

1 SHIFTING FROM ORAL CONTRACEPTIVES TO NORETHISTERONE ACETATE, OR  
2 VICEVERSA, BECAUSE OF DRUG INTOLERANCE: DOES THE CHANGE BENEFIT  
3 WOMEN WITH ENDOMETRIOSIS?  
4

5	Paolo Vercellini, M.D. <sup>a,b</sup>	ORCID 0000-0003-4195-0996	paolo.vercellini@unimi.it
6	Federica Ottolini, M.D. <sup>a</sup>		federica_ottolini@libero.it
7	Maria Pina Frattaruolo, M.D. <sup>a,b</sup>	ORCID 0000-0001-7288-0113	mp.frattaruolo@gmail.com
8	Laura Buggio, M.D. <sup>a,b</sup>	ORCID 0000-0002-1199-1888	buggiolaura@gmail.com
9	Anna Roberto, Biol.Sci.D. <sup>c</sup>	ORCID 0000-0002-6756-1297	anna.roberto@marionegri.it
10	Edgardo Somigliana, M.D. <sup>a,b</sup>	ORCID 0000-0002-0223-0032	dadosomigliana@yahoo.it

11  
12 From the <sup>a</sup>Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Università degli Studi di  
13 Milano and <sup>b</sup>Fondazione Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico (IRCCS) Ca' Granda  
14 Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Via Commenda, 12 - 20122 Milan, Italy; <sup>c</sup>Department of Public  
15 Health, IRCCS Mario Negri Institute for Pharmacological Research, Via La Masa 19, 20156 Milan,  
16 Italy.

17  
18 Correspondence:  
19 Prof. Paolo Vercellini  
20 Istituto Ostetrico e Ginecologico “Luigi Mangiagalli”, Via Commenda 12 – 20122 Milano, Italy  
21 Tel. +3902.55032917; fax: +3902.55032818; electronic address: [paolo.vercellini@unimi.it](mailto:paolo.vercellini@unimi.it)  
22

23 SHORT TITLE: Intolerance to estrogen-progestins and progestins for endometriosis

24  
25 KEY WORDS: endometriosis; medical treatment; estrogen-progestin combinations; progestins;  
26 norethisterone acetate; pelvic pain.

## 27 ABSTRACT

28 **Background/Aims:** Oral contraceptives (OC) and norethisterone acetate (NETA) are among first-  
29 line medical therapies for symptomatic endometriosis, but their use is sometimes associated with  
30 intolerable side effects. We investigated whether shifting from low-dose OC to NETA (2.5 mg/day),  
31 or viceversa, improved tolerability.

32 **Methods**

33 Sixty-seven women willing to discontinue their treatment because of intolerable side effects despite  
34 good pain relief, were enrolled in a self-controlled study, and shifted from OC to NETA ( $n = 35$ ) or  
35 from NETA to OC ( $n = 32$ ). The main study outcome was satisfaction with treatment 12 months  
36 after the change. Tolerability, pain symptoms, health-related quality of life, psychological status,  
37 and sexual functioning were also evaluated.

38 **Results**

39 After treatment change, good tolerability was reported by 37% of participants who shifted to  
40 NETA, and by 52% of those who shifted to OC. At 12-month assessment, 51% of women intolerant  
41 to OC were satisfied with NETA, and 65% of those intolerant to NETA were satisfied with OC  
42 (intention-to-treat analysis). Other study variables did not vary substantially.

43 **Conclusions**

44 In selected endometriosis patients, shifting from OC to NETA, or viceversa, because of side effects,  
45 improved tolerability. Better results were observed when substituting NETA with OC rather than  
46 the other way round.

## 47 INTRODUCTION

48 Combined oral contraceptives (OC) and progestins are indicated by major international guidelines  
49 as the first-line medical treatment options for women not seeking conception and with  
50 endometriosis-associated pelvic pain [1-4]. Overall, about two thirds of patients appear to benefit  
51 from these therapies [5-16]. The main reason of treatment failure in the remaining third, in addition  
52 to inefficacy, is drug intolerance. As untoward effects of OCs and progestins partly differ, a shift  
53 from the former to the latter compounds, or viceversa, could allow continuing treatment with a safe,  
54 effective, and unexpensive medication without the need for stepping up to a drug with a less  
55 favorable therapeutic profile or resorting to surgery. However, very limited information is available  
56 on what should a patient expect from these changes [17, 18]. The answers to these questions seem  
57 important as the clinical issue is not rare and may interfere with health-related quality of life and  
58 disease management. Given this background, we sought to investigate whether shifting from an OC  
59 to a progestin, or viceversa, specifically because of drug intolerance, is of benefit in terms of relief  
60 from side effects and, in case these measures are effective, whether they imply reduced efficacy on  
61 pain symptoms.

## 62 MATERIALS AND METHODS

63 The main objective of the present study was to assess the proportion of patients satisfied with their  
64 therapy 12 months after a change from a low-dose, monophasic OC to norethisterone acetate  
65 (NETA), or viceversa, because of side effects intolerable to the point of requesting treatment  
66 discontinuation. Therefore, in the present study population, patient dissatisfaction was not caused  
67 by inefficacy on pain symptoms. Secondary objective was the evaluation of variations in pain  
68 symptoms, health-related quality of life, psychological status, and sexual function associated with  
69 the shift from OC to NETA, or viceversa.

70 A prospective, self-controlled study design was adopted because it allows within-person  
71 comparisons avoiding the potential confounding caused by differences between patients [19]. The  
72 investigation was performed in an academic department specializing in the management of

73 endometriosis, and the competent Institutional Review Board approved the study. Patients signed an  
74 informed consent form before enrollment. Women who denied their consensus were excluded.

