function of Cochrane Rehabilitation

Running Title: Knowledge Translation in Cochrane Rehabilitation

Stefano Negrini, MD (1,2), Francesca Gimigliano, PhD (3), Chiara Arienti*, MSc (2), Carlotte Kiekens, MD (4)

Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Italy

Don Gnocchi Foundation, Milan, Italy

Department of Mental and Physical Health and Preventive Medicine, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Napoli, Italy

Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine, University Hospitals Leuven, (Belgium)

*Corresponding Author

Chiara Arienti, PhD(s) Email address: carienti@dongnocchi.it Largo Paolo VI, Rovato, Brescia, 25038, Italy Phone: +390307245282

Author Disclosures

The authors declare no conflict of interesting and no competing financial interests.

1 Abstract

2 Cochrane Rehabilitation is aimed to ensure that all rehabilitation professionals can apply Evidence Based Clinical Practice and take decisions according to the best and most appropriate evidence in 3 4 this specific field, combining the best available evidence as gathered by high quality Cochrane 5 systematic reviews, with their own clinical expertise and the values of patients. This mission can be 6 pursued through Knowledge Translation. The aim of this paper is to shortly present what 7 Knowledge Translation is, how and why Cochrane (previously known as Cochrane Collaboration) 8 is trying to reorganize itself in light of Knowledge Translation, and the relevance that this process 9 has for Cochrane Rehabilitation and in the end for the whole world of Rehabilitation.

10 It is well known how it is difficult to effectively apply in everyday life what we would like to do 11 and to apply the scientific knowledge in the clinical field: this is called the "know-do gap". In the 12 field of Evidence Based Medicine, where Cochrane belongs, it has been proven that high quality 13 evidence is not consistently applied in practice. A solution to these problems is the so-called "Knowledge Translation". In this context, Cochrane Rehabilitation is organized to provide the best 14 15 possible Knowledge Translation in both directions (bridging function), obviously toward the world 16 of rehabilitation (spreading reviews), but also to the Cochrane community (production of reviews 17 significant for rehabilitation). Cochrane is now strongly pushing to improve its KT activities, and 18 this creates a strong base for Cochrane Rehabilitation work, focused not only on spreading the 19 evidence, but also on improving its production to make it more meaningful for the world of 20 rehabilitation.

21

Keywords: Knowledge Translation, Evidence Based Clinical Practice, Cochrane Rehabilitation,
Health Care System.

- 24
- 25
- 26 Abbreviations:

- 27 Knowledge Translation (KT)
- 28 World Health Organization (WHO)

29

30 Introduction

"Cochrane", better known with the former name "Cochrane Collaboration", is the global 31 32 organization whose aim is to gather the best possible evidence from the literature and convey it to all the health professionals, decision makers and the public with the goal to improve health care at 33 34 all levels. Cochrane Rehabilitation has been recently started as a new Field of Cochrane, to create a bridge between Cochrane and the world of Rehabilitation, and strengthen the evidence gathering 35 process and knowledge in our field.¹⁻⁴ Cochrane Rehabilitation is aimed to ensure that all 36 37 rehabilitation professionals can apply Evidence Based Clinical Practice, combining the best 38 available evidence as gathered by high quality Cochrane systematic reviews, with their own clinical expertise and patients' values and preferences. The vision is a world where decision makers will be 39 40 able to make decisions according to the best and most appropriate evidence in this specific field. Cochrane Rehabilitation wants also to improve the methods for evidence synthesis, facing the 41 42 multiple challenges of Randomised Controlled Trials (RCTs) and consequently Cochrane reviews in our fields, to make their results face the needs of disabled people and coherent with daily clinical 43 practice in rehabilitation.⁵ According to Cochrane, these vision and mission can be pursued through 44 Knowledge Translation (KT). The aim of this paper is to shortly present: 45

• what KT is,

• how and why Cochrane is trying to reorganize itself in light of KT, and

- the relevance that this process has for Cochrane Rehabilitation and in the end for the whole
 world of Rehabilitation.
- 50

