

1 **Calcium Intake from Different Food Sources in Italian Women without**
2 **and with non-previously diagnosed Osteoporosis**

3 Daniela Martini ^{1,#}, Alice Rosi ^{2,#}, Donato Angelino ^{3,*} and Giovanni Passeri ^{4,*}

4 ¹ *Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of*
5 *Milan, Milan, Italy.*

6 ² *Human Nutrition Unit, Department of Food and Drug, University of Parma, Parma, Italy.*

7 ³ *Faculty of Bioscience and Technology for Food, Agriculture and Environment,*
8 *University of Teramo, Teramo, Italy.*

9 ⁴ *Department of Medicine and Surgery, Unit of Andrology, Metabolic Bone Diseases and*
10 *Endocrinology, University of Parma, Parma, Italy.*

11

12 # Equal contribution.

13 * Correspondence: dangelino@unite.it (D.A.); giovanni.passeri@unipr.it; Tel.: +390521033321
14 (G.P.).

15

16 **Abstract:** An adequate calcium and vitamin D intake may play a role in preventing osteoporosis,
17 but the contribution of the different food sources of calcium with regards to the risk of osteoporosis
18 been barely explored. This observational study evaluated the calcium intake through a food
19 frequency questionnaire in 126 adult women with not previously diagnosed osteoporosis
20 undergoing Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA) to screen for osteoporosis, and to correlate
21 the calcium intake with parameters of bone density, measured by DXA. Total daily calcium intake
22 and daily intake from food were similar among women found to have osteoporosis, osteopenia or
23 normal condition. The main food source was milk and dairy products, while calcium
24 supplementation was consumed by only 14% of subjects, irrespectively from osteoporosis
25 conditions. DXA parameters were not significantly correlated with total daily calcium intake and
26 calcium from food. The present study highlighted no qualitative and quantitative differences in the
27 consumption of food groups contributing to calcium intakes in women with and without
28 osteoporosis.

29 **Keywords:** food sources; calcium intake; calcium supplementation; bone; osteoporosis; DXA

30 1. Introduction

31 Osteoporosis is a disease characterized by skeletal fragility and microarchitectural deterioration
32 of bone that can lead to a significantly higher risk of fracture, mainly at spine, hip, forearm and
33 proximal humerus. In turn, this can result in poor quality of life, loss of self-sufficiency and an
34 increased risk of death, other than posing a burden on health services (Black and Rosen 2016). In
35 2010, it was estimated that 22 million women and 5.5 million men in the European Union were
36 affected by osteoporosis, by following the diagnostic criterion of the World Health Organization
37 (WHO) (WHO 1994). A wide variety of techniques is available to assess Bone Mineral Density
38 (BMD), but the most accurate are the ones based on X-ray absorptiometry of bone, particularly
39 Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA), since the absorption of X-rays is very sensitive to the
40 calcium content in the bone (Martini et al. 2018) and is still considered the “gold standard” for
41 assessing bone density by the WHO (WHO 1994).

42 DXA T-scores are delta values which take into consideration the number of standard deviations
43 of difference between the patient and the average value for young healthy individual (WHO1994).
44 According to WHO criteria, the definition of osteoporosis is based on the T-score for BMD and is
45 defined as a BMD value at the femoral neck of - 2.5 SD or more below the young female adult mean
46 (T-score ≤ -2.5 SD). Nevertheless, other central sites (e.g. lumbar spine, total hip) can be used and
47 are widely used for diagnosis of osteoporosis in clinical settings (WHO 2004). In addition, the WHO
48 describes the condition of osteopenia as a T-score that lies between -1 and -2.5 SD.

49 Due to the impact of osteoporosis on health, quality of life, and maintenance of self-sufficiency
50 in the elderly people, preventive measures are strongly recommended. Among the modifiable factors,
51 diet has proven to be an extremely important factor affecting bone health with some dietary patterns
52 that have been associated with a reduced risk of low BMD and osteoporotic-related fractures and
53 others that are instead inversely associated (Fabiani et al. 2019). Although many dietary compounds
54 such as protein, potassium and vitamin K have been shown to play a role on bone health (Kong et al.
55 2017; Shams-White et al. 2017), mostly the role of calcium and vitamin D is well-established for bone
56 health for osteoporosis prevention. Calcium is an important structural component of bone while
57 vitamin D is essential for efficient calcium absorption, for calcium deposition in bone and for the
58 maintenance of normal blood levels of calcium (European Food Safety Authority 2009). Although
59 diets low in calcium have been associated with a lower number of deaths and disability-adjusted life
60 years (DALYs) compared to other regimens (e.g. diet high in sodium or low in whole grains),
61 inadequate calcium intake causes thousands DALY at a global level (Afshin et al. 2019). Thus
62 specific dietary choices, such as adequate calcium and vitamin D intake, may play a role in preventing
63 osteoporosis and therefore decreasing thousands DALYs at a global level. Among calcium-rich
64 foods, the food category of milk and dairy products is the more widely investigated for the putative
65 role in the maintenance of bone health and in the prevention of bone fractures. This is because dairy
66 products are among the richest in calcium content and have high availability once ingested (Guéguen

67 and Pointillart 2000), despite contrasting results when considering different types of products and
68 different types of studies (e.g. cross-sectional, case-control or cohort studies) (Bian et al. 2018;
69 Chakhtoura et al. 2020; Fabiani et al. 2019; Malmir et al. 2019).

