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#### Abstract

We prove that the Lipschitz constant of the Lipschitz stability result for the inverse conductivity problem proved in [G. Alessandrini, S. Vessella, Lipschitz stability for the inverse conductivity problem, Adv. in Appl. Math. 35 (2005) 207-241], behaves exponentially with respect to the number $N$ of regions considered.
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Let $\Omega=B_{1}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $n \geqslant 2$ denotes the space dimension. Let $D=[-1 / 2,1 / 2]^{n}$ be the cube of side 1 centred at the origin. We have that $D$ is compactly contained inside $\Omega$. Let us consider the class of admissible conductivities

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left\{\gamma \in L^{\infty}(\Omega): 1 / 2<\gamma<3 / 2 \text { a.e. in } \Omega \text { and } \gamma=1 \text { a.e. in } \Omega \backslash D\right\} .
$$

For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, we set the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map associated to $\gamma$ as the operator $\Lambda_{\gamma}: H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega) \mapsto H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$ given by

$$
\left.H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega) \ni \varphi \mapsto \gamma \nabla u \cdot \nu\right|_{\partial \Omega} \in H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)
$$

[^0]where $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ solves the elliptic Dirichlet problem
\[

$$
\begin{cases}\operatorname{div}(\gamma \nabla u)=0 & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u=\varphi & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$
\]

Let us fix a positive integer $N$ and let $N_{1}$ be the smallest integer such that $N \leqslant N_{1}^{n}$. We divide each side of the cube $D$ into $N_{1}$ equal parts of length $h=1 / N_{1}$ and we let $\mathcal{S}_{N_{1}}$ be the set of all the open cubes of the type $D^{\prime}=\left(-1 / 2+\left(j_{1}^{\prime}-1\right) h,-1 / 2+j_{1}^{\prime} h\right) \times \cdots \times$ $\left(-1 / 2+\left(j_{n}^{\prime}-1\right) h,-1 / 2+j_{n}^{\prime} h\right)$, where $j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{n}^{\prime}$ are integers belonging to $\left\{1, \ldots, N_{1}\right\}$. We order such cubes as follows. For any two different $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ belonging to $\mathcal{S}_{N_{1}}$ we say that $D \prec D^{\prime \prime}$ if and only if there exists $i_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $j_{i}^{\prime}=j_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for any $i<i_{0}$ and $j_{i_{0}}^{\prime}<j_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $D_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$, be the first $N$ cubes, with respect to the order described above, of the set $\mathcal{S}_{N_{1}}$ and let $D_{0}=\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \overline{D_{j}}$. We consider the following set of admissible conductivities

$$
\mathcal{A}_{N}=\left\{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}: \gamma(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_{j} \chi_{D_{j}}(x)+\chi_{D_{0}}(x)\right\},
$$

where $\chi$ denotes the characteristic function and $\gamma_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$, are not prescribed constants belonging to [1/2,3/2].

It is not difficult to show that, for suitable constants $A, r_{0}, L, M, \alpha$ and $\lambda$, depending at most on $n$ and $N$, the hypotheses of Theorem 7 of [1] are satisfied, therefore there exists a constant $C_{N}$, depending on $n$ and $N$ only, such that for any $\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}$ belonging to $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ we have

$$
\left\|\gamma^{(1)}-\gamma^{(2)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_{N}\left\|\Lambda_{\gamma^{(1)}}-\Lambda_{\gamma^{(2)}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega), H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)\right)}
$$

Our aim is to estimate from below the Lipschitz constant $C_{N}$ in terms of $N$. In the sequel we shall always omit the dependence of the constants from the space dimension $n$. We have the following result, essentially based on arguments developed in [2].

Theorem. There exist $N_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive constant $K_{1}$ such that for any $N \geqslant N_{0}$ we have

$$
C_{N} \geqslant \frac{1}{8} \exp \left(K_{1} N^{1 /(2 n-1)}\right)
$$

Proof. We define the following metric spaces. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider $\left(\mathcal{A}_{N}, d_{0}\right)$ where $d_{0}$ is the distance given by the $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ norm. Let $\left(\mathcal{B}, d_{1}\right)$ be the metric space where $\mathcal{B}=$ $\left\{\Lambda_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \mathcal{A}\right\}$ and $d_{1}$ is the distance induced by the norm in $\mathcal{L}\left(H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega), H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)\right)$. We let $\gamma^{(0)}=\chi_{\Omega}$.

First, we prove that for any $\delta, 0<\delta \leqslant 1 / 2$, and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N} \subset \mathcal{A}_{N}$ such that $d_{0}\left(\tilde{\gamma}, \gamma^{(0)}\right) \leqslant \delta$ for any $\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N}$, for any two distinct points $\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N}$ we have $d_{0}\left(\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}\right) \geqslant \delta$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N}$ has $3^{N}$ elements.

In fact, it is enough to take as $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N}$ the set of functions $\tilde{\gamma}$ which assume, on each $D_{j}$, $j=1, \ldots, N$, a value among $1,1-\delta$ and $1+\delta$.

We recall the following definition. For a given positive $\varepsilon, \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is said to be an $\varepsilon$-net for $\mathcal{B}$ if for every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $d_{1}(\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}) \leqslant \varepsilon$.

By using the arguments of the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [2], it is possible to show that we can apply Lemma 2.3 in [2] to $\mathcal{B}$. Therefore we have that for any $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<1 / \mathrm{e}$, there exists an $\varepsilon$-net for $\mathcal{B}$ with at most $\exp \left(K_{2}(-\log \varepsilon)^{2 n-1}\right)$ elements, $K_{2}$ being a positive absolute constant.

For any $0<\varepsilon<1 / \mathrm{e}$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Q(\varepsilon, N)=\exp \left(K_{2}(-\log \varepsilon)^{2 n-1}\right)$. Let us remark that $3^{N}>Q(\varepsilon, N)$ if and only if $\varepsilon>\exp \left(-K_{1} N^{1 /(2 n-1)}\right)=\varepsilon_{0}(N) / 2$, where $K_{1}$ is a positive absolute constant. There exists $N_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $N \geqslant N_{0}$ we have $\varepsilon_{0}(N)<1 / \mathrm{e}$. Thus, for any $N \geqslant N_{0}$, if we take $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{0}(N)$, we have $3^{N}>Q(\varepsilon, N)$, then for any $\delta, 0<\delta \leqslant 1 / 2$, there exist $\gamma^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(2)}$ belonging to $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ such that $d_{0}\left(\gamma^{(i)}, \gamma^{(0)}\right) \leqslant \delta$ for any $i=1,2$ and

$$
\delta \leqslant d_{0}\left(\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}\right) \leqslant C_{N} d_{1}\left(\Lambda_{\gamma^{(1)}}, \Lambda_{\gamma^{(2)}}\right) \leqslant 2 C_{N} \varepsilon_{0}(N)
$$

Choosing $\delta=1 / 2$, we can conclude that $C_{N} \geqslant \frac{1}{8} \exp \left(K_{1} N^{1 /(2 n-1)}\right)$.
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