

1 **Borage extracts affect wild rocket quality and influence nitrate and carbon metabolism**

2

3 **Abstract**

4 Market is increasingly demanding vegetables with high quality and nutraceutical characteristics. It was
5 demonstrated that leafy vegetables can get benefit from biostimulants, for the reduction of nitrate concentration
6 and the increment of antioxidants, with potential benefit for human health. The research purpose was to
7 investigate on the role of a novel plant-based biostimulant in affecting nitrogen and carbon metabolism in wild
8 rocket (*Diplotaxis tenuifolia* L.). Foliar spray treatments were performed with extracts obtained from borage
9 (*Borago officinalis* L.) leaves and flowers. To evaluate the treatments effect, *in vivo* determinations
10 (chlorophyll *a* fluorescence and chlorophyll content) were performed. At harvest, nitrate concentration,
11 sucrose, total sugars, chlorophyll, and carotenoids levels were measured in leaves. In order to characterize the
12 mechanism of action also at molecular level, a set of genes encoding for some of the key enzymes implicated
13 in nitrate and carbon metabolism was selected and their expression was measured by qRT-PCR. Interesting
14 results concerned the increment of sucrose, coherent with a high value of Fv/Fm, in addition to a significant
15 reduction of nitrate and ABA than control, and an enhanced NR *in vivo* activity. Also genes expression was
16 influenced by extracts, with a more pronounced effect on N related genes.

17

18 **Keywords:** *Borago officinalis* L., *Diplotaxis tenuifolia* L., biostimulant, nitrate, gene expression

19

20 **1. Introduction**

21 Wild rocket (*Diplotaxis tenuifolia* L.) is a fast-growing crop belonging to the Brassicaceae family and widely
22 cultivated as baby leaf. It is a low calories vegetable and it is considered a potential health promoting produce
23 with diuretic, stimulant, depurative, and stomachic properties. To be specific, it is a good source of ascorbic
24 acid, flavonoids, carotenoids, and glucosinolates, with demonstrated antioxidant, pharmaceutical, and anti-
25 cancer properties (D'Antuono et al., 2009; Jakse et al., 2013; Cavaiuolo & Ferrante, 2014; Tripodi et al., 2017).
26 Rocket hyper-accumulates nitrate (NO₃⁻) (Di Gioia et al., 2013), which is an important intermediate molecule

1 with key functions in nitrogen metabolism, amino acids formation and in plant physiology. At the same time,
2 after consumption, in the acid environment of the stomach, nitrate-derived nitrite (NO_2^-) can represent a threat
3 for the consumers as it can combine with free amines forming nitrosamines, which are recognized to be
4 carcinogenic and thus potentially toxic to human health (Santamaria et al., 2001; D'Anna et al., 2003; Ferrante
5 et al., 2002). On the other hand, some researches reported that nitrate conversion to nitrite could play an
6 important antimicrobial role in the stomach (McKnight et al., 1999). Nitrate concentration depends on several
7 factors, like season of cultivation, light intensity and quality, temperature, fertilization, storage conditions of
8 the crop after harvest (Premuzic et al., 2001; Frezza et al., 2005; Magnani et al., 2007; Kim & Ishii, 2007;
9 Colla et al., 2018 and references therein), as well as genotype (Anjana & Iqbal, 2007). In Europe, for the
10 commercialization of leafy vegetables, the nitrate concentration in foods is limited by a specific regulation
11 (Reg. N° 1258/2011). The limits for rocket range from $7000 \text{ NO}_3^- [\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ FW}]$ (harvest from October to
12 March) to $6000 \text{ NO}_3^- [\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ FW}]$ (harvest from April to September). For these reasons, to understand the
13 nitrate metabolism in rocket is highly important to develop agronomical strategies to be applied to control its
14 accumulation and, at the same time, maintain high quality and yields.

15 Nitrogen (N) is a fundamental macronutrient for plants, which is required for the synthesis of amino and
16 nucleic acids, and it is an essential nutrient for cellular metabolism (Parker & Newstead, 2014). Its absorption
17 at the root level affects plant growth and consequently the productivity of crops (Krapp et al., 2014; O'Brien
18 et al., 2016). Nitrate is actively transported through the plasma membrane of the epidermal and cortical cells
19 of the roots across the proton symporters ($\text{NO}_3^- :2\text{H}^+$) or Cl^- canal ($2\text{NO}_3^-:\text{H}^+$); this active action exploits the
20 driving force of transmembrane different potential, create thanks to the ATP hydrolysis by H^+ ATPase of the
21 plasma membrane. The transport is controlled by a large family of nitrate transporters (NTR) (EC 7.3.2.4),
22 including NTR1, which transports nitrate, histidine, and nitrite, and belongs to the subgroup of nitrate/nitrite
23 transporters (Pao, 1998); NTR2, which belongs to the subgroup of proton dependent oligopeptide transporters
24 and is responsible for the transportation of peptides, amino acids, nitrate, chlorate, and nitrite. After its uptake,
25 NO_3^- can be loaded and stored inside the cell vacuoles to accomplish osmotic functions, can go back to the soil
26 via apoplast, can be translocated via xylem and transported to other tissues, or it can be reduced by different
27 redox reactions so as to be assimilated (Jakse et al., 2013). These redox reactions are catalyzed by specific
28 enzymes, are energy dependent and generally uses NAD(P)H^+ as electron donor. Nitrate metabolism starts in

1 the cytosol with the reduction of nitrate to nitrite by the action of the enzyme nitrate reductase, NR (EC 1.6.6.1).
2 After that, nitrite is transported into the chloroplast of the leaf, or in the plastid of the root, to be reduced to
3 ammonium by the second enzyme of the pathway, the nitrite reductase NiR (EC 1.6.6.4). Nitrite and
4 ammonium ions are cytotoxic because lead to pH changes and induce a rise in reactive nitrogen species and
5 oxidative damages, so they cannot be accumulated inside the cell (Chow & Hong, 2002). For the above
6 reasons, their incorporation into organic compounds must be relatively fast (Chow & Hong, 2002). Ammonium
7 then triggers the “Glutamine Synthetase/Glutamine Oxoglutarate Aminotransferase” cycle (GS/GOGAT). The
8 enzyme glutamine oxoglutarate aminotransferase is also known as glutamate synthase (EC 1.4.1.14), GOGAT.
9 Ammonium is converted to glutamine (Stitt, 1999) in the cytosol or in the chloroplasts/plastids, by the enzyme
10 glutamine synthetase (EC 6.3.1.2) (GS), which presents two active isoforms, one cytosolic and another
11 chloroplastic/plastidial, called GS1 and GS2, respectively (Lancien et al., 2000). The condensation of
12 ammonium with glutamate to obtain glutamine requires ATP (Temple et al., 1998) as source of energy. At this
13 step, if α -ketoglutarate (or oxo-glutarate) and energy are available from photosynthesis process, two amide
14 groups of glutamine can be transferred, thanks to GOGAT, to α -ketoglutarate (or oxo-glutarate) (Temple et
15 al., 1998). One of the two molecules of glutamate can accept NH_4^+ during another GS/GOGAT cycle, while
16 the other can be converted to amino acids by transaminases and then transformed in proteins in order to be
17 effectively used by the plant. Also other three enzymes probably participate to the process of ammonium
18 assimilation: cytosolic asparagine synthetase (AS) (EC 6.3.5.4), plastidial carbamoylphosphate synthase
19 (CPSase) (EC 6.3.4.16) and mitochondrial NADH-glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) (EC 1.4.1.2) (Masclaux-
20 Daubresse et al., 2010). AS, using ammonia as substrate, catalyzes the transfer of the amide group of glutamine
21 and a molecule of aspartate to create glutamate and asparagine (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010).
22 Carbamoylphosphate synthase (CPSase) uses bicarbonate, ATP, ammonium or the amide group of glutamine
23 to catalyze the formation of carbamoylphosphate, a precursor of citrulline and arginine (Masclaux-Daubresse
24 et al., 2010). GDH can catalyze the de-amination of glutamate or, alternatively, incorporate ammonium into
25 glutamate in the presence of high ammonium levels due to stress conditions (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010).
26 In the mesophyll of the cells there is high activity of GS2, while GS is low in leaves, being generally limited
27 to the phloem; these two isoenzymes have an organ-specific expression pattern (Edwards & Coruzzi, 1990).
28 Therefore, GS1 is the major form of GS located in plant roots, it is very important for the primary nitrogen