70 An adequate calcium intake is generally recommended together with vitamin D in all the dietary
71 guidelines worldwide, although with some differences among countries (Dai et al. 2019). In Italy, the
72 population reference intake for calcium is 1000 mg for both men and women (aged ≥ 18 years) and up
73 to 1200 mg for postmenopausal women (aged ≥ 60 years), who are facing an increased mineral loss
74 from bones, leading an increased risk of fractures (Italian Society of Human Nutrition 2014). If
75 possible, it is recommended to reach calcium intake through diet instead of supplements to reach the
76 recommended calcium intake, also because the absolute safety of calcium supplements above 1 g
77 daily and without vitamin D supplementation is still matter of debate (Rossini et al. 2016).

78 Among the studies surveying the calcium intake in different populations, in Italy it has been
79 found intake lower than 800 mg with only a $\sim 20\%$ of individuals meeting the recommendations
80 (Castiglione et al. 2018; Sette et al. 2011). In order to decrease this gap from the recommended value
81 and the real intakes, healthcare practitioners should help individuals in including correct calcium-rich
82 foods with adequate frequency and serving sizes into the daily diet. However, how different food
83 groups contribute to calcium intakes with regards to prevalence of osteoporosis has been barely
84 explored. Thus, aims of the present study were i) to investigate the intake of calcium from a variety of
85 food groups in Italian adult women immediately before undergoing DXA screening for osteoporosis,
86 ii) to correlate the calcium intake with specific parameters of bone density (T-score of lumbar spine,
87 total femur and femoral neck) measured by means of DXA.

88 2. Materials and Methods

89 2.1. Subjects

90 This study is part of a multicentric trial conducted in 40 Italian medical centers selected by the
91 Italian Society for Osteoporosis, Mineral Metabolism and Bone Diseases (SIOMMMS). The study
92 design was approved by the Ethics Committee of the A.O.U. Città della Salute e della Scienza di
93 Torino, Turin, Italy (Protocol N°0076273) and individual agreed to informed consent documents.
94 Subjects were enrolled in the study at their first DXA scan evaluation, while exclusion criteria were
95 being in treatment with drugs for osteoporosis (*i.e.* bisphosphonates, estrogens, teriparatide or
96 denosumab) and having history of previous osteoporotic fractures. The present study reports the
97 findings from consecutive women undergoing a DXA scan at the Centre for Metabolic Bone Diseases
98 of the Parma University Hospital (Parma, Italy).

99 2.2. Assessment of calcium intake

100 Subjects were asked to fill a questionnaire devoted to investigate the calcium intake from
101 different dietary sources. This tool was created using the same questions as in the food frequency

102 questionnaire for nutritional calcium intake assessment in Italian women (Montomoli et al. 2002)
103 except for water consumption that was omitted in this revised version. This choice was due to the
104 extreme difference of sources of water (both mineral and tap) available, which have a large variability
105 in terms of calcium content (Azoulay et al. 2001), deeply higher than those among other food groups.
106 Moreover, some food groups were revised to make them as close as possible to the local eating habits.
107 In detail, the questionnaire investigated the habitual consumption, in terms of frequency
108 (number/week) and serving amount (grams/milliliters), of the following foods: dairy (milk, whole
109 and skimmed; yogurt, whole and skimmed; cheese, cream, hard and grated cheese; ice-cream;
110 milk-based desserts), vegetables (broccoli, cabbage, green beans), dried fruits and nuts (apricots, figs,
111 raisins, almonds, nuts, hazelnuts), fish (sardines and salmon), cereal-based foods (pasta, rice and
112 bread), and others (pizza, lasagna, omelet with cheese). In detail, subjects were provided with specific
113 serving sizes for each group (based on LARN 2014 (Italian Society of Human Nutrition (SINU)
114 2014)), expressed as grams or milliliters depending on the food group, and were asked to estimate
115 how many servings per week they consumed.

116 The Food Composition Database for Epidemiological Studies in Italy (Gnagnarella et al. 2015)
117 was used as reference to estimate the calcium content for each of the considered foods.

118 The daily calcium intake from food was determined by multiplying the number of servings/week
119 by the calcium content of each serving and thus summing the calcium content of all the consumed
120 foods divided by 7 days in order to allow comparison with the nutrition recommendations. In
121 addition, daily calcium intake from supplements was estimated asking the type and the quantity of
122 supplements taken on a daily basis. Lastly, the total daily calcium intake was calculated as the total
123 calcium from both food and supplements, i.e. calcium carbonate or calcium citrate. Participants were
124 assisted by a trained physician to answer all the questions and to report any confusion and/or
125 difficulties with the questionnaire.