51 The know-do gap

It is well known how it is difficult to effectively apply in everyday life what we would like to do. This is even truer for the extent to which scientific knowledge is applied in the clinical field: this is called the "know-do gap". In Evidence Based Clinical Practice, where Cochrane belongs, it has been proven that high quality evidence is not consistently applied in practice.⁶ Some widely known

56 examples in clinical practice include the over-prescription of antibiotics in children with upper 57 respiratory tract symptoms,⁷ but also the under-prescription of statins post-stroke.⁸ There are 58 examples also in health system policies. The paper showing that evidence was not frequently used 59 by the World Health Organization (WHO) in their statements⁹ made quite some noise worldwide: 50 this has led to a change that is clearly evident in the last WHO Rehabilitation guidelines.¹⁰

Some reasons have been listed for this "know-do gap", and they include the fact that evidence is 61 usually not focused on the end-users:¹¹ in fact, usually it is mainly epidemiologically and 62 63 methodologically focused, and details on interventions and settings are missing. Moreover, on the part of the end-user, a lack of knowledge management skills and infrastructures is quite frequent.¹² 64 This is true at the various levels: macro-level in the health care system and organization (lack of 65 finance, equipment), meso-level in the health care teams (standards of care definition and time 66 management) and last but not least at the micro-level, in terms of individual health care 67 professionals (volume of and access to research evidence, time to read, and skills to appraise, 68 understand and apply research evidence).¹³ 69

70

71 Knowledge Translation

A solution to these problems is the so-called "Knowledge Translation" that has been defined by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research¹⁴ as "a dynamic and interactive process that includes the synthesis, dissemination, exchange, and ethically sound application of knowledge to improve health, provide more effective health services and products, and strengthen the health care system". Alternative terms with the same meaning include: dissemination and implementation, implementation science, research use, knowledge transfer and uptake/exchange.¹⁵

The process to move from knowledge to action has been thoroughly described by Graham¹⁶ and can be divided in two steps, the "knowledge creation phase" and the "action cycle". The first one is the better known and understood by scientists and clinicians. It includes: knowledge inquiry through the primary research studies (in case of Cochrane, Randomised Controlled Trials); knowledge

82 synthesis through the secondary research studies (systematic reviews and meta-analysis); and knowledge tools/products like guidelines, algorithms, messages for end-users etc. In this 83 84 perspective Clinical Guidelines are to be interpreted as spreading tools. Cochrane Rehabilitation will particularly be involved in this last phase, mainly producing correct messages for the end-users 85 86 through different media either scientific (journals, meetings, workshops, educational initiatives) or social (website, Twitter, Facebook, YouTube). Much less known by clinicians is the so-called 87 88 "action cycle". In this perspective KT concretizes in specific projects to facilitate/allow the proper 89 application of knowledge: these projects have to be adapted to the general context (micro individuals and/or local organizations; meso – helath organizations; macro – countries) as well as to 90 91 the specific context (personal/social factors). The stages proposed include: identify the problem; identify, review, select the knowledge; adapt knowledge to local context; access barriers -92 facilitation to knowledge use; select, tailor, implement interventions; monitor knowledge use; 93 94 evaluate outcomes, and sustain knowledge use.

95

96

97

98 The Knowledge Translation Strategy and Framework of Cochrane

99 According to the Strategy to 2020's fundamental commitment to the dissemination, use and impact of Cochrane evidence¹⁷ KT has been recognised as essential in achieving Cochrane's vision and 100 maximising the benefit of the work of Cochrane contributors.¹⁸ After a long process and 101 preliminary work,^{19,20} Cochrane has produced a KT Strategy¹⁷ to set out a framework for KT in 102 103 Cochrane, demonstrating the breadth and depth of the activities that would enable Cochrane to 104 become a KT-centred organization. The aim is to make systematic and coordinate the excellent KT 105 activities already going on in Cochrane. The major audience to be served has been identified in: consumers and the public; practitioners; policy-makers and healthcare managers; researchers and 106 research funders. The Cochrane KT Strategy describes six key themes:¹⁸ 107