1 assimilation and its expression is metabolically regulated by both nitrogen and carbon availability (Sun et al.,
2 2010). GS2 plays a crucial role in re-assimilation of NH_4^+ released via photorespiration in plants. Glutamate
3 synthase is present in two isoforms in plants: Fd-GOGAT, that uses ferredoxin as electron donor, and NADH-
4 GOGAT, having NADH as co-factor. Generally, the first one is in the chloroplasts, while NADH-GOGAT is
5 localized in the plastids of non-photosynthetic tissues (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010). As a general rule,
6 the reduction of nitrate occurs more effectively in leaves than in roots, due to the close dependence on
7 photosynthesis for reductants, energy, and carbon skeleton (Chen et al., 2004). It is known that there is a close
8 connection between nitrogen and carbon metabolism (Goel et al., 2016); in particular, the flows of nitrate and
9 ammonium are integrated within the organic acids metabolism (Vance & Gantt, 1992) and the incorporation
10 of ammonium, which occurs mainly in the GS/GOGAT cycle, requires the availability of carbon skeletons,
11 specifically of α -ketoglutarate. This organic acid is originated in the Krebs cycle by the enzyme isocitrate
12 dehydrogenase, IDH (EC 1.1.1.42), and in the ammonium cycle, by aspartate aminotransferase, AspAT (EC
13 2.6.1.1). Thus, the α -ketoglutarate appears to be an important player in the regulation of nitrogen and carbon
14 metabolism (Lancien et al., 1999). Moreover, the formation of α -ketoglutaric acid, which is probably a limiting
15 factor for GOGAT activity, is regulated by a series of factors, including the availability of nitrate and the
16 accumulation of ammonium (Lam et al., 1996). Another key enzyme, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase
17 (PEPC) (EC 4.1.1.31) catalyzes important reaction in plants primary metabolism and has been shown to play
18 a crucial role in regulating carbon and nitrogen metabolism in *Arabidopsis* (Shi et al., 2015). In C4 and CAM
19 plants, PEPC is responsible for the carbon fixation during the photosynthesis, while in non-photosynthetic
20 tissues and in C3 plants its role is to provide intermediate molecules for the Krebs cycle, catalyzing the β -
21 carboxylation of phosphoenolpyruvate to oxaloacetate, which is turn is converted to malate by malate
22 dehydrogenase (Sánchez et al., 2006). Four genes encoding for four different PEPC isoforms were found in
23 *Arabidopsis*, *PPC1*, *PPC2*, *PPC3* and *PPC4*. Among those genes, *PPC1* and *PPC2* have been suggested to be
24 the most representative in leaves (Shi et al., 2015), while *PPC3* was more expressed in roots (Sánchez et al.,
25 2006). A novel role in the adaptation to salt and drought stress has been proposed for the *PPC4* gene (Sánchez
26 et al., 2006).

27 Considering all these factors, the central role of photosynthesis in regulating plant growth, yield and the
28 coordinated regulation of the carbon and nitrogen metabolism is clear. In fact, the increment of photosynthetic

1 capacity has been shown to facilitate nitrate metabolism and regulate C/N balance in *Arabidopsis* (Otori et al.,
2 2017). A good photosynthesis rate is strictly related to the activity of the enzyme ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate
3 carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) (EC 4.1.1.39), which catalyzes the first step in net photosynthetic CO₂
4 assimilation and photorespiratory carbon oxidation in C3 plants. To maintain and promote its activity is very
5 important to obtain a good plants yield (Spreitzer & Salvucci, 2002; Raines, 2011) and to enhance carbon and
6 nitrogen metabolism.

7 Abscisic acid (ABA) is a versatile phytohormone that regulates several cellular and molecular processes during
8 plants development and in response to stress conditions (Zhu, 2002; Kiba et al., 2012). The involvement of
9 this hormone in nitrogen acquisition is becoming more evident considering that changes in ABA content have
10 been reported to be linked to nitrogen signaling in many plant species (Radin et al., 1982; Palmer, 1985; Peuke
11 et al., 1994; Brewitz et al., 1995; Wilkinson & Davies, 2002). However, to date there is no clear relationship
12 between tissue nitrate levels and the ABA response.

13 Taking into account the commercial importance of rocket, it is important to look for strategies aiming to
14 decrease nitrate concentration in leaves and to enhance the nutrient use efficiency of crop, the yield as well as
15 the produce quality. Biostimulants may influence plant metabolism, acting on the regulation of key enzymes
16 involved in N assimilation (Schiavon et al., 2008; Ertani et al., 2009) and C metabolism (Rouphael & Colla,
17 2018 and reference therein). They can also trigger the activity of the enzymes of Krebs cycle, contributing to
18 the interplay of C and N metabolisms (Schiavon et al., 2008; Santi et al., 2017), which is strictly linked to plant
19 productivity.

20 The purpose of this work was to investigate on the role of a novel plant-based biostimulant in affecting nitrogen
21 and carbon metabolism in rocket.

22 Foliar spray treatments were performed with a biostimulant formulation obtained from borage leaves and
23 flowers. To evaluate the effect of borage extracts on rocket, non-destructive *in vivo* determinations (chlorophyll
24 *a* fluorescence and chlorophyll content) were measured during cultivation. At harvest, nitrate concentration,
25 sucrose, total sugars, chlorophyll, and carotenoids levels were measured in leaves. In addition to these analyses,
26 a set of genes encoding for some of the key enzymes involved in nitrate and carbon metabolism was selected

1 and their expression was measured by quantitative qRT-PCR, in order to characterize the mechanism of action
2 of the biostimulant at molecular level.

3

4 **2. Materials and methods**

5

6 **2.1 Plant material, treatments, and sampling**

7 Rocket (*Diplotaxis tenuifolia* L. 'Fragtagliata') was grown in a floating hydroponic system in an experimental
8 glasshouse at the University of Milan, under monitored conditions (20 ± 1 °C, 55 ± 5 % RH, 400 Wm^{-2} and 16
9 h photoperiod). By mid-December, seeds were sown in polystyrene trays (51.5 x 32.5 cm, 228 holes, expected
10 plant density was $1150 \text{ plants m}^{-2}$) on perlite substrate and placed in tanks filled with a modified Hoagland's
11 nutrient solution. The concentrations of nutrients in the solution, expressed as mM, were: 12 N-NO₃, 3.8 N-
12 NH₄, 2.8 P, 8.4 K, 3.5 Ca, 1.4 Mg and Hoagland's concentration for micronutrients. Oxygen was supplied by
13 bubbling air in the nutrient solution so that the oxygen concentration was around 5-6 mg/L.