126 2.3. Measurement of lumbar and femor bone mineral density

127 Subjects underwent to a DXA to investigate the potential diagnosis of osteoporosis. Bone
128 mineral density was measured by DXA with a HOLOGIC Discovery A system, using standard
129 protocols. Lumbar spine (L1-L4), total femur and femoral neck BMD were evaluated and were
130 expressed as T-score (Lewiecki 2010). According to the WHO criteria (WHO 1994), subjects were
131 classified normal if spine and/or femoral BMD was above -1 SD, osteopenic if between -1 and -2.5
132 SD, and osteoporotic if below -2.5 SD.

133

134 2.4. Statistical analysis

135 G*Power software version 3.1.9.3 was used to calculate the sample size for this study. A total
136 sample size of 126 subjects was required to obtain a 80% power and an alpha of 10% for a one-way

137 ANOVA test to explore mean differences among three groups of women with no-osteoporosis,
138 osteopenia or osteoporosis.

139 IBM SPSS statistics for Macintosh Version 26.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) was used to perform
140 the statistical analysis, establishing the significance at $p < 0.05$. Normality of data distribution was
141 verified through the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A one-way between-groups ANOVA with
142 Bonferroni *post hoc* test and a two-sample Student's t-test was used to compare participants'
143 characteristics (age, weight, height, BMI) and parameters for osteoporosis diagnosis (lumbar, femur
144 and femoral neck T-Score) respectively among subjects in the no-osteoporosis, osteopenia and
145 osteoporosis groups and between subjects meeting or not the recommended daily calcium intake,
146 between BMI categories (normal weight 18.5-25 kg/m² vs. overweight or obese > 25 kg/m²), and
147 between age groups (adult < 60 vs. ≥ 60 years). Since differences were found among osteoporotic,
148 osteopenic and normal participants for age and BMI, these two variables were used as covariates in an
149 ANCOVA model used to explore differences in calcium daily intakes (total calcium, calcium from
150 supplements, calcium from food, and calcium from specific food groups: dairy, nuts/dried fruit,
151 vegetables, fish, cereals, others) and intakes of specific food items (milk and dairy products) between
152 subjects with or without osteoporosis, after checking that there was no violation of the assumptions of
153 normality, linearity, homogeneity of variances, homogeneity of regression slopes, and reliable
154 measurement of the covariate. The two-sample Student's t-test was used to explore differences
155 between subjects meeting or not the age-specific recommended daily calcium intake, between age
156 groups (adult < 60 vs. ≥ 60 years), and between BMI categories (normal weight 18.5-25 kg/m² vs.
157 overweight or obese > 25 kg/m²). Analyses referred to calcium from supplements were performed
158 considering only supplement users (n=18). Correlations between parameters for osteoporosis
159 diagnosis (lumbar spine, total femur and femoral neck T-Scores) and calcium daily intakes (total
160 calcium, calcium from supplements, calcium from food, and calcium from specific food groups:
161 dairy, nuts/dried, vegetables, fish, cereals, others) were investigated through the non-parametric
162 Spearman's Correlation test. In addition, a general linear model including osteoporosis groups, total
163 calcium, and the interaction of total calcium intake x osteoporosis groups was run to explore between
164 groups differences in the relationship between calcium intake and parameters for osteoporosis
165 diagnosis.

166 3. Results

167 A total of 126 women were included in the final analysis, 24% of whom were found to have
168 osteoporosis and 53% osteopenia, while 23% met the calcium recommended daily intake (Table 1).
169 (TABLE 1 TO BE POSITIONED HERE)

170 Mean age was 61 ± 10 years, slightly higher in subjects with osteoporosis than subjects without
171 osteoporosis ($p = 0.003$). Participants with osteoporosis or osteopenia had a significantly lower body
172 weight and BMI than subjects without osteoporosis ($p < 0.001$ for both parameters). As expected, the

173 lowest levels of total femur, femoral neck and spinal T-scores were found in osteoporotic subject
174 while the highest level in participants without osteoporosis ($p < 0001$ for all parameters). No
175 differences were found between subjects meeting or not the daily calcium recommended intake for
176 age, anthropometrics and DXA parameters. When subjects were grouped for their BMI or age
177 (Supplemental Table 1), total femur, femoral neck and spinal T-scores were found to be lower in
178 normal weight than in overweight or obese subjects ($p = 0.045$, $p = 0.011$, and $p < 0.001$, respectively
179 for the three parameters) and in participants older than 60 years for age than in younger ones ($p =$
180 0.016 , $p = 0.001$ and $p = 0.006$, respectively for the three parameters).

181 3.1. Calcium intake

182 The total calcium was similar among subjects with osteoporosis, osteopenia and without
183 osteoporosis, after adjusting for age and BMI (Table 2).

184 (TABLE 2 TO BE POSITIONED HERE)

185 Calcium supplementation was taken by only 18 participants (14%) and was similar between
186 osteopenia and osteoporosis groups.

