Seminars in Cancer Biology Review

Unraveling the crosstalk between melanoma and immune cells in the tumor

microenvironment

Monica Marzagalli^a, Nancy D. Ebelt^b and Edwin R. Manuel^{b,*}

^aDepartment of Pharmacological and Biomolecular Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano,

Milano, Italy

^bDepartment of Immuno-Oncology, Beckman Research Institute of City of Hope, Duarte,

California, United States of America

*Corresponding author:

Edwin R. Manuel City of Hope, 1500 E. Duarte Rd Duarte, California, 91010 USA +1-626-218-2452 emanuel@coh.org

Keywords: cutaneous melanoma; tumor microenvironment; innate and adaptive immunity; immunotherapy; immune escape; suppression; metastasis; checkpoint inhibition; cytokine therapy; vaccination; microRNA; metabolites; Treg; MDSC

Abstract Word Count: 263

Main Body Word Count: 7,247

Figures: 2

Abstract

Cutaneous melanoma is the most common skin cancer with an incidence that has been rapidly increasing in the past decades. Melanomas are among the most immunogenic tumors and, as such, have the greatest potential to respond favorably to immunotherapy. However, like many cancers, melanomas acquire various suppressive mechanisms, which generally act in concert, to escape innate and adaptive immune detection and destruction. Intense research into the cellular and molecular events associated with melanomagenesis, which ultimately lead to immune suppression, has resulted in the discovery of new therapeutic targets and synergistic combinations of immunotherapy, targeted therapy and chemotherapy. Tremendous effort to determine efficacy of single and combination therapies in pre-clinical and clinical phase I-III trials has led to FDAapproval of several immunotherapeutic agents that could potentially be beneficial for aggressive, highly refractory, advanced and metastatic melanomas. The increasing availability of approved combination therapies for melanoma and more rapid assessment of patient tumors has increased the feasibility of personalized treatment to overcome patient and tumor heterogeneity and to achieve greater clinical benefit. Here, we review the evolution of the immune system during melanomagenesis, mechanisms exploited by melanoma to suppress anti-tumor immunity and methods that have been developed to restore immunity. We emphasize that an effective therapeutic strategy will require coordinate activation of tumor-specific immunity as well as increased recognition and accessibility of melanoma cells in primary tumors and distal metastases. This review integrates available knowledge on melanoma-specific immunity, molecular signaling pathways and molecular targeting strategies that could be utilized to envision therapeutics with broader application and greater efficacy for early stage and advanced metastatic melanoma.

1. Introduction

The recent rise of immunotherapies has led to an old, but revolutionary, concept of cancer treatment based on the improved activation of the endogenous immune system against cancer cells (1-3). The relevance of the field is well represented by the joint contribution of the 2018 Nobel Prize recipients for Physiology or Medicine James P. Allison and Tasuku Honjo for their discovery of cancer therapy by inhibition of negative immune checkpoint regulation (4, 5). The first immune-checkpoint inhibitors, antibodies that specifically target the immunoregulatory molecules cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (anti-CTLA-4, Ipilimumab) and programmed cell death protein 1 (anti-PD-1, Nivolumab), were approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2011 and 2014, respectively, for the treatment of unresectable or metastatic melanoma, thus enormously improving the management of this aggressive cancer, and doubling the median survival for metastatic disease (6, 7).

Malignant melanoma represents one of the most immunogenic tumors, which means that it has incredibly high genomic mutational load and has the highest potential to elicit specific adaptive antitumor immune responses. It serves as an excellent model for the evaluation of innovative immunotherapies such as checkpoint inhibitors as well as anticancer vaccines and engineered chimeric antigen receptor T cells (CAR T cells) (8-10). Moreover, melanoma may be vulnerable to a newer cohort of checkpoint inhibitors targeting B- and T-lymphocyte attenuator (BTLA), T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain-3 (TIM-3) and lymphocyte-activation gene 3 (LAG-3) that continue to be areas of intense research (11). Despite these major advances in cancer immunotherapy, a large subset of melanoma patients do not respond or relapse due to primary or acquired resistance, resulting in 40 to 65% treatment failure for patients treated with anti-PD-1, and treatment failure in over 70% of patients treated with anti-CTLA-4 (12).

The plasticity of melanoma cells leads to a phenomenon called "immune escape", whereby cancer cells acquire a less immunogenic phenotype and the ability to suppress anti-tumor immune cells within the tumor microenvironment (TME) (13, 14). While many factors contributing to immune escape have been elucidated, a therapeutic strategy to completely re-instill curative anti-tumor immunity has not yet been realized. This review will describe the immune landscape participating in the initial control of pre-malignant cells and then will highlight the molecular and cellular "crosstalk" exploited by melanoma to reprogram immune cells and the TME to cause immune escape and progression to advanced disease. Finally, this review will shed light on the innovative immunotherapies that are currently under investigation with the aim to rescue anti-tumor immunity.

2. Immune surveillance in melanoma

2.1 Immunity and melanoma

The immune system is generally thought to keep the body in a state of homeostasis by defending against infection and disease caused by bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites. However, it is now generally accepted that the immune system also functions to constantly survey and eliminate pre-cancerous cells to prevent progression to melanoma (15-19). In most cases, intracellular check points are engaged within a malfunctioning cell that leads to a process of self-destruction, or apoptosis, negating the need for immunity. However, in instances when pre-malignant cells do not properly undergo apoptosis, the immune system must quickly act to prevent further transformation and the potential for immune escape (20-22).

As in infection, both the innate and adaptive arms of immunity must work together to eliminate both pre-malignant and early stages of melanoma, and to provide long-term protection from potential relapse (23-26). For this to occur, the innate compartment must quickly eliminate tumor cells and act to recruit adaptive immune cells, present tumor antigens through major histocompatibility complexes (MHC) and provide the proper co-stimulatory signaling through surface receptors and/or cytokines to generate a long-term, tumor-specific memory population. Cytokines involved in this process include interleukins (IL), interferons (IFN) and colony stimulating factors (CSF), which can be activating or suppressive in nature. Orchestrating an effective, anti-tumoral response, especially after negative selection in the thymus that leads to self-tolerance of tumor cells, seems to be a nearly impossible task. However, melanoma tends to be incredibly immunogenic, generating neoantigens through chromosomal rearrangements or genetic polymorphisms that can mimic "foreign" infection and thus potentially elicit cytotoxic responses (27-31). Many of the key innate and adaptive subsets capable of anti-tumor activity have been identified in independent studies of melanoma and other solid malignancies (23, 32). The most effective therapeutic strategy should integrate as many of these immune subsets, without causing significant toxicity, for the greatest clinical benefit (33). Here, we focus on the inherent anti-tumor functions of both innate and adaptive immune subsets that are postulated to control pre-malignant cells during early stages of melanomagenesis.

2.2 Innate immunity

The fast and non-specific anti-tumor responses elicited by innate immunity are not only critical in preventing and controlling early stages of melanoma, but also in priming robust adaptive immunity to provide long-term, tumor-specific immune surveillance. Several therapeutic strategies to inhibit melanoma growth have specifically focused on the activation of the anti-tumor activities of naive or differentiated innate subsets found within tumors, which includes, but are not limited to, macrophages, polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN), natural killer (NK) cells and dendritic cells (DC) (33, 34). These innate cells comprise an immune system within the skin known as the skin-associated lymphoid tissue (SALT) (35). It is important to note that innate cells are incredibly plastic and can acquire both pro- and/or anti-tumor functions depending on cell-cell or tumor-cell engagement and soluble factors present in the microenvironment (36, 37). Here, we first discuss the anti-tumor activities that can be exerted by innate immune cells.

Tumor-resident macrophages, PMN, NK and DC are among the first to contribute to immediate, non-specific cytotoxicity against melanoma cells. Through activating NK receptors (NKG2D,

NKp30, NKp46, DNAM-1) and agonists present on the surface of melanoma cells, NK are independently activated to eliminate melanoma cells that have significantly downregulated their MHC class I molecules (23, 38). Indeed, previous studies have found that IL-15 stimulation of NK cells is sufficient to cause regression of MHC class I low melanomas in mice. It has been demonstrated that the expression of NK receptor ligands by melanoma cells can be both dependent on tumor progression (acute *vs.* late recognition) and localization (primary *vs.* metastatic and in different metastatic sites). For example, NKp44 and NKp46 are expressed on lymph node metastasis but in a lesser extent in skin metastasis. The expression of DNAM-1 ligands, such as nectin-2 (CD112) and PVR (poliovirus receptor), is independent of the anatomical site and tumor stage, and the disruption of their interaction with DNAM-1 is responsible of the loss of cytotoxicity and of failed tumor rejection. DNAM+ NK cells showed higher cytotoxicity with respect of DNAM- NK cells, despite the two populations shared the same positivity for both NKp46 and NKG2D, suggesting the relevance of DNAM-1 signaling in activating NK cells against melanomas, at least during early recognition and lymph node metastasis (38).

In addition to direct tumor interactions, NK cytolytic activity can be induced through DCs activated by soluble antigenic peptides present in the TME (23, 39). In general, NK cells poorly infiltrate primary cutaneous melanomas and mostly accumulate in the peritumoral space, however, during regression, they can be observed more dispersed throughout the tumor tissue (40-42). Intratumoral NK cells (activated) can then indirectly contribute to recruitment and maturation of antigen-presenting cells through the secretion of cytokines such as CXCL1 (CXC-motif ligand 1) and CCL5 (CC-motif ligand 5). Macrophages, PMN and DC that are recruited to tumor tissue can then phagocytose apoptotic or dead melanoma cells or debris and cross-present tumor antigens that drive secondary adaptive immune responses involving CD4 helper and CD8 effector T cells (CD4 Th and CD8 T_{eff} cells, respectively) (43-45). Interestingly, several studies in pre-clinical melanoma models have shown that soluble factors secreted by activated T cells in turn induce anti-tumor activity of innate immune cells (macrophages and granulocytes) to assist in primary tumor growth control and minimize lung metastases (46).

As professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs), DCs are among the most efficient in eliciting cytotoxic T cell responses against infection and malignancy. DCs circulate and survey various tissues throughout the entire body, ultimately migrating to lymph nodes where interactions with naive or memory T cells occur (39). Mature DCs express a plethora of co-stimulatory markers, including CD80 and CD86 (cluster of differentiation 80 and 86, respectively), which are essential for activation of melanoma-specific T cells (47, 48). The T cell receptor (TCR)-MHC class I interaction, co-stimulatory markers and proper cytokines (IL-12, IFN- γ) produced by DCs and helper T cells are requisite for the proper development of melanoma-specific, cytotoxic T cells (49, 50). Ultimately, functional effector T cells must be recruited to melanomas through a chemokine gradient (CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11) generated by DCs or tumor-associated stroma (51, 52). Inefficiency in any or all of these steps can lead to compounding deficiencies in adaptive tumor-specific immunity. Overall, DCs exert a protective role against melanoma tumors as evidenced by high frequency of DCs in tumor-negative sentinel lymph nodes (53-55).

Anti-tumor macrophages and neutrophils, designated M1 and N1, respectively, have been studied extensively for their potential use as immunotherapy for melanoma (56-61). These innate subsets exert anti-tumoral effects through phagocytosis, secretion of tumoricidal agents (reactive oxygen species, nitric oxide, IFN- γ , Fas ligand/FasL) or assemble other tumor-specific immune cells through secretion of chemotactic factors. Interestingly, while macrophages can stimulate adaptive T cell responses, a reciprocal relationship also exists whereby activated Th1 cells generate tumor-killing macrophages through the expression of IFN- γ , CD40 ligand and lymphotoxin-alpha. Use of microbial agents (Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) and vaccinia virus) have been shown to be effective against melanoma by inducing the anti-microbial, cytotoxic functions of macrophages. Topical agents, such as ingenol-3-angelate, are known to recruit neutrophils in cutaneous melanomas and induce their N1 anti-tumor functions (62, 63). Similarly, we found that the primary immune population mediating tumor growth control of subcutaneous melanomas, following

intravenous administration of a tumor-colonizing, *Salmonella*-based therapy, were cytotoxic PMN responding to the bacterial vector (64, 65). In both cases of neutrophil-mediated killing, cytotoxic activity was contained within tumor tissue, minimizing adverse effects to healthy tissue that would normally be observed with radiotherapy or chemotherapy. Adoptively transferred M1- and N1-polarized cells generated *in vivo* with GM-CSF (granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor) treatment have also been shown to eliminate melanoma in recipient mice (66-70). Overall, the innate immune system plays a critical role in first-line defense against melanoma and will likely be indispensable for generating effective anti-tumor immunity using immunotherapy.

2.3 Adaptive immunity

Long-term memory responses critical for life-long melanoma remission involve the activation and expansion of adaptive immune cells, namely helper CD4+ T cells and cytotoxic or memory CD8+ T cells. As previously mentioned, DC, and to some extent macrophages, are the most capable in priming adaptive immunity to incite cytotoxicity of CD8+ effector T cells and also mediate generation of memory immune populations involved in long-term remission. DC are initially activated in the tumor bed in the presence of cytosolic melanoma DNA through the cGAS-STING pathway (71-74). Soluble tumor antigens from necrotic melanoma cells are engulfed by DC and macrophages and proteolytically processed for direct presentation or cross presentation to naïve T cells in tumor-draining lymph nodes (TDLNs) via MHC class I and II molecules. In some instances, neutrophils have also been shown to present antigen in TDLN, although their efficiency to elicit T cell responses is incredibly low (75). Cytokines, such as interferon- γ (IFN- γ) and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), play pivotal roles in activation of melanoma-specific T cells during TCR-MHC interactions and are expressed by DCs and macrophages of the M1 phenotype.

While neo-antigens expressed by melanoma cells would be the most immunogenic, i.e. most likely to expand cytotoxic T cells, self-antigens expressed at high levels could potentially break tolerance and activate low-affinity CD8+ T cells. It is becoming more apparent, however, that more than just overexpression of wildtype peptide sequences from melanoma cells is needed to induce effective anti-tumor responses from tolerant or low-affinity T cells. Hence, the use of checkpoint inhibitors, such as anti-PD1/PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4, and adjuvants in combination with self-antigen cancer vaccines is almost requisite (76, 77). Currently, identification of neo-antigens broadly expressed by melanomas is an area of intense research. Indeed, vaccines encoding neo-antigens expressed by a single melanoma can be therapeutically beneficial as determined in preclinical models of melanoma utilizing a single melanoma cell line or graft. However, neo-antigens are not identical from patient to patient or even from distal melanomas within the same individual, thus requiring development of highly personalized vaccines, which can be both cost- and labor-intensive with no guarantee of success (78, 79).

Once CD8+ T cells are sufficiently primed and activated, naturally or through vaccination, they begin to seek out and induce apoptosis of melanoma cells through the release of perforin and granules, which then provides additional antigens for presentation and expansion of melanomaspecific T cells (80). Life-long remission can then be achieved with this repeating cycle (**Figure 1**), but in many cases, a select population of resistant melanomas subvert immune recognition and destruction by downregulating antigen presentation machinery, direct suppression of both innate and adaptive immune cells or expansion of immune-suppressive subsets.

3. Melanoma cross-talk leading to immune escape

3.1 Hallmark of cancer: Immune escape

The existing relationship between cancer cells and immune cells can be described following the 3 E's rule (Elimination, Equilibrium, Escape). During early phases, transformed cells are actively eliminated by immune cells, thus impeding tumor initiation. Due to the high plasticity of tumor cells, and the eventual development of favorable mutations, a subset of transformed cells can acquire properties that lead to immune evasion. During "equilibrium", tumor initiation is achieved by selection and expansion of the "immune-resistant" clones, but the host's immune system is able to control tumor outgrowth through continuous elimination of "immune-sensitive" clones. The last phase is characterized by immune escape: cells that are most fit to evade immunity are free to proliferate leading to tumor progression, analogous to Darwinian selection (9, 81).

