



CORRIGENDUM

Corrigendum: An educational path for the magnetic vector potential and its physical implications

To cite this article: S Barbieri *et al* 2014 *Eur. J. Phys.* **35**

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- [Reply to Comment on 'An educational path for the magnetic vector potential and its physical implications'](#)
S Barbieri, M Cavinato and M Giliberti
- [An educational path for the magnetic vector potential and its physical implications](#)
S Barbieri, M Cavinato and M Giliberti
- [Comment on 'An educational path for the magnetic vector potential and its physical implications'](#)
José A Heras

Corrigendum: An educational path for the magnetic vector potential and its physical implications

2013 *Eur. J. Phys.* **34** 1209

S Barbieri¹, M Cavinato² and M Giliberti²

¹ Dipartimento di Fisica e Techologie Relative, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Palermo, Italy

² Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

E-mail: marco.giliberti@unimi.it

Received 25 November 2013

Accepted for publication 25 November 2013

Published 17 January 2014

Equation (3) of Barbieri *et al* (2013 *Eur. J. Phys.* **34** 1209) is incorrect since it has been written in terms of the retarded time t' instead of the present time t .

Therefore, in place of the following:

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{V'} \frac{\left[\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t') + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}', t')}{\partial t} \right] \times \Delta \mathbf{r}}{(\Delta r)^3} dV', \quad (3)$$

where V' is the region containing the currents and

$$\Delta \mathbf{r} \equiv \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', \quad \Delta r \equiv |\Delta \mathbf{r}|, \quad t' \equiv t - \frac{\Delta r}{c}, \quad (4)$$

where t' is the retarded time. If we now adopt the quasi-static approximation, that is if we consider only fields that are slowly varying in time, we can neglect all the time derivative multiplied by $1/c$ (but not time-dependent terms alone). Therefore the contribution of the displacement currents in equation (3) can be disregarded, thanks to the presence of the constant $\varepsilon_0 \mu_0 = 1/c^2$ that multiplies the time derivative of \mathbf{E} . Moreover, the retarded time t' of equation (4) also can be considered equal to t .

please read:

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{V'} \frac{\left[\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t) + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial t} \right] \times \Delta \mathbf{r}}{(\Delta r)^3} dV', \quad (3)$$

where V' is the region containing the currents and

$$\Delta \mathbf{r} \equiv \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', \quad \Delta r \equiv |\Delta \mathbf{r}|. \quad (4)$$

If we now adopt the quasi-static approximation, that is if we consider only fields that are slowly varying in time, we can neglect all the time derivatives multiplied by $\varepsilon_0\mu_0 = 1/c^2$ (but not time-dependent terms alone). The contribution of the displacement currents in equation (3) can, therefore, be disregarded.'

The mistake in equation (3) of Barbieri *et al* (2013 *Eur. J. Phys.* **34** 1209) does not influence any of the results or conclusions of the original paper.