

Environmental impact of a new concept of food service: a case study for the re-use of naval shipping containers

Andrea Casson, Valentina Giovenzana*, Alessio Tugnolo, Ilaria Fiorindo, Roberto Beghi, Riccardo Guidetti

Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences - Production, Landscape, Agroenergy, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 2, 20133, Milano, Italy

*Corresponding Author: valentina.giovenzana@unimi.it

Abstract

This study aims to evaluate the environmental sustainability of a new street food format for food service based on the re-use of naval shipping containers and to compare it with the conventional one (street food truck). The environmental impact analysis was performed using the Life Cycle Assessment methodology. The functional unit (FU) was identified in the food service, including three food preparations: a dish of pasta (100 g), one sandwich (150 g) and one portion of fries (200 g). Following a “from cradle to gate” approach, the factors studied are: (i) the customization of a shipping container in a street food format, (ii) the construction and use of the cooking appliance, (iii) the logistics, (iv) the cooking phase (including final packaging as food cup). The life cycle of ingredients for food preparations has been neglected due to the variability of the products.

The results show that the two higher hotspots are electricity consumed by cooking appliance (35%) and oil used to fry (34%), attributable only to the fries preparation. The third hotspot is imputable to the customized structure, with an average percentage value equal to 15%. Considering the global warming impact category, the customization into a street food format release 1280 kg CO₂eq, while the production of a new container or a new street food truck format implies the emission of 12,800 kg CO₂eq and 20,900 kg CO₂eq respectively. The impact of the customized container (re-used container) weight for 0.04 kg CO₂eq/FU, this value increases 11.6 times for a new container street food format, and 17 times for a new street food truck format.

Overall, quantifying the environmental damage, the results showed how the re-use of a naval shipping container can be a way to reduce the environmental impact of food preparation, avoiding dismissing or building activity of the structure reducing the impact of the structure of about 95% offering a more sustainable street food services.

Keywords: circular economy, street food, collective catering, sustainability, LCA, design

1. Introduction

Since several years, companies and authorities responsible for improving sustainability are showing an increasing interest in the environmental performance of food products (Calderón et al., 2010). Food consumption, which represents a fundamental need for human being, has been identified as one of the most polluting activities in domestic boundaries due to the production and cooking processes (Notarnicola et al, 2017). Indeed, emissions of the major greenhouse gases as CO₂, CH₄, N₂O are closely associated with food preparation steps (Carlsson-Kanyama, 1998). Additional criticisms are noticeable in commercial realities which work with a large number of products and need to produce plenty of foods. In the literature, different studies have been conducted to evaluate the sustainability of food preparation and consumption. Leuenberger et al, (2010) performed a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) study to evaluate the environmental impact of meals prepared in canteen, comparing vegetarian meal with a meat-based one. Pulkkinen et al., (2016) used LCA approach to evaluate the carbon footprint of raw material production and processing of ingredients for 105 commonly selected lunches, while Casson et al., (2019) compare the environmental impact of the legume-based burger with the traditional meat burger one, considering products and domestic cooking phase. Rivera et al., (2014) have compared the life cycle environmental impacts of a ready and home-made meal consisting of roast chicken meat, vegetables and tomato sauce.

50 Only few studies consider the service as functional unit, e.g. Baldwin et al., (2011) perform the LCA analysis
51 in restaurants and food services.

52 Moving to other commercial services which prepare foods for a high number of consumers, the street food
53 reality represents a changing in consumers habits, maintaining the traditionality of a service which has existed
54 since ancient times (Cardoso et al., 2014). The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations
55 defined the street foods as “ready-to-eat foods and beverages prepared and/or sold by vendors or hawkers
56 especially in the streets and other similar places” (Choi et al., 2013).

57 Eating meals outside domestic boundaries becomes a more and more common behaviour, approximatively,
58 2.5 billion people around the world eat street foods every day (Abrahale et al., 2019). The main advantage of
59 street foods is the ready-to-eat added value of the food, it can be consumed in the same place where is bought
60 or everywhere, without any cooking or preparing phase, offering a good alternative to homemade food
61 (Calloni, 2013). Moreover, from an economical point of view, the street foods are alternative to other realities,
62 having lower costs respect to restaurants, and, some of them allow to have a nutritionally balanced meal outside
63 the home (Privitera and Nesci, 2015).

64 The street food realities expanded in the market and increased the variety of food offered, giving to the
65 consumers the possibility to join different gastronomic experiences (Anenberg and Kung, 2015; Privitera and
66 Nesci, 2015). The street food realities play a significant role in maintaining country-specific culinary traditions,
67 with growing importance especially for more developed societies, where the interest in gastronomy associated
68 with food tourism is increasing (Abrahale et al, 2019).

