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#### Abstract

We establish conditions giving the existence of bipullbacks in bicategories of fractions. We apply our results to construct a $\pi_{0}-\pi_{1}$ exact sequence associated with a fractor between groupoids internal to a pointed exact category.
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## 1. Introduction

A pointed exact category (in the sense of [3]) is a finitely complete category with a zero object, a pullback-stable (regular epimorphisms, monomorphisms) factorization system and where equivalence relations are kernel pairs. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be such a pointed exact category, and let $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a functor between internal groupoids in $\mathcal{A}$. In [16], we have constructed a $\pi_{0}-\pi_{1}$ exact sequence

$$
\pi_{1}(\mathbb{K}(F)) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \pi_{0}(\mathbb{K}(F)) \rightarrow \pi_{0}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \pi_{0}(\mathbb{B})
$$

where $\pi_{1}(\mathbb{A})$ is the internal group of automorphisms on the base point of $\mathbb{A}, \pi_{0}(\mathbb{A})$ is the object of connected components of $\mathbb{A}$, and $\mathbb{K}(F)$ is the bikernel of $F$. This sequence subsumes several relevant special cases: if $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of pointed sets, we get the Gabriel-Zisman exact sequence of [10] and, if $F$ is a fibration, the Brown exact sequence of [7]; if $\mathcal{A}$ is semi-abelian or abelian, we get the exact sequence of the classical snake lemma when $F$ is a fibration, and the exact sequence of the more general snail lemma if $F$ is an arbitrary functor (see $[6,13,20]$ ).
A special case of particular interest is when $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of groups. In this case, the $\pi_{0}-\pi_{1}$ sequence already appears in [9]; in fact, the sequence in [9] is even more general, because it is obtained starting from a monoidal functor between groupoids in groups, and not necessarily from an internal functor. The precise relation between internal functors and monoidal functors between internal groupoids in groups has been established in [19]: monoidal functors are precisely fractions of internal functors with respect to weak equivalences (in the sense of [8]). When $\mathcal{A}$ is an arbitrary exact category, the bicategory of fractions of $\operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to weak equivalences has been described in [15] using

[^0]fractors (fractors are a particular kind of profunctors). The aim of this note is to complete the result of [16], showing that the $\pi_{0}-\pi_{1}$ exact sequence can be constructed starting from any fractor $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ between internal groupoids in $\mathcal{A}$ pointed exact.
Since the 2-functors
$$
\pi_{0}: \operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{1}: \operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Grp}(\mathcal{A})
$$
send weak equivalences onto isomorphisms (see Lemma 4.5 in [16]), they can be extended to the bicategory of fractions. Therefore, what remains to be done is to construct bipullbacks (and, in particular, bikernels) in the bicategory of fractions using bipullbacks in the 2category of internal functors.
In order to do so, the main result of this paper (Theorem 3.1) states that if $\mathbf{B}$ is a bicategory with bipullbacks and $\Sigma$ has a right calculus of fractions in the sense of [17], the bicategory of fractions
$$
P_{\Sigma}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]
$$
preserves bipullbacks and $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ has them. Since this is the case for the bicategory $\operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\Sigma$ the class of weak equivalences, we can extend the main result of [16] getting a $\pi_{0}-\pi_{1}$ exact sequence from any internal fractor.

In this paper, the composition of two arrows

will be denoted as $f \cdot g$, or simply by $f g$.
In order to shorten notation, we will use coherence theorems for bicategories as in [14]. Therefore, coherence isomorphisms will not be written explicitly.
We will sometimes need to change our base universe to a bigger one in order to define properly some (bi)categories. However, we will omit to say it when it has to be done.

