Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems Is landscape of fear of macroinvertebrate communities a major determinant of mesopredator and prey activity?

-	 		E	
	 Manu	script	Draft	

Manuscript Number:	kmae190137		
Full Title:	Is landscape of fear of macroinvertebrate communities a major determinant of mesopredator and prey activity?		
Article Type:	Short Communication		
Keywords:	seepage; triclad; isopod; behaviour; predator.		
Corresponding Author:	Raoul Manenti Universita degli Studi di Milano Milano, Milano ITALY		
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	raoulmanenti@gmail.com		
Order of Authors:	Raoul Manenti		
	Benedetta BARZAGHI		
Abstract:	Macroinvertebrate foragers play an important role on the trophic structures of freshwater environments, and multiple trophic levels occur among macroinvertebrate communities providing very interesting scenarios for testing scientific hypotheses. One of the most intriguing aspect to understand is the role played by the landscape of fear (LOF) on macrobenthos density and activity.		
Additional Information:			
Question	Response		
Suggested Reviewers:	Daniela Ghia Universita degli Studi di Pavia daniela.ghia@unipv.it Expert of invertebrates		
	Ronald Sluys Naturalis Nationaal Natuurhistorisch Museum ronald.sluys@naturalis.nl Expert of behaviour and ecology of triclads		
	Diego Fontaneto Istituto per lo Studio degli Ecosistemi Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche d.fontaneto@ise.cnr.it Studies freshwater invertebrates distribution and ecology.		
Opposed Reviewers:			

Milano, 01/11/2019

Dear, Prof. Daniel Gerdeaux,

Thank you fro the quick answer.

We shortened consistently the paper to submit it as a short communication. As we are convinced that testing the landscape of fear (LOF) on freshwater macroinvertebrate communities is quite novel and very interesting we believe that a short and focused communication can be a good basis for further and more detailed studies.

We used multiple surveys and multiple season to assess if the LOF at the macroinvertebrate communities affected the density of three target invertebrate species, a detrivor and two mesopredators.

The broad implication of the research is that the abundance of freshwater macroinvertebrates is mainly linked to some environmental than to the predation risk at the community level.

Please find enclosed the short communication entitled "Is landscape of fear of macroinvertebrate communities a major determinant of mesopredator and prey activity?", to be considered for publication in Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems.

We confirm that:

- The enclosed work was never submitted or published and to another journal;
- its submission for publication was approved by all relevant authors and institutions
- all persons entitled to authorship have been so named
- all authors have seen and agreed to submit this version of the manuscript.

Yours sincerely,

Raoul Manenti, Benedetta Barzaghi

1	Title page
2	
3	Is landscape of fear of macroinvertebrate communities a major determinant of mesopredator
4	and prey activity?
5	
6	Raoul MANENTI*, Benedetta BARZAGHI
7	
8	Department of Environmental Science and Péolicy, universstà degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria, 26,
9	20133 Milano (Italy)
10	*= corresponding author: <u>raoulmanenti@gmail.com</u>
11	
12	
13	Running head: landscape of fear of macroinvertebrates
14	

15 Abstract

Macroinvertebrate foragers play an important role on the trophic structures of freshwater environments, and multiple trophic levels occur among macroinvertebrate communities providing very interesting scenarios for testing scientific hypotheses. One of the most intriguing aspect to understand is the role played by the landscape of fear (LOF) on macrobenthos density and activity.

With this pilot study we wanted to test if LOF at the macrobenthos community levels play a role indetermining the density of both prey and mesopredators.

During two consecutive years, we evaluated, with both day and night surveys, the density of two mesopredator triclad species and of one detritivor prey crustacean species comparing it to the number of respective predators occurring in the macroinvertebrate community.

LOF levels at the macroinvertebrate community did not reduce the abundance of the target taxa. One of the triclad species was instead positively related to the levels of LOF assessed for it on the basis of the available knowledge.

The broad implication of the research is that the abundance of freshwater macroinvertebrates is not mainly linked to the predation risk at the community level, suggesting that also for researches on macrobenthos LOF analyses should take in consideration the role of top predators.