69 The product offered by the different street food formats is not the only aspect that in the last years was
70 influenced. Many of the most recent street food realities take place thanks to the re-use of different structure
71 that after customization can be used as street food formats. Some of these innovative structures can be
72 identified in the empty shipping containers which represent an environmental hazard related to the
73 consumption of raw materials and energy (Obrecht et al., 2017). The repositioning in seaborne shipping
74 networks or repositioning in intermodal transportation networks of the containers can systematically take to
75 manage and relocate empty containers, representing an increase of emissions (Li et al., 2014; Song and Dong,
76 2012). The re-use of empty or end of life containers can be a way to reduce the overall impact of the supply
77 chain avoiding construction of structures as widely applied in different sectors: housing (e.g. Wenckehof
78 container village in Amsterdam, the Netherlands; Cité A Docks student in Le Havre, France), retail (e.g. a
79 temporary mall in Christchurch, New Zealand) or as temporary hospitals for sanitary crises (Mehnazd, 2019).
80 These can represent a greener option for improving environmental performance besides reducing costs and
81 times.

82 The circular economy is an economic system designed to be capable of regenerating itself guaranteeing its
83 eco-sustainability. The circular economy has the objective of limiting the input of material and energy, and
84 reusing materials in successive production cycles, minimizing waste. Colley et al., (2020) indicates that
85 processing plants could be exploited circular economy opportunities to improve the environmental impact of
86 the food supply chain.

87 Nowadays, the re-use of naval shipping containers is consolidated and the importance to apply the circular
88 economy business model in every decision-making step become crucial, necessitating the definition of goals
89 to have a high balance with environmental and social interests (Bortolini et al., 2019; Obrecht et al., 2017).
90 Despite this, scarce information are available concerning the environmental advantages related to the re-use of
91 a naval shipping container in the food sector. Moreover, current literature does not provide analyses related to
92 street food services. Therefore, studies concerning re-use of naval shipping container, street food services, and
93 food preparations are desirable. This study aims to evaluate the environmental sustainability of a food service
94 provided by a re-used shipping container customized into a street food format. The application of the LCA as
95 a decision tool for quantifying the environmental impact of the potential choices at the service design level
96 (Hauschild et al., 2018). The same application can fit perfectly into the circular model of economics, the re-
97 use of a naval shipping container could lead to a reduction of raw materials necessary to build a new street

98 food format. Furthermore, this solution proposed could be advantageous, reducing the impact of the food
99 service respect to the conventional street food services provided by the market.
100 The functional unit was identified in the food service, including three food products, a dish of pasta, one
101 sandwich and one fries portion. Following a partial “from cradle to gate” approach, the factor studied consider
102 the customization of a shipping container in a street-food format, the construction and use of appliances, the
103 logistics, and the food preparation. Moreover, through alternative scenario, a more efficient street food service
104 was designed. Finally, comparisons with the conventional street-food format present in the market, i.e. food
105 truck and with a new naval shipping container format were performed.

106

107 2. Materials and methods

108

109 An environmental analysis of the food service was carried out using the LCA methodology. LCA was applied
110 to the service of the street food format, considering: (i) the extraction of resources, (ii) production of materials
111 incorporated into appliances (iii) use of utilities by appliance to provide food service and (iv) transport to
112 movement the street food container. The cultivation/production phase related to ingredients i.e. pasta, bread,
113 potatoes and relative sausages for pasta and filler for a sandwich, were neglected due to the high variability of
114 products characteristics (e.g. type, quantity and production country of ingredients).

115

116 2.1. Goal and scope definition

117 The goal of this study is to analyse the service offered by the street food format using the LCA method. The
118 aim is to identify the hotspots along the street food preparation service and compare different scenarios
119 proposed by the companies concerned street food format. The study takes in consideration a format obtained
120 transforming a 20-foot naval shipping container (6.054 m length, 2.438 m width, and 2.591 m height) into a
121 street food format which offers three different food preparations. Moreover, it was analysed the service
122 provided by the format during one year in different events in the Lombardia region (Italy).

123 The functional unit FU, defined as the reference unit of the system analysed (ISO 14040, 2006; ISO 14044,
124 2006), in this study was identified in the food service to provide three food preparations: a dish of pasta (P)
125 equal to 100 g of served pasta, one 150 g sandwich (S) and one portion of fries (F) corresponding to 200 g.

126 A partial “cradle to grave” perspective was adopted, neglecting impacts of the food products in the study. As
127 reported in Figure 1 and according to the defined FU, the activities included in the system boundary are:
128 structure customization (re-use of “end-of-life” container), extraction of raw materials (e.g. fossil fuels), tap
129 water withdrawal and cooking input to provide the food service (electricity, oil to fries), use of input for
130 maintenance and final disposal of appliances (e.g. refrigerator, fryer, electric plate for pasta and sandwiches),
131 transport and packaging.

