A Mixed Ligand Approach for the Asymmetric Hydrogenation of 2-Substituted Pyridinium Salts

Marc Renom-Carrasco, ^{a,b} Piotr Gajewski, ^{a,b} Luca Pignataro, ^b Johannes G. de Vries, ^c Umberto Piarulli, ^d Cesare Gennari, ^b Laurent Lefort, *a

- ^a DSM Innovative Synthesis BV, P. O. Box 18, 6160 MD Geleen, The Netherlands Phone: +31 464767104; e-mail: laurent.lefort@dsm.com
- ^b Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di Chimica, via C. Golgi 19, 20133 Milan, Italy
- ^c Leibniz-Institut für Katalyse e.V. an der Universität Rostock, Albert-Einstein-Str. 29a, 18059 Rostock, Germany
- d Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Dipartimento di Scienza e Alta Tecnologia, via Valleggio 11, 22100 Como, Italy

Received: April 1, 2016; Revised: May 2, 2016; Published online: July 12, 2016

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201600348

Abstract: Herein we describe a new methodology for the asymmetric hydrogenation (AH) of 2-substituted pyridinium salts. An iridium catalyst based on a mixture of a chiral monodentate phosphoramidite and an achiral phosphine was shown to hydrogenate *N*-benzyl-2-arylpyiridinium bromides to the corresponding *N*-benzyl-2-aryl-piperidines with full conversion and good enantioselectivity. The mechanism of the reaction under optimized conditions was investigated via kinetic measurements and isotopic labeling experiments. Our study suggests that the hydrogenation starts with a 1,4-hydride addition and that the enantiodiscriminating step involves the reduction of an iminium intermediate.

Keywords: hydrogenation; asymmetric catalysis; pyridines; homogeneous catalysis; reaction mechanisms

The asymmetric hydrogenation (AH) of substituted *N*-heteroarenes is an attractive route towards chiral cyclic amines that are ubiquitous motifs in nature and in active pharmaceutical ingredients. Among *N*-heteroarenes, 2- and 3-substituted pyridines are probably the most challenging AH substrates. Their six-membered ring has indeed an aromatic resonance energy close to benzene, and their reduction to piperidines requires the hydrogenation of three different double bonds. In addition, pyridines and piperidines can deactivate the catalyst via strong coordination to the metal center. Finally, these substrates also lack of secondary coordinating groups that can contribute to improve the enantioselectivity.

A successful strategy in the AH of pyridines has been quaternization of the substrate. Although some AHs of non-quaternized pyridines have been reported for very specific substrates, a most of the work involves pyridinium salts based on ylide formation, N-benzylation or protonation. In some cases, a

secondary coordinating group introduced during the quaternization appeared to be crucial to obtain high enantioselectivities. [6a]

In 2000, chiral monodentate phosphorus ligands were rediscovered and appeared to be as efficient as bidentate ligands in AH. [8] Since the active AH catalytic species usually contained 2 ligands per metal center, the use of monodentate ligands led to the development of the so-called mixed ligand strategy. [9] Pioneered by the group of Reetz and us, approach of consists performing hydrogenation with a catalyst formed in-situ from a metal precursor and a mixture of 2 different monodentate ligands with at least one of them being chiral. In the reaction mixture, a catalytic species containing both ligands (mixed ligand catalyst) is formed and in some cases exhibits improved performances compared to the corresponding single ligand species. [9] Here we report the application of the mixed ligand strategy in the AH of 2-substituted Nbenzylated pyridinium salts using a catalyst formed in situ from [Ir(cod)Cl]₂, a chiral phosphoramidite [10] and an achiral phosphine. While the mechanism of the AH of N-heteroarenes has been extensively studied, we noticed that mechanistic studies dealing with pyridine substrates were scarce. Therefore we performed a range of experiments to uncover the mode of action of our most efficient mixed ligand catalyst.

N-Benzyl-2-phenylpyiridinium bromide (1a), prepared by reaction of 2-phenylpyridine with benzyl bromide, was chosen as model substrate. [Ir(cod)Cl]₂ was selected as metal precursor. An initial screening of 24 different monodentate chiral phosphoramidites was performed using high-throughput experimentation (see Supporting Information). Three BINOL-based phosphoramidites were selected as starting point for a mixed ligand approach: PA2 and PA3, which were identified as the best performing

ligands (Table 1, entries 2-3) albeit with only modest enantioselectivities (up to 52% *ee*), and PipPhos (**PA1**, entry 1) which gave relatively good results and is one of the most common phosphoramidites.

