

World Workshop on Oral Medicine VII: Relative Frequency of Oral Mucosal Lesions in Children, a Scoping Review

Corresponding Author	Catherine HL <u>Hong</u> Discipline of Orthodontics and Paediatric Dentistry Faculty of Dentistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore Tel: +65 67795555 ext 1787 Email: denchhl@nus.edu.sg
Co-authors	<p>David R. <u>Dean</u> Department of Oral Medicine University of Washington School of Dentistry, United States of America Tel: +1 2062215187 Email: ddean2@uw.edu</p> <p>Katrusha <u>Hull</u> Department of Oral Medicine The Royal Dental Hospital of Melbourne, Australia Tel: +64 03 9341 1000 Email: katrusha.hull@dhsv.org.au</p> <p><u>Hu Shi Jia</u> Discipline of Orthodontics and Paediatric Dentistry Faculty of Dentistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore Tel: +65 67795555 ext 1682 Email: denhus@nus.edu.sg</p> <p><u>Sim Yu Fan</u> Faculty of Dentistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore Tel: +65 67795555 ext 5970 Email: simyufan@nus.edu.sg</p> <p>Christine <u>Nadeau</u> Faculté de médecine dentaire de l'Université Laval, Canada Tel : +1 4186562131 Email : christine.nadeau@fmd.ulaval.ca</p> <p>Sandra <u>Gonçalves</u> Department of Oral Medicine Sheffield Teaching Hospitals, United Kingdom Tel: +44 01142717800 ext 65488 Email: sandra.goncalves@sth.nhs.uk</p> <p>Giovanni <u>Lodi</u> Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche, Chirurgiche e Odontoiatriche Università degli Studi di Milano, Italia Tel: + 39 02 50319021 Email: giovanni.lodi@unimi.it</p> <p>Tim A. <u>Hodgson</u> Oral Medicine Eastman Dental Hospital London, United Kingdom Tel: +44 02034562304 Email: tim.hodgson@ucl.ac.uk</p>

Abstract (Word Count: 203 words)

Objective: To detail a scoping review on the global and regional relative frequencies of oral mucosal disorders in the children based on both clinical studies and those reported from biopsy records.

Materials and Methods: A literature search was completed from 1st January 1990 to 31st December 2018 using Pubmed and Embase.

Results: Twenty clinical studies (sample size: 85,972) and 34 studies from biopsy services (40,522 biopsies) were included. Clinically, the most frequent conditions were aphthous ulcers (1.82%), trauma associated lesions (1.33%) and Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) associated lesions (1.33%). Overall, the most commonly biopsied lesions were mucocelles (17.12%), fibrous lesions (9.06%) and pyogenic granuloma (4.87%). By WHO geographical region, the pooled relative frequencies of the most common oral lesions were similar between regions in both clinical and biopsy studies. Across regions, geographic tongue (migratory glossitis), HSV lesions, fissured tongue, and trauma-associated ulcers were the most commonly reported paediatric oral mucosal lesions in clinical studies; while mucocelles, fibrous lesions and pyogenic granuloma were the most commonly biopsied lesions.

Conclusions: The scoping review suggests data from the clinical studies and biopsy records shared similarities in the most commonly observed mucosal lesions in children across regions. In addition, the majority of lesions were benign in nature.

KEYWORDS: Mouth diseases, oral manifestations, frequency, child, oral pathology

RUNNING TITLE: Relative Frequencies of Oral Lesions in Children.

WORD COUNT: 3627

NUMBER OF FIGURES: 1

NUMBER OF TABLES: 4

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

INTRODUCTION

Paediatric dentistry is an age-defined specialty providing comprehensive preventive and therapeutic oral health care for infants and children through adolescence, including those with special healthcare needs (Dental Board of Australia, 2016, Commission on Dental Accreditation, 2000, Specialty Advisory Committee for Paediatric Dentistry, 2009). Pediatric dentists possess breadth of knowledge across various dental disciplines adapted to the unique requirements of children and adolescents. In childhood, dental caries is the most common chronic childhood disease (Dye et al., 2015, Chen et al., 2018, Duangthip et al., 2017), however the literature suggests oral mucosal lesions are not uncommon (Colaci & Sfasciotti, 2013, Rioboo-Crespo Mdel et al., 2005, Furlanetto et al., 2006). Fortunately, most lesions are benign or transient; with either an infectious or traumatic aetiology. Rarely, mucosal lesions represent an oral manifestation of systemic diseases (for example, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection), or adverse effects and toxicity from medical therapies (for example, oral mucositis following anti-neoplastic chemotherapy).

The literature details the majority of review papers are largely narrative in nature with the exception of two studies which attempt to quantify the prevalence of oral mucosal disorders in children (Colaci & Sfasciotti, 2013, Furlanetto et al., 2006). Colaci *et al* included 12 studies published between 1988 and 2013 in their review and found wide variations in the reported prevalence of oral mucosal lesions ranging from 4.1 to 69.5% (Colaci & Sfasciotti, 2013). Despite the variance in overall prevalence, aphthous stomatitis, herpes labialis, geographic, coated and fissured tongue, candidiasis and traumatic lesions were found to be the most frequently observed lesions in children (Colaci & Sfasciotti, 2013). Their findings were supported by Furlanetto et al who had similar conclusions (Furlanetto et al., 2006). Unfortunately, the reviews either had unclear methodology (unclear inclusion and exclusion criteria) or focused on specific lesion types making it difficult to ascertain the true prevalence of oral lesions in children compared to adults. It is therefore difficult to define the true prevalence of pediatric mucosal lesions because of methodology issues in both the original studies and reviews.

This publication details a scoping review on the global and regional relative frequencies of oral mucosal disorders in the children based on clinical studies and those reported from biopsy services. Although rare, the early detection, diagnosis and treatment of malignant oral lesions significantly enhanced survival rates. Therefore, a secondary aim was to conduct a scoping review on potentially malignant and malignant oral lesions reported in the children.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A literature search was completed from 1st January 1990 to 31st December 2018 using Pubmed and Embase. Manuscripts selected for review were limited to the English language and based on the following inclusion and exclusion criteria. Clinical studies and biopsy reports on oral mucosal lesions and focussed studies on potentially malignant and malignant lesions in children and adolescents aged 20 or below were included. Systematic or narrative reviews, opinion papers, case reports, abstracts, animal model, *in-vitro* studies, studies whereby data of sample of interest could not be extracted, and papers without access (even after authors were contacted by e-mail) were excluded. In addition, HIV related oral manifestations, medical therapy induced oral manifestations and periodontitis as a manifestation of systemic diseases were excluded. The plain text or MESH (if applicable) terms used for the PubMed search are as follows: "*Candidiasis, Oral*", "*Leukoedema, Oral*", "*Lichen Planus, Oral*", "*Lip Diseases*", "*Mouth Diseases*", "*Mouth Mucosa*", "*Mouth Neoplasms*", "*Mucositis*", "*Oral Manifestations*", "*Oral Ulcer*", "*Periodontal Diseases*", "*Salivary Gland Disorders*", "*Stomatitis*", "*Tongue Diseases*", "*Pathology, Oral*", "*Pediatric Dentistry*", "*Surgery, Oral*" were crossed with ("*AND*") "*Biopsy*", "*Prevalence*", "*Incidence*" and "*Epidemiology*". The search strategy was adapted for the Embase search using the expertise of a health sciences librarian. All references were managed by reference manager software (Endnote) and duplicate papers were removed. Data was extracted using a standard electronic form. Only data on soft tissue lesions is detailed in this manuscript.