132

133 2.2. Life Cycle Inventory

134 In this section was specified the data regarding the food service provided by the street food format including
135 the appliances and their use based on the number of servings, the events and the trip travelled by container,
136 obtained through direct measurements and interviewing food service operators. To simplify the inventory
137 phase, events and services, transport, appliances and container structure, electricity, water, oil, and packaging
138 were analysed separately.

139

139 - *Events and services*

140

141 Since street food is ready-to-eat food in a street or other public place, it is necessary to define events to be
142 attended and services to be provided. The analysed street food container was used both in the winter and in the
143 summer season (Table 1). The winter season is characterised by i) one exhibition fair from Wednesday to
144 Sunday; ii) one festival, from Monday to Sunday and iii) two City fairs, three days each (from Friday to
Sunday). The summer season is characterized by one Street Food Parade, from Friday to Sunday for 14 weeks.

145 Direct measurement of the container use during the different events helped to identify two representative days
146 during the week. As reported in Table 1, on Saturday and Sunday, the container reached the highest number
147 of servings, selling an average of 560 portions of pasta, 280 sandwiches and 560 portions of fries every day.
148 However, on Friday the food service recorded decreased to 70 %, while on the rest of the week the values
149 recorded were 50 % respect to Saturday and Sunday.

150 - *Transport*

151 As reported in Table 1, the distances travelled by the container depend on the events: i) 110 km for the
152 exhibition fair, ii) 124 km for the festival, iii) 248 km for the two city fairs (124 km each), and iv) 923 km for
153 the street food parade. The distance reported for most of the events represents the distance from the logistic
154 base to the event site, while for the Street food Parade it represents the kilometres performed by the street food
155 service during the entire summer season.

156 - *Street food appliances and container structure*

157 The customized structure of the container and the appliances exploited for the FU preparation were identified
158 and reported in Table 2. For each appliance primary data from technical sheets helped to report the weight and
159 the material composition, i.e. steel, electronic parts, glass and cast iron. The material composition was
160 identified and reported in values ranged from 0 to 1. The allocation, reported in the last three columns,
161 represents the percentage of usage of appliances to provide the different food preparations.

162 The structure of the street food format has been recovered from the end of life of the shipping container life
163 cycle. The customization of a shipping container to food service structure avoids the decommissioning
164 processes for the shipping company and avoid the construction of a new container for the street food company.
165 Considering the avoided construction of the new container, the production of this street food format is directly
166 linked to the processes necessary to modify the recovered container and obtain a street food format (creation
167 of ventilation systems, creation and welding of windows and doors for service, and painting). The material and
168 electricity necessary to transform the container into a street food format were assumed equal to 10%
169 (precautionary assumption) of the energy and material necessary to build a 20' naval shipping container.

170 The container structure allocation criteria have been defined following a mass allocation based on usage of the
171 respective appliances as reported in the last three columns of Table 2, 47% of the environmental impact of the
172 container has been allocated to the pasta, 18% to the sandwiches and 35% to the fries. The lifetime of the
173 container was set at 15 years. For appliances characterized by a lifetime shorter than 15 years, multiple
174 appliances were considered to satisfy the time limit.

175 Moreover, considering that the weight of the container is equal to 2200 kg, and the weight of all the appliances
176 correspond to 851 kg (for a total of 3051 kg), the transport allocation follows the container structure allocation
177 criteria: 47% of the transport impact has been allocated to the pasta, 18% to the sandwiches and 35% to the
178 fries.

179 The end of life of the structure and the appliances follow different decommissioning flows depending on the
180 type of product analysed. The container is treated following inert material operations (metal scrap) while
181 appliances follow the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment waste management system.

182 Finally, an environmental impact comparison with a conventional truck generally used for the street food
183 services was performed. A generic lorry of the size class 7.5-16 metric tons gross vehicle weight (GVW) was
184 chosen as suitable GVW class for potential lorries used in the street food services, using secondary data. The
185 truck structure for street food formats and for the lorry is the same. No appliances were considered due to the
186 possibility of installing the same appliances in the two systems (alternative trucks vs naval shipping container).

187 - *Electricity*

188 For each appliance defined and reported in Table 3, different time of utilization and hence different electricity
189 consumptions were required for the food service. In Table 3 power absorption (kW), the working time (h),

190 electricity consumption (kWh) and electricity allocation (based on the utilization time) per food service were
191 reported. To quantify the electricity consumption of appliances based on real cases analysed, three scenarios,
192 100%, 70%, and 50% of potential production, were investigated. Direct measurements defined that the street
193 food format analysed was used for 8 hours/day, approximatively from 11:30 am to 03:00 pm for the lunch turn
194 and from 06:30 pm to 11:00 pm for the dinner turn. Despite this, some appliances characteristics were
195 considered working continuously, independently from the production level working turns (i.e. refrigerator
196 freezer to conserve frozen pasta and fries, refrigerated display for the sandwiches and refrigerated table for the
197 sausages).

