Regular Article

CLINICAL TRIALS AND OBSERVATIONS

Sirolimus plus prednisone for Erdheim-Chester disease: an open-label trial

Davide Gianfreda,¹ Maria Nicastro,¹ Maricla Galetti,^{1,2} Federico Alberici,¹ Domenico Corradi,³ Gabriella Becchi,³ Giorgio Baldari,⁴ Massimo De Filippo,⁵ Stefania Ferretti,⁶ Gabriella Moroni,⁷ Rosario Foti,⁸ Marcella Di Gangi,⁸ Guido Jeannin,⁹ Raphael Saffroy,¹⁰ Jean-François Emile,¹¹ Carlo Buzio,¹ and Augusto Vaglio¹

¹Nephrology Unit, Department of Emergency and Clinical Medicine, University Hospital, Parma, Italy; ²Italian Workers' Compensation Authority Research Center, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, ³Department of Biomedical, Biotechnological and Translational Sciences, Unit of Pathology, University of Parma, Parma, Italy; ⁴Nuclear Medicine Unit, ⁵Radiology Service, and ⁶Urology Unit, University Hospital, Parma, Italy; ⁷Nephrology Unit, Policlinico Hospital, Milan, Italy; ⁸Rheumatology Unit, University Hospital Policlinico Vittorio Emanuele, Catania, Italy; ⁹Nephrology Unit, University Hospital, Brescia, Italy; ¹⁰Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Department, Paul Brousse Hospital, APHP, Villejuif, France; and ¹¹EA4340 and Pathology Department, Versailles University and Ambroise Paré Hospital, Boulogne, France

Key Points

- SRL and PDN often induce disease stabilization or objective responses in patients with ECD.
- The phosphorylated forms of mTOR and of its downstream kinase p70S6K are strongly expressed in infiltrating histiocytes.

Erdheim-Chester disease (ECD) is a rare non-Langerhans cell histiocytosis, to whose pathogenesis neoplastic and immune-mediated mechanisms contribute. Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)-inhibitors have antiproliferative and immunosuppressive properties. We tested in this study, the efficacy and safety of the mTOR-inhibitor sirolimus (SRL) plus prednisone (PDN) in patients with ECD. PDN was given initially at 0.75 mg/kg per day, tapered to 5 to 2.5 mg per day by month 6. Target SRL blood levels were 8 to 12 ng/mL. Treatment was continued for at least 24 months in patients who showed disease stabilization or improvement. Ten patients were enrolled; 8 achieved stable disease or objective responses, whereas 2 had disease progression. Responses were mainly observed at the following sites: retroperitoneum in 5/8 patients (62.5%), cardiovascular in 3/4 (75%), bone in 3/9 (33.3%), and central nervous system (CNS) in 1/3 (33.3%). The median follow-up was 29 months (interquartile range, 16.5-74.5); 2 patients died of progressive CNS disease and small-cell lung cancer, respectively. Treatment-related toxicity was mild. Using immuno-

histochemistry and immunofluorescence on ECD biopsies, we detected expression in foamy histiocytes of the phosphorylated forms of mTOR and of its downstream kinase p70S6K, which indicated mTOR pathway activation. In conclusion, SRL and PDN often induce objective responses or disease stabilization and may represent a valid treatment of ECD. The trial is registered at the Australia-New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry as #ACTRN12613001321730. (*Blood.* 2015;126(10):1163-1171)

Introduction

Erdheim-Chester disease (ECD) is an extremely rare and often lifethreatening form of non-Langerhans cell histiocytosis, characterized by tissue infiltration of CD68⁺ CD1a⁻ "foamy" histiocytes. To date, only ~550 cases of ECD have been reported in the literature.¹ The most frequent manifestations of ECD include symmetric long bone osteosclerosis, retroperitoneal infiltration (peri-aortic and peri-renal fibrosis), and cardiovascular lesions (pericarditis, myocardial infiltration, and peri-aortic sheathing); the central nervous system (CNS), the retro-orbital space, the skin, and various endocrine axes are also frequently affected.^{2,3}

The pathogenesis of ECD is still unclear. Initial studies investigating the clonal nature of the infiltrating histiocytes yielded conflicting results^{4,5}; however, the recent observation that \sim 50% of ECD cases harbor the V600E mutation of the *BRAF* proto-oncogene,⁶ together with other reports showing *NRAS* mutations,^{7,8} indicate that ECD can be clonally driven. Immune-mediated mechanisms are also of pathogenetic importance. ECD histiocytes show intense expression of chemokines and their receptors and produce pro-inflammatory cytokines^{9,10}; the serum cytokine profile demonstrates T helper-1 polarization, with upregulation of interleukin (IL)-12, interferon (IFN)- γ inducible protein-10, and monocyte chemotactic protein-1.¹¹

Different therapeutic approaches have been used for ECD. IFN- α is considered the first-line therapy and is thought to induce immune-mediated histiocyte killing and terminal differentiation of immature histiocytes. However, IFN- α is often ineffective and poorly tolerated.³ The *BRAF*^{V600E} inhibitor vemurafenib proved markedly effective in *BRAF*^{V600E}-mutated cases,^{12,13} but the *BRAF*^{V600E} mutation is either absent or not detected in ~50% of ECD patients,¹⁴ therefore therapeutic alternatives are needed. Other treatments include antineoplastic drugs (eg, cladribine

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

© 2015 by The American Society of Hematology

Submitted January 6, 2015; accepted May 19, 2015. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, June 3, 2015; DOI 10.1182/blood-2015-01-620377.