The titles and abstracts of all identified studies from the electronic search were independently assessed by the reviewers (CH, CN, DD, KH, SG) for eligibility. Full text of studies that appeared to meet the inclusion criteria were evaluated using a piloted data collection form. Disagreements concerning the eligibility of studies were resolved by discussion with group consultants.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative analysis was carried out only for clinical and biopsy studies on oral mucosal lesions. These studies were categorized into data either from clinical studies or from biopsy records. The outcome measures used were pooled and overall relative frequency or percentage of oral lesions for clinical and biopsy studies respectively.

Relevant data were extracted from included studies for analysis of pooled relative frequency/ percentage estimates. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using I^2 statistics and chi-square test. Considering variation in true differences across sample (clinical heterogeneity and

1
2
3 statistical heterogeneity), DerSimonian and Laird's random effects model was applied for the
4 analysis at a 95% confidence level.
5

6
7 However, some lesions were reported only in studies from certain regions, which resulted in
8 an overestimation of that particular lesion when using pooled estimates. To overcome this potential
9 bias, overall relative frequency/percentage of oral lesions was also computed. The overall relative
10 frequency/percentage of oral lesions was computed using the total frequency count of each oral
11 lesion. The sample sizes of each included studies were summed up as denominator in computation
12 of overall relative frequency or percentage of oral lesions.
13
14
15
16
17

18 RESULTS

19 A. Studies on Oral Mucosal Lesions

20
21 The Pubmed and Embase searches identified over 10,000 articles (Figure 1). Of the 214 full
22 text papers assessed for eligibility, the majority were excluded due to the inability to extract data on
23 the age group of interest. Eighty one papers were included in the initial data analysis; however, a
24 further 24 papers had to be excluded due to the inability to extract raw data (data presented in
25 graphs) or the failure of the papers to report a total sample size (Figure 1).
26
27
28
29
30

31 i. Data from Clinical Studies

32
33 There were 20 studies included in the data analysis for a total sample size of 85,976
34 (Basalamah & Baroudi, 2016, Kose et al., 2013, Majorana et al., 2010, Amadori et al., 2017, Mumcu
35 et al., 2005, Unur et al., 2015, Garcia-Pola et al., 2002, Vučićević Boras et al., 2013, Kleinman et al.,
36 1994, Pessoa et al., 2015, Shulman, 2005, Bessa et al., 2004, dos Santos et al., 2004, Mathew et al.,
37 2008, Vieira-Andrade et al., 2015, Arendorf & van der Ross, 1996, Parlak et al., 2006, Vieira-Andrade
38 et al., 2013, M, 2016, Yilmaz et al., 2011). Overall, the most prevalent conditions were aphthous
39 ulcerations (1.82%), trauma-associated lesions (1.33%) and Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) associated
40 lesions (1.33%). Table 1 illustrates the top 20 conditions (overall and pooled percentages) identified
41 in these studies.
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 The most prevalent potentially malignant lesions were tobacco-induced lesions (0.33%),
49 leukoplakia (0.01%) and oral lichen planus (0.003%).
50

51
52 Stratifying by World Health Organization (WHO) geographic regions, 9 studies were from the
53 European region, 8 from the Region of the Americas and 1 each from the African, South-East Asia
54 and the Eastern Mediterranean regions respectively. The pooled relative frequency (random effect)
55 of oral conditions by WHO region is presented in Table 2. Pooled relative frequency of the most
56 common oral lesion varied between WHO regions, however, several conditions consistently
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 appeared in the top ten: Ulcers (4 regions), geographic tongue (migratory glossitis) (4 regions), HSV
4 lesions (3 regions), fissured tongue (3 regions), and trauma-associated lesions (3 regions).

5
6 Three studies (Flinck et al., 1994, George et al., 2008, Cetinkaya et al., 2011) were separately
7
8 analysed as they examined conditions only in new-borns ranging from 0 to 1 week old. Of a total
9
10 sample of 4080, the 3 most prevalent conditions were mucosal cysts (36.57%) followed by Bohn's
11
12 nodules (12.06%) and Epstein Pearls (8.95%)

13 14 15 ii. Data from Biopsy Records

16
17 The review analysed data from 34 studies for a total of 40,522 biopsies performed (Lawoyin,
18
19 2000, Munsamy et al., 2011, Jaafari Ashkavandi et al., 2014, Abdullah et al., 2016, Seyedmajidi et al.,
20
21 2011, Sixto-Requeijo et al., 2012, Sklavounou-Andrikopoulou et al., 2005, Gultelkin et al., 2003,
22
23 Jones & Franklin, 2006, Keszler et al., 1990, Kwok et al., 2015, Lima Gda et al., 2008, Maia et al.,
24
25 2000, Martins-Filho et al., 2015, Melo, 2011, Bataineh & Al-Dwairi, 2005, Shah et al., 2009, Sousa et
26
27 al., 2002, Colaci & Sfasciotti, 2013, Ataide et al., 2016, Cavalcante et al., 2016, Das & Das, 1993,
28
29 Zuniga et al., 2013, Krishnan et al., 2014, Laphthanasupkul et al., 2015, Dhanuthai et al., 2007, F, 2014,
30
31 Wang et al., 2009, Chen et al., 1998, Ha et al., 2014, Mieko, 2007, Chidzonga et al., 1996, Maaita,
32
33 2000, Taweevisit et al., 2018). Overall, the most common biopsied lesions were mucoceles (17.12%),
34
35 fibrous lesions (9.06%) and pyogenic granulomas (4.87%). The top 20 oral conditions (overall and
36
37 pooled percentages) are presented in Table 3.

38
39 Burkitt's lymphoma (0.26%), non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (0.12%), adenoid cystic carcinoma
40
41 (0.10%) and rhabdomyosarcoma (0.10%) were the most prevalent malignancies in children based on
42
43 biopsy studies.

44
45 Stratifying by World Health Organization (WHO) geographic regions, 13 studies were from
46
47 the Region of Americas, 5 each from the Western Pacific and Eastern Mediterranean regions, 4 each
48
49 from the European and South-East Asia regions, and 3 from the African region. The pooled
50
51 percentages (random effect) of oral conditions from each WHO region are presented in Table 4. As
52
53 with the data from the clinical studies, the most common biopsied lesion varied between WHO
54
55 regions. The most common lesions consistently reported were mucoceles (all regions), fibrous
56
57 lesions all regions) and pyogenic granulomas (5 regions).

58 59 60 B. Focused Studies on Malignant Lesions

Sixty-two papers, reporting exclusively on malignant lesions in children and adolescents
were identified. Forty-eight papers were excluded. The main reasons for exclusion were the inability

1
2
3 to extract data (N=23) or that the age range was outside of the interest group (N=13). Other reasons
4 included non-oral or unclear cancer diagnosis terminology (N=9) or the full text was unavailable or
5 not retrievable (N=3). Fourteen studies were retained and all were based on biopsy data (Arotiba,
6 1996, Budhy et al., 2001, Adebayo et al., 2001, Al-Khateeb et al., 2003, Aregbesola et al., 2005,
7 Creath et al., 1991, Iatrou et al., 2013, Mohtasham et al., 2015, Piloni et al., 2009, Abiose et al., 1991,
8 Effiom et al., 2008, Sato et al., 1997, Trobs et al., 2003, de Arruda et al., 2017). Stratifying by WHO
9 geographic regions, 5 studies were from the African region (Arotiba, 1996, Adebayo et al., 2001,
10 Aregbesola et al., 2005, Abiose et al., 1991, Effiom et al., 2008), 3 from the Americas (Creath et al.,
11 1991, de Arruda et al., 2017, Piloni et al., 2009), 2 each from the Eastern Mediterranean (Al-Khateeb
12 et al., 2003, Mohtasham et al., 2015) European (Iatrou et al., 2013, Trobs et al., 2003), and South
13 East Asia/Western Pacific regions (Budhy et al., 2001, Sato et al., 1997).