## 75 **Patients**

76 We considered 18- to 40-year old women, not seeking conception, with a surgical diagnosis  
77 of endometriosis in the previous 24 months or with a current non-surgical diagnosis of  
78 endometriosis, and using an OC or NETA for pelvic pain, but unwilling to continue the current  
79 treatment because of dissatisfaction due to intolerable side effects. Non-surgical diagnoses were  
80 based on previously published criteria [20-22]. Participants were recruited during the period August  
81 2014 - July 2015.

82 Women were informed that: i) OC or NETA may, in some women, cause side effects,  
83 frequently because of the estrogen component in the former case, and of residual androgenic  
84 activity in the latter case; ii) switching to, respectively, a progestin monotherapy or an OC  
85 containing another type of progestin could result in subjective improvement; iii) also the alternative  
86 drug was associated with side effects, and the efficacy of the proposed change of therapy was  
87 uncertain; iv) OCs and progestins are indicated by major international guidelines as the first-line  
88 treatment for endometriosis-associated pelvic pain [1-4], but that other medical therapies exist,  
89 although characterized by a less favorable balance between benefits, harms and costs [23-27]; v)  
90 laparoscopic surgery was a reasonable alternative in case they declined a change in pharmacological  
91 treatment, but that pain and lesion recurrence was about 10% a year without long-term  
92 postoperative medical therapy [28, 29].

## 93 **Treatments**

### 94 a. Switch from OC to NETA

95 Norethisterone acetate, a 19-nortestosterone derivative progestin, has been repeatedly evaluated in  
96 women with endometriosis [6, 9-11, 30-32], and has been routinely used in our referral center for  
97 several years [7, 14-16]. Norethisterone acetate is approved by the FDA and the Italian Ministry of  
98 Health for the treatment of endometriosis and is reimbursed by the Italian National Health System.

99 Norethisterone acetate was prescribed at the dose of 2.5 mg once a day, *per os*. The progestin was  
100 started after 4-7 days since OC discontinuation, depending on the type of OC previously used.

#### 101 b. Switch from NETA to OC

102 The OCs used in our center were monophasic formulations containing ethinyl-estradiol 0.015 mg  
103 and gestodene 60 mg or, in case of spotting, ethinyl-estradiol 0.02 mg and desogestrel 150 mg. In  
104 smokers and in those with a BMI  $\geq 30$ , a combination of ethinyl-estradiol 0.02 mg and  
105 levonorgestrel 100 mg was prescribed. Women were allowed to choose between cyclic and  
106 continuous OC use based on their preference because the reason for the change of medication was  
107 intolerance, not inefficacy on pain. A pause without treatment was not suggested before starting  
108 OC.

109 NETA and OC were continued without preplanned time limits. However, for the purpose of  
110 the present study, only the first 12 months of use have been evaluated. In case of prolonged spotting  
111 ( $\geq 7$  days) or breakthrough bleeding during NETA or continuous OC use, the patients were advised  
112 to discontinue treatment for one week in the former case, and 4-7 days in the latter case.

#### 113 **Measurements**

114 All patients assisted in our center systematically undergo clinical and ultrasonographic  
115 evaluation every six months. On these occasions, women are routinely asked to complete five  
116 questionnaires, two on pain (a numeric rating scale, NRS; and a multi-dimensional categorical  
117 rating scale, MCRS), one on quality of life (the Short Form-12 questionnaire, SF-12), one on  
118 psychological status (the Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale, HADS), and one on sexual  
119 functioning (the Female Sexual Function Index, FSFI). Women are also asked to indicate drug  
120 tolerability using a NRS and to rate the degree of satisfaction with their treatment.

121 The above scales and questionnaires have been described previously in detail [7, 13-16]. The  
122 presence and severity of dysmenorrhea, deep dyspareunia, non-menstrual pelvic pain, and dyschezia  
123 were assessed using an 11-point NRS, with 0 indicating absence of pain and 10 pain as bad as it  
124 could be. Patients were also asked to grade the severity of the above symptoms using a 0- to 3-point

125 MCRS modified from that devised by Biberoglu and Behrman [33]. Irregular bleeding during  
126 treatment was defined as spotting (scanty bleeding requiring  $\leq 1$  pad or tampon per day) or  
127 breakthrough bleeding (light or moderate bleeding requiring  $\geq 2$  pads or tampons per day). Pain  
128 during spotting or breakthrough bleeding was considered as dysmenorrhea.

129         The SF-12 health survey, developed from the original SF-36 questionnaire [34, 35], is a well  
130 know, validated self-administered 12-item instrument. It measures health dimensions covering  
131 functional status, well-being, and overall health. Information from the 12 items is used to construct  
132 physical (PCS-12) and mental (MCS-12) component summary measures [36, 37], with higher  
133 scores indicating better health perception.

134         The HADS questionnaire is a self-assessment mood scale specifically designed for use in  
135 non-psychiatric hospital outpatients to determine states of anxiety and depression. It comprises 14  
136 questions, 7 for the anxiety subscale and 7 for the depression subscale. Lower scores indicate better  
137 psychological status [38].

138         The FSFI questionnaire is a 19-item, multidimensional, self-report instrument for evaluating  
139 the main categories of female sexual dysfunction and sexual satisfaction [39-41]. The transformed  
140 maximum score for each domain is 6, and the maximum (best) transformed full-scale score is 36,  
141 with a minimum full-scale score of 2.0.