D.G. and M.N. contributed equally to this study.

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

There is an Inside Blood Commentary on this article in this issue.

					Involved sites and/	or main disease manifestat	tions				Mutatio	su	
Patient #	Age (y), gender	Previous therapies	Bone	Cardiovascular system	Retroperitoneum	Endocrine system	CNS	Lung	Other	BRAF	NRAS	KRAS	NK3CA
T	68, M	PDN + CYC	Long bones (lower limbs)	Coated aorta	Peri-renal and peri-aortic fibrosis, bilateral HDN, and mesenteric fibrosis with repeat bowel occlusion	1	1	I	Bilateral leg edema and systemic symptoms	Negative (by IHC)	N/A	N/A	N/A
N	47, M	I	Long bones (upper and lower limbs)	Pericarditis with tamponade, atrial mass, and coated aorta	Peri-renal and peri-aortic fibrosis	Hyper-prolactinemia (pituitary involvement on MRI)	I	I	Skin nodules	V600E	WT	ΤW	TW
n	37, F	I	Long bones (upper and lower limbs)	I	Peri-aortic and mesenteric fibrosis	Pituitary enlargement (on MRI)	Supratentorial parenchymal nodules	I	Skin nodule	ΥT	WT	μ	μ
4	44, M	α- N H	Long bones (lower limbs), skull and facial bones, and left clavicle	I	Peri-renal and peri-aortic fibrosis, and bilateral HDN	Diabetes insipidus, (central) hypothyroidism and hypogonadism; and MRI evidence of pituitary stalk involvement	I	Interstitial lung disease	I	N/A	A/N	A/A	A/A
ى.	69, M	I	Long bones (lower limbs), and facial bones	I	Peri-aortic and peri-renal fibrosis, and left-sided HDN	Peripheral hypogonadism	I	I	I	V600E	WT	۲	μ
Q	75, M	1	Long bones (lower limbs), facial bones, right clavicle, ribs, and spine	1	1	1	Diffuse involvement of midbrain, pons, cerebellar peduncles, supra-tentorial nodules	Interstitial lung disease	1	N/A	A/N	A/A	N/A
~	43, M	I	Long bones (lower limbs)	1	1	1	Cortical and pons nodules, and bulky emispheric mass in the parieto-occipital left lobes	I	Xanthelasma, soft-tissue masses (<i>para</i> -vertebral, Achille's tendons, nose, and right thigh)	М	A	AN	۲Z
ω	42, F	PDN + colchicine	Long bones (upper and lower limbs) and facial bones	Coated aorta, myocardial infiltration with coronary involvement, and atrial mass	Peri-aortic and peri-renal fibrosis, bilateral HDN, and mesenteric fibrosis with ascites	(Peripheral) hypogonadism	1	Interstitial Iung disease	Exophthalmos, xanthelasmas, digital ischemia, diffuse lymph-node enlargement, and bilateral leg edema	V600E	T N	TW	T
Unles "Coat CYC,	s stated otl ed aorta" in cyclophosp	herwise, the m dicates periva shamide; HDN	nutations were assess secular infiltration of the hydronephrosis; N/A,	ed using molecular techni e thoraco-abdominal aort , biopsy not available (or	iques (see text for details); o a (Diamond et al ²⁷). not enough to allow sufficier	why in 1 patient (patient #1) (nt nucleic acid amplification);	did we assess it by IH	C only. 0E of <i>BRAI</i>	∹, WT, wild-type.				

Table 1. Main characteristics of the enrolled patients at study entry

					Involved sites and/	or main disease manifestatio	IS				Mutati	suc	
Patient #	Age (y), gender	Previous therapies	Bone	Cardiovascular system	Retroperitoneum	Endocrine system	CNS	Lung	Other	BRAF	NRAS	KRAS	PIK3CA
6	71, M	Ι	I	I	Peri-renal fibrosis	I	Ι	Ι	Exophthalmos	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	46, M	Ι	Long bones	Pericarditis with	Peri-aortic and peri-renal	(Peripheral)	I	Ι	Exophthalmos	WT	ΤW	WΤ	WT
			(upper and	massive pericardial	fibrosis, bilateral HDN,	hypogonadism							
			lower limbs)	effusion, myocardial	and mesenteric fibrosis								
			and facial bones	infiltration with									
				coronary involvement,									
				and coated aorta									
Unless	stated othe	erwise, the m	nutations were assess	ed using molecular techn	iques (see text for details); o	nly in 1 patient (patient #1) did	we assess it by	IHC only.					

Table 1. (continued)

CYC, cyclophosphamide; HDN, hydronephrosis; N/A, biopsy not available (or not enough to allow sufficient nucleic acid amplification); V600E, mutation V600E of BRAF, WT, wild-type Coated aorta" indicates perivascular infiltration of the thoraco-abdominal aorta (Diamond et al^{27}

and imatinib mesylate)¹⁵ and immunosuppressive agents such as IL-1–receptor- and tumor necrosis factor- α –blocking antibodies,^{16,17} but these drugs have only been tested in single cases or small case series.

The mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) integrates extracellular and intracellular signals to regulate cell growth, proliferation and apoptosis, and several metabolic processes such as protein and lipid synthesis. mTOR also modulates immune responses, as it promotes differentiation and activation of B cells, T cells, and antigen-presenting cells.¹⁸ Aberrant mTOR activation is found in neoplastic and inflammatory conditions, so mTOR inhibitors (given their antiproliferative and immunosuppressive properties) are now used in different settings, including malignancies¹⁹ and the prevention of allograft rejection.²⁰ Interestingly, a recent study showed that some (although only ~11%) ECD patients harbor *PIK3CA* mutations, which lead to mTOR pathway activation.¹⁴

On the basis of the above considerations, particularly the dual neoplastic-inflammatory nature of ECD, we used the mTOR inhibitor sirolimus (SRL) in combination with prednisone (PDN) for patients with multisystemic ECD. We report here the results of our trial, which tested the efficacy and safety of this approach in 10 consecutive ECD patients, and also provide preliminary evidence of mTOR pathway activation in ECD lesions.

Methods

Patients

Patient #1, treated empirically with SRL+PDN from June 2005, represented our index case. Encouraged by his clinical response, which persisted after 2 years of treatment, we designed this open-label trial. We enrolled all patients meeting the eligibility criteria, referred to the Nephrology Unit of Parma University Hospital between December 2007 and June 2013. Key inclusion criteria were a diagnosis of multisystemic ECD based on Veyssier-Belot criteria²¹ and an age of 18 to 75 years. We enrolled both newly diagnosed, untreated patients, as well as those patients who proved refractory to previous treatments. Key exclusion criteria were concurrent neoplasms or other forms of histiocytosis, serious infections, uncontrolled diabetes, estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min, proteinuria >1 g/24 h, and recent major surgery.

The patients signed an informed consent form. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Parma Ethical Committee.

Treatment protocol and assessment of response

At study entry, the patients underwent physical examination and routine laboratory tests, including C-reactive protein levels and endocrine tests (thyrotropin, FT3, FT4, prolactin, luteinizing hormone, follicle-stimulating hormone, testosterone, estradiol, cortisol, and adrenocorticotropic hormone). We also performed long-bone radiographs, ⁹⁹Tc-bone scintigraphy, high-resolution chest computed tomography (CT), contrast-enhanced abdominal CT or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), brain MRI, and echocardiography. As ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET) became available for this indication at Parma University Hospital in 2009, it was performed in only 7 patients. Likewise, cardiac MRI became routinely available at our center in 2010, thus it was performed in only 5 patients.

All patients received PDN and SRL. PDN was given orally at a single daily dose of 0.75 mg/kg per day for month 1, 0.5 mg/kg per day for month 2, 0.25 mg/kg per day for months 3 to 4, and 0.125 mg/kg per day for months 5 to 6. After month 6, it was tapered to a maintenance dose of 2.5 to 5 mg per day. SRL was given orally at an initial dose of 2 mg/day (single daily dose), and subsequently titrated to reach blood levels of 8 to 12 ng/mL; this blood level range was chosen based on the experience accumulated in solid organ transplantation and in renal angiomyolipoma in tuberous sclerosis complex or lymphangioleiomyomatosis.^{20,22} Blood SRL levels were checked fortnightly during the first 2 months and subsequently every 1 to 2 months. The target SRL range remained unchanged

			Re	sponse to treatment at the	involved sites								
Patient #	Overall response	Bone	Cardiovascular system	Retroperitoneum	Endocrine system	CNS	Lung	Other	PET response	Follow-up duration, (months)*	Status at last follow-up	Time on SRL + PDN, (months)	Treatment at last follow-up
-	Æ	SD	ß	PR (reduction in peri-renal and mesenteric fibrosis)	I	I	I	Resolution of systemic symptoms and leg edema, no recurrence of bowel obstruction	Not performed	108	Alive	108	SRL + PDN
N	Ч	SD	Complete resolution of pericarditis; and SD of atrial mass and coated aorta	PR (reduction in peri-renal and peri-aortic fibrosis)	Reduction in serum prolactin (42→17 ng/mL)	I	I	No reappearance of skin nodules	Not performed	78	Alive	78	SRL + PDN
n	Æ	SD	I	CR (resolution of peri-aortic and mesenteric fibrosis)	I	SD	1	No reappearance of skin nodules	Negative at baseline	76	Alive	76	SRL + PDN
4	Н	SD	1	PR (reduction of periaortic and peri-renal fibrosis, and resolution of HDN)	Stable	I	SD	I	Negative at baseline	70	Alive	69	SRL + PDN
Ω.	R	SD	I	PR (reduction of peri-aortic fibrosis and resolution of HDN)	Stable	L	L	I	RMA	25	Deceased	15	None (withdrew SRL + PDN at month 15 for small-cell lung cancer)
9	Ы	SD	I	I	I	G	N/A	I	Not performed	÷	Deceased	11	SRL + PDN
~	Ч	SD	1	I	I	Н	I	Stable	Positive at baseline; follow-up PET not performed (lost to follow -up)	÷	Alive (lost to follow-up)	£	SRL + PDN
ω	D	SD	PD (increase in myocardial infiltration)	PD (worsened HDN and bowel occlusions)	Stable		SD	Stable	Positive at baseline; not repeated for overt clinical progression	32	Alive	32	Vemurafenib (stopped SRL at month 25 for PD)
0	SD	I	I	SD	I	Ι	I	Stable	SMD	24	Alive	21	SRL + PDN
10	ß	SD	SD (with complete resolution of pericardial effusion after drainage)	ß	Stable	I	I	Stable	CMR	14	Alive	14	SRL + PDN
Object responses	ve response according to	s for me RECIST	asurable lesions were repor T 1.0 as CR, incomplete rest	ted according to RECIST 1.0 a ponse/SD, or PD (see Methods)	is CR, PR, SD, or PD (when as ssed by	sessed PET w	on measurable lesions b as reported as CMR, PMI	y means of CT or MRI; fi R, SMD, or progressive	or bone lesic metabolic dis	ins, considered to sease (see Metho	be nonmeasur ds). For other n	able, we classified nanifestations (eg,

Table 2. Objective responses at the involved sites and patient status at last follow-up

From www.bloodjournal.org by guest on June 25, 2016. For personal use only.