14 Borage extracts were obtained using borage (*Borago officinalis* L.) plants harvested in open field in Lodi
15 province, during the flowering stage. Borage flowers or leaves were separately minced, macerated in deionized
16 water (500 g in 1 L) for 25 days, in the dark, at room temperature (RT) (Bulgari et al., 2017). The aqueous
17 extracts were filtered and properly diluted in water (10 mL L^{-1}) to be used for treatments. No surfactant was
18 used, since in any of the preliminary trials, no problems in dispersion of foliar spray were observed.

19 Extracts were sprayed between 09:00 and 10:00 a.m. onto rocket leaves until run-off, 35 days after sowing and
20 1 day before harvest (45 days after sowing). Harvesting was performed when the baby leaf commercial stage
21 was reached. Treatment conditions were: water (control); 10 mL L^{-1} of borage leaf extract (LE); 10 mL L^{-1} of
22 borage flower extract (FE). After harvest, leaves were gently rinsed with distilled water, blotted with paper
23 towels, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. For the gene expression analysis, sampling
24 was performed 2-4-6-9 and 24 hours (h) after the second treatment, to evaluate the possible fluctuations of
25 genes expression over time and to identify the timing of gene activation following the application of the
26 extracts. The *in vivo* nitrate reductase activity assay was performed the day after (24 h) the second treatment,
27 at T0 (condition of dark), T1 (2 h of light exposure), and T2 (4 h of light exposure). Samples for biochemical

1 determinations (chlorophyll and carotenoids, nitrate, sucrose, total sugars and abscisic acid) were collected 24
2 h after the last treatment and stored at -20 °C until used for laboratory analyses.

3

4 **2.2 Non - destructive measurements**

5 **2.2.1 Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence**

6 At harvest, before cutting rocket leaves, chlorophyll *a* fluorescence was measured using a hand-portable
7 fluorometer (Handy PEA, Hansatech, Kings Lynn, UK). Leaves were dark-adapted for 30 min. Using a leaf
8 clip (4 mm diameter), a rapid pulse of high-intensity light of 3000 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (600 W m^{-2}) was administered
9 to the leaf inducing fluorescence (Murchie & Lawson, 2013). The fluorescence parameters were calculated
10 automatically by the used device: in particular, we considered data related to the maximum quantum efficiency
11 of PSII (Fv/Fm), performance index (PI), and the number of reactive centers per cross-section (RC/CSm).

12 **2.2.2 Chlorophyll measurements *in vivo***

13 Chlorophyll content was estimated *in vivo* with a chlorophyll meter (CL-01, Hansatech, UK), at harvest. This
14 device provides an indication of green color of leaves and it determines relative chlorophyll content using dual
15 wavelength optical absorbance (620 nm and 940 nm wavelength) (Wood et al., 1993).

16 **2.3 Destructive analyses**

17 **2.3.1 Chlorophyll (*a+b*) and total carotenoids determination**

18 Leaf tissue (30-50 mg) was extracted in 100% (v/v) methanol, for 24 h at 4 °C in a dark room; afterwards
19 quantitative determination was carried out. Absorbance readings were measured at 665.2 nm and 652.4 nm for
20 chlorophyll (*a+b*) and 470 nm for total carotenoids. Pigment levels were calculated by Lichtenthaler's formula
21 (1987) and expressed on the basis of tissue fresh weight.

22

23 **2.3.2 Sucrose and total sugars levels**

24 About 1 g of leaf tissue was homogenized in 4 mL of distilled water and centrifuged at 4000 $\times g$ for 15 min at
25 RT. Sucrose and total sugars were assayed according to the resorcinol method and anthrone assay, respectively
26 (Yemm & Willis, 1954; Cocetta et al., 2015). Absorbance was read at 500 nm for sucrose and at 620 nm for
27 total sugars and the levels were calculated referring to sucrose or glucose calibration curves, respectively.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2.3.3 Nitrate reductase *in vivo* activity and nitrate levels

Nitrate reductase *in vivo* activity was performed as described by Aslam et al. (1984) modified. Fresh leaves were immediately put in ice at dark. Leaves were quickly cut in little disks of 5 mm of diameter and putted in 15 mL tubes to reach 0.8 mg of fresh weight. After that, tubes were closed and placed in ice in order to maintain inactive the enzyme. The control samples were immediately boiled in water for 5 min in order to denature the enzyme. The incubation medium was composed of potassium phosphate 100 mM (pH 7.5); 5% v/v isopropanol and 30 mM potassium nitrate. 1 mL of the reaction buffer was added to the tubes placed in ice and then the tubes were transferred in a water bath at 30 °C for 30 min. After incubation, the reaction was stopped with 1 mL of 1% sulfanilamide in HCl 3.0 N, and 0.02% N naftin etilen diamide as indicator of nitrites content. Tubes were left in the dark for 30 min to wait the color development and then spectrophotometric readings were made at 540 nm. The calibration was carried out with a standard solution of sodium nitrite.

Nitrate concentration was measured by the salicyl-sulphuric acid method (Cataldo et al., 1975). One g of fresh leaf tissue was homogenized (mortar and pestle) in 4 mL of distilled water. The extract was centrifuged at 4000 x g for 15 min at RT (ALC centrifuge-model PK130R) and the recovered supernatant was used for the colorimetric determination. Twenty µL of sample were added to 80 µL of 5% (w/v) salicylic acid dissolved in H₂SO₄ plus 3 mL of 1.5 N NaOH. The samples were cooled at room temperature and absorbance at 410 nm was measured. Nitrate concentration was calculated referring to a KNO₃ standard calibration curve [0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10 mM KNO₃].

2.3.4 Abscisic acid concentration

ABA was determined by an indirect enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assay (ELISA) based on the use of DBPA1 monoclonal antibody, raised against S(+)-ABA (Vernieri et al., 1989). Rocket (1 g) was homogenized (mortar and pestle) in 4 mL of distilled water. The extract was centrifuged at 3000 x g for 15 min at RT (ALC centrifuge-model PK130R) and the recovered supernatant was used for the analysis. The ELISA was performed according to the method described by Borghesi et al. (2016).

2.3.5 RNA extraction and qRT-PCR

1 About 100 mg of grounded tissues were used for the extraction of total RNA using the Spectrum Plant Total
2 RNA Kit with on-column DNase-treatment (Sigma) according to manufacture instructions. RNA concentration
3 and integrity were assessed by NanoDrop N-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop technologies). 3 µg of RNA
4 were reversely transcribed to cDNA using the SuperScript® III cDNA Synthesis Kit according to the
5 manufacturer's instruction (Invitrogen). qRT-PCR analysis was performed using the SYBR® Green PCR
6 Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in 20 µL reaction mix consisting of 2 µL of cDNA (1:20 dilution), 10 µL
7 of 1 Master Mix, 0.4 µM of forward and reverse primers, and sterile water up to 20 µL. Analysis was performed
8 using a ABI7300 (Applied Biosystem) thermocycler. Temperature profiles consisted of an initial step at 50 °C
9 for 2 min, followed by denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, and by 40 cycles of denaturation (95 °C for 15 s) and
10 annealing/extension (60 °C for 1 min).