142         Occurrence of side effects associated with medical treatments is actively investigated in our  
143 endometriosis outpatient clinic, and the overall tolerability of hormonal therapies is measured using  
144 a 0- to 10-point NRS, with 0 indicating absolutely intolerable untoward effects and 10 absence of  
145 adverse effects. Scores are then categorized, with 9-10 indicating that a drug is very well tolerated;  
146 7-8, well tolerated; 5-6, moderately tolerated; 3-4, poorly tolerated; 0-2, not tolerated [16].

147         Patients rated the degree of satisfaction after the modification of their treatment according to  
148 a five-category scale (very satisfied, satisfied, neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, dissatisfied, very  
149 dissatisfied) by answering the following question: "Taking into consideration the variations  
150 occurred in side effects and overall tolerability of treatment, pain symptoms, physical and

151 psychological well-being, health-related quality of life, and sexual functioning, how would you  
152 define the level of satisfaction with your current treatment?" In order to limit the potential effect of  
153 confounding, satisfaction with treatment, the main study outcome, was dichotomized into  
154 "satisfied" (very satisfied plus satisfied) and "dissatisfied" (neither satisfied nor dissatisfied plus  
155 dissatisfied plus very dissatisfied).

#### 156 **Data management**

157 The focus of the investigation was not a head-to-head comparison between OC and NETA but,  
158 instead, quantification of the proportion of women who were satisfied with a change in treatment 12  
159 months after OC or NETA discontinuation because of intolerance. No study is available to define  
160 the potential benefits of shifting from OC to NETA or viceversa in this clinical condition.  
161 Therefore, a pre-planned power calculation was not performed, and we decided to include all the  
162 eligible patients evaluated in a 12-month period.

163 Data were archived using Excel 2003 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington,  
164 U.S.A.) and exported in SPSS 18.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL, U.S.A.) or SAS software 9.4 (SF-12  
165 data; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, U.S.A.) for statistical analysis. Estimate of patient satisfaction  
166 rate was performed according to the intention-to-treat principle, considering as dissatisfied all  
167 patients who dropped out of the study for any reason except conception seeking, thus including  
168 request for surgery and lost to follow-up. Variations in drug tolerability, pelvic pain symptoms,  
169 health-related quality of life, psychological status, and sexual functioning between baseline and 12-  
170 month values were evaluated by using the paired Student *t* test for normally distributed data, the  
171 non-parametric Wilcoxon matched pairs test for non-normally distributed data, the McNemar test  
172 for categorical variables, and the Fisher Exact test in case of cells without numerical data.  
173 Determinants of satisfaction with treatment were investigated with unpaired tests (Student *t* test for  
174 normally distributed continuous variables, Wilcoxon test for non-normally distributed continuous  
175 variables, and the chi-squared test for categorical variables). All statistical tests were two-sided. A *P*

176 value < 5% was considered statistically significant. When appropriate, 95% confidence intervals  
177 (CIs) were calculated for the observed differences by applying a binomial distribution model.

## 178 RESULTS

179 A total of 35 women shifted from OC to NETA, and 32 from NETA to OC. The distribution of  
180 demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients in the two study groups are shown in Table  
181 1.

### 182 a. Switch from OC to NETA

183 The median duration [interquartile range, IQR] of OC use was 6 months [3-14]. Nineteen women  
184 (54%) were using OC cyclically and 16 (46%) continuously. The most frequent untoward effects  
185 that determined the request for OC discontinuation despite an appreciable effect on pain symptoms  
186 were headache (49%), breakthrough bleeding (14%), and weight gain (11%). Eight women (23%)  
187 dropped out from the study between the 6- and 12-month evaluation owing to persistence of  
188 (headache,  $n = 3$ ) or onset of different (mood changes,  $n = 1$ ; urticarial rash,  $n = 1$ ; breakthrough  
189 bleeding,  $n = 1$ ) side effects, onset of non-menstrual pelvic pain ( $n = 1$ ), and unwillingness to  
190 undertake any further treatment ( $n = 1$ ). Variation of frequency of side effects associated with the  
191 shift from OC to NETA in the 27 women who completed the 12-month study period is reported in  
192 Table 2. None of the differences were statistically significant. A trend was observed toward a  
193 decrease in frequency of headache (from 56% to 30%) and an increase in that of weight gain (from  
194 30% to 44%). However, the severity of untoward effects decreased significantly, as the mean  $\pm$  SD  
195 tolerability NRS score increased from  $3.0 \pm 1.6$  to  $5.7 \pm 2.4$  ( $P < 0.001$ ). Ten women (37%)  
196 reported good or very good (NRS  $\geq 7$ ) drug tolerability, compared with none at baseline.

197 The severity of pain symptoms did not vary significantly except for dysmenorrhea that  
198 decreased at evaluation by means of the NRS (Table 3). Overall, the frequency of moderate or  
199 severe complaints was marginal at both baseline and 12-month assessment. No substantial  
200 variations were observed also in psychological status and sexual functioning. With regard to health-  
201 related quality of life, a significant improvement was reported only in the physical component of the



202 SF-12 questionnaire (Table 3). At the end of the study period 18/35 (51%; 95% C.I., 36% to 67%)  
203 women were satisfied or very satisfied with the treatment change, whereas 17/35 (49%; 95% C.I.,  
204 33% to 64%) were neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied. All the patients  
205 who dropped out from the study were included as dissatisfied in this intention-to-treat analysis.