1166 GIANFREDA et al

BLOOD, 3 SEPTEMBER 2015 · VOLUME 126, NUMBER 10

BLOOD, 3 SEPTEMBER 2015 · VOLUME 126, NUMBER 10

mTOR INHIBITION IN ERDHEIM-CHESTER DISEASE 1167



Figure 1. Response to treatment assessed by different imaging modalities. (A) Abdominal CT performed before treatment and (B) after 4 years of SRL and PDN treatment in patient #2. The scans show marked shrinkage of peri-renal (arrows) and peri-aortic fibrosis (arrowhead). (C) Cardiac MRI (T2-weighted, fat-saturation sequence, sagittal view) performed before treatment and (D) after 12 months of treatment with SRL and PDN in patient #10. The scans show neither recurrence of pericardial effusion (arrow) (the patient had also undergone pericardiocentesis) nor signs of pericardial infiltration. (E) ¹⁸F-FDG PET-CT performed before and (F) after 12 months of treatment with SRL and PDN in patient #10. The scans (axial view) show disappearance of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake at the thoracic aorta level (aortic arch) (arrow).

throughout the study. Proton-pump inhibitors, calcium, vitamin D, and statins were also routinely prescribed.

Treatment was conducted until the first assessment of response (month 6); if disease stabilization or remission was achieved, treatment was continued until month 24. In case of disease progression, it was withdrawn and choice of the alternative therapy was left at the discretion of the treating clinician. After month 24, the physician was free to continue or withdraw treatment based on patient's status and treatment tolerability.

Follow-up visits, which included clinical and laboratory examinations, were done every 1 to 2 months during the first year and every 3 to 6 months thereafter. Complete disease re-staging was performed at month 6, 12, and every 12 months thereafter; in addition, patients were scanned as soon as new or worsening symptoms appeared. Responses were assessed clinically and radiologically, using the same imaging modalities performed at baseline. Whole-body PET-CT was repeated only in patients with pathologic uptake at baseline.

Objective responses were defined on CT or MRI findings as complete or partial responses (PRs), stable disease (SD), and progressive disease (PD), generally following the RECIST criteria (version 1.0).²³ Briefly, complete responses (CRs) indicated the disappearance of a given lesion, and PRs a decline of at least 30% in the sum of the longest lesion diameters; SD was defined as neither PR nor PD, and PD as an increase of at least 20% in the sum of lesion diameters or appearance of new lesions. For specific lesions such as peri-aortic fibrosis, the maximal thickness of the tissue was recorded at infrarenal aorta and common iliac artery levels,²⁴ and the average of these measures was calculated. For nonmeasurable lesions (eg, bone infiltration), we followed the RECIST 1.0 grading as CR, incomplete response/SD, and PD.

On ¹⁸F-FDG-PET, the response was graded on the changes of the maximum standardized uptake value (SUV_{max}), generally following the PERCIST criteria²⁵; patients were thus categorized as having complete or partial metabolic responses (PMRs) (complete resolution or decline of at least 30% of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake, respectively), stable metabolic disease (neither PMR nor progressive metabolic disease), or progressive metabolic disease (increase of at least 30% in target lesion activity or appearance of new lesions).

Treatment-related toxicity was assessed at each visit by a checklist of standardized items, measurement of blood pressure and body weight, and routine laboratory tests. Adverse events (AEs) were graded following the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 4.²⁶

Biopsy examination and analysis of mTOR pathway activation

Tissue biopsies were centrally reviewed by a pathologist with expertise in softtissue tumors (D.C.); routine stainings and immunohistochemical analysis of CD68 and CD1a expression were performed. *BRAF*^{V600E} mutation was assessed on the available biopsies. Details on histologic and immunohistochemical analyses and *BRAF*^{V600E} testing are reported in supplemental Methods on the *Blood* Web site. Where sufficient biopsy tissue was available, we also searched for recurrent mutations in the *NRAS*, *KRAS*, and *PIK3CA* genes (supplemental Methods).

To assess whether mTOR pathway is active in ECD lesions, and in particular in ECD histiocytes, we assessed the expression of phospho-p70S6K, phospho-mTOR, and CD68 using immunohistochemistry (IHC) and immunofluorescence (supplemental Methods).

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as median (interquartile range [IQR]); survival was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method. All analyses were done using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).



Figure 2. Response to treatment in the long bones assessed by bone scintigraphy. (A) Whole-body ⁹⁹Tc-bone scintigraphy performed before treatment and (B) after 12 months of treatment with SRL and PDN in patient #5. The scans show a significant reduction in tracer uptake especially in long bones such as the tibias (arrows) and the femurs.