187 Calcium intake from foods accounted for ~90% of the total calcium intake and was found to be
188 similar among osteoporosis condition groups. Likewise, intakes from different food groups were
189 similar among osteoporotic, osteopenic and normal women. When considering the different food
190 groups, dairy products were the main contributors, with ~78% of dietary calcium intake, followed by
191 cereal-based foods (7%) and vegetables (6%) (Table 2).

192 When subjects were split on the basis of reaching or not the recommended daily calcium intake,
193 participants who met the recommendations had a higher total daily calcium intake ($p < 0.001$) and
194 intake from food ($p < 0.001$) than participants not reaching the recommended amount. Among food
195 sources, calcium intake from milk and dairy products ($p < 0.001$), from vegetables ($p = 0.019$), and
196 from fish ($p = 0.048$) was higher in the group of subjects meeting the recommendation than
197 participants having a daily calcium intake lower than the one recommended (Table 2).

198 No differences were found between subjects < 60 years and subjects ≥ 60 years or between
199 normal weight and overweight/obese subjects for total intake, intake from supplements, or intake
200 from food (Supplemental Table 2).

201 An analysis of food intake in terms of grams/day or grams/week and of number of standard
202 servings was performed for milk and dairy products, due to the huge contribution of these products to
203 the daily calcium intake (Table 3).

204 (TABLE 3 TO BE POSITIONED HERE)

205 No differences in daily intakes of milk and yogurt were found among osteoporosis condition
206 groups and their consumption was lower than the recommended intake in all groups (~0.5 serving/day
207 vs. 3 servings/day recommended). Similarly, the weekly consumption of cheese was similar among

208 groups but was found to be around three times higher than the recommendation (~9-10 servings/week
209 vs. 3 servings/week recommended for the Italian adult population (CREA 2020)).

210 Subjects meeting the daily calcium intake recommendation had a higher intake of milk ($p =$
211 0.039), hard cheese ($p < 0.001$) and soft cheese ($p = 0.009$) than subjects not reaching the
212 recommended intake of calcium, and the number of daily servings of milk and dairy products ($p =$
213 0.008) and of the weekly servings of cheese ($p < 0.001$) was almost double in subjects meeting the
214 daily calcium intake recommendation than the one of subjects in the other group (Table 3).

215 The intake of milk and dairy products and the number of standard portions per day (milk and
216 yogurt) and per week (cheese) were similar between normal weight and overweight/obese subjects
217 and between subjects < 60 years and subjects ≥ 60 years (Supplemental Table 3).

218 3.2. Relationship between calcium intake and T-scores

219 By considering the whole population, irrespectively from the osteoporosis status, DXA
220 parameters were not correlated to total daily calcium, calcium from food, and calcium from specific
221 food groups (dairy, nuts/dried, vegetables, fish, cereals, others) or with calcium daily intake from
222 supplements (considering supplement users only, data not shown).

223 The relationship of total daily calcium intake with parameters of osteoporosis diagnosis was
224 explored by considering differences between groups. The interaction of osteoporosis groups \times total
225 calcium intake revealed no significant differences for lumbar, total femur, and femoral neck T-scores.

226 4. Discussion

227 The present survey investigated the calcium intake in a group of adult Italian women and aimed
228 at correlating these values with DXA markers of osteoporosis, and how different food groups
229 contribute to calcium intakes with regards to prevalence/risk of osteoporosis. Globally, data from the
230 Italian Ministry of Health show rates of 23% osteoporotic women older than 40 years old (Italian
231 Ministry of Health 2019), accounting for at least 4 million women in the Country. Despite the limited
232 sample size, the 24% rate of osteoporotic women found in our study is in line with these data and
233 generally with ones of literature concerning other Italian postmenopausal cohorts, ranging from 18%
234 (Cavalli et al. 2016; Tarantino et al. 2017) to 36% (Cipriani et al. 2018) of the total population.
235 Although women had an age in the tight range 50-70 years old, as expected osteoporotic women were
236 older than normal ones, underlying that bone density loss is strongly age-related (Demontiero et al.
237 2012). The significant higher weight and BMI values found for normal women compared to
238 osteoporotic ones confirms data from previous studies (Premaor et al. 2010).

239 Concerning the daily calcium intake, the majority of participants (71%) did not reach 1000
240 mg/day, and only 19% had more than 1200 mg/day which is the daily population reference intake
241 (PRI) for the Italian adult women (Italian Society of Human Nutrition 2014). These values are in line
242 with the ones of a recent survey conducted in Florence, Italy, on 838 women who filled a

243 questionnaire similar to the one used in the present study (Vannucci et al. 2017). Results were similar
244 to those found in this study for the mean intake of calcium from diet (620 mg/day), while only the
245 10.4% of the women exceeded the 1000 mg/day intake from diet (Vannucci et al. 2017).