Table 1. Selected results for the ligand screening in the AH of $\mathbf{1a}$ to $\mathbf{2a}$. [a]

Entry	PA	PR ₃ , R =	Yield	ee
	[equiv./Ir] ^[b]	[equiv./Ir] ^[b]	[%] ^[c]	[%] ^[c]
1	PA1 [2]	=	99	30
2	PA2 [2]	=	49	-52
3	PA3 [2]	=	86	39
4	PA1 [2]	Ph [1]	94	31
5	PA2 [2]	Ph [1]	87	-49
6	PA3 [2]	Ph [1]	88	50
7	PA2 [2]	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄ [1]	88	-56
8	PA3 [2]	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄ [1]	86	55
9	PA2 [2]	p-ClC ₆ H ₄ [1]	51	-54
10	PA3 [2]	p-ClC ₆ H ₄ [1]	47	56
11	PA2 [2]	p-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ [1]	83	-58
12	PA3 [2]	p-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ [1]	86	60
13	PA3 [1]	-	60	17
14	PA3 [4]	=	31	2
15	-	p-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ [1]	3	-
16	-	p-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ [2]	2	-
17	PA3 [1]	p-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ [1]	99	77
18	PA3 [1]	p-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ [2]	71	77

[b] Equivalents of ligand per atom of iridium.

A11 combinations between the three enantioselective phosphoramidites PA1-3 and 13 different achiral phosphines and phosphites (see Supporting Information) were tested with [Ir(cod)Cl]₂ as the metal precursor. Considering that the phosphine is a stronger donor ligand than the phosphoramidite, a phosphoramidite:phosphine ratio of 2:1 was used to promote the formation of the ligand catalyst. mixed Gratifyingly, improvements terms of in activity enantioselectivity were obtained upon addition of phosphines (entries 4-12). aromatic triphenylphosphine bearing trifluoromethyl groups in the *para*-position led to the best enantioselectivities (entries 11 and 12). Remarkably, the three phosphoramidites **PA1-3** were differently affected by combination with the same achiral phosphine (entries 4-6): no significant change was observed when **PA1** was combined with PPh₃ (entry 1 *vs* 4). With **PA2**, the addition of PPh₃ improved the activity without affecting the enantioselectivity (entry 2 *vs* 5). On the contrary, the addition of PPh₃ to **PA3** improved the enantioselectivity without affecting the yield (entry 3 *vs* 6).

The ratio between iridium and the two ligands was investigated with **PA3** and $P(p-CF_3C_6H_4)_3$. For the phosphoramidite ligand alone, the optimal amount was 2 equivalents per iridium (entries 3, 13 and 14). A larger excess of PA3 led to lower yields and enantioselectivities (entry 14). Remarkably, the achiral phosphine used alone led to no conversion (entries 15-16). Since the Ir-phosphine complex appeared to be inactive, we investigated lower phosphoramidite:phosphine ratios. The optimal iridium:PA3:phosphine ratio was determined to be 1:1:1 (entry 17), leading to full conversion to 2a and 77% ee. An excess of phosphine or phosphoramidite (entries 12-18) led to a decrease in activity, probably due to the saturation of the metal complex by the extra ligand. In agreement with the lack of activity of the phosphine homo-complex, an excess of phosphine did not affect the enantioselectivity (entry 18). On the other hand, an excess of phosphoramidite led to a lower ee (entry 12) which is also consistent with the Ir complex containing two phosphoramidites being less enantioselective.

An extensive screening of solvents and additives did not result in an improved catalytic performance of the $Ir/PA3/P(p-CF_3C_6H_4)_3$ system. Decreasing the pressure of H_2 led to a lower conversion without affecting the enantioselectivity. Decreasing the temperature improved the ee to 83% but at the expense of the conversion (see Supporting Information).

The substrate scope was investigated to some extent with the optimized system (Table 2). Indeed, the mixed ligand catalyst was efficient for a range of 2-arylpyridinium salts. Yields and enantioselectivities were very similar for these substrates, i.e. not drastically influenced by the electronic properties of the aryl substituent (Table 2, entry 1-6). Increasing the steric bulk of the aryl substituent let to a decrease of the enantioselectivity (Table 2, entry 7).