11 Gene expression analyses were performed using gene-specific primers for: nitrate reductase (*DtNR*), nitrite
12 reductase (*DtNiR*), glutamine synthetase (*DtGSI*), glutamate synthase (*DtGLU*), nitrate transporter (*DtNTR*),
13 isocitrate dehydrogenase (*DtIDH*), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase 2 and 4 (*DtPEPC2-like*, *DtPEPC4-like*),
14 and Rubisco (*DtRuBisCO*) (Supplemental material – Supplemental Table S1).

15 Primers were designed based on the RNAseq library recently built by using the Illumina RNA-Seq technology
16 and providing sequence information and expression levels (RPKM) of *Diplotaxis tenuifolia* L. transcriptome
17 (Cavaiuolo et al., 2017). Expression levels were calculated using the delta–delta Ct ($\Delta\Delta Ct$) method. The
18 reported values are means \pm SE (n=6). Actin and elongation factor (EF-1 α) were tested to be used as
19 housekeeping gene (Supplemental Table S1). Due to the highest stability in its expression levels, EF1- α was
20 used for the calculations.

21

22 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

23 Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 6. All data were subjected to one or two-way ANOVA
24 and differences among means were determined by Bonferroni's post-test. Additional information is reported
25 in the figure legends.

26

27 **3. Results**

1 **3.1 Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence**

2 As regards the chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters measured at harvest, the maximum quantum efficiency
3 of PSII (Fv/Fm) of rocket leaves showed a significant increment in response to FE application in comparison
4 to control (Figure 1A). The performance index (PI) did not show any significant difference, even if values
5 were slightly higher in treated leaves (Figure 1B) and the same pattern was noticeable in the number of reaction
6 centers per cross section (RC/CSm) (Figure 1C).

7

8 **3.2 Chlorophylls and carotenoids**

9 Leaf pigments, such as chlorophylls and carotenoids, have important role in light harvesting and energy
10 transmission, but they also contribute to the product visual appearance and hence to the quality of vegetables.
11 The chlorophyll content determined *in vivo* (Figure 2A) and the chlorophyll *a+b* concentration determined
12 with destructive method (Figure 2B) showed the same trend; borage treatments (in particular FE) slightly
13 diminished pigments in rocket leaves compared to control, but differences were not significant. Total
14 carotenoids concentration (Figure 2C) showed an opposite behavior; in fact, borage treatments enhanced
15 carotenoids content, in particular LE, even if not significantly. The carotenoids concentration ranged from 0.15
16 to 0.25 mg g⁻¹ FW.

17

18 **3.3 Abscisic acid levels**

19 ABA is an important plant hormone that regulates the crop water balance, and its levels increase under stress
20 conditions. The application of FE borage treatment allowed to reduce the concentration of free abscisic acid
21 compared to control and LE-treated plants (Figure 3) with values more than halved, suggesting that this
22 biostimulant allowed to keep lower ABA levels. Leaves of rocket plants treated with LE borage treatment
23 showed ABA levels similar to control.

24

25 **3.4 Sucrose and total sugars concentration**

1 Sugars are important metabolites indispensable for plant metabolism, but they have also a crucial role as
2 signaling molecules. Moreover, in leafy vegetables, they represent the source of energy during storage and
3 they have fundamental nutritional value in human diet, as well.

4 Figure 4A showed that FE exerted a positive effect on sucrose concentration in rocket leaves, confirmed by
5 statistical analyses. The leaf sucrose concentration in FE treatment was higher than 300 mg kg⁻¹ FW. Borage
6 extracts slightly enhanced also total sugars concentrations than control but, in this case, differences were not
7 significant (Fig. 4B). Total sugars in average were 2 g kg⁻¹.

8

9 **3.5 Nitrate concentration and nitrate reductase *in vivo* activity**

10 Nitrate is an important source of nitrogen for plant growth, but the accumulation in leaves can be a problem
11 for leafy vegetables commercialization. Borage extracts were used for evaluating their ability in improving
12 nitrate assimilation rate. The nitrate concentration ranged from 2800 to 5500 mg kg⁻¹ FW (Fig. 5). It is
13 interesting to observe that biostimulant treated plants showed nitrate levels halved than untreated control, and
14 this difference was confirmed by statistical analysis. Borage extracts had a positive effect in the enhancement
15 of nitrate assimilation.

16 Nitrate concentration is a balance between the amount of nitrate absorbed and that assimilated. The key enzyme
17 of nitrate assimilation is nitrate reductase. Figure 6 showed the activity of the enzyme nitrate reductase *in vivo*,
18 monitored at three different time points (0, 2, and 4 h of light exposure), the day after the second treatment.
19 FE determined a significant increment of the activity, at 2 h and 4 h compared to control. LE presented an
20 intermediate activity, with a peak at 4 h. Control showed the lowest values of activity. These results were
21 coherent with the halved nitrate concentration reported above.

22

23 **3.6 Genes expression analysis**

24 Nitrate assimilation depends from the expression of genes that belong to the nitrate uptake, reduction and
25 incorporation in sugars for the biosynthesis of amino acids. These sugars derive from the primary metabolism
26 that is regulated by different enzymes and related genes.

1 In order to verify the effect of borage extracts at molecular level, the expression of some key genes involved
2 in nitrate and carbon metabolism was studied in response to the different treatments. Changes in the expression
3 of genes involved in nitrogen and carbon metabolism have been presented as heat maps in Figures 7 and 8.
4 The complete results of the gene expression analyses and the details of statistical analysis are reported in
5 supplemental material (Supplemental Figure S1). Borage extracts had a significant effect on the expression of
6 genes involved in nitrate assimilation (Figure 7). In case of *DtNTR*, LE strongly induced the expression of the
7 gene 4 hours after the treatment application, while FE had the same effect after 6 hours (Figure 7A). The same
8 treatment induced the expression of *DtNR* at the same time-point (Figure 7B), while *DtNiR* expression was
9 induced by both treatments 4 hours after the application (Figure 7C). *DtGS* was up-regulated in response to
10 LE after 9 hours, while *DtGLU* was repressed by the same treatment already after 4 hours (Figures 7 D, E).
11 Regarding the expression of genes involved in C metabolism, LE determined the significant down-regulation
12 of *DtRuBisco* 6 hours after treatment (Figure 8A), *DtIDH* was only affected by FE with a slight activation 2
13 hours after the application (Figure 8B). No significant changes were observed in the expression of *DtPEPC2-*
14 *like* gene, while *DtPEPC4-like* expression was stimulated 2 hours after LE treatment and after 4 hours from
15 the FE application, with a stronger up-regulation compared to control (Figure 8 D).

16

17 **4. Discussion and conclusions**

18 Today, one of the main goals in vegetables production is to obtain a produce with high quality and nutraceutical
19 characteristics (Tarantino et al., 2015), without affecting the yield, for an increasingly demanding market
20 (Ragaert et al., 2004; Ramos et al., 2013). It was demonstrated that leafy vegetables can get benefit from
21 biostimulants application, with particular reference to the reduction of nitrate concentration (Vernieri et al.,
22 2005; Liu et al., 2008; Liu & Lee, 2012; Dudaš et al., 2016; Fiorentino et al., 2018), the increase of yield, and
23 the increment of many antioxidant compounds with potential health benefit for human (Bulgari et al., 2015;
24 Colla et al., 2015).