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 In the African region, the most consistently reported oral soft tissue malignancy in children
23 and adolescents appear to be rhabdomyosarcoma from 4 studies (Abiose et al., 1991, Arotiba, 1996,
24 Adebayo et al., 2001, Aregbesola et al., 2005). The last study was a Nigerian study that specifically
25 evaluated 233 cases of oral squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) over a 15-year period to characterise its
26 behaviour. They found 19 cases of oral SCCs in children aged 0 to 19 years of age (Effiom et al.,
27 2008). In this subset, oral SCCs was more common in males (N=15) and majority of the SCCs were
28 poorly differentiated (N=9) (Effiom et al., 2008).

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53 In the Americas region, Piloni et al reported 24 malignant lesions of 2434 biopsied lesions in
54 Argentinian children and adolescents between 1990-2005 (Piloni et al., 2009). Of these, 9 were
55 located in soft tissue; leiomyosarcoma (N=2, location: cheek), rhabdomyosarcoma (N=1, location:
56 lip), malignant fibrohistiocytoma: (N=1; location: cheek); non-hodgkin lymphoma (N=2, location:
57 sulcus), and SCC (N=1, location: tongue) (Piloni et al., 2009). The other study by de Arruda et al.
58 found 58 malignant conditions out of 9,411 histopathological records in individuals aged 0-19 years
59 old (de Arruda et al., 2017). Excluding hard tissue malignancies, 6 were SCC (location: tongue), 3
60 were mucoepidermoid carcinoma (location: jugal mucosa), 2 were leiomyosarcoma (location: cheek)
and 1 each of rhabdomyosarcoma (location: jugal mucosa) and adenocarcinoma (location: lip) (de
Arruda et al., 2017). The study by Creath et al was not comparable to the above studies as this study
focused exclusively on oral leukoplakia in a sample where smokeless tobacco use was high (Creath et
al., 1991).

54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

In the Eastern Mediterranean study by Mohtasham et al, the authors described the characteristics of non-SCC malignant oral neoplasms over a 43-year period (Mohtasham et al., 2015). Lymphomas (N=13) were the most prevalent malignant condition in children aged 0-19 years of age (Mohtasham et al., 2015). Unfortunately, the study did not detail the location of the lymphomas

1
2
3 thus it was unclear whether these were hard or soft tissue lesions. However, the study did clearly
4 stipulate the occurrence of other malignant oral lesions presenting on soft tissue. There was a single
5 case of undifferentiated sarcoma, fibrosarcoma, leiomyosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma each
6 (Mohtasham et al., 2015). In the other Eastern Mediterranean study by Al-Khateeb et al found 26
7 malignant lesions (out of 258) in North Jordanian children over a 10-year period (Al-Khateeb et al.,
8 2003). The most common malignant lesions were extra-nodal non-hodgkin's lymphoma (N=6) and
9 rhabdomyosarcoma (N=6). Although the location was specified in the study, the description given
10 was vague and thus making it difficult to definitively ascertain whether the lesions occurred
11 intraorally or extraorally (Al-Khateeb et al., 2003).

12
13 In Europe, a German study found 12 (13%) malignant oral lesions in children and adolescents
14 aged 0 to 16 years of age presenting to their institution between 1970 and 1999. Of these, 5
15 (rhabdomyosarcoma: N=2, fibrosarcoma: N=2, metastasis of neuroblastoma: N=1) presented either
16 in the cheek or lips (Trobs et al., 2003). Iatrou et al reported on orofacial tumours and tumour like
17 lesions in 211 Greek children aged between <1 and 15 years of age over an 11-year period and found
18 rhabdomyosarcoma (N=6) to be the most common malignant lesion. Majority of these were in hard
19 tissue and only 1 presented in soft tissue (i.e. cheek) (Iatrou et al., 2013).

20
21 In the South East Asia/Western Pacific regions, a study from Indonesia found SCC to be the
22 most common malignancy in children aged 0 to 19 years of age (Budhy et al., 2001). The study did
23 not specify the anatomic location of the lesions. This contrasted with the other Asian study in Japan
24 which reported that sarcoma was the most common (n=14 out of 18) malignant oral tumours in
25 their population (Sato et al., 1997). Majority of these lesions occurred in hard tissue and only 3 were
26 in soft tissue (i.e. buccal mucosa) (Sato et al., 1997).

27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 **DISCUSSION**

43
44 In many countries, paediatric dentists or general dentists are children's initial point of
45 contact for oral symptoms and therefore will frequently be the first to notice an oral mucosal lesion.
46 However, the broad scope and fast pace of Paediatric Dentistry may limit the provider's experience
47 with rarer oral mucosal disorders. To our knowledge, this review represents the first attempt to
48 quantify the global and region-specific relative frequency of oral mucosal disorders in the paediatric
49 sample. This study also systematically examined both clinical and histopathologic studies allowing
50 for a more complete description of the spectrum of mucosal disorders in children than previously
51 reported. Information on the relative lesion frequency from this review may serve as a guide for
52 deriving differential diagnosis for oral lesions encountered in children.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

In general, data from the clinical studies revealed similarities in the most commonly observed mucosal lesions in children across regions. They were trauma associated lesions (2.5% - 4.1%), fissured tongue (0.3 – 4.0%), oral ulcers (0.3 – 4.8%) and migratory glossitis (0.1 - 2.8%). An exception was the study by Arendorf et al., who found that angular cheilitis (15.1%) and commissural lip pits (9.6%) were the two most common oral mucosal lesions in South African preschool children (15.1%) which differed from other regions (Arendorf & van der Ross, 1996). Authors attributed the high frequency of angular cheilitis and commissural lip pits to be secondary to nutritional deficiencies and ethnicity predilection (Arendorf & van der Ross, 1996). Another possibility is the exclusion of commissural lip pits in the reporting of oral pathology in other studies.

In new-borns, mucosal cysts, Bohn's nodules and Epstein pearls were the top 3 most common lesions (Flinck et al., 1994, Cetinkaya et al., 2011, George et al., 2008). This finding is aligned with current knowledge from textbooks and reports from the literature (Neville BW, 2015). Although there were other studies that included new-borns in their sample, the specific data for this age group could not be extracted.

As with the data from the clinical studies, most of the lesions reported from biopsy samples were similar across regions; with pyogenic granuloma (2.4 – 20.3%), fibrous lesions (4.2 - 20.2%) and mucocele (5.8 - 20.0%) being the most common.