Escape mechanisms are easily developed by cells with high plasticity. Malignant melanoma represents one of the most immunogenic tumors due to its high mutational burden, but plasticity of melanoma cells allows them to adapt to a hostile immune microenvironment. In this context, tumor cells can acquire different immunogenic features, as well as the ability to produce immunomodulatory molecules that can, in turn, affect immune cell activation or the composition of the immune infiltrate within the tumor (82). The immunogenic ty of melanoma cells is due to the expression of tumor-associated antigens (TAAs), highly immunogenic proteins that can be divided into three classes:

- Lineage-specific markers that are overexpressed in melanoma cells, such as MART-1 (Melanoma Antigen Recognized by T cells), tyrosinase and gp100 (glycoprotein 100);
- 2. Cancer-testis (CT) antigens, physiologically expressed (low levels) by adult germ cells and placenta, but aberrantly over-expressed by cancer cells;
- 3. Neoantigens, antigens originating from somatic mutations.

Melanoma cells can escape T cell recognition through: i) the downregulation of TAAs, ii) defects/deletions in antigen processing machinery that may include proteasome subunits or transporters associated with antigen processing (TAP) and/or iii) the downregulation of MHC molecules, typically through β 2-microglobulin mutations. By reducing antigen presentation alone, melanoma cells are capable of becoming virtually "invisible" to the immune system (9, 83-85). On the other hand, melanoma cells are not only restricted to a single avenue of immune escape: the high antigen load within the tumor microenvironment can contribute itself to T cell exhaustion and failed tumor control, thus adding to the complexity, and difficulty, of curative treatments (Figure 2). It is important to note that melanoma cells are able to affect the behavior of stromal cells, namely cancer-associated

fibroblasts (CAFs), in order to promote the recruitment of pro-tumor immune cells (86, 87). CAFs are known to promote cancer cell proliferation and invasion (88), and other findings demonstrated that these cells can participate to the evolution of an immunosuppressive environment, both within the tumor bulk and in metastatic niches, through the release of immunomodulatory factors. It has been recently demonstrated that melanoma-derived extracellular vesicles can induce a pro-inflammatory signature in lung fibroblasts (upregulation of cytokines and chemokines, including IL-1 α , IL-1 β , CXCL10, CXCL1, CCL2, CCL3 and CCL5), thus enhancing the recruitment of myeloid-derived cells such as neutrophils (86). Moreover, proinflammatory pathways, eventually associated with BRAF mutations, can in turn induce the expression of PD-1 ligands and COX-2 on CAFs, contributing to the immune suppression (89). CAFs can favor immune escape through the secretion of MMPs and the secretion of Prostaglandin E2 (PGE₂), affecting melanoma cell susceptibility to NK-mediated lysis (90), eventually mediated by a decreased surface expression of the activating receptor NKp44 (91). T cell functions can be also affected by CAFs: the secretion of cytokines (i.e., CXCL5) can induce the expression of PD1 on cancer cells (92), and the CAFs-mediated metabolic stress of CD8+ T cells limits their functions against tumor cells (93).

This section will highlight the mechanisms exploited by melanoma cells to communicate with cells of the tumor microenvironment in order to gain an immunosuppressing, tumor-promoting, setting.

3.2 Cell-cell contact

In order to elicit an efficient antitumor immune response, T cells must be fully activated by two costimulatory signals. The first one is MHC-T cell receptor (TCR) interactions and depends on DC or tumor cell antigen presentation; if only this signal is present, T cells are not completely stimulated and become anergic. The complimentary signal is represented by the expression of co-stimulatory molecules on T cells (e.g. CD28), that bind to their cognate receptors on antigen presenting cells (e.g. CD80, CD86). Similarly, inhibitory receptors (e.g. CTLA-4, PD-1, PD-L1, B7-H2, B7-H3) trigger negative stimuli that lead to T cell anergy (94). It has been demonstrated that melanoma suppresses T cell activation through upregulation of co-inhibitory molecules such as

PD-L1 (95, 96) and that the overexpression of PD-1 in T cells continuously exposed to cancer antigens leads to T cell anergy (97). Indeed, it has been demonstrated that the high antigen load is involved in CD8+ cell dysfunction and exhaustion, so that despite melanomas are highly immunogenic, T cells are not able to restrain tumor growth. Dysfunctional T cells are characterized by high levels of clonal expansion together with the overexpression of checkpoint molecules (i.e., PD-1, TIM-3, LAG-3): thus, exhaustion might be induced by antigen-driven interactions with melanoma cells (98, 99). From a functional point of view, dysfunctional T cells lose their effector functions (i.e., cytotoxicity) while maintaining the proliferative capacity, at least early during the acquisition of the exhausted phenotype (100). In an elegant study, Schietinger A. et al were able to follow the activation state of T cells during tumor initiation and progression. The authors demonstrated that the chronic antigen presentation, eventually by nonprofessional presenting cells (such as tumor cells), in a non-inflammatory context, is responsible for the induction of a programmed, alternative, dysfunctional differentiation program in T cells. Such program is triggered early during tumor initiation, even in pre-malignant lesions, evolving to a "fixed" dysfunctional state as tumor progresses. In this context, a comparative whole-genome transcriptomic analysis showed that "early" and "late" dysfunctional T cells share a signature related to the inhibition of their effector functions (e.g. Tbx21, Eomes, Id2, Gzmk, Ccr5, Cxcr3). Conversely, the early phase is characterized by the downregulation of genes involved in activating T cell functions (e.g., Foxo1, Foxp1, Tcf7, Klf2) and the late phase by the upregulation of genes involved in reducing immune function (e.g., Egr1, Batf, Blimp, Lag3, *Ctla4*) (101). T cell dysfunction seems to be reversible during early phases, becoming irreversible over time. The transition from the dynamic and the fixed states is epigenetically imprinted: the two conditions are characterized by different chromatin assets, leading to a differential gene expression. For example, in early dysfunction, T cells showed low expression of CD38, CD101, CD30L and high expression of CD5, while late dysfunction is characterized by the opposite pattern (102). Otherwise, other studies demonstrated that PD-1-expressing T cells chronically stimulated by tumor antigens undergo fast proliferation followed by apoptosis due to a microenvironment-driven DNA damage. In this way, an equilibrium is reached between proliferation and death, impeding T cells expansion and leading to failed control of tumor outgrowth (103). The expression of immune-checkpoint molecules by melanoma cells, or by tumor-associated immune or stromal cells, formed the rationale for the development of the immune-checkpoint inhibitor therapies (i.e., PD-1/PD-L1/CTLA-4 axis inhibitors), that have been approved for the treatment of unresectable, metastatic melanoma. However, most patients show primary or acquired resistance and, eventually, tumor relapse. Unfortunately, melanoma cells are known to exploit alternative mechanisms to suppress the immune system such as upregulation of TIM-3, LAG-3 and BTLA which can compensate for PD-1 or CTLA-4 axis inhibition, thus sustaining immunosuppression (104-108).

3.3 Pro-tumor cytokines and chemokines

Cancer cells are able to shape the local immune landscape through the recruitment of protumor, and the suppression or exclusion of anti-tumor, immune subsets. In this context, the secretion of cytokines and chemokines by melanoma cells is often mediated through the hyperactivation of NFkB signaling pathways (109). Pro-inflammatory events initiated by melanomas result in the recruitment of innate immune cells, which include neutrophils, macrophages and DCs. As previously discussed, DCs can process tumor antigens and migrate to regional lymph nodes where they can prime effector T cells (T_{eff}) (85), thus representing the functional bridge between innate and adaptive immunity. Melanoma cells, however, impair DC recruitment and maturation through vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and transforming growth factor (TGF)- β secretion, which impedes T cell targeting of tumor cells (107, 110). Moreover, dysregulation of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in melanoma cells, often related to aggressive cancer cell subsets (i.e., cancer stem cells), leads to defective CCL4 production, which in turn impairs DC and T cell recruitment while also inducing resistance to anti-PD-1 therapies (107, 111). IL-37b is another factor involved in anti-tumor suppression that mediates the downregulation of costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 on APCs (12), resulting in suboptimal activation and dramatic impairment of T_{eff} cells.

In addition to the impairment of DC-mediated T cell recruitment, melanoma cells can redirect the production of cytokines to favor recruitment of pro-tumor T cells while rejecting T_{eff} cells. Melanoma growth and progression have been correlated with the presence of CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells (T_{reg}) within the tumor in B16F10 tumor-bearing mice and in melanoma patients (112). Physiologically, T_{reg} functions are required for maintaining selftolerance, thus providing suppressive control over antigen-specific T_{eff} cells. Thus, it is not surprising that their recruitment by melanoma cells represents a strategy to evade elimination. T_{reg} produce immunosuppressive cytokines and chemokines, such as IL-10, IL-35 and TGF- β , and can also engage DC directly through CTLA-4 in order to inhibit antitumor immune responses (113, 114). Furthermore, they can inhibit effector functions of Natural Killer (NK) cells through expression of membrane bound TGF- β which is responsible for NK cell downregulation of the Natural Killer Group 2D receptor (NKG2D) (115).

The ratio of T_{eff}/T_{reg} within the tumor has a predictive value in immunotherapeutic responses (116). A study by Shabaneh et al. demonstrated that T_{reg} cells have an important role not only in late phases of anti-tumor suppression, but also in early phases of tumor development. In this study, an inducible PTEN/BRAF melanoma mouse model was utilized to demonstrate the importance of BRAF oncogenic signaling in the recruitment of regulatory cells, driven by CCL2, CCL17 and CCL22 (117). Moreover, defective production of cytokines and chemokines involved in T cell homing was observed, contributing to an imbalance between T_{eff} and T_{reg} cells. Among these molecules, IFN- γ expression contributed to both a tumor-supportive and a tumor-suppressive immune environment. Aberrant IFN- γ signaling has been associated with the downregulation of the Jak1/2 pathway in tumor cells and subsequent reduced production of CXCL9 and CXCL10 within the TME, two important chemotactic molecules responsible of T cell migration and infiltration (118). Epigenetic silencing of genes encoding chemotactic molecules is one mechanism that has been described in melanoma (85).

Melanoma cells recruit and modify the function of macrophages (M) and neutrophils (N) within the TME. These inflammatory, phagocytic cells can behave in an anti-tumor (M1 and N1) or in a pro-tumor (M2 and N2) fashion, depending on the signals received within the TME. Macrophages are recruited by secretion of CCL2 (MCP-1, monocyte-chemoattractant protein-1) and it has been reported that the expression levels of this chemokine is determinant for the induction of a pro-tumor or an anti-tumor setting, with high levels favoring tumor rejection and low-to-intermediate levels sustaining tumor growth (119). A similar biphasic effect is achieved by VEGF-C, involved in macrophage recruitment in addition to pro-angiogenic processes (120). Tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) are frequently polarized toward a M2 phenotype because of the secretion of TGF- β (121). IL-10 plays a pivotal role in the ability of macrophage to modulate immune responses, which functions to downregulate MHC class II antigens and upregulate the costimulatory molecule B7, leading to poor antigen-presentation and the inhibition of T cells (121). Other M2 tumor-supportive features are related to the production of matrix metalloproteases (MMPs), involved in tumor invasion, and the production of proangiogenic molecules such as VEGF to mediate extravasation of tumor cells (122).

Together with DCs, neutrophils are among the first to respond to tumor-mediated inflammatory signals. Malignant melanomas produce chemokines that lead to neutrophil infiltration during tumor initiation and progression. Particularly, the mobilization of neutrophils is achieved by molecules that bind to CXCR2, which includes CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL5 and CXCL8 (123). Interestingly, UVB radiation exposure, one of the well-known causes of melanoma, induces the production of CXCL1 and CXCL8 and the recruitment of anti-tumor neutrophils (124). Like macrophages, neutrophils can be polarized to become predominantly anti-tumor (N1) or pro-tumor (N2). The neutrophils role in tumor initiation and progression has been matter of debate: it seems that tumor initiation is characterized by the presence of N1 neutrophils that mediate melanoma cell killing, while in late stages N2 neutrophils are the most abundant phenotype and have a role in tumor progression (125, 126). To date, emerging clinical evidence support the finding that a high

neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) represents poor prognostic outcomes and is a negative predictive indicator of immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy success (127, 128). The specific mechanisms that drive neutrophil phenotypic switching are not fully understood, but may be related to the complex network of soluble mediators within the TME. Tumor-derived IFN- β has been demonstrated to be involved in the induction of the N1 phenotype (59), thus limiting the pro-angiogenic and pro-invasive properties of tumor-associated neutrophils (129). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that circulating tumor cells (CTCs) promote the establishment of metastasis through the secretion of G-CSF (granulocyte colony stimulating factor) and CXCL6, and subsequent recruitment of N2 neutrophils (130). Pro-tumor neutrophils can contribute to immune evasion orchestrated by melanoma cells through the expression of immune checkpoint proteins (i.e., PD-L1), the overexpression of other immunosuppressive molecules, such as IDO (indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase) and iNOS (inducible nitric oxide synthase), or the secretion of molecules involved in the recruitment of T_{reg} (i.e. IL-17) (131, 132).

Melanoma is also known to recruit myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC). MDSC are comprised of immature precursors of DCs, macrophages and neutrophils, usually retained within the bone marrow, but that can be mobilized upon appropriate stimuli. Their expansion and migration can be induced by inflammatory molecules produced during chronic inflammation and cancer progression, such as GM-CSF, IL-6, IL-10, IFN- γ and VEGF (103) and a central role seems to be played by CCR5 ligands in melanomas: CCL3, CCL4 and CCL5. Importantly, an enriched CCR5⁺MDSC infiltrate within the melanoma microenvironment has been observed in mouse models, and the administration of CCR5-Ig fusion protein leads to melanoma growth inhibition associated with impaired MDSC trafficking (104). The inhibitory role of MDSCs on anti-tumor immunity is due to: 1) the production of NO (nitric oxide) and Arg-1 (arginase 1), inducing T cell apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, 2) high expression of PD-L1, inducing T cell exhaustion, 3) upregulation of IDO, leading to T cell anergy and 4) secretion of IL-10 and TGF- β , suppressing T cell trafficking (103). The presence of MDSC in cancer tissue is another potential prognostic indicator for immune-checkpoint inhibitor therapy treatment, and has been reported as a predictive marker of response to ipilimumab in melanoma patients (105).

3.4 Metabolic mediators.

Cancer cells can escape immunosurveillance or evade immunotherapies *via* metabolic reprogramming. Metabolic mediators can drive immunosuppressive signaling and essential substrate consumption by cancer cells can cause metabolic depletion leading to anergy of immune cells.

Cancer cells require high nutrient consumption in order to support tumor growth. Their plastic phenotype allows for rapid reprogramming of cell metabolism for survival in hostile conditions such as hypoxia, as well as the ability to activate "unconventional" metabolic pathways, such as glycolysis, even in the presence of normal oxygen levels (Warburg effect). The increase of glucose consumption by melanoma cells leads to glucose deprivation for cells within the TME whose metabolism is strikingly glycolytic. In this context, glucose deprivation inhibits T cell proliferation and activation, dampening anti-tumor immune responses. CD28 is involved in multiple pathways related to T cell activation, such as the upregulation of the glucose transporter GLUT1, which when silenced, significantly impairs T cell functions (133). It has been demonstrated that the production of IFN- γ , cytolytic activity and cell cycle progression of T cells are regulated by glucose consumption (134). Moreover, recent studies show that the increase of oxidative metabolism that can occur in melanoma cells consumes oxygen from the TME leading to oxygen deprivation for T cells (135).

Lactate derived from cancer cell glycolysis represents another metabolite involved in immune cell suppression. In particular, it has been involved in the reduction of antigen-presenting efficiency of DCs (136). Furthermore, as a consequence of excessive amounts of lactate, extracellular acidosis causes the inhibition of NK and T cells in mouse models of melanoma (137), and the neutralization of acidic conditions improves response to immune-checkpoint inhibitor

therapies (138). Amino acid availability within the TME is crucial for T cells that, similar to other immune cells, are unable to synthesize amino acids (i.e., tryptophan, glutamine, arginine). Malignant melanoma has been demonstrated to be highly dependent on glutamine metabolism that fuels oxidative phosphorylation by entering the TCA (tricarboxylic acid cycle) after conversion to glutamate and then α -ketoglutarate (139). The glutamine addiction of melanoma cells restricts glutamine availability for T cells, preventing proper T cell activation (140).