246 Our findings show that the majority of participants did not consume enough calcium to meet
247 recommended values, regardless of their osteoporosis status. However, we found high variability in
248 calcium intakes from all foods and dairy food consumption within each group and among groups,
249 even after adjusting for age and BMI. Dairy products were the main contributors to calcium intake
250 from food and their consumption was similar among not-osteoporotic, osteopenic and osteoporotic
251 women. Regarding dairy foods, generally a lower consumption of milk and yogurt was reported (~0.5
252 serving/day) compared to the recommended 3 servings in the Italian dietary guidelines (CREA 2020).
253 Higher calcium intake, despite lower than recommendation, was instead observed in subjects meeting
254 calcium PRI compared to the non-meeting ones. Overall, these data are slightly lower than those
255 observed by Leclercq and colleagues, who estimated a consumption of ~1 serving/day in adults and
256 older Italian women (Leclercq et al. 2009). Conversely, intake of cheese was higher than the 3
257 recommended servings/week (CREA 2020) in all groups, and particularly higher in subjects meeting
258 Ca PRI. In this regard, despite cheese is likely to contribute the calcium intake, it is worth noting that
259 it is often rich in salt and saturated fats and, as for other foods, its consumption should be compliant
260 with dietary guidelines. In a previous Italian survey on 1771 early menopausal women, researchers
261 found a significant positive trend of higher dairy foods intake and BMD as well as a significant
262 increased risk of osteoporosis for the subjects in the lowest quartile of dairy foods intake compared
263 with the highest quartile (Varenna et al. 2007). The lack of a similar trend in our study is probably
264 attributable to the lower sample size of participants, which makes it impossible to subgrouping our
265 population in quartiles of intakes.

266 The second highest source of dietary calcium were cereal-based foods, which contribution to
267 calcium intake was found not to differ among subjects' group. To the best of our knowledge there is
268 no evidence in literature linking higher consumption of cereal-based products with lower BMD and,
269 in turns, osteoporosis. Surely cereal products are not considered a good source of calcium due to the
270 presence of phytates that can decrease calcium availability at the gut level (Theobald 2005). In the
271 frame of a healthy diet and also for subjects following particular dietary regimens, other food
272 categories may play a role in reaching the adequate daily intake of calcium and in counteracting BMD
273 loss. In fact, dry fruits as plums (Hooshmand et al. 2016), seeds and nuts (Agnoli et al. 2017;
274 Suliburska and Krejpcio 2014), pulses and plants from *Brassicaceae* family (Agnoli et al. 2017) are
275 modestly rich in calcium and not deeply affected by phytates or oxalates and their chelating effect.
276 However, the contribution to calcium intake of these food categories did not differ among the
277 different groups of women.

278 Focusing on daily intake of calcium in other countries, a survey by the International
279 Osteoporosis Foundation Calcium Steering Committee published on 2017 found a wide variability of

280 intake, ranging from 175 to 1233 mg/day, accounting to Nepal and Iceland, respectively (Balk et al.
281 2017). While Pacific Asian, African and South American citizens have the lowest intake, North
282 American and North European ones accounts for the highest intakes. These data seem to reflect the
283 level of consumption of the main food groups source of calcium, such as dairy ones, as reported by
284 the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) which finely mapped pro-capita consumption of milk
285 and its derivatives (FAO 2008).

286 It is worth noting that, in the present study, the calcium daily value includes only calcium from
287 food and dietary supplements, not accounting for the calcium intake from water. This is because
288 calcium content in water is highly variable depending on type of water consumed (e.g. tap versus
289 mineral water) as well as by the specific brands of mineral water consumed. Moreover, subjects
290 usually consume different types of water during the day, making a sensitive and realistic estimation of
291 calcium intake very tricky. Linked to this, the availability of calcium from water varies among the
292 different waters, and evidence of a significant calcium intake have been found only for mineral
293 calcium-rich waters (Greupner et al. 2017; Heaney 2006). Considering the mean water consumption
294 of Italian women (193 g/day of tap water and 498 g/day of bottled water for the adult women
295 population and 268 g/d of tap water and 375 of bottled water for elderly women, (Leclercq et al.
296 2009)), and a rough average calcium content in drinking water of 100 mg/L (Azoulay et al. 2001;
297 Gnagnarella et al. 2015), the daily calcium intake could be increased of around 60-70 mg/day.
298 Considering the median intake (667 mg/day) of our population and an additional potential intake due
299 to water consumption, our results are in line with the national data: median intake 697 mg/day for
300 women aged 18-64.9 year and 735 mg/day for elderly women aged ≥ 65 years (Sette et al. 2011).
301 Further studies should focus on the contribution of water in daily calcium intake in general and
302 osteoporotic populations.