The qualitative review on malignant lesions found that variations in study design made the comparison of the results between studies difficult or impossible even in those originating from the same geographic region. However, it was clear that overall oral soft tissue malignancies were rare in children. Rhabdomyosarcomas appeared to be the most common oral soft tissue malignancy in majority of the geographic regions. Of note, the study by Budhy et al deviated from this trend and oral SCCs was reported to be most common malignancy in children (Budhy et al., 2001). The authors proposed that the low social economic status and poor nutritional status of the East Javan population may have contributed to this finding but this was not specific to children. The finding that Rhabdomyosarcoma being the most common oral soft tissue malignancy was also not align with the quantitative data from the biopsy review. This is likely due to the exclusion of malignant conditions presenting in hard tissue during the qualitative review on oral soft tissue malignancies.

A significant limitation of undertaking this review was the varying definitions across reports of what was considered "paediatric". For this review, age 20 years was chosen as the upper limit of what was considered "paediatric" as the blanket exclusion of all groups with older age thresholds would have inappropriately eliminated data addressing our research objective. Given the physiologic, developmental, and social differences between early childhood and adolescence, it would have been worthwhile to analyse the data by ages. However, varying maximum age of what

1
2
3 was considered “paediatric”, the arbitrary classification of lesions by age (e.g., 0-10 years old versus
4 11-20 years old) and the inability to extract raw data by age made this impossible.

5
6 Similar to other groups, our review noted several inherent limitations when combining and
7 interpreting data from studies with different study designs. We found significant differences in the
8 studies relative to study samples, diagnostic criteria, lesion nomenclature, and sampling time frame.
9 Although majority of clinical studies used the WHO guide to epidemiology and diagnosis of oral
10 mucosal diseases (Kramer et al., 1980), wide variations in lesion nomenclature across studies was
11 still present. The general observation was the failure of the studies to use the exact terminology
12 stipulated by the guide. As such, there was a need to make some assumptions regarding lesion
13 terminology. Initial data abstraction recorded the exact terminology from each included study.
14 These terms were then reviewed by 2 of the authors and combined for data analysis. In the majority
15 of the cases, the decision to combine terms was straightforward (recurrent aphthous stomatitis and
16 aphthous ulcerations). In instances where it was unclear, the term was left unchanged or grouped
17 based on aetiology (e.g. HSV associated lesion rather than primary or recurrent HSV) to avoid
18 misinterpretation of the data presented in the primary source.
19

20
21 Despite the limitations, a scoping review on the relative frequencies of oral lesions in
22 children is the first step towards defining paediatric oral medicine. The management of all oral
23 mucosal lesions does not clearly fall within the scope of Paediatric Dentistry and patients may be
24 referred to either Oral Medicine or other (e.g., Oral Pathology, Oral Surgery, Periodontology)
25 specialties for evaluation and treatment. Oral Medicine specialists are dentists that are concerned
26 with the diagnosis and management of oral and medical conditions that affect the oral and
27 maxillofacial region, but often lack experience working with children. The question then lies as to
28 whom and how should these two oral specialties collaborate to manage oral lesions affecting the
29 children. To address this, collaboration between Oral Medicine and Paediatric Dentistry is essential
30 to ensure that children affected with common oral conditions are promptly diagnosed and managed
31 within Paediatric Dentistry; while those afflicted with rarer and potentially more severe disorders
32 are quickly referred to the appropriate specialists for management. Joint Paediatric Dentistry/Oral
33 Medicine clinics specific for the diagnosis and management of oral mucosal lesions in children, while
34 ideal, are uncommon and only available in select institutions. Thus, a good starting point may be to
35 utilize information from this report to refine the curricula in Paediatric Dentistry and Oral Medicine
36 specialty training programmes to reflect lesion epidemiology and the global burden of disease.
37 Emphasis can be placed on the identification, diagnosis, and management of lesions most commonly
38 encountered in practice and also on rare life-threatening diseases with significant potential impact
39 on a patient’s quality of life. Our next steps are to elicit input from educators of Paediatric Dentistry
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 specialty programmes on current oral medicine curricula and the considerations made when
4 determining the scope of oral medicine content in their paediatric programmes. Additionally, a
5 focused survey of paediatric dentists and oral medicine specialists who deliver “joint” clinics aim to
6 elicit whether conditions managed in this clinical setting mirrors the results reported here. We hope
7 information from both initiatives will provide another element to better define the paediatric oral
8 medicine and direct delineation of practice of oral medicine in children across paediatric dentists
9 and oral medicine specialists.
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

17
18 The authors gratefully acknowledge the following organizations and companies that
19 provided financial support for WWOM VII: American Academy of Oral Medicine, European
20 Association of Oral Medicine, The British Society for Oral Medicine, The National Institute of Dental
21 and Craniofacial Research, Oral Diseases, Henry Schein Cares, Colgate, Xerostomia, Dermtreat, The
22 World Dental Education Foundation, and Unilever.
23
24
25

26
27 In addition, the authors would like to express their sincere appreciation for the
28 opportunity to collaborate with the WWOM VII Steering Committee. This committee provided the
29 conceptual framework and logistical support to produce the WWOM VII Conference in September
30 2018 in Gothenburg, Sweden. In addition, the Steering Committee provided scientific and editorial
31 critiques of this manuscript. The entire Steering Committee is listed below, in alphabetical order:
32 Martin S. Greenberg (USA), Timothy A. Hodgson (UK), Siri Beier Jensen (Denmark), A. Ross Kerr
33 (USA), Peter B. Lockhart (USA), Giovanni Lodi (Italy), Douglas E. Peterson (USA).
34
35
36
37

38
39 Lastly, the authors would like to thank our consultants Sabine Jurge and Andres Pinto, who
40 provided valuable suggestions to authors.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Abdullah BH, Jabbar Abdul Qader OA and Mussedi OS (2016). Retrospective analysis of 1286 oral and maxillofacial biopsied lesions of Iraqi children over a 30 years period. *Pediatric Dental Journal* **26**: 16-20.
- Abiose BO, Ogunniyi J and Oyejide O (1991). Oral soft tissue malignancies in Ibadan, Nigeria. *African journal of medicine and medical sciences* **20**: 107-13.
- Adebayo ET, Ajike SO and Adekeye EO (2001). Tumours and tumour-like lesions of the oral and perioral structures of Nigerian children. *International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery* **30**: 205-8.
- Al-Khateeb T, Al-Hadi Hamasha A and Almasri NM (2003). Oral and maxillofacial tumours in north Jordanian children and adolescents: a retrospective analysis over 10 years. *International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery* **32**: 78-83.
- Amadori F, Bardellini E, Conti G and Majorana A (2017). Oral mucosal lesions in teenagers: a cross-sectional study. *Ital J Pediatr* **43**: 50.
- Aregbesola SB, Ugboko VI, Akinwande JA, Arole GF and Fagade OO (2005). Orofacial tumours in suburban Nigerian children and adolescents. *The British journal of oral & maxillofacial surgery* **43**: 226-31.
- Arendorf TM and van der Ross R (1996). Oral soft tissue lesions in a black pre-school South African population. *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology* **24**: 296-7.
- Arotiba GT (1996). A study of orofacial tumors in Nigerian children. *Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery : official journal of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons* **54**: 34-8; discussion 39.
- Ataide AP, Fonseca FP, Santos Silva AR, Jorge Junior J, Lopes MA and Vargas PA (2016). Distribution of oral and maxillofacial lesions in pediatric patients from a Brazilian southeastern population. *International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology* **90**: 241-244.
- Basalamah M and Baroudi K (2016). Prevalence of oro-dental anomalies among schoolchildren in Sana'a city, Yemen. *Eastern Mediterranean health journal = La revue de sante de la Mediterranee orientale = al-Majallah al-sihhiyah li-sharq al-mutawassit* **22**: 33-8.
- Bataineh A and Al-Dwairi ZN (2005). A survey of localized lesions of oral tissues: a clinicopathological study. *The journal of contemporary dental practice* **6**: 30-9.
- Bessa CF, Santos PJ, Aguiar MC and do Carmo MA (2004). Prevalence of oral mucosal alterations in children from 0 to 12 years old. *Journal of oral pathology & medicine : official publication of the*

1
2
3 *International Association of Oral Pathologists and the American Academy of Oral Pathology* **33**: 17-
4 22.