#### 206 b. Switch from NETA to OC

207 The median duration [interquartile range, IQR] of NETA use was 12 months [5-22]. The most  
208 frequent untoward effects that determined the request for NETA discontinuation despite an  
209 appreciable effect on pain symptoms were weight gain (19%), headache (16%), breakthrough  
210 bleeding (16%), decreased libido (16%), spotting (12%), and mood changes (12%). Seven women  
211 (22%) dropped out from the study between the 6- and 12-month evaluation owing to persistence of  
212 (acne,  $n = 1$ ) or onset of different (headache,  $n = 4$ ) side effects, onset of non-menstrual pelvic pain  
213 ( $n = 1$ ), and pregnancy desire ( $n = 1$ ). Variation of frequency of side effects associated with the shift  
214 from NETA to OC in the 25 women who completed the 12-month study period is reported in Table  
215 4. Again, none of the differences were statistically significant. A trend was observed toward a  
216 decrease in frequency of weight gain (from 36% to 16%), decreased libido (from 44% to 32%), and  
217 vaginal dryness (from 36% to 24%), and an increase in that of headache (from 20% to 40%).  
218 However, the severity of untoward effects decreased significantly, as the mean  $\pm$  SD tolerability  
219 NRS score increased from  $3.5 \pm 1.7$  to  $6.9 \pm 2.5$  ( $P < 0.001$ ). Thirteen women (52%) reported good  
220 or very good ( $\text{NRS} \geq 7$ ) drug tolerability, compared with none at baseline.

221 Based on NRS assessment, the severity of deep dyspareunia and non-menstrual pelvic pain  
222 decreased significantly (Table 5). A trend was observed toward a decrease in the frequency of  
223 moderate to severe deep dyspareunia (eight women at baseline vs three at 12 months) and dyschezia  
224 (four and two women, respectively) at MCRS evaluation (Table 5). Significant improvements were  
225 observed in both the anxiety and depression HADS subscales scores, as well as in the FSFI scores.  
226 No significant variations were reported in both the physical and the mental components of the SF-  
227 12 questionnaire (Table 5). One woman who dropped out of the study because of pregnancy desire

228 was not considered in the intention-to-treat analysis of satisfaction with treatment. At the end of the  
229 study period 20/31 (65%; 95% C.I., 47% to 79%) patients were satisfied or very satisfied with the  
230 treatment change, whereas 11/31 (35%; 95% C.I., 20% to 52%) were neither satisfied nor  
231 dissatisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied.

## 232 DISCUSSION

233 Overall, the main finding of the present study was that, when OC or NETA are not tolerated,  
234 shifting to the other compound allows the majority of patients with endometriosis to improve  
235 tolerability and to continue medical treatment with a safe, effective, and unexpensive drug. The  
236 benefit seems larger when the shift is from NETA to OC rather than the other way round, as the  
237 proportion of satisfied patients at the end of the study period was, respectively, 65% and 51%.  
238 Moreover, in the latter case the 95% C.I.s of the rates of satisfied and dissatisfied women amply  
239 overlapped, whereas in the former case the 95% C.I. overlapping was marginal.

240         Considering a shift from OC to NETA may be beneficial especially in women experiencing  
241 headache, as previously suggested by Morotti *et al.* [17]. The frequency of the other untoward  
242 effects associated with OC use were not reduced, but their severity was, as demonstrated by the  
243 increase in 12-month follow-up NRS tolerability score compared with baseline values.

244         Considering a shift from NETA to OC may be beneficial especially in women experiencing  
245 side effects typically associated with this type of progestin, such as weight gain, acne, bloating, and  
246 decreased libido. On the other hand, this change may lead to an increase in the frequency of  
247 headache, likely associated with the estrogen component. This confirms that OCs with the lowest  
248 possible estrogen dose should be chosen also in women with endometriosis in order to improve both  
249 safety and tolerability [42-45].

250         The larger effect observed when the shift was from NETA to OC confirms that low-dose,  
251 monophasic estrogen-progestin combinations should retain their role in the management of  
252 endometriosis, provided pain symptoms are adequately relieved. In this regard, it should be  
253 highlighted that at baseline pain was generally well controlled in both study groups, and that the

254 focus here was on tolerability, not efficacy on symptoms. This also explains the limited significant  
255 variations in pain symptoms' severity independently of the direction of the change between the two  
256 medications, demonstrating that the observed amelioration of tolerability was not at detriment of  
257 efficacy on pain. Conversely, marginal improvements in the severity of dysmenorrhea when shifting  
258 from OC to NETA, and of deep dyspareunia and non-menstrual pain when shifting from NETA to  
259 OC were reported, although of questionable clinical importance.

260 Our study has limitations. The combination of the observational design with the limited  
261 sample size increases the risk of confounding. Moreover, the population was highly selected, and  
262 this precludes generalization of the results to endometriosis patients with different complaint types.  
263 However, the self-controlled design was chosen purposely because the objective of the study was to  
264 assess variations in tolerability when shifting to NETA or OC not in a general population using the  
265 other drug, but specifically in those patients who were dissatisfied because of intolerable side  
266 effects and that would have otherwise discontinued medical therapy. In a self-control study,  
267 recruited patients act as their own control, thus limiting the effect of confounding. In fact, study  
268 outcomes may be influenced by relevant characteristics that may differ between patients [19]. In  
269 addition, overoptimistic results should have been avoided, as patient satisfaction was assessed  
270 including all dropouts as dissatisfied.

271 The period of use of OC and NETA before changing medication was fairly long. Thus, the  
272 phenomenon of regression toward the mean seems unlikely, given that the clinical condition was  
273 chronic and that all study variables were measured repeatedly before enrollment. Also a carry-over  
274 effect should be ruled out, as the baseline patients' conditions were the worst possible in terms of  
275 tolerability. Therefore, if a carry-over effect was in play, this was detrimental, not beneficial, again  
276 potentially leading to conservative estimates. Also a placebo effect cannot be excluded. However,  
277 given the long study period, this seems little probable, as the placebo effect may not last for one  
278 year when drug tolerability is unacceptable.