25 In this sense, the results obtained in this experiment suggest that borage extracts could exert a positive effect
26 on rocket leaves. From the biochemical point of view, it was possible to note that the mean of carotenoids
27 concentration was slightly enhanced by both borage extracts, even if not in a significant way. Sucrose level
28 was enhanced by FE application, instead the total sugars concentration was not significantly affected, even if

1 an upward trend was observable. In addition, the increment of sucrose concentration was coherent with the
2 high value of Fv/Fm registered than control. Recently, maximum PSII efficiency measurement was proposed
3 as a reliable metric to monitor parameters closely related to the functioning of photosynthetic apparatus (such
4 as plant growth, gas exchange, activity of certain enzymes, biomass yield and the amounts of elements), thus
5 representing a good indicator of vigor, growth, and overall plant health status (Romanowska-Duda et al., 2019).
6 It is possible to speculate that treated plants had a greater photosynthetic activity which led to a greater sucrose
7 accumulation in leaves, as observed by Otori and colleagues (2017) in *Arabidopsis*. This hypothesis was
8 supported also by a slightly rise of PI level in rocket leaves; this index is an overall evaluation of leaf
9 functionality and health status of plants. At molecular level, a decrement in the transcript levels of genes
10 implicated in the Calvin cycle was attributed to an intracellular unbalanced C/N ratio such as high C and low
11 N (Rideout et al. 1992; Otori et al., 2017). As reported by Rook et al. (2006), high sugar levels could result in
12 a negative feedback on the expression of photosynthetic genes. In a study on maize, Sheen (1990) showed that
13 the transcriptional activity of seven photosynthetic genes was repressed by sucrose, glucose, and acetate. In
14 our material, a lower *DtRuBisCO* expression was observable in treated plants, as time passed by. In fact, 6
15 hours after LE treatments, this down-regulation was even statistically relevant. At the same time, an up-
16 regulation in most of the studied genes involved in N metabolism was observed, as well as a substantial
17 decrement in nitrate concentration. Considering the crop selected for the experiment, rocket, the most
18 interesting result was precisely the decrement of nitrate level observed after the extracts application, confirmed
19 also by the increment of the *in vivo* activity of the NR enzyme. Regarding this point, between the two borage
20 extracts, the FE seemed to have higher effectiveness. A similar influence was highlighted by Ertani and
21 colleagues (2009) on maize plantlets, in consequence of treatment with proteins hydrolyzed; an increase in the
22 activity of nitrate reductase and glutamine synthetase, and a reduction of nitrate accumulation in roots and
23 leaves occurred. Schiavon et al. (2008) observed that the activity of enzymes involved in C metabolism and N
24 reduction (among which nitrate and nitrite reductase) was affected in a positive way by alfaalfa protein
25 hydrolysed. A lower nitrate concentration was observed in fennel (Tarantino et al., 2015) after the application
26 of biostimulant. The decrease of nitrate levels in rocket leaves could be due to the activation of nitrate
27 assimilation pathway for the amino acids biosynthesis, as reported in several scientific papers (Ertani et al.,
28 2009, 2013; Baglieri et al., 2014; Calvo et al., 2014) and a regulation of C/N balance, as mentioned earlier.

1 Similar results were obtained on spinach, in which an amino acids based biostimulant increased NR activity
2 and lowered the nitrate leaves content (Kunicki et al., 2010). As mentioned before, FE induced a significant
3 increment of sucrose concentration; this increase may explain the enhanced nitrogen assimilation, remarking
4 the effect on N metabolism and C metabolism, as well. The availability of more C skeletons (sugars) promotes
5 nitrate assimilation (Schiavon et al., 2008; Colla et al., 2015) and more energy for amino acids and protein
6 biosynthesis is available.

7 Furthermore, analogously to what observed with other several species like for example lettuce (Bulgari et al.,
8 2017), rocket (Vernieri et al., 2005), tomato (Zodape et al., 2011), bean plants (Abbas, 2013), biostimulants
9 increase the chlorophyll content and the photosynthetic activity. Hence, the nitrate organization is probably
10 enhanced since the NR enzyme uses the electrons coming from the photosynthetic machinery.

11 Borage treatments influenced the nitrate assimilation pathway also at molecular level. In fact, we observed that
12 the gene expression of *DtNR*, *DtNiR*, partially of *DtGLU*, and *DtNTR* was affected by extracts application.
13 Results confirm that borage extracts have a role in the physiological processes in which the considered genes
14 are involved. Moreover, considering the well-established role of ABA as a regulator of multiple aspects of
15 plant growth (Lu et al., 2015), from this study emerges a link between ABA levels and nitrogen status in rocket
16 leaves. It is widely accepted that in stressful conditions causing a depression of photosynthesis, such as low
17 soil water potential, low air humidity and strong sunlight, the maximum quantum efficiency of photosystem II
18 and stomatal conductance tend to decrease and concomitantly an increase of ABA levels was observed (Zhu,
19 2002; Xu & Shen, 2005). Moreover, in some circumstances, high nitrate availability alters osmotic potential
20 of plant cells and stimulates endogenous ABA accumulation (Ondzighi-Assoume et al., 2016). For example,
21 during lateral root development at high nitrate concentrations, the inhibitory effect of high nitrate on lateral
22 root growth has been shown to require ABA synthesis, suggesting the involvement of ABA and nitrate in the
23 same osmotic stress response pathway (Signora et al., 2001; Guan, 2017).

24 In our study, plants did not experience stressful conditions and the use of borage extracts, in particular the
25 flowers one (FE), significantly reduced endogenous ABA content. It is interesting to speculate that ABA
26 homeostasis might play a role to optimize plant responses to different environmental inputs, linking for
27 example changes in its content to the nitrogen status and to improve overall plant health condition, as well.

1 These facts depict in rocket, treated with FE borage extract, an interesting area for future applied research in
2 sustainable crop production, even in stressful conditions.

3 In conclusion, borage extracts seem to coordinate and optimize responses at a whole plant level on rocket, and
4 to improve the quality and nutraceutical properties of this vegetable commodity. However, it is important to
5 consider that extracts showed a different effectiveness on some of the biochemical parameters examined and
6 on the genes up and down regulation, confirming that FE and LE cause a variable response, probably due to
7 the bioactive compounds contained therein. A deep investigation on extracts composition it will be necessary
8 in order to detect the molecules of interest of the two aqueous extracts. Results encourage further investigations
9 on borage extracts, considering that they may specifically improve N use efficiency in rocket plants, suggesting
10 a reasonable exploitation of these treatments in the production of this species.