BLOOD, 3 SEPTEMBER 2015 · VOLUME 126, NUMBER 10

Table 3. AEs occurred during treatment with SRL and PDN

Patient #	AEs
1	Hypertension (grade 2)
2	Worsened (preexisting) hyper-triglyceridemia (grade 3) and cushingoid changes (grade 1)
3	Cushingoid changes (grade 1)
4	Worsened (preexisting) type 2 diabetes (grade 2), left leg panniculitis (grade 3), and acute coronary syndrome (grade 4) requiring percutaneous angioplasty
5	Worsened (preexisting) type 2 diabetes (grade 2), bilateral leg edema (grade 2), and cushingoid changes (grade 2)
6	Cushingoid changes (grade 1)
7	Hyper-cholesterolemia (grade 1) and hyper-triglyceridemia (grade 2)
8	Hyper-triglyceridemia (grade 2), cataract (grade 2), and abdominal aorta thrombosis (grade 3)
9	Interstitial pneumonitis (grade 2)
10	Hyper-cholesterolemia (grade 1) and hyper-triglyceridemia (grade 2)

AEs were categorized according to the National Cancer Institute's Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 4.²⁴

Results

After our index case (patient #1), we screened 11 consecutive patients, of whom 2 did not meet the eligibility criteria; the remaining 9 were enrolled. The results presented here refer to the whole series of 10 patients, including the index case; patient enrollment and follow-up is schematically described in the flow-chart (supplemental Figure 1). All but 1 patient (patient #6) had biopsy-proven ECD; in the nonbiopsy proven case, the diagnosis was based on typical bone scintigraphy and long-bone radiograph findings, together with the presence of CNS lesions compatible with ECD. Three patients were refractory to previous treatments, whereas 7 were newly diagnosed and untreated. The main patient characteristics are described in Table 1.27 Longbone involvement was found in 9 patients, although only 4 complained of bone pain. Retroperitoneal involvement was also common: most patients showed peri-aortic, peri-renal, and peri-ureteral fibrosis causing hydronephrosis, which required ureteral double-J stent placement in 4 cases. Notably, in 4 patients, peri-aortic fibrosis also involved the mesentery; 2 of these patients developed repeat bowel obstructions requiring surgery. Cardiac and thoracic aorta involvement was found in 4 cases, although it must be acknowledged that only 5 patients were studied using cardiac MRI (the remaining with echocardiography); 2 patients had pericarditis with massive pericardial effusion, with 1 patient developing tamponade. CNS involvement was detected in 3 patients: 1 had a large hemispheric mass (that was surgically excised) and small cortical and brainstem nodules, 1 had diffuse cortical-subcortical nodules, and 1 a very severe involvement of the brainstem and the cerebellar peduncles together with supratentorial lesions. Interstitial lung disease was radiologically detected in 3 patients, none of whom was symptomatic. Other manifestations, including endocrine abnormalities, skin lesions, exophthalmos, and soft-tissue masses were also found (Table 1). Finally, most patients had systemic symptoms: in particular, 5 patients (patients #1, 2, 3, 8, and 10) complained of fatigue, 2 (patients #3 and #8) had fever, 2 had weight loss (patients #6 and #8), and 1 (patient #8) developed severe cachexia, aggravated by intestinal obstruction episodes.

The $BRAF^{V600E}$ mutation was detected in 3/6 patients in whom it was assessed; no mutations in *NRAS*, *KRAS*, or *PKI3CA* were detected in the tested patients (Table 1).

All patients received SRL and PDN following the treatment schedule, with no significant protocol deviations. Objective responses

are reported in Table 2. Eight patients showed either disease stabilization or partial/CRs, whereas 2 patients had disease progression; of these, patient #6 had rapidly progressive CNS lesions, refused to take IFN- α and switched to IM methotrexate but resumed SRL after 1 month because of poor methotrexate tolerability. He eventually died after 11 months of treatment. The second patient with PD (patient #8) had SD at months 6 to 12, but later progressed at cardiac and retroperitoneal levels; she switched to vemurafenib (at month 25) as she was found to be *BRAF*^{V600E}-positive and later experienced disease stabilization.

The remaining 8 patients showed PRs of at least one involved site. Retroperitoneal lesions regressed partially in 4/8 cases and completely in 1/8 (Figure 1 and supplemental Figure 2): at last follow-up, only 2 patients had double-J ureteral stents, and the patient with repeat bowel obstructions (patient #1) no longer developed such complications. Cardiovascular lesions improved in 3/4 cases: the 2 patients with severe pericarditis (one of whom was previously described²⁸) underwent pericardiocentesis and remained free of pericardial effusion over the entire follow-up (Figure 1). Bone disease usually remained stable, although an improvement at ⁹⁹Tc-scintigraphy was observed in 3 cases (Figure 2). CNS lesions progressed in patient #6 and stabilized in patient #3, whereas in patient #7 there was no recurrence of the surgically excised brain mass, and the remaining nodules showed partial regression (supplemental Figure 3). Lung involvement stabilized in all cases. The outcome of the remaining disease manifestations is reported in Table 2. Systemic symptoms improved in most patients; the only patient who experienced persistent systemic manifestations was patient #8. C-reactive protein levels also tended to decrease over time (supplemental Figure 4).

PET-CT was positive at baseline (especially at the bone, vascular, soft-tissue, and retroperitoneal levels) in 5/7 patients studied (Table 2): of these, 1 patient achieved a CMR (Figure 1), 1 a PMR, 1 SD, 1 was lost to follow-up before follow-up PET-CT was performed, and 1 did not repeat PET-CT because of overt clinical progression.

No clinical or laboratory parameters predicted response to therapy. $BRAF^{V600E}$ mutation, detected in 3/6 patients tested, also did not predict particular response patterns. The median follow-up from the start of treatment was 28.5 months (IQR, 16.5-74.5). Two patients died, 1 (patient #6) of progressive CNS disease and the other (patient #5) of metastatic small-cell lung cancer, which developed while ECD was clinically silent; in this patient, SRL and PDN were withdrawn after cancer diagnosis (at month 15), he received chemotherapy (etoposide+ cysplatin) and died 10 months later from cancer-related complications (survival analysis of the whole cohort is shown in supplemental Figure 5).