L-arginine metabolism in melanoma has been associated with immunosuppression (141). Arginine uptake is crucial because its endogenous synthesis rate is not sufficient to sustain highly proliferative cells, and studies have demonstrated that downregulation of arginosuccinate synthetase in melanoma cells renders them unable to generate arginine (142, 143). High arginine uptake by cancer cells leaves little for T cells, leading to reduced proliferation and survival (141, 144). Importantly, L-arginine is the precursor for NO synthesis. NO is a crucial immunomodulatory factor that exerts its suppressive effects though inhibition of T cell proliferation and function. Moreover, reactive nitrogen species, such as peroxynitrite, are known to induce apoptosis of T cells (145, 146).

In contrast to glutamine and arginine, which are directly consumed by tumor cells, tryptophan deficiency within the TME is due to the upregulation of the catabolic enzyme IDO in tumor cells and MDSC (147, 148). Physiologically, this enzyme is involved in tolerance during pregnancy to prevent rejection of the fetus and it can thus be exploited by tumor cells as a mechanism of immune escape. In fact, it is frequently overexpressed by cancer cells and its upregulation has been associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis (149). Regarding melanoma, its expression has been correlated with Breslow thickness and PD-L1 expression, and it negatively correlates with progression-free survival (150, 151). Mechanistically, local tryptophan deprivation is signaled through glucokinase, an amino acid-sensing kinase that in turn triggers downstream pathways such as mTORC1 (mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1) inhibition

and the consequent activation of the autophagic process, leading to T cell anergy (152). Another mechanism implicated in T cell anergy due to tryptophan deficiency is the activation of stress sensors such as GCN2 (general control nonderepressible 2), which senses the lack of tryptophan-charged tRNAs and induces a stress response that limits protein translation. Moreover, GCN2 activation promotes T_{reg} differentiation and activation (153). However, recent evidence has demonstrated that this sensor does not affect immunity in B16 melanoma tumors, and this could be due to the maintenance of adequate tryptophan levels within the TME despite its catabolism through IDO (154).

IDO catalyzes the conversion of tryptophan to kynurenine, that can directly act as an immunosuppressive molecule. Increased kynurenine in the TME directly inhibits NK cell cytolytic activity through the downregulation of activating receptors (NKp44, NKp30, and NKG2D) (155). In other cell types, kynurenine binds to the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR), a ligand-dependent transcription factor that, once activated, promotes the differentiation of T_{reg} cells, reduces the immunogenicity of APCs and induces the upregulation of PD-1 expression on T_{eff} cells (156). Another metabolic product that acts as an immunosuppressive mediator is adenosine obtained from ATP through the activity of the ectonucleotidases CD39 and CD73. Specifically, the release of intracellular ATP is followed by its conversion to AMP by CD39, and subsequently AMP undergoes dephosphorylation by CD73. Hypoxic conditions, as well as extracellular stresses, represent the driving events: the induction of the transcription factor HIF1a (hypoxia inducible factor 1) in response to low oxygen levels promotes the expression of CD39 and CD73 both on cancerous and non-cancerous cells (i.e., endothelial cells and lymphocytes) (157, 158). The A2A high-affinity adenosine receptor has been implicated in the immunosuppressive effect of this molecule because of its high expression levels on immune cells. Specifically, adenosine has been shown to inhibit NK infiltration and function, to impair macrophage activation and to favor T_{reg} cell maturation, while impairing T_{eff} cell priming, proliferation and cytokine release (159-161). Furthermore, increased production of adenosine has been observed in melanoma progression during immunotherapy (i.e., during adoptive T cell transfer or immune-checkpoint blockade), and it has been attributed to the phenotype switch of melanoma cells. Thus, adenosine can be implicated both in immune escape and in mechanisms leading to adaptive resistance (162).

3.5 MicroRNAs (miRNAs)

MicroRNAs are small, 20-25 nucleotide-long, non-coding RNAs that are involved in the attenuation or complete inhibition of protein translation. Their binding to a specific RNA is due to their complimentary nucleotide sequence: a fully complimentary sequence leads to mRNA degradation and inhibition of protein expression, whereas a partial complementarity is responsible for the attenuation of protein expression (163). Several miRNAs have been implicated in cancer progression and both oncogenic and tumor-suppressor miRNAs have been recognized. The overexpression of oncogenic miRNAs by cancer cells often leads to the inhibition tumor suppressor proteins (i.e., apoptotic proteins, proteins involved in cell differentiation or in cell cycle regulation), and the downregulation of tumor-suppressor miRNAs leads to aberrant expression of proteins involved in cell proliferation) (164). MiRNAs can modulate intracellular processes, as well as be transferred to nearby cells for cross-talk within the TME. In order to overcome degradation by RNAses in the extracellular space, miRNAs are carried by transporters, such as proteins (argonaute, ARG), high-density lipoproteins (HDL), or extracellular vesicles (exosomes) (163).

miRNAs are involved in the modulation of the immune microenvironment in malignant melanoma. The miR-30b/-30d cluster, upregulated in melanoma cells, has been associated with GalNAc transferase 7 (polypeptide N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase 7) downregulation, which impairs recruitment of T_{eff} cells and increases infiltration of T_{reg} following increased IL-10 secretion (165). Recently, a panel of miRNAs (miR-146a, miR-155, miR-125b, miR-100, let-7e, miR-125a, miR-146b, miR-99b), carried from tumor cells by extracellular vesicles, have been implicated in monocyte conversion to MDSC and in immune-checkpoint inhibitor resistance in melanoma

patients (166). Among other miRNAs, miR-210 is upregulated by hypoxic conditions in melanoma cells and impairs the susceptibility of tumor cells to T cell-mediated lysis (167). MiR-21 and -29a are known to target anti-angiogenic pathways, thus promoting tumor angiogenesis, and genes involved in M1 macrophage polarization (168). The role of miR-155 is more controversial because it can exert both immune-promoting and immune-suppressive effects (169). It has been implicated in macrophage polarization toward the M1 phenotype to promote anti-tumor immunity, but in tumors with increased IL-1 β signaling, it mediates the induction MDSCs (170, 171). Moreover, transgenic mice lacking miR-155 showed defective T cells and increased B16F10 melanoma growth (172).

Other studies have demonstrated that melanoma-derived miRNA can affect response to immune-checkpoint inhibitor therapies. MiR-146a has been implicated in supporting immune suppression during melanoma growth wherein mice lacking its expression showed lower metastasis and increased survival. Targeting miR-146a with a specific antagomir acted synergistically with anti-PD-1 to enhancing the antitumor immune response (173).

3.6 Exosomes

Exosomes are small vesicles originating in the cytosol and derived from endosomal compartments. They are delimited by a phospholipid bilayer and can carry various molecules (proteins, lipids, nucleic acids). They are secreted into extracellular spaces and are exploited by tumor cells to deliver signals to the surrounding cells, allowing crosstalk with other tumor cells or cells of the TME.

T cell function is frequently affected by tumor-derived exosomes. They have been implicated in the expansion of T_{reg} and in the promotion of their function (174), thus reinforcing immune evasion. Melanoma exosomes can also deliver membrane-bound ligands, such as PD-L1, that find their cognate receptors on T cells providing inhibitory signals (175). Moreover, exosomes may carry soluble factors such as Fas and TRAIL (TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand) which

can induce T_{eff} cell apoptosis (176). As mentioned above, melanoma-derived exosomes can carry miRNAs that silence anti-apoptotic Bcl (B-cell lymphoma) proteins, such as Bcl-2, Bcl-xl, and Bcl-w, to induce mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis in CD4⁺ T cells (177). In some cases, however, the exposure of MHC-I and melanoma-associated antigens (MART-1, gp100, tyrosinase) on exosome membranes can mimic antigen presentation processes, leading to T cell activation (178).

Several studies demonstrated the important role of tumor-derived exosomes in the development of metastatic niches. An elegant study performed by Peinado H. et al. demonstrated that melanoma-derived exosomes induce the acquisition of pro-angiogenic and pro-metastatic phenotypes by bone marrow cells leading to accelerated tumor growth and increased metastasis. This is due, at least partially, to the exosomal-mediated transfer of the Met receptor to bone marrow progenitor cells, inducing their mobilization *via* S6 induction and ERK phosphorylation (179).

The two-fold nature of melanoma-derived exosomes is further demonstrated in DCs where melanoma exosomes may carry TAAs to DCs to promote the activation and expansion of cytotoxic T cells, but they may also inhibit differentiation of DCs from monocytes due to high IL-6 content (178).

4. Augmenting or rescuing immunity in melanoma

4.1 The era of cancer immunotherapy

In recent years, several treatments have been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for melanoma. Application of each treatment is dependent on the features of the cancer (stage, location and genetics) and can include combinations of surgery, photodynamic therapy, chemo/radiotherapy, targeted therapy or immunotherapy. Most melanomas of stage I-III are removed by surgery followed by adjuvant therapy (targeted or immunotherapy) (180). Metastases are treated with a combination of surgery (if solitary/localized) and adjuvant chemotherapy as well as radiotherapy for advanced metastases of the skin, bone and brain (181). Metastases are the main cause of death, thus requiring more effective strategies to target distal metastases with greater efficacy and significantly less toxicity. To date, tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) have been associated with positive outcome and improved survival in patients with malignant melanomas (182). Thus, immunotherapeutic strategies have been a focal point for treatment of advanced stage, metastatic melanoma, with some having shown incredible success in a select cohort of melanoma patients.

The prognostic factors that determine the efficacy of a particular immunotherapy are still largely unknown. Despite successes, cancer relapse and variable response rates among patients of all stages are observed. This is likely exacerbated by the ability of melanoma to quickly adapt multiple immune suppressive pathways to escape immune attack as previously discussed. A better understanding of these escape mechanisms has led to predictions that targeting multiple suppressive pathways will be more efficacious than single immunotherapy treatment, and will be critical for maintaining long-term tumor surveillance.

4.2 Administration of cytokines

IFNs are cytokines normally secreted by leukocytes during infection and are instrumental in the development of anti-proliferative and anti-angiogenic activities against melanoma (183). IFNs act as agonists for the anti-tumor activity of both adaptive and innate immune cells and are antagonistic towards suppressive immune subsets such as MDSCs and T_{reg}. IFN- α and IL-2 are cytokines generally given in combination with surgery and chemotherapy, radiotherapy or targeted therapy. IFN- α was FDA approved in 1995 as adjuvant therapy for resected stage IIB/III melanoma (184). IFN- α has been shown to induce upregulation of MHC class I on melanoma cells and immune cells to cause increases in cancer cell death and extension of survival (185). Only a small percentage of patients, however, respond to IFN- α adjuvant treatment, with ulceration of the primary melanoma being a predictive indicator of IFN sensitivity (186). A pegylated form of IFN- α , Peg-IFN has also been approved for stage III melanomas, with effects mimicking those of unpegylated IFN- α (187), but with a longer half-life in circulation leading to increased efficacy. However, additional care must be taken to minimize adverse events. FDA-approved in 1998 for metastatic melanoma, IL-2 is known to act directly on T cells, which includes effector CD8 and regulatory CD4 cells (188). Due to potentially dangerous adverse events such as tachycardia and multisystem organ failure, patients are first screened for biomarkers such as VEGF and fibronectin (189).

4.3 Expanding melanoma-specific T cells and targeting suppressive immune subsets

Gp100 is a glycoprotein that is overexpressed by melanoma cells and has negligible expression in healthy tissues, making it an ideal melanoma-specific antigen for vaccine development. Monotherapy with gp100 peptides has shown little efficacy in preclinical melanoma models, however, combination treatment with gp100 peptides and IL-2 showed a dramatic increase in median progression-free survival (PFS) and complete responses of 5% (190). Most importantly, gp100 peptides are capable of inducing T cell responses in patients with advanced melanoma (191, 192). Gp100 is currently being evaluated in several clinical trials as monotherapy (NCT01744171, NCT0-117647) or in combination with immunotherapies (NCT00960752, NCT01176461, NCT02535078).

 T_{regs} suppress effector T cell responses and can be found circulating or infiltrating tumors in melanoma patients, ultimately contributing to poor clinical outcome (193). Strategies to target T_{regs} and increase T cell immunity are limited. Ontak, which was FDA-approved in 1999, is an IL-2 protein fused to diphtheria toxin, and is designed to target peripheral blood T_{regs} through their IL-2 receptor (194). A Phase II trial in late stage melanoma patients (stage IV) showed 17% partial response, 15% mixed responses and 5% stable disease (195). However, in another clinical study, no objective response, survival benefit, or depletion of T_{regs} was observed (196). Thus, while T_{reg} depletion could provide great benefit alone or combined with other immunotherapeutic treatments, more effective strategies must be developed.

4.4 Checkpoint inhibitors

Current FDA-approved checkpoint inhibitors consist of antibodies which bind checkpoint proteins or receptors to prevent signaling which causes T cell anergy. CTLA-4, also known as CD152, is an important negative regulator (checkpoint) in T lymphocyte activation (197, 198). CTLA-4 is upregulated on the surface of tumor-associated T cells and, in contrast to CD28, transmits an inhibitory signal when bound to co-stimulatory molecules B7-1 (CD80) or B7-2 (CD86) found on antigen presenting cells (199). CTLA-4 binds with much greater affinity and avidity to co-stimulatory molecules compared to CD28, thus favoring a suppressive phenotype in the TME (200). Furthermore, CTLA-4 engagement inhibits T cell cytokine production and proliferation (201). In CTLA-4^{-/-} knockout mice, lethal hyperproliferative lymphocyte expansion occurs early in life and prevents survival past three weeks (202).

Given the role that CTLA-4 plays as a negative regulator of T cell activation, it was hypothesized that blocking engagement with CTLA-4 could boost immunity against tumor cells (203). Ipilimumab, FDA approved in 2011 for melanoma, is an antibody which binds and prevents signaling through CTLA-4 (197, 204). Anti-CTLA-4 antibodies act as antagonists, blocking inhibitory signaling, and increasing the potential for cytotoxic T cells in melanomas to become activated and expand. Ipilimumab has been combined with cytokine therapies, as discussed previously, with overall response rates of ~40% and median progression-free survival (PFS) of 6 months (205). In Phase III trials, ipilimumab monotherapy has been shown to be more effective than cancer vaccines alone (gp100 vaccine) in increasing median overall survival (OS) of metastatic melanoma patients (10.1 months vs. 6.4 months, respectively). Combination therapy did not increase median OS, suggesting that *in situ* vaccination may be occurring (206).

Another checkpoint receptor found on the surface of T cells, PD-1, binds its agonist PD-1 ligand (PD-L1) to also suppress T cell activation. PD-L1 is expressed by melanoma cells or tumor-associated stroma, and this expression is strongly correlated with efficacy of anti-PD-1 immunotherapy (207). PD-1 is also expressed on B and NK cells, and thus therapeutic blockade

could potentially affect these immune subsets as well (95). Nivolumab was the first anti-PD-1 antibody to be approved by the FDA in 2014 for the treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma. Nivolumab binds PD-1 to prevent the interaction between PD-1 receptor and its ligands in the TME, favoring a more active anti-tumor phenotype. Nivolumab treatment significantly increases median PFS to 6.9 months, compared to 2.9 and 2.2 for ipilimumab and chemotherapy monotherapies, respectively (205, 208). However, more impressive is the median PFS of 11.5 months with combination nivolumab/ipilimumab. Another anti-PD-1 antibody, pembrolizumab, was FDA-approved in 2015, for advanced melanomas (209, 210). Like nivolumab, pembrolizumab prolongs PFS and OS with less toxicity than ipilimumab. Currently, there are numerous clinical trials utilizing checkpoint inhibitors alone or in combination with chemotherapy, radiotherapy and other immunotherapies (NCT01103635, NCT0253078, NCT02643303, NCT03086174, NCT02608268). Similar studies are also being performed using anti-PD-L1 antibodies such as durvalumib, avelumab and atezolizumab (NCT02535078, NCT03167177, NCT03138889).