- Prioritization and co-production of Cochrane reviews: producing reviews which meet the needs
 of our users.
- 110 2. Packaging, push and support implementation: ensuring our users receive and can act on our111 reviews and products.
- 112 3. Facilitating pull: growing our users' capacity to find and use our reviews.
- 113 4. Exchange: engaging with our users to support their evidence informed decision making.
- 114 5. Improving climate: advocating for evidence informed health decision-making.
- 115 6. Sustainable KT Processes: building a sustainable infrastructure for knowledge translation.
- 116

A Cochrane KT Framework²¹ has been approved in April 2017. The framework lays out an ambitious strategy to develop Cochrane as a KT-centred organisation, and importantly it places very strong emphasis on the importance of the Cochrane community in achieving such developments. A KT Advisory Group of 12 experts (including the Director of Cochrane Rehabilitation – Stefano Negrini) has been created bringing together leaders in Cochrane who have an interest and experience in KT to advise on effective implementation and leadership of this KT Framework.²¹ This Advisory Group will work in the next 18-24 months to help the transformation of Cochrane.

In all this process Cochrane is moving to end-up with two main types of Groups: the one mainly engaged in Knowledge Production and Methodology (Review Groups and Method Groups) and the ones mainly engaged in Knowledge Translation (Centres, with a regional focus, and Fields, with a world-wide topic focus). Obviously single groups can have (and some already have) both functions; moreover the interaction among the groups will increase, since Knowledge Translation can be efficacious only if Knowledge Production already takes into accounts the final spreading.

- 130
- 131

132 Knowledge Translation and Cochrane Rehabilitation

133	As stated above, KT is the core aim of Fields, like Cochrane Rehabilitation. If we think of Cochran		
134	Rehabilitation as a specific project of KT in our clinical world, we can easily see how all the points		
135	of the KT "action-cycle" correspond to what Cochrane Rehabilitation is doing and/or has planned to		
136	do in the next future (Table 1).		
137	Cochrane Rehabilitation is organized to provide the best possible KT in both directions (bridging		
138	function), ³⁻⁵ obviously toward the world of rehabilitation (spreading reviews), but also to the		
139	Cochrane community (production of reviews significant for rehabilitation). The Director himself is		
140	in charge of the KT strategy, while the Coordinator is in charge of the Networking Strategy; then		
141	there are the following committees:		
142	Review Committee selects and tags all Cochrane Reviews relevant for rehabilitation creating the		
143	background for the work of all other Committees;		
144	Communication and Publication Committees spread Cochrane Reviews results to all the		
145	Rehabilitation community through social media and scientific instruments respectively (theme 2		
146	of the Cochrane KT Strategy);		
147	• Education Committee educates and trains rehabilitation professionals on evidence and review		
148	production (theme 3-5 of the Cochrane KT Strategy)		
149	 Methodology Committee works on methodology in evidence production and gathering in 		
150	rehabilitation (themes 1 and 4 of the Cochrane KT Strategy)		
151	In this process Cochrane Rehabilitation must be cost-effective (theme 6 of the Cochrane KT		
152	Strategy).		
153	It is also important to recognise that Cochrane Rehabilitation is not a Review Group, and as such		
154	has not the primary role of producing reviews, even if this could be done in specific circumstances.		
155	Nevertheless, Cochrane Rehabilitation has a key role to help Cochrane produce evidence significant		
156	for rehabilitation professionals: this is done mainly through the work of the Methodolog		
157	Committee, but also helping in the prioritization process of Cochrane Review Groups, an		
158	providing rehabilitation expertise for specific reviews.		

160 Conclusion

In our clinical world there is a general perception of the scarcity of evidence in rehabilitation; moreover, most of the evidence produced is considered not highly meaningful due to the many methodological problems typical of rehabilitation. Cochrane is now strongly pushing to improve its KT activities, and this creates a strong base for Cochrane Rehabilitation work, focused not only on spreading the evidence, but also on improving its production to make it more meaningful for the world of rehabilitation.