Treatment was generally well tolerated; the main AEs are reported in Table 3. Patient #4 withdrew SRL for 1 month for infectious panniculitis, whereas patient #9 withdrew it for 3 months for interstitial pneumonitis and then resumed it at a lower dose. At last follow-up, all patients were on SRL and PDN except for patient #5 who had developed small-cell lung cancer and patient #8 who had switched to vemurafenib; in all cases, the maintenance PDN dose was 2.5 to 5 mg/day.

To investigate whether the mTOR pathway is active in ECD lesions, we used IHC, which revealed a diffuse expression of phospho-p70S6K and phospho-mTOR in foamy ECD histiocytes (Figure 3 and supplemental Figure 6). Confocal microscopy showed co-localization of phospho-p70S6K and CD68 (Figure 4), and of phospho-mTOR and CD68 (Figure 4), thus confirming that histiocytes were phospho-p70S6K- and phospho-mTOR–positive. Isotype control staining is shown in supplemental Figure 7.



Figure 3. Immunohistochemical analysis of phospho-mTOR and phospho-p70S6K in ECD lesions. (A-C) Two ECD cases located in the skin and (D-F) bone, where the lesional CD68-KP1–positive histiocytes (B,E) show immunoreaction for phospho-p70S6K (C,F). In (D-F), the arrowhead indicates some elongated histiocytes, whose shape results from their encasement by abundant sclerotic fibrous tissue. An additional case of ECD involving the skin (G-I) with CD68-KP1–positive histiocytes (H), which also react with an anti–phospho-mTOR antibody (I) is shown. Original magnification: (A-I), ×20; insets in (D-F), ×40. HE, hematoxylin and eosin.

Discussion

ECD often has a progressive, life-threatening course. IFN- α has substantially improved the prognosis of ECD patients, but this treatment is poorly tolerated and often ineffective on cardiac and CNS lesions, although studies using high IFN- α doses showed cardiac and CNS responses.^{2,27,29} The recent introduction of the *BRAF*^{V600E}-inhibitor vemurafenib offers an exciting perspective for *BRAF*^{V600E}-mutated patients; however, the experience with vemurafenib treatment is still limited^{12,13,30} and no targeted therapy is available for *BRAF*-wild-type patients. Therefore, alternative treatments are needed for ECD. In this trial, we show that the combination of SRL and PDN generally leads to stabilization or improvement of ECD and induces objective responses in a substantial proportion of patients.

The experience with this therapeutic regimen began with our index case, that we empirically treated with SRL and PDN based on the assumption that ECD has an inflammatory-neoplastic nature. Because he responded brilliantly despite an aggressive clinical presentation, we decided to design this trial. All the enrolled patients had multisystemic involvement and their clinical manifestations were comparable to those reported in other cohorts.^{2,27} Bone disease, affecting the long bones and in some cases also the facial bones was extremely common

(90% of the cases), as well as retroperitoneal involvement (80% of the cases) that caused severe complications such as obstructive uropathy and bowel obstruction. Cardiac and large-vessel disease was detected in 40% of the cases, whereas CNS lesions in only 30%, this manifestation being slightly less frequent than in other series.^{2,27}

Of our 10 patients, 8 had objective responses or disease stabilization, whereas 2 had disease progression. Responses varied at the different sites. We observed significant responses at the retroperitoneal level, with frequent shrinkage of peri-renal and peri-ureteral masses. Patients presenting with severe pericarditis also had an excellent outcome, as they did not show any recurrence of pericardial effusion after pericardiocentesis; however, the course of pericarditis in ECD is still uncertain and it is unknown how often it recurs after pericardiocentesis, thus it is not possible to conclude that the remission of pericarditis was entirely due to our treatment. Responses were heterogeneous at the CNS level, whereas they were marginal or absent at other sites, such as the endocrine system and the bone; however, it must be acknowledged that endocrine abnormalities (eg, diabetes insipidus) in ECD rarely improve,²⁹ and that bone disease does not significantly impact patient prognosis. In parallel with objective response assessment by CT/MRI, we also performed whole-body PET in a fraction of cases; in some patients, we observed partial or CMRs while the corresponding



Figure 4. Confocal microscopy analysis of phospho-mTOR and phospho-p70S6K in ECD lesions. The same cases as those shown in Figure 3 with immunoreaction for CD68-KP1 (A,D,G), phospho-p70S6K (B,E), phospho-mTOR (H), and relevant merged images (C,F,I). Original magnification: (A-I), ×63.

lesions were still unchanged on CT/MRI, which further supports the efficacy of our treatment.

Overall survival is significantly reduced in ECD; per the article by Veyssier-Belot et al, 35 (60%) of the 58 patients whose data were available died, with a mean survival of 19.2 months.²¹ A series published in 2004 also documented a mortality rate of 60%.³¹ A more recent analysis of patients largely treated with IFN- α yielded a mortality of 26%.² Considering these data, our 20% mortality (after a median follow-up of 29 months [IQR, 16.5-74.5] from the start of treatment) appears to be one of the most favorable outcomes reported in the literature. Notably, our therapeutic regimen was also well tolerated, with most patients being able to continue it chronically for several years. This aspect is crucial, since other approaches such as IFN- α , chemotherapy, or even the *BRAF*^{V600E}-inhibitor vemurafenib can be associated with severe side effects that often preclude their long-term use. Overall, we believe that our treatment regimen might be a feasible option for BRAF^{V600E}-negative patients who have contraindications to IFN- α or who have failed IFN- α ; whether our regimen can be considered first-line treatment of BRAF^{V600E}-negative cases cannot yet be determined, and further data are needed.