11
12

13 **References**

- 14
15 Abbas, S. M. (2013). The influence of biostimulants on the growth and on the biochemical
16 composition of *Vicia faba* cv. Giza 3 beans. *Rom Biotech Lett*, 18(2), 8061-8068.
- 17 Anjana, S. U., & Iqbal, M. (2007). Nitrate accumulation in plants, factors affecting the process,
18 and human health implications. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev*, 27(1), 45-57.
- 19 Aslam, M., Huffaker, R. C., Rains, D. W. (1984). Early effects of salinity on nitrate assimilation
20 in barley seedlings. *Plant Physiol*. 76(2), 321-325.
- 21 Baglieri, A., Cadili, V., Monterumici, C. M., Gennari, M., Tabasso, S., Montoneri, E., ... & Negre,
22 M. (2014). Fertilization of bean plants with tomato plants hydrolysates. Effect on biomass production,
23 chlorophyll content and N assimilation. *Sci Horti*, 176, 194-199.
- 24 Borghesi, E., Ferrante, A., Gordillo, B., Rodríguez-Pulido, F. J., Cocetta, G., Trivellini, A., ... &
25 Heredia, F. J. (2016). Comparative physiology during ripening in tomato rich-anthocyanins
26 fruits. *Plant Growth Regul*, 80(2), 207-214.
- 27 Brewitz, E., Larsson, C-M., Larsson, M. (1995). Influence of nitrogen supply on concentrations
28 and translocation of abscisic acid in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), *Physiol Plant* 95, 499-506.
- 29 Bulgari, R., Cocetta, G., Trivellini, A., Vernieri, P., Ferrante, A. (2015). Biostimulants and crop
30 responses: a review. *Biol Agric Horti*, 31: 1-17. doi: 10.1080/01448765.2014.964649
- 31 Bulgari, R., Morgutti, S., Cocetta, G., Negrini, N., Farris, S., Calcante, A., ... & Ferrante, A. (2017).
32 Evaluation of borage extracts as potential biostimulant using a phenomic, agronomic, physiological,
33 and biochemical approach. *Front Plant Sci*, 8, 935.

- 1 Calvo, P., Nelson, L., Kloepper, J. W. (2014). Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. *Plant Soil*.
2 383(1-2): 3-41.
- 3 Cataldo, D.A., Haroon, M., Sevrader, L.E., Youngs, V.L. (1975). Rapid colorimetric determination
4 of nitrate in plant tissue by titration of salicylic acid. *Commun Soil Sci. Plant. Anal.* 6, 71-80.
- 5 Cavaiuolo, M., and Ferrante, A. (2014). Nitrates and glucosinolates as strong determinants of the
6 nutritional quality in rocket leafy salads. *Nutrients* 6, 1519-1538. doi: 10.3390/nu6041519
- 7 Cavaiuolo, M., Cocetta, G., Spadafora, N. D., Müller, C. T., Rogers, H. J., Ferrante, A. (2017).
8 Gene expression analysis of rocket salad under pre-harvest and postharvest stresses: A transcriptomic
9 resource for *Diplotaxis tenuifolia*. *PloS one*. 12(5), e0178119.
- 10 Chen, B. M., Wang, Z. H., Li, S. X., Wang, G. X., Song, H. X., & Wang, X. N. (2004). Effects of
11 nitrate supply on plant growth, nitrate accumulation, metabolic nitrate concentration and nitrate
12 reductase activity in three leafy vegetables. *Plant Sci*, 167(3), 635-643.
- 13 Chow, C. K., & Hong, C. B. (2002). Dietary vitamin E and selenium and toxicity of nitrite and
14 nitrate. *Toxicology*, 180(2), 195-207.
- 15 Cocetta, G., Rossoni, M., Gardana, C., Mignani, I., Ferrante, A., and Spinardi, A. (2015). Methyl
16 jasmonate affects phenolic metabolism and gene expression in blueberry (*Vaccinium*
17 *corymbosum*). *Physiol Plant* 153, 269–283. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12243
- 18 Colla, G., Kim, H. J., Kyriacou, M. C., & Rouphael, Y. (2018). Nitrate in fruits and
19 vegetables. *Sci Hort*, 237, 221-238.
- 20 Colla, G., Nardi, S., Cardarelli, M., Ertani, A., Lucini, L., Canaguier, R., Rouphael, Y. (2015).
21 Protein hydrolysates as biostimulants in horticulture. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 196, 28-38.
- 22 D'Anna, F., Miceli, A., Vetrano, F. (2003). First results of floating system cultivation of *Eruca*
23 *sativa* L. In Pardossi E. *et al.* (ed.), *Proceeding on greenhouse salinity*, Acta Hort, 609:361-364.
- 24 D'Antuono, L.F., Elementi, S., Neri, R. (2009). Exploring new potential health-promoting
25 vegetables: glucosinolates and sensory attributes of rocket salads and related *Diplotaxis* and *Eruca*
26 species. *J Sci Food Agr*, 89: 713-722.
- 27 Di Gioia, F., Gonnella, M., Santamaria, P. (2013). Contribution of leafy vegetables to dietary
28 nitrate intake and regulations. In: Umar S, Anjum NA, Khan NA (Eds). *Nitrate in leafy vegetables:*
29 *Toxicity and safety measures*. I.K. International Publishing 331 House Pvt Ltd, New Delhi, Bangalore
30 pp 2-16.
- 31 Dudaš, S., Šola, I., Sladonja, B., Erhatic, R., Ban, D., Poljuha, D. (2016). The effect of biostimulant
32 and fertilizer on “low input” lettuce production. *Acta Bot Croat* 75, 253-259. doi: 10.1515/botcro-
33 2016-0023
- 34 Edwards, J. W., and Coruzzi, G. M. (1990). Cell-specific gene expression in plants. *Annu Rev*
35 *Genet*, 24(1), 275-303.
- 36 Ertani, A., Cavani, L., Pizzeghello, D., Brandellero, E., Altissimo, A., Ciavatta, C., Nardi, S.
37 (2009). Biostimulant activity of two protein hydrolyzates in the growth and nitrogen metabolism of
38 maize seedlings. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci.* 172(2), 237-244.
- 39 Ertani, A., Schiavon, M., Muscolo, A., Nardi, S. (2013). Alfalfa plant-derived biostimulant
40 stimulate short-term growth of salt stressed *Zea mays* L. plants. *Plant Soil*, 364(1-2), 145-158.

1 Ferrante, A., Incrocci, L., Maggini, R., Tognoni, F., Serra, G. (2002). Preharvest and postharvest
2 strategies for reducing nitrate content in rocket (*Eruca sativa*). In XXVI International Horticultural
3 Congress: Issues and Advances in Postharvest Horticulture 628 (pp. 153-159).

4 Fiorentino, N., Ventorino, V., Woo, S. L., Pepe, O., De Rosa, A., Gioia, L., ... & Rouphael, Y.
5 (2018). Trichoderma-based biostimulants modulate rhizosphere microbial populations and improve
6 N uptake efficiency, yield, and nutritional quality of leafy vegetables. *Front Plant Sci*, 9.

7 Frezza D., León A., Logegaray V., Chiesa A., Desimone M., Diaz L. (2005). Soilless culture
8 technology for high quality lettuce. *Acta Hortic*, 697, 43.

9 Goel, P., Bhuria, M., Kaushal, M., Singh, A. K. (2016). Carbon: Nitrogen Interaction Regulates
10 Expression of Genes Involved in N-Uptake and Assimilation in *Brassica juncea* L. *PloS one*, 11(9),
11 e0163061.

12 Guan, P. (2017). Dancing with Hormones: A Current Perspective of Nitrate Signaling and
13 Regulation in Arabidopsis. *Front Plant Sci*. 28; 8: 169.

14 Jakse, M., Hacin, J., Kacjan, N. M. (2013). Production of rocket (*Eruca sativa* Mill.) on plug trays
15 and on a floating system in relation to reduced nitrate content. *Acta Agric Slov*, 101(1), 59.

16 Kiba, T., Feria-Bourrellier, A. B., Lafouge, F., Lezhneva, L., Boutet-Mercey, S., Orsel, M., et al.
17 (2012). The Arabidopsis nitrate transporter NRT2.4 plays a double role in roots and shoots of
18 nitrogen-starved plants. *Plant Cell* 24, 245–258. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.092221

19 Kim, S-J., and Ishii, G. (2007). Effect of storage temperature and duration on glucosinolate, total
20 vitamin C and nitrate contents in rocket salad (*Eruca sativa* Mill.). *J Sci Food Agr*. 87(6), 966-973.