279 The proportion of dropouts was high and above the usually indicated 20% cut-off over  
280 which the study findings are considered of questionable validity [46]. However, this cut-off may not  
281 be appropriate when all patients at recruitment are considering abandonment of medical treatment  
282 owing to dissatisfaction. In these conditions, a 22-23% dropout rate may even appear fairly low.

283 Owing to the limited number of participants, the analysis of determinants of success was  
284 deemed unreasonable. More in general, the small sample size could have led to some type II errors,  
285 thus impeding the identification of potential factors predictive of satisfaction with treatment change.  
286 On the other hand, in our experience it is not easy for endometriosis patients to decide to  
287 discontinue a medical therapy that is effective on pain, solely because of side effects. In this regard,  
288 it may not be excluded that women referred or self-referred to our center are more motivated to  
289 choose medical rather than surgical treatment. If this was true, such selection bias would render  
290 generalization of the study results more problematic.

291 However, when discussing generalization, we also believe that our findings provide a  
292 realistic picture of what happens in everyday practice, and our data may help clinicians when  
293 counselling patients experiencing upsetting untoward effects with OC or NETA. Observational  
294 studies may be very helpful in assessing the real world effectiveness of treatments that have already  
295 been demonstrated to work in highly controlled research settings [47], as OCs and NETA in women  
296 with symptomatic endometriosis [5, 7, 8, 12].

297 It could also be argued that, in women who were intolerant to NETA, instead of suggesting  
298 OC we could have suggested shifting to dienogest, that has been proven to be better tolerated than  
299 NETA [16]. However, many women assisted in our center cannot afford the cost of dienogest (€730  
300 - \$860 - £ 670 per year in Italy, not reimbursed by the Italian NHS) and prefer NETA (€18 - \$21 -  
301 £17 per year in Italy, €4 per year when reimbursed by the Italian NHS) specifically for economic  
302 reasons. Indeed, we previously demonstrated that the cost of dienogest limited its effectiveness  
303 despite its good tolerability [16]. Moreover, here the issue was not poor pain control, but drug

304 intolerance, and indeed the larger benefit was observed precisely when shifting from NETA to OC.  
305 Thus, changing for dienogest would have led to waste of money in the majority of patients.

306 In conclusion, when endometriosis-associated pain was relieved by OC or NETA, but the  
307 medications could no longer be used because of intolerable side effects, shifting to the other  
308 compound resulted in substantial improvement of tolerability in the majority of women. The change  
309 of therapy was particularly beneficial in patients using NETA who shifted to OC. Women should be  
310 informed about this further therapeutic option in order to be enabled to choose a treatment  
311 modification that is aligned with their preferences and priorities.

312

#### 313 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (CONFLICT OF INTEREST)

314 PV, FO, MPF, LB, and AR declare that they have no conflicts of interest. ES received grants from  
315 Ferring and Serono.

316

## 317 REFERENCES

- 318 1. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 114: Management of endometriosis. *Obstet Gynecol*  
319 2005;116:223-36.
- 320 2. Leyland N, Casper R, Laberge P, Singh SS; SOGC: Endometriosis: diagnosis and  
321 management. *J Obstet Gynecol Can* 2010;32:S1-32.
- 322 3. Dunselman GA, Vermeulen N, Becker C, Calhaz-Jorge C, D'Hooghe T, De Bie B,  
323 Heikinheimo O, Horne AW, Kiesel L, Nap A, Prentice A, Saridogan E, Soriano D, Nelen  
324 W; European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology: ESHRE guideline:  
325 management of women with endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* 2014; 29:400-12.
- 326 4. Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine: Treatment of  
327 pelvic pain associated with endometriosis: a committee opinion. *Fertil Steril* 2014;101:927-  
328 35.
- 329 5. Vercellini P, Trespidi L, Colombo A, Vendola N, Marchini M, Crosignani PG: A  
330 gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist versus a low-dose oral contraceptive for pelvic pain  
331 associated with endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 1993;60:75-9.
- 332 6. Muneyyirci-Delale O, Karacan M: Effect of norethindrone acetate in the treatment of  
333 symptomatic endometriosis. *Int J Fertil Womens Med* 1998;43:24-7.
- 334 7. Vercellini P, Pietropaolo G, De Giorgi O, Pasin R, Chiodini A, Crosignani PG: Treatment of  
335 symptomatic rectovaginal endometriosis with an estrogen-progestogen combination versus  
336 low-dose norethindrone acetate. *Fertil Steril* 2005;84:1375-87.
- 337 8. Harada T, Momoeda M, Taketani Y, Hoshiai H, Terakawa N: Low-dose oral contraceptive  
338 pill for dysmenorrhea associated with endometriosis: a placebo-controlled, double-blind,  
339 randomized trial. *Fertil Steril* 2008;90:1583-8.