## 2. A reminder on (bi)categories of fractions

2.1. Categories of fractions have been introduced by P. Gabriel and M. Zisman in [10] as a useful tool for algebraic topology and homotopy theory. They encode the universal solution to the problem of converting an arrow into an isomorphism. More precisely, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a category and $\Sigma$ is a class of arrows in $\mathcal{A}$, the category of fractions of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to $\Sigma$ is a functor

$$
P_{\Sigma}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]
$$

universal among all functors $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(s)$ is an isomorphism for all $s \in \Sigma$. In other words, for every category $\mathcal{C}$ the functor induced by composition with $P_{\Sigma}$ is an equivalence of categories

$$
P_{\Sigma} \cdot-: \operatorname{Funct}\left(\mathcal{A}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right], \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Funct}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})
$$

where $\operatorname{Funct}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ is the category of those functors $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(s)$ is an isomorphism for all $s \in \Sigma$.
Usually, the description of the category of fractions is quite complicated (see Chapter 5 in [5] for a detailed discussion), but if the class $\Sigma$ has a right calculus of fractions, then the description of $\mathcal{A}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ becomes much more clear. To have a right calculus of fractions means that:

CF1. $\Sigma$ contains the identity arrows.
CF2. $\Sigma$ is closed under composition.
CF3. Given arrows $f$ and $s$ with same codomain, if $s \in \Sigma$ then there exist arrows $s^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime}$ such that $s^{\prime} \cdot f=f^{\prime} \cdot s$ and $s^{\prime} \in \Sigma$.


CF4. Given parallel arrows $f$ and $g$, if there exists an arrow $s \in \Sigma$ such that $f \cdot s=g \cdot s$, then there exists an arrow $s^{\prime} \in \Sigma$ such that $s^{\prime} \cdot f=s^{\prime} \cdot g$.

$$
\xrightarrow{s^{\prime}} \xrightarrow[g]{\stackrel{f}{\rightrightarrows}} \xrightarrow{s}
$$

The dual notion of left calculus of fractions has also been studied for categories (see for example 5.3 .1 in [5]). Whereas the bicategorical version of right calculus of fractions has been introduced in [17] (see below), at our knowledge the bicategorical version of left calculus of fractions has never been considered and we do not need it in this paper.
2.2. If the class $\Sigma$ has a right calculus of fractions, the category $\mathcal{A}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ can be described as follows:

- The objects of $\mathcal{A}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ are those of $\mathcal{A}$.
- An arrow in $\mathcal{A}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ from $A$ to $B$ is an equivalence class of spans $(s, f)$ with $s \in \Sigma$

$$
A \leftarrow^{s} I \xrightarrow{f} B
$$

two spans $(s, f)$ and $\left(s^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ being equivalent if there exist arrows $x, x^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $x \cdot s=x^{\prime} \cdot s^{\prime} \in \Sigma$ and $x \cdot f=x^{\prime} \cdot f^{\prime}$.


Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}$ has pullbacks and $\Sigma$ has a right calculus of fractions, then $\mathcal{A}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ has pullbacks and $P_{\Sigma}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ preserves them [5].
2.3. If $\mathbf{B}$ is a bicategory and $\Sigma$ is a class of arrows in $\mathbf{B}$, the bicategory of fractions of $\mathbf{B}$ with respect to $\Sigma$ is a morphism of bicategories (also called a pseudo-functor)

$$
P_{\Sigma}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]
$$

which encodes the universal solution to the problem of sending an arrow of $\Sigma$ into an equivalence. This problem has been studied by D. Pronk in [17], providing a bicategorical version of right calculus of fractions.

BF1. $\Sigma$ contains the equivalences.
BF2. $\Sigma$ is closed under composition.

BF3. Given arrows $F$ and $S$ with same codomain, if $S \in \Sigma$ then there exist arrows $S^{\prime} \in \Sigma$ and $F^{\prime}$ and a 2-iso $S^{\prime} \cdot F \cong F^{\prime} \cdot S$.