Table 2. Substrate screening for AH of N-benzyl-2-arylpyridinium salts. [a]

		0.1	ri i
Entry	Ar	Yield [%] ^[b]	ee [%] ^[b]
1	Ph (1a)	99 (92) ^{c)}	77 (R)
2	$4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\left(\mathbf{1b}\right)$	98	71 (+)
3	$4\text{-MeOC}_6\text{H}_4$ (1c)	99	82 (+)
4	3,5-di-MeOC ₆ H ₃ (1d)	97	70 (+)

[[]a] Reaction conditions: 1a (0.05 mmol), [Ir(cod)Cl]₂ (1 mol%), phosphoramidite (PA), phosphine (PR₃), DCM (1 mL), 50 °C, 50 bar H₂, 18 h.

Determined by chiral GC analysis and dodecane as internal standard. Positive values correspond to *R* configuration.

5	$4-CF_3C_6H_4$ (1e)	98	74 (+)
6	$4-ClC_6H_4$ (1f)	99	74 (+)
7	2-naphthyl (1g)	99	58 (-)

[a] Reaction conditions: **1** (0.1 mmol), [Ir(cod)Cl]₂ (1 mol%), **PA3** (2.2 mol%), P(*p*-CF₃C₆H₄)₃ (2.2 mol%), DCM (2 mL), 50 °C, 50 bar H₂, 18 h.

Yield and ee determined by chiral GC, HPLC or SFC with dodecane as internal standard.

In brackets, isolated yield of a 500 mg scale reaction.

A mechanistic study was performed with the optimized catalyst. The AH of pyridinium salt **1a** was monitored over time by GC and NMR (Figure 1). The substrate was fully consumed in roughly 10 hours, with concomitant formation of piperidine **2a**, whose *ee* remains almost constant during the course of the reaction. The reaction profile fits well with a 1st order reaction, with a small induction period during the first minutes.




Figure 1. Evolution of the yield and *ee* of different reaction species over time. *Reaction conditions*: **1a** (1.5 mmol), [Ir(cod)Cl]₂ (1 mol%), **PA3** (2.2 mol%), P(*p*-CF₃C₆H₄)₃ (2.2 mol%), DCM (30 mL), 50 °C, 50 bar H₂, 10 h. Consumption of **1a** determined by NMR with dimethyl terephthalate as internal standard. Yield and *ee* of **2a** and **TH** monitored by chiral GC analysis with dodecane as internal standard.

A reaction intermediate (labeled **TH** in Figure 1) was detected by GC. Its concentration reaches a maximum after 1.5 hours. Although it could not be isolated, its mass (m/z = 249) determined by GC-MS consistent with *N*-benzylated tetrahydropyridine isomer. NMR analysis allowed us identify TH as N-benzyl-6-phenyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyridine (Figure 1, see Supporting Information). During the course of the reaction, the sum of 1a, 2a and TH matched well with the initial amount of substrate used, suggesting that no other intermediates are formed and that the hydrogenation of **TH** is the rate limiting step.

To get further insight into the mechanism, two labeling experiments were conducted isotopic (Scheme 1). When the optimized reaction was carried out with H_2 in the presence of CD_3OD (Scheme 1A), a high deuterium incorporation was observed at C3 deuteriums) and C5 (0.30 deuteriums), compared to the other carbons (< 0.12 deuteriums each). This suggests that enamine-iminium tautomerizations take place during the reaction leading to the addition of a proton from the reaction medium into the position 3 and 5 in agreement with substrates. [11] for other *N*-heteroaromatic

A.
$$\begin{array}{c} \text{[Ir(cod)Cl]}_2 \\ \text{PA3, P(p-CF}_3Ph)_3 \\ \text{DCM/CD}_3OD (10:1) \\ 50 \, ^{\circ}\text{C, 50 bar H}_2 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{(3\%) } (6\%) \\ \text{(13\%)}_D \, \text{(17\%)}_D \, \text{(17\%)}_D \, \text{(17\%)}_D \\ \text{(17\%)}_D \, \text{(17\%)}_D \, \text{(17\%)}_D \, \text{(17\%)}_D \\ \text{(4\%) } D \, \text{(17\%)}_D \, \text{(17\%)}_D \, \text{(17\%)}_D \\ \text{(2a)} \\ \text{B.} \\ \\ \text{B.} \\ \\ \text{PA3, P(p-CF}_3Ph)_3 \\ \text{DCM} \\ \text{50 } ^{\circ}\text{C, 40 bar D}_2 \\ \text{2a} \\ \end{array}$$

Scheme 1. Isotopic labeling experiment for the AH of *N*-benzyl-2-phenylpyridinium bromide 1a in the presence of CD_3OD (A) or D_2 (B).