5. Concluding remarks

Fully unraveling the crosstalk between the immune system and melanoma that causes loss or suppression of anti-tumor responses will be critical for the development of more effective and less toxic treatments. The identification of cytokines, immunosuppressive immune subsets and checkpoint pathways that are critical for melanoma progression has led to the development and FDA approval of numerous immunotherapeutic agents. This is important since the heterogeneity of advanced melanomas will undoubtedly require a combination of these agents to establish durable, life-long immunity. Also, one must take into consideration the optimal doses required to establish durable tumor control while minimizing adverse events. Since each patient would be unique in the features of their melanoma, it will be necessary to determine those features prior to treatment in order to select a balanced combination that will maximize PFS and minimize toxicity.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Melanoma Clearance by Functioning Immune Cells. In the innate arm of immunity, natural killer (NK) cells bind tumor cells via receptor/ligand interactions and release cytolytic molecules causing tumor cell death. Phagocytes, such as polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN), macrophages (M ϕ), and dendritic cells (DC) take up dead tumor cells and process and present tumor associated antigens (TAA). DCs are actively recruited by cytokines secreted from activated NK cells. Recruitment of T- and B-lymphocytes by chemokine gradients and presentation of TAAs to T- and B-cells activates the adaptive arm of immunity. Tumor specific CD8+ T-cells bind tumor cells presenting TAA on MHC molecules via engagement of the T-cell receptor, leading to release of cytotoxic granules into tumor cells. Tumor specific CD4+ T-cells engage B-lymphocytes via TAAs presented by MHC molecules leading to release of antibodies specific for TAAs whose binding causes tumor cell death through various mechanisms including NK cell killing. Adaptive immune cells also re-activate innate immunity through receptor/ligand interaction as well as cytokine release, and tumor cell killing by adaptive immune cells releases further TAAs to be endocytosed and processed by APCs.

Figure 2. Mechanisms of Immune Escape in Melanoma. Melanoma cells secrete proteins such as VEGF and TGF-β to inhibit recruitment and function of APCs such as DCs. Immunosuppressive regulatory T-cells (Treg) are recruited by melanoma cells through chemokine secretion and these in further inhibit APCs through engagement of CD86 by CTLA-4 expressed on the Treg surface. Treg also release inhibitory cytokines to activated effector T-cells (Teff) which prohibits melanoma cell killing. Tumor cells directly inhibit Teff action through expression of the PD-L1 ligand which when binding the PD-1 receptor on T-cells induces anergy, as well as secretion of apoptosis –inducing factors such as Fas ligand within exosomes. Melanoma cells also recruit and convert myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) through secretion of GM-CSF or IL-6 as well as delivery of exosome loaded micro RNAs. MDSC inhibit Teff through multiple mechanisms such as expression

of IDO which depletes necessary tryptophan and converts it to suppressive kynurenine. Finally, melanoma cells deplete glucose and amino acids such as glutamine and arginine from the tumor microenvironment resulting in immune cell starvation.

References

1. Sylvester RJ. Bacillus Calmette-Guerin treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Int J Urol. 2011;18(2):113-20. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-2042.2010.02678.x. PubMed PMID: 21091799.

2. Mellman I, Coukos G, Dranoff G. Cancer immunotherapy comes of age. Nature. 2011;480(7378):480-9. doi: 10.1038/nature10673. PubMed PMID: 22193102; PMCID: PMC3967235.

3. Coley WB. The treatment of malignant tumors by repeated inoculations of erysipelas. With a report of ten original cases. 1893. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1991(262):3-11. Epub 1991/01/01. PubMed PMID: 1984929.

4. Leach DR, Krummel MF, Allison JP. Enhancement of antitumor immunity by CTLA-4 blockade. Science. 1996;271(5256):1734-6. PubMed PMID: 8596936.

5. Okazaki T, Honjo T. The PD-1-PD-L pathway in immunological tolerance. Trends Immunol. 2006;27(4):195-201. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2006.02.001. PubMed PMID: 16500147.

6. Luke JJ, Flaherty KT, Ribas A, Long GV. Targeted agents and immunotherapies: optimizing outcomes in melanoma. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2017;14(8):463-82. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.43. PubMed PMID: 28374786.

7. Hogan SA, Levesque MP, Cheng PF. Melanoma Immunotherapy: Next-Generation Biomarkers. Front Oncol. 2018;8:178. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00178. PubMed PMID: 29896449; PMCID: PMC5986946.

8. Vasquez M, Tenesaca S, Berraondo P. New trends in antitumor vaccines in melanoma. Ann Transl Med. 2017;5(19):384. doi: 10.21037/atm.2017.09.09. PubMed PMID: 29114542; PMCID: PMC5653514.

9. Passarelli A, Mannavola F, Stucci LS, Tucci M, Silvestris F. Immune system and melanoma biology: a balance between immunosurveillance and immune escape. Oncotarget. 2017;8(62):106132-42. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.22190. PubMed PMID: 29285320; PMCID: PMC5739707.

10. Simon B, Uslu U. CAR-T cell therapy in melanoma: A future success story? Exp Dermatol. 2018;27(12):1315-21. doi: 10.1111/exd.13792. PubMed PMID: 30288790.

11. De Sousa Linhares A, Leitner J, Grabmeier-Pfistershammer K, Steinberger P. Not All Immune Checkpoints Are Created Equal. Front Immunol. 2018;9:1909. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01909. PubMed PMID: 30233564; PMCID: PMC6127213.

12. Gide TN, Wilmott JS, Scolyer RA, Long GV. Primary and Acquired Resistance to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Metastatic Melanoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2018;24(6):1260-70. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-2267. PubMed PMID: 29127120.

13. Pitt JM, Vetizou M, Daillere R, Roberti MP, Yamazaki T, Routy B, Lepage P, Boneca IG, Chamaillard M, Kroemer G, Zitvogel L. Resistance Mechanisms to Immune-Checkpoint Blockade in Cancer: Tumor-Intrinsic and -Extrinsic Factors. Immunity. 2016;44(6):1255-69. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.06.001. PubMed PMID: 27332730.

14. Jenkins RW, Barbie DA, Flaherty KT. Mechanisms of resistance to immune checkpoint inhibitors. Br J Cancer. 2018;118(1):9-16. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2017.434. PubMed PMID: 29319049; PMCID: PMC5765236.

15. Dunn GP, Bruce AT, Ikeda H, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. Cancer immunoediting: from immunosurveillance to tumor escape. Nat Immunol. 2002;3(11):991-8. Epub 2002/10/31. doi: 10.1038/ni1102-991. PubMed PMID: 12407406.

16. Bhatia A, Kumar Y. Cancer-immune equilibrium: questions unanswered. Cancer Microenviron. 2011;4(2):209-17. Epub 2011/05/25. doi: 10.1007/s12307-011-0065-8. PubMed PMID: 21607751; PMCID: PMC3170416.

17. Miller JF, Sadelain M. The journey from discoveries in fundamental immunology to cancer immunotherapy. Cancer Cell. 2015;27(4):439-49. Epub 2015/04/11. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2015.03.007. PubMed PMID: 25858803.

18. Shankaran V, Ikeda H, Bruce AT, White JM, Swanson PE, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. IFNgamma and lymphocytes prevent primary tumour development and shape tumour immunogenicity. Nature. 2001;410(6832):1107-11. Epub 2001/04/27. doi: 10.1038/35074122. PubMed PMID: 11323675.

19. Girardi M, Oppenheim DE, Steele CR, Lewis JM, Glusac E, Filler R, Hobby P, Sutton B, Tigelaar RE, Hayday AC. Regulation of cutaneous malignancy by gammadelta T cells. Science. 2001;294(5542):605-9. Epub 2001/09/22. doi: 10.1126/science.1063916. PubMed PMID: 11567106.

20. Curtin JA, Fridlyand J, Kageshita T, Patel HN, Busam KJ, Kutzner H, Cho KH, Aiba S, Brocker EB, LeBoit PE, Pinkel D, Bastian BC. Distinct sets of genetic alterations in melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2005;353(20):2135-47. Epub 2005/11/18. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa050092. PubMed PMID: 16291983.

21. Palmieri G, Ombra M, Colombino M, Casula M, Sini M, Manca A, Paliogiannis P, Ascierto PA, Cossu A. Multiple Molecular Pathways in Melanomagenesis: Characterization of Therapeutic Targets. Front Oncol. 2015;5:183. Epub 2015/09/01. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00183. PubMed PMID: 26322273; PMCID: PMC4530319.

22. Polak ME, Borthwick NJ, Gabriel FG, Johnson P, Higgins B, Hurren J, McCormick D, Jager MJ, Cree IA. Mechanisms of local immunosuppression in cutaneous melanoma. Br J Cancer. 2007;96(12):1879-87. Epub 2007/06/15. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6603763. PubMed PMID: 17565341; PMCID: PMC2359967.

23. Marcus A, Gowen BG, Thompson TW, Iannello A, Ardolino M, Deng W, Wang L, Shifrin N, Raulet DH. Recognition of tumors by the innate immune system and natural killer cells. Advances in immunology. 2014;122:91-128. Epub 2014/02/11. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-800267-4.00003-1. PubMed PMID: 24507156; PMCID: PMC4228931.

24. Farley TF, Mandava N, Prall FR, Carsky C. Accuracy of primary care clinicians in screening for diabetic retinopathy using single-image retinal photography. Ann Fam Med. 2008;6(5):428-34. Epub 2008/09/10. doi: 10.1370/afm.857. PubMed PMID: 18779547; PMCID: PMC2532778.

25. Mahmoud SM, Paish EC, Powe DG, Macmillan RD, Grainge MJ, Lee AH, Ellis IO, Green AR. Tumor-infiltrating CD8+ lymphocytes predict clinical outcome in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(15):1949-55. Epub 2011/04/13. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2010.30.5037. PubMed PMID: 21483002.

26. Muul LM, Spiess PJ, Director EP, Rosenberg SA. Identification of specific cytolytic immune responses against autologous tumor in humans bearing malignant melanoma. J Immunol. 1987;138(3):989-95. Epub 1987/02/01. PubMed PMID: 3100623.

27. Schumacher TN, Scheper W, Kvistborg P. Cancer Neoantigens. Annu Rev Immunol. 2018. Epub 2018/12/15. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-042617-053402. PubMed PMID: 30550719.

28. Verdegaal EM, de Miranda NF, Visser M, Harryvan T, van Buuren MM, Andersen RS, Hadrup SR, van der Minne CE, Schotte R, Spits H, Haanen JB, Kapiteijn EH, Schumacher TN, van der Burg SH. Neoantigen landscape dynamics during human melanoma-T cell interactions. Nature. 2016;536(7614):91-5. Epub 2016/06/29. doi: 10.1038/nature18945. PubMed PMID: 27350335.

29. Schumacher TN, Schreiber RD. Neoantigens in cancer immunotherapy. Science. 2015;348(6230):69-74. Epub 2015/04/04. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa4971. PubMed PMID: 25838375.

30. Scanlan MJ, Gure AO, Jungbluth AA, Old LJ, Chen YT. Cancer/testis antigens: an expanding family of targets for cancer immunotherapy. Immunol Rev. 2002;188:22-32. Epub 2002/11/26. PubMed PMID: 12445278.

31. Champiat S, Ferte C, Lebel-Binay S, Eggermont A, Soria JC. Exomics and immunogenics: Bridging mutational load and immune checkpoints efficacy. Oncoimmunology. 2014;3(1):e27817. Epub 2014/03/08. doi: 10.4161/onci.27817. PubMed PMID: 24605269; PMCID: PMC3937193.

32. Blankenstein T, Coulie PG, Gilboa E, Jaffee EM. The determinants of tumour immunogenicity. Nat Rev Cancer. 2012;12(4):307-13. Epub 2012/03/02. doi: 10.1038/nrc3246. PubMed PMID: 22378190; PMCID: PMC3552609.

33. Gajewski TF, Schreiber H, Fu YX. Innate and adaptive immune cells in the tumor microenvironment. Nat Immunol. 2013;14(10):1014-22. Epub 2013/09/21. doi: 10.1038/ni.2703. PubMed PMID: 24048123; PMCID: PMC4118725.

34. Wehner R, Dietze K, Bachmann M, Schmitz M. The bidirectional crosstalk between human dendritic cells and natural killer cells. J Innate Immun. 2011;3(3):258-63. Epub 2011/03/18. doi: 10.1159/000323923. PubMed PMID: 21411969.

35. Streilein JW. Skin-associated lymphoid tissues (SALT): origins and functions. J Invest Dermatol. 1983;80 Suppl:12s-6s. Epub 1983/06/01. PubMed PMID: 6602189.

36. Gonzalez-Gugel E, Saxena M, Bhardwaj N. Modulation of innate immunity in the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2016;65(10):1261-8. Epub 2016/06/28. doi: 10.1007/s00262-016-1859-9. PubMed PMID: 27344341; PMCID: PMC5965685.

37. Mignogna C, Scali E, Camastra C, Presta I, Zeppa P, Barni T, Donato G, Bottoni U, Di Vito A. Innate immunity in cutaneous melanoma. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2017;42(3):243-50. Epub 2017/01/05. doi: 10.1111/ced.13023. PubMed PMID: 28052512.

38. Lakshmikanth T, Burke S, Ali TH, Kimpfler S, Ursini F, Ruggeri L, Capanni M, Umansky V, Paschen A, Sucker A, Pende D, Groh V, Biassoni R, Hoglund P, Kato M, Shibuya K, Schadendorf D, Anichini A, Ferrone S, Velardi A, Karre K, Shibuya A, Carbone E, Colucci F. NCRs and DNAM-1 mediate NK cell recognition and lysis of human and mouse melanoma cell lines in vitro and in vivo. J Clin Invest. 2009;119(5):1251-63. doi: 10.1172/JCI36022. PubMed PMID: 19349689; PMCID: PMC2673866.

39. Banchereau J, Briere F, Caux C, Davoust J, Lebecque S, Liu YJ, Pulendran B, Palucka K. Immunobiology of dendritic cells. Annual review of immunology. 2000;18:767-811. Epub 2000/06/03. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.18.1.767. PubMed PMID: 10837075.

40. Freeman A, Bridge JA, Maruthayanar P, Overgaard NH, Jung JW, Simpson F, Prow TW, Soyer HP, Frazer IH, Freeman M, Wells JW. Comparative immune phenotypic analysis of cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Intraepidermal Carcinoma in immune-competent individuals: proportional representation of CD8+ T-cells but not FoxP3+ Regulatory T-cells is associated with disease stage. PLoS One. 2014;9(10):e110928. Epub 2014/10/24. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0110928. PubMed PMID: 25340823; PMCID: PMC4207854.

41. Kernohan NM, Sewell HF, Walker F. Natural killer cells in cutaneous malignant melanoma. J Pathol. 1990;161(1):35-40. Epub 1990/05/01. doi: 10.1002/path.1711610107. PubMed PMID: 2196350.

42. Lopez-Cobo S, Pieper N, Campos-Silva C, Garcia-Cuesta EM, Reyburn HT, Paschen A, Vales-Gomez M. Impaired NK cell recognition of vemurafenib-treated melanoma cells is overcome by simultaneous application of histone deacetylase inhibitors. Oncoimmunology. 2018;7(2):e1392426. Epub 2018/01/09. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2017.1392426. PubMed PMID: 29308322; PMCID: PMC5749663.

43. Huang Z, Gan J, Long Z, Guo G, Shi X, Wang C, Zang Y, Ding Z, Chen J, Zhang J, Dong L. Targeted delivery of let-7b to reprogramme tumor-associated macrophages and tumor infiltrating dendritic cells for tumor rejection. Biomaterials. 2016;90:72-84. Epub 2016/03/20. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.03.009. PubMed PMID: 26994345.