167 **References**

- Negrini S, Kiekens C, Meerpohl JJ, Thomson D, Zampolini M, Christodoulou N, et al.
 Contributing to the growth of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine (PRM): call for a Cochrane
 Field in PRM. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2015 Jun;51(3):239-43. [PMID: 25986225]
- Kiekens C, Negrini S, Thomson D, Frontera W. Cochrane Physical and Rehabilitation
 Medicine: Current State of Development and Next Steps. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2016
 Apr;95(4):235-8. DOI: 10.1097/PHM.00000000000480. [PMID: 26987100]
- Negrini S, Kiekens C, Levack W, Grubisic F, Gimigliano F, Ilieva E, et al. Cochrane Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine: A New Field to Bridge Between Best Evidence and the Specific Needs of Our Field. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2016 Aug;97(8):1226-7. DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2016.06.001. [PMID: 27470172]
- Negrini S, Kiekens C, Levack W, Grubisic F, Gimigliano F, Ilieva E, et al. Cochrane physical and rehabilitation medicine: a new field to bridge between best evidence and the specific needs of our field of competence. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2016 Jun;52(3):417-8. Epub 2016 May 26.
 [PMID: 27225194]
- 182 5. Negrini S, Arienti C, Gimigliano G, Grubišić F, Howe T, Ilieva E, et al. Cochrane
 183 Rehabilitation: organization and functioning. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2017 Sep 25. DOI:
 184 10.1097/PHM.00000000000832 [PMID: 28953033]

159

- 185 6. Majumdar SR, McAlister FA, Furberg CD. From knowledge to practice in chronic
 186 cardiovascular disease: a long and winding road. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004; 43(10):1738-42.
 187 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2003.12.043 [PMID: 15145092]
- 188 7. Arnold S , Straus SE. Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices in ambulatory
 189 care. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005 Oct 19;(4):CD003539. DOI:
 190 10.1002/14651858.CD003539.pub2 [PMID: 16235325]
- 191 8. LaRosa JC, He J, Vupputuri S. Effect of statins on the risk of coronary disease: a meta-analysis
 192 of randomized controlled trials. JAMA. 1999; 282(24): 2340-6 [PMID: 10612322]
- 9. Oxman A, Lavis JN, Fretheim A. Use of evidence in WHO recommendations. Lancet. 2007;
 369(9576): 1883-9. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60675-8 [PMID: 17493676]
- 195 10. Lavis J, Ross SE, Hurley JE, Hohenadel JM, Stoddart GL, Woodward CA, et al. Examining the
- role of health services research in public policy making. Milbank Q. 2002; 80(1): 125-54.
 [PMID: 11933791]
- 11. Glenton C, Underland V, Kho M, Pennick V, Oxman AD. Summaries of findings, descriptions
 of interventions, and information about adverse effects would make reviews more informative. J
- 200 Clin Epidemiol 2006; 59: 770-8. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2005.12.011 [PMID: 16828668]
- 201 12. Grimshaw JM, Eccles MP, Walker AE, Thomas RE. Changhing physician's behavior: what
- 202 works and thoughts on getting more things to work. J Contin Educ Health Prof. 2002, 22(4):
- 203 237-43. DOI: 10.1002/chp.1340220408 [PMID: 12613059]
- 204 13. Freer J, Godlee F. Judging the benefits and harms of medicines. BMJ. 2017 Jun 30;357:j3129.
 205 doi: 10.1136/bmj.j3129. [PMID: 28667159]
- 206 14. Mc Kibbon KA, Lokker C, Wilczynski NL, Ciliska D, Dobbins M, Davis DA, et al. A cross
- 207 sectional study of the number and frequency of terms used to refer to knowledge translation in a
- body of health literature in 2006: a tower of Babel? Impl Sci. 2010; 5:16. DOI: 10.1186/1748-
- 209 5908-5-16 [PMID: 21080976]