BF4. For every 2-cell (resp. 2-iso) $\alpha: F \cdot W \Rightarrow G \cdot W$ with $W \in \Sigma$ there exist a $V \in \Sigma$ and a 2-cell (resp. 2-iso) $\beta: V \cdot F \Rightarrow V \cdot G$ such that $V \cdot \alpha=\beta \cdot W$

$$
\xrightarrow{V} \xrightarrow[G]{\stackrel{F}{\Longrightarrow}} \xrightarrow{W}
$$

and for any two such pairs $(V, \beta)$ and $\left(V^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$, there exist $U, U^{\prime}$ and a 2 -iso $\varepsilon: U \cdot V \Rightarrow$ $U^{\prime} \cdot V^{\prime}$

such that $U \cdot V \in \Sigma$ and the diagram

commutes.
BF5. Given arrows $F, G$ and a 2 -iso $F \cong G$, then $F \in \Sigma$ if and only if $G \in \Sigma$.
2.4. If the class $\Sigma$ has a right calculus of fractions, the bicategory $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ can be described as follows:

- The objects of $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ are those of $\mathbf{B}$.
- 1-cells $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ in $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ are spans $(W, F)$ with $W \in \Sigma$.

$$
\mathbb{A}<{ }^{W} \mathbb{C} \stackrel{F}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{B}
$$

- 2-cells $(W, F) \Rightarrow(V, G)$ are equivalent classes of quadruples $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ where $U_{1} \cdot W \in \Sigma, \alpha_{1}: U_{1} \cdot W \Rightarrow U_{2} \cdot V$ is a 2-iso and $\alpha_{2}: U_{1} \cdot F \Rightarrow U_{2} \cdot G$ is a 2-cell.


Two quadruples $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ and $\left(U_{1}^{\prime}, U_{2}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent when there exist

$R_{1}: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}, R_{2}: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{\prime}$ and two 2-isos $\gamma_{1}: R_{2} \cdot U_{1}^{\prime} \Rightarrow R_{1} \cdot U_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}: R_{1} \cdot U_{2} \Rightarrow R_{2} \cdot U_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $R_{1} \cdot U_{1} \cdot W \in \Sigma$ and the following diagrams commute.


- 1-cells composition is obtained by completing two consecutive spans with a span provided by BF3, and then forgetting the 2-cell. Vertical composition of 2-cells is obtained by pasting two vertically consecutive 2 -cells with a square coming from BF3, horizontal composition is described by a vertical composition of two whiskerings. Different choices made possible by BF 3 and BF 4 will give rise to biequivalent bicategories. More details on compositions and identities can be found in [17].
The 2 -isos in $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ are exactly the 2 -cells which can be represented by a quadruple $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ where $\alpha_{2}$ is a 2 -iso of $\mathbf{B}$. Moreover, the universal morphism

$$
P_{\Sigma}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]
$$

is defined by: $P_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{A})=\mathbb{A}, P_{\Sigma}(F)=\left(1_{\mathbb{A}}, F\right)$ and $P_{\Sigma}(\alpha)=\left[\left(1_{\mathbb{A}}, 1_{\mathbb{A}}, 1_{1_{\mathbb{A}}}, \alpha\right)\right]$. For more details, see [17].
2.5. Recall that a bipullback (called 2-pullback in [4]) of two arrows $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ and $G: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ in a bicategory $\mathbf{B}$ is a diagram of the form

with $\pi: F^{\prime} \cdot G \Rightarrow G^{\prime} \cdot F$ a 2-iso and satisfying the following universal property:
BP 1 . For any diagram of the form

with $\mu$ a 2-iso, there exist an arrow $T: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ and 2-isos $\gamma: T \cdot G^{\prime} \Rightarrow H, \delta: T \cdot F^{\prime} \Rightarrow K$ making commutative the following diagram.