5
6 Budhy TI, Soenarto SD, Yaacob HB and Ngeow WC (2001). Changing incidence of oral and
7 maxillofacial tumours in East Java, Indonesia, 1987-1992. Part 2: Malignant tumours. *The British*
8 *journal of oral & maxillofacial surgery* **39**: 460-4.

9
10 Cavalcante RB, Turatti E, Daniel AP, de Alencar GF and Chen Z (2016). Retrospective review of oral
11 and maxillofacial pathology in a Brazilian paediatric population. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* **17**: 115-22.

12
13 Cetinkaya M, Oz FT, Orhan AI, Orhan K, Karabulut B, Can-Karabulut DC and Ilk O (2011). Prevalence
14 of oral abnormalities in a Turkish newborn population. *International dental journal* **61**: 90-100.

15
16 Chen KJ, Gao SS, Duangthip D, Lo ECM and Chu CH (2018). Prevalence of early childhood caries
17 among 5-year-old children: A systematic review. *J Investig Clin Dent*: e12376.

18
19 Chen YK, Lin LM, Huang HC, Lin CC and Yan YH (1998). A retrospective study of oral and maxillofacial
20 biopsy lesions in a pediatric population from southern Taiwan. *Pediatric dentistry* **20**: 404-10.

21
22 Chidzonga MM, Lopez VM and Portilla Alvarez AL (1996). Orofacial biopsies: a survey of 1,723 cases
23 seen over a 10 year period. *The Central African journal of medicine* **42**: 102-8.

24
25 Colaci R and Sfasciotti G (2013). Most common oral mucosal lesions in children: Prevalence and
26 differential diagnosis. *WedmedCentral DENTISTRY* **4**.

27
28 Commission on Dental Accreditation (2000). Accreditation Standards for Advanced Specialty
29 Education Programs in Pediatric Dentistry.

30
31 Creath CJ, Cutter G, Bradley DH and Wright JT (1991). Oral leukoplakia and adolescent smokeless
32 tobacco use. *Oral surgery, oral medicine, and oral pathology* **72**: 35-41.

33
34 Das S and Das AK (1993). A review of pediatric oral biopsies from a surgical pathology service in a
35 dental school. *Pediatric dentistry* **15**: 208-11.

36
37 de Arruda JAA, Silva LVO, Kato C, Schuch LF, Batista AC, Costa NL, Tarquinio SBC, Rivero ERC, Carrard
38 VC, Martins MD, Sobral APV and Mesquita RA (2017). A multicenter study of malignant oral and
39 maxillofacial lesions in children and adolescents. *Oral Oncol* **75**: 39-45.

40
41 Dental Board of Australia (2016). Entry-level competencies: paediatric dentistry. In: Dental Board of
42 Australia, ed.

43
44 Dhanuthai K, Banrai M and Limpanaputtajak S (2007). A retrospective study of paediatric oral lesions
45 from Thailand. *International journal of paediatric dentistry* **17**: 248-53.

46
47 dos Santos PJ, Bessa CF, de Aguiar MC and do Carmo MA (2004). Cross-sectional study of oral
48 mucosal conditions among a central Amazonian Indian community, Brazil. *Journal of oral pathology*
49 *& medicine : official publication of the International Association of Oral Pathologists and the*
50 *American Academy of Oral Pathology* **33**: 7-12.

- 1
2
3 Duangthip D, Gao SS, Lo EC and Chu CH (2017). Early childhood caries among 5- to 6-year-old
4 children in Southeast Asia. *International dental journal* **67**: 98-106.
- 5
6 Dye BA, Hsu KL and Afful J (2015). Prevalence and Measurement of Dental Caries in Young Children.
7
8 *Pediatric dentistry* **37**: 200-16.
- 9
10 Effiom OA, Adeyemo WL, Omitola OG, Ajayi OF, Emmanuel MM and Gbotolorun OM (2008). Oral
11 squamous cell carcinoma: a clinicopathologic review of 233 cases in Lagos, Nigeria. *Journal of oral*
12 *and maxillofacial surgery : official journal of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial*
13 *Surgeons* **66**: 1595-9.
- 14
15
16 F L (2014). Retrospective study of biopsied oral and maxillofacial lesions in pediatric patients from
17 Southern Taiwan. *Journal of Dental Sciences* **9**.
- 18
19
20 Flinck A, Paludan A, Matsson L, Holm AK and Axelsson I (1994). Oral findings in a group of newborn
21 Swedish children. *International journal of paediatric dentistry* **4**: 67-73.
- 22
23
24 Furlanetto DL, Crighton A and Topping GV (2006). Differences in methodologies of measuring the
25 prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in children and adolescents. *International journal of paediatric*
26 *dentistry* **16**: 31-9.
- 27
28
29 Garcia-Pola MJ, Garcia-Martin JM and Gonzalez-Garcia M (2002). Prevalence of oral lesions in the 6-
30 year-old pediatric population of Oviedo (Spain). *Medicina oral : organo oficial de la Sociedad*
31 *Espanola de Medicina Oral y de la Academia Iberoamericana de Patologia y Medicina Bucal* **7**: 184-
32
33
34 91.
- 35
36 George D, Bhat SS and Hegde SK (2008). Oral findings in newborn children in and around Mangalore,
37 Karnataka State, India. *Medical principles and practice : international journal of the Kuwait*
38 *University, Health Science Centre* **17**: 385-9.
- 39
40
41 Gultelkin SE, Tokman B and Turkseven MR (2003). A review of paediatric oral biopsies in Turkey.
42 *International dental journal* **53**: 26-32.
- 43
44
45 Ha WN, Kelloway E, Dost F and Farah CS (2014). A retrospective analysis of oral and maxillofacial
46 pathology in an Australian paediatric population. *Australian dental journal* **59**: 221-5.
- 47
48
49 Iatrou I, Theologie-Lygidakis N, Tzerbos F and Schoinohoriti OK (2013). Oro-facial tumours and
50 tumour-like lesions in Greek children and adolescents: an 11-year retrospective study. *Journal of*
51 *cranio-maxillo-facial surgery : official publication of the European Association for Cranio-Maxillo-*
52 *Facial Surgery* **41**: 437-43.
- 53
54
55 Jaafari Ashkavandi Z, Ahmadi Sheshdeh Z and Kamali F (2014). Orofacial pathologic lesions in
56 children and adolescents: a clinicopathological study in southern iran. *Iran J Pediatr* **24**: 307-12.
- 57
58
59 Jones AV and Franklin CD (2006). An analysis of oral and maxillofacial pathology found in children
60 over a 30-year period. *International journal of paediatric dentistry* **16**: 19-30.