210	15. Canadian Institutes of Health Research. Knowledge Translation. <u>www.cihr-</u>		
211	irsc.gc.ca/e/29418.html		
212	16. Graham ID, Logan J, Harrison MB, Straus SE, Tetroe J, Caswell W, et al. Lost in knowledge		
213	translation: time for a map? J Contin Ed Health Prof. 2006; 26(11):13-24. DOI: 10.1002/chp.47		
214	[PMID: 16557505]		
215	17. Cochrane 2020 Strategy <u>http://community.cochrane.org/sites/default/files/uploads/inline-</u>		
216	files/Strategy%20to%202020_updated_Final_Feb2016.pdf		
217	18. CochraneKnowledgeTranslationStrategy		
218	http://community.cochrane.org/sites/default/files/uploads/inline-		
219	files/Cochrane%20Knowledge%20Translation%20Strategy%20FINAL%20for%20website.pdf		
220	19. KT Strategy Working Group Terms of Reference		
221	http://community.cochrane.org/sites/default/files/uploads/inline-		
222	files/KT%20Strategy%20Working%20Group%20Terms%20of%20Reference.pdf		
223	20. Summary Report: Stakeholder Interviews to Inform Cochrane Knowledge Translation's		
224	Strategy <u>http://community.cochrane.org/sites/default/files/uploads/inline-</u>		
225	$\underline{files/Stakeholder\%20 Interviews\%20 to\%20 Inform\%20 Cochrane\%20 Knowledge\%20 Translation}$		
226	<u>%20Strategy.pdf</u>		
227	21. Cochrane KT Framework <u>http://community.cochrane.org/sites/default/files/uploads/inline-</u>		
228	files/Cochrane%20Knowledge%20Translation%20Framework.pdf		
229	22. Cochrane KT Advisory Board http://community.cochrane.org/sites/default/files/uploads/inline-		

230 <u>files/The%20Knowledge%20Translation%20Advisory%20Group_0.pdf</u>

Table 1 . All the points of the Knowledge Translation "action-cycle" correspond to what Cochrane		
Rehabilitation is doing and/or has planned to do in the next future.		

Action cycle	Cochrane Rehabilitation action	Product		
Identify problem	Check the actual Cochrane	Director: prioritization of future		
	Evidence relevant to	Cochrane Reviews with Cochrane		
	Rehabilitation.	Reviews Groups.		
	Identify and help to solve the	Methodology Committee: surveys,		
	problems with evidence of the	discussion and position papers.		
	rehabilitation world.			
Adapt knowledge to	Comment Cochrane Reviews	Communication Committee: social		
local context	results for:	media		
	• clinicians	Pubblication Committee: Cochrane		
	• students	Corners in scientific journals, e-		
	• politicians, to be informed	book		
	from a rehabilitation			
	perspective			
Access barriers –	Identify and collect all relevant	Review Tagging Committee:		
facilitation to	Cochrane Reviews.	identification of reviews.		
knowledge use	Prepare brief clinical summaries.	Communication Committee: web-		
	Diffuse the reviews.	site collection.		
	Develop skills and knowledge in	Publication Committee: Cochrane		
	end-users.	Corners and e-book.		
	Promote Evidence Based Clinical	Education Committee: courses.		
	Practice.			
Select, tailor,	Adapt Cochrane material for	All Committees as presented above.		
implement	rehabilitation professionals.			
interventions	Improve Cochrane methods to			
	make them relevant to			
	rehabilitation.			
Monitor knowledge	Check presence of Cochrane	Under development with Cochrane		
use	Reviews in Rehabilitation	Central.		
	Guidelines and journals.			
Evaluate outcomes	Development of meaningful	Under development with Cochrane		
	outcomes for the actions started.	Central.		
Sustain knowledge use	Support Evidence Based Clinical	Education Committee: courses.		
<u> </u>	Practice in Rehabilitation.			
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N				