21 Krapp, A., David, L. C., Chardin, C., Girin, T., Marmagne, A., Leprince, A. S., ... & Daniel-
22 Vedele, F. (2014). Nitrate transport and signalling in Arabidopsis. *J Exp Bot*, 65(3), 789-798.

23 Kunicki, E., Grabowska, A., Sekara, A., Wojciechowska, R. (2010). The effect of cultivar type,
24 time of cultivation, and biostimulant treatment on the yield of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). *Folia*
25 *Hortic*. 22, 9-13.

26 Lam, H. M., Coschigano, K. T., Oliveira, I. C., Melo-Oliveira, R., & Coruzzi, G. M. (1996). The
27 molecular-genetics of nitrogen assimilation into amino acids in higher plants. *Annu Rev Plant*
28 *Biol*, 47(1), 569-593.

29 Lancien, M., Ferrario-Méry, S., Roux, Y., Bismuth, E., Masclaux, C., Hirel, B., ... & Hodges, M.
30 (1999). Simultaneous expression of NAD-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase and other Krebs cycle
31 genes after nitrate resupply to short-term nitrogen-starved tobacco. *Plant Physiol*, 120(3), 717-726.

32 Lancien, M., Gadal, P., & Hodges, M. (2000). Enzyme redundancy and the importance of 2-
33 oxoglutarate in higher plant ammonium assimilation. *Plant Physiol*, 123(3), 817-824.

34 Lichtentaler, H. K. (1987). In *Plant Cell Membranes*.

35 Liu, X. Q., Ko, K. Y., Kim, S. H., Lee, K. S. (2007). Effect of amino acid fertilization on nitrate
36 assimilation of leafy radish and soil chemical properties in high nitrate soil. *Commun Soil Sci Plant*
37 *Anal*. 39(1-2): 269-281.

38 Liu, X.Q., and Lee, K.S. (2012). "Effect of mixed amino acids on crop growth", in *Agricultural*
39 *Science*, ed. G. Aflakpui (Rijeka, Croatia: InTech Europe Publisher), 119-158.

40 Lu, Y., Yamaguchi, J., Sato, T. (2015). Integration of C/N-nutrient and multiple environmental
41 signals into the ABA signaling cascade. *Plant Signal Behav*. 10(12): e1048940.

1 Magnani, G., Filippi, F., Borghesi, E., Vitale, M. (2007). Impact of sunlight spectrum modification
2 on yield and quality of ready-to-use lettuce and rocket salad grown on floating system.
3 In International Symposium on High Technology for Greenhouse System Management:
4 Greensys2007 801 (pp. 163-170).

5 Masclaux-Daubresse, C., Daniel-Vedele, F., Dechorgnat, J., Chardon, F., Gaufichon, L., & Suzuki,
6 A. (2010). Nitrogen uptake, assimilation and remobilization in plants: challenges for sustainable and
7 productive agriculture. *Annals Bot*, *105*(7), 1141-1157.

8 McKnight G.M., Duncan C.W., Leifert C., Golden M.H. (1999). Dietary nitrate in man: friend or
9 foe? *Br J Nutr*, *81*: 349-358.

10 Murchie, E. H., & Lawson, T. (2013). Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis: a guide to good practice
11 and understanding some new applications. *J Exp Bot*, *64*(13), 3983-3998.

12 O'Brien, J. A., Vega, A., Bouguyon, E., Krouk, G., Gojon, A., Coruzzi, G., & Gutiérrez, R. A.
13 (2016). Nitrate transport, sensing, and responses in plants. *Mol Plant*, *9*(6), 837-856.

14 Ondzighi-Assoume, C. A., Chakraborty, S., and Harris, J. M. (2016). Environmental nitrate
15 stimulates root tip abscisic acid accumulation via release from inactive stores. *Plant Cell* *28*, 729–
16 745.

17 Otori, K., Tanabe, N., Maruyama, T., Sato, S., Yanagisawa, S., Tamoi, M., & Shigeoka, S. (2017).
18 Enhanced photosynthetic capacity increases nitrogen metabolism through the coordinated regulation
19 of carbon and nitrogen assimilation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Plant Res*, *130*(5), 909-927.

20 Palmer C.E. (1985). The Relationship of Abscisic Acid to Nitrate Reductase Activity in the Potato
21 Plant. *Plant Cell Physiol* *26*, 1167–1174.

22 Pao S.S., Paulsen I.T. and Saier M.H. (1998). Major Facilitator Superfamily. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol.*
23 *Rev.* *62*: 1-34.

24 Parker, J. L., and Newstead, S. (2014). Molecular basis of nitrate uptake by the plant nitrate
25 transporter NRT1. 1. *Nature*, *507*(7490), 68-72.

26 Peuke, A.D., Jeschke W.D., Hartung, W. (1994). The uptake and flow of C, N and ions between
27 roots and shoots in *Ricinus communis* L. III. Long-distance transport of abscisic acid depending on
28 nitrogen nutrition and salt stress, *J Exp Bot* *45*, 741-747.

29 Premuzic, Z., Gárate, A., & Bonilla, I. (2001). Yield and quality of greenhouse lettuce as affected
30 by form of N fertilizer and light supply. *Plant Nutrition* (pp. 300-301). Springer Netherlands.

31 Radin, J.W., Parker, L.L., Guinn, G. (1982). Water relations of cotton plants under nitrogen
32 deficiency: V. Environmental control of abscisic acid accumulation and stomatal sensitivity to
33 abscisic acid. *Plant Physiol* *70*, 1066-1070.

34 Ragaert, P., Verbeke, W., Devlieghere, F., & Debevere, J. (2004). Consumer perception and choice
35 of minimally processed vegetables and packaged fruits. *Food Qual Prefer*, *15*(3), 259-270.

36 Raines, C. A. (2011). Increasing photosynthetic carbon assimilation in C3 plants to improve crop
37 yield: current and future strategies. *Plant Physiol*, *155*(1), 36-42.

38 Ramos, B., Miller, F. A., Brandão, T. R., Teixeira, P., & Silva, C. L. (2013). Fresh fruits and
39 vegetables—an overview on applied methodologies to improve its quality and safety. *Innov Food Sci*
40 *Emerg Technol*, *20*, 1-15.

1 Rideout JW, Raper CD Jr, Miner GS. (1992). Changes in ratio of soluble sugars and free amino
2 nitrogen in the apical meristem during floral transition of tobacco. *Int J Plant Sci* 153:78–88

3 Romanowska-Duda Z, Grzesik M, Janas R. (2019) Maximal Efficiency of PSII as a Marker of
4 Sorghum Development Fertilized With Waste From a Biomass Biodigestion to Methane. *Front Plant*
5 *Sci.* 8; 9: 1920. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01920.

6 Rook, F., Hadingham, S. A., Li, Y., & Bevan, M. W. (2006). Sugar and ABA response pathways
7 and the control of gene expression. *Plant Cell Environ*, 29(3), 426-434.

8 Roupahel, Y., and Colla, G. (2018). Synergistic biostimulatory action: Designing the next
9 generation of plant biostimulants for sustainable agriculture. *Front Plant Sci*, 9.

10 Sánchez, R., Flores, A., & Cejudo, F. J. (2006). Arabidopsis phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase
11 genes encode immunologically unrelated polypeptides and are differentially expressed in response to
12 drought and salt stress. *Planta*, 223(5), 901-909.