31

32 Keywords: seepage, triclad, isopod, behaviour, predator.

The communities of freshwater invertebrates are regarded as fundamental indicator of the status and 34 pollution of freshwater habitats. Several factors may determine differences in macroinvertebrate 35 activity and distribution; in general, all the aspects under the constraints of Darwinian natural 36 selection as: food availability, predation risk and other inter- and intra-specific interactions may 37 concur to determine differences in macroinvertebrate species density (Elliott, 2000, 2002; Kusano 38 and Kusano, 1991). While food availability is a well-recognised element regulating macrobenthos 39 abundance and diel activity (Elliott, 2002; Fiser et al., 2007), in freshwater habitats less attention is 40 paid to the predation risk that may involve different taxa. In particular, one of the most intriguing 41 aspect to understand is the role played by landscape of fear (LOF) on macrobenthos density and 42 43 activity: macroinvertebrate foragers play an important role on the trophic structures of freshwater environments, and multiple trophic levels occur among macroinvertebrate communities furnishing 44 several opportunities to study LOF effects (Marino et al., 2016). A forager has to usefully adopt 45 46 strategies to forage based on the type of risk it is likely to face (Matassa and Trussell, 2011). Generally, the activity patterns a forager must take to cope risk from habitats with high number of 47 48 predators, will differ greatly from those it will take to exploit safer habitats (Melotto et al., 2019). 49 Within predation risk both the diversity of the predator community and of the predator activity play major roles in affecting the LOF (Gaynor et al., 2019); in particular, the predator diel activity levels 50 may strongly change the features of LOF ((Bleicher et al., 2019; Laundre, 2010) with consequent 51 reflections on prey activity itself. However, how much LOF levels may affect macroinvertebrate 52 species sampling and activity remains an intriguing aspect to be studied. 53

54 To assess if LOF affects the macrobenthos diel activity, we studied environments with similar aquatic 55 top predator presence, such as day active visual predators (fish) and night active wanderer predators 56 (the invasive crayfish *Procambarus clarkii*) and we focused only on the LOF at the macroinvertebrate 57 community level.

First of all, we tested if LOF varied between day and night conditions; second we evaluated the relationship between LOF and density of both target mesopredator and prey invertebrate species. In particular, we tested two hypotheses:

61 1) Fear hypothesis; LOF affects prey and/or mesopredator density activity.

62 2) No fear hypothesis; The density of predator and/or prey species varies with day/night conditions63 notwithstanding to the levels of LOF.

In particular, during two consecutive years, we evaluated, with both day and night surveys, the density of two mesopredator triclad species and of one detritivor prey crustacean species comparing it to the predator occurring in the whole macroinvertebrate community.

We performed the study in Lombardy (NW Italy). We studied four "fontanili"; springs forming lentic habitats fed by groundwater flow. Fontanili are springs anciently managed by humans pushing tubes in the substrate to collect groundwaters and ease their flow toward the surface (Balderacchi et al., 2016). Fontanili springs are generally characterised by a large head in which the tubes occur and a straight section that allows water to flow out. We performed transects in the spring head along the outflow tubes tracing 8 transects (2 for each site). The transects were all 1 m wide, but varied in length depending on the site features (length average \pm SE = 4.3 \pm 0.7 m).

During winter months, from December 2017 to February 2018 and from December 2018 to February 2019 we performed for each site 12 repeated surveys both during day and during night (6 surveys during night and 6 during day). During surveys in each site we first assessed visually the occurrence and the number of the target taxa such as crustaceans of the species *Asellus aquaticus* and planarians of the species *Polycelis nigra* and *Dendrocoelum lacteum* along two transects per site.

During each survey, in each transect after 20 minutes of visual encounter numbering of the target organisms we sampled the whole macrobenthos community using a dip-net. Net samplings lasted 10 minutes in each transect and were performed by intense movement of the substrate. All the collected organisms were released in the transect of origin after having been numbered and recognised at species, genus or family level according to the guidelines for the Italian Biotic Index assessment

(Ghetti 1997). We also we assessed the occurrence of wanderer top predator species like fish. From 84 each survey we kept at minimum 4 days of interval. During surveys we recorded also maximum 85 illuminance of the water surface (with a PCE EM882 luxmeter) and water temperature. LOF 86 87 assessment considered the taxa collected through the dip-netting of the substrate at each sampling session. LOF was calculated using the number of potential predator taxa for each target species 88 occurring in the transects: we divided the number of occurring predators per the total number of 89 90 taxonomical units collected. Predator assessment was based on the information available in the literature (Ghetti, 1997; Reynoldson and Young, 2000; Tachet, 2010). 91