BP2. Given 1-cells $T, S: \mathbb{X} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{P}$ and 2-isos $\alpha: T \cdot F^{\prime} \Rightarrow S \cdot F^{\prime}$ and $\beta: T \cdot G^{\prime} \Rightarrow S \cdot G^{\prime}$, if

commutes, then there exists a unique 2-cell $\varphi: T \Rightarrow S$ such that $\varphi \cdot F^{\prime}=\alpha$ and $\varphi \cdot G^{\prime}=\beta$. (It is not hard to prove that the 2 -cell $\varphi$ of BP 2 is actually a 2 -iso.)
2.6. In [16], we focused on strong h-pullbacks instead of bipullbacks. The universal property of strong h-pullbacks subsumes that of bipullbacks, but strong h-pullbacks are determined up to isomorphism, whereas bipullbacks are determined up to equivalence. This is why the notion of bipullback is the 'correct' notion of 2-dimensional pullback in the context of bicategories of fractions. Moreover, we will use the fact that pasting together bipullbacks we still get a bipullback (which is not the case if we work with strong h-pullbacks). See $[4,11]$ for more details on bipullbacks and strong h-pullbacks.

## 3. Preservation of bipullbacks

This section is devoted to the proof of our main theorem, generalising the 1-dimensional case.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a bicategory with bipullbacks and $\Sigma$ a class of arrows in $\mathbf{B}$ having a right calculus of fractions. Then $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ has bipullbacks and the universal morphism $P_{\Sigma}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ preserves them.

Proof. Consider two arrows in $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$


with $S, U \in \Sigma$, and the bipullback of the right legs in $\mathbf{B}$.


If we can prove that

is still a bipullback in $B\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$, then the bipullback of $(S, R)$ and $(U, T)$ in $B\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ is
obtained pasting together the four bipullbacks below.


The fact that the lower-right square is a bipullback is obvious, and that the upper-right and lower-left are bipullbacks is easily proved. Indeed, for the upper-right square, just compose the obvious bipullback

with the equivalence $(1, S): \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$. The lower-left one is treated similarly.
Hence let us prove that the upper left square is a bipullback. We are going to prove separately the two parts of the universal property of the bipullback, that is, BP1 and BP2. BP1. Consider a diagram in $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$

where $\mu$ is represented by


We thus get the following diagram in $\mathbf{B}$
and by the universal property of the bipullback BP 1 , an arrow $L: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ and two 2-isos $\gamma: L \cdot T^{\prime} \Rightarrow U_{2} \cdot X_{2}$ and $\delta: L \cdot R^{\prime} \Rightarrow U_{1} \cdot Y_{2}$ which make the diagram

commutative. This gives us a 1-cell $\left(U_{1} \cdot Y_{1}, L\right): \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ in $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ and two 2-isos

$$
\left[\left(1_{\mathbb{E}}, U_{2}, \mu_{1}, \gamma\right)\right]:\left(U_{1} \cdot Y_{1}, L\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, T^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left[\left(1_{\mathbb{E}}, U_{1}, 1_{U_{1} \cdot Y_{1}}, \delta\right)\right]:\left(U_{1} \cdot Y_{1}, L\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, R^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)
$$



The compatibility condition linking those two 2 -isos can be deduced from the one linking $\gamma$ and $\delta$.
BP2. Now, suppose we have two arrows $\mathbb{V} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{P}$ in $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$ together with two 2 -isos

$$
\alpha=\left[\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]:\left(W_{1}, H_{1}\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, R^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(W_{2}, H_{2}\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, R^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\beta=\left[\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)\right]:\left(W_{1}, H_{1}\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, T^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(W_{2}, H_{2}\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, T^{\prime}\right)
$$



Suppose also that the diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(W_{1}, H_{1}\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, R^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{G}}, T\right) \xlongequal{\alpha \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{G}}, T\right)}\left(W_{2}, H_{2}\right) \cdot \underset{\mathbb{P}}{ }, R^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{G}}, T\right) \\
& \left(W_{1}, H_{1}\right) \cdot P_{\mathbb{\Sigma}}(\pi) \| \\
& \left.\left(W_{1}, H_{1}\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, T^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{F}}, R\right) \xlongequal[\beta \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{F}}, R\right)]{ }\left(W_{2}, H_{2}\right) \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, T^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(H_{\mathbb{F}}\right) \cdot R\right) \cdot P_{\mathbb{E}}(\pi)
\end{aligned}
$$

commutes in $\mathbf{B}\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$. This means that there exist $S_{1}: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{1}, S_{2}: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{2}$ and two 2-isos