- 340 9. Ferrero S, Camerini G, Seracchioli R, Ragni N, Venturini PL, Remorgida V: Letrozole  
341 combined with norethisterone acetate compared with norethisterone acetate alone in the  
342 treatment of pain symptoms caused by endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* 2009;24:3033–41.
- 343 10. Ferrero S, Camerini G, Ragni N, Venturini PL, Biscaldi E, Remorgida V: Norethisterone  
344 acetate in the treatment of colorectal endometriosis: a pilot study. *Hum Reprod* 2010;25:94–  
345 100.
- 346 11. Ferrero S, Camerini G, Ragni N, Venturini PL, Biscaldi E, Seracchioli R, Remorgida V:  
347 Letrozole and norethisterone acetate in colorectal endometriosis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol*  
348 *Reprod Biol* 2010;150:199-202.
- 349 12. Seracchioli R, Mabrouk M, Frascà C, Manuzzi L, Savelli L, Venturoli S: Long-term oral  
350 contraceptive pills and postoperative pain management after laparoscopic excision of  
351 ovarian endometrioma: a randomized controlled trial. *Fertil Steril* 2010;94:464-71.
- 352 13. Vercellini P, Barbara G, Somigliana E, Bianchi S, Abbiati A, Fedele L: Comparison of  
353 contraceptive ring and patch for the treatment of symptomatic endometriosis. *Fertil Steril*  
354 2010;93:2150-2161.
- 355 14. Vercellini P, Somigliana E, Consonni D, Frattaruolo MP, De Giorgi O, Fedele L: Surgical  
356 versus medical treatment for endometriosis-associated severe deep dyspareunia: I. Effect on  
357 pain during intercourse and patient satisfaction. *Hum Reprod* 2012;27:3450-9.
- 358 15. Vercellini P, Frattaruolo MP, Somigliana E, Jones GL, Consonni D, Alberico D, Fedele L:  
359 Surgical versus low-dose progestin treatment for endometriosis-associated severe deep  
360 dyspareunia II: effect on sexual functioning, psychological status and health-related quality  
361 of life. *Hum Reprod* 2013;28:1221-30.

- 362 16. Vercellini P, Bracco B, Mosconi P, Roberto A, Alberico D, Dhouha D, Somigliana E:  
363 Norethindrone acetate or dienogest for the treatment of symptomatic endometriosis: a  
364 before and after study. *Fertil Steril* 2016;105:734-743.
- 365 17. Morotti M, Remorgida V, Venturini PL, Ferrero S: Progestogen-only contraceptive pill  
366 compared with combined oral contraceptive in the treatment of pain symptoms caused by  
367 endometriosis in patients with migraine without aura. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*  
368 2014;179:63-8.
- 369 18. Berlanda N, Somigliana E, Viganò P, Vercellini P: Safety of medical treatments for  
370 endometriosis. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2016;15:21-30.
- 371 19. Douglas IJ, Langham J, Bhaskaran K, Brauer R, Smeeth L: Orlistat and the risk of acute  
372 liver injury: self controlled case series study in UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink.  
373 *BMJ* 2013;346:f1936.
- 374 20. Eskenazi B, Warner M, Bonsignore L, Olive D, Samuels S, Vercellini P: Validation study of  
375 nonsurgical diagnosis of endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 2001;76:929-35.
- 376 21. Vercellini P, Somigliana E, Cortinovis I, Bracco B, de Braud L, Dridi D, Milani S: "You  
377 can't always get what you want": from doctrine to practicability of study designs for clinical  
378 investigation in endometriosis. *BMC Womens Health* 2015;15:89.
- 379 22. Vercellini P, Buggio L, Berlanda N, Barbara G, Somigliana E, Bosari S: Estrogen-progestins  
380 and progestins for the management of endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 2016;106:1552-1571.
- 381 23. Vercellini P, Fedele L, Pietropaolo G, Frontino G, Somigliana E, Crosignani PG:  
382 Progestogens for endometriosis: forward to the past. *Hum Reprod Update* 2003;9:387-96.



- 383 24. Vercellini P, Crosignani P, Somigliana E, Viganò P, Frattaruolo MP, Fedele L: 'Waiting for  
384 Godot': a commonsense approach to the medical treatment of endometriosis. *Hum Reprod*  
385 2011;26:3-13.
- 386 25. Vercellini P, Giudice LC, Evers JL, Abrao MS: Reducing low-value care in endometriosis  
387 between limited evidence and unresolved issues: a proposal. *Hum Reprod*. 2015;30:1996-  
388 2004.
- 389 26. Bedaiwy MA, Allaire C, Yong P, Alfaraj S: Medical Management of Endometriosis in  
390 Patients with Chronic Pelvic Pain. *Semin Reprod Med* 2017;35:38-53.
- 391 27. Vercellini P, Facchin F, Buggio L, Barbara G, Berlanda N, Frattaruolo MP, Somigliana E:  
392 Management of endometriosis: toward value-based, cost-effective, affordable care. *J Obstet*  
393 *Gynaecol Can* 2017 (in press).
- 394 28. Guo SW: Recurrence of endometriosis and its control: *Hum Reprod Update* 2009;15:441-  
395 61.
- 396 29. Vercellini P, Crosignani PG, Abbiati A, Somigliana E, Viganò P, Fedele L: The effect of  
397 surgery for symptomatic endometriosis: the other side of the story. *Hum Reprod Update*  
398 2009;15:177-88.
- 399 30. Kaser DJ, Missmer SA, Berry KF, Laufer MR: Use of norethindrone acetate alone for  
400 postoperative suppression of endometriosis symptoms. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*  
401 2012;25:105-8.
- 402 31. Muneyyirci-Delale O, Anopa J, Charles C, Mathur D, Parris R, Cutler JB, Salame G,  
403 Abulafia O: Medical management of recurrent endometrioma with long-term norethindrone  
404 acetate. *Int J Womens Health* 2012;4:149-54.
- 405 32. Ferrero S, Remorgida V, Venturini PL, Leone Roberti Maggiore U: Norethisterone acetate  
406 versus norethisterone acetate combined with letrozole for the treatment of ovarian  
407 endometriotic cysts: a patient preference study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*  
408 2014;174:117-22.