The mechanisms through which our therapy induces responses are a point of major interest. It is conceivable that responses are largely due to SRL, as it is now known that glucocorticoids are generally ineffective in ECD, except for regimens using high doses that induce improvement of selected manifestations such as exophthalmos.¹ The relative inefficacy of glucocorticoids was not clear at the time we designed the trial, and we decided not to change our schedule; additionally, we could not exclude a synergistic effect of SRL and PDN. Future studies based on mTOR-inhibitors given as monotherapy are feasible and could prove useful to address this point.

mTOR is an important regulator of cell growth, proliferation, and apoptosis. In our exploratory analysis, we found signs of activation of the mTOR pathway in ECD lesions: the phosphorylated form of mTOR was intensely expressed on immunohistochemical and immunofluorescence analysis of ECD biopsies, and so was the phosphorylated form of p70S6K, a kinase downstream of mTOR.³² Interestingly, phosphomTOR and phospho-p70S6K were particularly expressed by CD68⁺ foamy histiocytes. mTOR signaling proceeds via two different complexes: mTOR-complex 1 (mTORC1) and mTORC2, where mTORC1 is believed to be more rapamycin-sensitive.¹⁸ Phospho-p70S6K expression denotes a clear involvement of mTORC1. Multiple factors may contribute to mTOR activation: in a recent study, mutations in NRAS and PIK3CA, which activate mTOR, were detected in 4% and 11% of ECD patients, respectively.¹⁴ Probably due to the low number of tested cases, these mutations were negative in our patients. However, other mechanisms involving soluble mediators or cell surface receptors that ultimately switch on the mTOR pathway may be involved and warrant investigation.

Our clinical results are mainly limited by the small size of our patient cohort; however, ECD is extremely rare and our trial is so far the largest prospective investigation in this disease. Additionally, we assessed the major gene mutations identified in ECD in only a fraction of cases, but these findings are very recent and tissue specimens for molecular analysis were often insufficient in our patients.

In conclusion, treatment with SRL and PDN often induces disease stabilization or objective responses in ECD, and is well tolerated; therefore, it represents a valid alternative for patients not candidate to targeted therapies or for those who are intolerant or refractory to IFN- α . The mTOR pathway appears to be active in ECD lesions, particularly in ECD histiocytes.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge Prof Rita Gatti for her invaluable help with confocal microscopy analysis, and Drs Cinzia Azzoni and Lorena Bottarelli for their assistance with DNA extraction from the biopsies.

Role of the funding source: The study sponsor had no role in study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of the data, or writing of the manuscript. The corresponding author had full access

References

- 1. Haroche J, Arnaud L, Amoura Z. Erdheim-Chester disease. *Curr Opin Rheumatol.* 2012;24(1):53-59.
- Arnaud L, Hervier B, Néel A, et al. CNS involvement and treatment with interferon-α are independent prognostic factors in Erdheim-Chester disease: a multicenter survival analysis of 53 patients. *Blood.* 2011;117(10):2778-2782.
- Haroche J, Amoura Z, Trad SG, et al. Variability in the efficacy of interferon-alpha in Erdheim-Chester disease by patient and site of involvement: results in eight patients. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2006;54(10):3330-3336.
- Al-Quran S, Reith J, Bradley J, Rimsza L. Erdheim-Chester disease: case report, PCRbased analysis of clonality, and review of literature. *Mod Pathol.* 2002;15(6):666-672.
- Chetritt J, Paradis V, Dargere D, et al. Chester-Erdheim disease: a neoplastic disorder. *Hum Pathol.* 1999;30(9):1093-1096.
- Haroche J, Charlotte F, Arnaud L, et al. High prevalence of BRAF V600E mutations in Erdheim-Chester disease but not in other non-Langerhans cell histiocytoses. *Blood.* 2012;120(13):2700-2703.
- Diamond EL, Abdel-Wahab O, Pentsova E, et al. Detection of an NRAS mutation in Erdheim-Chester disease. *Blood.* 2013;122(6):1089-1091.
- Aitken SJ, Presneau N, Tirabosco R, Amary MF, O'Donnell P, Flanagan AM. An NRAS mutation in a case of Erdheim-Chester disease. *Histopathology*. 2015;66(2):316-319.
- Dagna L, Girlanda S, Langheim S, et al. Erdheim-Chester disease: report on a case and new insights on its immunopathogenesis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2010;49(6):1203-1206.
- Stoppacciaro A, Ferrarini M, Salmaggi C, et al. Immunohistochemical evidence of a cytokine and chemokine network in three patients with Erdheim-Chester disease: implications for pathogenesis. Arthritis Rheum. 2006;54(12):4018-4022.
- Arnaud L, Gorochov G, Charlotte F, et al. Systemic perturbation of cytokine and chemokine networks in Erdheim-Chester disease: a single-center series of 37 patients. *Blood*. 2011;117(10):2783-2790.