44. Wang HF, Ning F, Liu ZC, Wu L, Li ZQ, Qi YF, Zhang G, Wang HS, Cai SH, Du J. Histone deacetylase inhibitors deplete myeloid-derived suppressor cells induced by 4T1 mammary tumors in vivo and in vitro. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2017;66(3):355-66. Epub 2016/12/05. doi: 10.1007/s00262-016-1935-1. PubMed PMID: 27915371.

45. Wu T, Dai Y, Wang W, Teng G, Jiao H, Shuai X, Zhang R, Zhao P, Qiao L. Macrophage targeting contributes to the inhibitory effects of embelin on colitis-associated cancer. Oncotarget.

2016;7(15):19548-58. Epub 2016/01/23. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.6969. PubMed PMID: 26799669; PMCID: PMC4991400.

46. Zhu EF, Gai SA, Opel CF, Kwan BH, Surana R, Mihm MC, Kauke MJ, Moynihan KD, Angelini A, Williams RT, Stephan MT, Kim JS, Yaffe MB, Irvine DJ, Weiner LM, Dranoff G, Wittrup KD. Synergistic innate and adaptive immune response to combination immunotherapy with anti-tumor antigen antibodies and extended serum half-life IL-2. Cancer Cell. 2015;27(4):489-501. Epub 2015/04/16. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2015.03.004. PubMed PMID: 25873172; PMCID: PMC4398916.

47. Tucci M, Stucci S, Passarelli A, Giudice G, Dammacco F, Silvestris F. The immune escape in melanoma: role of the impaired dendritic cell function. Expert Rev Clin Immunol. 2014;10(10):1395-404. Epub 2014/09/17. doi: 10.1586/1744666X.2014.955851. PubMed PMID: 25225774.

48. Schwartz RH. Costimulation of T lymphocytes: the role of CD28, CTLA-4, and B7/BB1 in interleukin-2 production and immunotherapy. Cell. 1992;71(7):1065-8. Epub 1992/12/24. PubMed PMID: 1335362.

49. Liu K, Nussenzweig MC. Origin and development of dendritic cells. Immunol Rev. 2010;234(1):45-54. Epub 2010/03/03. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-2896.2009.00879.x. PubMed PMID: 20193011.

50. Niu G, Bowman T, Huang M, Shivers S, Reintgen D, Daud A, Chang A, Kraker A, Jove R, Yu H. Roles of activated Src and Stat3 signaling in melanoma tumor cell growth. Oncogene. 2002;21(46):7001-10. Epub 2002/10/09. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1205859. PubMed PMID: 12370822.

51. Amatschek S, Lucas R, Eger A, Pflueger M, Hundsberger H, Knoll C, Grosse-Kracht S, Schuett W, Koszik F, Maurer D, Wiesner C. CXCL9 induces chemotaxis, chemorepulsion and endothelial barrier disruption through CXCR3-mediated activation of melanoma cells. Br J Cancer. 2011;104(3):469-79. Epub 2010/12/24. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6606056. PubMed PMID: 21179030; PMCID: PMC3049560.

52. Chheda ZS, Sharma RK, Jala VR, Luster AD, Haribabu B. Chemoattractant Receptors BLT1 and CXCR3 Regulate Antitumor Immunity by Facilitating CD8+ T Cell Migration into Tumors. Journal of immunology. 2016;197(5):2016-26. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1502376. PubMed PMID: 27465528; PMCID: 4992661.

53. Hussein MR. Dendritic cells and melanoma tumorigenesis: an insight. Cancer Biol Ther. 2005;4(5):501-5. Epub 2005/05/27. PubMed PMID: 15917653.

54. Ridolfi L, de Rosa F, Fiammenghi L, Petrini M, Granato AM, Ancarani V, Pancisi E, Soldati V, Cassan S, Bulgarelli J, Riccobon A, Gentili G, Nanni O, Framarini M, Tauceri F, Guidoboni M. Complementary vaccination protocol with dendritic cells pulsed with autologous tumour lysate in patients with resected stage III or IV melanoma: protocol for a phase II randomised trial (ACDC Adjuvant Trial). BMJ Open. 2018;8(8):e021701. Epub 2018/08/08. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021701. PubMed PMID: 30082356; PMCID: PMC6078243.

55. Roberts EW, Broz ML, Binnewies M, Headley MB, Nelson AE, Wolf DM, Kaisho T, Bogunovic D, Bhardwaj N, Krummel MF. Critical Role for CD103(+)/CD141(+) Dendritic Cells Bearing CCR7 for Tumor Antigen Trafficking and Priming of T Cell Immunity in Melanoma. Cancer Cell. 2016;30(2):324-36. Epub 2016/07/19. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2016.06.003. PubMed PMID: 27424807; PMCID: PMC5374862.

56. Fridlender ZG, Albelda SM. Tumor-associated neutrophils: friend or foe? Carcinogenesis. 2012;33(5):949-55. Epub 2012/03/20. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgs123. PubMed PMID: 22425643.

57. Cassard L, Cohen-Solal J, Camilleri-Broet S, Fournier E, Fridman WH, Sautes-Fridman C. Fc gamma receptors and cancer. Springer seminars in immunopathology. 2006;28(4):321-8. doi: 10.1007/s00281-006-0058-8. PubMed PMID: 17096153.

58. Ma HL, Whitters MJ, Jacobson BA, Donaldson DD, Collins M, Dunussi-Joannopoulos K. Tumor cells secreting IL-13 but not IL-13Ralpha2 fusion protein have reduced tumorigenicity in

vivo. Int Immunol. 2004;16(7):1009-17. Epub 2004/06/09. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxh105. PubMed PMID: 15184346.

59. Andzinski L, Kasnitz N, Stahnke S, Wu CF, Gereke M, von Kockritz-Blickwede M, Schilling B, Brandau S, Weiss S, Jablonska J. Type I IFNs induce anti-tumor polarization of tumor associated neutrophils in mice and human. Int J Cancer. 2016;138(8):1982-93. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29945. PubMed PMID: 26619320.

60. Caisova V, Uher O, Nedbalova P, Jochmanova I, Kvardova K, Masakova K, Krejcova G, Padoukova L, Chmelar J, Kopecky J, Zenka J. Effective cancer immunotherapy based on combination of TLR agonists with stimulation of phagocytosis. Int Immunopharmacol. 2018;59:86-96. Epub 2018/04/11. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2018.03.038. PubMed PMID: 29635103.

61. Chang CY, Tai JA, Li S, Nishikawa T, Kaneda Y. Virus-stimulated neutrophils in the tumor microenvironment enhance T cell-mediated anti-tumor immunity. Oncotarget. 2016;7(27):42195-207. Epub 2016/06/04. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.9743. PubMed PMID: 27259252; PMCID: PMC5173127.

62. Challacombe JM, Suhrbier A, Parsons PG, Jones B, Hampson P, Kavanagh D, Rainger GE, Morris M, Lord JM, Le TT, Hoang-Le D, Ogbourne SM. Neutrophils are a key component of the antitumor efficacy of topical chemotherapy with ingenol-3-angelate. Journal of immunology. 2006;177(11):8123-32. PubMed PMID: 17114487.

63. Hampson P, Kavanagh D, Smith E, Wang K, Lord JM, Ed Rainger G. The anti-tumor agent, ingenol-3-angelate (PEP005), promotes the recruitment of cytotoxic neutrophils by activation of vascular endothelial cells in a PKC-delta dependent manner. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2008;57(8):1241-51. Epub 2008/02/13. doi: 10.1007/s00262-008-0458-9. PubMed PMID: 18265980.

64. Blache CA, Manuel ER, Kaltcheva TI, Wong AN, Ellenhorn JD, Blazar BR, Diamond DJ. Systemic delivery of Salmonella typhimurium transformed with IDO shRNA enhances intratumoral vector colonization and suppresses tumor growth. Cancer Res. 2012;72(24):6447-56. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-0193. PubMed PMID: 23090116; PMCID: 3525777.

65. Manuel ER, Chen J, D'Apuzzo M, Lampa MG, Kaltcheva TI, Thompson CB, Ludwig T, Chung V, Diamond DJ. Salmonella-Based Therapy Targeting Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase Coupled with Enzymatic Depletion of Tumor Hyaluronan Induces Complete Regression of Aggressive Pancreatic Tumors. Cancer Immunol Res. 2015;3(9):1096-107. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0214. PubMed PMID: 26134178; PMCID: 4561205.

66. Arca MJ, Krauss JC, Aruga A, Cameron MJ, Shu S, Chang AE. Therapeutic efficacy of T cells derived from lymph nodes draining a poorly immunogenic tumor transduced to secrete granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. Cancer Gene Ther. 1996;3(1):39-47. Epub 1996/01/01. PubMed PMID: 8785710.

67. Armstrong CA, Botella R, Galloway TH, Murray N, Kramp JM, Song IS, Ansel JC. Antitumor effects of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor production by melanoma cells. Cancer Res. 1996;56(9):2191-8. Epub 1996/05/01. PubMed PMID: 8616871.

68. Campisano S, Mac Keon S, Gazzaniga S, Ruiz MS, Traian MD, Mordoh J, Wainstok R. Anti-melanoma vaccinal capacity of CD11c-positive and -negative cell populations present in GM-CSF cultures derived from murine bone marrow precursors. Vaccine. 2013;31(2):354-61. Epub 2012/11/14. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.10.114. PubMed PMID: 23146677.

69. Charak BS, Sadowski RM, Mazumder A. Granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor in autologous bone marrow transplantation: augmentation of graft versus tumor effect via antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity. Leuk Lymphoma. 1993;9(6):453-7. Epub 1993/04/01. doi: 10.3109/10428199309145752. PubMed PMID: 8339051.

70. Baldwin GC, Chung GY, Kaslander C, Esmail T, Reisfeld RA, Golde DW. Colonystimulating factor enhancement of myeloid effector cell cytotoxicity towards neuroectodermal tumour cells. Br J Haematol. 1993;83(4):545-53. Epub 1993/04/01. PubMed PMID: 7686031. 71. Cui J, Chen Y, Wang HY, Wang RF. Mechanisms and pathways of innate immune activation and regulation in health and cancer. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2014;10(11):3270-85. Epub 2015/01/28. doi: 10.4161/21645515.2014.979640. PubMed PMID: 25625930; PMCID: PMC4514086.

72. Dai P, Wang W, Yang N, Serna-Tamayo C, Ricca JM, Zamarin D, Shuman S, Merghoub T, Wolchok JD, Deng L. Intratumoral delivery of inactivated modified vaccinia virus Ankara (iMVA) induces systemic antitumor immunity via STING and Batf3-dependent dendritic cells. Science immunology. 2017;2(11). doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aal1713. PubMed PMID: 28763795; PMCID: 5559204.

73. Wang H, Hu S, Chen X, Shi H, Chen C, Sun L, Chen ZJ. cGAS is essential for the antitumor effect of immune checkpoint blockade. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2017;114(7):1637-42. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1621363114. PubMed PMID: 28137885; PMCID: 5320994.

74. Woo SR, Fuertes MB, Corrales L, Spranger S, Furdyna MJ, Leung MY, Duggan R, Wang Y, Barber GN, Fitzgerald KA, Alegre ML, Gajewski TF. STING-dependent cytosolic DNA sensing mediates innate immune recognition of immunogenic tumors. Immunity. 2014;41(5):830-42. Epub 2014/12/18. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.10.017. PubMed PMID: 25517615; PMCID: PMC4384884.

75. Singhal S, Bhojnagarwala PS, O'Brien S, Moon EK, Garfall AL, Rao AS, Quatromoni JG, Stephen TL, Litzky L, Deshpande C, Feldman MD, Hancock WW, Conejo-Garcia JR, Albelda SM, Eruslanov EB. Origin and Role of a Subset of Tumor-Associated Neutrophils with Antigen-Presenting Cell Features in Early-Stage Human Lung Cancer. Cancer Cell. 2016;30(1):120-35. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2016.06.001. PubMed PMID: 27374224; PMCID: 4945447.

76. Grenier JM, Yeung ST, Qiu Z, Jellison ER, Khanna KM. Combining Adoptive Cell Therapy with Cytomegalovirus-Based Vaccine Is Protective against Solid Skin Tumors. Front Immunol. 2017;8:1993. Epub 2018/02/02. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01993. PubMed PMID: 29387061; PMCID: PMC5775971.

77. Palermo B, Franzese O, Donna CD, Panetta M, Quintarelli C, Sperduti I, Gualtieri N, Foddai ML, Proietti E, Ferraresi V, Ciliberto G, Nistico P. Antigen-specificity and DTIC before peptidevaccination differently shape immune-checkpoint expression pattern, anti-tumor functionality and TCR repertoire in melanoma patients. Oncoimmunology. 2018;7(12):e1465163. Epub 2018/12/14. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2018.1465163. PubMed PMID: 30524882; PMCID: PMC6279427.

78. Bjornaes I, Lyng H, Dahle GA, Kaalhus O, Rofstad EK. Intratumor heterogeneity in perfusion in human melanoma xenografts measured by contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. Magn Reson Imaging. 2000;18(8):997-1002. Epub 2000/12/21. PubMed PMID: 11121704.

79. Grzywa TM, Paskal W, Wlodarski PK. Intratumor and Intertumor Heterogeneity in Melanoma. Transl Oncol. 2017;10(6):956-75. Epub 2017/10/28. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2017.09.007. PubMed PMID: 29078205; PMCID: PMC5671412.

80. thor Straten P, Guldberg P, Gronbaek K, Hansen MR, Kirkin AF, Seremet T, Zeuthen J, Becker JC. In situ T cell responses against melanoma comprise high numbers of locally expanded T cell clonotypes. J Immunol. 1999;163(1):443-7. Epub 1999/06/29. PubMed PMID: 10384147.

81. da Gama Duarte J, Woods K, Andrews MC, Behren A. The good, the (not so) bad and the ugly of immune homeostasis in melanoma. Immunol Cell Biol. 2018;96(5):497-506. doi: 10.1111/imcb.12001. PubMed PMID: 29392770.

82. Holzel M, Bovier A, Tuting T. Plasticity of tumour and immune cells: a source of heterogeneity and a cause for therapy resistance? Nat Rev Cancer. 2013;13(5):365-76. doi: 10.1038/nrc3498. PubMed PMID: 23535846.

83. Marincola FM, Jaffee EM, Hicklin DJ, Ferrone S. Escape of human solid tumors from T-cell recognition: molecular mechanisms and functional significance. Adv Immunol. 2000;74:181-273. PubMed PMID: 10605607.

84. Sucker A, Zhao F, Real B, Heeke C, Bielefeld N, Mabetaen S, Horn S, Moll I, Maltaner R, Horn PA, Schilling B, Sabbatino F, Lennerz V, Kloor M, Ferrone S, Schadendorf D, Falk CS, Griewank K, Paschen A. Genetic evolution of T-cell resistance in the course of melanoma progression. Clin Cancer Res. 2014;20(24):6593-604. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0567. PubMed PMID: 25294904.

85. Mahmoud F, Shields B, Makhoul I, Avaritt N, Wong HK, Hutchins LF, Shalin S, Tackett AJ. Immune surveillance in melanoma: From immune attack to melanoma escape and even counterattack. Cancer Biol Ther. 2017;18(7):451-69. doi: 10.1080/15384047.2017.1323596. PubMed PMID: 28513269; PMCID: PMC5639850.

86. Gener Lahav T, Adler O, Zait Y, Shani O, Amer M, Doron H, Abramovitz L, Yofe I, Cohen N, Erez N. Melanoma-derived extracellular vesicles instigate proinflammatory signaling in the metastatic microenvironment. Int J Cancer. 2019. doi: 10.1002/ijc.32521. PubMed PMID: 31216364.

87. Tung KH, Ernstoff MS, Allen C, Shu S. A Review of Exosomes and their Role in The Tumor Microenvironment and Host-Tumor "Macroenvironment". J Immunol Sci. 2019;3(1):4-8. doi: 10.29245/2578-3009/2019/1.1165. PubMed PMID: 30972385; PMCID: PMC6453147.