303 Lastly, there was no relationship between the total calcium intake with the three considered
304 T-scores. These data are partially in agreement with a recent model-based meta-analysis, showing
305 that dietary calcium has a role in preventing BMD decrease, but only over 1200 mg/day (Wu et al.
306 2017). However, our survey pointed out that subjects who met the calcium intake recommendations
307 had a higher total daily calcium intake, resulted from an higher intake from food, compared to
308 subjects not matching the recommendation for calcium intake. Among the food categories, milk and
309 dairy products have been confirmed as first source of calcium, but different surveys showed a scarce
310 consumption of calcium-rich foods, particularly milk-derived ones (Chapman et al. 1995;
311 Winzenberg et al. 2005). Among the reasons, from one side many women are not conscious to
312 introduce a not adequate amount of calcium (Chapman et al. 1995), while from the other side
313 socio-economic factors and misleading information about unhealthiness of milk and cheese (e.g. high
314 cholesterol or high fat foods) seem to influence women dietary choices (Chapman et al. 1995;
315 Winzenberg et al. 2005). These aspects may be related then to the need of calcium supplementation,
316 for which a weak inverse correlation has been found with both the considered scores in the present

317 surveys. It is worth noting that only few subjects, and equally distributed in both women groups,
318 declared calcium supplementation. However, these results might be explained by considering that,
319 despite the supplementation, the process of BMD decrease might not be reversed, and other factors
320 are involved in BMD status such as age, 25-OH vitamin D circulating levels and physical activity.

321 Our study shows some limitations. Firstly, the calcium intake has been evaluated based on
322 self-reported data and not by using a biomarker of its intake, leading to a possible
323 over/under-estimation of dietary intake. An additional source of misreporting may be due to the fact
324 that serving sizes were provided to participants only as grams or milliliters, not using atlas or different
325 serving sizes. Secondly, we found a lack of evidence in the relationship between daily calcium intake
326 from diet and parameters linked to bone health maybe because of the limited sample size. Despite the
327 sample size of the present study was similar to those used in previous investigations (de França et al.
328 2016; Ilesanmi-Oyelere et al. 2019; Kapetanović and Avdić 2012; Lowe et al. 2011), this lack of
329 evidence suggests that a food frequency questionnaire requires a higher number of participants for
330 significant results. Besides the relative small sample size, the cross-sectional design of this study may
331 not allow generalization and inference of causality. Further, in the present study we did not consider
332 the frequency and consumption of mineral water, so future questionnaires should also include specific
333 questions taking into account the variability of calcium as well as sodium intake from water and, in
334 general, from beverages. Moreover, collecting data on total energy intake would have allowed to
335 express results as ratio of calcium to energy, to explore its use as a putative indicator of the role of
336 energy intake on calcium intake. Last, since the calcium-vitamin D association is well established, the
337 inclusion of data on vitamin D intake would be surely of interest to better elucidate its role on the
338 health outcomes under study.

339

340 **5. Conclusion**

341 The present study did not detect any difference in the consumption of food contributing to
342 calcium intakes in women with and without osteoporosis. Despite the several limitations of the study,
343 this lack of evidence may suggest that calcium intake is not the only factor affecting the development
344 of the osteoporosis. Regardless of the absence of significant findings, data confirmed a well-known
345 low calcium intake in Italian women. In this frame, the added value of this simple questionnaires
346 should be found in informing the women about their dietary habits and how these ones can be
347 improved in order to increase calcium intake and the overall diet quality, and in turn to decrease the
348 risk of osteoporosis and fractures. To do this, nutritional education focused on healthy diets, including
349 the consumption of foods rich in calcium and vitamin D, is highly desirable starting from childhood
350 and mostly in post-menopausal women and elderly subjects. Moreover, linked to the nutritional
351 education, it would be useful to investigate their knowledge about sources of calcium and role of
352 calcium on human health. This would allow to understand whether the low calcium intake is driven

353 by a scarce awareness and would put the basis for the development of tailored nutrition education
354 strategies.

355

356 **Acknowledgments:** Authors wish to thank Miss Francesca Mantovani, medical student at the
357 University of Parma, for technical assistance and Prof. Francesca Scazzina, from the Department of
358 Food and Drug, University of Parma, Parma, for her helpful comments on the manuscript. Authors
359 are indebted to Dr. Cristian Ricci, Medical Faculty, Leipzig University, Germany, for his helpful
360 comments on the statistical approach used in this manuscript.

361

362 **Disclosure statement**

363 The authors report no conflict of interest.

364 **References**

365

366 Afshin A, Sur PJ, Fay KA et al. 2019. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: a
367 systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet*. 393:1958-1972.

368 Agnoli C, Baroni L, Bertini I et al. 2017. Position paper on vegetarian diets from the working group of
369 the Italian Society of Human Nutrition. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 27:1037-1052.

370 Azoulay A, Garzon P, Eisenberg MJ. 2001. Comparison of the mineral content of tap water and
371 bottled waters. *J Gen Intern Med*. 16:168-175.

372 Balk EM, Adam GP, Langberg VN et al. 2017. Global dietary calcium intake among adults: a
373 systematic review. *Osteoporos Int*. 28:3315-3324.

374 Bian S, Hu J, Zhang K, Wang Y, Yu M, Ma J. 2018. Dairy product consumption and risk of hip
375 fracture: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 18:165.