- 409 33. Biberoglu KO, Behrman SJ: Dosage aspects of danazol therapy in endometriosis: short-term  
410 and long-term effectiveness. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1981;139:645–54.
- 411 34. Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD: The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I.  
412 Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care* 1992;30:473–83.
- 413 35. McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr, Raczek AE: The MOS 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-  
414 36): II. Psychometric and clinical tests of validity in measuring physical and mental health  
415 constructs. *Med Care* 1993;31:247–63.
- 416 36. Ware J Jr, Kosinski M, Keller SD: A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of  
417 scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care* 1996;34:220–33.
- 418 37. Gandek B, Ware JE, Aaronson NK, Apolone G, Bjorner JB, Brazier JE, Bullinger M, Kaasa  
419 S, Leplege A, Prieto L, Sullivan M: Cross-validation of item selection and scoring for the  
420 SF-12 Health Survey in nine countries: results from the IQOLA Project. *International*  
421 *Quality of Life Assessment. J Clin Epidemiol* 1998;51:1171–8.
- 422 38. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*  
423 1983;67:361–70.
- 424 39. Rosen R, Brown C, Heiman J, Leiblum S, Meston C, Shabsigh R, Ferguson D, D'Agostino  
425 R Jr: The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): a multidimensional self-report instrument  
426 for the assessment of female sexual function. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2000;26:191–208.
- 427 40. Meston CM: Validation of the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) in women with female  
428 orgasmic disorder and in women with hypoactive sexual desire disorder. *J Sex Marital Ther*  
429 2003;29:39–46.
- 430 41. Wiegel M, Meston C, Rosen R: The female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): cross-validation  
431 and development of clinical cut-off scores. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2005;31:1–20.
- 432 42. De Leo V, Musacchio MC, Cappelli V, Piomboni P, Morgante G: Hormonal contraceptives:  
433 pharmacology tailored to women's health. *Hum Reprod Update* 2016;22:634-46.
- 434 43. Sitruk-Ware R: Hormonal contraception and thrombosis. *Fertil Steril* 2016;106:1289-1294.

- 435 44. Weill A, Dalichampt M, Raguideau F, Ricordeau P, Blotière PO, Rudant J, Alla F, Zureik  
436 M: Low dose oestrogen combined oral contraception and risk of pulmonary embolism,  
437 stroke, and myocardial infarction in five million French women: cohort study. *BMJ*  
438 2016;353:i2002.
- 439 45. Calhoun AH: Hormonal Contraceptives and Migraine With Aura-Is There Still a Risk?  
440 Headache. 2017;57:184-193.
- 441 46. Unnebrink K, Windeler J: Intention-to-treat: methods for dealing with missing values  
442 in clinical trials of progressively deteriorating diseases. *Stat Med* 2001;20:3931-46.
- 443 47. Marley J: Efficacy, effectiveness, efficiency. *Aust Prescr* 2000;23:114-5.
- 444

**Table 1.** Distribution of baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of women who shifted to norethisterone acetate (NETA) for intolerance to low-dose oral contraceptive (OC), and of women who shifted to OC for intolerance to NETA.

Characteristic	From OC to NETA study group ( <i>n</i> = 35)	From NETA to OC study group ( <i>n</i> = 32)
Age (years)	35.5 ± 4.7	34.2 ± 5.3
BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.6 ± 4.0	20.5 ± 2.6
Smoking	6 (17%)	9 (28%)
Previous deliveries	15 (43%)	6 (19%)
Previous surgical procedures for endometriosis		
None	9 (26%)	14 (44%)
1	18 (51%)	11 (34%)
2	7 (20%)	5 (16%)
≥ 3	1 (3%)	2 (6%)
Endometriotic lesion type <sup>a</sup>		
Deep infiltrating endometriosis	17 (49%)	24 (75%)
Ovarian endometriomas	28 (80%)	18 (56%)
Pain symptoms <sup>b</sup>		
Dysmenorrhea	15 (42%)	8 (25%)
Deep dyspareunia	5 (15%) <sup>c</sup>	15 (30%) <sup>d</sup>
Non-menstrual pelvic pain	5 (14%)	12 (37%)
Dyschezia	2 (6%)	7 (22%)
Duration of previous treatment [months]	6 [3-14]	12 [5-22]

Data is reported as mean ± SD, or number (percentage), or median [interquartile range].

NETA = norethisterone acetate. OC = low-dose, combined oral contraceptive. BMI = body mass index.

<sup>a</sup> The sum does not add to the total as some women had both lesion types.

446 <sup>b</sup>Numeric rating scale > 0. Mild pain symptoms are also included.

447 <sup>c</sup>One woman did not have sexual intercourses at basal and/or at 12-month evaluation.

448 <sup>d</sup>Two women did not have sexual intercourses at basal and/or at 12-month evaluation

**Table 2.** Per-protocol analysis of frequency of side effects reported at baseline and at 12-month evaluation by patients ( $n = 27$ ) shifting from OC to NETA.

Side effect <sup>a</sup>	Baseline evaluation	12-month evaluation	<i>P</i>
Headache	15 (56%)	8 (30%)	NS
Spotting	5 (18%)	6 (22%)	NS
Breakthrough bleeding	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	NS
Weight gain	8 (30%)	12 (44%)	NS
Nausea	2 (7%)	1 (4%)	NS
Decreased libido	7 (26%)	5 (18%)	NS
Vaginal Dryness	4 (15%)	6 (22%)	NS
Bloating or swelling	5 (18%)	6 (22%)	NS
Breast tenderness	0 (0%)	4 (15%)	NS
Acne	0 (0%)	3 (11%)	NS
Alopecia	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NS
Mood changes	5 (18%)	5 (18%)	NS
Others	11 (41%)	9 (33%)	NS

<sup>a</sup>Some women reported more than one side effect.  
Data are number (percentage).