88. Izar B, Joyce CE, Goff S, Cho NL, Shah PM, Sharma G, Li J, Ibrahim N, Gold J, Hodi FS, Garraway LA, Novina CD, Bertagnolli MM, Yoon CH. Bidirectional cross talk between patientderived melanoma and cancer-associated fibroblasts promotes invasion and proliferation. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2016;29(6):656-68. doi: 10.1111/pcmr.12513. PubMed PMID: 27482935.

89. Khalili JS, Liu S, Rodriguez-Cruz TG, Whittington M, Wardell S, Liu C, Zhang M, Cooper ZA, Frederick DT, Li Y, Zhang M, Joseph RW, Bernatchez C, Ekmekcioglu S, Grimm E, Radvanyi LG, Davis RE, Davies MA, Wargo JA, Hwu P, Lizee G. Oncogenic BRAF(V600E) promotes stromal cell-mediated immunosuppression via induction of interleukin-1 in melanoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2012;18(19):5329-40. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-1632. PubMed PMID: 22850568; PMCID: PMC3463754.

90. Ziani L, Safta-Saadoun TB, Gourbeix J, Cavalcanti A, Robert C, Favre G, Chouaib S, Thiery J. Melanoma-associated fibroblasts decrease tumor cell susceptibility to NK cell-mediated killing through matrix-metalloproteinases secretion. Oncotarget. 2017;8(12):19780-94. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.15540. PubMed PMID: 28423623; PMCID: PMC5386721.

91. Balsamo M, Scordamaglia F, Pietra G, Manzini C, Cantoni C, Boitano M, Queirolo P, Vermi W, Facchetti F, Moretta A, Moretta L, Mingari MC, Vitale M. Melanoma-associated fibroblasts modulate NK cell phenotype and antitumor cytotoxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(49):20847-52. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0906481106. PubMed PMID: 19934056; PMCID: PMC2791633.

92. Li Z, Zhou J, Zhang J, Li S, Wang H, Du J. Cancer-associated fibroblasts promote PD-L1 expression in mice cancer cells via secreting CXCL5. Int J Cancer. 2019. doi: 10.1002/ijc.32278. PubMed PMID: 30873585.

93. Zhang Y, Ertl HC. Depletion of FAP+ cells reduces immunosuppressive cells and improves metabolism and functions CD8+T cells within tumors. Oncotarget. 2016;7(17):23282-99. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.7818. PubMed PMID: 26943036; PMCID: PMC5029626.

94. Constantinidou A, Alifieris C, Trafalis DT. Targeting Programmed Cell Death -1 (PD-1) and Ligand (PD-L1): A new era in cancer active immunotherapy. Pharmacol Ther. 2019;194:84-106. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2018.09.008. PubMed PMID: 30268773.

95. Dong H, Strome SE, Salomao DR, Tamura H, Hirano F, Flies DB, Roche PC, Lu J, Zhu G, Tamada K, Lennon VA, Celis E, Chen L. Tumor-associated B7-H1 promotes T-cell apoptosis: a potential mechanism of immune evasion. Nat Med. 2002;8(8):793-800. doi: 10.1038/nm730. PubMed PMID: 12091876.

96. Gatalica Z, Snyder C, Maney T, Ghazalpour A, Holterman DA, Xiao N, Overberg P, Rose I, Basu GD, Vranic S, Lynch HT, Von Hoff DD, Hamid O. Programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and its ligand (PD-L1) in common cancers and their correlation with molecular cancer type. Cancer

Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2014;23(12):2965-70. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-0654. PubMed PMID: 25392179.

97. Ahmadzadeh M, Johnson LA, Heemskerk B, Wunderlich JR, Dudley ME, White DE, Rosenberg SA. Tumor antigen-specific CD8 T cells infiltrating the tumor express high levels of PD-1 and are functionally impaired. Blood. 2009;114(8):1537-44. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-12-195792. PubMed PMID: 19423728; PMCID: PMC2927090.

98. Li H, van der Leun AM, Yofe I, Lubling Y, Gelbard-Solodkin D, van Akkooi ACJ, van den Braber M, Rozeman EA, Haanen J, Blank CU, Horlings HM, David E, Baran Y, Bercovich A, Lifshitz A, Schumacher TN, Tanay A, Amit I. Dysfunctional CD8 T Cells Form a Proliferative, Dynamically Regulated Compartment within Human Melanoma. Cell. 2019;176(4):775-89 e18. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.11.043. PubMed PMID: 30595452.

99. Fourcade J, Sun Z, Pagliano O, Guillaume P, Luescher IF, Sander C, Kirkwood JM, Olive D, Kuchroo V, Zarour HM. CD8(+) T cells specific for tumor antigens can be rendered dysfunctional by the tumor microenvironment through upregulation of the inhibitory receptors BTLA and PD-1. Cancer Res. 2012;72(4):887-96. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-2637. PubMed PMID: 22205715; PMCID: PMC3288235.

100. Miller BC, Sen DR, Al Abosy R, Bi K, Virkud YV, LaFleur MW, Yates KB, Lako A, Felt K, Naik GS, Manos M, Gjini E, Kuchroo JR, Ishizuka JJ, Collier JL, Griffin GK, Maleri S, Comstock DE, Weiss SA, Brown FD, Panda A, Zimmer MD, Manguso RT, Hodi FS, Rodig SJ, Sharpe AH, Haining WN. Subsets of exhausted CD8(+) T cells differentially mediate tumor control and respond to checkpoint blockade. Nat Immunol. 2019;20(3):326-36. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0312-6. PubMed PMID: 30778252.

101. Schietinger A, Philip M, Krisnawan VE, Chiu EY, Delrow JJ, Basom RS, Lauer P, Brockstedt DG, Knoblaugh SE, Hammerling GJ, Schell TD, Garbi N, Greenberg PD. Tumor-Specific T Cell Dysfunction Is a Dynamic Antigen-Driven Differentiation Program Initiated Early during Tumorigenesis. Immunity. 2016;45(2):389-401. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.07.011. PubMed PMID: 27521269; PMCID: PMC5119632.

102. Philip M, Fairchild L, Sun L, Horste EL, Camara S, Shakiba M, Scott AC, Viale A, Lauer P, Merghoub T, Hellmann MD, Wolchok JD, Leslie CS, Schietinger A. Chromatin states define tumour-specific T cell dysfunction and reprogramming. Nature. 2017;545(7655):452-6. doi: 10.1038/nature22367. PubMed PMID: 28514453; PMCID: PMC5693219.

103. Horton BL, Williams JB, Cabanov A, Spranger S, Gajewski TF. Intratumoral CD8(+) T-cell Apoptosis Is a Major Component of T-cell Dysfunction and Impedes Antitumor Immunity. Cancer Immunol Res. 2018;6(1):14-24. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-17-0249. PubMed PMID: 29097422; PMCID: PMC5754226.

104. Woo SR, Turnis ME, Goldberg MV, Bankoti J, Selby M, Nirschl CJ, Bettini ML, Gravano DM, Vogel P, Liu CL, Tangsombatvisit S, Grosso JF, Netto G, Smeltzer MP, Chaux A, Utz PJ, Workman CJ, Pardoll DM, Korman AJ, Drake CG, Vignali DA. Immune inhibitory molecules LAG-3 and PD-1 synergistically regulate T-cell function to promote tumoral immune escape. Cancer Res. 2012;72(4):917-27. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-1620. PubMed PMID: 22186141; PMCID: PMC3288154.

105. Benci JL, Xu B, Qiu Y, Wu TJ, Dada H, Twyman-Saint Victor C, Cucolo L, Lee DSM, Pauken KE, Huang AC, Gangadhar TC, Amaravadi RK, Schuchter LM, Feldman MD, Ishwaran H, Vonderheide RH, Maity A, Wherry EJ, Minn AJ. Tumor Interferon Signaling Regulates a Multigenic Resistance Program to Immune Checkpoint Blockade. Cell. 2016;167(6):1540-54 e12. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.022. PubMed PMID: 27912061; PMCID: PMC5385895.

106. Koyama S, Akbay EA, Li YY, Herter-Sprie GS, Buczkowski KA, Richards WG, Gandhi L, Redig AJ, Rodig SJ, Asahina H, Jones RE, Kulkarni MM, Kuraguchi M, Palakurthi S, Fecci PE, Johnson BE, Janne PA, Engelman JA, Gangadharan SP, Costa DB, Freeman GJ, Bueno R, Hodi FS, Dranoff G, Wong KK, Hammerman PS. Adaptive resistance to therapeutic PD-1 blockade is

associated with upregulation of alternative immune checkpoints. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10501. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10501. PubMed PMID: 26883990; PMCID: PMC4757784.

107. O'Donnell JS, Long GV, Scolyer RA, Teng MW, Smyth MJ. Resistance to PD1/PDL1 checkpoint inhibition. Cancer Treat Rev. 2017;52:71-81. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.11.007. PubMed PMID: 27951441.

108. Tsai HF, Hsu PN. Cancer immunotherapy by targeting immune checkpoints: mechanism of T cell dysfunction in cancer immunity and new therapeutic targets. J Biomed Sci. 2017;24(1):35. doi: 10.1186/s12929-017-0341-0. PubMed PMID: 28545567; PMCID: PMC5445514.

109. Umansky V, Sevko A. Melanoma-induced immunosuppression and its neutralization. Semin Cancer Biol. 2012;22(4):319-26. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2012.02.003. PubMed PMID: 22349515.

110. Ellis LM, Hicklin DJ. VEGF-targeted therapy: mechanisms of anti-tumour activity. Nat Rev Cancer. 2008;8(8):579-91. doi: 10.1038/nrc2403. PubMed PMID: 18596824.

111. Spranger S, Bao R, Gajewski TF. Melanoma-intrinsic beta-catenin signalling prevents antitumour immunity. Nature. 2015;523(7559):231-5. doi: 10.1038/nature14404. PubMed PMID: 25970248.

112. Harlin H, Kuna TV, Peterson AC, Meng Y, Gajewski TF. Tumor progression despite massive influx of activated CD8(+) T cells in a patient with malignant melanoma ascites. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2006;55(10):1185-97. doi: 10.1007/s00262-005-0118-2. PubMed PMID: 16468035.

113. Viguier M, Lemaitre F, Verola O, Cho MS, Gorochov G, Dubertret L, Bachelez H, Kourilsky P, Ferradini L. Foxp3 expressing CD4+CD25(high) regulatory T cells are overrepresented in human metastatic melanoma lymph nodes and inhibit the function of infiltrating T cells. J Immunol. 2004;173(2):1444-53. PubMed PMID: 15240741.

114. Facciabene A, Motz GT, Coukos G. T-regulatory cells: key players in tumor immune escape and angiogenesis. Cancer Res. 2012;72(9):2162-71. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3687. PubMed PMID: 22549946; PMCID: PMC3342842.

115. Ghiringhelli F, Menard C, Terme M, Flament C, Taieb J, Chaput N, Puig PE, Novault S, Escudier B, Vivier E, Lecesne A, Robert C, Blay JY, Bernard J, Caillat-Zucman S, Freitas A, Tursz T, Wagner-Ballon O, Capron C, Vainchencker W, Martin F, Zitvogel L. CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells inhibit natural killer cell functions in a transforming growth factor-beta-dependent manner. J Exp Med. 2005;202(8):1075-85. doi: 10.1084/jem.20051511. PubMed PMID: 16230475; PMCID: 2213209.

116. Sharma P, Hu-Lieskovan S, Wargo JA, Ribas A. Primary, Adaptive, and Acquired Resistance to Cancer Immunotherapy. Cell. 2017;168(4):707-23. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.01.017. PubMed PMID: 28187290; PMCID: PMC5391692.

117. Shabaneh TB, Molodtsov AK, Steinberg SM, Zhang P, Torres GM, Mohamed GA, Boni A, Curiel TJ, Angeles CV, Turk MJ. Oncogenic BRAF(V600E) Governs Regulatory T-cell Recruitment during Melanoma Tumorigenesis. Cancer Res. 2018;78(17):5038-49. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-0365. PubMed PMID: 30026331; PMCID: PMC6319620.

118. Shin DS, Zaretsky JM, Escuin-Ordinas H, Garcia-Diaz A, Hu-Lieskovan S, Kalbasi A, Grasso CS, Hugo W, Sandoval S, Torrejon DY, Palaskas N, Rodriguez GA, Parisi G, Azhdam A, Chmielowski B, Cherry G, Seja E, Berent-Maoz B, Shintaku IP, Le DT, Pardoll DM, Diaz LA, Jr., Tumeh PC, Graeber TG, Lo RS, Comin-Anduix B, Ribas A. Primary Resistance to PD-1 Blockade Mediated by JAK1/2 Mutations. Cancer Discov. 2017;7(2):188-201. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-16-1223. PubMed PMID: 27903500; PMCID: PMC5296316.

119. Nesbit M, Schaider H, Miller TH, Herlyn M. Low-level monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 stimulation of monocytes leads to tumor formation in nontumorigenic melanoma cells. J Immunol. 2001;166(11):6483-90. PubMed PMID: 11359798.

120. Skobe M, Hamberg LM, Hawighorst T, Schirner M, Wolf GL, Alitalo K, Detmar M. Concurrent induction of lymphangiogenesis, angiogenesis, and macrophage recruitment by vascular

endothelial growth factor-C in melanoma. Am J Pathol. 2001;159(3):893-903. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)61765-8. PubMed PMID: 11549582; PMCID: PMC1850477.

121. Wang H, Yang L, Wang D, Zhang Q, Zhang L. Pro-tumor activities of macrophages in the progression of melanoma. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2017;13(7):1556-62. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2017.1312043. PubMed PMID: 28441072; PMCID: PMC5512774.

122. Muenst S, Laubli H, Soysal SD, Zippelius A, Tzankov A, Hoeller S. The immune system and cancer evasion strategies: therapeutic concepts. J Intern Med. 2016;279(6):541-62. doi: 10.1111/joim.12470. PubMed PMID: 26748421.

123. Navarini-Meury AA, Conrad C. Melanoma and innate immunity--aActive inflammation or just erroneous attraction? Melanoma as the source of leukocyte-attracting chemokines. Semin Cancer Biol. 2009;19(2):84-91. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2008.10.012. PubMed PMID: 19038342.

124. Strickland I, Rhodes LE, Flanagan BF, Friedmann PS. TNF-alpha and IL-8 are upregulated in the epidermis of normal human skin after UVB exposure: correlation with neutrophil accumulation and E-selectin expression. J Invest Dermatol. 1997;108(5):763-8. PubMed PMID: 9129230.

125. Mishalian I, Bayuh R, Levy L, Zolotarov L, Michaeli J, Fridlender ZG. Tumor-associated neutrophils (TAN) develop pro-tumorigenic properties during tumor progression. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2013;62(11):1745-56. doi: 10.1007/s00262-013-1476-9. PubMed PMID: 24092389.

126. Granot Z, Jablonska J. Distinct Functions of Neutrophil in Cancer and Its Regulation. Mediators Inflamm. 2015;2015:701067. Epub 2015/12/10. doi: 10.1155/2015/701067. PubMed PMID: 26648665; PMCID: PMC4663337.

127. Ding Y, Zhang S, Qiao J. Prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in melanoma: Evidence from a PRISMA-compliant meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018;97(30):e11446. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000011446. PubMed PMID: 30045267; PMCID: PMC6078713.

128. Kanatsios S, Melanoma Project M, Li Wai Suen CSN, Cebon JS, Gyorki DE. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio is an independent predictor of outcome for patients undergoing definitive resection for stage IV melanoma. J Surg Oncol. 2018;118(6):915-21. doi: 10.1002/jso.25138. PubMed PMID: 30196539.

129. Jablonska J, Leschner S, Westphal K, Lienenklaus S, Weiss S. Neutrophils responsive to endogenous IFN-beta regulate tumor angiogenesis and growth in a mouse tumor model. J Clin Invest. 2010;120(4):1151-64. doi: 10.1172/JCI37223. PubMed PMID: 20237412; PMCID: PMC2846036.