198 The concept of the street food format has been envisaged to create a solution for energy-autonomous. The use
199 of photovoltaic system (PV system) for electricity production has rapidly increased in recent years (Gerbinet
200 et al, 2014), and it represents one of the more promising renewable energy technologies which has the potential
201 to contribute significantly to sustainable energy supply and which may help to mitigate greenhouse gas
202 emissions (Sumper et al, 2011). During the design phase of the customized container, feasibility problems have
203 emerged limiting the application of PV system to produce renewable energy to supply the total energy
204 requirement for the food service. The analysed street food format to be accessorized with 12 photovoltaic
205 panels on all the 17.28 m² available surface (roof and mobile lateral part of the format), corresponding to a
206 power of 2.16 kW and estimated daily production of 8 kWh to partially supply the energy required.

207 - *Water and frying oil*

208 Even if water and oil are not considered as part of ingredients, they were considered as consumable products
209 to obtain the food service.

210 Water used to cook pasta was quantified to 1.6 dm³ for a portion of pasta. For one day with the 100% production
211 level, 896 dm³ of water have been used, 640 dm³ for the 70 % and 448 dm³ for the 50% production level day.

212 Fries preparation implies the use of rape oil. The fryer appliance is equipped with two tanks of an overall
213 capacity of 12 dm³. For the 100% production level, the frying oil was changed two times and 24 dm³ of oil
214 were used. For the 70 % production level the oil was changed 1.5 times and 18 dm³ of oil were used, while for
215 the 50% production level only 12 dm³ of oil were employed.

216 Oil at its end of life stage is collected and follow the waste cooking oil chain.

217 - *Packaging*

218 Independently from the different packaging geometry, the composition was the same for all the food
219 preparations (95% paperboard and 5% polyethylene). The differences are related to the weight, depending on
220 the food preparation: pasta is served in a 450 ml food-cup (13.5 g), a sandwich is served in a box (13.5 g) and
221 fries are served in a 400 ml packaging bowl (8.7 g). Each of which is served with a napkin per product. Only
222 for pasta was considered also a polypropylene fork (2.5 g).

223 For packaging and paper used in the street food format was considered European plastic and paper waste
224 management scenario.

225 - *Alternative scenario*

226 According to the variability of the events analysed, alternative solutions were evaluated to quantify
227 environmental benefits.

228 The first scenario foresaw to modify the summer event: street food format positioned in an exhibition park for
229 concerts or festivals instead to preside over the street food parade. Each event lasts 3 days per week (from
230 Friday to Sunday) with the same production levels identified. This scenario covers 12 summer weeks. The
231 truck covers in this case only 88 km.

232 The second scenario regarded frying oil. In the alternative scenario, the rapeseed oil was replaced with
233 sunflower oil.

234 The third scenario planned to modify the packaging according to two options: the first option was to replace
235 the paperboard and polypropylene of the packaging with a cellulose pulp, the second one was to replace plastic
236 forks with the polylactic acid ones.

237 3. Life cycle impact assessment

238 According to Wolf et al. (2012), ILCD 2011 (International Life Cycle Data System) midpoint method was
239 used. The study was conducted according to the reference standards for LCA ISO 14040-14044:2006 (ISO
240 14040, 2006; ISO 14044, 2006), using the software of analysis SimaPro (version 9) (PRé Sustainability,
241 Amersfoort, The Netherlands) and basing the study on Ecoinvent 3.5 database (allocation, cut-off by
242 classification).

243 The impact categories, the relative units, and acronyms were used, as summarized in Table 4.

244 - *Multivariate analysis*

245 Finally, due to the presence of several factors (structure, transport, electricity, water, oil, packaging)
246 characterizing the food service, a multivariate approach for a qualitative analysis of the data is needed to
247 identify the main factors which affect these types of street food format. Therefore, the variability of the
248 environmental data was analysed in terms of Principal Components (PCs) using the Principal Components
249 Analysis (PCA). This technique is a well-known unsupervised linear technique for dimensionality reduction.
250 PCA is an explorative analysis which extracts the useful information contained into the data and summarizes
251 it graphically for an easier interpretation (Malegori et al., 2018). PCA is useful to reduce the dimensionality of
252 a data set consisting of a larger number of interrelated variables while retaining as much as possible the
253 variation present in the original data set (Jolliffe, 2003; Wold et al., 1987). This is achieved by transforming
254 to a new set of variables, the principal components (PCs), which are uncorrelated, and ordered so that the first
255 few retain most of the original data variation (Lu et al., 2008). PCA can be done by eigenvalue decomposition
256 or singular value decomposition of a data covariance matrix, usually after standardizing the attribute data. The
257 outputs of a PCA are usually graphically discussed in terms of scores (the behaviour of the objects in the PCs
258 space), loadings (the weight of the standardized original variables) and scores and loadings biplot (behaviour
259 of the objects and weight of the original variables visualized in the standardized space of PCs) (Shaw, 2009;
260 Olawoyin et al., 2014; Giovenzana et al., 2019).