376 Black DM, Rosen CJ. 2016. Postmenopausal Osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med*. 374:254-262.

377 Castiglione D, Platania A, Conti A, Falla M, D’Urso M, Marranzano M. 2018. Dietary Micronutrient
378 and Mineral Intake in the Mediterranean Healthy Eating, Ageing, and Lifestyle (MEAL)
379 Study. *Antioxidants*. 7:79.

380 Cavalli L, Guazzini A, Cianferotti L et al. 2016. Prevalence of osteoporosis in the Italian population
381 and main risk factors: results of BoneTour Campaign. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 17:396.

382 Chakhtoura M, Chamoun N, Rahme M, Fuleihan GE-H. 2020. Impact of vitamin D supplementation
383 on falls and fractures—A critical appraisal of the quality of the evidence and an overview of
384 the available guidelines. *Bone*. 131:115112.

385 Chapman KM, Chan MW, Clark CD. 1995. Factors Influencing Dairy Calcium Intake in Women. *J*
386 *Am Coll Nutr*. 14:336-340.

387 Cipriani C, Pepe J, Bertoldo F et al. 2018. The epidemiology of osteoporosis in Italian
388 postmenopausal women according to the National Bone Health Alliance (NBHA) diagnostic
389 criteria: a multicenter cohort study. *J Endocrinol Invest*. 41:431-438.

390 Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l’analisi dell’economia agraria (CREA). 2020. Linee guida
391 per una sana alimentazione italiana. Revisione 2018. Available

- 392 from http://www.salute.gov.it/imgs/C_17_pubblicazioni_2915_allegato.pdf (Accessed 06th
393 August 2020).
- 394 Dai Z, Kroeger CM, McDonald S et al. 2019. Methodological quality of public health guideline
395 recommendations on vitamin D and calcium: a systematic review protocol. *BMJ Open*.
396 9:e031840.
- 397 Demontiero O, Vidal C, Duque G. 2012. Aging and bone loss: new insights for the clinician. *Ther*
398 *Adv Musculoskelet Dis*. 4:61-76.
- 399 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). 2009. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health
400 claims related to calcium and vitamin D and maintenance of bone (ID 350) pursuant to Article
401 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA J*. 7:1272.
- 402 Fabiani R, Naldini G, Chiavarini M. 2019. Dietary Patterns in Relation to Low Bone Mineral Density
403 and Fracture Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Adv Nutr*. 10:219-236.
- 404 Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 2008. Global Dairy Sector: Status and Trends.
405 <http://www.fao.org/3/i1522e/i1522e02.pdf> (Accessed 16th May 2020).
- 406 de França NAG, Camargo MBR, Lazaretti-Castro M, Peters BSE, Martini LA. 2016. Dietary patterns
407 and bone mineral density in Brazilian postmenopausal women with osteoporosis: a
408 cross-sectional study. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 70:85-90.
- 409 Gnagnarella P, Salvini S, Parpinel M. 2015. Food Composition Database for Epidemiological Studies
410 in Italy. <http://www.bda-ieo.it/> (Accessed 16th May 2020).
- 411 Greupner T, Schneider I, Hahn A. 2017. Calcium Bioavailability from Mineral Waters with Different
412 Mineralization in Comparison to Milk and a Supplement. *J Am Coll Nutr*. 36:386-390.
- 413 Guéguen L, Pointillart A. 2000. The Bioavailability of Dietary Calcium. *J Am Coll Nutr*.
414 19:119S-136S.
- 415 Heaney RP. 2006. Absorbability and utility of calcium in mineral waters. *Am J Clin Nutr*.
416 84:371-374.
- 417 Hooshmand S, Kern M, Metti D et al. 2016. The effect of two doses of dried plum on bone density
418 and bone biomarkers in osteopenic postmenopausal women: a randomized, controlled trial.
419 *Osteoporos Int*. 27:2271-2279.
- 420 Ilesanmi-Oyelere BL, Brough L, Coad J, Roy N, Kruger MC. 2019. The Relationship between
421 Nutrient Patterns and Bone Mineral Density in Postmenopausal Women. *Nutrients*. 11:1262.
- 422 Italian Ministry of Health. 2019. Osteoporosis. *Osteoporosis*.
423 [http://www.salute.gov.it/portale/donna/dettaglioContenutiDonna.jsp?lingua=italiano&id=44
424 91&area=Salute donna&menu=patologie](http://www.salute.gov.it/portale/donna/dettaglioContenutiDonna.jsp?lingua=italiano&id=4491&area=Salute%20donna&menu=patologie) (Accessed 16th May 2020).
- 425 Italian Society of Human Nutrition (SINU). 2014. Livelli di Assunzione di Riferimento di Nutrienti
426 ed Energia per la Popolazione Italiana. 4th ed. Milan (Italy): SICS. 1-655.
- 427 Kapetanović A, Avdić D. 2012. Dietary calcium intake and osteoporosis in postmenopausal women
428 living in Sarajevo area. *J Heal Sci*. 2:118-121.
- 429 Kong SH, Kim JH, Hong AR, Lee JH, Kim SW, Shin CS. 2017. Dietary potassium intake is
430 beneficial to bone health in a low calcium intake population: the Korean National Health and
431 Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) (2008–2011). *Osteoporos Int*. 28:1577-1585.
- 432 Leclercq C, Arcella D, Piccinelli R, Sette S, Le Donne C. 2009. The Italian National Food
433 Consumption Survey INRAN-SCAI 2005–06: main results in terms of food consumption.
434 *Public Health Nutr*. 12:2504-2532.