130. Li YC, Zou JM, Luo C, Shu Y, Luo J, Qin J, Wang Y, Li D, Wang SS, Chi G, Guo F, Zhang GM, Feng ZH. Circulating tumor cells promote the metastatic colonization of disseminated carcinoma cells by inducing systemic inflammation. Oncotarget. 2017;8(17):28418-30. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.16084. PubMed PMID: 28415700; PMCID: PMC5438660.

131. Glodde N, Bald T, van den Boorn-Konijnenberg D, Nakamura K, O'Donnell JS, Szczepanski S, Brandes M, Eickhoff S, Das I, Shridhar N, Hinze D, Rogava M, van der Sluis TC, Ruotsalainen JJ, Gaffal E, Landsberg J, Ludwig KU, Wilhelm C, Riek-Burchardt M, Muller AJ, Gebhardt C, Scolyer RA, Long GV, Janzen V, Teng MWL, Kastenmuller W, Mazzone M, Smyth MJ, Tuting T, Holzel M. Reactive Neutrophil Responses Dependent on the Receptor Tyrosine Kinase c-MET Limit Cancer Immunotherapy. Immunity. 2017;47(4):789-802 e9. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.09.012. PubMed PMID: 29045907.

132. Shaul ME, Fridlender ZG. Cancer-related circulating and tumor-associated neutrophils - subtypes, sources and function. FEBS J. 2018;285(23):4316-42. doi: 10.1111/febs.14524. PubMed PMID: 29851227.

133. Jacobs SR, Herman CE, Maciver NJ, Wofford JA, Wieman HL, Hammen JJ, Rathmell JC. Glucose uptake is limiting in T cell activation and requires CD28-mediated Akt-dependent and independent pathways. J Immunol. 2008;180(7):4476-86. PubMed PMID: 18354169; PMCID: PMC2593791.

134. Cham CM, Driessens G, O'Keefe JP, Gajewski TF. Glucose deprivation inhibits multiple key gene expression events and effector functions in CD8+ T cells. Eur J Immunol. 2008;38(9):2438-50. doi: 10.1002/eji.200838289. PubMed PMID: 18792400; PMCID: PMC3008428.

135. Najjar YG, Menk AV, Sander C, Rao U, Karunamurthy A, Bhatia R, Zhai S, Kirkwood JM, Delgoffe GM. Tumor cell oxidative metabolism as a barrier to PD-1 blockade immunotherapy in melanoma. JCI Insight. 2019;4(5). doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.124989. PubMed PMID: 30721155.

136. Gottfried E, Kunz-Schughart LA, Ebner S, Mueller-Klieser W, Hoves S, Andreesen R, Mackensen A, Kreutz M. Tumor-derived lactic acid modulates dendritic cell activation and antigen expression. Blood. 2006;107(5):2013-21. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-05-1795. PubMed PMID: 16278308.

137. Brand A, Singer K, Koehl GE, Kolitzus M, Schoenhammer G, Thiel A, Matos C, Bruss C, Klobuch S, Peter K, Kastenberger M, Bogdan C, Schleicher U, Mackensen A, Ullrich E, Fichtner-Feigl S, Kesselring R, Mack M, Ritter U, Schmid M, Blank C, Dettmer K, Oefner PJ, Hoffmann P, Walenta S, Geissler EK, Pouyssegur J, Villunger A, Steven A, Seliger B, Schreml S, Haferkamp S, Kohl E, Karrer S, Berneburg M, Herr W, Mueller-Klieser W, Renner K, Kreutz M. LDHA-Associated Lactic Acid Production Blunts Tumor Immunosurveillance by T and NK Cells. Cell Metab. 2016;24(5):657-71. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.08.011. PubMed PMID: 27641098.

138. Pilon-Thomas S, Kodumudi KN, El-Kenawi AE, Russell S, Weber AM, Luddy K, Damaghi M, Wojtkowiak JW, Mule JJ, Ibrahim-Hashim A, Gillies RJ. Neutralization of Tumor Acidity Improves Antitumor Responses to Immunotherapy. Cancer Res. 2016;76(6):1381-90. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-1743. PubMed PMID: 26719539; PMCID: PMC4829106.

139. Ratnikov BI, Scott DA, Osterman AL, Smith JW, Ronai ZA. Metabolic rewiring in melanoma. Oncogene. 2017;36(2):147-57. doi: 10.1038/onc.2016.198. PubMed PMID: 27270434; PMCID: PMC5140782.

140. Carr EL, Kelman A, Wu GS, Gopaul R, Senkevitch E, Aghvanyan A, Turay AM, Frauwirth KA. Glutamine uptake and metabolism are coordinately regulated by ERK/MAPK during T lymphocyte activation. J Immunol. 2010;185(2):1037-44. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0903586. PubMed PMID: 20554958; PMCID: PMC2897897.

141. Kim SH, Roszik J, Grimm EA, Ekmekcioglu S. Impact of l-Arginine Metabolism on Immune Response and Anticancer Immunotherapy. Front Oncol. 2018;8:67. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00067. PubMed PMID: 29616189; PMCID: PMC5864849.

142. Dillon BJ, Prieto VG, Curley SA, Ensor CM, Holtsberg FW, Bomalaski JS, Clark MA. Incidence and distribution of argininosuccinate synthetase deficiency in human cancers: a method for identifying cancers sensitive to arginine deprivation. Cancer. 2004;100(4):826-33. doi: 10.1002/cncr.20057. PubMed PMID: 14770441.

143. Yoon JK, Frankel AE, Feun LG, Ekmekcioglu S, Kim KB. Arginine deprivation therapy for malignant melanoma. Clin Pharmacol. 2013;5:11-9. doi: 10.2147/CPAA.S37350. PubMed PMID: 23293541; PMCID: PMC3534294.

144. Geiger R, Rieckmann JC, Wolf T, Basso C, Feng Y, Fuhrer T, Kogadeeva M, Picotti P, Meissner F, Mann M, Zamboni N, Sallusto F, Lanzavecchia A. L-Arginine Modulates T Cell Metabolism and Enhances Survival and Anti-tumor Activity. Cell. 2016;167(3):829-42 e13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.09.031. PubMed PMID: 27745970; PMCID: PMC5075284.

145. Bronte V, Serafini P, Mazzoni A, Segal DM, Zanovello P. L-arginine metabolism in myeloid cells controls T-lymphocyte functions. Trends Immunol. 2003;24(6):302-6. PubMed PMID: 12810105.

146. Yarlagadda K, Hassani J, Foote IP, Markowitz J. The role of nitric oxide in melanoma. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer. 2017;1868(2):500-9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2017.09.005. PubMed PMID: 28963068. 147. Holmgaard RB, Zamarin D, Li Y, Gasmi B, Munn DH, Allison JP, Merghoub T, Wolchok JD. Tumor-Expressed IDO Recruits and Activates MDSCs in a Treg-Dependent Manner. Cell Rep. 2015;13(2):412-24. Epub 09/24. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.08.077. PubMed PMID: 26411680.

148. Chevolet I, Speeckaert R, Schreuer M, Neyns B, Krysko O, Bachert C, Hennart B, Allorge D, van Geel N, Van Gele M, Brochez L. Characterization of the in vivo immune network of IDO, tryptophan metabolism, PD-L1, and CTLA-4 in circulating immune cells in melanoma. Oncoimmunology. 2015;4(3):e982382. doi: 10.4161/2162402X.2014.982382.

149. Prendergast GC, Smith C, Thomas S, Mandik-Nayak L, Laury-Kleintop L, Metz R, Muller AJ. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase pathways of pathogenic inflammation and immune escape in cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2014;63(7):721-35. doi: 10.1007/s00262-014-1549-4. PubMed PMID: 24711084; PMCID: PMC4384696.

150. Krahenbuhl L, Goldinger SM, Mangana J, Kerl K, Chevolet I, Brochez L, Horak C, Levesque M, Dummer R, Cheng PF. A Longitudinal Analysis of IDO and PDL1 Expression during Immune- or Targeted Therapy in Advanced Melanoma. Neoplasia. 2018;20(2):218-25. doi: 10.1016/j.neo.2017.12.002. PubMed PMID: 29331888; PMCID: PMC5767907.

151. Rubel F, Kern JS, Technau-Hafsi K, Uhrich S, Thoma K, Hacker G, von Bubnoff N, Meiss F, von Bubnoff D. Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase Expression in Primary Cutaneous Melanoma Correlates with Breslow Thickness and Is of Significant Prognostic Value for Progression-Free Survival. J Invest Dermatol. 2018;138(3):679-87. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2017.09.036. PubMed PMID: 29054599.

152. Moon YW, Hajjar J, Hwu P, Naing A. Targeting the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase pathway in cancer. J Immunother Cancer. 2015;3:51. doi: 10.1186/s40425-015-0094-9. PubMed PMID: 26674411; PMCID: PMC4678703.

153. Munn DH, Sharma MD, Baban B, Harding HP, Zhang Y, Ron D, Mellor AL. GCN2 kinase in T cells mediates proliferative arrest and anergy induction in response to indoleamine 2,3dioxygenase. Immunity. 2005;22(5):633-42. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2005.03.013. PubMed PMID: 15894280.

154. Sonner JK, Deumelandt K, Ott M, Thome CM, Rauschenbach KJ, Schulz S, Munteanu B, Mohapatra S, Adam I, Hofer AC, Feuerer M, Opitz CA, Hopf C, Wick W, Platten M. The stress kinase GCN2 does not mediate suppression of antitumor T cell responses by tryptophan catabolism in experimental melanomas. Oncoimmunology. 2016;5(12):e1240858. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2016.1240858. PubMed PMID: 28123877; PMCID: PMC5214097.

155. Pietra G, Manzini C, Rivara S, Vitale M, Cantoni C, Petretto A, Balsamo M, Conte R, Benelli R, Minghelli S, Solari N, Gualco M, Queirolo P, Moretta L, Mingari MC. Melanoma cells inhibit natural killer cell function by modulating the expression of activating receptors and cytolytic activity. Cancer Res. 2012;72(6):1407-15. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-2544. PubMed PMID: 22258454.

156. Labadie BW, Bao R, Luke JJ. Reimagining IDO Pathway Inhibition in Cancer Immunotherapy via Downstream Focus on the Tryptophan-Kynurenine-Aryl Hydrocarbon Axis. Clin Cancer Res. 2019;25(5):1462-71. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-2882. PubMed PMID: 30377198; PMCID: PMC6397695.

157. Young A, Mittal D, Stagg J, Smyth MJ. Targeting cancer-derived adenosine: new therapeutic approaches. Cancer Discov. 2014;4(8):879-88. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-14-0341. PubMed PMID: 25035124.

158. Young A, Ngiow SF, Gao Y, Patch AM, Barkauskas DS, Messaoudene M, Lin G, Coudert JD, Stannard KA, Zitvogel L, Degli-Esposti MA, Vivier E, Waddell N, Linden J, Huntington ND, Souza-Fonseca-Guimaraes F, Smyth MJ. A2AR Adenosine Signaling Suppresses Natural Killer Cell Maturation in the Tumor Microenvironment. Cancer Res. 2018;78(4):1003-16. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-2826. PubMed PMID: 29229601.

159. Linnemann C, Schildberg FA, Schurich A, Diehl L, Hegenbarth SI, Endl E, Lacher S, Muller CE, Frey J, Simeoni L, Schraven B, Stabenow D, Knolle PA. Adenosine regulates CD8 T-

cell priming by inhibition of membrane-proximal T-cell receptor signalling. Immunology. 2009;128(1 Suppl):e728-37. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2009.03075.x. PubMed PMID: 19740334; PMCID: PMC2753927.

160. Ohta A, Kini R, Ohta A, Subramanian M, Madasu M, Sitkovsky M. The development and immunosuppressive functions of CD4(+) CD25(+) FoxP3(+) regulatory T cells are under influence of the adenosine-A2A adenosine receptor pathway. Front Immunol. 2012;3:190. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2012.00190. PubMed PMID: 22783261; PMCID: PMC3389649.

161. Cekic C, Day YJ, Sag D, Linden J. Myeloid expression of adenosine A2A receptor suppresses T and NK cell responses in the solid tumor microenvironment. Cancer Res. 2014;74(24):7250-9. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-3583. PubMed PMID: 25377469; PMCID: PMC4459782.

162. Reinhardt J, Landsberg J, Schmid-Burgk JL, Ramis BB, Bald T, Glodde N, Lopez-Ramos D, Young A, Ngiow SF, Nettersheim D, Schorle H, Quast T, Kolanus W, Schadendorf D, Long GV, Madore J, Scolyer RA, Ribas A, Smyth MJ, Tumeh PC, Tuting T, Holzel M. MAPK Signaling and Inflammation Link Melanoma Phenotype Switching to Induction of CD73 during Immunotherapy. Cancer Res. 2017;77(17):4697-709. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0395. PubMed PMID: 28652246.

163. Gajos-Michniewicz A, Czyz M. Role of miRNAs in Melanoma Metastasis. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(3). doi: 10.3390/cancers11030326. PubMed PMID: 30866509.

164. Davalos V, Esteller M. MicroRNAs and cancer epigenetics: a macrorevolution. Curr Opin Oncol. 2010;22(1):35-45. doi: 10.1097/CCO.0b013e328333dcbb. PubMed PMID: 19907325.

165. Gaziel-Sovran A, Segura MF, Di Micco R, Collins MK, Hanniford D, Vega-Saenz de Miera E, Rakus JF, Dankert JF, Shang S, Kerbel RS, Bhardwaj N, Shao Y, Darvishian F, Zavadil J, Erlebacher A, Mahal LK, Osman I, Hernando E. miR-30b/30d regulation of GalNAc transferases enhances invasion and immunosuppression during metastasis. Cancer Cell. 2011;20(1):104-18. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2011.05.027. PubMed PMID: 21741600; PMCID: PMC3681522.

166. Huber V, Vallacchi V, Fleming V, Hu X, Cova A, Dugo M, Shahaj E, Sulsenti R, Vergani E, Filipazzi P, De Laurentiis A, Lalli L, Di Guardo L, Patuzzo R, Vergani B, Casiraghi E, Cossa M, Gualeni A, Bollati V, Arienti F, De Braud F, Mariani L, Villa A, Altevogt P, Umansky V, Rodolfo M, Rivoltini L. Tumor-derived microRNAs induce myeloid suppressor cells and predict immunotherapy resistance in melanoma. J Clin Invest. 2018;128(12):5505-16. doi: 10.1172/JCI98060. PubMed PMID: 30260323; PMCID: PMC6264733.

167. Noman MZ, Buart S, Romero P, Ketari S, Janji B, Mari B, Mami-Chouaib F, Chouaib S. Hypoxia-inducible miR-210 regulates the susceptibility of tumor cells to lysis by cytotoxic T cells. Cancer Res. 2012;72(18):4629-41. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-1383. PubMed PMID: 22962263.

168. Mathsyaraja H, Thies K, Taffany DA, Deighan C, Liu T, Yu L, Fernandez SA, Shapiro C, Otero J, Timmers C, Lustberg MB, Chalmers J, Leone G, Ostrowski MC. CSF1-ETS2-induced microRNA in myeloid cells promote metastatic tumor growth. Oncogene. 2015;34(28):3651-61. doi: 10.1038/onc.2014.294. PubMed PMID: 25241894; PMCID: PMC4369473.

169. Romano G, Kwong LN. miRNAs, Melanoma and Microenvironment: An Intricate Network. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18(11). doi: 10.3390/ijms18112354. PubMed PMID: 29112174; PMCID: PMC5713323.

170. Cai X, Yin Y, Li N, Zhu D, Zhang J, Zhang CY, Zen K. Re-polarization of tumor-associated macrophages to pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages by microRNA-155. J Mol Cell Biol. 2012;4(5):341-3. doi: 10.1093/jmcb/mjs044. PubMed PMID: 22831835.