261 The PCA data processing was carried out on Matlab® environment, version R2017b (The MathWorks, Inc)
262 and using the PLS Toolbox, version 8.7.1 2019 (Eigenvector Research, Inc). The original data set was built
263 using as many objects as many are the combination of impact categories, food preparations provided by the
264 service and events considered. Therefore, for each impact category related to each food preparation (P, S and
265 F) and each event (exhibition fair, festival, city fair and street food parade), the structure, transport, electricity,
266 water, oil, and packaging required (factors used as standardized original variables for PCA) were analysed.

267 4. Results and discussions

268 The results of the environmental impact calculation for the food service are reported in Table 5.

269 The food service took into account servicing based on the events, the related distances travelled by the
270 container for the events, the different food preparations, the factors required in terms of structure, appliances,
271 transport, electricity, fry oil, water and packaging, and the allocation for electricity and appliances. The results
272 reported (table 5) in a disaggregate way (per pasta, sandwiches and fries) helped to summarize the results
273 considering the FU and to describe in detail the different events. To better understand contributions linked to
274 the food service, Figure 2 reported the hotspot values in stacked columns, where the total (cumulative) of
275 stacked columns always equals 100 %. No distinction in term of the event was performed and the result
276 reported explain the percentage values of an average preparation of the three different food preparations in the
277 life cycle of the street food format.

278 The higher average impact can be attributed to the preparation of the fries, it shows positive percentage values
279 from 35% in Mineral, fossil & ren resource depletion (RRD) to 98% in Land use (LU), with an average value
280 equal to 48%. If for most of the impact categories the positive values of the preparation of the fries mean
281 environmental damage, different behaviour can be identified in the Climate Change (CC) impact category,
282 where it shows -1% value. This negative value is directly linked to the positive effect that the cultivation phase
283 of rape oil has on the environment, reducing the CO₂ emitted in the atmosphere.

284 The second higher impact derives from the pasta preparation. The quantity of water and especially the
285 electricity consumption rise the impact level of this preparation process. The average percentage value is equal
286 to 25% and the impact category values ranged from 1% in LU to 59% in CC. According to Table 5 and
287 matching the impacts related to pasta preparation to Figure 2, the electricity required from the exhibition fair
288 is completely derived by the country mix, with no contribution of the PV system (the event is located inside
289 pavilions), this increase the level of impact of the pasta preparation in this event respect to the others.

290 The lower values are attributable to the sandwich preparation (18% average), the lower number of appliances
291 necessary and the lower allocation factor respect to the other preparations placed the sandwich one to
292 percentages ranging from 1% (LU) to 42% (CC).

293 Figure 3 reported the environmental impact related to the events. The results are reported in two different
294 modes for each impact category: the left columns represent the events considering the FU, otherwise, the right
295 columns report the four events but considering 1 year of activity as the reference unit.

296 Considering FU column, the exhibition fair, which is the event which provides a reduced number of services
297 and requires 100% of the electricity from the grid (the PV system can't be used in this event), is the event that
298 shows higher impact values (e.g. CC 0.273 kg CO₂ eq). The street food parade, characterized by a higher
299 amount of services provided, is the event presenting the lowest environmental impact values (e.g. CC 0.193
300 kg CO₂ eq). The results are largely different switching the allocation criteria from the FU to 1-year service.
301 The street food parade which for the FU criteria shows the lower impact, considering the 1-year service, shows
302 the one with the largest impact in every impact category. Obviously, in all the impact categories the higher
303 value related to the 1-year service depends on the summer event. The differences highlighted between the two
304 criteria were imputable to a large number of services provided during street food parade, respect to other events
305 (as reported in Table 1). Considering that over 900 kilometres were travelled for the street food, an alternative
306 scenario, characterized by a shorter distance (90 km), should be an efficient solution to reduce the
307 environmental impact.