$\gamma_{1}: S_{2} \cdot V_{1} \Rightarrow S_{1} \cdot U_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}: S_{1} \cdot U_{2} \Rightarrow S_{2} \cdot V_{2}$ such that $S_{1} \cdot U_{1} \cdot W_{1} \in \Sigma$ and the following diagrams commute.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
& S_{2} V_{1} W_{1} \\
& \stackrel{\gamma_{1} \cdot W_{1}}{\longrightarrow} S_{1} U_{1} W_{1} \\
& \| S_{1} \cdot \alpha_{1}
\end{aligned}  \tag{1}\\
& S_{2} V_{2} W_{2} \underset{\gamma_{2} \cdot W_{2}}{\rightleftharpoons} S_{1} U_{2} W_{2} \\
& S_{1} U_{1} H_{1} R^{\prime} T \xlongequal{S_{1} \cdot \alpha_{2} \cdot T} S_{1} U_{2} H_{2} R^{\prime} T \xlongequal{S_{1} U_{2} H_{2} \cdot \pi} S_{1} U_{2} H_{2} T^{\prime} R \\
& \gamma_{1} \cdot H_{1} R^{\prime} T \Uparrow \quad \| \gamma_{2} \cdot H_{2} T^{\prime} R  \tag{2}\\
& S_{2} V_{1} H_{1} R^{\prime} T \xlongequal[S_{2} V_{1} H_{1} \cdot \pi]{ } S_{2} V_{1} H_{1} T^{\prime} R \xlongequal[S_{2} \cdot \beta_{2} \cdot R]{ } S_{2} V_{2} H_{2} T^{\prime} R
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we consider the following 2-isos:

$$
S_{1} U_{1} H_{1} R^{\prime} \stackrel{S_{1} \cdot \alpha_{2}}{\Longrightarrow} S_{1} U_{2} H_{2} R^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
S_{1} U_{1} H_{1} T^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{1}^{-1} \cdot H_{1} \cdot T^{\prime}} S_{2} V_{1} H_{1} T^{\prime} \xlongequal{S_{2} \cdot \beta_{2}} S_{2} V_{2} H_{2} T^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{2}^{-1} \cdot H_{2} \cdot T^{\prime}} S_{1} U_{2} H_{2} T^{\prime}
$$

The commutativity of (2) and the property BP2 of the bipullback at $\mathbb{P}$ give us a 2 -iso $\delta: S_{1} U_{1} H_{1} \Rightarrow S_{1} U_{2} H_{2}$ such that $\delta \cdot R^{\prime}=S_{1} \cdot \alpha_{2}$ and

$$
\delta \cdot T^{\prime}=\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} \cdot H_{1} \cdot T^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(S_{2} \cdot \beta_{2}\right) \circ\left(\gamma_{2}^{-1} \cdot H_{2} \cdot T^{\prime}\right)
$$

So, we can construct a 2 -iso $\varphi=\left[\left(S_{1} \cdot U_{1}, S_{1} \cdot U_{2}, S_{1} \cdot \alpha_{1}, \delta\right)\right]:\left(W_{1}, H_{1}\right) \Rightarrow\left(W_{2}, H_{2}\right)$.


The identities $\varphi \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, R^{\prime}\right)=\alpha$ and $\varphi \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, T^{\prime}\right)=\beta$ follow from the diagrams

and

where the coherence axioms can be deduced from the definition of $\delta$ and the commutativity of (1). It remains to prove the uniqueness of such a 2 -cell $\varphi$. Suppose $\varphi^{\prime}=$ $\left[\left(U_{3}, U_{4}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)\right]:\left(W_{1}, H_{1}\right) \Rightarrow\left(W_{2}, H_{2}\right)$ satisfies $\varphi^{\prime} \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, R^{\prime}\right)=\alpha$ and $\varphi^{\prime} \cdot\left(1_{\mathbb{P}}, T^{\prime}\right)=\beta$. The first identity implies the existence of a diagram