**Table 3.** Per-protocol analysis<sup>a</sup> of pain symptoms, health-related quality of life, psychological status, and sexual functioning scores variation between baseline and 12-month evaluation in patients ( $n = 27$ ) shifting from OC to NETA.

Symptoms / Questionnaires	Baseline evaluation	12-month evaluation	<i>P</i>
<b>Dysmenorrhea</b>			
NRS	0 [0-4]	0 [0-0]	0.01
MCRS $\geq 2$	2 (7%)	0 (0%)	NS
<b>Deep dyspareunia<sup>b</sup></b>			
NRS	0 [0-0]	0 [0-0]	NS
MCRS $\geq 2$	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	NS
<b>Non-menstrual pelvic pain</b>			
NRS	0 [0-0]	0 [0-0]	NS
MCRS $\geq 2$	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	NS
<b>Dyschezia</b>			
NRS	0 [0-0]	0 [0-0]	NS
MCRS $\geq 2$	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	NS
<b>SF-12</b>			
Physical component	50.0 $\pm$ 11.1	55.4 $\pm$ 4.5	0.03
Mental component	40.0 $\pm$ 11.7	42.6 $\pm$ 13.2	NS
<b>HADS</b>			
Anxiety	6.6 $\pm$ 4.3	5.9 $\pm$ 4.6	NS
Depression	5.8 $\pm$ 4.3	5.4 $\pm$ 5.1	NS
Total	12.4 $\pm$ 8.1	11.3 $\pm$ 9.1	NS
FSFI total score <sup>b</sup>	26.2 $\pm$ 5.7	26.2 $\pm$ 6.7	NS

Data is reported as mean  $\pm$  SD, or number (percentage), or median [interquartile range]. NRS = 0 to 10-point numeric rating scale. MCRS = 0 to 3-point multidimensional categorical rating scale modified from that devised by Biberoglu and Behrman [33]. SF-12 = Short Form-12 [36, 37]. HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [38]. FSFI = Female Sexual Function Index [39, 40].

NS = not significant.

<sup>a</sup>Women who withdrew before 12-month follow-up assessment ( $n = 8$ ) were excluded.

<sup>b</sup>One woman did not have sexual intercourses either at baseline and/or at 12-month evaluation.

455

**Table 4.** Per-protocol analysis of frequency of side effects reported at baseline and at 12-month evaluation by patients ( $n = 25$ ) shifting from NETA to OC.

Side effect <sup>a</sup>	Baseline evaluation	12-month evaluation	<i>P</i>
Headache	5 (20%)	10 (40%)	NS
Spotting	4 (16%)	7 (28%)	NS
Breakthrough bleeding	3 (12%)	0 (0%)	NS
Weight gain	9 (36%)	4 (16%)	NS
Nausea	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	NS
Decreased libido	11 (44%)	8 (32%)	NS
Vaginal Dryness	9 (36%)	6 (24%)	NS
Bloating or swelling	4 (16%)	2 (8%)	NS
Breast tenderness	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NS
Acne	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	NS
Alopecia	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NS
Mood changes	5 (20%)	1 (4%)	NS
Others	5 (20%)	1 (4%)	NS

<sup>a</sup>Some women reported more than one side effect.

Data are number (percentage).

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

**Table 5.** Per-protocol analysis<sup>a</sup> of pain symptoms, health-related quality of life, psychological status, and sexual functioning scores variation between baseline and 12-month evaluation in patients (*n* = 25) shifting from NETA to OC.

Symptoms / Questionnaires	Baseline evaluation	12-month evaluation	<i>P</i>
Dysmenorrhea			
NRS	0 [0-1.5]	0 [0-3]	NS
MCRS $\geq 2$	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	NS
Deep dyspareunia <sup>b</sup>			
NRS	5 [0-8]	0 [0-5.5]	0.02
MCRS $\geq 2$	8 (35%)	3 (13%)	NS
Non-menstrual pelvic pain			
NRS	0 [0-4.5]	0 [0-0]	0.02
MCRS $\geq 2$	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	NS
Dyschezia			
NRS	0 [0-1.5]	0 [0-0]	NS
MCRS $\geq 2$	4 (16%)	2 (8%)	NS
HADS			
Anxiety	4.7 $\pm$ 3.5	3.6 $\pm$ 3.2	0.02
Depression	5.4 $\pm$ 4.0	3.8 $\pm$ 3.4	0.03
Total	10.1 $\pm$ 7.3	7.4 $\pm$ 6.3	0.02
SF-12			
Physical component	52.8 $\pm$ 9.1	54.8 $\pm$ 4.4	NS
Mental component	42.1 $\pm$ 11.7	46.1 $\pm$ 10.0	NS
FSFI total score <sup>b</sup>	21.9 $\pm$ 8.6	25.4 $\pm$ 7.9	0.01

Data is reported as mean  $\pm$  SD, or number (percentage), or median [interquartile range].

NRS = 0 to 10-point numeric rating scale. MCRS = 0 to 3-point multidimensional categorical rating scale modified from that devised by Biberoglu and Behrman [33].

SF-12 = Short Form-12 [36, 37]. HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [38].

FSFI = Female Sexual Function Index [39, 40].

NS = not significant.

<sup>a</sup>Women who withdrew before 12-month follow-up assessment (*n* = 7) were excluded.

<sup>b</sup>One woman did not have sexual intercourses either at baseline and/or at 12-month evaluation.