308 Figure 4 reports the environmental impact according to factor necessary to provide FU. For most of the impact
309 categories, the higher values come from electricity with values ranged from 2 % (LU) to 62 % (IRHH) and an
310 average percentage among the categories equal to 35 %. Even if the street food container is equipped with PV
311 system which supplies for only 4% of all the electricity necessary, the electricity required for the FU
312 preparation is the main environment damaging factor for most of the impact categories. The second hotspot is
313 the rape oil used to fry (34% average among impact categories). Even if the rape cultivation implies a positive
314 effect of the environment explained by CC impact category (-25 %, already shown in Figure 2), it highlighted
315 negative effects in the other categories, especially for LU. The third hotspot is the structure, with an average,
316 among impact categories, percentage value equal to 15 %. The structure includes the PV system, the appliances
317 and the structure of the container. Even if the container production counts zero-emission (re-use), the container
318 impact is due to the customization activities to convert the naval shipping container into the street food format.
319 Considering the average percentage values, the packaging and the transport showed 7% and 6% respectively.
320 Finally, analysing the impact of structure and appliances end of life scenario, it has been quantified lower than
321 1% in every impact category. Therefore, they are not reported as hotspots.

322 One of the main criticisms of a multi-variable LCA analysis is the limit of the evaluation of the effects of the
323 variables allowing a qualitative assessment among the factors, events and food preparations at the same time.
324 The application of principal component analysis (PCA) allows a better understanding of the underlying
325 information about variable correlation. PCA was performed to evaluate the effects of the variables. To perform

326 the analysis the data were centred (according to the average value of each variable) and scaled (according to
327 unit variance) to give the same starting weight to all variables (Todeschini, 1998). Figure 5 shows the PCA
328 scores plot where the samples were coloured according to the type of event. More than 80% of the total
329 variability was explained using only two variables (PC1 63% and PC2 18%). However, no trends or cluster
330 were highlighted colouring the sample according to the type of event. Therefore, the location has no particular
331 effects from an environmental point of view.

332 Figure 6 a and b show the PCA biplots built using the same dataset. In figure 6a the samples were coloured
333 according to the impact categories, counting twelve times the same category, due to the complex matrix used
334 as PCA input (impact for P, S and F, and for each event). Figure 6a allowed to figure out the main categories
335 causing environmental impact of food service, i.e. Human toxicity, cancer effects (HT-C) and Human toxicity,
336 Non-cancer effects (HT-NC), categories evaluating human health, and Freshwater ecotoxicity (FECO), the
337 category related to the ecotoxicity. Moreover, Figure 6a highlighted the major impact due to structure, transport
338 and mainly to the use of fry oil (positive value of PC2) and packaging, electricity, and mainly water (negative
339 value of PC2). Since PC1 explains the 62.75 % variability of the data, HT-C shows a higher impact on PC1.
340 Others impact categories, result located at negative values of PC2 based on their low impact respect to
341 categories mentioned before.

342 Figure 6b shows the samples coloured according to the type of preparations. Three clusters were highlighted
343 from negative to positive values of PC2. Pasta, at a negative value of PC2 and positive value of PC1, as well
344 as fries, at a positive value of PC2 and positive value of PC1, result, among the food preparations, the more
345 impacting due to water and oil use, respectively.

346 - *Alternative scenarios analysis*

347 The alternative scenario analysis can help to identify the benefits or the disadvantages, changing different
348 factors in the system analysed. Figure 7 shows variations in the different scenarios, where the negative values
349 represent benefits and the positive values represent disadvantages.

350 Considering the three factors analysed in the alternative scenarios, the choice to create an alternative summer
351 event, replacing the street food festival with a static one shows convenience in term of environmental impacts,
352 the reduction in CC impact category is equal to 17%. This reduction can be seen also in PM (-13%) and POF
353 (-18%), directly linked to the high reduction of trips the container has not to perform. An overall reduction of
354 -12% can be assumed as the average benefit in changing the summer event. Another positive effect was
355 highlighted by changing the packaging with a bio-based product. The reduction of impact in term of CC
356 recorded a -35%. Despite this significant reduction in CC impact category, the overall average advantage is
357 identified equal to -3%. If changing the packaging could mean a benefit for the environment, on the other hand,
358 it could mean an increase in the costs of the alternative packaging. Finally, the choice to replace the rapeseed
359 oil with the sunflower oil increases the environmental impact of the system. The higher value recorded is
360 visible in Water Resource Depletion (WRD) impact category (+286%) while the higher benefit is recorded in
361 LU impact category (-75%) due to the higher yield respect to the rape one.

362 The street food format was obtained re-using a naval shipping container. Analysing the environmental cost
363 only from the CC impact category point of view, the environmental cost depends only on the customization of
364 the naval shipping container to obtain the street food format layout identifiable in 1280 kg CO₂ eq. The real
365 benefits of re-using a naval shipping container are the avoided production of a new one (Intermodal shipping
366 container 20 foot, production, Ecoinvent 3.5) which requires 12800 kg CO₂ eq and the avoided production of
367 a new street food truck format assumed similar to lorry of the size class 7.5-16 metric tons gross vehicle
368 weight (GVW) (Ecoinvent 3.5) which requires 20,900 kg CO₂ eq. Considering the option to use a container to
369 create the street food format, it is convenient the re-use of the container due to -12,800 kg CO₂ eq and also it
370 is convenient compared to the construction of the food truck -19,620 kg CO₂ eq. From the initial scenario
371 where the impact of the customization weight for 0.04 kg CO₂ eq per FU, the necessity to build a new naval
372 shipping container street food format let the environmental impact derived from the construction increase of

373 11.6 times and in the scenario of a new street food truck format, this value increase of 17 times per FU. An
374 increase of 11.6 times means 0.53 kg CO₂ eq more for each FU, while an increase of 17 times, means 0.82 kg
375 CO₂ eq per FU.