- 1
2
3 Keszler A, Guglielmotti MB and Dominguez FV (1990). Oral pathology in children. Frequency,
4 distribution and clinical significance. *Acta odontologica latinoamericana : AOL* **5**: 39-48.
5
6 Kleinman DV, Swango PA and Pindborg JJ (1994). Epidemiology of oral mucosal lesions in United
7 States schoolchildren: 1986-87. *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology* **22**: 243-53.
8
9 Kose O, Guven G, Ozmen I, Akgun OM and Altun C (2013). The oral mucosal lesions in pre-school and
10 school age Turkish children. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology :*
11 *JEADV* **27**: e136-7.
12
13 Kramer IR, Pindborg JJ, Bezroukov V and Infirri JS (1980). Guide to epidemiology and diagnosis of oral
14 mucosal diseases and conditions. World Health Organization. *Community dentistry and oral*
15 *epidemiology* **8**: 1-26.
16
17 Krishnan R, Ramesh M and Paul G (2014). Retrospective evaluation of pediatric oral biopsies from a
18 dental and maxillofacial surgery centre in salem, Tamil Nadu, India. *J Clin Diagn Res* **8**: 221-3.
19
20 Kwok EY, Dovigi EA, Eversole LR and Dovigi AJ (2015). Pediatric Oral Pathology: A Retrospective
21 Survey of 4,554 Biopsies. *Pediatric dentistry* **37**: 546-9.
22
23 Laphthanasupkul P, Juengsomjit R, Klanrit P, Taweechaisupapong S and Poomsawat S (2015). Oral and
24 maxillofacial lesions in a Thai pediatric population: a retrospective review from two dental schools.
25 *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand = Chotmaihet thangphaet* **98**: 291-7.
26
27 Lawoyin JO (2000). Paediatric oral surgical pathology service in an African population group: a 10
28 year review. *Odonto-stomatologie tropicale = Tropical dental journal* **23**: 27-30.
29
30 Lima Gda S, Fontes ST, de Araujo LM, Etges A, Tarquinio SB and Gomes AP (2008). A survey of oral
31 and maxillofacial biopsies in children: a single-center retrospective study of 20 years in Pelotas-
32 Brazil. *Journal of applied oral science : revista FOB* **16**: 397-402.
33
34 M Y (2016). Prevalence of Oral Mucosal Lesions In Children. *Int J Odontostomat*.
35
36 Maaita JK (2000). Oral tumors in children: a review. *The Journal of clinical pediatric dentistry* **24**: 133-
37 5.
38
39 Maia DM, Merly F, Castro WH and Gomez RS (2000). A survey of oral biopsies in Brazilian pediatric
40 patients. *ASDC journal of dentistry for children* **67**: 128-31, 83.
41
42 Majorana A, Bardellini E, Flocchini P, Amadori F, Conti G and Campus G (2010). Oral mucosal lesions
43 in children from 0 to 12 years old: ten years' experience. *Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology,*
44 *oral radiology, and endodontics* **110**: e13-8.
45
46 Martins-Filho PR, de Santana Santos T, Piva MR, da Silva HF, da Silva LC, Mascarenhas-Oliveira AC
47 and de Souza Andrade ES (2015). A Multicenter Retrospective Cohort Study on Pediatric Oral
48 Lesions. *J Dent Child (Chic)* **82**: 84-90.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Mathew AL, Pai KM, Sholapurkar AA and Vengal M (2008). The prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in
4 patients visiting a dental school in Southern India. *Indian journal of dental research : official*
5 *publication of Indian Society for Dental Research* **19**: 99-103.
6
7
8 Melo MMM (2011). Oral and maxillofacial biopsied lesions in Brazilian pediatric patients: A 16-year
9 retrospective study. *Rev Odonto Cienc* **26**.
10
11 Mieko T (2007). Oral conditions in Japanese Infants: A retrospective study. *Pediatric Dental Journal*
12 **17**.
13
14 Mohtasham N, Saghravanian N, Goli M and Kadeh H (2015). Oral Non Squamous Cell Malignant
15 Tumors in an Iranian Population: a 43 year Evaluation. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention :*
16 *APJCP* **16**: 8215-20.
17
18 Mumcu G, Cimilli H, Sur H, Hayran O and Atalay T (2005). Prevalence and distribution of oral lesions:
19 a cross-sectional study in Turkey. *Oral diseases* **11**: 81-7.
20
21 Munsamy C, Mahomed F and Rikhotso E (2011). A 20-year retrospective study of oral and
22 maxillofacial histopathology in a South African paediatric population sample. *SADJ : journal of the*
23 *South African Dental Association = tydskrif van die Suid-Afrikaanse Tandheekkundige Vereniging* **66**:
24 268-71.
25
26 Neville BW DD, Allen CM, Chi AC (2015). Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology. Chapter 1. Developmental
27 Defects of the Oral and Maxillofacial Region. 6th Edition. Saunders, p. 928.
28
29 Parlak AH, Koybasi S, Yavuz T, Yesildal N, Anul H, Aydogan I, Cetinkaya R and Kavak A (2006).
30 Prevalence of oral lesions in 13- to 16-year-old students in Duzce, Turkey. *Oral diseases* **12**: 553-8.
31
32 Pessoa CP, Alves TD, dos Santos NC, dos Santos HL, Azevedo Ade C, dos Santos JN and Oliveira MC
33 (2015). Epidemiological survey of oral lesions in children and adolescents in a Brazilian population.
34 *International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology* **79**: 1865-71.
35
36 Piloni MJ, Molina G and Keszler A (2009). Malignant oral-maxillary neoplasm in children and
37 adolescents. A retrospective analysis from the biopsy service at a school of dentistry in Argentina.
38 *Acta odontologica latinoamericana : AOL* **22**: 233-8.
39
40 Rioboo-Crespo Mdel R, Planells-del Pozo P and Rioboo-Garcia R (2005). Epidemiology of the most
41 common oral mucosal diseases in children. *Medicina oral, patologia oral y cirugia bucal* **10**: 376-87.
42
43 Sato M, Tanaka N, Sato T and Amagasa T (1997). Oral and maxillofacial tumours in children: a review.
44 *The British journal of oral & maxillofacial surgery* **35**: 92-5.
45
46 Seyedmajidi M, Hamzehpoor M and Bagherimoghaddam S (2011). Localized lesions of oral cavity: A
47 clinicopathological study of 107 cases. *Research Journal of Medical Sciences* **5**: 67-72.
48
49 Shah SK, Le MC and Carpenter WM (2009). Retrospective review of pediatric oral lesions from a
50 dental school biopsy service. *Pediatric dentistry* **31**: 14-9.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Shulman JD (2005). Prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in children and youths in the USA.
4
5 *International journal of paediatric dentistry* **15**: 89-97.
- 6 Sixto-Requeijo R, Diniz-Freitas M, Torreira-Lorenzo JC, Garcia-Garcia A and Gandara-Rey JM (2012).
7
8 An analysis of oral biopsies extracted from 1995 to 2009, in an oral medicine and surgery unit in
9
10 Galicia (Spain). *Medicina oral, patologia oral y cirugia bucal* **17**: e16-22.
- 11 Sklavounou-Andrikopoulou A, Piperi E, Papanikolaou V and Karakoulakis I (2005). Oral soft tissue
12
13 lesions in Greek children and adolescents: a retrospective analysis over a 32-year period. *The Journal*
14
15 *of clinical pediatric dentistry* **29**: 175-8.
- 16 Sousa FB, Etges A, Correa L, Mesquita RA and de Araujo NS (2002). Pediatric oral lesions: a 15-year
17
18 review from Sao Paulo, Brazil. *The Journal of clinical pediatric dentistry* **26**: 413-8.
- 19
20 Specialty Advisory Committee for Paediatric Dentistry (2009). Specialty Training Curriculum
21
22 Paediatric Dentistry. In: The Royal College of Surgeons of England, ed. *SAC/CDWP: MLH2009 FINAL*.
- 23 Tawevisit M, Tantidolthanes W, Keelawat S and Thorner PS (2018). Paediatric oral pathology in
24
25 Thailand: a 15-year retrospective review from a medical teaching hospital. *International dental*
26
27 *journal* **68**: 227-234.
- 28 Trobs RB, Mader E, Friedrich T and Bennek J (2003). Oral tumors and tumor-like lesions in infants
29
30 and children. *Pediatric surgery international* **19**: 639-45.
- 31 Unur M, Bektas Kayhan K, Altop MS, Boy Metin Z and Keskin Y (2015). The prevalence of oral
32
33 mucosal lesions in children: a single center study. *J Istanbul Univ Fac Dent* **49**: 29-38.
- 34
35 Vale EB, Ramos-Perez FM, Rodrigues GL, Carvalho EJ, Castro JF and Perez DE (2013). A review of oral
36
37 biopsies in children and adolescents: A clinicopathological study of a case series. *J Clin Exp Dent* **5**:
38
39 e144-9.
- 40 Vieira-Andrade RG, Martins-Junior PA, Correa-Faria P, Marques LS, Paiva SM and Ramos-Jorge ML
41
42 (2015). Impact of oral mucosal conditions on oral health-related quality of life in preschool children:
43
44 a hierarchical approach. *International journal of paediatric dentistry* **25**: 117-26.
- 45 Vieira-Andrade RG, Martins-Junior PA, Correa-Faria P, Stella PE, Marinho SA, Marques LS and Ramos-
46
47 Jorge ML (2013). Oral mucosal conditions in preschool children of low socioeconomic status:
48
49 prevalence and determinant factors. *European journal of pediatrics* **172**: 675-81.
- 50 Vučićević Boras V, Andabak Rogulj A, Alajbeg I, Skrinjar I, Brzak BL, Brailo V, Vidović Juras D and
51
52 Verzak Z (2013). The prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in Croatian children. *Paediatrica Croatica* **57**:
53
54 235-238.
- 55 Wang YL, Chang HH, Chang JY, Huang GF and Guo MK (2009). Retrospective survey of biopsied oral
56
57 lesions in pediatric patients. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association = Taiwan yi zhi* **108**: 862-
58
59 71.
60