To test if LOF was different between sites and day/night conditions, we developed a Linear Mixed 92 93 Model (LMM) using the log transformed levels of LOF as dependent variables and the transect identity and the period (day/night) as fixed factors; we considered also the year of sampling as random 94 factor. Through a Wald F test we assessed the significance of the fixed factors composing the model. 95 96 We then used random-effect generalized mixed models (GLMMs) to assess the relationships between the relative abundance of the target taxa and the LOF (Barker et al., 2017). In particular, we used a 97 98 negative binomial distribution to account for over dispersion as, especially for planarians we had 99 different 0 occurrences. As a dependent variable, we considered the number of active individuals of the target taxa observed for each transect at each survey. We included the moment of observation 100 101 (day/night) and the sampling method (visual/net) as covariates. We included the year of survey, the number of survey and the transect as random factors. 102

GLMMs and LMMs were run in R environment (R Development Core Team 2018) using a negative
binomial error, using the package glmmTMB, lmerTest and car (Brooks et al., 2017).

105 Considering the whole samplings, *Polycelis nigra* was the more abundant species (on average (\pm SE) 106 34.9 \pm 8.5 individuals per sampling). Considering night samplings only the average number of *Asellus* 107 *aquaticus* observed overcame the average number of *P. nigra* (18 \pm 5.2 *A. aquaticus* individuals' vs

108 16.9 ±5.2 *P. nigra* individuals).

Water temperature was on average (± SE) 12,17 °C ± 0.19 °C; a significant difference, assessed 109 through ANOVA and post-hoc tukey test was observed only between two of the sites (F= 3.2; P =110 0.03). Maximum illuminance in fontanili during sunny days was around 40000 lux and ranged 111 between 0,01 and 0,1 lux during night with no significant differences between sites. Wanderer top 112 predator taxa such as fish and the alien freshwater crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* were recorded in all 113 the sites with at least one observation in the proximity or inside the transects during each year of 114 115 monitoring. While fish were observed both during day and night, crayfish were detected mainly during night. In the transects we recorded globally 13 macroinvertebrate predator taxa at which for 116 our crustacean target species must be added the two planarians target species. On average (\pm SE), 117 118 considering all the predator taxa, the number of the potential predator individual for our crustacean target species was of 1.25 ± 0.34 individuals per net sampling. 119

LOF levels differed among sites (for both crustacean and planarians LOF levels: F > 5.7; P <0.001), but not between day/night conditions (for both crustacean and planarians LOF levels: F < 0.22; P > 0.64). *Dendrocoelum lacteum* was significantly more abundant during night (Table 1, Fig. 1). On the other hand, the abundance of *Polycelis nigra* showed a weak, unexpected and significant relationship with the LOF levels considered, being more abundant in transects with higher levels of LOF (Table 1, Fig. 1). *A. aquaticus* was more abundant when sampled with deep net (Table 1, and Fig. 2). No significant effect was played by the LOF levels considered.

127 The broad implication of the present research is that LOF at the macroinvertebrate community level 128 does not seem to affect the activity of macroinvertebrate foragers. On the other side the abundance of 129 both macroinvertebrate predators and prey is strongly related to other factors irrespective to their 130 position on the food web and to LOF levels.

The ecological study of LOF is increasingly being recognised as central in understanding the patterns driving predator-prey interactions (Gallagher et al., 2017). LOF can determine the population density of a species, but interspecific competitive/predatory interactions in complex communities may produce various combinations of impacts (Gallagher et al., 2017; Laundre et al., 2014). In freshwaters

communities, where food webs are often highly structured, the study of LOF effects can be intriguing 135 136 and reveal important insights in terms of management. As examples, assessing the role of predators may increase the efficacy of restoration action in lotic environments, while understanding the role of 137 LOF in spring habitats may reveal important insights for understanding some of the evolutionary 138 pressures that drive groundwaters colonisation. However, our study suggests that further work is in 139 order. From one side mesopredator taxa considered in our study may not be the most important 140 141 determinants of the LOF in the system that hosts native fish and alien crayfish as top predators. Often top predators feed both on mesopredators with which they share prey (Rodriguez-Lozano et al., 2015) 142 with likely LOF top-down control on both mesopredator and prey. Thus a finer scaled characterisation 143 144 of LOF levels based on the foraging activity of top wanderer predators like fish and crayfish may reveal different patterns. 145

Moreover, some of our results suggest that the evaluation of LOF for planarians on the basis of the 146 147 available information could not be sufficiently reliable. The assessment of LOF level has been made on the basis of the few information available for the genus Polycelis and its possible predator (Tachet, 148 149 2010). However some of the predator taxa included could not directly feed on *P. nigra* that it is itself considered mainly a predator of living macroinvertebrates with a minor preference for also dead 150 invertebrates (Reynoldson and Young, 2000; Tachet, 2010). It is possible that P. nigra is an 151 152 opportunistic mesopredator feeding on already damaged/dead invertebrates and thus favoured by other mesopredator occurrence. 153