- 435 Lewiecki EM. 2010. Bone Densitometry and Vertebral Fracture Assessment. *Curr Osteoporos Rep.*
436 8:123-130.
- 437 Lowe NM, Ellahi B, Bano Q, Bangash SA, Mitra SR, Zaman M. 2011. Dietary Calcium Intake,
438 Vitamin D Status, and Bone Health in Postmenopausal Women in Rural Pakistan. *J Heal*
439 *Popul Nutr.* 29:465-470.
- 440 Malmir H, Larijani B, Esmailzadeh A. 2019. Consumption of milk and dairy products and risk of
441 osteoporosis and hip fracture: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Crit Rev Food Sci*
442 *Nutr.* 1-16.
- 443 Martini D, Guareschi C, Biasini B et al. 2018. Claimed effects, outcome variables and methods of
444 measurement for health claims proposed under Regulation (EC) 1924/2006 in the framework
445 of bone health. *PharmaNutrition.* 6:17-36.
- 446 Montomoli M, Gonnelli S, Giacchi M et al. 2002. Validation of a food frequency questionnaire for
447 nutritional calcium intake assessment in Italian women. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 56:21-30.
- 448 Premaor MO, Pilbrow L, Tonkin C, Parker RA, Compston J. 2010. Obesity and fractures in
449 postmenopausal women. *J Bone Miner Res.* 25:292-297.
- 450 Rossini M, Adami S, Bertoldo F et al. 2016. Guidelines for the diagnosis, prevention and
451 management of osteoporosis. *Reumatismo.* 68:1-39.
- 452 Sette S, Le Donne C, Piccinelli R, Arcella D, Turrini A, Leclercq C. 2011. The third Italian National
453 Food Consumption Survey, INRAN-SCAI 2005–06 – Part 1: Nutrient intakes in Italy. *Nutr*
454 *Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 21:922-932.
- 455 Shams-White MM, Chung M, Du M et al. 2017. Dietary protein and bone health: a systematic review
456 and meta-analysis from the National Osteoporosis Foundation. *Am J Clin Nutr.*
457 105:1528-1543.
- 458 Suliburska J, Krejpcio Z. 2014. Evaluation of the content and bioaccessibility of iron, zinc, calcium
459 and magnesium from groats, rice, leguminous grains and nuts. *J Food Sci Technol.*
460 51:589-594.
- 461 Tarantino U, Iolascon G, Cianferotti L et al. 2017. Clinical guidelines for the prevention and
462 treatment of osteoporosis: summary statements and recommendations from the Italian Society
463 for Orthopaedics and Traumatology. *J Orthop Traumatol.* 18:3-36.
- 464 Theobald HE. 2005. Dietary calcium and health. *Nutr Bull.* 30:237-277.
- 465 Vannucci L, Masi L, Gronchi G, Fossi C, Carossino AM, Brandi ML. 2017. Calcium intake, bone
466 mineral density, and fragility fractures: evidence from an Italian outpatient population. *Arch*
467 *Osteoporos.* 12:40-47.
- 468 Varenna M, Binelli L, Casari S, Zucchi F, Sinigaglia L. 2007. Effects of dietary calcium intake on
469 body weight and prevalence of osteoporosis in early postmenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr.*
470 86:639-644.
- 471 World Health Organization (WHO). 2004. WHO Scientific Group On The Assessment Of
472 Osteoporosis At Primary Health Care
473 Level. <https://www.who.int/chp/topics/Osteoporosis.pdf> (Accessed 06th August 2020).
- 474 Winzenberg TM, Riley M, Freundin S, Oldenburg B, Jones G. 2005. Sociodemographic factors
475 associated with calcium intake in premenopausal women: A cross-sectional study. *Eur J Clin*
476 *Nutr.* 59:463-466.
- 477 World Health Organization (WHO). 1994. Assessment of fracture risk and its application to

- 478 screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis: report of a WHO study group.
479 [https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/39142/WHO_TRS_843_eng.pdf?sequence=](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/39142/WHO_TRS_843_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)
480 [1&isAllowed=y](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/39142/WHO_TRS_843_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y) (Accessed 16th May 2020).
- 481 Wu J, Xu L, Lv Y, Dong L, Zheng Q, Li L. 2017. Quantitative analysis of efficacy and associated
482 factors of calcium intake on bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. *Osteoporos Int.*
483 28:2003-2010.