1
2
3 Yilmaz AE, Gorpelioglu C, Sarifakioglu E, Dogan DG, Bilici M and Celik N (2011). Prevalence of oral
4 mucosal lesions from birth to two years. *Nigerian journal of clinical practice* **14**: 349-53.

5
6 Zuniga MD, Mendez CR, Kauterich RR and Paniagua DC (2013). Paediatric oral pathology in a Chilean
7 population: a 15-year review. *International journal of paediatric dentistry* **23**: 346-51.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Oral Diseases - Manuscript Copy

Figure 1: PRISMA Diagram



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

Oral Diseases - Manuscript Copy

Table 1: Top 20 most frequent (overall and pooled) oral conditions based on clinical studies

Condition	Total cases (out of 85976)	Relative Frequency (%)	Number of Studies	Pooled Relative Frequency (%)	95% CI (%)
Aphthous ulcer	1569	1.82	15	1.75	1.05-2.61
HSV ^a lesions	1145	1.33	13	1.38	0.79-2.13
Trauma-associated lesions	1145	1.33	10	3.55	1.99-5.55
Migratory glossitis	1106	1.29	17	2.08	1.33-2.97
Candidiasis	1029	1.20	13	1.34	0.13-3.68
Ulcer	410	0.48	8	2.45	0.50-5.76
Cheilitis	381	0.44	14	1.37	0.49-2.64
Hyperkeratosis	292	0.34	7	1.38	0.53-2.59
Tobacco-induced	274	0.32	4	0.21	0.03-0.54
Melanotic macule	273	0.32	8	2.92	0.52-7.10
Hairy tongue	237	0.28	4	0.70	0.09-1.79
Fordyce granule	212	0.25	8	1.91	0.70-3.67
HPV ^b lesions	185	0.22	13	0.59	0.24-1.06
Mucocele	174	0.20	12	0.89	0.35-1.65
Fissured tongue	168	0.20	13	0.90	0.36-1.66
Nevi	158	0.18	2	1.44	1.22-1.68
Coated tongue	129	0.15	1	23.84	20.31-27.67
Commissural lip pits	115	0.13	3	2.46	0.00-9.32
Other (NOS ^c)	108	0.13	1	0.25	0.20-0.30
Tumours (NOS ^c)	84	0.10	2	0.15	0.12-0.19

^aHerpes Simplex Virus, ^bHuman Papilloma Virus, ^cNot otherwise specified

Table 2: Top 10 most frequent (pooled) oral conditions by WHO regions based on clinical studies

African Region (Arendorf & van der Ross, 1996)			Eastern Mediterranean Region (Basalamah & Baroudi, 2016)			European Region (Kose et al., 2013, Amadori et al., 2017, Mumcu et al., 2005, Unur et al., 2015, Garcia-Pola et al., 2002, Vučićević Boras et al., 2013, Parlak et al., 2006, Yilmaz et al., 2011, Majorana et al., 2010)		
Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)	Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)	Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)
Cheilitis	15.13	13.01-17.44	Fissured tongue	4.00	2.87-5.41	Trauma-associated lesions	3.48	1.21-6.58
Commissural lip pits	9.61	7.90-11.55	Ankyloglossia	1.80	1.07-2.83	Fistula	3.21	1.97-4.91
Trauma-associated lesions	2.47	1.62-3.60	Migratory glossitis	0.90	0.41-1.70	Ulcers	3.20	2.79-3.64
Migratory glossitis	1.62	0.95-2.58	Macroglossia	0.40	0.11-1.02	Candidiasis	2.87	0.55-6.85
HSV ^a lesions	0.95	0.46-1.75	Hairy tongue	0.30	0.06-0.87	Hyperkeratosis	2.78	2.42-3.17
Pigmented lesions	0.76	0.33-1.49				Epstein pearls	2.68	1.16-5.20
Fissured tongue	0.57	0.21-1.24				Migratory glossitis	2.15	1.20-3.35
Ulcers	0.48	0.15-1.11				Aphthous ulcer	2.09	0.95-3.63
HPV ^b lesions	0.48	0.15-1.11				HSV ^a lesions	1.91	1.04-3.03
Necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis	0.19	0.02-0.69				Cheilitis	1.08	0.37-2.13
Region of the Americas (Kleinman et al., 1994, Pessoa et al., 2015, Shulman, 2005, Bessa et al., 2004, dos Santos et al., 2004, M, 2016, Vieira-Andrade et al., 2015, Vieira-Andrade et al., 2013)			South-East Asia Region (Mathew et al., 2008)			Western Pacific Region (No studies)		
Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)	Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)	Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)
Coated tongue	23.84	20.31-27.67	Fordyce granule	0.50	0.19-1.09			
Other inflammatory lesions (NOS ^c)	8.61	5.93-12.00	Hyperkeratosis	0.42	0.14-0.98			
Melanotic macule	7.35	1.34-17.53	Ulcers	0.34	0.09-0.86			
Pyogenic granuloma	5.28	3.21-8.12	Aphthous ulcer	0.34	0.09-0.86			
Ulcers	4.76	0.00-16.78	Fissured tongue	0.34	0.09-0.86			
Fordyce granule	4.74	0.16-14.71	Migratory glossitis	0.08	0.00-0.47			
Trauma-associated lesions	4.12	1.17-8.72	Mucocele	0.08	0.00-0.47			
Migratory glossitis	2.79	1.32-4.77	HSV ^a lesions	0.08	0.00-0.47			
Peripheral ossifying fibroma	2.50	1.15-4.69	Candidiasis	0.08	0.00-0.47			
Dermatologic disorders	2.50	1.15-4.69	Tobacco-induced	0.08	0.00-0.47			