A second argument of discussion originating from our results is the differential effect played by day/night conditions on the invertebrate target species. While the abundance of individuals of both crustacean and planarian pigmented species does not differ between day and night, the abundance of individuals belonging to the unpigmented *Dendrocoelum lacteum* species is slightly higher during night. Generally, nocturnal activity in both vertebrates and invertebrates is considered as an adaptive strategy to minimize risk of predation (Huhta et al., 2000; Kotler et al., 2010) and is often supported by heightened non-visual senses that allow detection of threatens in darkness conditions (Bleicher et

al., 2019; Vestheim et al., 2013). In our system that assessed LOF levels of invertebrate community 161 through substrate sampling, for both detritivor and mesopredator target species LOF levels did not 162 vary between day and night. D. lacteum is an unpigmented epigean species for which some general 163 ecological study has been performed (Herrmann, 1986; Reynoldson and Young, 2000); however, no 164 detailed behavioural information exist; generally freshwater planarians are regarded as nocturnal 165 (Lombardo et al., 2011), but our results indicate that between different genera and species slight 166 differences in the diel activity may occur. As we have argued elsewhere the study of LOF in 167 freshwater environments may be considered a promising aspect to understand evolutionary and 168 ecological patterns shaping freshwater organisms' distribution. Our results suggest however that more 169 170 studies are necessary to increase the knowledge of species composing the microbenthic community and that the potential role of top predators should be accounted at different habitat scales. 171

172

173 Acknowledgments

The research has been funded by The Mohamed Bin Zayed Species Conservation Fund, project n. 180520056. We are grateful to Enrico Pezzoli, Pietro Pozzoli, Giancarlo Ghioni, Tullio Muraro and the Comitato Bevere ong for support during field observations. We thank Serena Ghioni for comments on a previous version of the manuscript.

178 **References**

- 180 Balderacchi, M., Perego, A., Lazzari, G., Munoz-Carpena, R., Acutis, M., Laini, A., Giussani, A.,
- 181 Sanna, M., Kane, D. and Trevisan, M., 2016. Avoiding social traps in the ecosystem stewardship:
- 182 The Italian Fontanile lowland spring. *Science of the Total Environment* 539, 526-535.
- Barker, R.J., Schofield, M.R., Link, W.A. and Sauer, J.R., 2017. On the reliability of N-Mixture
- 184 models for count data. *Biometrics* 74, 369-377.
- 185 Bleicher, S.S., Marko, H., Morin, D.J., Teemu, K. and Hannu, Y., 2019. Balancing food, activity
- and the dangers of sunlit nights. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 73.

- 187 Brooks, M.E., Kristensen, K., van Benthem, K.J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C.W., Nielsenn, A., Skaug,
- 188 H.J., Maechler, M. and Bolker, B., 2017. glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among
- 189 Packages for Zero-inflatedn Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. *The R Journal 9*, 378-400.
- 190 Elliott, J.M., 2000. Contrasting diel activity and feeding patterns of four species of carnivorous
- 191 stoneflies. *Ecol Entomol* 25, 26-34.
- Elliott, J.M., 2002. A quantitative study of day-night changes in the spatial distribution of insects in
 a stony stream. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 71, 112-122.
- 194 Fiser, C., Keber, R., Kerezi, V., Moskric, A., Palandancic, A., Petkovska, V., Potocnik, H. and Sket,
- 195 B., 2007. Coexistence of species of two amphipod genera: *Niphargus timavi* (Niphargidae) and
- 196 *Gammarus fossarum* (Gammaridae). J. Nat. Hist, 41, 2641-2651.
- 197 Gallagher, A.J., Creel, S., Wilson, R.P. and Cooke, S.J., 2017. Energy Landscapes and the
- 198 Landscape of Fear. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32, 88-96.
- 199 Gaynor, K.M., Brown, J.S., Middleton, A.D., Power, M.E. and Brashares, J.S., 2019. Landscapes of
- 200 Fear: Spatial Patterns of Risk Perception and Response. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 34, 355-368.
- Ghetti, P.F., 1997. Indice Biotico Esteso (I.B.E.): Manuale di applicazione. Provincia Autonoma di
 Trento, Trento. 222 p.
- 203 Herrmann, J., 1986. Reproductive Ecology of Dendrocoelum-Lacteum (Turbellaria) in a Rapid
- Stream in Southern Sweden and Comparisons with a Lake Population. *Hydrobiologia 132*, 273-277.
- Huhta, A., Muotka, T. and Tikkanen, P., 2000. Nocturnal drift of mayfly nymphs as a post-contact
- antipredator mechanism. *Freshw. Biol.* 45, 33-42.
- 207 Kotler, B.P., Brown, J., Mukherjee, S., Berger-Tal, O. and Bouskila, A., 2010. Moonlight avoidance
- in gerbils reveals a sophisticated interplay among time allocation, vigilance and state-dependent
- 209 foraging. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 277, 1469-1474.
- 210 Kusano, H. and Kusano, T., 1991. Diel Activity of Breeding Individuals of a Fresh-Water
- 211 Amphipod, Jesogammarus-Spinopalpus. *J Ethol 9*, 105-111.