where $U_{5} U_{3} W_{1} \in \Sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{6} \cdot \alpha_{1}=\left(\varepsilon_{3} \cdot W_{1}\right) \circ\left(U_{5} \cdot \varepsilon_{1}\right) \circ\left(\varepsilon_{4} \cdot W_{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{6} \cdot \alpha_{2}=\left(\varepsilon_{3} \cdot H_{1} R^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(U_{5} \cdot \varepsilon_{2} \cdot R^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(\varepsilon_{4} \cdot H_{2} R^{\prime}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi^{\prime}=\left[\left(U_{5} U_{3}, U_{5} U_{4}, U_{5} \cdot \varepsilon_{1}, U_{5} \cdot \varepsilon_{2}\right)\right]$, the second identity means that there exists a diagram

where $U_{7} U_{5} U_{3} W_{1} \in \Sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{8} \cdot \beta_{1}=\left(\varepsilon_{5} \cdot W_{1}\right) \circ\left(U_{7} U_{5} \cdot \varepsilon_{1}\right) \circ\left(\varepsilon_{6} \cdot W_{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{8} \cdot \beta_{2}=\left(\varepsilon_{5} \cdot H_{1} T^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(U_{7} U_{5} \cdot \varepsilon_{2} \cdot T^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(\varepsilon_{6} \cdot H_{2} T^{\prime}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $S_{2} V_{1} W_{1}$ and $V_{1} W_{1}$ are both in $\Sigma$, by axioms BF2, BF3 and BF4, there exist two arrows $U_{9}$ and $U_{10}$ with $U_{9} \in \Sigma$ and a 2-iso $\varepsilon_{7}: U_{9} U_{8} \Rightarrow U_{10} S_{2}$.

Let us consider the 2-iso

$$
U_{9} U_{7} U_{6} U_{1} \stackrel{U_{9} U_{7} \cdot \varepsilon_{3}}{\Longrightarrow} U_{9} U_{7} U_{5} U_{3} \xrightarrow{U_{9} \cdot \varepsilon_{5}^{-1}} U_{9} U_{8} V_{1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{7} \cdot V_{1}} U_{10} S_{2} V_{1} \xrightarrow{U_{10} \cdot \gamma_{1}} U_{10} S_{1} U_{1} .
$$

Then, since $U_{1} W_{1}$ and $W_{1}$ are both in $\Sigma$, using the axiom BF4 twice, we get a 1-cell $U_{11}: \mathbb{E}_{7} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{6}$ in $\Sigma$ and a 2 -iso $\varepsilon_{8}: U_{11} U_{9} U_{7} U_{6} \Rightarrow U_{11} U_{10} S_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{8} \cdot U_{1}=\left(U_{11} U_{9} U_{7} \cdot \varepsilon_{3}\right) \circ\left(U_{11} U_{9} \cdot \varepsilon_{5}^{-1}\right) \circ\left(U_{11} \cdot \varepsilon_{7} \cdot V_{1}\right) \circ\left(U_{11} U_{10} \cdot \gamma_{1}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the identities (1), (3), (5) and (7), we get that
$\left(U_{11} U_{9} \cdot \varepsilon_{6} \cdot W_{2}\right) \circ\left(U_{11} \cdot \varepsilon_{7} \cdot V_{2} W_{2}\right)=\left(U_{11} U_{9} U_{7} \cdot \varepsilon_{4} \cdot W_{2}\right) \circ\left(\varepsilon_{8} \cdot U_{2} W_{2}\right) \circ\left(U_{11} U_{10} \cdot \gamma_{2} \cdot W_{2}\right)$.
Therefore, since $W_{2} \in \Sigma$, by the axiom BF4, there exists an arrow $U_{12}: \mathbb{E}_{8} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{7}$ in $\Sigma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{12} U_{11} U_{9} \cdot \varepsilon_{6}\right) \circ\left(U_{12} U_{11} \cdot \varepsilon_{7} \cdot V_{2}\right)=\left(U_{12} U_{11} U_{9} U_{7} \cdot \varepsilon_{4}\right) \circ\left(U_{12} \cdot \varepsilon_{8} \cdot U_{2}\right) \circ\left(U_{12} U_{11} U_{10} \cdot \gamma_{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, to prove that $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi$, we consider the following diagram