171. Arts N, Cane S, Hennequart M, Lamy J, Bommer G, Van den Eynde B, De Plaen E. microRNA-155, induced by interleukin-1ss, represses the expression of microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF-M) in melanoma cells. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):e0122517. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0122517. PubMed PMID: 25853464; PMCID: PMC4390329.

172. Huffaker TB, Hu R, Runtsch MC, Bake E, Chen X, Zhao J, Round JL, Baltimore D, O'Connell RM. Epistasis between microRNAs 155 and 146a during T cell-mediated antitumor immunity. Cell Rep. 2012;2(6):1697-709. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.10.025. PubMed PMID: 23200854; PMCID: PMC3628775.

173. Mastroianni J, Stickel N, Andrlova H, Hanke K, Melchinger W, Duquesne S, Schmidt D, Falk M, Andrieux G, Pfeifer D, Dierbach H, Schmitt-Graeff A, Meiss F, Boerries M, Zeiser R. miR-146a Controls Immune Response in the Melanoma Microenvironment. Cancer Res. 2019;79(1):183-95. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-1397. PubMed PMID: 30425059; PMCID: PMC6330089.

174. Wieckowski EU, Visus C, Szajnik M, Szczepanski MJ, Storkus WJ, Whiteside TL. Tumorderived microvesicles promote regulatory T cell expansion and induce apoptosis in tumor-reactive activated CD8+ T lymphocytes. J Immunol. 2009;183(6):3720-30. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0900970. PubMed PMID: 19692638; PMCID: PMC3721354.

175. Chen G, Huang AC, Zhang W, Zhang G, Wu M, Xu W, Yu Z, Yang J, Wang B, Sun H, Xia H, Man Q, Zhong W, Antelo LF, Wu B, Xiong X, Liu X, Guan L, Li T, Liu S, Yang R, Lu Y, Dong L, McGettigan S, Somasundaram R, Radhakrishnan R, Mills G, Lu Y, Kim J, Chen YH, Dong H, Zhao Y, Karakousis GC, Mitchell TC, Schuchter LM, Herlyn M, Wherry EJ, Xu X, Guo W. Exosomal PD-L1 contributes to immunosuppression and is associated with anti-PD-1 response. Nature. 2018;560(7718):382-6. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0392-8. PubMed PMID: 30089911; PMCID: PMC6095740.

176. Andreola G, Rivoltini L, Castelli C, Huber V, Perego P, Deho P, Squarcina P, Accornero P, Lozupone F, Lugini L, Stringaro A, Molinari A, Arancia G, Gentile M, Parmiani G, Fais S. Induction of lymphocyte apoptosis by tumor cell secretion of FasL-bearing microvesicles. J Exp Med. 2002;195(10):1303-16. PubMed PMID: 12021310; PMCID: PMC2193755.

177. Zhou J, Yang Y, Wang W, Zhang Y, Chen Z, Hao C, Zhang J. Melanoma-released exosomes directly activate the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway of CD4(+) T cells through their microRNA cargo. Exp Cell Res. 2018;371(2):364-71. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2018.08.030. PubMed PMID: 30149000.

178. Tucci M, Mannavola F, Passarelli A, Stucci LS, Cives M, Silvestris F. Exosomes in melanoma: a role in tumor progression, metastasis and impaired immune system activity. Oncotarget. 2018;9(29):20826-37. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.24846. PubMed PMID: 29755693; PMCID: PMC5945529.

179. Peinado H, Aleckovic M, Lavotshkin S, Matei I, Costa-Silva B, Moreno-Bueno G, Hergueta-Redondo M, Williams C, Garcia-Santos G, Ghajar C, Nitadori-Hoshino A, Hoffman C, Badal K, Garcia BA, Callahan MK, Yuan J, Martins VR, Skog J, Kaplan RN, Brady MS, Wolchok JD, Chapman PB, Kang Y, Bromberg J, Lyden D. Melanoma exosomes educate bone marrow progenitor cells toward a pro-metastatic phenotype through MET. Nat Med. 2012;18(6):883-91. doi: 10.1038/nm.2753. PubMed PMID: 22635005; PMCID: PMC3645291.

180. Batus M, Waheed S, Ruby C, Petersen L, Bines SD, Kaufman HL. Optimal management of metastatic melanoma: current strategies and future directions. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2013;14(3):179-94. Epub 2013/05/17. doi: 10.1007/s40257-013-0025-9. PubMed PMID: 23677693; PMCID: PMC3913474.

181. Austin E, Mamalis A, Ho D, Jagdeo J. Laser and light-based therapy for cutaneous and softtissue metastases of malignant melanoma: a systematic review. Arch Dermatol Res. 2017;309(4):229-42. Epub 2017/03/21. doi: 10.1007/s00403-017-1720-9. PubMed PMID: 28314913.

182. Gata VA, Lisencu CI, Vlad CI, Piciu D, Irimie A, Achimas-Cadariu P. Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes as a prognostic factor in malignant melanoma. Review of the literature. J BUON. 2017;22(3):592-8. Epub 2017/07/22. PubMed PMID: 28730761.

183. Sanlorenzo M, Vujic I, Carnevale-Schianca F, Quaglino P, Gammaitoni L, Fierro MT, Aglietta M, Sangiolo D. Role of interferon in melanoma: old hopes and new perspectives. Expert

Opin Biol Ther. 2017;17(4):475-83. Epub 2017/03/10. doi: 10.1080/14712598.2017.1289169. PubMed PMID: 28274138.

184. Kirkwood JM, Ibrahim J, Lawson DH, Atkins MB, Agarwala SS, Collins K, Mascari R, Morrissey DM, Chapman PB. High-dose interferon alfa-2b does not diminish antibody response to GM2 vaccination in patients with resected melanoma: results of the Multicenter Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Phase II Trial E2696. J Clin Oncol. 2001;19(5):1430-6. Epub 2001/03/07. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2001.19.5.1430. PubMed PMID: 11230488.

185. Roh MR, Zheng Z, Kim HS, Jeung HC, Rha SY, Chung KY. Difference of interferon-alpha and interferon-beta on melanoma growth and lymph node metastasis in mice. Melanoma Res. 2013;23(2):114-24. Epub 2013/01/30. doi: 10.1097/CMR.0b013e32835e7713. PubMed PMID: 23358428.

186. Eggermont AM, Suciu S, Rutkowski P, Kruit WH, Punt CJ, Dummer R, Sales F, Keilholz U, de Schaetzen G, Testori A, Group EM. Long term follow up of the EORTC 18952 trial of adjuvant therapy in resected stage IIB-III cutaneous melanoma patients comparing intermediate doses of interferon-alpha-2b (IFN) with observation: Ulceration of primary is key determinant for IFN-sensitivity. Eur J Cancer. 2016;55:111-21. Epub 2016/01/21. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2015.11.014. PubMed PMID: 26790144.

187. Eggermont AM, Suciu S, Santinami M, Testori A, Kruit WH, Marsden J, Punt CJ, Sales F, Gore M, Mackie R, Kusic Z, Dummer R, Hauschild A, Musat E, Spatz A, Keilholz U, Group EM. Adjuvant therapy with pegylated interferon alfa-2b versus observation alone in resected stage III melanoma: final results of EORTC 18991, a randomised phase III trial. Lancet. 2008;372(9633):117-26. Epub 2008/07/16. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61033-8. PubMed PMID: 18620949.

188. Krieg C, Letourneau S, Pantaleo G, Boyman O. Improved IL-2 immunotherapy by selective stimulation of IL-2 receptors on lymphocytes and endothelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107(26):11906-11. Epub 2010/06/16. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1002569107. PubMed PMID: 20547866; PMCID: PMC2900642.

189. Sabatino M, Kim-Schulze S, Panelli MC, Stroncek D, Wang E, Taback B, Kim DW, Deraffele G, Pos Z, Marincola FM, Kaufman HL. Serum vascular endothelial growth factor and fibronectin predict clinical response to high-dose interleukin-2 therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(16):2645-52. Epub 2009/04/15. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2008.19.1106. PubMed PMID: 19364969; PMCID: PMC2689845.

190. Bright R, Coventry BJ, Eardley-Harris N, Briggs N. Clinical Response Rates From Interleukin-2 Therapy for Metastatic Melanoma Over 30 Years' Experience: A Meta-Analysis of 3312 Patients. J Immunother. 2017;40(1):21-30. Epub 2016/11/23. doi: 10.1097/CJI.00000000000149. PubMed PMID: 27875387.

191. Panelli MC, Wunderlich J, Jeffries J, Wang E, Mixon A, Rosenberg SA, Marincola FM. Phase 1 study in patients with metastatic melanoma of immunization with dendritic cells presenting epitopes derived from the melanoma-associated antigens MART-1 and gp100. J Immunother. 2000;23(4):487-98. Epub 2000/08/05. PubMed PMID: 10916759.

192. Yuan J, Ku GY, Gallardo HF, Orlandi F, Manukian G, Rasalan TS, Xu Y, Li H, Vyas S, Mu Z, Chapman PB, Krown SE, Panageas K, Terzulli SL, Old LJ, Houghton AN, Wolchok JD. Safety and immunogenicity of a human and mouse gp100 DNA vaccine in a phase I trial of patients with melanoma. Cancer Immun. 2009;9:5. Epub 2009/06/06. PubMed PMID: 19496531; PMCID: PMC2888533.

193. Han Y, Guo Q, Zhang M, Chen Z, Cao X. CD69+ CD4+ CD25- T cells, a new subset of regulatory T cells, suppress T cell proliferation through membrane-bound TGF-beta 1. J Immunol. 2009;182(1):111-20. Epub 2008/12/26. PubMed PMID: 19109141.

194. Bobo D, Robinson KJ, Islam J, Thurecht KJ, Corrie SR. Nanoparticle-Based Medicines: A Review of FDA-Approved Materials and Clinical Trials to Date. Pharm Res. 2016;33(10):2373-87. Epub 2016/06/15. doi: 10.1007/s11095-016-1958-5. PubMed PMID: 27299311.

195. Telang S, Rasku MA, Clem AL, Carter K, Klarer AC, Badger WR, Milam RA, Rai SN, Pan J, Gragg H, Clem BF, McMasters KM, Miller DM, Chesney J. Phase II trial of the regulatory T cell-depleting agent, denileukin diftitox, in patients with unresectable stage IV melanoma. BMC Cancer. 2011;11:515. Epub 2011/12/15. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-11-515. PubMed PMID: 22165955; PMCID: PMC3293785.

196. Attia P, Maker AV, Haworth LR, Rogers-Freezer L, Rosenberg SA. Inability of a fusion protein of IL-2 and diphtheria toxin (Denileukin Diftitox, DAB389IL-2, ONTAK) to eliminate regulatory T lymphocytes in patients with melanoma. J Immunother. 2005;28(6):582-92. Epub 2005/10/15. PubMed PMID: 16224276; PMCID: PMC1533764.

197. Brunet JF, Denizot F, Luciani MF, Roux-Dosseto M, Suzan M, Mattei MG, Golstein P. A new member of the immunoglobulin superfamily--CTLA-4. Nature. 1987;328(6127):267-70. Epub 1987/07/16. doi: 10.1038/328267a0. PubMed PMID: 3496540.

198. Thompson CB, Allison JP. The emerging role of CTLA-4 as an immune attenuator. Immunity. 1997;7(4):445-50. Epub 1997/11/14. PubMed PMID: 9354465.

199. Linsley PS, Brady W, Urnes M, Grosmaire LS, Damle NK, Ledbetter JA. CTLA-4 is a second receptor for the B cell activation antigen B7. The Journal of experimental medicine. 1991;174(3):561-9. Epub 1991/09/01. doi: 10.1084/jem.174.3.561. PubMed PMID: 1714933; PMCID: PMC2118936.

200. Chikuma S. CTLA-4, an Essential Immune-Checkpoint for T-Cell Activation. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2017;410:99-126. Epub 2017/09/14. doi: 10.1007/82_2017_61. PubMed PMID: 28900679.

201. Brunner MC, Chambers CA, Chan FK, Hanke J, Winoto A, Allison JP. CTLA-4-Mediated inhibition of early events of T cell proliferation. Journal of immunology. 1999;162(10):5813-20. Epub 1999/05/07. PubMed PMID: 10229815.

202. Tivol EA, Borriello F, Schweitzer AN, Lynch WP, Bluestone JA, Sharpe AH. Loss of CTLA-4 leads to massive lymphoproliferation and fatal multiorgan tissue destruction, revealing a critical negative regulatory role of CTLA-4. Immunity. 1995;3(5):541-7. Epub 1995/11/01. PubMed PMID: 7584144.

203. Allison JP, Hurwitz AA, Leach DR. Manipulation of costimulatory signals to enhance antitumor T-cell responses. Current opinion in immunology. 1995;7(5):682-6. Epub 1995/10/01. PubMed PMID: 8573312.

204. Waterhouse P, Penninger JM, Timms E, Wakeham A, Shahinian A, Lee KP, Thompson CB, Griesser H, Mak TW. Lymphoproliferative disorders with early lethality in mice deficient in Ctla-4. Science. 1995;270(5238):985-8. Epub 1995/11/10. PubMed PMID: 7481803.

205. Specenier P. Ipilimumab in melanoma. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther. 2016;16(8):811-26. Epub 2016/07/13. doi: 10.1080/14737140.2016.1211936. PubMed PMID: 27403706.

206. Wolchok JD, Neyns B, Linette G, Negrier S, Lutzky J, Thomas L, Waterfield W, Schadendorf D, Smylie M, Guthrie T, Jr., Grob JJ, Chesney J, Chin K, Chen K, Hoos A, O'Day SJ, Lebbe C. Ipilimumab monotherapy in patients with pretreated advanced melanoma: a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 2, dose-ranging study. Lancet Oncol. 2010;11(2):155-64. Epub 2009/12/17. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70334-1. PubMed PMID: 20004617.

207. Taube JM, Klein A, Brahmer JR, Xu H, Pan X, Kim JH, Chen L, Pardoll DM, Topalian SL, Anders RA. Association of PD-1, PD-1 ligands, and other features of the tumor immune microenvironment with response to anti-PD-1 therapy. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2014;20(19):5064-74. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-3271. PubMed PMID: 24714771; PMCID: 4185001.

208. Franklin C, Livingstone E, Roesch A, Schilling B, Schadendorf D. Immunotherapy in melanoma: Recent advances and future directions. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2017;43(3):604-11. Epub 2016/10/23. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2016.07.145. PubMed PMID: 27769635.

209. Ribas A, Puzanov I, Dummer R, Schadendorf D, Hamid O, Robert C, Hodi FS, Schachter J, Pavlick AC, Lewis KD, Cranmer LD, Blank CU, O'Day SJ, Ascierto PA, Salama AK, Margolin

KA, Loquai C, Eigentler TK, Gangadhar TC, Carlino MS, Agarwala SS, Moschos SJ, Sosman JA, Goldinger SM, Shapira-Frommer R, Gonzalez R, Kirkwood JM, Wolchok JD, Eggermont A, Li XN, Zhou W, Zernhelt AM, Lis J, Ebbinghaus S, Kang SP, Daud A. Pembrolizumab versus investigator-choice chemotherapy for ipilimumab-refractory melanoma (KEYNOTE-002): a randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2015;16(8):908-18. Epub 2015/06/28. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00083-2. PubMed PMID: 26115796.

210. Robert C, Ribas A, Wolchok JD, Hodi FS, Hamid O, Kefford R, Weber JS, Joshua AM, Hwu WJ, Gangadhar TC, Patnaik A, Dronca R, Zarour H, Joseph RW, Boasberg P, Chmielowski B, Mateus C, Postow MA, Gergich K, Elassaiss-Schaap J, Li XN, Iannone R, Ebbinghaus SW, Kang SP, Daud A. Anti-programmed-death-receptor-1 treatment with pembrolizumab in ipilimumab-refractory advanced melanoma: a randomised dose-comparison cohort of a phase 1 trial. Lancet. 2014;384(9948):1109-17. Epub 2014/07/19. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60958-2. PubMed PMID: 25034862.