^aHerpes Simplex Virus, ^bHuman Papilloma Virus, ^cNot otherwise specified

Table 3: Top 20 most frequent (overall and pooled) oral conditions based on biopsy reviews

Condition	Total cases (out of 40522)	Percentage (%)	Number of studies	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)
Mucocele	6938	17.12	27	16.70	13.27-20.43
Fibrous lesions	3671	9.06	30	7.36	5.33-9.67
Pyogenic granuloma	1975	4.87	31	6.38	4.87-8.08
Dental follicle	1462	3.61	19	4.93	3.39-6.72
Human Papilloma Virus lesions	1136	2.80	27	2.38	1.76-3.08
Chronic inflammation	998	2.46	19	4.55	3.13-6.21
Giant cell lesions (soft tissue)	971	2.40	21	3.75	2.36-5.43
Hyperkeratosis	842	2.08	9	3.26	1.06-6.57
Peripheral ossifying fibroma	509	1.26	16	1.89	1.31-2.58
Gingivitis	487	1.20	10	1.33	0.62-2.28
Gingival hyperplasia	409	1.01	10	2.06	0.76-3.94
Haemangioma	393	0.97	24	2.09	1.43-2.86
Ulcer	148	0.37	11	1.25	0.71-1.92
Lymphangioma	135	0.33	13	1.06	0.61-1.62
Sialadenitis	119	0.29	8	0.75	0.29-1.40
Burkitt's lymphoma	107	0.26	9	1.12	0.21-2.63
Melanotic macule	90	0.22	4	0.64	0.22-1.26
Pleomorphic adenoma	90	0.22	14	0.70	0.27-1.29
Nevus	89	0.22	9	0.53	0.26-0.89
Neurofibroma	80	0.20	12	0.48	0.27-0.75

Table 4: Top 10 most frequent (pooled) oral conditions by WHO regions based on biopsy reviews

African Region (Chidzonga et al., 1996, Lawoyin, 2000, Munsamy et al., 2011)			Eastern Mediterranean Region (Jaafari Ashkavandi et al., 2014, Abdullah et al., 2016, Bataineh & Al-Dwairi, 2005, Seyedmajidi et al., 2011, Maaaita, 2000)			European Region (Sixto-Requeijo et al., 2012, Sklavounou-Andrikopoulou et al., 2005, Gultelkin et al., 2003, Jones & Franklin, 2006)		
Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)	Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)	Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)
Pyogenic granuloma	11.54	6.28-18.13	Pyogenic granuloma	20.27	10.75-31.73	Fibrous lesions	16.41	1.09-44.29
Mucocele	9.11	7.81-10.50	Fibrous lesions	20.18	2.78-46.43	Mucocele	15.73	9.24-23.43
Fibrous lesions	5.38	4.37-6.48	Giant cell lesions (Soft tissue)	14.59	8.94-21.25	Pyogenic granuloma	8.82	2.46-18.54
Epidermoid carcinoma	4.26	2.69-6.38	Lymphangioma	10.47	6.32-16.03	Giant cell lesions (Soft tissue)	7.22	0.89-18.77
Burkitt's lymphoma	4.01	0.21-11.98	Peripheral ossifying fibroma	7.12	5.16-9.53	Chronic inflammation	6.18	1.96-12.51
Peripheral ossifying fibroma	3.97	2.96-5.21	Hyperkeratosis	6.33	5.27-7.49	HPV ^b lesions	3.17	0.39-8.37
Gingival cyst	3.88	2.38-5.92	Mucocele	5.78	4.76-6.89	Peripheral ossifying fibroma	1.77	0.03-5.74
Benign soft tissue neoplasm NOS ^a	3.58	2.62-4.76	Non-specific lesions	5.68	4.48-7.08	Hyperkeratosis	1.66	1.30-2.08
Pleomorphic adenoma	2.81	2.08-3.63	Haemangioma	4.29	0.86-10.01	Sialadenitis	1.63	1.28-2.05
Haemangioma	2.41	1.56-3.43	Neurilemmoma	4.07	1.65-8.21	Gingivitis	1.48	1.14-1.88
Region of the Americas (Keszler et al., 1990, Kwok et al., 2015, Lima Gda et al., 2008, Maia et al., 2000, Martins-Filho et al., 2015, Melo, 2011, Shah et al., 2009, Sousa et al., 2002, Vale et al., 2013, Ataide et al., 2016, Cavalcante et al., 2016, Das & Das, 1993, Zuniga et al., 2013)			South-East Asia Region (Krishnan et al., 2014, Laphthanasupkul et al., 2015, Dhanuthai et al., 2007, Taweevisit et al., 2018)			Western Pacific Region (F, 2014, Wang et al., 2009, Chen et al., 1998, Ha et al., 2014, Mieko, 2007)		
Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)	Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)	Condition	Pooled relative frequency (%)	95% CI (%)
Mucocele	19.23	13.69-25.47	Mucocele	20.02	12.62-28.61	Mucocele	18.62	7.91-32.54
Remaining lesions in the inflammatory group	11.43	10.18-12.78	Pyogenic granuloma	7.25	4.07-11.23	Chronic inflammation	10.23	5.29-16.54
Fibrous lesions	7.15	5.22-9.35	Nevi	5.65	3.04-9.47	Epstein Pearls	5.13	2.68-8.79
Hyperkeratosis	4.68	0.06-15.51	Fibrous lesions	5.08	3.71-6.65	Fibrous lesions	4.23	1.27-8.76
Non-diagnostic	4.53	3.75-5.41	Hyperkeratosis	2.61	0.96-5.59	Normal tissue	3.14	0.24-8.91
Chronic inflammation	3.90	2.15-6.14	Adenoid cystic carcinoma	2.52	1.76-3.49	Laceration	2.99	1.21-6.07
Pyogenic granuloma	3.35	2.66-4.12	Haemangioma	2.09	0.29-5.33	Ulcer	2.52	1.54-3.72
Gingival hyperplasia	3.25	1.21-6.16	Chronic inflammation	1.99	1.49-2.56	Pyogenic granuloma	2.42	1.94-2.95
HPV ^b lesions	2.66	1.96-3.45	Rhabdomyosarcoma/ Incisive Canal Cyst/ Ewing's Sarcoma/ Plasmacytoma/ Round Cell Tumour	1.03	0.03-5.61	Haemangioma	2.05	1.00-3.45
Giant cell lesions (Soft tissue)	2.54	1.66-3.58				Candidiasis	1.96	1.20-3.00

^aNot otherwise specified, ^bHuman Papilloma Virus

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Oral Diseases - Manuscript Copy