with the 2-isos
$\left(U_{12} U_{11} U_{9} \cdot \varepsilon_{5}^{-1}\right) \circ\left(U_{12} U_{11} \cdot \varepsilon_{7} \cdot V_{1}\right) \circ\left(U_{12} U_{11} U_{10} \cdot \gamma_{1}\right): U_{12} U_{11} U_{9} U_{7} U_{5} U_{3} \Rightarrow U_{12} U_{11} U_{10} S_{1} U_{1}$ and
$\left(U_{12} U_{11} U_{10} \cdot \gamma_{2}\right) \circ\left(U_{12} U_{11} \cdot \varepsilon_{7}^{-1} \cdot V_{2}\right) \circ\left(U_{12} U_{11} U_{9} \cdot \varepsilon_{6}^{-1}\right): U_{12} U_{11} U_{10} S_{1} U_{2} \Rightarrow U_{12} U_{11} U_{9} U_{7} U_{5} U_{4}$.
To prove the first coherence axiom, we use identities (1) and (5), while for the second one, we use the universal property of the bipullback. Indeed, to prove it, it suffices to compose each 2-cell with both $T^{\prime}$ and $R^{\prime}$. The one composed with $T^{\prime}$ can be deduced from the definition of $\delta$ and (6), while the one composed with $R^{\prime}$ follows from the definition of $\delta$, (4), (7) and (8).

## 4. The snail lemma for fractors

In this section, $\mathcal{A}$ is a pointed exact category.
4.1. The 2 -category $\operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A})$ of internal groupoids in $\mathcal{A}$ has bipullbacks and, in particular, bikernels (in fact, $\operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A})$ has strong h-pullbacks, and so it has bipullbacks, see $[19,11])$. Moreover, there are two 2-functors

$$
\pi_{0}: \operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{1}: \operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Grp}(\mathcal{A})
$$

(where $\mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{Grp}(\mathcal{A})$, the category of internal groups in $\mathcal{A}$, are seen as discrete 2 categories) respectively defined by the following coequalizer and kernel

$$
A_{1} \xrightarrow[c]{d} A_{0} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathbb{A}}} \pi_{0}(\mathbb{A}) \quad \pi_{1}(\mathbb{A}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathbb{A}}} A_{1} \xrightarrow{\langle d, c\rangle} A_{0} \times A_{0}
$$

where $d$ and $c$ are respectively the domain and codomain maps of the internal groupoid $\mathbb{A}$. For an internal functor $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$, its bikernel is defined as the bipullback

and it is represented by

$$
\mathbb{K}(F) \xrightarrow{K(F)} \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{B} .
$$

The main result in [16] states that for any internal functor $F$, there is an exact sequence

$$
\pi_{1}(\mathbb{K}(F)) \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}(K(F))} \pi_{1}(\mathbb{A}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}(F)} \pi_{1}(\mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow \pi_{0}(\mathbb{K}(F)) \xrightarrow{\pi_{0}(K(F))} \pi_{0}(\mathbb{A}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{0}(F)} \pi_{0}(\mathbb{B}) .
$$

Here, the exactness at $B$ of

$$
A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C
$$

is intended in the sense that $f$ factors as a regular epimorphism followed by the kernel of $g$.
4.2. The class of weak equivalences in $\operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A})$ has a right calculus of fractions (in the bicategorical sense) [19]. The bicategory of fractions of $\operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to this class of weak equivalences has been described in [15] (see also [1] if $\mathcal{A}$ is semi-abelian, [12] if $\mathcal{A}$ is monadic, [2] if $\mathcal{A}$ has enough regular projective objects, and [18] for a description in terms of anafunctors). The objects are internal groupoids, and the arrows are particular profunctors called fractors: a fractor $E: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a diagram of the form

where

- $\sigma$ is a regular epimorphism, and $R[\sigma]$ is its kernel pair;
- $\rho$ coequalizes $d, c: R \rightrightarrows E$;
- $(\bar{\sigma}, \sigma)$ and $(\bar{\rho}, \rho)$ are discrete fibrations.