376 This study does not consider the life cycle of the foods served. Foods present in the market are plenty as well
377 as their relative environmental impact, which importance is continuously remarked in the food LCA studies,
378 also considering nutritional aspects. The reduction of the environmental impact also related to the food choice
379 should allow to design a low-emission street food format.

380 5. Conclusions

381 Eating meals outside domestic boundaries have become a habit for billions of people worldwide. The
382 differentiation of the product is not the only variability of the street food system, in fact, many of the most
383 recent street food realities, approaching to the concept of more sustainable service, take place thanks to the re-
384 use of different structure that after customization can be used as street food formats. The end-of-life naval
385 shipping containers represent an environmental hazard related to the consumption of raw materials and energy
386 for its dismissing but could become a benefit if re-used for a second life following the circular economy
387 business model.

388 The LCA study highlighted how the electricity required by appliances and oil used for fried products are the
389 main drivers of the environmental impact in the street food service. Considering the average values among the
390 impact categories, the fries' preparation was identified as the most environmentally damaging (53%), the
391 second was the pasta preparation (27%) and the third was the sandwiches preparation (20%).

392 Overall, quantifying the environmental damage, the results showed how the re-use of a naval shipping
393 container can be a way to reduce the environmental impact of the whole service, avoiding dismissing or
394 building activity of a new structure, reducing the impact by 95%. Considering that food production has a large
395 impact on environment and street food services are nowadays expanding, the use of the circular economy
396 model as proved in this study can increase the environmental advantages of food processes.

397 Acknowledgements: This research was funded by REGIONE LOMBARDIA, Programma Operativo
398 Regionale 2014–2020, Bando Linea R&S per Aggregazioni, Project number 145075.

399 Andrea Casson: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing-
400 Original draft preparation. Valentina Giovenzana: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation,
401 Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing, Project administration. Alessio Tugnolo: Methodology, Data
402 curation, Formal analysis, Writing- Original draft preparation. Ilaria Fiorindo: Methodology, Investigation,
403 Data curation, Formal analysis. Roberto Beghi: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing,
404 Project administration. Riccardo Guidetti: Conceptualization, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

405

406 References

- 407 Abrahale, K., Sousa, S., Albuquerque, G., Padrão, P., Lunet, N., 2019. Street food research worldwide: a
408 scoping review. *J. Hum. Nutr. Diet.* 32(2), 152-174.
- 409 Anenberg, E., Kung, E., 2015. Information technology and product variety in the city: The case of food trucks.
410 *J. Urban. Econ.* 90, 60-78.
- 411 Baldwin, C., Wilberforce, N., Kapur, A., 2011. Restaurant and food service life cycle assessment and
412 development of a sustainability standard. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* 16(1), 40-49.
- 413 Bortolini, M., Galizia, F. G., Gamberi, M., Mora, C., & Pilati, F. (2019). Enhancing stock efficiency and
414 environmental sustainability goals in direct distribution logistic networks. *International Journal of Advanced
415 Operations Management*, 11(1-2), 8-25.