13 Santamaria, P., Gonnella, M., Elia, A., Parente, A., Serio, F. (2001). Ways of reducing rocket salad
14 nitrate content. *Acta Hortic*, 529-536.

15 Santi, C., Zamboni, A., Varanini, Z., & Pandolfini, T. (2017). Growth stimulatory effects and
16 genome-wide transcriptional changes produced by protein hydrolysates in maize seedlings. *Front*
17 *Plant Sci*, 8, 433.

18 Sheen, J. (1990). Metabolic repression of transcription in higher plants. *Plant Cell* 2, 1027–1038.

19 Shi, J., Yi, K., Liu, Y., Xie, L., Zhou, Z., Chen, Y., Hu, Z., Zheng, T., Liu, R, Chen, Y., Chen, J.
20 (2015) Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in Arabidopsis leaves plays a crucial role in carbon and
21 nitrogen metabolism. *Plant Physiol* 167:671–681.

22 Schiavon, M., Ertani, A., Nardi, S. (2008). Effects of an alfaalfa protein hydrolysate on the gene
23 expression and activity of enzymes of TCA cycle and N metabolism in *Zea mays* L. *J. Agric. Food*
24 *Chem.* 56, 11800–11808.

25 Signora, L., De Smet, I., Foyer, C. H., and Zhang, H. (2001). ABA plays a central role in mediating
26 the regulatory effects of nitrate on root branching in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 28, 655–662.

27 Spreitzer, R. J., & Salvucci, M. E. (2002). Rubisco: structure, regulatory interactions, and
28 possibilities for a better enzyme. *Ann Rev Plant Biol*, 53(1), 449-475.

29 Stitt M. (1999). Nitrate regulation of metabolism and growth. *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* 2, 178-186.

30 Tarantino, E., Disciglio, G., Frabboni, L., Libutti, A., Gatta, G., Gagliaridi, A., Tarantino, A.
31 (2015). Effect of biostimulants application on quali-quantitative characteristics of cauliflower,
32 pepper, and fennel crops under organic and conventional fertilization. *Environment*, 10, 13.

33 Temple, S. J., Vance, C. P., & Gantt, J. S. (1998). Glutamate synthase and nitrogen
34 assimilation. *Trends Plant Sci*, 3(2), 51-56.

35 Tripodi, P., Francese, G., & Mennella, G. (2017). Rocket salad: crop description, bioactive
36 compounds and breeding perspectives. *Adv Hortic Sci*, 31(2), 107-113.

37 Vance, C. P., & Gantt, J. S. (1992). Control of nitrogen and carbon metabolism in root
38 nodules. *Physiol Plant*, 85(2), 266-274.

39 Vernieri, P., Borghesi, E., Ferrante, A., Magnani, G. (2005). Application of biostimulants in
40 floating system for improving rocket quality. *J. Food. Agric. Environ.* 3, 86-88.

- 1 Vernieri, P., Perata, P., Armellini, D., Bugnoli, M., Presentini, R., Lorenzi, R., et al. (1989). Solid
2 phase radioimmunoassay for the quantitation of abscisic acid in plant crude extracts using a new
3 monoclonal antibody. *J. Plant Physiol.* 134, 441–446.
- 4 Wilkinson, S., Davies, W.J. (2002). ABA-based chemical signalling: the co-ordination of
5 responses to stress in plants. *Plant, Cell Env* 25, 195-210.
- 6 Wood, C. W., Reeves, D. W., & Himelrick, D. G. (1993). Relationships between chlorophyll meter
7 readings and leaf chlorophyll concentration, N status, and crop yield: a review. In *Proceedings of the*
8 *Agronomy Society of New Zealand* (Vol. 23, pp. 1-9).
- 9 Xu, D., Shen, Y., (2005). External and internal factors responsible for midday depression of
10 photosynthesis. *Handbook of photosynthesis*, 2nd edition. Pessaraki M. (ed). 2005. Boca Raton:
11 CRC Press pg 287-297.
- 12 Yemm, E. W., and Willis, A. J. (1954). The estimation of carbohydrates in plant extracts by
13 anthrone. *Biochem. J.* 57, 508–514. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.02049
- 14 Zhu, J. K. (2002). Salt and drought stress signal transduction in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*
15 53, 247–273. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.53.091401.143329
- 16 Zodape, S. T., Gupta, A., Bhandari, S. C., Rawat, U. S., Chaudhary, D. R., Eswaran, K., &
17 Chikara, J. (2011). Foliar application of seaweed sap as biostimulant for enhancement of yield and
18 quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.).

19

20 **Figures captions:**

21 Fig. 1. Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters, Fv/Fm (A), PI (B), and RC/CSm (C), measured *in vivo* in rocket leaves
22 treated with water (control), 10 mL L⁻¹ borage LE or FE. Values are means ± SE (n = 3). Data were subjected to one-way
23 ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-test (P<0.05). Different letters, when present, indicate statistical differences among
24 treatments.

25

26 Fig. 2. Chlorophyll content determined *in vivo* (A), chlorophyll *a+b* (B), and carotenoids concentration (C) in rocket
27 leaves treated with water (control), 10 mL L⁻¹ borage LE or FE. Values are means ± SE (n = 3). Data were subjected to
28 one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-test (P<0.05).

29

30 Fig. 3. Abscisic acid (ABA) concentrations in rocket leaves treated with water (control), 10 mL L⁻¹ borage LE or FE.
31 Values are means ± SE (n = 3). Data were subjected to one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-test (P<0.05). Different
32 letters, when present, indicate statistical differences among treatments.

33

1 Fig. 4. Sucrose (A) and total sugars (B) concentration of rocket leaves treated with water (control), 10 mL L⁻¹ borage LE
2 or FE. Values are means ± SE (n = 3). Data were subjected to one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-test (P<0.05).
3 Different letters, where present, represent significant differences among treatments.

4
5 Fig. 5. Nitrate concentration of rocket leaves treated with water (control), 10 mL L⁻¹ borage LE or FE. Values are means
6 ± SE (n = 3). Data were subjected to one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-test (P<0.05). Different letters represent
7 significant differences among treatments.

8
9 Fig. 6. Nitrate reductase *in vivo* activity measured in rocket leaves treated with water (control), 10 mL L⁻¹ borage LE or
10 FE at three different time points (0, 2, and 4 h of light exposure). Values are means ± SE (n = 3). Data were subjected to
11 two-way ANOVA (P<0.0001) with Bonferroni's post-test. Different letters represent significant differences among
12 treatments and time.

13
14 Fig. 7. Heat map illustrating variations in the expression (Log fold change, compared to control) of genes involved in
15 nitrogen metabolism, in rocket leaves treated with 10 mL L⁻¹ borage LE or FE. For each gene, high expression is depicted
16 as intense red color, and low expression as intense blue color. Data were compared by using two-way ANOVA, with
17 Bonferroni's post-test. Asterisks represent significant differences compared to untreated control.

18
19 Fig. 8. Heat map illustrating variations in the expression (Log fold change, compared to control) of genes involved in
20 carbon metabolism, in rocket leaves treated with 10 mL L⁻¹ borage LE or FE. For each gene, high expression is depicted
21 as intense red color, and low expression as intense blue color. Data were compared by using two-way ANOVA, with
22 Bonferroni's post-test. Asterisks represent significant differences compared to untreated control.

23