Given two fractors $E: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ and $E^{\prime}: \mathbb{A} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}$, a 2-cell is just an arrow $E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying suitable compatibility conditions.
The main result in [15] states that the bicategory of fractions of $\operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to weak equivalences is the embedding

$$
\mathcal{F}: \operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fract}(\mathcal{A})
$$

of functors into fractors. Therefore, using Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

## Proposition 4.3.

1. The bicategory of fractors $\operatorname{Fract}(\mathcal{A})$ has bipullbacks and moreover the 2-functor $\mathcal{F}: \operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fract}(\mathcal{A})$ preserves bipullbacks.
2. In particular, $\operatorname{Fract}(\mathcal{A})$ has bikernels and $\mathcal{F}: \operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fract}(\mathcal{A})$ preserves bikernels.

From Lemma 4.5 in [16], we also know that the 2 -functors $\pi_{0}$ and $\pi_{1}$ convert weak equivalences in isomorphisms, so that thay can be extended to the bicategory of fractions.


Now we are ready to extend the exact sequence of 4.1 to fractors.
Theorem 4.4. Let $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a fractor between groupoids in $\mathcal{A}$, together with its bikernel $K(F): \mathbb{K}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$. There exists an exact sequence

$$
\pi_{1}(\mathbb{K}(F)) \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}(K(F))} \pi_{1}(\mathbb{A}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}(F)} \pi_{1}(\mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow \pi_{0}(\mathbb{K}(F)) \xrightarrow{\pi_{0}(K(F))} \pi_{0}(\mathbb{A}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{0}(F)} \pi_{0}(\mathbb{B}) .
$$

Proof. Since the class of weak equivalences in $\operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A})$ has a right calculus of fractions (in the bicategorical sense) [19], by construction of $\operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A})\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right] \simeq \operatorname{Fract}(\mathcal{A})$, the fractor $F: \mathbb{A} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ has a tabulation, i.e., there exist two internal functors

$$
\mathbb{A} \prec^{S} \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{R} \mathbb{B}
$$

with $S$ a weak equivalence and such that $\mathcal{F}(S) \cdot F \cong \mathcal{F}(R)$. We also consider the bikernel

$$
\mathbb{K}(R) \xrightarrow{K(R)} \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{R} \mathbb{B}
$$

of $R$ in $\operatorname{Grpd}(\mathcal{A})$. By Proposition 4.3,

$$
\mathbb{K}(R) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(K(R))} \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(R)} \mathbb{B}
$$

is a bikernel in $\operatorname{Fract}(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore, since $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is an equivalence, also

$$
\mathbb{K}(R) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(K(R)) \cdot \mathcal{F}(S)} \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{B}
$$

is a bikernel in $\operatorname{Fract}(\mathcal{A})$. In other words, the comparison $S^{\prime}$ in the following diagram is an equivalence.


Now we can construct an exact sequence starting from the functor $R: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ as in 4.1, and we get the first line of the following diagram.


Since $S^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{F}(S)$ are equivalences, all the columns are isomorphisms and the proof is complete.
4.5. In [16], the $\pi_{0}-\pi_{1}$-exact sequence associated with an internal functor $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is obtained under the assumption that the groupoids $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}$ and $\mathbb{K}(F)$ are proper. Here we can omit this assumption, because our base category $\mathcal{A}$ is exact, so that all groupoids are proper.
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