- Haroche J, Cohen-Aubart F, Emile JF, et al. Dramatic efficacy of vemurafenib in both multisystemic and refractory Erdheim-Chester disease and Langerhans cell histiocytosis harboring the BRAF V600E mutation. *Blood.* 2013;121(9):1495-1500.
- Haroche J, Cohen-Aubart F, Emile JF, et al. Reproducible and sustained efficacy of targeted therapy with vemurafenib in patients with BRAF (V600E)-mutated Erdheim-Chester disease. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(5):411-418.
- Emile JF, Diamond EL, Hélias-Rodzewicz Z, et al. Recurrent RAS and PIK3CA mutations in Erdheim-Chester disease. *Blood.* 2014;124(19): 3016-3019.
- Haroche J, Amoura Z, Charlotte F, et al. Imatinib mesylate for platelet-derived growth factor receptor-beta-positive Erdheim-Chester histiocytosis. *Blood.* 2008;111(11):5413-5415.
- Aouba A, Georgin-Lavialle S, Pagnoux C, et al. Rationale and efficacy of interleukin-1 targeting in Erdheim-Chester disease. *Blood*. 2010;116(20): 4070-4076.
- Dagna L, Corti A, Langheim S, et al. Tumor necrosis factor α as a master regulator of inflammation in Erdheim-Chester disease: rationale for the treatment of patients with infliximab. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(28):e286-e290.
- Powell JD, Pollizzi KN, Heikamp EB, Horton MR. Regulation of immune responses by mTOR. Annu Rev Immunol. 2012;30:39-68.
- Amato R, Stepankiw M. Evaluation of everolimus in renal cell cancer. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2013;14(9):1229-1240.
- Ponticelli C. The pros and the cons of mTOR inhibitors in kidney transplantation. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* 2014;10(2):295-305.
- Veyssier-Belot C, Cacoub P, Caparros-Lefebvre D, et al. Erdheim-Chester disease. Clinical and radiologic characteristics of 59 cases. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*). 1996;75(3):157-169.
- McCormack FX, Inoue Y, Moss J, et al; National Institutes of Health Rare Lung Diseases Consortium; MILES Trial Group. Efficacy and

to all data and took final responsibility for the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Authorship

Contribution: D.G., F.A., C.B., and A.V. designed the study, followed the patients, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript; M.N., M.G., D.C., and G. Becchi performed immunohistochemical-immunofluorescence studies and reviewed the diagnostic biopsies; G. Baldari and M.D.F. reviewed the nuclear medicine and radiologic studies, respectively; S.F., G.M., R.F., M.D.G., and G.J. contributed patients to the trial; and R.S. and J.-F.E. performed the molecular analysis of gene mutations. All authors were involved in the critical analysis of the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence: Augusto Vaglio, Nephrology Unit, University Hospital, Via Gramsci 14, 43126 Parma, Italy; e-mail: augusto.vaglio@ virgilio.it.

> safety of sirolimus in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364(17):1595-1606.

- 23. Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, et al. New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2000;92(3):205-216.
- Vaglio A, Palmisano A, Alberici F, et al. Prednisone versus tamoxifen in patients with idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis: an open-label randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2011;378(9788):338-346.
- Wahl RL, Jacene H, Kasamon Y, Lodge MA. From RECIST to PERCIST: evolving considerations for PET response criteria in solid tumors. *J Nucl Med.* 2009;50(suppl 1):122S-150S.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Common terminology criteria for adverse events (CTCAE). Available at: http://evs.nci.nih.gov/ftp1/CTCAE/ CTCAE_4.03_2010-06-14_QuickReference_5x7.pdf. Accessed November 16, 2014.
- Diamond EL, Dagna L, Hyman DM, et al. Consensus guidelines for the diagnosis and clinical management of Erdheim-Chester disease. *Blood.* 2014;124(4):483-492.
- Vaglio A, Corradi D, Maestri R, Callegari S, Buzio C, Salvarani C. Pericarditis heralding Erdheim-Chester disease. *Circulation*. 2008;118(14):e511-e512.
- Hervier B, Arnaud L, Charlotte F, et al. Treatment of Erdheim-Chester disease with long-term highdose interferon-α. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2012; 41(6):907-913.
- Cohen-Aubart F, Emile JF, Maksud P, et al. Marked efficacy of vemurafenib in suprasellar Erdheim-Chester disease. *Neurology*. 2014; 83(14):1294-1296.
- Haroche J, Amoura Z, Dion E, et al. Cardiovascular involvement, an overlooked feature of Erdheim-Chester disease: report of 6 new cases and a literature review. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*). 2004;83(6):371-392.
- Hers I, Vincent EE, Tavaré JM. Akt signalling in health and disease. *Cell Signal*. 2011;23(10): 1515-1527.



2015 126: 1163-1171 doi:10.1182/blood-2015-01-620377 originally published online June 3, 2015

Sirolimus plus prednisone for Erdheim-Chester disease: an open-label trial

Davide Gianfreda, Maria Nicastro, Maricla Galetti, Federico Alberici, Domenico Corradi, Gabriella Becchi, Giorgio Baldari, Massimo De Filippo, Stefania Ferretti, Gabriella Moroni, Rosario Foti, Marcella Di Gangi, Guido Jeannin, Raphael Saffroy, Jean-François Emile, Carlo Buzio and Augusto Vaglio

Updated information and services can be found at: http://www.bloodjournal.org/content/126/10/1163.full.html

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following Blood collections Clinical Trials and Observations (4342 articles) Free Research Articles (3884 articles) Myeloid Neoplasia (1508 articles) Phagocytes, Granulocytes, and Myelopoiesis (557 articles)

Information about reproducing this article in parts or in its entirety may be found online at: http://www.bloodjournal.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#repub_requests

Information about ordering reprints may be found online at: http://www.bloodjournal.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#reprints

Information about subscriptions and ASH membership may be found online at: http://www.bloodjournal.org/site/subscriptions/index.xhtml