- 416 Calderón, L.A., Iglesias, L., Laca, A., Herrero, M., Díaz, M., 2010. The utility of Life Cycle Assessment in
417 the ready meal food industry. *Resour. Conserv. Recy.* 54(12), 1196-1207.
- 418 Calloni, M., 2013. Street food on the move: A socio-philosophical approach. *J. Sci. Food Agr.* 93(14), 3406-
419 3413.
- 420 Cardoso, R.D.C.V., Companion, M., Marras, S.R., 2014. Street food: Culture, economy, health and
421 governance. Ed. Routledge, London and New York.
- 422 Carlsson-Kanyama, A., 1998. Climate change and dietary choices—how can emissions of greenhouse gases
423 from food consumption be reduced?. *Food policy.* 23(3-4), 277-293.
- 424 Casson, A., Giovenzana, V., Beghi, R., Tugnolo, A., & Guidetti, R. (2019). Environmental Impact Evaluation
425 of Legume-based Burger and Meat Burger. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 75, 229-234.
- 426 Choi, J., Lee, A., Ok, C., 2013. The effects of consumers' perceived risk and benefit on attitude and behavioral
427 intention: A study of street food. *J. Travel Tour. Mark.* 30(3), 222-237.
- 428 Colley, T.A., Birkved, M., Olsen, S.I., Hauschild, M.Z., 2020. Using a gate-to-gate LCA to apply circular
429 economy principles to a food processing SME. *J. Clean. Prod.* 251, 119566.
- 430 Gerbinet, S., Belboom, S., & Léonard, A., 2014. Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) of photovoltaic panels: A review.
431 *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 38, 747-753.
- 432 Giovenzana, V., Tugnolo, A., Casson, A., Guidetti, R., Beghi, R., 2019. Application of visible-near infrared
433 spectroscopy to evaluate the quality of button mushrooms. *J. Near Infrared Spec.* 27(1), 38-45.
- 434 Hauschild, M.Z., Rosenbaum, R.K., & Olsen, S.I. (2018). *Life cycle assessment*. Springer.
- 435 ISO 14040:2006. Environmental management—Life cycle assessment—Principles and framework. Geneva:
436 International Organisation for Standardisation.
- 437 ISO 14044:2006. Environmental management—Life cycle assessment—requirements and guidelines. Geneva:
438 International Organisation for Standardisation.
- 439 Jolliffe, I.T., 2003. Principal component analysis. *Technometrics*, 45(3), 276, ed. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 440 Leuenberger, M., Jungbluth, N., Büsser, S., 2010. Environmental impact of canteen meals: comparison of
441 vegetarian and meat based recipes. In *International Conference on LCA in the Agri-Food*, Bari, Italy (Vol.
442 22).
- 443 Li, L., Wang, B., Cook, D.P., 2014. Enhancing green supply chain initiatives via empty container reuse.
444 *Transport. Res. E Log.* 70, 190-204.
- 445 Lu, H., Plataniotis, K. N., Venetsanopoulos, A.N., 2008. MPCA: Multilinear principal component analysis of
446 tensor objects. *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.* 19(1), 18-39.
- 447 Malegori, C., Grassi, S., Ohm, J. B., Anderson, J., Marti, A., 2018. GlutoPeak profile analysis for wheat
448 classification: skipping the refinement process. *J. Cereal Sci.* 79, 73-79.
- 449 Mehnazd, D., 2019. Top 26 innovative uses of shipping containers. Available at:
450 <https://www.marineinsight.com/recreation/top-26-innovative-uses-of-shipping-containers/>
- 451 Notarnicola, B., Tassielli, G., Renzulli, P. A., Castellani, V., Sala, S., 2017. Environmental impacts of food
452 consumption in Europe. *J. Clean. Prod.* 140, 753-765.
- 453 Obrecht, M., & Knez, M. (2017). Carbon and resource savings of different cargo container designs. *Journal*
454 *of Cleaner Production*, 155, 151-156.
- 455 Olawoyin, R., Heidrich, B., Oyewole, S., Okareh, O.T., McGlothlin, C.W., 2014. Chemometric analysis of
456 ecological toxicants in petrochemical and industrial environments. *Chemosphere*, 112, 114-119.

- 457 Privitera, D., Nesci, F.S., 2015. Globalization vs. local. The role of street food in the urban food system.
458 *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 22, 716-722.
- 459 Pulkkinen, H., Roininen, T., Katajajuuri, J. M., & Järvinen, M. (2016). Development of a Climate Choice meal
460 concept for restaurants based on carbon footprinting. *The International Journal of Life Cycle*
461 *Assessment*, 21(5), 621-630.
- 462 Rivera, X.C.S., Orias, N.E., Azapagic, A., 2014. Life cycle environmental impacts of convenience food:
463 Comparison of ready and home-made meals. *J. Clean. Prod.* 73, 294-309.
- 464 Shaw, P.J., 2009. *Multivariate statistics for the environmental sciences*. Ed. John Wiley & Sons. London
- 465 Song, D.P., Dong, J.X., 2012. Cargo routing and empty container repositioning in multiple shipping service
466 routes. *Transport. Res. B-Meth.* 46(10), 1556-1575.
- 467 Sumper, A., Robledo-García, M., Villafáfila-Robles, R., Bergas-Jané, J., Andrés-Peiró, J., 2011. Life-cycle
468 assessment of a photovoltaic system in Catalonia (Spain). *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 15(8), 3888-3896.
- 469 Todeschini, R., 1998. *Introduzione alla chemiometria*. EdiSES, Napoli, Italy.
- 470 Wold, S., Esbensen, K., Geladi, P., 1987. Principal component analysis. *Chemometr. Intell. Lab.* 2(1-3), 37-
471 52.
- 472 Wolf, M. A., Pant, R., Chomkhamsri, K., Sala, S., Pennington, D., 2012. The International reference Life Cycle
473 Data system (ILCD) handbook: Towards more sustainable production and consumption for a resource-
474 efficient Europe. JRC reference report, EUR, 24982.