- Laundre, J.W., 2010. Behavioral response races, predator-prey shell games, ecology of fear, and
- 213 patch use of pumas and their ungulate prey. *Ecology* 91, 2995-3007.
- Laundre, J.W., Hernandez, L., Medina, P.L., Campanella, A., Lopez-Portillo, J., Gonzalez-Romero,
- A., Grajales-Tam, K.M., Burke, A.M., Gronemeyer, P. and Browning, D.M., 2014. The landscape
- of fear: the missing link to understand top-down and bottom-up controls of prey abundance?
- *Ecology 95*, 1141-1152.
- Lombardo, P., Giustini, M., Miccoli, F.P. and Cicolani, B., 2011. Fine-scale differences in diel
- activity among nocturnal freshwater planarias (Platyhelminthes: Tricladida). *Journal of Circadian Rhythms 9*, 2.
- 221 Marino, N.A.C., Srivastava, D.S. and Farjalla, V.F., 2016. Predator kairomones change food web
- structure and function, regardless of cues from consumed prey. *Oikos 125*, 1017-1026.
- Matassa, C.M. and Trussell, G.C., 2011. Landscape of fear influences the relative importance of
 consumptive and nonconsumptive predator effects. *Ecology* 92, 2258-2266.
- 225 Melotto, A., Ficetola, G.F. and Manenti, R., 2019. Safe as a cave? Intraspecific aggressiveness rises
- in predator-devoid and resource-depleted environments. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 73.
- 227 Reynoldson, J.D. and Young, J.O., 2000. A key to the freshwater triclads of Britain and Ireland
- with notes on their ecology. Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside (Cumbria). 72 p.
- 229 Rodriguez-Lozano, P., Verkaik, I., Rieradevall, M. and Prat, N., 2015. Small but Powerful: Top
- 230 Predator Local Extinction Affects Ecosystem Structure and Function in an Intermittent Stream. *Plos*
- 231 *One 10.*
- Tachet, H., 2010. Invertébrés d'eau douce : Systématique, biologie, écologie CNRS, Paris.
- 233 Vestheim, H., Brucet, S. and Kaartvedt, S., 2013. Vertical distribution, feeding and vulnerability to
- tactile predation in Metridia longa (Copepoda, Calanoida). *Mar Biol Res 9*, 949-957.
- 235

237Table and figures legends

	Variables	Estimate	Z	Р
Asellus aquaticus				
	Night	0.22	1.05	0.29
	LOF	0.85	0.31	0.75
	Deep netting	1.23	5.44	< 0.001
Dendrocoelum lacteum				
	Night	0.72	2.79	< 0.01
	LOF	0.09	0.05	0.95
	Deep netting	0.14	0.56	0.57
Polycelis nigra				
	Night	0.44	1.52	0.12
	LOF	7.02	1.96	0.04
	Deep netting	0.15	0.55	0.58

Table 1 Results of the GLMMs analysis. In bold the significant results. LOF represents the level of

240 landscape of fear.

Figure 1. Plots and boxplots of the relationship between the number of planarians of the species *Dendrocoelum lacteum* and *Polycels nigra* and the parameters studied. A, B and C refer to *Dendocoelum lacteum*; D, E and F to *Polycelis nigra*. Fear_planarians indicates the level of landscape
of fear for the planarians.

- Figure 2. Plots and boxplots of the relationship between the number of crustaceans of the species
- 250 *Asellus aquaticus*. Fear_crustaceans indicates the level of landscape of fear for the target taxon.







Method