The low deuterium incorporation at C4 is consistent with an initial 1,4-hydride addition to **1a** leading to the formation of *N*-benzyl-2-phenyl-1,4-dihydropyridine (**DH**, Scheme 2). The dihydropyridine **DH** can tautomerize into the two iminium ions **DH**' and **DH**''. Since **TH** is the only detectable intermediate, it is reasonable to think that the 1,2-hydride addition to **DH**' occurs faster than to the more sterically hindered **DH**''. The difference of reactivity between **DH**' and **DH**'' is also consistent with the higher deuterium incorporation at C3 compared to C5. Since **DH**'' is a longer-living intermediate, it can incorporate more deuterium at C3 via multiple enamine-iminium interconversions.

A second isotopic labeling experiment was performed by reacting 1a with D_2 gas under the optimized conditions (Scheme 1B): all the ring positions were deuterated, with a total amount of five deuterium atoms incorporated. No ring-face preference for the deuterium incorporation is observed in any of the carbons suggesting that the stereogenic center is not formed until the last step.

Based on these experimental results, the following mechanism can be proposed (Scheme 2): an initial

1,4-hydride addition to **1a**, forming the dihydropyridine **DH**; the rapid hydrogenation of **DH** into **TH** via an iminium intermediate **DH**'; the enantioselective 1,2-hydride addition to the iminium **TH**' to the final piperidine **2a**.

Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism for the asymmetric hydrogenation of **1a**.

In conclusion, we have developed a new catalytic system based on a mixture of ligands for the asymmetric hydrogenation of 2-arylpyridines. Using an iridium complex in the presence of two different monodentate ligands (a chiral phosphoramidite and an achiral phosphine), full conversions and ee's up to 82% were obtained. A mechanistic study was performed and allowed us to propose DH and TH as the intermediates in the hydrogenation of 1a to 2a. The mixed ligand strategy is attractive since a large number of catalytic systems can be generated through the simple combination of monodentate ligands. Therefore we anticipate that via high-throughput screening, novel mixed ligands catalysts for the hydrogenation of related substrates will soon be discovered.

Experimental Section

Inside the N_2 glovebox, a solution of $[Ir(cod)Cl]_2$ (0.0015 mmol), **PA3** (0.0033 mmol) and $P(p\text{-}CF_3C_6H_4)_3$ (0.0033 mmol) in DCM (1 mL) was stirred at 45 °C for 30 min. The preformed catalyst was added to a 5 mL vial containing a solution of the corresponding *N*-benzyl-2-arylpyridinium bromide (0.15 mmol) in DCM (2 mL). The vial was capped with a PTFE septum, removed from the glovebox and placed into a Premex 96er Multireaktor. After flushing it 5 times with N_2 (10 bar) and 5 times with H_2 (10 bar), it was pressurized to 50 bar of H_2 and stirred at 50 °C for 18 h. The crude mixture was washed with a saturated aqueous solution of Na_2CO_3 and extracted with DCM. The organic extracts were dried, concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography Hexane/EtOAc (from 99:1 to 95:5).

Acknowledgements

We thank the European Commission [ITN-EID "REDUCTO" PITN-GA-2012-316371] for financial support and for predoctoral fellowships (to M. R.-C. and P. G.).

References

- [1] For reviews on the AH of N-heteroarenes see: a) Y.-G. Zhou, Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 1357-1366; b) b) S.-M. Lu and Y.-G. Zhou in Stereoselective Synthesis, Science of Synthesis Series, Vol 1, ed. J. G. de Vries, 2010, p257-294. c) D.-S. Wang, Q.-A. Chen, S.-M. Lu, Y.-G. Zhou, Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 2557-2590.
- [2] a) A. R. Katritzky, K. Jug, D. C. Oniciu, *Chem. Rev.* 2001, 101, 1421-1449; b) C. W. Bird, *Tetrahedron* 1992, 48, 335-340.
- [3] For a review on substrate activation in the AH of *N*-heteroarenes see: B. Balakrishna, J. L. Núñez-Rico, A. Vidal-Ferran, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *2015*, 5293-5303.
- [4] a) M. Studer, C. Wedemeyer-Exl, F. Spindler, H.-U. Blaser, Monatsh. Chem. 2000, 131, 1335-1343; b) X.-B. Wang, W. Zeng, Y.-G. Zhou, Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 4922-4924; c) W. Tang, Y. Sun, L. Xu, T. Wang, Q. Fan, K.-H. Lam, A. S. C. Chan, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 3464-3471; d) W.-J. Tang, J. Tan, L.-J. Xu, K.-H. Lam, Q.-H. Fan, A. S. Chan, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1055-1062.
- [5] a) C. Y. Legault, A. B. Charette, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8966-8967; b) A. Cadu, P. K. Upadhyay, P. G. Andersson, Asian J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2, 1061-1065.
- [6] a) Z.-S. Ye, M.-W. Chen, Q.-A. Chen, L. Shi, Y. Duan, Y.-G. Zhou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10181-10184; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 10328-10331; b) M. Chang, Y. Huang, S. Liu, Y. Chen, S. W. Krska, I. W. Davies, X. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 12761-12764; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 12975-12978; c) M. Renom-Carrasco, P. Gajewski, L. Pignataro, J. G. de Vries, U. Piarulli, C.Gennari, L. Lefort, ACS Catal, accepted for publication 29/02/2016.
- [7] a) Y. Kita, A. Iimuro, S. Hida, K. Mashima, *Chem. Lett.* 2014, 43, 284-286; b) M.-W. Chen, Z.-S. Ye, Z.-P. Chen, B. Wu, Y.-G. Zhou, *Org. Chem. Front.* 2015, 2, 586-589.
- [8] a) C. Claver, E. Fernandez, A. Gillon, K. Heslop, D. J. Hyett, A. Martorell, A. G. Orpen, P. G. Pringle, *Chem. Commun.* 2000, 961; b) M. T. Reetz, G. Mehler, *Angew. Chem.* 2000, 112, 4047; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000, 39, 3889; c) M. Van den Berg, A. J. Minnaard, E. P. Schudde, J. Van Esch, A. H. M. de Vries, J. G. de Vries, B. L. Feringa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, 122, 11539.
- [9] a) M. T. Reetz, T. Sell, A. Meiswinkel, G. Mehler, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 814; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 790; b) M. T. Reetz, G. Mehler, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 4593-4596; c) D. Pena, A. J. Minnaard, J. A. F. Boogers, A. H. M. de Vries, J. G. de Vries, B. L. Feringa, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 1087; d) R. Hoen, J. A. F. Boogers, H. Bernsmann, A.

- J. Minnaard, A. Meetsma, T. D. Tiemersma-Wegman, A. H. M. de Vries, J. G. de Vries, B. L. Feringa, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4209-4212; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 4281-4284; e) C. Gennari, C. Monti, U. Piarulli, J. G. de Vries, A. H. M. de Vries, L. Lefort, Chem.- Eur. J. 2005, 11, 6701-6717; f) M. T. Reetz, X. Li, *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 2159-2160; g) R. Hoen, T. Tiemersma-Wegman, B. Procuranti, L. Lefort, J. G. de Vries, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 267-275; h) N. Mršić, L. Lefort, J. A. F. Boogers, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa, J. G. de Vries, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1081-1089; i) N. Mršić, L. Panella, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa, J. G. de Vries, Tetrahedron Asymmetry 2011, 22, 36-39; j) X. Zhang, T. Taketomi, T. Yoshizumi, H. Kumobayashi, S. Akutagawa, K. Mashima, H. Takaya, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3318-3319.
- [10] A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa, L. Lefort, J. G. de Vries, Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 1267-1277.
- [11] a) L. Shi, Z.-S. Ye, L.-L. Cao, R.-N. Guo, Y. Hu, Y.-G. Zhou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8286-8289; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 8411-8414; b) Z.-S. Ye, R.-N. Guo, X.-F. Cai, M.-W. Chen, L. Shi, Y.-G. Zhou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3685-3689; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 3773-3777; c) Y. Kita, K. Yamaji, K. Higashida, K. Sathaiah, A. Iimuro, K. Mashima, Chem.- Eur. J. 2015, 21, 1915-1927; d) D.-W. Wang, X.-B. Wang, D.-S. Wang, S.-M. Lu, Y.-G. Zhou, Y.-X. Li, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2780-2787; e) X.-F. Cai, R.-N. Guo, M.-W. Chen, L. Shi, Y.-G. Zhou, Chem.- Eur. J. 2014, 20, 7245-7248; f) T. Wang, L.-G. Zhuo, Z. Li, F. Chen, Z. Ding, Y. He, Q.-H. Fan, J. Xiang, Z.-X. Yu, A. S. C. Chan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9878-9891; g) R. Kuwano, Y. Hashiguchi, R. Ikeda, K. Ishizuka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2393-2396; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 2423-2426.
- [12] An isotopic labeling experiment has been reported for the non-enenatioselective transfer hydrogenation of *N*-benzylated pyridinium salts: J. Wu, W. Tang, A. Pettman, J. Xiao, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 35-40.